

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

~~ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,~~ STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,

Patent Owner.

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,651,134**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	3
III. FEE AUTHORIZATION.....	4 <u>5</u>
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	4 <u>5</u>
V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
VI. THE CHALLENGED PATENT.....	6 <u>7</u>
VII. PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	9 <u>10</u>
VIII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	11 <u>12</u>
IX. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	12 <u>13</u>
A. “non-interruptible” (claims 1, 16, 17).....	12 <u>13</u>
B. “means for reading data . . . / means for generating a predetermined number of said internal address signals” (claim 16).....	13 <u>14</u>
C. “external address signal” (claims 1, 13, 15-17).....	15 <u>16</u>
D. “burst” (claim 2).....	16 <u>17</u>
E. “internal address signal” (claims 1, 2, 12, 15-17).....	16 <u>17</u>
F. “logic circuit” (claims 1, 12).....	16 <u>17</u>
G. “predetermined number of [said] internal address signals” (claims 1-4, 12, 15-17).....	16 <u>17</u>
H. “memory” (claims 1, 8-9, 14, 17).....	17 <u>18</u>
I. “address signal” (claims 1-4, 10-13, 16-17).....	17 <u>18</u>
X. SPECIFIC EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	18 <u>19</u>
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 8, 12-13, 16, and 17 are anticipated by US 6,115,280 (“Wada”).....	18 <u>19</u>
1. Wada.....	18 <u>19</u>
2. Independent Claim 1.....	24 <u>25</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
3. Dependent Claim 2	31 <u>32</u>
4. Dependent Claim 3	33 <u>34</u>
5. Dependent Claim 8	35
6. Dependent Claim 12	36
7.6. Dependent Claim 13 <u>12</u>	37
<u>7.</u> <u>Dependent Claim 13</u>	<u>38</u>
8. Independent Claim 16	40 <u>41</u>
9. Independent Claim 17	46 <u>47</u>
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-4, 8, 12-14, 16, and 17 are obvious over Wada in view of the knowledge of a POSITA	47 <u>48</u>
1. Independent Claims 1 and 16	47 <u>48</u>
2. Dependent Claims 2-3, 8, 12-13, and 17	48 <u>49</u>
3. Dependent Claim 4	48 <u>49</u>
4. Dependent Claim 14	49 <u>50</u>
C. Ground 2a: Claims 1-4, 8, 12-14, 16, and 17 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada and US 5,584,033 ("Barrett") in view of the knowledge of a POSITA	50 <u>51</u>
1. Barrett	50 <u>51</u>
2. Claims 1-4, 8, 12-14, 16, and 17	53 <u>54</u>
D. Ground 3: Claims 4-7, and 18-20 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada and U.S. 6,185,149 ("Fujioka") in view of the knowledge of a POSITA.	54 <u>55</u>
1. Fujioka	54 <u>55</u>
2. Dependent Claim 4	58 <u>59</u>
3. Dependent Claim 5	59 <u>60</u>
4. Dependent Claim 6	59 <u>60</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
5. Dependent Claim 7	60 61
6. Dependent Claim 18	61 62
7. Dependent Claim 19	61 62
8. Dependent Claim 20	61 62
E. Ground 3a: Claims 4-7, and 18-20 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada, Barrett, and Fujioka in view of the knowledge of a POSITA.	61 62
F. Ground 4: Claims 9-10, 14, and 21 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada and US 6,226,755 (“Reeves”) in view of the knowledge of a POSITA	62 63
1. Reeves	62 63
2. Dependent Claim 9	65 66
3. Dependent Claim 10	66 67
4. Dependent Claim 14	66 67
5. Dependent Claim 21	67 68
G. Ground 4a: Claims 9-10, 14, and 21 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada, Barrett, and Reeves in view of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art	67 68
H. Ground 5: Claims 11 and 15 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada and US 5,784,331 (“Lysinger”) in view of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art	68 69
1. Lysinger	68 69
2. Dependent Claim 11	72 73
3. Dependent Claim 15	72 73
I. Ground 5a: Claims 11 and 15 are rendered obvious by the combination of Wada, Barrett, and Lysinger in view of the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art	75 76
XI. CONCLUSION	75 76

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex-1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134
Ex-1002	Declaration of Dr. R. Jacob Baker
Ex-1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. R. Jacob Baker
Ex-1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,134
Ex-1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,115,280 (“Wada”)
Ex-1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,185,149 (“Fujioka”)
Ex-1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,900,021 (“Tiede”)
Ex-1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,226,755 (“Reeves”)
Ex-1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,748,331 (“Lysinger”)
Ex-1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,584,033 (“Barrett”)
Ex-1011	Order 29 Construing Claims, Inv. No. 337-TA-792, U.S.I.T.C (February 9, 2012)
Ex-1012	Order Construing Claims, <i>Cypress Semiconductor Corp. v. GSU Tech., Inc.</i> , 13-cv-02013-JST (N.D. Cal.) (July 29, 2014)
Ex-1013	Commission Opinion, Inv. No. 337-TA-792, U.S.I.T.C. (June 28, 2013)
Ex-1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,360,992 (“Lowrey”)
Ex-1015	Comparison between the current Petition and petition in IPR2020-00985

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.