

LegalMetric

LegalMetric Time to Trial Report Eastern District of Texas Patent Cases September 2009 to September 2020

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc. Use of this information by anyone other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, or disclosure of this information to persons other than the purchaser or, if the purchaser is a law firm, the purchaser's client, without the consent of LegalMetric, Inc. is prohibited.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

Overview:

The dockets of patent cases of Eastern District of Texas were examined to identify cases from September 2009 to September 2020 in which a trial occurred, whether or not that trial resulted in a verdict. Trials in cases where settlement or mistrial occurred during the trial are, therefore, included in these figures. The time from case filing to the start of trial was then computed. The results are shown below.


	Average	
	Months from Case Filing to Trial	Number of Trials
Bryson	27.9	5
Clark	27.1	5
Davis	27.0	30
Everingham	38.0	9
Folsom	43.6	6
Giblin	13.6	2
Gilstrap	26.4	67
Love	24.1	4
Mazzant	26.1	6
Mitchell	27.0	2
Payne	26.2	13
Rader	30.7	1
Schell	83.5	2
Schneider	19.2	14
Schroeder	33.4	18
Ward	36.7	9

	Median	
	Months from Case Filing to Trial	Number of Trials
Bryson	24.1	5
Clark	25.7	5
Davis	25.6	30
Everingham	38.5	9
Folsom	46.0	6
Giblin	13.6	2
Gilstrap	20.9	67
Love	24.1	4

	Median	
	Months from Case Filing to Trial	Number of Trials
Mazzant	23.8	6
Mitchell	27.0	2
Payne	20.1	13
Rader	30.7	1
Schell	83.5	2
Schneider	22.2	14
Schroeder	28.4	18
Ward	36.1	9

Average Time to Trial, by Year:

The average time from case filing to start of trial by calendar year is shown below.



		Total
Total		28.7
Bench	Total	28.7
	2010	33.7
	2011	37.6
	2012	67.7
	2013	14.4
	2014	28.5
	2015	21.9
	2016	40.3
	2017	21.5
2018	35.7	

		Total
Bench	2020	17.5
Jury	Total	28.7
	2009	27.5
	2010	32.9
	2011	31.8
	2012	39.6
	2013	23.5
	2014	29.9
	2015	31.0
	2016	24.8
	2017	29.3
	2018	22.4
	2019	20.9
2020	19.3	

<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Time to Trial (months)</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Start of Trial Date</u>
GREE, INC v. SUPERCELL OY	18.4	2:19cv00071	9/10/2020
GREE, INC v. SUPERCELL OY	18.4	2:19cv00070	9/10/2020
Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.Com, Inc.,	25.7	4:18cv00474	8/24/2020
Optis Wireless Technology, LLC et al v. Apple Inc.	17.5	2:19cv00066	8/11/2020
Optis Wireless Technology, LLC et al v. Apple Inc.	17.2	2:19cv00066	8/3/2020
United Services Automobile Association v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	16.7	2:18cv00366	1/6/2020
Implicit, LLC v. NETSCOUT Systems, Inc.	21.1	2:18cv00053	12/9/2019
Fractus, S.A. v. T-Mobile US, Inc. et al	2.3	2:19cv00255	10/3/2019
PPS DATA, LLC v. Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.	19.9	2:18cv00007	9/9/2019
Plastronics Socket Partners, Ltd. et al v Dong Weon Hwang et al	17.6	2:18cv00014	7/8/2019
Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Great West Casualty Company	8.5	6:18cv00299	3/8/2019
Core Wireless Licensing S.a.r.l. v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al	53.0	2:14cv00912	2/25/2019
Arterbury et al v. Odessa Separator, Inc.	26.9	5:16cv00183	2/25/2019
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T Mobile USA, Inc. et al	17.9	2:17cv00577	2/4/2019
Weatherford Technology Holdings, LLC et al v. Tesco Corporation et al	18.3	2:17cv00456	12/3/2018
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Samsung Electronics Co., LTD, et al	3.0	6:18cv00388	10/31/2018
GeoDynamics, Incorporated v. DynaEnergetics US, Inc.	17.2	2:17cv00371	10/4/2018
Optis Wireless Technology, LLC et al v. Huawei Device (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd	18.5	2:17cv00123	8/27/2018
Optis Wireless Technology, LLC et al v. Huawei Device (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd	18.3	2:17cv00123	8/20/2018
Nanology Alpha LLC v. WITec Wissenschaftliche Instrumente und Technologie GmbH	26.1	6:16cv00445	7/23/2018
Maxell, Ltd. v. ZTE Corporation et al	19.0	5:16cv00179	6/18/2018
KAIST IP US LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., LTD., et al	18.4	2:16cv01314	6/11/2018
Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Hewlett Packard	63.9	6:13cv00072	5/15/2018

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.