BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD BOSE CORPORATION, Petitioner, v. KOSS CORPORATION, Patent Owner. IPR2021-00297 U.S. Patent No. 10,368,155

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	BAC	CKGROUND	3
	A.	Summary of the '155 Patent	3
	B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	4
	C.	Petitioner's Invalidity Grounds	5
III.	PELLAND DOES NOT ANTICIPATE THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS		
IV.	A PC	CHALLENGED CLAIMS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS TO OSITA IN VIEW OF THE REZVANI-SKULLEY COMBINATION OUNDS 2A-2E)	
	A. Conf	Revzani's Headset Does Not Satisfy A Headphone Assembly figured, With a Processor, to Transition Automatically1	0
	1	1. Rezvani's Seamless Handoff is Not Supported by the Headset1	1
	I	2. Rezvani's Seamless Handoff Supported by a Handset Would Not Have Suggested to a POSITA a "headphone assembly configured, with the processor, to transition automatically"	
	3	3. Seamless Handoff Does Not Satisfy Automatic Transitioning1	5
	B. Auto	Koss Did Not Admit that Rezvani Discloses the "Transition omatically" Limitation in the European Patent Office	6
V.	A PC	CHALLENGED CLAIMS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS TO OSITA IN VIEW OF THE NAKAGAWA-WILSON OR NAKAGAWA-BENER COMBINATIONS	
	A.	Petitioner's Reasons for the Proposed Combinations are Deficient1	7
	1	1. Nakagawa already comprises a stereo design20	0
		2. Adding a battery from Wilson or Rosener to the Nakagawa design does not yield a completely wireless design	0
		3. The lack of a power source in Nakagawa is a reason not to combine with Rosener or Wilson20	0



	4. The proposed combinations are not a simple application of a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way.	
В.	No Finite Number Of Predictable Solutions	23
C.	The Proposed Combinations Rely on Hindsight Reconstruction	24
D. Ter	Nakagawa Sound-Switching Devices are Only Described in Functional	
E. Vie	Dependent Claim 5 Would Not Have Been Obvious to a POSITA in ew of Nakagawa-Rosener Combination	31
	1. A POSITA would not have transformed the Nakagawa design to Rosener's completely wireless earbuds	32
	2. Relying on Rosener's battery to power the Nakagawa components i addition to Rosener's components is not applying a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way	
	3. The Proposed Combination Relies on Hindsight Reconstruction	
VI. CO	NCLUSION	37



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Clinton</i> , 527 F.2d 1226 (C.C.P.A. 1976)	15
Engel Indus., Inc. v. Lockformer Co., 946 F.2d 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	9
Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	16
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	14
Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, SL, 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	25, 29
Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc., 679 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	26, 30
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	35
Power Mosfet Techs., Inc. v. Siemens AG, 328 F.3d 1396 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11



EXHIBIT LISTING

Exhibit	Description
KOSS-2001	Complaint, Koss Corp. v. Plantronics, Inc., Case 6-20-cv-00663-ADA, Dkt. No. 1 (W.D. Tex. July 22, 2020)
KOSS-2002	Complaint, Koss Corp. v. Skullcandy, Inc., Case 6-20-cv-00664-ADA, Dkt. No. 1 (W.D. Tex. July 22, 2020)
KOSS-2003	Complaint, Koss Corp. v. PEAG LLC d/b/a JLab Audio, Case 6-20-cv-00662-ADA, Dkt. No. 1 (W.D. Tex. July 22, 2020)
KOSS-2004	[Proposed] Scheduling Order, Koss Corp. v. Bose Corp., Case 6:20-cv-00661-ADA, Dkt. No. 24 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 16, 2021)
KOSS-2005	Sample Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case, November 5, 2020, Judge Albright, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Waco Division
KOSS-2006	Docket Report, Koss Corp. v. Plantronics, Inc., Case 6-20-cv-00663-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (as of March 12, 2021)
KOSS-2007	Docket Report, Koss Corp. v. Skullcandy, Inc., Case 6-20-cv-00664-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (as of March 12, 2021)
KOSS-2008	Docket Report, Koss Corp. v. Bose Corp., Case 6-20-cv-00661-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (as of March 12, 2021)
KOSS-2009	Docket Report, Koss Corp. v. PEAG LLC d/b/a JLab Audio, Case 6-20-cv-00662-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (as of March 12, 2021)
KOSS-2010	Markman Hearing, MV3 Partners, LLC v. Roku, Inc., Case No. W-18-cv-308, Dkt. No. 83 (W. D. Tex. July 19, 2019)
KOSS-2011	Notice of Trial Procedures, <i>VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:21-cv-00057-ADA, Dkt. No. 421 (W.D. Tex. February 10, 2021)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

