

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.)
4 LTD., SAMSUNG)
ELECTRONICS AMERICA,)
5 INC., AND APPLE, INC.,) CASE IPR2021-00145
6 Petitioners)
7 VS.) U.S. PATENT NO.
8 NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,) 8,812,993
9 Patent Owner)

10

11

12

REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION OF

13

DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG

14

NOVEMBER 17, 2021

15

16

17 REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION of DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG,
18 produced as a witness at the instance of the
Petitioners, and duly sworn, was taken in the
19 above-styled and numbered cause on November 17, 2021,
from 11:03 a.m. to 4:06 p.m., before Heather L. Garza,
20 CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, recorded by
machine shorthand, at the offices of HEATHER L. GARZA,
21 CSR, RPR, The Woodlands, Texas, pursuant to the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions
22 stated on the record or attached hereto; that the
deposition shall be read and signed.

22

23

24

25

1 R E M O T E A P P E A R A N C E S

2

3 FOR THE PETITIONERS:

4 Ms. Tiffany Miller
DLA PIPER (US) LLP
5 401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, California 92101
6 (619) 699-2700
tiffany.miller@us.dlapiper.com

7

-and-

8

9 Mr. David L. Holt
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
10 1000 Maine Avenue SW
Washington, D.C. 20024
(202) 626-7783
11 david.holt@fr.com

12

FOR THE PATENT OWNER:

13

14 Mr. Philip Graves
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, LLP
301 North Lake Avenue, Suite 920
15 Pasadena, California 91101
(213) 330-7150
16 philipg@hbsslaw.com

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	WITNESS: DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG	
3	EXAMINATION	PAGE
4	BY MS. MILLER	4
5		
6	SIGNATURE REQUESTED	128
7		
8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	129
9		
10	EXHIBIT INDEX	
11	EXHIBIT NO.1001	PAGE
12	United States Patent No. 8,812,993 dated	4
13	August 19, 2014	
14	EXHIBIT NO.1003	4
15	File History of '993 Patent	
16	EXHIBIT NO.1005	4
17	Certified Translation of Published	
18	Patent Application No. 2002-55750 dated	
19	August 10, 2000	
20	EXHIBIT NO.1006	4
21	Improving Selection Performance on	
22	Pen-Based Systems: A Study of Pen-Based	
23	Interaction for Selection Tasks by	
24	Xiangshi Ren and Shinji Moriya	
25	EXHIBIT NO.1007	4
	United States Patent No. 5,422,656 dated	
	June 6, 1995	
	EXHIBIT NO.1029	4
	United States Patent No. 5,821,930 dated	
	October 13, 1998	
	EXHIBIT NO.2013	4
	Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D.	

1 (Exhibit Nos. 1001, 1003, 1005, 1006,
2 1007, 1029 and 2013 were previously marked.)

3 DR. CRAIG ROSENBERG,
4 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

5 EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. MILLER:

7 Q. Good morning, Dr. Rosenberg.

8 A. Good morning.

9 Q. Have you been deposed before?

10 A. I have, yes.

11 Q. How many times?

12 A. I believe 36.

13 Q. 36. And were those all patent-related cases?

14 A. No. But the majority were.

15 Q. When was the last time you were deposed?

16 A. I'd say about two months ago roughly, two to
17 three months ago.

18 Q. What did you do to prepare for today's
19 deposition?

20 A. I read my report, my declarations. I
21 reviewed the '993 patent. I reviewed some of the
22 prior art that was asserted in this case. I spoke
23 with Phil Graves and Mark Carlson.

24 Q. Did you speak with anyone else to prepare for
25 your deposition besides Phil and Mark?

1 **A. I did not.**

2 Q. (BY MS. MILLER) So we'll start with Exhibit
3 2013, which is your declaration that was submitted in
4 this IPR proceeding.

5 **A. Okay.**

6 Q. Do you have that in front of you?

7 **A. I do, yes.**

8 Q. Is this the declaration you submitted in IPR
9 2021-00145?

10 **A. 2021-00145. That's correct.**

11 Q. And are these still your opinions?

12 **A. Yes. They are.**

13 Q. Do you have any corrections to your opinions
14 that are in this declaration?

15 **A. No corrections to my opinions. I think in
16 going through and reviewing it, I found few minor
17 typos, but -- but no substantive changes at all.**

18 Q. Are you aware that there's a district court
19 case between Samsung and Neonode and Apple and
20 Neonode?

21 **A. Yes. I'm aware.**

22 Q. Did you review any documents from that
23 district court proceeding?

24 **A. I certainly didn't in preparing for this
25 deposition. I really can't recall if I had reviewed**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.