# **PohlmanUSA®** Court Reporting and Litigation Services

Sumit Roy, PH.D.

December 6, 2021

Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation

vs.

**UNM Rainforest Inn** 



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED and ZYXEL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION,

Petitioners,

v.

UNM RAINFOREST INNOVATIONS,

Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00375 Patent No. 8,265,096 B2

-and-

PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00377 Patent No. 8,249,204 B2

-and-

PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00582 Patent No. 8,565,326 B2

REMOTE DEPOSITION OF SUMIT ROY, PH.D.

Monday, December 6, 2021

7:14 a.m. PST/10:14 a.m. CST

Reported by: Cindy L. Sebo, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, RSA, California Shorthand Reporter #14409, NYRCR, NYACR, Remote Counsel Reporter, LiveDeposition Authorized Reporter

Job Number: 258664

DOCKE.

ARM

Δ

PohlmanUSA Court Reporting

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

		Page 2	Page 4
1	INDEX OF EX	AMINATION	1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	SUMIT ROY,		BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	4
4	Mr. Schmidt	9	5 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED and ZYXEL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION,
5	Mr. Detrixhe	109	6 Petitioners.
6			7
7			v. 8
8	CERTIFICATE OF REPOR	RTER 113	UNM RAINFOREST INNOVATIONS,
9	ERRATA	114	Patent Owner.
10 11	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF	WITNESS 117	11 PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00375 Patent No. 8,265,096 B2
12			12 -and-
13			13 PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00377
14			14 Patent No. 8,249,204 B2
15			15         -and-           16         PTAB Case No. IPR2021-00582
16			Patent No. 8,565,326 B2
17			18 Remote Deposition of SUMIT ROY, PH.D., taken by Counsel for Patent Owner, held remotely before
18			19 Cindy L. Sebo, Registered Merit Court Reporter,
19			20 Certified Real-Time Reporter, Registered 20 Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
20			Certified Court Reporter, Certified LiveNote 21 Reporter, Real-Time Systems Administrator, California
21			Shorthand Reporter #14409, New York Realtime 22 Certified Reporter, New York Association Certified
22			Reporter, Remote Counsel Reporter, LiveDeposition
23			23 Authorized Reporter, Notary Public, stenographic reporter located in Bowie, Maryland, on Monday,
24			24 December 6, 2021, from 7:14 a.m. PST/10:14 a.m. CST to 10:48 a.m. PST/1:48 p.m. CST when were present on
25			25 behalf of the respective parties:
		Page 3	Page 5
1 2 3 4 5 6	Monday, Deceml CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter,	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800
2 3 4 5	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth NYRCR, NYACR, Rei	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter,	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, norized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemil CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, norized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, iorized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       8111 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhibi DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, norized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth, NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19 58	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com         16       713.469.3800         17       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications Corporation:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013 Exhibit 1016	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, norized Reporter its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com         16       17         18       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications Corporation:         19       LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013 Exhibit 1016	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19 58	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         8       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com         16       17         18       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications Corporation:         19       LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG         20       VICTORIA DER-LUNG HAO, ESQUIRE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013 Exhibit 1016	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19 58	1       APPEARANCES:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         12       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         13       Houston, Texas 77002-6110         14       713.469.3800         15       pchassman@reedsmith.com         16       17         17       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications Corporation:         19       LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG         10       VICTORIA DER-LUNG HAO, ESQUIRE         11       17220 Newhope Street, Suite 101-102
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013 Exhibit 1016	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19 58	APPEARANCES:         (All via Zoom Video Communications)         Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         REED SMITH LLP         JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         101 Second Street, Suite 1800         San Francisco, California 94105         415.659.4856         jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         -and-         PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         811 Main Street, Suite 1700         Houston, Texas 77002-6110         713.469.3800         pchassman@reedsmith.com         Corporation:         LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG         VICTORIA DER-LUNG HAO, ESQUIRE         17220 Newhope Street, Suite 101-102         Fountain Valley, California 92708
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUMIT ROY, I Qualcomm and Zyxel Monday, Decemi CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, RSA, California Shorth. NYRCR, NYACR, Rei LiveDeposition Auth (Previously Marked Exhib DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER Exhibit 1002 Exhibit 1006 Exhibit 1010 Exhibit 1012 Exhibit 1013 Exhibit 1016	HIBITS PH.D. v. UNM Rainforest Inn ber 6, 2021 CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, and Reporter #14409, mote Counsel Reporter, its Retained by Counsel.) PAGE 11 85 14 58 19 58	1       A P P E A R A N C E S:         2       (All via Zoom Video Communications)         3       Attorney for Petitioners, Qualcomm Incorporated and Zyxel Communications Corporation:         4       REED SMITH LLP         5       JONATHAN I. DETRIXHE, ESQUIRE         6       101 Second Street, Suite 1800         7       San Francisco, California 94105         8       415.659.4856         9       jdetrixhe@reedsmith.com         10       -and-         11       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         811 Main Street, Suite 1700       1         14       PETER J. CHASSMAN, ESQUIRE         15       811 Main Street, Suite 1700         16       713.469.3800         17       pchassman@reedsmith.com         16       17         17       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications Corporation:         18       Attorney for Petitioner Zyxel Communications         17       LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG         20       VICTORIA DER-LUNG HAO, ESQUIRE         17220 Newhope Street, Suite 101-102       Fountain Valley, California 92708

