

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,  
Patent Owner

**U.S. PATENT NO. 8,524,365**

Case IPR2021-00186

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*  
ADMISSION OF JEREMY WILSON**

Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”) hereby move under 37 C.F.R § 42.10(c) for the admission *pro hac vice* of Jeremy Wilson in the present *inter partes* review so that he may be appointed back up counsel for Samsung.

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Authorization for this motion was provided by the PTAB in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper No. 4). Counsel for Samsung consulted with counsel for Patent Owner Nanoco Technologies, Limited (“Nanoco”), and Nanoco agreed it does not oppose the present motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Wilson. Therefore, the present motion is proper at this time. As explained further below, Mr. Wilson is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this *inter partes* review.

“[W]here the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must:

- a. Contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.
- b. Be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear pro hac vice in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

*Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, 2013 WL 8700556 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013).

## **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE PRESENT MOTION**

Samsung's lead counsel is a registered practitioner. (Paper No. 1 at 71.)

Mr. Wilson is a member in good standing of the State Bar of New York, is admitted to practice before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and has practiced patent litigation since 2011. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 2. Mr. Wilson litigates patent cases before various federal courts and the United States International

Trade Commission. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 3. Through his years of practice, Mr. Wilson has gained substantial experience in trials, discovery, *Markman* hearings, and appeals. *Id.* Mr. Wilson also has appeared before the Office *pro hac vice* before, including in IPR2015-01065 and IPR2019-01148, and is familiar with IPR proceedings. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 4.

Mr. Wilson has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 5.

Mr. Wilson has never had any applications for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 6.

Mr. Wilson has never had sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 7.

Mr. Wilson has read and will comply with the Official Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 8.

Mr. Wilson will be subject to USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. §11.19(a). Wilson Decl. at ¶ 9.

In the past three years, Mr. Wilson has applied for *pro hac vice* admission before the Office in IPR2019-01148, and his application was granted. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 4.

Mr. Wilson is very familiar with the subject matter at issue in this *inter partes* review. Wilson Decl. at ¶ 10. Mr. Wilson is counsel to Samsung, and has been providing legal advice to Samsung in connection with the related district court litigation between the parties to this IPR involving the same patent at issue in this proceeding, U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 (“the ’365 Patent”). *Id.* During this representation, Mr. Wilson was substantively involved in developing and refining Samsung’s invalidity and non-infringement positions. *Id.* Mr. Wilson has also specifically reviewed the papers and evidence filed by the parties in this IPR, as well as the orders of the Board. *Id.* Therefore, Mr. Wilson, as a result of his representation of Samsung, is uniquely positioned to represent Samsung in this IPR.

### III. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, there is good cause to admit Mr. Wilson on a *pro hac vice* basis to serve as back up counsel for Samsung. Therefore, Samsung respectfully requests that this Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jeremy Wilson be granted.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.