UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Petitioners v. GUI Global Products, Ltd., D/B/A Gwee, Patent Owner Case IPR2021-00335 U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INT | INTRODUCTION6 | | |
|------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| II. | OVI | ERVIEW OF THE '020 PATENT22 | | |
| | A. | Overview of the Presently Relevant Disclosure of the '020 Patent22 | | |
| III. | OVI | ERVIEW OF KIM29 | | |
| | A. | Overview of the Presently Relevant Disclosure of Kim,29 | | |
| IV. | LEV | EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART42 | | |
| V. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION42 | | | |
| VI. | SAN | ISUNG HAS FAILED TO PROVE UNPATENTABILITY OVER | | |
| | KIM | I43 | | |
| | A. | Kim does not disclose or render obvious "a portable switching device | | |
| | | coupled to a portable electronic device; wherein: the switching | | |
| | | device and the electronic device are configured to selectively couple | | |
| | | to each other employing magnetic force"43 | | |
| | B. | Kim does not disclose or render obvious "a first magnet is disposed | | |
| | | within the switching device"60 | | |
| | C. | Kim does not disclose or render obvious that "the electronic device | | |
| | | comprises at least one element selected from the group consisting of | | |
| | | beveled edges, ridges, recessed areas, grooves, slots, indented shapes, | | |



| | | bumps, raised shapes, and combinations thereof; configured to | |
|-------|--------|---|---|
| | | correspond to complimentary surface elements on the switching | |
| | | device"63 | 3 |
| | D. | Kim does not disclose or render obvious "when coupled, the second | |
| | | case functions to protect the first case." | 5 |
| VII. | SAM | SUNG'S '020 PETITION PROVIDES NO EXPLANATION FOR | |
| | REC | ONCILING ITS SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED '021 PETITION66 | 5 |
| VIII. | THE | FINTIV DISCRETIONARY FACTORS ARE UNNECESSARY TO | |
| | CON | SULT DUE TO THE PETITION'S LACK OF MERIT67 | 7 |
| IX. | THE | BOARD SHOULD TERMINATE THIS IPR BECAUSE IT | |
| | FAII | S UNDER THE APPOINTMENTS AND DUE PROCESS | |
| | CLA | USES67 | 7 |
| X. | CON | CLUSION70 |) |
| CERT | TFICA | TE OF COMPLIANCE72 | 2 |
| CERT | TIFICA | TE OF SERVICE73 | 3 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR 2020-00019, paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) | 66 |
|--|----|
| Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019) | 67 |
| Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 67 |
| Celgene Corp. v. Peter, 931 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2019), cert denied, 141 S.Ct. 132 (2020) | 68 |
| Doolin Sec. Sav. Bank, F.S.B. v. FDIC, 53 F.3d 1395 (4th Cir. 1995) | 68 |
| Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc., 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 66 |
| Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997) | 67 |
| Fanduel, Inc. v. Interactive Games LLC, 966 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2020) | 66 |
| Impax Labs., Inc. v. Aventis Pharms., Inc., 468 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006) | 49 |
| In re Gleave, 560 F. 3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009) | 49 |
| <i>In re Longi</i> , 759 F.2d 887 (Fed. Cir. 1985) | 5 |
| In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd., 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) | 66 |
| Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) | 41 |
| Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927) | 68 |
| Worlds Inc. v. Bungie, Inc., 903 F.3d 1237 (Fed. Cir. 2018) | 66 |



IPR2021-00335 U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020

Statutes

| 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) | 66 |
|--------------------|----|
| 5 U.S.C. § 556 | 67 |
| 5 U.S.C. 8 7521(a) | 68 |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