PohlmanUSA Court Reporting

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 6	Page 8
	10 <b>0</b> 0
1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):	2 PROCEEDINGS
2 Attorney for Patent Owner: 3 DIMUROGINSBERG	3
4 HENNING SCHMIDT, ESQUIRE	4 Monday, December 6, 2021 7:14 a.m. PST/10:14 a.m. CST
	5 -000-
<ol> <li>1101 King Street, Suite 610</li> <li>Alexandria, Virginia 22314</li> </ol>	6 SUMIT ROY, PH.D.,
7 703.684.4333	7 after having been first duly sworn remotely
8 hschmidt@dimuro.com	8 by the certified stenographer to tell the
9	9 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
10	10 truth, testified remotely as follows:
11	10 uturi, testineu remotely as follows. 11 -000-
12	
12	
14	13 The witness is sworn, and I'll go
15	14     on mute now.       15     MR. SCHMIDT: So this is
16 17	16 Henning Schmidt with UNM Rainforest
17	17 Innovations representing and the law
19	18 firm DiMuroGinsberg.
	19 Jonathan, do you want to announce
20	20 yourself and whoever is with you?
21 22	21 MR. DETRIXHE: Sure. This is
	22 Jonathan Detrixhe from Reed Smith,
23 24	23 representing Petitioners, Qualcomm
24	2.4 Incorporated and Zyxel Communications
23	25 Corporation.
Page 7	Page 9
1 STIPULATIONS	1 Joining me is my colleague
2	2 Pete Chassman, and also attending is
3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	3 Victoria Hao of Zyxel.
4 AGREED by and between counsel no party to the	4oOo
5 litigation will object to the remote	5 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PATENT OWNER
6 deposition on the grounds that the certified	6oOo
7 stenographer may not have the legal authority	7 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
8 to swear in the witness.	8 Q. Good morning, Dr. Roy.
9	9 How are you?
10 FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED	10 A. Good morning. I'm fine. Thanks,
11 that in lieu of the oath administered in	11 Henning.
12 person, the witness declares the testimony in	12 Q. Would you please state your whole
13 this matter under the penalty of perjury.	13 name for the record?
14	14 A. It's Sumit Roy.
15 FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED	15 Q. And where are you located?
16 that the certified stenographer is not	16 A. I'm in Seattle, Washington.
17 physically present in the deposition room and	17 Q. Do you understand that you're
18 will be reporting this deposition remotely.	18 testifying under oath today?
19	19 <b>A. Yes, I do.</b>
20 FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED	20 Q. So the meaning of that is you're
21 all parties and their counsel consent to this	21 testifying just as if you were in open court or
arrangement and waive any objections to this	22 testifying to a United States agency.
23 manner of reporting.	23 Do you understand?
24	24 <b>A. Understood.</b>
25	
20	2.5 Q. And you're under an obligation to

