

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

TCT MOBILE (US), INC.; TCT MOBILE (US) HOLDINGS, INC.;  
HUIZHOU TCL MOBILE COMMUNICATION CO. LTD.; AND TCL  
COMMUNICATION, INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

FUNDAMENTAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. 8,624,550  
Issue Date: January 7, 2014  
Title: MULTIFUNCTIONAL CHARGER SYSTEM AND METHOD

Case No. IPR2021-\_\_\_\_\_

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT 8,624,550  
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-18  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §312 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. SUMMARY OF CHALLENGE 37 C.F.R. §42.104(B) .....	3
III. INSTITUTION SHOULD BE GRANTED; DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT APPROPRIATE.....	4
A. The <i>Apple/Fintiv</i> Factors Support Institution.....	4
B. The General Plastics Factors Support Institution.....	8
C. The Factors Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) Support Institution .....	9
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '550 PATENT .....	10
A. Disclosure of the '550 Patent .....	10
B. Priority Applications of the '550 Patent.....	13
1. The '021 Application .....	13
2. The '486 Application .....	14
3. Priority Date.....	15
C. Prosecution History of the '550 Patent .....	15
V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	16
VI. SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART .....	16
A. USB 1.1 Specification .....	16
B. Use of SE1 State in Various Contexts.....	26
1. US Patent 6,531,845 (“Kerai”) (Ex. 1012).....	27
2. US Patent 6,625,738 (“Shiga”) (Ex. 1013).....	27
3. US Patent Application Publication US20030135766 (“Zyskowski”) (Ex. 1014).....	29
4. US Patent 6,625,790 (“Casebolt”) (Ex. 1015).....	29
5. Cypress Semiconductor enCoReUSB Datasheet (Ex. 1016) .....	30
C. USB 2.0 Specification .....	30
D. Overview of Morita .....	31
E. Overview of Dougherty.....	34

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	36
A. “at least one associated condition specified in a USB specification” (claim 1) and “at least one USB Specification imposed limit” (claim10) .....	37
B. “abnormal data condition” (claims 4, 6, 7, 13, 15, and 16) .....	39
VIII. ANALYSIS.....	39
A. Morita In View Of Knowledge of a POSITA Renders The Subject Matter Of Claims 1-18 Obvious.....	39
1. Claim 1 .....	39
a. 1[a]. An adapter comprising .....	39
b. 1[b] a USB V <sub>BUS</sub> line and a USB communication path.....	40
c. 1[c] said adapter configured to supply current on the V <sub>BUS</sub> line without regard to at least one associated condition specified in a USB specification.....	43
(1) Supplying More than 100 mA or 500mA of Current.....	43
(2) Supplying More than 100mA of Current without Enumeration. ....	46
2. Claim 2: The adapter of claim 1 wherein said associated condition is a current limit. ....	47
3. Claim 3: The adapter of claim 1 wherein said current is supplied without USB enumeration.....	48
4. Claim 4: The adapter of claim 1 wherein said current is supplied in response to an abnormal data condition on said USB communication path .....	48
5. Claim 5: The adapter of claim 4 wherein said USB communication path includes a D+ line and a D- line.....	53
6. Claim 6: The adapter of claim 5 wherein said abnormal data condition is an abnormal data line condition on said D+ line and said D- line. ....	53

7.	Claim 7: The adapter of claim 6 wherein said abnormal data line condition is a logic high signal on each of said D+ and D- lines. ....	54
8.	Claim 8: The adapter of claim 7, wherein each said logic high signal is greater than 2V. ....	55
9.	Claim 9: The adapter of claim 2 wherein said current limit is 500 mA. ....	55
10.	Claim 10:.....	56
	a. 10[a]. An adapter comprising .....	56
	b. 10[b]. a USB V <sub>BUS</sub> line and a USB communication path.....	56
	c. 10[c]. said adapter configured to supply current on the V <sub>BUS</sub> line without regard to at least one USB Specification imposed limit. ....	56
11.	Claim 11: The adapter of claim 10, wherein said USB Specification imposed limit is a current limit. ....	57
12.	Claim 12: The adapter of claim 10, wherein said current is supplied without USB enumeration. ....	57
13.	Claim 13: The adapter of claim 10, wherein said current is supplied in response to an abnormal data condition on said USB communication path. ....	57
14.	Claim 14: The adapter of claim 13, wherein said USB communication path includes a D+ line and a D- line.....	58
15.	Claim 15: The adapter of claim 14, wherein said abnormal data condition is an abnormal data line condition on said D+ line and said D- line. ....	58
16.	Claim 16: The adapter of claim 15, wherein said abnormal data line condition is a logic high signal on each of said D+ and D- lines.....	58
17.	Claim 17: The adapter of claim 16, wherein each said logic high signal is greater than 2V. ....	58
18.	Claim 18: The adapter of claim 11, wherein said current limit is 500 mA. ....	59

B.	Dougherty In View Of the USB 1.1 Renders The Subject Matter Of Claims 1-2, 9, 10-11, And 18 Obvious. ....	59
1.	Claim 1 .....	59
a.	1[a]. An adapter comprising .....	59
b.	1[b] a USB V <sub>BUS</sub> line and a USB communication path.....	61
c.	1[c] said adapter configured to supply current on the V <sub>BUS</sub> line without regard to at least one associated condition specified in a USB specification.....	64
2.	Claim 2: The adapter of claim 1, wherein said associated condition is a current limit .....	67
3.	Claim 9: The adapter of claim 2 wherein said current limit is 500 mA. ....	68
4.	Claim 10:.....	68
a.	10[a] An adapter comprising .....	68
b.	10[b] a USB V <sub>BUS</sub> line and a USB communication path.....	68
c.	10[c] said adapter configured to supply current on the V <sub>BUS</sub> line without regard to at least one USB Specification imposed limit.....	69
5.	Claim 11: The adapter of claim 10 wherein said USB Specification imposed limit is a current limit.....	69
6.	Claim 18: The adapter of claim 11 wherein said current limit is 500 mA. ....	70
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	70
X.	MANDATORY NOTICES – 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C.	Lead/Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3)) .....	2
D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4)) .....	3
XI.	GROUND FOR STANDING – 37 C.F.R. §42.104(A) .....	3

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.