

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Magnus Goertz
U.S. Patent No.: 8,095,879 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0015IP1
Issue Date: January 10, 2012
Appl. Serial No.: 10/315,250
Filing Date: December 10, 2002
Title: USER INTERFACE FOR MOBILE HANDHELD COMPUTER
UNIT

DECLARATION OF DR. BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	7
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	7
III.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED	21
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS.....	24
	A. Claim Interpretation.....	24
	B. Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	24
	C. Anticipation.....	25
	D. Obviousness	25
V.	SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS	28
VI.	THE '879 PATENT	29
	A. Alleged Invention.....	29
	B. The '879 Patent Prosecution History	34
	C. Priority Date.....	37
	D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	38
	E. Claim Construction	39
VII.	The Prior Art	39
	A. Well Known Devices and User Interface Design Considerations	39
	B. Ren (EX1004).....	43
	C. Hirayama307 (EX1006).....	45
	D. Tanaka (EX1005).....	48
	E. Allard (EX1010) and IBM Simon (EX1011).....	50

F.	Henckel (EX1013)	52
G.	Hirayama878 (EX1009).....	53
H.	Motivation to Combine Ren, Tanaka, Hirayama307, Hirayama878, and Allard.....	53
I.	Jermyn (EX1014).....	54
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	58
A.	Claims 1, 14, 15, 16, and 17 are rendered obvious by the combination of Ren and Tanaka	58
1.	Claim 1	58
2.	Claim 14: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the touch sensitive area is 2-3 inches in diagonal dimension.....	84
3.	Claim 15: The computer readable medium of claim 1, characterised in, that said computer program code is adapted to function as a shell upon an operating system.....	85
4.	Claim 16: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the representation is finger-sized.	87
5.	Claim 17: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the location where the representation is provided does not provide touch functionality for a different function.	88
B.	Claims 2, 4, and 5 are rendered obvious by the combination of Ren, Tanaka, and Hirayama307.....	90
1.	Claim 2: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display icons representing different services or settings for a currently active application.	90
2.	Claim 4: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display a keyboard and a text field.	92

3.	Claim 5: The computer readable medium of claim 4, wherein said text field is used for inputting and editing of text through said keyboard.	95
C.	Claim 3 is rendered obvious by the combination of Ren, Tanaka, Hirayama307, and alternatively Hirayama878	97
1.	Claim 3: The computer readable medium of claim 2, wherein the user interface is characterised in, that a selection of a preferred service or setting is done by tapping on a display icon corresponding to the preferred service or setting.	97
D.	Claims 6 and 13 are rendered obvious by the combination of Ren, Tanaka, and Allard	101
1.	Claim 6: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display a list with a library of available applications and files on the mobile handheld computer unit.	101
2.	Claim 13: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the user interface is characterised in, that said representation of said function is located at the bottom of said touch sensitive area.	104
E.	Claim 12 is rendered obvious by the combination of Ren, Tanaka, and Henckel.....	107
1.	Claim 12: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the user interface is characterised in, that an active application, function, service or setting is advanced one step by gliding the object along the touch sensitive area from left to right, and that the active application, function, service or setting is closed or backed one step by gliding the object along the touch sensitive area from right to left.	107
F.	Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16, and 17 are rendered obvious by the combination of Hirayama307 and Ren	109

1.	Claim 1	109
2.	Claim 2: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display icons representing different services or settings for a currently active application.	126
3.	Claim 4: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display a keyboard and a text field.	128
4.	Claim 5: The computer readable medium of claim 4, wherein said text field is used for inputting and editing of text through said keyboard.	129
5.	Claim 14: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the touch sensitive area is 2-3 inches in diagonal dimension.....	131
6.	Claim 15: The computer readable medium of claim 1, characterised in, that said computer program code is adapted to function as a shell upon an operating system.....	132
7.	Claim 16: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the representation is finger-sized.....	133
8.	Claim 17: The computer readable medium of claim 1, wherein the location where the representation is provided does not provide touch functionality for a different function.....	135
G.	Claim 3 is rendered obvious by the combination of Hirayama307, Ren, and Hirayama878.....	136
1.	Claim 3: The computer readable medium of claim 2, wherein the user interface is characterised in, that a selection of a preferred service or setting is done by tapping on a display icon corresponding to the preferred service or setting.....	136
H.	Claims 6 and 13 are rendered obvious by the combination of Hirayama307, Ren, and Allard	140

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.