

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3
4 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.)
5 LTD., SAMSUNG)
6 ELECTRONICS AMERICA,)
7 INC., AND APPLE, INC.,)
8) CASE IPR2021-00145
9 Petitioners)
10) U.S. PATENT NO.
11 VS.) 8,812,993
12)
13 NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,)
14)
15 Patent Owner)

16 *****

17 REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION OF

18 DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG

19 NOVEMBER 17, 2021

20 *****

21 REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION of DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG,
22 produced as a witness at the instance of the
23 Petitioners, and duly sworn, was taken in the
24 above-styled and numbered cause on November 17, 2021,
25 from 11:03 a.m. to 4:06 p.m., before Heather L. Garza,
CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, recorded by
machine shorthand, at the offices of HEATHER L. GARZA,
CSR, RPR, The Woodlands, Texas, pursuant to the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions
stated on the record or attached hereto; that the
deposition shall be read and signed.

22
23
24
25

1 R E M O T E A P P E A R A N C E S

2

3 FOR THE PETITIONERS:

4 Ms. Tiffany Miller
5 DLA PIPER (US) LLP
6 401 B Street, Suite 1700
7 San Diego, California 92101
8 (619) 699-2700
9 tiffany.miller@us.dlapiper.com

7

-and-

8

9 Mr. David L. Holt
10 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
11 1000 Maine Avenue SW
12 Washington, D.C. 20024
13 (202) 626-7783
14 david.holt@fr.com

12

13 FOR THE PATENT OWNER:

13

14 Mr. Philip Graves
15 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, LLP
16 301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 920
17 Pasadena, California 91101
18 (213) 330-7150
19 philipg@hbsslaw.com

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 EXAMINATION INDEX

2 WITNESS: DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG

3 EXAMINATION PAGE
BY MS. MILLER 4

4

5

SIGNATURE REQUESTED 128

6

7

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 129

8

9

EXHIBIT INDEX

10

11 EXHIBIT NO.1001 PAGE
4

United States Patent No. 8,812,993 dated
12 August 19, 2014

13 EXHIBIT NO.1003 4

File History of '993 Patent

14

15 EXHIBIT NO.1005 4

Certified Translation of Published
Patent Application No. 2002-55750 dated
16 August 10, 2000

17 EXHIBIT NO.1006 4

Improving Selection Performance on
18 Pen-Based Systems: A Study of Pen-Based
Interaction for Selection Tasks by
19 Xiangshi Ren and Shinji Moriya

20 EXHIBIT NO.1007 4

United States Patent No. 5,422,656 dated
21 June 6, 1995

22 EXHIBIT NO.1029 4

United States Patent No. 5,821,930 dated
23 October 13, 1998

24 EXHIBIT NO.2013 4

Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D.

25

1 (Exhibit Nos. 1001, 1003, 1005, 1006,
2 1007, 1029 and 2013 were previously marked.)

3 DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG,
4 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

5 EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. MILLER:

7 Q. Good morning, Dr. Rosenberg.

8 A. Good morning.

9 Q. Have you been deposed before?

10 A. I have, yes.

11 Q. How many times?

12 A. I believe 36.

13 Q. 36. And were those all patent-related cases?

14 A. No. But the majority were.

15 Q. When was the last time you were deposed?

16 A. I'd say about two months ago roughly, two to
17 three months ago.

18 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's
19 deposition?

20 A. I read my report, my declarations. I
21 reviewed the '993 patent. I reviewed some of the
22 prior art that was asserted in this case. I spoke
23 with Phil Graves and Mark Carlson.

24 Q. Did you speak with anyone else to prepare for
25 your deposition besides Phil and Mark?

1 **A. I did not.**

2 Q. (BY MS. MILLER) So we'll start with Exhibit
3 2013, which is your declaration that was submitted in
4 this IPR proceeding.

5 **A. Okay.**

6 Q. Do you have that in front of you?

7 **A. I do, yes.**

8 Q. Is this the declaration you submitted in IPR
9 2021-00145?

10 **A. 2021-00145. That's correct.**

11 Q. And are these still your opinions?

12 **A. Yes. They are.**

13 Q. Do you have any corrections to your opinions
14 that are in this declaration?

15 **A. No corrections to my opinions. I think in
16 going through and reviewing it, I found few minor
17 typos, but -- but no substantive changes at all.**

18 Q. Are you aware that there's a district court
19 case between Samsung and Neonode and Apple and
20 Neonode?

21 **A. Yes. I'm aware.**

22 Q. Did you review any documents from that
23 district court proceeding?

24 **A. I certainly didn't in preparing for this
25 deposition. I really can't recall if I had reviewed**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.