

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

GUI GLOBAL PRODUCTS, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00335
Patent 10,259,020 B2

DECLARATION OF MARK N. HORENSTEIN, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATION.....	1
II.	ASSIGNMENT	5
A.	Legal Principles.....	6
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
C.	Methodology	11
D.	Claim Construction.....	11
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '020 PATENT	12
IV.	ANALYSIS OF SAMSUNG'S CHALLENGES	18
A.	Kim Does Not Teach or Suggest Samsung's Fig. A Embodiment	18
1.	Overview of Kim (U.S. Patent Application US 2010/0227642 A1)...	18
2.	Kim Does Not Teach or Suggest Samsung's Figure A Embodiment for Multiple Reasons.....	29
3.	Kim's Fig. 5 Shows How a Dual Display Device Should Be Fashioned	40
4.	A Gap Causes More Than Just Esthetic Problems.....	43
5.	Sandwiching Sub-Device 300 as in Samsung's Figure A Leads to Problems with Displaying Information	45
6.	Samsung's Proposed Figure A Embodiment Presents Too Many Operating Issues That Would Prevent a POSITA From Adoption.....	46
B.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Unpatentable in View of Kim.....	49
1.	Kim does not teach or suggest "when coupled, the second case functions to protect the first case."	49

2.	Kim does not teach or suggest “a first magnet is disposed within the switching device.”	54
3.	Kim does not teach or suggest “the electronic device comprises at least one element selected from the group consisting of beveled edges, ridges, recessed areas, grooves, slots, indented shapes, bumps, raised shapes, and combinations thereof; configured to correspond to complimentary surface elements on the switching device.”	59
4.	Kim combined with Koh does not render claim 1 obvious.....	61
5.	As Required by Claim 7, Kim does not teach or suggest the “Lid is Recessed to Configure to the switching Device”	64
C.	Claim 9 and its Dependent Claims are Not Unpatentable in View of Kim.....	67
D.	Claim 10 is Not Unpatentable in View of the Combined Teachings of Kim and Koh.	70
1.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Kim and Koh.	70
2.	The Combination of Kim and Koh Does Not Suggest “When Coupled, the Second Case Functions to Protect the First Case”	72
3.	Dr. Kaiei Fails to Explain How Koh’s Earpiece is a “Switching Device” or How it “Activates, Deactivates, or Hibernates” Koh’s Storage Device Being Imported into the Kim-Koh Combination.....	79
D.	Claim 16 is Not Unpatentable in View of the Combined Teachings of Kim and Lee	80
1.	A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Kim and Lee	80
2.	The Combination of Kim and Lee Does Not Suggest a Magnet “Employed in Actuating the Electronic Circuit.”	81
F.	Claim 17 is Not Unpatentable in View of the Combined Teachings of Kim and Lee	82

G.	Claim 18 is Not Unpatentable in View of the Combined Teachings of Kim and Jiang.....	83
V.	AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS	84

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATION

1. My name is Mark N. Horenstein. I have been retained by counsel for GUI Global Products, Ltd. (“Gwee” or “Patent Owner”) as a technical expert in this case. I have been asked by counsel for the Patent Owner to provide my opinions with respect to the petition by Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively “Samsung” or “Petitioner”) in Inter Partes Review 2021-00338 concerning U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020 (“the ‘020 Patent”). In particular, I have been asked to review and comment upon the opinions in Ex. 1002, the “Declaration of Dr. Sayfe Kiaei,” dated December 29, 2020 (“Kiaei Declaration” or “Kiaei”).

2. I am currently Professor Emeritus of Electrical Engineering in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Boston University. From 1979 – 2020, I was a faculty member in that department, holding the rank of Full Professor from 2000 to 2020. I have held various other positions at Boston University, including the Associate Dean for Graduate Programs and Research for the College of Engineering (1999-2007), Associate Chair for Undergraduate Programs for the ECE Department (1990-1998 and 2012-2015), and appointments at the ranks of Associate Professor (1985-2000) and Assistant Professor (1979-1985).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.