

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., AND APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00144
Patent 8,095,879

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

EXHIBIT 1073

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS STRONGLY SUPPORT A FINDING OF NONOBVIOUSNESS.	1
II.	PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW ACTIVATION BY “GLIDING ... AWAY” (ALL CLAIMS).....	6
A.	The Plain Meaning And The Intrinsic Record Confirm That “Gliding ... Away” Is Distinct From A Drag-And-Drop.	7
1.	The Plain Meaning Confirms “Gliding” And “Dragging” Are Distinct.	7
2.	The Applicant Disclaimed “Drag-and-Drop.”.....	9
3.	Petitioner’s Position That Any Movement Constitutes “Gliding” Is Unsubstantiated And Contrary To The Intrinsic Record.	12
B.	Petitioner’s Argument That Hirayama-307’s Operation Is Not Drag-And-Drop Is Incorrect.....	15
III.	PETITIONER’S GROUNDS FAIL TO DISCLOSE OR RENDER OBVIOUS “WHEREIN THE REPRESENTATION OF THE FUNCTION IS NOT RELOCATED OR DUPLICATED” (ALL CLAIMS).....	23
A.	Petitioner’s Belated Claim That Hirayama-307 Discloses No Duplication Or Relocation Contradicts Hirayama-307’s Express Disclosure.	23
B.	Petitioner Fails To Present Any Proper Motivation To Modify Hirayama-307 So As Not To Duplicate Or Relocate The Icon.	25
C.	Petitioners Fail To Provide Any Motivation To Modify Hirayama-307 In View Of Ren.....	28
IV.	PETITIONER’S CLAIM 6 ARGUMENTS ARE UNTIMELY.	29
V.	PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW DISCLOSURE OF CLAIM 15.....	30
VI.	IMPROPERLY INCORPORATED PORTIONS OF DR. BEDERSON’S REPLY DECLARATION SHOULD BE DISREGARDED.	31
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

COURT DECISIONS

<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	9
<i>Uship Intellectual Props., LLC v. United States</i> , 714 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	9
<i>Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Cont'l Auto. Sys., Inc.</i> , 853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	27

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

<i>Dish Network L.L.C. v. Sound View Innovations LLC</i> , IPR2020-01276, Paper 40 (PTAB Feb. 8, 2022)	27
<i>Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC</i> , IPR2014-00919, Paper 37 (PTAB, Dec. 7, 2015).....	13
<i>Merial Limited v. Virbac</i> , IPR2014-01279, Paper 13 (Jan. 22, 2015).....	13

OTHER AUTHORITIES

FED. R. EVID. § 801(d)(2).....	4
FED. R. EVID. § 803(3).....	4

EXHIBIT LIST

2001	Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D. [Rosenberg-Decl.]
2002	CV of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D. [Rosenberg CV]
2003	Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, p. 243 (3d ed. 1997) [Microsoft-Dictionary]
2004	Declaration of Nathan Lowenstein in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission [Lowenstein-Decl.]
2005	First Deposition Transcript of Petitioner’s Expert, Benjamin B Bederson, Feb. 28, 2022 [Bederson-Depo.]
2006	Amy K. Karlson, Benjamin B. Bederson, and John SanGiovanni, <i>Applens And Launchtile: Two Designs For One-Handed Thumb Use On Small Devices</i> , CHI 2005 PAPERS: Small Devices 1 [Bederson-Paper]
2007	Second Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D. [Rosenberg-2nd-Decl.]
2008	N2 Advertisement Video (uploaded Oct. 18, 2007) (available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hq3S8Crxf2s) [N2-Advertisement-Video]
2009	Non-Final Rejection of Application No. 10/315,250 (later issued as U.S. Patent 8,095,879), mailed Mar. 23, 2006. [2006-03-23 Non-Final Rejection]
2010	U.S. Publication No. 2004/0021643 [Hoshino]
2011	Reserved
2012	Conrad H. Blickenstorfer, <i>NeoNode N1, Can A Unique Interface Put This Compelling Smart Phone On The Map?</i> Pen Computing Magazine [Pen-Computing-Magazine-N1-Phone-Review]

2013	Conrad H. Blickenstorfer, <i>Neonode N2, A New Version Of The Phone That Pioneered Touchscreens</i> , Pen Computing Magazine, Nov. 4, 2007 [Pen-Computing-Magazine-N2-Phone-Review]
2014	Bill Hennessy, <i>The Neonode N2</i> , Trend Hunter, Aug. 18, 2008 [Trend-Hunter-Article]
2015	Trend Hunter, About page [Trend-Hunter-About]
2016	Neonode N1m First Impression [tnkgrl-Media-post]
2017	Tnkgrl About Page [tnkgrl-Media-About]
2018	Jurek Breuninger PhD Dissertation, Nov. 13, 2019 [PhD-Dissertation]
2019	Timothy B. Lee, <i>If Android Is A “Stolen Product,” Then So Was The Iphone</i> , Ars Technica, Feb. 23, 2012 [Ars-Technica-Article]
2020	Andreas Hollatz Dissertation, Oct. 2015 [Hollatz-Dissertation]
2021	<i>Hunting The iPhone Killer; Swedish Neonode Generates Buzz For Device</i> , RCR Wireless, Apr. 7, 2007 [iPhone-Killer]
2022	Declaration of Ulf Martensson [Martensson-Decl.]
2023	Declaration of Joseph Shain [Shain-Decl.]
2024	Declaration of Marcus Backlund [Backlund-Decl.]
2025	Excel Spreadsheet documenting Neonode sales [Neonode-Sales]
2026	CONFIDENTIAL Declaration of Per Bystedt [Bystedt-Decl.]
2027	Neonode Confidential Investment Memorandum, Jan. 2004 [Neonode-Investment-Memo]

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.