

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Cisco Systems, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Estech Systems, Inc.
Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2021-00329
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,391,298

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop *Patent Board*
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Table of Contents

Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)).....v

 A. Real Parties-In-Interestv

 B. Related Matters vi

 C. Lead and Backup Counsel and Service (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)-(4))..... viii

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. TECHNOLOGY OF THE '298 PATENT1

 A. Overview of VoIP Technology.....1

 B. '298 Patent Overview2

 C. Prosecution History of the '298 Patent.....4

III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)).....5

IV. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15 AND 42.103).....5

V. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART5

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....6

VII. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a) AND 42.104(b))8

 A. The Board Should Not Discretionarily Deny The Petition.....8

 1. *Becton, Dickinson*8

 2. *Fintiv*9

 B. Overview of the Prior Art15

 1. *Ludwig*.....15

 2. *Reid*16

 3. *Hori*18

 4. *Wilson*.....19

 5. *Guy*19

 C. Ground 1: The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over *Ludwig* and *Reid*.20

 1. Basis for Combination20

 2. The Challenged Claims are Obvious23

 a. Independent Claim 123

b.	Dependent Claims 2–5, 7	35
c.	Independent Claim 8	38
d.	Dependent Claims 9-12	41
D.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over <i>Ludwig</i> and <i>Hori</i>	42
1.	Basis for Combination	43
2.	The Challenged Claims are Obvious	46
a.	Independent Claim 1	46
b.	Dependent Claims 2–5, 7	51
c.	Independent Claim 8	53
d.	Dependent Claims 9-12	55
E.	Ground 3: The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Obvious Over <i>Guy</i> , <i>Wilson</i> , and <i>Hori</i>	56
1.	Basis for Combination	56
2.	The Challenged Claims are Obvious	59
a.	Independent Claim 1	59
b.	Dependent Claims 2–5, 7	65
c.	Independent Claim 8	67
d.	Dependent Claims 9-12	69
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	70
	CLAIM APPENDIX OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	72

List of Exhibits

- Ex. 1001: U.S. Patent No. 8,391,298 to Suder et al. (“the ’298 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002: Declaration of Dr. Shukri Sourì
- Ex. 1003: File History of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/447,607
- Ex. 1004: File History of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/775,018
- Ex. 1005: Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Shukri Sourì
- Ex. 1006: U.S. Patent No. 5,689,641 to Ludwig et al. (“*Ludwig*”)
- Ex. 1007: U.S. Patent No. 6,131,120 to Reid (“*Reid*”)
- Ex. 1008: U.S. Patent No. 6,298,057 to Guy et al. (“*Guy*”)
- Ex. 1009: U.S. Patent No. 6,829,231 to Wilson et al. (“*Wilson*”)
- Ex. 1010: U.S. Patent No. 6,845,096 to Hori et al. (“*Hori*”)
- Ex. 1011: U.S. Patent No. 7,068,684 to Suder et al. (“*Suder*”)
- Ex. 1012: U.S. Patent No. 6,654,722 to Aldous et al. (“*Aldous*”)
- Ex. 1013: U.S. Patent No. 6,104,711 to Voit (“*Voit*”)
- Ex. 1014: [Reserved]
- Ex. 1015: Weinstein, *Experience with Speech Communication in Packet Networks* (IEEE Dec. 1983)
- Ex. 1016: [Reserved]
- Ex. 1017: [Reserved]
- Ex. 1018: [Reserved]

- Ex. 1019: Katie Buehler, “Texas Patent Trials Halted Due to COVID-19 Spike,”
Law360, available at
<https://www.law360.com/articles/1330855/texas-patent-trials-halted-due-to-covid-19-spike> (Nov. 20, 2020)
- Ex. 1020: Scott McKeown, District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB
Discretionary Denials, available at
<https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-afterptab-discretionary-denials/> (Jul. 24, 2020)
- Ex. 1021: Estech Reply Claim Construction Brief, Estech Systems, Inc. v.
Regions Financial Corporation, WDTX-6-20-cv-00322, Dkt. 52
(December 9, 2020)
- Ex. 1022: Opposition to Motion to Amend Infringement Contentions in *Estech*
v. *Wells Fargo et al.*, EDTX-2-20-cv-00123 (Dkt. No. 61) (August 3,
2020)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.