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

PohlmanUSA Court Reporting

DOCKET A L A R M

	Page 10		Page 12
1	tell the truth to the best of your abilities.	1	copy?
2	Do you understand that?	2	Yes. Yes, this is my declaration.
3	A. Correct, yes.	3	Q. Okay. Is it complete?
4	Q. Okay. And can you testify accurately	4	A. Yes. Yes, it is.
5	today?	5	Q. Okay. As you sit here today right
6	A. Yes.	6	now, are you aware of anything that is incorrect
7	Q. Any reason that may interfere with	7	in this declaration?
8	your ability to testify accurately?	8	A. Not I'm not aware of anything
9	A. No, there isn't.	9	that's incorrect.
10	Q. So we're here today to talk about	10	Q. Okay. Did you write this
	-	11	declaration?
11	three IPRs; specifically, IPR2021-00375, -377 and -582.	12	A. Yes, I did.
12		13	Q. How much time did you spend writing
13	Is that your understanding as well?	14	it?
14	A. Yes.	15	A. Several iterations. I would estimate
15	Q. All right. And you are the expert	16	maybe about 50 hours or so.
16	witness who's written a declaration in these	17	Q. Okay. And you are testify
17	three proceedings, right?	18	prepared to testify regarding this declaration
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. Okay. Do you I understand that	19	today?
20	you have the exhibits all of your declarations	20	A. Yes, I am.
21	and the exhibits thereto in front of you?	21	Q. Have you prepared for this
22	A. Yes. I have clean, hard copies.	22	deposition?
23	Q. Okay. Let's start with your		A. Yes, I did.
24	declaration in IPR-582.	24 25	Q. How did you prepare?
25	A. Okay.	2.5	A. Discussions with counsel prior
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 Would you mind referring me to the	1	Page 13 prior to today.
1 2	_	1 2	2
	Would you mind referring me to the		prior to today.
2	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent?	2	prior to today. Q. Anything else?
2 3	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent.	2 3	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration
2 3 4	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you.	2 3 4	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.
2 3 4 5	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it.	2 3 4 5	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits. Q. Anything else?
2 3 4 5 6	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is	2 3 4 5 6	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits. Q. Anything else? A. Referred to the patents, the prior
2 3 4 5 6 7	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits. Q. Anything else? A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it. Q. That's it. Okay
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prior to today. Q. Anything else? A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits. Q. Anything else? A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it. Q. That's it. Okay A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call: Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. -cOO (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.) -cOO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical experts about this subject matter?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent?Q. Yes. The '326 patent.A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it.Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.) oOoBY MR. SCHMIDT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical experts about this subject matter?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.) oOo BY MR. SCHMIDT: Q. Did I say your name correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical experts about this subject matter?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. How long did you guys prepare for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent?Q. Yes. The '326 patent.A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it.Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. $0Oo$ (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.) $0Oo$ BY MR. SCHMIDT: Q. Did I say your name correctly?A. Yep, that's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits.</li> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical experts about this subject matter?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. How long did you guys prepare for with the attorneys?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Would you mind referring me to the actual patent? Q. Yes. The '326 patent. A. '326. Yes, thank you. I have it. Q. Okay. So this document is entitled just so we identify it on the record Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent Number 8,565,326; the PTAB case number is IPR2021-00582 and the title of this document, specifically, is Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy, Ph.D., Exhibit 1002. oOo (Whereupon, Exhibit Deposition Exhibit 1002 being previously marked for identification, was handed to the witness.) oOo BY MR. SCHMIDT: Q. Did I say your name correctly? A. Yep, that's fine. Q. So can we take a look at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>prior to today.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. And I read through the declaration and all the associated exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Anything else?</li> <li>A. Referred to the patents, the prior art, which are part of the exhibits. <ul> <li>That's that's it.</li> <li>Q. That's it.</li> <li>Okay</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q whom did you meet with?</li> <li>A. Counsel as on this call:</li> <li>Pete Chassman, Jonathan Detrixhe.</li> <li>Q. Anyone else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other technical experts about this subject matter?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. How long did you guys prepare forwith the attorneys?</li> <li>A. Over a couple of days.</li> </ul>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

PohlmanUSA Court Reporting

DOCKE.

Δ

R

Μ

Α

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.