Case 3:20-cv-02877 Document 1 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 27

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 1739) nchatterjee@goodwinlaw.com MONTE M.F. COOPER (STATE BAR NO. 1967) mcooper@goodwinlaw.com ANDREW S. ONG (STATE BAR NO. 267889) aong@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 601 Marshall Street Redwood City, California 94063 Telephone: +1-650-752-3100 Facsimile: +1-650-853-1038 RACHEL M. WALSH (STATE BAR NO. 25056) rwalsh@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: +1-415-733-6000 Facsimile: +1-415-677-9041	746)
1 2	Attorneys for Plaintiff MOBILEIRON, INC.	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	MOBILEIRON, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No.
.6	Plaintiffs, v.	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT, ATTEMPTED EXTORTION, VIOLATION OF
8	BLACKBERRY CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, BLACKBERRY LTD., a Canadian company, and DOES 1-50, Defendants.	CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF OF NON- INFRINGEMENT, UNCLEAN HANDS AND PATENT MISUSE DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



Plaintiff MobileIron, Inc. ("MobileIron") alleges as follows:

2

1

BACKGROUND

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. This is an action to stop the unlawful activities of Blackberry Corporation and BlackBerry Ltd. (collectively, "the Blackberry Defendants"). The Blackberry Defendants built a technology made obsolete. Due to Blackberry's shrinking presence in the marketplace, it decided to shake companies down by making countless meritless patent assertions to generate licensing revenue instead of competing in the marketplace. The Blackberry Defendants have largely become dependent on licensing revenue by seeking portfolio licenses that include patents they know are statutorily surrendered and by asserting infringement theories through unlawful notice letters that would not pass muster in any court of law and extracting extortionate license fees as it tries to rebuild its company on the backs of other companies' technologies. MobileIron is the latest target of Blackberry's unlawful strategy.

- 2. MobileIron, a software company founded in 2007, is a pioneer in enterprise management of mobile security and management for smartphones and tablet computers, such as Apple's iPhone and Samsung's Galaxy Android devices. MobileIron's award-winning and industry-leading Unified Endpoint Management ("UEM") platform includes passwordless zero sign-on ("ZSO"), multi-factor authentication ("MFA"), and mobile threat defense ("MTD") capabilities. Together they validate the device, establish user context, check app authorization, verify the network, and detect and remediate threats before granting secure access to a device or user. The result is a seamless, secure user experience that automates access control decisions to ensure that only authorized users, devices, apps, and services can access business resources. MobileIron products and services include UEM, Access, Sentry, Mobile Threat Defense, and AppConnect.
- 3. Blackberry uses revenue derived from its shakedowns to try to reinvent itself and to rebuild its company by taking the pioneering inventions of MobileIron. Blackberry offers products and services with the names "Blackberry Intelligent Security," "Enterprise Mobility Suite" (which includes a UEM service), "Enterprise BRIDGE," and "QNX CAR Platform for Infotainment." All of these products and services infringe MobileIron's patents, as set forth



herein.

THE PARTIES

- 4. MobileIron is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 490 East Middlefield Road, Mountain View, California 94043.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant BlackBerry Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the law of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 5000 Riverside Drive, Suite 100E, Irving, Texas 75039. On information and belief, Blackberry maintains offices in this district at 331 Fairchild Drive, Mountain View, CA 94024 and 3001 Bishop Drive #400, San Ramon, CA 94583.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant BlackBerry Ltd. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the country of Canada, with its principal place of business at 2200 University Avenue East, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2K 0A7. Upon information and belief, in 2015, Blackberry Limited acquired for \$425 million in cash Good Technology Corporation ("Good Technology"), a company whose headquarters are located at 430 North Mary Avenue, Suite 200, Sunnyvale, California 94085. Upon information and belief, BlackBerry Ltd. continues to operate Good Technology as a wholly owned subsidiary of BlackBerry Ltd.
- 7. MobileIron owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for patent infringement of United States Patent No. 8,359,016 ("the '016 patent"), entitled "Management of Mobile Applications," issued January 22, 2013. A true and accurate copy of the '016 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
- 8. MobileIron owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for patent infringement of United States Patent No. 9,426,120 ("the '120 patent"), entitled "Location and Time Based App Policies," issued August 23, 2016. A true and accurate copy of the '120 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B.
- 9. MobileIron owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for patent infringement of United States Patent No. 8,869,307 ("the '307 patent"), entitled "Mobile Posture-Based Policy, Remediation and Access Control for Enterprise Resources," issued October 21,



