UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00193
U.S. Patent 10,299,708

DECLARATION OF VIJAY K. MADISETTI, PH.D.

Masimo Ex. 2004
Apple v. Masimo
IPR2021-00193

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. QUALIFICATIONS 1

II. MATERIALS CONSIDERED 8

III. UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW 10

A. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art 10

B. Claim Construction 11

C. Obviousness 12

IV. INTRODUCTION TO MASIMO'S TECHNOLOGY 13

A. The '708 Patent 13

B. Introduction To The Independent Claims Of The '708 Patent 14

V. THE PETITION'S PROPOSED COMBINATIONS 17

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 19

VII. GROUNDS 1A-1F DO NOT ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS 21

A. Introduction To Ground 1A 21

1. Aizawa Uses Peripherally Located Detectors Around A Single Centrally Located Emitter (LED) 21

2. Inokawa Uses Peripherally Located Emitters (LEDs) Around A Single Centrally Located Detector 23

3. Ground 1A's Proposed Combination Of Aizawa And Inokawa 24

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

B. Ground 1A Does Not Establish Obviousness Because A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Inokawa's Convex Lens With Aizawa's Sensor 27

1. Dr. Kenny and Petitioner Admit That Inokawa's Convex Lens Directs Light To The Center Of The Sensor 27

2. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Direct Light Away From Aizawa's Detectors And Would Have No Reasonable Expectation Of Success When Doing So 33

3. Dr. Kenny's Testimony Further Undermines Obviousness 39

4. Petitioner's Obviousness Challenge Also Relies On References Not Identified As Part Of Ground 1A Without A Motivation To Combine Or Expectation Of Success 46

C. The Remaining Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 1A 49

D. Ground 1B Does Not Establish Obviousness For The Same Reason As Ground 1A And For Additional Reasons 50

1. Ohsaki Does Not Fix The Problems With Ground 1A's Proposed Aizawa-Inokawa Combination 51

2. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki's Board Would Not Prevent Slipping With Aizawa's Device 51

E. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 1B 55

F. Grounds 1C-1F Fail For The Same Reasons As Ground 1A 55

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VIII. GROUNDS 2A-2C DO NOT ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS 55

A. Introduction To Ground 2A 55

1. Mendelson-1988 Uses Peripherally Located Detectors Around Centrally Located Emitters (LEDs) 56

2. Ground 2A's Proposed Combination Of Mendelson-1988 And Inokawa 57

B. Ground 2A Does Not Establish Obviousness 59

1. Ground 2A Does Not Demonstrate A Motivation To Combine Mendelson-1988 And Inokawa, And Does Not Establish A Reasonable Expectation Of Success 59

2. Ground 2A's Proposed Combination Does Not Include The Claimed Cover 63

3. Ground 2A's Proposed Combination Of Mendelson-1988 And Inokawa Does Not Have A "Cylindrical Housing" With A "Light Permeable Cover" (Claim 3) 67

4. Dr. Kenny Relies On References Not Identified As Part Of Ground 2A With No Analysis Of Any Motivation To Combine 68

C. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 2A 71

D. Grounds 2B-2C Fail For The Same Reason As Ground 2A 71

IX. OATH 72

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I, Vijay K. Madisetti, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1. I have been retained by counsel for Patent Owner Masimo Corporation ("Masimo") as an independent expert witness in this proceeding. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding the Petition in this action and the declaration offered by Thomas W. Kenny, Ph.D., (Ex. 1003) challenging the patentability of claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 10,299,708 ("the '708 Patent"). I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spend working on this proceeding, and my compensation is not affected by its outcome.

I. QUALIFICATIONS

2. My qualifications are set forth in my curriculum vitae, a copy of which is included as Exhibit 2005. A summary of my qualifications follows.

3. I am a professor in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Georgia Institute of Technology ("Georgia Tech"). I have worked in the area of digital signal processing, wireless communications, computer engineering, integrated circuit design, and software engineering for over 25 years, and have authored, co-authored, or edited several books and numerous peer-reviewed technical papers in these area.

4. I obtained my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the University of California, Berkeley, in 1989. While there, I received the

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.