

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,

Petitioner,

v.

UNM RAINFOREST INNOVATIONS,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00375

Patent No. 8,265,096 B2

**PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO
PATENT OWNER'S REVISED MOTION TO AMEND**

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	P.O.’S RM TA FAILS TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PILOT PROGRAM	1
	A. P.O.’s RM TA Was Not Authorized Because It Does Not Propose New Substitute Claims	1
	B. If Considered At All, P.O.’s RM TA Is a Reply and Should be Denied Because Attempted Showing of Written Description Support in Reply is Too Late	3
III.	THE RM TA’S ATTEMPTED SHOWING OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT IS DEFICIENT ON THE MERITS	7
	A. The RM TA does not meet P.O.’s Burden of Production	7
	B. The RM TA makes no effort to show support for most claim elements to the ’798 Application	9
IV.	P.O.’S PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE FOR THE SAME REASON PRESENTED IN THE PETITION.....	10
	A. Correlation between language in Proposed Amended Claims and original claims	10
	B. The parties agree that “a shorter symbol period inherently implies that there are more pilot symbols per unit of time.”	11
	C. The proposed amendment does not add any patentably distinct limitation	12
	D. The Proposed Amended Claims are unpatentable over Talukdar in view of Li (Ground 3)	17
V.	THE BOARD SHOULD NOT GIVE ANY WEIGHT TO P.O.’S UNSUPPORTED AND CONCLUSORY ATTORNEY ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE PATENTABILITY OF THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS	24

;

VI. CONCLUSION.....25

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,265,096 (“the ’096 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy (“Roy”)
Ex. 1003	<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking LLC</i> , Paper 24, IPR2019-01393 (PTAB June 16, 2020)
Ex. 1004	Eleventh Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under Exigent Circumstances Created by the Covid 19 Pandemic (W.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2020)
Ex. 1005	Excerpts from JEFFREY G. ANDREWS ET AL., FUNDAMENTALS OF WIMAX (2007)
Ex. 1006	Five Criteria Statement for P802.16m PAR Proposal, IEEE 802.16-06/055r3 (Nov. 15, 2006)
Ex. 1007	IEEE 802.16m System Requirements, IEEE 802.16m-07/002r4 (Oct. 19, 2007)
Ex. 1008	Listing of Challenged ’096 Patent Claims
Ex. 1009	U.S. Provisional App. No. 60/929,798
Ex. 1010	Excerpts from ’096 Patent File History
Ex. 1011	Claim Construction Order in <i>STC.UNM v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 1-20-cv-00351 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 9, 2020), ECF No. 69 (“Markman Order”)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0067377 A1 (“Talukdar”)
Ex. 1013	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/956,031 (“Talukdar Provisional”)
Ex. 1014	Canadian Patent Application No. 2 581 166 A1 (“Wang”)
Ex. 1015	WIPO Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation, “Examples and Kinds of Patent Documents” (May 2016)
Ex. 1016	U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0155387 A1 (“Li”)
Ex. 1017	U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0104174 A1 (“Nystrom”)
Ex. 1018	U.S. Pub. No. 2008/0095195 (“Ahmadi”)
Ex. 1019	Excerpts from William Stallings, WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKS (2D ED. 2005)

Ex. 1020	U.S. Patent No. 7,460,466 B2 (“Lee”)
Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent No. 8,462,611 B2 (“Ma”)
Ex. 1022	Fixed, nomadic, portable and mobile applications for 802.16-2004 and 802.16e WiMAX networks (November 2005)
Ex. 1023	Mohammad Azizul Hasan, Performance Evaluation of WiMAX/IEEE 802.16 OFDM Physical Layer (June 2007)
Ex. 1024	U.S. Patent No. 7,710,910 B2 (“Ode”)
Ex. 1025	IEEE 802.16m System Requirements, IEEE 802.16m-07/002r4 (Jan. 12, 2007)
Ex. 1026	U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0037215 A1 (“Hwang”)
Ex. 1027	Yasamin Mostofi, <i>ICI Mitigation for Pilot-Aided OFDM Mobile Systems</i> (IEEE, Vol. 4, No. 2, Mar. 2005)
Ex. 1028	Declaration of Dr. Robert Akl
Ex. 1029	Scott McKeown, <i>District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials</i> , Patents Post Grant (available at: https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-after-ptab-discretionary-denials/)
Ex. 1030	Declaration of Jonah D. Mitchell in Support of Petitioners’ Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission
Ex. 1031	Declaration of Christine M. Morgan in Support of Petitioners’ Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission
Ex. 1032	ITRI’s Recorded Assignment, Reel/Frame No. 045200/0980
Ex. 1033	Sino Matrix’s Recorded Assignment, Reel/Frame No. 021275/0468
Ex. 1034	UNM’s Recorded Assignment, Reel/Frame No. 046854/0173
Ex. 1035	June 22, 2021 hearing transcript before Judge Albright in UNM’s litigations against Dell and ASUSTek.
Ex. 1036	Printout from Public PAIR showing the correspondence address of record for the ’096 patent
Ex. 1037	Excerpt of ’096 file history showing ITRI’s prosecution counsel
Ex. 1038	February 9, 2022 Deposition Transcript of Dr. Branimir Vojcic for IPR2021-00375
Ex. 1039	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Sumit Roy (“Supp. Dec.”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.