

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TCT MOBILE (US), INC.; TCT MOBILE (US) HOLDINGS, INC.;  
HUIZHOU TCL MOBILE COMMUNICATION CO. LTD.; AND TCL  
COMMUNICATION, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

FUNDAMENTAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL LLC,  
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00395 (Patent No. 7,239,111)

IPR2021-00410 (Patent No. 6,936,936)

IPR2021-00428 (Patent No. 8,624,550)

IPR2021-00597 (Patent No. 8,169,187)

IPR2021-00598 (Patent No. 8,232,766)

IPR2021-00599 (Patent No. 7,834,586)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Thursday, March 25, 2021

Reported by:

DEBBIE RAZAVI, CSR NO. 9989

Job No. 4517581

PAGES 1 - 12

1 APPEARANCES (TELEPHONIC):

2 ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES:

3 ARTHUR M. PESLAK

4 JON B. TORNQUIST

5 LYNN PETTIGREW

6 JO-ANNE KOKOSKI

7 RAE LYNN GUEST

8 BRIAN MOORE

9 FOR PETITIONER:

10 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP

11 BY: JEFFREY JOHNSON, ESQUIRE

12 JEREMY LANG, ESQUIRE

13 609 Main Street, 40th Floor

14 Houston, Texas 77002-3106

15 (713) 658-6450

16 jj@orrick.com

17 jlang@orrick.com

18 FOR PATENT OWNER:

19 IRELL & MANELLA LLP

20 BY: HONG ANNITA ZHONG, ESQUIRE

21 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900

22 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276

23 (310) 203-7183

24 hzhong@irell.com

25

Page 2

Veritext Legal Solutions

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

THURSDAY, MARCH 25, 2021

10:15 A.M.

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Welcome to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. This is Judge Peslak. On the line with me are Judge Pettigrew, Judge Guest, Judge Kokoski, Judge Tornquist and Judge Brian Moore.

We are on the record in IPR2021-00395, IPR2021-00410, IPR2021-00428, IPR2021-00597, IPR2021-00598 and IPR2021-00599.

Whose on the line for petitioner?

MR. JOHNSON: Hi, Your Honor. This is Jeffrey Johnson. I'm counsel for petitioner. We may have one other person on.

THE COURT: Do you have a court reporter on?

THE REPORTER: Yes, this is Debbie Razavi, the court reporter.

THE COURT: Who is on the line for patent owner?

MS. ZHONG: Your Honor, this is Annita Zhong on behalf of patent owner.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

And, Mr. Johnson, did you arrange for the

1 court reporter?

2 MR. JOHNSON: I did not, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Ms. Zhong, did you arrange for the  
4 court reporter?

5 MS. ZHONG: Yes. Patent owner did arrange for  
6 the court reporter, and we will file the transcript when  
7 we receive it.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, I just wanted to make  
9 sure.

10 The reason we are here today is the Board  
11 received an E-mail from Mr. Johnson dated March 23, 2021  
12 requesting leave to file a corrected petition in each of  
13 the six cases to correct what petitioner deems to be a  
14 clerical error.

15 And just to sort of summarize, each of the  
16 petitions relied on a Japanese patent application  
17 referred to as Morita in the 395 case, Exhibit 1017.  
18 Petitioner wants to change two sentences in the petition  
19 on Page 28. First change is to specifically the date,  
20 publication date, for Morita of June 16, 2000. And then  
21 the next sentence currently reads "Morita is prior art  
22 under at least Section 102-B," and petitioner wants to  
23 change that sentence to say "Morita is prior art under  
24 Section 102-A and 102-B."

25 Mr. Johnson, we are a little puzzled why this

1 change is necessary. The publication date is printed on  
2 the front of Morita, and it seems like that second  
3 sentence you did put patent owner on notice that you may  
4 be relying on something other than Section 102-B.

5 So why don't you explain, we want to make sure  
6 we are not missing anything here, so why don't you  
7 explain why you think this change is necessary.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Well, Your Honor, that's exactly  
9 right. We didn't really think it was necessary, but we  
10 just didn't want a technical argument that because we  
11 didn't affirmatively state the publication date or list  
12 out 102-A and B that they would try to just have a  
13 technical argument about that it was not prior art or  
14 that we didn't prove it was prior art and have our  
15 petition thrown out. So we just thought the better  
16 course would be to reach out to them and see if they  
17 were going to challenge it as prior art, and if they  
18 were then we would file to correct.

19 In response to our reaching out last week when  
20 we recognized the issue, they didn't respond one way or  
21 the other whether or not they were going to challenge it  
22 as prior art. They just said that they found it to be  
23 substantive and that we needed to re-file, so at that  
24 point we were left with no option but to just seek to  
25 correct it. We think it's pretty obvious what it is

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.