

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MOBILEIRON, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

CASE No. IPR2021-00162
U.S. Patent No. 8,442,489
Issue Date: May 14, 2013

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONFIGURING DEVICES FOR SECURE OPERATIONS

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,442,489**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | Mandatory Notices Under §42.8(A)(1) | 1 |
| A. | Real Party-In-Interest under §42.8(b)(1)..... | 1 |
| B. | Related Matters under §42.8(b)(2) | 2 |
| C. | Lead and Back-Up Counsel under §42.8(b)(3)..... | 3 |
| II. | Fee Payment..... | 5 |
| III. | Requirements Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 | 5 |
| A. | Grounds for Standing | 5 |
| B. | Identification of Challenge and Statement of Relief Requested | 5 |
| C. | List of Claims Challenged..... | 6 |
| D. | Considerations under 35 U.S.C. §325(d) | 12 |
| IV. | Overview of the '489 Patent..... | 12 |
| A. | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... | 12 |
| B. | The '489 Patent | 13 |
| C. | Prosecution of the '489 Patent | 15 |
| V. | Claim Construction..... | 16 |
| VI. | Overview of the Prior Art..... | 17 |
| A. | Mann [Ex.1005]..... | 17 |
| B. | Kaplan [Ex.1006] | 18 |
| C. | S/MIME Profile [Ex.1007]..... | 19 |
| VII. | The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable | 20 |
| A. | GROUND 1: Claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 15-18, 22 and 23 Are Anticipated by Mann..... | 20 |
| 1. | Independent Claim 1 | 20 |
| 2. | Claim 4..... | 40 |
| 3. | Claim 5..... | 42 |
| 4. | Independent Claim 10..... | 43 |
| 5. | Claim 15 | 52 |
| 6. | Claim 16..... | 52 |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,442,489

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 7. | Independent Claim 17 | 52 |
| 8. | Claim 18 | 55 |
| 9. | Claim 22 | 56 |
| 10. | Claim 23 | 56 |
| B. | GROUND 2: Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10-20, 22, and 23 Are Unpatentable as Obvious over Mann in View of Kaplan | 57 |
| 1. | Motivation to Combine Mann and Kaplan | 57 |
| 2. | Independent Claim 1 | 59 |
| 3. | Claims 4 and 5..... | 62 |
| 4. | Claim 7 | 62 |
| 5. | Independent Claim 10..... | 64 |
| 6. | Claim 11 | 64 |
| 7. | Claim 12 | 66 |
| 8. | Claim 13..... | 67 |
| 9. | Claim 14..... | 69 |
| 10. | Claims 15 and 16..... | 70 |
| 11. | Independent Claim 17 | 70 |
| 12. | Claim 18 | 71 |
| 13. | Claim 19 | 71 |
| 14. | Claim 20 | 71 |
| 15. | Claim 22 | 72 |
| 16. | Claim 23 | 73 |
| C. | GROUND 3: Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 21 Are Unpatentable as Obvious over Mann in View of S/MIME-Profile | 73 |
| 1. | Motivation to Combine Mann and S/MIME-Profile | 73 |
| 2. | Claim 2..... | 76 |
| 3. | Claim 3 | 77 |
| 4. | Claim 6..... | 78 |
| 5. | Claim 8..... | 80 |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,442,489

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----------|
| 6. | Claim 9 | 84 |
| 7. | Claim 21 | 85 |
| D. | GROUND 4: Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 21 Are Unpatentable as Obvious over Mann in View of Kaplan, Further in View of S/MIME-Profile..... | 86 |
| E. | Secondary Considerations | 88 |
| VIII. | CONCLUSION..... | 88 |

LIST OF EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION |
|--------------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. 8,442,489 (the “’489 Patent”) |
| 1002 | Declaration of Markus Jakobsson, Ph.D. |
| 1003 | File History of the ’489 Patent |
| 1004 | File History of U.S. 8,010,989 |
| 1005 | U.S. 7,665,118 to Mann <i>et al.</i> (Mann) |
| 1006 | U.S. 7,043,263 to Kaplan <i>et al.</i> (Kaplan) |
| 1007 | National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST), “ <i>Federal S/MIME V3 Client Profile</i> ,” NIST Special Publication 800-49 (2002) (S/MIME-Profile) |
| 1008 | R. Karri and P. Mishra, “Minimizing Energy Consumption of Secure Wireless Session with QoS Constraints,” in Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Communications Conference (ICC 2002) vol. 4, pp. 2053- 2057 (2002) (Karri) |
| 1009 | Aoki, Kazumaro, and Helger Lipmaa, “Fast Implementations of AES Candidates,” in Proceedings of AES Candidate Conference, pp. 106-120 (2000). |
| 1010 | Srivaths Ravi <i>et al.</i> , “Security in Embedded Systems: Design Challenges,” 3 ACM Transactions on Embedded Computing Systems, pp. 461–491 (2004) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.