#### On behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation

By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)

Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)

Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)

Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502

E-mail: AppleIPR2021-0193-708@knobbe.com

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

## MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00193 Patent 10,299,708

\_\_\_\_\_

## MASIMO OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF APPLE EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BEFORE TRIAL INSTITUTION



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner Masimo Corporation objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence served with the initial Petition. Patent Owner reserves the right to: (1) timely file a motion to exclude these objectionable exhibits or portions thereof; (2) challenge the credibility and/or weight that should be afforded to these exhibits, whether or not Patent Owner files a motion to exclude the exhibits; (3) challenge the sufficiency of the evidence to meet Petitioner's burden of proof on any issue, including, without limitation, whether Petitioner met its burden to prove the prior art status of the alleged prior art on which it relies, whether or not Patent Owner has objected to, or files a motion to exclude, the evidence; and (4) cross examine any Petitioner declarant within the scope of his or her direct testimony that relates to these exhibits, without regard to whether Patent Owner has objected to the testimony or related exhibits or whether the testimony or related exhibits are ultimately found to be inadmissible.

Exhibit Number and Description	Objections
Exhibit 1003 - Declaration of Dr. Kenny	Masimo's objections to Ex. 1003 are set forth below. To the extent Dr. Kenny's declaration incorporates objectionable material in the cited paragraphs below in additional paragraphs or sections, Masimo's objections apply with equal force to those additional paragraphs or sections.
	Incomplete, Irrelevant, Misleading (FRE 106, 401, 403):  ¶¶21-22 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for



Exhibit Number and Description	Objections
•	which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Ex. 1001.
	¶41 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1001.
	¶42 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1019.
	¶51 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1001.
	¶53 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Exs. 1001, 1006.
	¶54 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1006.
	¶¶55-58 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Ex. 1006.
	¶¶59-60 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for



Exhibit Number and Description	Objections
	which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Ex. 1008.
	¶61 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Exs. 1001, 1008.
	¶¶62-63 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Ex. 1008.
	¶¶64-65 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Exs. 1006, 1008, 1014.
	¶¶66-68 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Exs. 1015, 1024, 1025.
	¶71 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Exs. 1015, 1016.
	¶72 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Exs. 1014, 1016, 1019.
	¶73 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for



Exhibit Number and Description	Objections
	which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1006.
	¶74 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Ex. 1006.
	¶75 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for which it is cited and mischaracterizes the teachings of Exs. 1006, 1014, 1015.
	¶¶76-77 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Ex. 1006.
	¶¶79-81 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Exs. 1006, 1015.
	¶¶82-89 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Exs. 1006, 1008, 1009, 1023.
	¶¶90-91 are misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because they lack support for the contentions for which they are cited and they mischaracterize the teachings of Exs. 1006, 1012, 1013.
	¶92 is misleading, incomplete, and irrelevant because it lacks support for the contentions for



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

