# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC., Petitioner, V. KOSS CORPORATION, Patent Owner. CASE: IPR2021-00381 U.S. PATENT NO. 10,491,982

# PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION				
II.	BACKGROUND				
III.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION BECAUSE OF CO- PENDING LITIGATION INVOLVING THE '982 PATENT				
	A.	Factor 1: The District Court Has Not Issued a Stay5			
	В.	Factor 2: Proximity of the Scheduled Trial Date to the Board's Statutory Deadline for Written Decision			
	C.	Factor 3: The Parties and Court Will Have Invested Substantial Resources in the Texas Litigation Prior to the Institution Decision10			
	D.	Factor 4: The Issues Raised in the Petition Overlap Substantially with Issues Raised in the Texas Litigation			
	E.	Factor 5: Petitioner is a Defendant in the Texas Litigation			
	F.	Factor 6: Other Considerations That Influence the Board's Exercise of Discretion Weigh in Favor of Denying Institution			
	G.	Summary			
IV.	PETI PREV	BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION BECAUSE THE TION PRESENTS SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME ART VIOUSLY CONSIDERED BY THE OFFICE AND HAS NOT IONSTRATED A MATERIAL ERROR BY THE OFFICE21			
	A.	Petitioner Relies on Substantially the Same Art Overcome During Examination			
		1. Becton, Dickinson Factor (a): The Asserted Art and Prior Art Evaluated during Examination are Highly Similar and The Differences Show the Evaluated Prior Art is More Relevant26			
		2. Becton, Dickinson Factor (b): The Relied Upon Teachings in Hankey Are Cumulative Of Teachings in Johnson and			



			Glezerman and the Relied Upon Teachings in Dyer Are Cumulative Of Teachings in Rosener and Johnson	33
		3.	Becton, Dickinson Factor (d): The Manner in Which Petitione Relies on the Prior Art Overlaps with the Examiner's Assessment of the Closest Prior Art, Rosener and Johnson	
		4.	The Other Relied-Upon References Do Not Cure the Deficiencies of Rosener, Hankey and Dyer With Respect to Claim 1	36
	В.		oner Fails to Make a Showing of Material Error by the niner	38
		1.	Becton, Dickinson Factor (c) – Rosener and Johnson Were Comprehensively Evaluated During Examination	38
		2.	Becton, Dickinson Factor (e) – Petitioner Has Not Sufficiently Pointed Out How the Examiner Erred In His Evaluation of the Asserted Prior Art	e
		3.	Becton, Dickinson Factor (f) – Petitioner Does Not Present Additional Evidence or Facts To Warrant Reconsideration Of The Rosener-Johnson Combination Already Considered And Rejected by the Examiner	
V.	CON	CLUS	ION	44



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(s) Cases Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geraete GmbH. IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020)......passim Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20, 2020) ......passim Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corp., Aquestive Therapeutics, Inc. v. Neurelis, Inc., IPR2019-00450, Paper 8 (PTAB Aug. 1, 2019)......42, 44 Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017) ......passim Biofrontera Inc. v. DUSA Pharms., Inc., IPR2018-01585, Paper 10 (PTAB Feb. 26, 2019)......20 Cellco P'ship v. Huawei Tech. Co., IPR2020-01356, Paper 13 (PTAB Mar. 5, 2021)......15 Cisco Sys., Inc v. Monarch Networking Sols. LLC, IPR2020-01227, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 4, 2021)......9, 16 Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd. DaVita Inc. v. Virginia Mason Memorial Hospital, 981 F.3d 679 (9th Cir. 2020) ......41 Glaxosmithkline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) LLC v. Cipla Ltd.IPR2020-00369, Paper 7 (PTAB July 31, 2020)......25



Google LLC v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC, IPR2020-00724, Paper 19 (PTAB Aug. 31, 2020)	5
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	14
Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	3
Intel Corp. v. VLSI Tech. LLC, IPR2019-01192, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2020)	4
KeyMe, LLC v. Hillman Grp., Inc., IPR2020-01028, Paper 12 (PTAB Jan. 13, 2021)	15
MediaTek Inc. v. Nippon Tel. and Tel. Corp., IPR2020-01607, Paper 12 (PTAB April 2, 2021)	5
Micron Tech., Inc. v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1, IPR2020-01008, Paper 10 (PTAB Dec. 7, 2020)	7
In re Mouttet, 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	14
NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018)	1
Robert Bosch Tool Corp. v. SD3, LLC, IPR2016-01754, Paper 15 (PTAB Mar. 22, 2017)	37
Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Ancora Techs., Inc., IPR2020-01184, Paper 11 (PTAB Jan. 5, 2021)	
Sand Revolution v. Cont'l Intermodal GrpTrucking LLC, IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (PTAB June 16, 2020)	5, 7, 17
SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	20
SK hynix v. Netlist, IPR2020-01421 Paper 10 (Mar. 16, 2021)	11



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

