

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Magnus Goertz
U.S. Patent No.: 8,812,993
Issue Date: August 19, 2014
Appl. Serial No.: 13/310,755
Filing Date: December 4, 2011
Title: USER INTERFACE

Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0016IP1

DECLARATION OF DR. BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| II. | BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS..... | 1 |
| III. | INFORMATION CONSIDERED | 15 |
| IV. | RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS..... | 18 |
| | A. Claim Interpretation | 18 |
| | B. Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art | 18 |
| | C. Anticipation..... | 19 |
| | D. Obviousness | 19 |
| V. | SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS..... | 22 |
| VI. | THE '993 PATENT | 23 |
| | A. The '993 Patent Alleged Invention | 23 |
| | B. The '993 Patent Prosecution History | 29 |
| | C. Priority Date..... | 32 |
| | D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... | 32 |
| | E. Claim Construction | 33 |
| VII. | THE PRIOR ART | 33 |
| | A. Well-known Concepts as of the Critical Date..... | 33 |
| | 1. Electronic Devices Comprising Touch-sensitive Display Screens and Computer Readable Medium for Storing Instructions to Enable a User Interface for said Device | 33 |
| | 2. User Interfaces Comprising a State Where Tap- Activatable Icons Are Absent to Avoid a Cluttered Screen | 36 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 3. | User Interfaces Comprising a State Where A Plurality of Tap-Activatable Icons Are Present..... | 37 |
| 4. | Transitioning Between States Within a User Interface Using a Touch-and-Glide Gesture..... | 43 |
| B. | Prior Art Combinations..... | 45 |
| 1. | Hisatomi, Ren, Allard-656, Tanaka, and Kodoma | 45 |
| 2. | Hansen, Gillespie, Allard-656, Tanaka, and Kodama | 60 |
| VIII. | DETAILED EXPLANATION OF UNPATENTABILITY..... | 76 |
| A. | Claims 1-3, 7, and 8 are Rendered Obvious by Hisatomi in view of the POSA Knowledge and/or Ren | 76 |
| 1. | Claim 1 | 76 |
| 2. | Claim 2: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein any state transition elicited by a user gesture that begins at a location at which the otherwise-activatable graphic is provided, transitions to the tap-present state.”..... | 108 |
| 3. | Claim 3: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the tap-present state does not display the tap-activatable icons within a window frame.” | 109 |
| 4. | Claim 7: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the strip is less than a thumb's width wide within the display screen”..... | 111 |
| 5. | Claim 8: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the multi-step user gesture comprises (i) the object touching the otherwise-activatable graphic, and (ii) the object gliding on the display screen away from and out of the strip.” | 113 |
| B. | Claim 4 is Rendered Obvious by Hisatomi in view of POSA Knowledge and Allard-656 and/or Ren and Allard-656..... | 115 |

| | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 1. | Claim 4: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises a help function.” | 115 |
| C. | Claim 5 is Rendered Obvious by Hisatomi in view of POSA Knowledge and Tanaka and/or Ren and Tanaka | 119 |
| 1. | Claim 5: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises a clock function.” | 119 |
| D. | Claim 6 is Rendered Obvious by Hisatomi in view of POSA Knowledge and Kodoma and/or Ren and Kodoma | 123 |
| 1. | Claim 6: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises an alarm function.” | 123 |
| E. | Claims 1-3, 7, and 8 are Rendered Obvious by Hansen in view of Gillespie..... | 126 |
| 1. | Claim 1 | 126 |
| 2. | Claim 2: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein any state transition elicited by a user gesture that begins at a location at which the otherwise-activatable graphic is provided, transitions to the tap-present state.” | 140 |
| 3. | Claim 3: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the tap-present state does not display the tap-activatable icons within a window frame.” | 142 |
| 4. | Claim 7: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the strip is less than a thumb's width wide within the display screen” | 144 |
| 5. | Claim 8: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the multi-step user gesture comprises (i) the object touching the otherwise-activatable graphic, and (ii) the object gliding on the display screen away from and out of the strip.” | 145 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| F. | Claim 4 is Rendered Obvious by Hansen in view of Gillespie and Allard-656 | 146 |
| 1. | Claim 4: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises a help function.” | 146 |
| G. | Claim 5 is Rendered Obvious by Hansen in view of Gillespie and Tanaka | 148 |
| 1. | Claim 5: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises a clock function.” | 148 |
| H. | Claim 6 is Rendered Obvious by Hansen in view of Gillespie and Kodama | 151 |
| 1. | Claim 6: “The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the plurality of pre-designated system functions comprises an alarm function.” | 151 |
| IX. | CONCLUSION | 155 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.