

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., AND APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00144
Patent 8,095,879

**PATENT OWNER NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC'S
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s Scheduling Order (Paper 27), Neonode Smartphone LLC (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests oral argument, as currently scheduled for September 6, 2022. Patent Owner respectfully requests that said oral argument be held at the PTAB’s regional office in San Jose, California. Paper 45, 2. If the Board determines that a hearing in San Jose, California is not feasible, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the hearing be conducted remotely via video conference.

Reservation of Time: Patent Owner requests an opportunity to reserve sur-rebuttal time to respond to rebuttal arguments by Petitioner, and an opportunity to provide a closing statement. *Compare, e.g., Mangrove Partners Master Fund, Ltd. et al. v. VirnetX, Inc.*, IPR2015-01046/01047, Paper 60, 2 (PTAB Jun. 2, 2016) (“Both parties may reserve some of their argument time for rebuttal, and Patent Owner will be afforded an opportunity to provide a closing statement along with any rebuttal.”). Patent Owner requests sixty minutes of total time for each side.

Issues for Argument: Without waiving any issue not specifically identified, Patent Owner specifies at least the following issues it may discuss at argument:

- Issues related to the instituted grounds (*i.e.*, that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14-17 are not obvious over Hirayama307 in view of Ren, that claim 3 is not obvious over Hirayama307 in view of Ren and Hirayama878, that claims 6, 13 are not obvious over Hirayama307 in view of Ren and Allard, and that claim 12

is not obvious over Hirayama307 in view of Henckel), including, for example, claim construction, anticipation, obviousness, motivation to combine, and reasonable expectation of success;

- The timeliness of the arguments raised by Petitioner in the proceedings;
- Any motion to exclude filed by the parties;
- Any other relevant issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding, including issues raised in papers yet to be filed; and
- Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision, including any questions, rebuttals, or arguments that may arise during the oral argument.

The Board's advance guidance is respectfully solicited as to any questions the Board specifically wishes to be addressed.

Equipment: Patent Owner requests the ability to use a computer, projector, and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Kenneth J. Weatherwax /

Kenneth J. Weatherwax, Reg. No. 54,528
Nathan Lowenstein, *Pro hac vice*
Parham Hendifar, Reg. No. 71,470
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP
Date: July 22, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents were served by electronic service, by agreement between the parties, on the date below:

**PATENT OWNER NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC'S
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

The names and address of the parties being served are as follows:

W. Karl Renner	IPR50095-0015IP1@fr.com
David Holt	holt@fr.com
Tiffany C. Miller	tiffany.miller@dlapiper.com
James M. Heintz	jim.heintz@dlapiper.com
	PTABInbound@fr.com
	axf-ptab@fr.com

Respectfully submitted,

/Vinson Lin/

Date: July 22, 2022