UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS

AMERICA, INC. AND APPLE, INC.,

Petitioners

v.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00144

U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879

DECLARATION OF CRAIG ROSENBERG, PH.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u> </u>	'age
I.	INTRO	DUCTION	1
II.	QUALI	FICATIONS	1
III.	INFOR	MATION CONSIDERED	10
IV.	RELEV	ANT LEGAL STANDARDS	11
	A.	Claim Interpretation	11
	В.	Perspective of one of Ordinary Skill in the Art	11
	C.	Obviousness	12
	D.	Summary of my Opinions	14
V.	THE '8	79 PATENT	14
	A.	Priority Date	14
	В.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	15
	C.	Claim Construction	15
VI.	DETAI	LED EXPLANATION OF PATENTABILITY	16
	A.	Ground 1	16
		 A POSA Would Not have been Motivated to Incorporate the a→c→b→a Variant of Ren's Slide Off Target Selection Strategy into Tanaka (Limitation 1[c]) 	16
		2. A POSA Would Not have been Motivated to Incorporate the a→c→b→a Variant of Ren's <i>Slide Off</i> Target Selection Strategy into Tanaka (Limitation 1[d])	37
	В	Ground 2	51



Declaration of Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D. IPR2021-00144

	1.	A POSA Would Not have been Motivated to Modify Hirayama307 to Produce Limitation 1[d]	51
	2.	A POSA Would Not have been Motivated to Incorporate the a→c→b→a Variant of Ren's <i>Slide Off</i> Target Selection Strategy into Hirayama307	59
C.	Ground 3		69
	1.	Jermyn is Not in the Same Field of Endeavor as the '879 Patent, and is Not Reasonably Pertinent to the Problem the Inventor Sought to Solve	69
	2.	Jermyn Does Not Disclose Limitation 1[a]	76
	3.	Jermyn Does Not Disclose Limitation 1[b]	84



1. I, Craig Rosenberg, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 2. I have been retained by Neonode Smartphone LLC ("Respondent") as an independent expert consultant in this *inter partes* review ("IPR") proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO").
- 3. I have been asked by Respondent's counsel ("Counsel") to consider whether certain references render Claims 1-6 and 12-17 of U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879, ("the '879 Patent") (EX1001) unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103, for the reasons set forth in the Petition for Inter Partes Review of United States Patent No. 8,095,879 Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§311-319, 37 C.F.R. §4 ("Petition") and the accompanying Declaration of Dr. Benjamin B. Bederson ("Bederson Declaration"). My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below.
- 4. I am being compensated at my ordinary and customary consulting rate for my work, which is \$450 per hour. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no financial interest in this proceeding.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

5. All of my opinions stated in this declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In forming my opinions I have



relied on my knowledge and experience in human factors, user interface design, user interaction design, human-computer interaction, and software engineering.

- 6. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to do testify as to the matters set forth herein. My qualifications to testify about the '879 patent and the relevant technology are set forth in my curriculum vitae ("CV"), which I have included as EX2002. In addition, a brief summary of my qualifications is included below:
- 7. I hold a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering, a Master of Science in Human Factors, and a Ph.D. in Human Factors from the University of Washington School of Engineering. For 30 years, I have worked in the areas of human factors, user interface design, software development, software architecture, systems engineering, and modeling and simulation across a wide variety of application areas, including aerospace, communications, entertainment, and healthcare.
- 8. I graduated from the University of Washington in 1988 with a B.S. in Industrial Engineering. After graduation, I continued my studies at the University of Washington. In 1990, I obtained an M.S. in Human Factors. In 1994, I graduated with a Ph.D. in Human Factors. In the course of my doctoral studies, I worked as an Associate Assistant Human Factors Professor at the University of Washington Industrial Engineering Department. My duties included teaching,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

