UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,
v.

NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LTD., Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00184 U.S. Patent No. 7,083,423

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL AND TO ENTER DEFAULT PROTECTIVE ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.54



I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, Patent Owner Nanoco Technologies Ltd. ("Nanoco" or "Patent Owner") respectfully submits this motion to seal portions of its Patent Owner Response ("Response") and Exhibit 2030 (Declaration of Brandi Cossairt Ph.D. Aug. 12, 2021) ("Expert Declaration"), as well as the entirety of Exhibit 2032 (Excerpts of the June 10, 2021 Rebuttal Expert Report of Moungi Bawendi, Ph.D.) ("Bawendi Rebuttal Expert Report") and Exhibit 2034 (Excerpts of the June 16, 2021 Deposition Transcript of Moungi G. Bawendi, Ph.D.) ("Bawendi Transcript"), which are being filed concurrently with this Motion. Sealing the material set forth below is required to maintain confidentiality designations made by Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung" or "Petitioner") during the related district court proceeding, Nanoco Technologies Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., C.A. No. 2:20-cv-00038-JRG (E.D. Tex.) (the "District Court Proceeding").

Nanoco further requests entry of the Board's Default Protective Order attached as Appendix 1.

The parties have not met and conferred on this Motion. On August 10, 2021, counsel for Nanoco contacted counsel for Petitioner by email and requested dedesignation of certain portions of Exhibit 2032 and Exhibit 2034 that Nanoco



planned to submit with its Patent Owner Response and Expert Declaration. As of the time of this filing, Nanoco has not yet received a response from counsel for Petitioner to Nanoco's August 10, 2021 request for de-designation.

II. LEGAL STANDARD FOR SEALING THE IDENTIFIED INFORMATION

Documents filed in an IPR are generally available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14. However, the Board may, for good cause, protect confidential information from public disclosure. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; *see also Garmin Int'l v. Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 36 at 3-4 (PTAB Apr. 5, 2013). Where possible, parties should redact sensitive information from their filings, rather than seek to seal entire documents. Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48761 (2012).

When determining good cause, the Board must balance the public's interest in a complete and understandable file history with the party's interest in protecting sensitive information. *See Garmin*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 36, 3-4 (citing Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48760). However, the public's interest in having access to a party's confidential business that is only indirectly related to patent validity is "minimal." *Id.* at 8-9 (granting the patent owner's motion to seal an agreement relating to the "commercializ[ation]" of the patent-at- issue).

Nanoco is submitting excerpts of the Bawendi Rebuttal Expert Report (Exhibit 2032) and the Bawendi Transcript (Exhibit 2034) with its Response and



Expert Declaration. Nanoco's Response and Expert Declaration also reference and include content from these same documents. The Bawendi Rebuttal Expert Report excerpts and the Bawendi Transcript excerpts to be sealed were designated as confidential by the Petitioner during the District Court Proceeding pursuant to a protective order, and Nanoco's request is based on the need to respect that designation and maintain the non-disclosure of information, at least until such time as Petitioner responds to Nanoco's request for de-designation. The Response and Expert Declaration also include and quote information from those same exhibits (Exhibits 2032 and 2034). *See, e.g.*, Paper No. 25 at pp. 3, 38-40; Exhibit 2030 ¶107.

In addition, pursuant to paragraph 5(A)(ii) of the Default Protective Order, Patent Owner has filed a redacted version of the Response (*see* Paper No. 26) and Expert Declaration in an attempt to minimize the impact on the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable record.

¹ It is Nanoco's position that there is no basis to designate any of the cited portions of the Bawendi Rebuttal Expert Report and the Bawendi Transcript as confidential under any protective order, but Nanoco is filing this motion out of respect for the District Court protective order and in light of the fact that Nanoco has not yet received a response from counsel for Petitioner to Nanoco's August 10, 2021 request for de-designation.



III. CERTIFICATION OF CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.54

Nanoco has attempted to confer in good faith with Petitioner regarding the confidentiality designation attached to Exhibit 2032 and Exhibit 2034 to determine if this motion to seal was necessary. As of the date of this filing, Nanoco has not received a substantive response from Petitioner regarding those exhibits. As such, Nanoco respectfully requests that the Board enter Default Protective Order in this proceeding.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

