

Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of
U.S. Patent No. 10,716,793 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION,

Patent Owner

IPR2021-00406
U.S. Patent No. 10,716,793 B2
Issue Date: July 21, 2020

Title: Treprostinil Administration by Inhalation

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES REVIEW*
OF U.S. Patent No. 10,716,793 B2**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
D.	Service Information	2
E.	Power of Attorney	3
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
III.	REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 AND CONSIDERATIONS UNDER §§ 314(A) AND 325(D).....	3
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	3
B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	3
C.	Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	4
D.	Considerations under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	4
E.	Considerations under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	6
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '793 PATENT	11
A.	Brief Description of the '793 Patent	11
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the '793 Patent	12
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	12
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE GROUNDS.....	13
VII.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
VIII.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	14
A.	History of Inhalation Therapy	15
B.	Inhaled Treprostinil and Its Analogues	15
C.	Well Known Considerations for Inhalation Therapies	17
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	'212 Patent.....	19
B.	Voswinckel JESC	22
C.	Voswinckel JAHA.....	24
D.	Ghofrani.....	25
E.	Voswinckel 2006.....	27
X.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-8 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE '212 PATENT IN COMBINATION WITH VOSWINCKEL JESC AND VOSWINCKEL JAHA.....	30
A.	Motivation to Combine '212 Patent with Voswinckel JESC and Voswinckel JAHA With a Reasonable Expectation of Success.....	30
B.	The '212 Patent in combination with Voswinckel JESC and Voswinckel JAHA renders obvious claims 1-8	35
1.	Independent Claim 1	35
2.	Dependent Claim 2	41
3.	Dependent Claim 3	43
4.	Dependent Claim 4	43
5.	Dependent Claim 5	44
6.	Dependent Claim 6	45
7.	Dependent Claim 7	45
8.	Dependent Claim 8	45
XI.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1-8 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE '212 PATENT IN COMBINATION WITH VOSWINCKEL JESC	46
A.	Motivation to Combine With a Reasonable Expectation of Success	46
B.	The '212 Patent in combination with Voswinckel JESC renders obvious claims 1-8.....	47
1.	Independent Claim 1	47

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. Dependent Claims 2-8.....	49
XII. GROUND 3: CLAIM 1 IS ANTICIPATED BY GHOFRANI.....	50
A. Ghofrani Discloses Claim Element 1[a]	50
B. Ghofrani Discloses Claim Element 1[b]	51
C. Ghofrani Discloses Element 1[c]	51
D. Ghofrani Discloses Claim Element 1[d]	52
XIII. GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1, 3, AND 8 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER VOSWINCKEL JAHA IN COMBINATION WITH GHOFRANI.....	52
A. Motivation to Combine With A Reasonable Expectation of Success	52
B. Voswinckel JAHA in combination with Ghofrani renders obvious claims 1, 3, and 8	54
1. Independent Claim 1	54
2. Dependent Claim 3	56
3. Dependent Claim 8	57
XIV. GROUND 5: CLAIMS 1 AND 3 ARE ANTICIPATED BY VOSWINCKEL 2006.....	57
A. Independent Claim 1	57
1. Voswinckel 2006 discloses claim element 1[a].....	57
2. Voswinckel 2006 discloses claim element 1[b].....	58
3. Voswinckel 2006 discloses claim element 1[c].....	58
4. Voswinckel 2006 discloses claim element 1[d].....	58
B. Claim 3	59
XV. GROUND 6: CLAIMS 2 AND 4-8 ARE OBVIOUS OVER VOSWINCKEL 2006 IN COMBINATION WITH THE '212 PATENT	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	Motivation to Combine With a Reasonable Expectation of Success	59
B.	Voswinckel 2006 in combination with the '212 Patent renders obvious claims 2 and 4-8.....	60
1.	Dependent Claim 2	60
2.	Dependent Claim 4	61
3.	Dependent Claim 5	62
4.	Dependent Claim 6	63
5.	Dependent Claim 7	64
6.	Dependent Claim 8	64
XVI.	NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS EXIST	64
XVII.	CONCLUSION.....	68

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.