

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

GUI Global Products, Ltd., D/B/A Gwee,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00337

U.S. Patent No. 10,562,077

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of

U.S. Patent No. 10,562,077

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘077 PATENT.....	13
A.	Overview of the Presently Relevant Disclosure of the ‘077 Patent ...	14
III.	OVERVIEW OF KIM.....	21
A.	Overview of the Presently Relevant Disclosure of Kim,	21
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	33
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	33
VI.	SAMSUNG HAS FAILED TO PROVE UNPATENTABILITY OVER KIM	34
A.	Kim does not disclose or render obvious “a portable switching device coupled to a portable electronic device; wherein: the switching device and the electronic device are configured to selectively couple to each other employing magnetic force”	34
B.	Kim does not disclose or render obvious “a first magnet is fully disposed within the electronic device”	49
C.	Kim does not disclose or render obvious that “the electronic device comprises at least one element selected from the group consisting of beveled edges, ridges, recessed areas, grooves, slots, indented shapes, bumps, raised shapes, and combinations thereof; configured to	

correspond to complimentary surface elements on the switching device”54

D. Kim does not disclose or render obvious that “the portable switching device is configured to activate, deactivate, or send into hibernation the portable electronic device.”55

E. Kim does not disclose or render obvious the switching device including a lid is recessed to configure to the electronic device.57

Assuming arguendo for purposes of this Preliminary Response that Kim discloses a watch-type device with a lid, it nonetheless does not disclose or render obvious the switching device including a lid is recessed to configure to the electronic device.57

F. Kim does not disclose or render obvious “when coupled, the first case functions to protect the second case.”66

VII. SAMSUNG’S ‘077 PETITION PROVIDES NO EXPLANATION FOR RECONCILING ITS SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED ‘020 PETITION68

VIII. THE *FINTIV* DISCRETIONARY FACTORS ARE UNNECESSARY TO CONSULT DUE TO THE PETITION’S LACK OF MERIT69

IX. THE BOARD SHOULD TERMINATE THIS IPR BECAUSE IT FAILS UNDER THE APPOINTMENTS AND DUE PROCESS CLAUSES.69

X. CONCLUSION71
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....74
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE75

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR 2020-00019, paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020).....	69
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	69, 70
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	70
<i>Celgene Corp. v. Peter</i> , 931 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2019), <i>cert denied</i> , 141 S.Ct. 132 (2020)	71
<i>Doolin Sec. Sav. Bank, F.S.B. v. FDIC</i> , 53 F.3d 1395 (4th Cir. 1995).....	71
<i>Edmond v. United States</i> , 520 U.S. 651 (1997)	70
<i>Fanduel, Inc. v. Interactive Games LLC</i> , 966 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	69
<i>Impax Labs., Inc. v. Aventis Pharms., Inc.</i> , 468 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	41
<i>In re Gleave</i> , 560 F. 3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	41
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	33
<i>Tumey v. Ohio</i> , 273 U.S. 510 (1927)	71
<i>Worlds Inc. v. Bungie, Inc.</i> , 903 F.3d 1237 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	69

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	69
5 U.S.C. § 556.....	70
5 U.S.C. § 7521(a)	70

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.