

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOSE CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00297
U.S. Patent No. 10,368,155

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PETITIONER FAILED TO SHOW THAT CLAIMS 1-10 AND 13-14 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS UNDER GROUNDS 3A-3D	1
A. Petitioner’s Reply Arguments Undermined the Petition’s Invalidity Theories	1
B. The Evidence Shows that the Nakagawa Combinations Would Not Have Been Obvious.....	4
C. Petitioner’s Criticisms of McAlexander’s Testimony Should Be Rejected	6
D. Petitioner Did Not Challenge Claims 11-12 Under Grounds 3A-3D	7
III. PETITIONER FAILED TO SHOW THAT CLAIMS 1-14 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS UNDER GROUNDS 2A-2E	8
A. Petitioner’s “Typo” Theory Shows that its Invalidity Ground are Not Based on Patents and Printed Publications	9
B. Williams’s Opinion that Rezvani Contains Typos is Not Credible	10
C. The EPO Prosecution is Irrelevant	12
D. Williams “Typo” Theory Should Not Be Considered Because it Should Have Been Presented Earlier.....	13
E. Rezvani Does Not Disclose “Transition[ing] Automatically”	15
IV. PETITIONER FAILED TO SHOW THAT CLAIMS 1-14 ARE ANTICIPATED UNDER GROUND 1	17
A. The Institution Decision Correctly Found that Pelland Does Not Anticipate Claims 1-14.....	17
B. Williams’s Testimony About Pelland Should Be Afforded Little Weight and Should Not Even Be Considered	22

1. Williams’s Testimony is Not Credible and Should be Afforded Little Weight	22
2. Williams’s Rebuttal Testimony Should Not Be Considered	24
V. CONCLUSION.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Andrea Elec. Corp.</i> , 949 F.3d 697 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	24
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	24
<i>Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Millenium Biologix, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00582, Paper 39 (PTAB Oct. 30, 2014)	26
<i>Belden, Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	18
<i>Elan Pharm., Inc. v. Mayo Found. For Med. Educ. & Research</i> , 346 F.3d 1051 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	22
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2017-01003, Paper 14 (PTAB Sep. 1, 2017)	23
<i>Hologic, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 884 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	20
<i>Johnson Worldwide Assocs., Inc. v. Zebco Corp.</i> , 175 F.3d 985 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	20
<i>Marmo v. Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc.</i> , 457 F.3d 748 (8th Cir. 2006)	16
<i>In re Rasmussen</i> , 650 F.2d 1212 (Fed. Cir. 1981)	20
<i>Retractable Techs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickenson & Co.</i> , 653 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	21
<i>Thorner v. Sony Comput. Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	21

In re Vickers,
141 F.2d 522 (CCPA 1944).....20

WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.,
829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016)3

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.