

REDACTED VERSION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00186
U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT..... 1

II. OVERVIEW OF QUANTUM DOT NANOPARTICLES3

III. IDENTIFICATION OF INSTITUTED GROUNDS7

IV. OVERVIEW OF SEMICONDUCTOR NANOPARTICLE
SYNTHESIS METHODS8

 A. Nanorods and Nanowires9

 1. The Vapor-Liquid-Solid Method9

 2. The Solution-Liquid-Solid Method 12

 B. Quantum Dots..... 15

 1. The Hot-Injection Method 15

 2. The Heat-Up Method 18

 3. The Molecular Cluster-Assisted Method 19

 4. The Solid-State Method 21

 5. Other Methods..... 21

V. THE CHALLENGED '365 PATENT 22

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 23

 A. Molecular Cluster Compound 24

VII. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN THAT THE CHALLENGED
CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE 25

 A. Ground 1: No Claims Are Anticipated by Banin 25

 1. Banin Does Not Disclose a Molecular Cluster Compound 26

a.	Banin’s gold catalysts were made according to the Hutchison process, which creates gold particles that are not sufficiently well-defined.....	27
b.	Dr. Green’s testimony that Banin’s gold clusters are molecular cluster compounds is refuted by his own deposition testimony, and the testimony of other witnesses.....	32
2.	The Yu Reference	37
3.	Banin Teaches Away From Using Conditions Permitting Seeding and Growth in SLS Reactions.....	40
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 7-12, 15-17 and 22-23 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Banin.....	42
C.	Ground 3: Claims 2-6 and 18-21 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Banin in View of Herron.....	43
D.	Ground 4: Claims 13 and 14 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Banin in View of Treadway	51
E.	Ground 5: Claims 1-9 and 17-23 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Zaban in View of Farneth and Yu.....	52
1.	A Person of Skill in the Art Would Not Combine Zaban’s Group III-V Quantum Dot Process with Farneth’s Group II-VI Solid-state Intermediate	52
2.	A Person of Skill in the Art Would Not Swap Zaban’s Zinc Acetate for Farneth’s 10-Zinc Precursor Because It Would Change the Nature of Zaban’s Quantum Dots.....	54
3.	Petitioner’s purported motivation does not come from any of the references	56
F.	Grounds 6 and 7: Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-12, 17-18, and 22-23 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Lucey in View of Ahrenkiel.....	58

1.	Lucey Uses the Hot-Injection Method to make Quantum Dots, While Ahrenkiel Uses the SLS Method to Make Quantum Rods.....	58
2.	Even Replacing Lucey’s Precursor with Ahrenkiel’s Multiple Precursors Would Not Practice the Claims of the ’365 Patent Because Both of Ahrenkiel’s Precursors Provide the Ions to Be Incorporated into the Semiconductor Core.....	60
3.	Lucey Expressly Teaches Away from Ahrenkiel’s Chlorine-Based Precursors.....	62
4.	There Is No Motivation to Combine Lucey and Ahrenkiel, and No Reasonable Expectation of Success	63
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	65

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	48
<i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	52, 58
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	52, 58
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	52, 57
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	43
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	24
<i>Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 678 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	50
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	23
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al v. Red Rock Analytics, LLC</i> , IPR2018-00555, Paper 16 (PTAB Aug. 30, 2018).....	49
<i>Sanofi-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	52, 58
Statutes and Regulations	
U.S.C. §102	7
U.S.C. §103	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.65	48

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.