## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. AND APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

## NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00144 U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879

PETITIONER SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. AND APPLE INC.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL



Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 141(c) and 319, and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), notice is hereby given that Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Apple Inc. (collectively, "Petitioner") hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision in Case No. IPR2021-00144, concerning U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879 ("879 patent"), entered December 15, 2022 (Paper 59) ("Final Written Decision") by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("the Board"), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions related thereto and included therein. This appeal is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 142, 37 C.F.R. § 90.3, Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a)(1), and Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1).

For the limited purpose of providing the Director with the information required by 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a)(2)(C), the expected issues on appeal include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- 1. The Board's decision that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14-17 of the '879 patent were not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Hirayama307 and Ren, and any finding supporting that determination;
- 2. The Board's decision that claim 3 of the '879 patent was not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Hirayama307, Ren, and Hirayama878, and any finding supporting that determination;



- 3. The Board's decision that claims 6 and 13 of the '879 patent were not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Hirayama307, Ren, and Allard, and any finding supporting that determination;
- 4. The Board's decision that claim 12 of the '879 patent was not shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Hirayama307 and Henckel, and any finding supporting that determination;
- 5. The Board's interpretation of the prior art;
- 6. The Board's analysis of secondary considerations of non-obviousness;
- 7. The Board's legal errors in undertaking the aforementioned obviousness analyses, including any implicit claim constructions that the Board applied in reaching its determinations;
- 8. The Board's findings that conflict with the evidence of record or are otherwise not supported by substantial evidence;
- 9. The Board's failure to consider arguments and evidence of record fully and properly;
- 10. The Board's reliance on conclusory and insufficient expert testimony; and
- 11. All other issues decided adversely to Petitioner in any orders, decisions, rulings, or opinions underlying or supporting the Final Written Decision.



Petitioner's Notice of Appeal IPR2021-00144 (U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(1), this notice is being filed with the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and a copy is also being filed with the Board. In addition, pursuant to Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1) and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(2), Petitioner also is electronically filing this notice with the Clerk of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and paying the fee set forth in Federal Circuit Rule 52.

Dated: January 31, 2023 Respectfully Submitted,

/W. Karl Renner/
W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265
David L. Holt, Reg. No. 65,161
Tiffany C. Miller, Reg. No. 52,032
James M. Heintz, Reg. No. 41,828

Attorneys for Petitioner



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In accordance with 37 CFR § 90.2(a)(1) and § 104.2, I hereby certify that in addition to being filed electronically through the Board's E2E System, the original version of the foregoing, Petitioner's Notice of Appeal was served by USPS Certified Mail on January 31, 2023, to the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel Madison Building East, 10B20 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314-5793

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 31, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing, Petitioner's Notice of Appeal, along with a copy of the Final Written Decision, was filed electronically with the Clerk's Office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, at the following address:

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 717 Madison Place, N.W., Suite 401 Washington, DC 20005



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

