UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00209 Patent 10,376,191

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE



Case No. IPR2021-00209 Attorney Docket: 50095-0011IP1

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner, Apple Inc. hereby submits the following objections to evidence in Patent Owner's Response of September 14, 2021.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2004	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2004 under
	FRE 702 and 703, because it contains opinions that are
	conclusory, do not disclose supporting facts or data, are
	based on unreliable facts, data, or methods, and/or include
	testimony outside the scope of Dr. Madisetti's specialized
	knowledge (to the extent he has any such knowledge) that
	will not assist the trier of fact. Petitioner also objects to
	Exhibit 2004 as containing opinions that are irrelevant,
	confusing, and presenting the danger of unfair prejudice
	under FRE 401, 402, and 403.
Exhibit 2006	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2006, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2006 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2007	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2007, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2007 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2008	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2008, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2008 that



Case No. IPR2021-00209 Attorney Docket: 50095-0011IP1

	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2009	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2009, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2009 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2010	Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2010 under FRE 901, as Patent
	Owner has not submitted evidence that the document is
	authentic, nor that the document is self-authenticating. Of
	note, there is insufficient support in the Exhibit 2010 to
	show that the document was publically available before the
	priority date of the patent. See Microsoft Corp. v. Corel
	Software, LLC, IPR2016-01300 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 4, 2017)
	(Denial of Institution) (finding that a Copyright Notice
	sheds virtually no light on public accessibility as of that
	date); see also ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,
	IPR2015-00716, Paper No. 13 at 2-3, 10-18 (P.T.A.B. Aug.
	26, 2015). Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2010 under
	FRE 801 and 802 as inadmissible hearsay.
Exhibit 2019	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2019 under
	FRE 401, 402, and 403 at least insofar as the Patent Owner
	Response does not establish the relevance of the statements
	cited, and at least insofar as the cited statements are
	potentially misleading when taken out of context.
Exhibit 2020	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2020 under
	FRE 401, 402, and 403 at least insofar as the Patent Owner
	Response does not establish the relevance of the statements



Case No. IPR2021-00209 Attorney Docket: 50095-0011IP1

cited, and at least insofar as the cited statements are
potentially misleading when taken out of context.

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2004, 2006-2010, 2019, and 2020. Petitioner reserves the right to move to exclude Exhibits 2004, 2006-2010, 2019, and 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 21, 2021 /Hyun Jin In/

W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265 Roberto J. Devoto, Reg. No. 55,108 Hyun Jin In, Reg. No. 70,014 Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 T: 202-783-5553



Case No. IPR2021-00209

Attorney Docket: 50095-0011IP1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.*, the undersigned certifies that on September 21, 2021, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by electronic mail to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence e-mail address of record as follows:

Joseph R. Re Jarom D. Kesler Stephen W. Larson Jacob L. Peterson

Knobbe, Martens, Olson, & Bear, LLP 2040 Main St., 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614

Email: AppleIPR2021-0209-191@knobbe.com

/Crena Pacheco/

Crena Pacheco Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (617) 956-5938

