

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

U.S. Patent No. 10,517,484

Case No.: IPR2021-00453

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	iv
I. Introduction .....	1
II. <u>Ground 1</u> : Lisogurski alone does not disclose a device configured to increase SNR by increasing an LED pulse rate .....	1
A. Lisogurski does <i>not</i> “use[] the term ‘sampling rate’ to refer to the emitter (LED) firing rate”.....	1
B. Apple has no evidence refuting Omni’s expert that CCM firing rate changes do not increase SNR .....	5
III. <u>Ground 1</u> : Adding Carlson’s teaching of modulating at 1000 Hz to Lisogurski’s CCM changes CCM’s principle of operation .....	12
A. Apple’s attorneys try to rewrite Carlson’s express teaching of “temporarily” using “pulsed light” .....	12
B. Apple mischaracterizes Omni’s arguments and Lisogurski and Carlson’s teachings .....	17
C. Modulating CCM at 1000 Hz is not “consistent with Lisogurski’s teaching”—it improperly changes CCM’s principle of operation .....	19
IV. <u>Grounds 2–4</u> : Apple does not show a motivation to combine three, four, and five references.....	24
V. Apple improperly conflates “to <i>detect</i> an object” and “to <i>identify</i> an object” .....	25
VI. Conclusion .....	28
Certificate of Service .....	29
Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....	30

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	4, 20, 27-28
<i>Ball Aerosol &amp; Specialty Container, Inc. v. Ltd. Brands, Inc.</i> , 555 F.3d 984 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	8, 11, 12
<i>Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen GmbH v. Biocorp, Inc.</i> , 249 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	6, 9, 16
<i>Creative Compounds, LLC v. Starmark Labs.</i> , 651 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	6, 9, 16
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Mustek Sys., Inc.</i> , 340 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2018-00582, Paper No. 34 (PTAB Aug. 5, 2019) (Informative)..	5, 20, 28
<i>Johns Manville Corp. v. Knauf Insulation, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00827 Paper 9 at 10 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2018) (Informative).....	24
<i>Nature Simulation Sys. v. Autodesk, Inc.</i> , 23 F.4th 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	26
<i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 903 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	8, 10-11, 12
<i>Phil-Insul Corp. v. Airlite Plastics Co.</i> , 854 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	26-27
<i>Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc.</i> , 724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	25
<i>Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp.</i> , 274 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	27

*SRI International v. Matsushita Electric Corp. of Am.*,  
775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....26

### **Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 312 .....4, 20, 28

### **Other Authorities**

Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019).....4, 20, 28

### **Rules**

37 C.F.R. §42.104.....4, 20, 26, 28

**UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<i>2101-2119</i>	<i>Reserved</i>
2120	PCT Application Serial No. PCT/US2013/075767 (Publication No. WO/2014/143276)
2121	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 14/109,007 (Publication No. 2014/0236021)
2122	<i>Reserved</i>
2123	Curriculum Vitae of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E.
2124	Board's Institution Decision, IPR2019-000916, Paper 16, October 18, 2019 ("DI")
2125	Board's Final Written Decision, IPR2019-00916, Paper 39, October 14, 2020
<i>2126-2130</i>	<i>Reserved</i>
2136	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E. in Support of Patent Owner's Response to Petition in IPR2020-00175, September 10, 2020
2132	Excerpt of Record of Oral Hearing held March 25, 2021, IPR2020-00175, Paper 25, April 14, 2021
2133	Board's Institution Decision, IPR2020-00175, Paper 11, June 17, 2020
2134	Definitions of IDENTIFY in The Free Dictionary
2135	Definitions of DETECT in The Free Dictionary
2136	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E. in Support of Patent Owner's Response, November 12, 2021
2137	Copy of Lisogurski highlighted to show text cited for the first time in the Reply

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.