UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Petitioner

v.

NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LTD., Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,524,365

Case No. IPR2021-00186

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL HEARING

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co, Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioner") respectfully request oral argument. The oral argument is presently scheduled for February 23, 2022. Paper 18. The issues to be argued with respect to IPR2021-00186 include the following:

- (i) Whether claims 1, 7-12, 17, and 22-23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are anticipated by Banin;
- (ii) Whether claims 1, 7-12, 15-17, and 22-23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by Banin;
- (iii) Whether claims 2-6 and 18-21 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by the combination of Banin and Herron;
- (iv) Whether claims 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by the combination of Banin and Treadway;
- (v) Whether claims 1-9 and 17-23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by the combination of Zaban, Farneth, and Yu;
- (vi) Whether claims 1-2, 4, 7-12, 17-18, and 22-23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by the combination of Lucey and Ahrenkiel;
- (vii) Whether claims 13-16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,524,365 are rendered obvious by the combination of Lucey, Ahrenkiel, and Treadway;
- (viii) Procedural and evidentiary issues raised, e.g., in Motions to Strike or Exclude;
- (ix) Any additional issues on which the Board seeks information or clarification.

Petitioner requests that the argument in this proceeding be consolidated with

and heard at the same time as the arguments in three other proceedings involving the

IPR2021-00186: Petitioner's Request for Oral Hearing

same parties currently scheduled for the same day: IPR2021-00183, -00184, and -00185. The patents at issue in these four proceedings relate to similar technology, preparing nanoparticles, and three of the four patents are in the same family. Petitioner's challenges to the validity of these patents is also based on the same prior art and similar grounds in each of IPR2021-00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186, and Patent Owner's arguments in response to Petitioner's challenges are similar in each proceeding. A consolidated hearing in these proceedings would minimize repetitive argument and lead to an efficient presentation of the evidence and argument. Due to the number of challenged claims and grounds in each proceeding, and the number of issues Patent Owner raises in response, Petitioner requests that each party be allowed a total of ninety (90) minutes for its arguments in that consolidated proceeding, with the opportunity to reserve a portion of that time for rebuttal. Petitioner conferred with Patent Owner prior to filing this request, and while the parties are in agreement regarding consolidating argument on IPR2021-00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186, the parties could not reach agreement regarding the time for the consolidated argument.

Petitioner also requests that the consolidated hearing for IPR2021-00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186 be combined with the hearing for IPR2021-00182, which involves the same parties and has been scheduled for the same day. Petitioner conferred with Patent Owner, and the parties are in agreement that argument for

IPR2021-00186: Petitioner's Request for Oral Hearing

IPR2021-00182 should be combined with the consolidated hearing on IPR2021-00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186, and that the parties should each have thirty (30) minutes per side for argument regarding IPR2021-00182.

In total, Petitioner requests a total of one hundred and twenty (120) minutes per side for argument in the five proceedings involving the same parties scheduled for the same day, with each side allocated thirty (30) minutes of the argument time for IPR2021-00182 and ninety (90) minutes of the argument time for the consolidated hearing on proceedings IPR2021-00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186.

Petitioner requests the services of a court reporter to transcribe the proceeding, with one transcript for the combined argument on IPR2021-00182, -00183, -00184, -00185, and -00186. Petitioner understands that the oral hearing will be remote, but if not, Petitioner requests the use of audio-visual equipment to assist its arguments and to display its demonstrative exhibits, including a computer-connectable projector, an ELMO, and a screen.

Dated: January 6, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ F. Christopher Mizzo, P.C.

Gregory S. Arovas, P.C. (No. 38,818) Stefan Miller (No. 57,623) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 P: 212.446.4800; F: 212.446.4900 greg.arovas@kirkland.com stefan.miller@kirkland.com

DOCKE

F. Christopher Mizzo, P.C. (No. 73,156) W. Todd Baker (No. 45,265) Stephen C. DeSalvo (*pro hac vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 P: 202.389.5000; F: 202.389.5200 chris.mizzo@kirkland.com todd.baker@kirkland.com

Attorneys For Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.