

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Google LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

Ikorongo Technology, LLC,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. RE47,704  
Filing Date: December 19, 2014  
Issue Date: November 5, 2019

---

Case No. IPR2021-00058

---

**DECLARATION OF DAVID HILLIARD WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS .....	3
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED .....	10
IV.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....	13
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	16
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	19
VII.	BACKGROUND OF LOCATION-DETERMINING AND LOCATION-SHARING TECHNOLOGIES .....	19
	A. The use of software registration, including for data collection and sharing purposes was well known prior to the '704 patent priority date. ....	21
	B. A wide variety of location determination methods and technologies were well known at the time of the '704 patent's earliest priority date, as was the use of such data for tracking purposes.....	30
	C. The ability to share information related to location/location- related experiences was known in the art at the time of the '704 patent's earliest priority date. ....	32
VIII.	OVERVIEW OF PRIOR ART .....	56
	A. Overview of <i>Callegari</i> .....	56
	B. Overview of <i>Friedman</i> .....	66
	C. Overview of <i>Eschenbach</i> .....	67
IX.	THE '704 PATENT.....	69
	A. Overview of the '704 Patent.....	69
	B. The Prosecution History of the '704 Patent .....	72

C.	The Challenged Claims .....	73
X.	STATEMENT OF OPINIONS.....	80
XI.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 33-41, 43, and 45-48 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER <i>CALLEGARI</i> .....	80
A.	Claim 33 .....	81
B.	Claim 34 - “wherein enabling the definition of the access rights for the one or more other users comprises receiving, via a user interface of the location-aware cellular phone device, input that defines at least one user of the one or more other users from the one or more lists of others that is to have access to the visited geographic location data” .....	100
C.	Claim 35 - “wherein reporting the information indicating the visited geographic location data comprises automatically and passively reporting the information indicating the visited geographic location” .....	105
D.	Claim 36 - “wherein the definition of the access rights for the one or more other users to access the visited geographic location data comprises, for each other user of at least a subset of the one or more other users, receiving, via a user interface of the location-aware cellular phone device, input that defines one or more categories of the visited geographic location data to be shared with the other user” .....	106
E.	Claim 37 - “wherein the definition of the access rights for the one or more other users to access the visited geographic location data comprises, for each category of at least a subset of a plurality of categories of the visited geographic location data, receiving, via a user interface of the location-aware cellular phone device, input that defines at least one other user of the one or more other users to have access to the category of the visited geographic location data” .....	110
F.	Claim 38 - “wherein reporting the information indicating the visited geographic location data comprises reporting the	

	information indicating the visited geographic location data to the registration server” .....	112
G.	Claim 39 - “wherein the one or more lists of other users comprises an Instant Messaging buddy list of a user of the location-aware cellular phone device” .....	113
H.	Claim 40 - “wherein the one or more lists of other users comprises a contact list maintained by the location-aware cellular phone device” .....	114
I.	Claim 41 - “wherein the one or more lists of other users comprises an email contact list maintained by the location-aware cellular phone device” .....	115
J.	Claim 43 - “wherein the visited geographic location data further defines comments on one or more of the geographic locations visited by the location-aware cellular phone device” .....	116
K.	Claim 45 - “enabling receipt and display of advertising information” .....	117
L.	Claims 46 and 48.....	118
M.	Claim 47 - “in order to report the information indicating the visited geographic location data, the software further instructs the controller of the location-aware cellular phone device to automatically and passively report the information indicating the visited geographic location data” .....	123
XII.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 46 AND 47 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER <i>CALLEGARI</i> IN VIEW OF <i>FRIEDMAN</i> .....	123
A.	Claim 46 .....	123
B.	Claim 47 - “in order to report the information indicating the visited geographic location data, the software further instructs the controller of the location-aware cellular phone device to automatically and passively report the information indicating the visited geographic location data” .....	126

C.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Combined <i>Callegari and Friedman</i> .....	127
XIII.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 33-41, 43, and 45-48 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER <i>CALLEGARI</i> IN VIEW OF <i>ESCHENBACH</i> .....	132
A.	Claims 33, 46, and 48.....	132
B.	Claims 34-41, 43, 45, and 47 .....	137
C.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Combined <i>Callegari and Eschenbach</i> .....	137
XIV.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 46 AND 47 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER <i>CALLEGARI</i> IN VIEW OF <i>FRIEDMAN</i> AND <i>ESCHENBACH</i> .....	140
A.	Claim 46 .....	141
B.	Claim 47 - “in order to report the information indicating the visited geographic location data, the software further instructs the controller of the location-aware cellular phone device to automatically and passively report the information indicating the visited geographic location data” .....	142
C.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Combined <i>Callegari, Friedman, and Eschenbach</i> .....	142
XV.	CONCLUSION.....	144

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.