

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

CASE: IPR2021-00381
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,491,982

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	3
A.	Summary of the '982 Patent.....	3
B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	5
C.	Petitioner's Invalidation Grounds and Evidence	7
1.	Rosener.....	8
2.	Hankey	10
3.	Dyer.....	11
4.	Cooperstock's Testimony	11
III.	PETITIONER FAILED TO SHOW THAT INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS.....	12
A.	Petitioner Failed to Show that a POSITA Would Have a Reasonable Expectation of Success Achieving Petitioner's Proposed Combinations.....	12
1.	Skill Level of a POSITA.....	13
2.	Modifying Rosener in view of Hankey is Beyond the Skill Level of a POSITA with a Bachelor's Degree in Computer Science and Two Years of Experience with Local Area Networks	14
B.	Petitioner's Proposed Combinations Fail to Teach Two Wireless Earphones, with Each Having a Microphone.....	21
1.	Rosener Does Not Unequivocally Teach that Each Earphone Can Include a Microphone.....	21

2.	A POSITA Would Not Include a Microphone in Each of Rosener’s Earphones in Light of the Teachings of Rosener and Hankey (and Dyer).....	32
C.	Petitioner Failed to Show that Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious under Ground 1(A)(i)	34
D.	The Commercial Success of Petitioner’s Products Confirms that the Challenged Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious	40
1.	Background	40
2.	Legal Principles.....	41
3.	The AirPods Products are a Commercial Success	43
4.	There is a Nexus Between the AirPods Products and Claim 1	44
IV.	DEPENDENT CHALLENGED CLAIMS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS FOR REASONS ABOVE AND BEYOND THE NONOBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM 1	46
A.	Petitioner Failed to Show that Claims 4 and 5 Would Have Been Obvious to a POSITA	46
B.	Petitioner Failed to Show that Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious	52
1.	Background on Firmware and Firmware Upgrades.....	52
2.	Petitioner Has Not Shown that Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious to a POSITA	53
C.	Petitioner Failed to Show that Claim 15 Would Have Been Obvious to a POSITA	57
D.	Petitioner Failed to Show that Claims 19 and 20 Would Have Been Obvious to a POSITA	60
E.	Commercial Success Applies to Dependent Claims 4, 5, 14, 15, 19 and 20	65

V. CONCLUSION.....66

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , IPR2021-00546, Paper 10 (PTAB Sept. 7, 2021).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	44
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	42, 44
<i>Ecolochem, Inc. v. S. Cal. Edison Co.</i> , 227 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	42
<i>Eli Lilly and Co. v. Teva Pharms. Int’l GmbH</i> , 8 F.4th 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	20
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 994 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	43
<i>Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Ray-O-Vac Co.</i> , 321 U.S. 275 (1944).....	2, 42, 45
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	41
<i>Honeywell Int’l Inc. v. Mexichem Amanco Holding S.A.</i> , 865 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	19
<i>In re Huang</i> , 100 F.3d 135 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	42
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	42, 45
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	42

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.