

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

ESTECH SYSTEMS, INC.,

Patent Owner

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO THE PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,391,298**

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner by:

Todd E. Landis (Reg. No. 44,200)
2633 McKinney Ave., Suite 130
Dallas, TX 75204

John Wittenzellner (Reg. No. 61,662)
1735 Market Street, Suite A #453
Philadelphia, PA 19103

C. Matthew Rozier (Reg. No. 63,429)
1550 Larimer Street, Suite 1067
Denver, CO 80202

WILLIAMS SIMONS & LANDIS PLLC

;

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	2
III. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER 35 U.S.C. ¶ 314(a)	2
A. <i>Fintiv</i> Factor # 5 (Identity of Parties)	3
A. <i>Fintiv</i> Factors #2 and 3 (Trial Date Proximity to FWD and Investment in Parallel Proceedings)	7
B. <i>Fintiv</i> Factor #4 (Issue Overlap).....	9
C. <i>Fintiv</i> Factor #1 (Likelihood of Stay).....	10
D. <i>Fintiv</i> Factor #6 (Merits and Other Circumstances).....	11
IV. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT DOES NOT ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	12
A. The '298 Patent.....	12
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	20
C. Claim Construction.....	20
D. Ground 1 – The Combination of Ludwig and Reid Does Not Render Obvious Any of Claims 1-12	21
1. Failure to Show a Motivation to Combine Ludwig and Ried	21
2. Independent Claim 1.....	22
i. “wherein the list of the plurality of telecommunications extensions is stored in a server in the second LAN, and is accessed by the first circuitry across the WAN”	23
ii. “the first LAN including circuitry for enabling the user to select between observing the list of the plurality of telecommunications extensions coupled to the second LAN or observing a list of the plurality of telecommunications extensions coupled to the third LAN”	28
3. Dependent Claim 6	29
4. Independent Claim 8.....	30
E. Ground 2 – The Combination of Ludwig and Hori Do Not Render Obvious Any of Claims 1-12.....	30
1. Failure to Show a Motivation to Combine Ludwig and Hori	30
2. Independent Claim 1.....	31

i. “wherein the list of the plurality of telecommunications extensions is stored in a server in the second LAN, and is accessed by the first circuitry across the WAN”	32
3. Dependent Claim 6	35
4. Independent Claim 8.....	35
F. Ground 3 – The Combination of Guy, Wilson, and Hori Do Not Render Obvious Any of Claims 1-12.	36
1. Failure to Show a Motivation to Combine Guy, Wilson, and Hori	36
2. Independent Claim 1	37
i. “wherein the list of the plurality of telecommunications extensions is stored in a server in the second LAN, and is accessed by the first circuitry across the WAN.”	37
3. Dependent Claim 6	40
4. Independent Claim 8.....	40
G. Petitioner’s Grounds Should Be Denied As Being Horizontally Redundant	41
V. CONCLUSION.....	43

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv Inc.</i> , IPR2020- 00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020).....	passim
<i>Apple Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG</i> , IPR2016-01841, Paper 10 (Apr. 17, 2017)	40
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , Case IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	40
<i>Apple Inc. v. Seven Networks, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00506, Paper 11 (PTAB Sept. 1, 2020)	8
<i>Cellco Partnership v. Huawei Tech., LLC</i> , IPR2021-00086, Paper 12 (PTAB Mar. 22, 2021).....	9
<i>Cultec, Inc. v. StormTech LLC</i> , IPR2017-00777, Paper 7 (PTAB Aug. 22, 2017)	40
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	2
<i>Goertek, Inc. v. Knowles Elecs., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00614, Paper 13, at 17 (PTAB Mar. 7, 2014).....	43
<i>Idle Free Sys., Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00027, Paper 26 at 4-5 (PTAB June 11, 2013).....	42
<i>Kiosoft Tech., LLC v. Payrange, Inc.</i> , IPR2021-00086, Paper 12 (PTAB Mar. 2, 2021).....	8
<i>Peag LLC v. Varta Microbattery GmbH</i> , IPR2020-01212, Paper 8 (PTAB Jan. 6, 2021)	8
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 at 2 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012).....	42, 43
<i>Live Nation Entm't, Inc. v. Complete Entm't Res. B.V.</i> , PGR2017-00038, Paper at 13-17 (PTAB Jan. 16, 2018)	40
<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC, v. Continental Intermodal Group -- Trucking LLC</i> ,	

Paper 24 (PTAB June 16, 2020).....	6
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020).....	6
<i>Schul Int’l Co., LLC v. Emseal Joint Sys., Ltd.</i> , PGR2017-00053, Paper 10 (PTAB Apr. 9, 2018).....	40
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Cisco Sys.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	28, 36
<i>Valve Corp. v. Elec. Scripting Prods., Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00062, Paper 11 (PTAB Apr. 2, 2019).....	5

Statutes

35 U.S.C. ¶ 314(a).....	1
35 U.S.C. § 311(b).....	19
35 U.S.C. § 314(b)(1).....	8, 11
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....	20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.