6

8

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

2014. A true and accurate copy of the '307 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.

- 10. MobileIron owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for patent infringement of United States Patent No. 10,038,598 ("the '598 patent"), entitled "Leveraging and Extending Mobile Operating System MDM Protocol," issued July 31, 2018. A true and accurate copy of the '598 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit D.
- 11. Defendants BlackBerry Corporation and/or BlackBerry Ltd. purport to be the owner, assignee, and/or exclusive licensee of, and purport to have all right, title and interest in, and standing to sue for patent infringement of, each of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,005,469 ("the '469 patent"); 8,544,084 ("the '084 patent"); 9,282,099 ("the '099 patent"); RE44,746 ("the Reissue '746 patent"); 8,442,489 ("the '489 patent"); 9,270,682 ("the '682 patent"); 7,372,961 ("the '961 patent"); 8,931,045 ("the '045 patent"); 8,554,175 ("the '175 patent"); and 9,077,769 ("the '769 patent").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This action involves claims for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and claims for declaratory relief arising under the Declaratory Judgment Act, Title 28 of the United States Code. This action also involves California state law claims for attempted extortion and for violation of California Business & Professions Code section 17200.
- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over MobileIron's claims for infringement against Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202, because the claims arise under the patent laws of the United States.
- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under the Declaratory Judgment Act and 14. the patent laws of the United States, more particularly under Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Title 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et. seq., respectively, with respect to Plaintiff MobileIron's claims for declaratory relief with respect to patents owned, assigned, and or exclusively licensed to Defendants.
- 15. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over MobileIron's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because such claims are so related to the federal claims that they



form part of the same case or controversy and derive from a common nucleus of operative facts.

- 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the BlackBerry Defendants for purposes of MobileIron's claims for patent infringement because both Defendants transact business in the State of California and have, at a minimum, offered to provide and/or provided in this judicial district and throughout the State of California products and services that infringe claims of the '016, '120, '307 and '598 patents.
- 17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the BlackBerry Defendants for purposes of MobileIron's claims for declaratory relief because the BlackBerry Defendants have accused MobileIron of providing products and services that the BlackBerry Defendants allege infringe on or more claims of each of the '084, '099, Reissue '746, '489, '682, '961, '045, '175, and '769 patents as well as 32 other patents.
- 18. A true and accurate copy of correspondence dated August 26, 2019, in which counsel for BlackBerry Corporation purports to put MobileIron on notice of infringing each of the '469, '084, '099, Reissue '746, '489, '682, '961, '045, '175, and '769 patents, is attached hereto as Exhibit E. A true and accurate copy of correspondence dated March 9, 2020, in which counsel for BlackBerry Corporation again purported to put MobileIron on notice of infringing each of the '469, '084, '099, Reissue '746, '489, '682, '961, '045, '175, and '769 patents, as well as 32 other patents, is attached hereto as Exhibit F. In each instance, Blackberry provided no claim chart or explanation. In fact, at least one of the patents identified in the letters was either surrendered and/or unenforceable as a matter of law.
- 19. Based on the acts, conduct and statements of the BlackBerry Defendants, there exists an actual and substantial controversy, within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, between MobileIron and the BlackBerry Defendants, as to whether MobileIron or any of the products and services sold and/or offered for sale by MobileIron have infringed, or continue to infringe, any of the claims of the '469, '084, '099, Reissue '746, '489, '682, '961, '045, '175, and '769 patents. The existing controversy is of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, as set forth further herein.
 - 20. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(b).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

