

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-00381  
Patent 10,491,982

---

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION.....6

II. THE PRIOR ART RENDERS CLAIM 1 OBVIOUS .....8

    A. A POSITA Would Have Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success  
    In Combining The Prior Art .....8

        1. A POSITA Would Have Been Able To Select A Proper  
        Transducer.....10

        2. Rosener’s Disclosure of A/D Converter and Buffer Does Not  
        Cause Difficulty In Implementing The Combination Earphones  
        .....10

        3. Rosener Discloses Data Source 922 As A Microphone/Sensor  
        In An Earphone .....11

        4. A POSITA Would Have Had A Reasonable Expectation of  
        Success in Using Circuit Boards in Combining Rosener and  
        Hankey .....12

    B. Rosener-Hankey Combination Teaches A Microphone In Each Of The  
    Two Earphones .....13

    C. Blair Declaration Does Not Disturb The Rosener-Hankey-Dyer  
    Combination .....14

        1. The Blair Declaration Is Unsubstantiated And Fails To Provide  
        Substantial Evidence .....15

        2. Rosener-Hankey-Dyer Combination Implicates An Operable  
        Design .....18

III. ROSENER-HANKEY-HAUPT COMBINATION TEACHES THE  
“REMOTE NETWORK SERVER” OF CLAIM 4 .....18

    A. The Petition Discussed A Master/Slave Configuration That Addressed  
    The Features Of Claim 4 .....19

    B. The Grounds Advanced in This Proceeding Set This Proceeding Apart  
    From IPR2021-00546.....21

IV. ROSENER-HANKEY-PRICE COMBINATION TEACHES THE  
FIRMWARE UPGRADE OF CLAIM 14.....21

    A. Koss Improperly Imports Teachings from the Specification To Claim  
    14 .....21

B.	A POSITA Would Have Understood How To Implement The Rosener-Hankey Combination To Avoid Any Power Consumption Issues Relating to Firmware Upgrades .....	22
V.	ROSENER-HANKEY-PAULSON COMBINATION TEACHES THE MICROPHONE ACTIVATION RECITED IN CLAIM 15. ....	24
VI.	ROSENER-HANKEY COMBINATION TEACHES THE “DIGITAL SIGNAL PROCESSOR” OF CLAIM 19. ....	26
A.	Koss Improperly Narrows Rosener’s Disclosure .....	26
B.	Koss’s Interpretation of Rosener Contradicts Its Disclosure of “Signal Processing Functions” .....	27
C.	Koss Improperly Construes “Digital Signal Processor” In Attempting To Distinguish A DAC From A DSP .....	28
D.	Rosener Discloses Signal Conditioning Circuits That Perform The Functions Patent Owner Asserts Are Performed By A DSP .....	29
VII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	30
A.	Koss Has Not Established <i>Prima Facie</i> Nexus.....	31
B.	Unclaimed Features In The AirPods Products Confirms Lack of Nexus .....	31
C.	Koss Fails To Show That The Secondary Considerations Evidence Is The Direct Result Of The Unique Characteristics Of The Challenged Claims.....	32
VIII.	CONCLUSION .....	33

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,491,982 to Koss, et al. (“the ’982 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’982 patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Jeremy R. Cooperstock
APPLE-1004	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2008/0076489 (“Rosener”)
APPLE-1005	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2008/0166001 (“Hankey”)
APPLE-1006	U.S. Pat. No. 8,031,900 (“Dyer”)
APPLE-1007	U.S. Pat. No. 7,627,289 (“Huddart”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Pat. App. No. 60,879,177 (“’177 Provisional”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2006/0026304 (“Price”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Pat. No. 7,551,940 (“Paulson”)
APPLE-1011	U.S. Pat. No. 5,371,454 (“Marek”)
APPLE-1012	U.S. Pat. No. 7,027,311 (“Vanderelli”)
APPLE-1013	RESERVED
APPLE-1014	Plaintiff KOSS Corporations’ Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
APPLE-1015	Example Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case
APPLE-1016	Agreed [Proposed] Scheduling Order, <i>KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)

- APPLE-1017 Katie Buehler, “Texas Patent Trials Halted Due to COVID-19 Spike,” Law360, *available at* <https://www.law360.com/ip/articles/1330855/texas-patent-trials-halted-due-to-covid-19-spike>.
- APPLE-1018 Scott McKeown, *District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials*, *available at* <https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-after-ptab-discretionary-denials/> (Jul. 24, 2020)
- APPLE-1019 Transcript of November 5, 2020 Telephonic Hearing from *Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, Civil Action No. A-19-CV-1238 (WDTX)
- APPLE-1020 Certified Translation of WO 2006/042749 (“Haupt”)
- APPLE-1021 Declaration of Seth Sproul ISO Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
- APPLE-1022 Updated Declaration of Seth Sproul
- APPLE-1023 Declaration of Michael Pieja ISO Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
- APPLE-1024 Supplemental Declaration of Jeremy R. Cooperstock
- APPLE-1025 Joseph C. McAlexander III Deposition Transcript, Dec. 14, 2021
- APPLE-1026 *Apple Inc. v. Koss Corporation*, IPR2021-00546, Pap. 10 (PTAB Sep. 7, 2021)
- APPLE-1027 U.S. Pat. No. 5,733,598 to Sera (“Sera”)
- APPLE-1028 U.S. Pat. No. 7,281,328 to Lee (“Lee”)
- APPLE-1029 U.S. Pat. No. 7,453,045 to Myoung (“Myoung”)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.