

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, U.S.A., and
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION DELAWARE,
Petitioners

v.

MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,651,134
Issue Date: November 18, 2003

Title: MEMORY DEVICE WITH FIXED LENGTH NON INTERRUPTIBLE
BURST

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET. SEQ.*

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	1
A. Introduction	1
B. Experience.....	2
C. Publications and Presentations	3
D. Professional Activities.....	4
E. Testimony in Other Cases	5
II. MATERIALS REVIEWED	5
III. LEGAL PRINCIPLES USED IN THE ANALYSIS	6
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	12
V. STATE OF THE ART	13
A. Integrated Circuit Memories	13
B. Burst Mode	14
VI. THE ‘134 PATENT	16
A. Overview	16
B. Admitted Prior Art.....	17
C. Relevant Prosecution History of the ‘134 Patent	22
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	24
VIII. HOW CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	24
A. Ground 1: Anticipation Over Zagar	24
1. Independent Claim 1	25
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	30
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	31
4. Dependent Claim 5.....	31
5. Dependent Claim 8.....	32
6. Dependent Claim 9.....	32
7. Dependent Claim 10	32
8. Dependent Claim 12	34
9. Dependent Claim 13	34
10. Dependent Claim 14	34
11. Independent Claim 16	35
12. Independent Claim 17	38
13. Dependent Claim 18	39

Table of Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
14. Dependent Claim 21	39
B. Ground 2: Obviousness Over Zagar In View of Fujioka	39
1. Independent Claim 1	41
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	42
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	43
4. Dependent Claim 4.....	43
5. Dependent Claim 5.....	45
6. Dependent Claim 6.....	46
7. Dependent Claim 7.....	47
8. Dependent Claim 8.....	48
9. Dependent Claim 9.....	48
10. Dependent Claim 10	48
11. Dependent Claim 12	49
12. Dependent Claim 13	49
13. Dependent Claim 14	49
14. Independent Claim 16	49
15. Independent Claim 17	50
16. Dependent Claim 18	51
17. Dependent Claim 19	51
18. Dependent Claim 20	51
19. Dependent Claim 21	52
C. Ground 3: Obviousness Over Zagar In View of Lysinger	52
20. Dependent Claim 11	55
21. Dependent Claim 15	55
D. Ground 4: Anticipation By Takasugi	56
1. Independent Claim 1	56
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	62
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	63
4. Dependent Claim 4.....	63
5. Dependent Claim 5.....	64
6. Dependent Claim 11	64
7. Dependent Claim 12	65
8. Dependent Claim 13	65
9. Dependent Claim 15	66
10. Independent Claim 16	66

Table of Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
11. Independent Claim 17	67
12. Dependent Claim 18	68
E. Ground 5: Obviousness Over Takasugi In View of Fujioka.....	69
1. Independent Claim 1	69
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	70
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	70
4. Dependent Claim 4.....	70
5. Dependent Claim 5.....	70
6. Dependent Claim 6.....	71
7. Dependent Claim 7.....	71
8. Dependent Claim 11	71
9. Dependent Claim 12	72
10. Dependent Claim 13	72
11. Dependent Claim 14	72
12. Dependent Claim 15	72
13. Independent Claim 16	73
14. Independent Claim 17	73
15. Dependent Claim 18	74
16. Dependent Claim 19	74
17. Dependent Claim 20	74
F. Ground 6: Obviousness Over Takasugi In View of Zagar.....	75
18. Dependent Claim 8.....	75
19. Dependent Claim 9.....	75
20. Dependent Claim 10	76
21. Dependent Claim 21	76
G. Ground 7: Anticipation By Wada.....	77
1. Independent Claim 1	84
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	90
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	91
4. Dependent Claim 8.....	92
5. Dependent Claim 12	92
6. Dependent Claim 13	93
7. Independent Claim 16	94
8. Independent Claim 17	96
H. Ground 8: Obviousness Over Wada In View of Takasugi.....	97

....

Table of Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
1. Independent Claim 1	97
2. Dependent Claim 2.....	97
3. Dependent Claim 3.....	97
4. Dependent Claim 4.....	98
5. Dependent Claim 8.....	98
6. Dependent Claim 11	98
7. Dependent Claim 12	98
8. Dependent Claim 13	99
9. Dependent Claim 15	99
10. Independent Claim 16	99
11. Independent Claim 17	100
I. Ground 9: Obviousness Over Wada In View of Zagar.....	101
1. Dependent Claim 5.....	101
2. Dependent Claim 9.....	101
3. Dependent Claim 10	102
4. Dependent Claim 14	102
5. Dependent Claim 18	102
6. Dependent Claim 21	103
J. Ground 10: Obviousness Over Wada In View of Zagar And Further In View of Fujioka.....	103
1. Dependent Claim 6.....	103
2. Dependent Claim 7.....	103
3. Dependent Claim 19	104
4. Dependent Claim 20	104
IX. CONCLUSION.....	104

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.