UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK		IT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	FFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/376,426	08/03/2014	Claus Grewe	2011P26476WOUS (130)	7440
96537 7590 10/31/2019 BEUSSE WOLTER SANKS & MAIRE			EXAMINER	
Mail Stop AG 390 N. ORANGE AVE, SUITE 2500			CORDAY, CAMERON A	
ORLANDO, FL 32801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2019	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@dockettrak.com USPTO@patentorlando.com

Δ

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Ex parte CLAUS GREWE

Appeal 2019-000855 Application 14/376,426 Technology Center 3700

Before JENNIFER D. BAHR, DANIEL S. SONG, and STEFAN STAICOVICI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

STAICOVICI, Administrative Patent Judge.

### DECISION ON APPEAL

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Appellant<sup>1</sup> appeals under 35 U.S.C. § 134(a) from the Examiner's decision in the Final Office Action (dated Apr. 13, 2018) rejecting claims 14–17 and 24–34. We have jurisdiction over this Appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b).

### SUMMARY OF DECISION

We REVERSE and enter a NEW GROUND of REJECTION pursuant to our authority under 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b).

<sup>1</sup> We use the word "Appellant" to refer to "applicant" as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.42. Siemens Aktiengesellschaft is identified as the real party in interest in Appellant's Appeal Brief (filed July 18, 2018). Appeal Br. 1.

### **INVENTION**

Appellant's invention is directed "to a method for avoiding pump surges in a compressor." Spec., para. 2.<sup>2</sup>

Claim 14, the sole independent claim, is representative of the claimed invention and reads as follows:

14. A method for avoiding pump surges in a compressor, comprising:

monitoring a plurality of parameters during an operation of the compressor with a plurality of vibration sensors and predetermining a desired value range for the plurality of parameters,

triggering a reaction that counteracts an imminent pump surge of the compressor if a number of the plurality of parameters exceed or fall below the desired value range for the number of the plurality of parameters,

wherein the plurality of parameters comprises a parameter assigned to rotational noise of the compressor, and

the parameter assigned to the rotational noise of the compressor is a vibration amplitude and/or frequency of at least one component of a fluid-flow machine, and the at least one component comprises a housing of a turbine, and

detecting mechanical vibrations of the at least one component with the plurality of vibration sensors fitted at multiple points on a circumference of the at least one component and not in a flow path of the at least one component.

<sup>2</sup> Substitute Specification, filed August 3, 2014.

DOCKE

ARM

### REJECTIONS

- I. The Examiner rejects claims 14–16, 24, 25, and 27–29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni,<sup>3</sup> Kinzie,<sup>4</sup> and Hoyte.<sup>5</sup>
- II. The Examiner rejects claims 17 and 30 under 35 U.S.C.
  § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni, Kinzie, Hoyte, and Walter.<sup>6</sup>
- III. The Examiner rejects claim 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni, Kinzie, Hoyte, Walter, and Stabley.<sup>7</sup>
- IV. The Examiner rejects claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni, Kinzie, Hoyte, and Bently.<sup>8</sup>
- V. The Examiner rejects claims 32 and 33 under 35 U.S.C.
  § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni, Kinzie, Hoyte, and Palin.<sup>9</sup>
- VI. The Examiner rejects claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonanni, Kinzie, Hoyte, and Abali.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bonanni et al., US 2004/0068387 A1, published Apr. 8, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kinzie et al., US 8,074,499 B2, issued Dec. 13, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Hoyte et al., US 2011/0247418 A1, published Oct. 13, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Walter et al., US 5,594,665, issued Jan. 14, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Stabley et al., US 7,905,702 B2, issued Mar. 15, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bently, US 6,092,029, issued July 18, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Palin et al., US 8,091,862 B2, issued Jan. 10, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Abali et al., US 7,282,873 B2, issued Oct. 16, 2007.

### ANALYSIS

#### Rejection I

Independent claim 14 requires, *inter alia*, monitoring "vibration amplitude . . . of at least one component of a fluid-flow machine," wherein "the at least one component comprises a housing of a turbine." Appeal Br. 10 (Claims App.).

The Examiner finds that Bonanni discloses many of the limitations of independent claim 14, but does not disclose that "the at least one component comprises a housing of a turbine." Final Act. 2–3 (citing Bonanni, para. 18, Fig. 1). Nonetheless, the Examiner finds that "Hoyte teaches parameter sensors providing measurements of operating parameters of a turbine operating in conjunction with a compressor . . . for monitoring anomalies to avoid damage to the system." *Id.* at 3. The Examiner explains that Hoyte cures the deficiency of Bonanni, which already teaches the use of vibration sensors in other locations of a gas turbine, because Hoyt "not only teach[es] monitoring many parameters of the turbine, but . . . [also teaches] using those parameters of the turbine to determine the state of the compressor." Adv. Act. 2.<sup>11</sup> Thus, the Examiner concludes that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Bonanni to "change the component to comprise a housing of a turbine as taught by Hoyte to avoid damage to the system." Final Act. 3.

Appellant argues that Bonanni "already teaches avoiding damage to the compressor as a goal, so the [Examiner's] proposed modification provides no advantage," and, thus, "does nothing that . . . [Bonanni] doesn't

<sup>11</sup> Advisory Action, dated May 17, 2018.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.