

1 YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY (SB# 175421)
yarchaikovsky@paulhastings.com
2 PHILIP OU (SB# 259896)
philipou@paulhastings.com
3 ANDY LEGOLVAN (SB# 292520)
andylegolvan@paulhastings.com
4 JOSEPH J. RUMPLER, II (SB# 296941)
josephrumpler@paulhastings.com
5 BERKELEY FIFE (SB# 325293)
berkeleyfife@paulhastings.com
6 BORIS LUBARSKY (SB# 324896)
borislubarsky@paulhastings.com
7 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
1117 S. California Avenue
8 Palo Alto, California 94304-1106
Telephone: 1(650) 320-1800
9 Facsimile: 1(650) 320-1900

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14

15 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.,
16 Plaintiff,
17 vs.
18 DEMARAY LLC,
19 Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05676-EJD

**APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.'S
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION TO ENJOIN DEMARAY
LLC FROM PROCEEDING WITH
CUSTOMER SUITS DURING THE
PENDENCY OF THIS ACTION**

**Hearing Date: October 22, 2020
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

- I. INTRODUCTION 2
- II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 3
 - A. Demaray’s Infringement Actions against Applied’s Customers..... 3
 - B. Applied’s Declaratory Judgment Action against Demaray..... 4
- III. LEGAL ARGUMENT 7
 - A. This Court Has Authority to Enjoin a Customer Suit Where the
Manufacturer’s Action Has the Potential to Resolve Major Issues in the
Customer Suits 7
 - B. This Action Is the First Filed Action Under the “First-to-File” Rule—Not
the Customer Suits—and Regardless, the “Customer Suit” Exception
Applies 14
 - C. The Comparative Convenience of this District and the District Where the
Customer Suits Are Venued Favors This Action over the Customer Suits 17
 - D. There Are No Comity Concerns Due to the Procedural Posture of the
Customer Suits 18
- IV. CONCLUSION 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page(s)

Cases

Amazon.com, Inc. v. Corydoras Techs., LLC,
No. 1:19-cv-1095-RP, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57969 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 2, 2020) *passim*

Codex Corp. v. Milgo Electronic Corp.,
553 F.2d 735 (1st Cir. 1977) 16, 17

Corydoras Techs., LLC v. Best Buy Co.,
No. 2:19-cv-00304-JRG-RSP, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 45578 (E.D. Tex. Mar.
16, 2020) 19

Demaray LLC v. Intel Corporation,
No. 6:20-cv-634 (W.D. Tex. July 14, 2020) 3

Demaray LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, et al.,
No. 6:20-cv-636 (W.D. Tex. July 14, 2020). 3, 4

Ethicon, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.,
135 F.3d 1456 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 11

Finjan, Inc. v. Qualys Inc.,
No. 4:18-cv-07229-YGR, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65446 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 13,
2020) 10

In re Founds. Worldwide, Inc.,
542 F. App’x 998 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 14

In re Google Inc.,
588 F. App’x 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014) *passim*

Google Inc. v. Rockstar Consortium U.S. LP,
No. C 13-5933 CW, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53757 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2014) 15, 16

Kahn v. Gen. Motors Corp.,
889 F.2d 1078 (Fed. Cir. 1989)..... 8, 16

Katz v. Lear Siegler, Inc.,
909 F.2d 1459 (Fed. Cir. 1990)..... *passim*

Lab. Corp. of Am. Holdings v. Chiron Corp.,
384 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 7, 19

Merial Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd.,
681 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 14

1 *In re Nintendo of Am., Inc.*,
 2 756 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....8
 3 *Pacesetter Sys. Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc.*,
 4 678 F.2d 93 (9th Cir. 1982)..... *passim*
 5 *ProBatter Sports, LLC v. Joyner Techs., Inc.*,
 6 463 F. Supp. 2d 949 (N.D. Iowa 2006).....7, 9
 7 *Sillage LLC v. Kenrose Perfumes Inc.*,
 8 No. 8:14-cv-02043-CAS(RNBx), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 75965 (C.D. Cal.
 9 June 9, 2015)11
 10 *Spread Spectrum Screening LLC v. Eastman Kodak Co.*,
 11 657 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....9, 16
 12 *STC.UNM v. Intel Corp.*,
 13 754 F.3d 940 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....11
 14 *William Gluckin & Co. v. Int’l Playtex Corp.*,
 15 407 F.2d 177 (2d Cir. 1969).....16
 16 **Statutes**
 17 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).....10
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

1
2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 22, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as
3 the matter may be heard, Plaintiff Applied Materials, Inc. (“Applied”) will and hereby does move
4 for a preliminary injunction to enjoin Defendant Demaray LLC (“Demaray”) from proceeding
5 with patent infringement suits against Applied’s customers—Intel Corporation (“Intel”) and
6 Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., *et al.* (“Samsung”)—during the pendency of this action. *See*
7 *Demaray LLC v. Intel Corporation*, No. 6:20-cv-634 (W.D. Tex. July 14, 2020); *Demaray LLC v.*
8 *Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, et al.*, No. 6:20-cv-636 (W.D. Tex. July 14, 2020). The Motion is
9 based on this Notice of Motion, the Points and Authorities, and on other such evidence as may be
10 presented in connection with this Motion.

11 A preliminary injunction is expressly authorized and warranted under the circumstances of
12 this case and the relevant law. Demaray sued two of Applied’s customers—Intel and Samsung—
13 for patent infringement based on products manufactured by Applied that were supplied to Intel
14 and Samsung. While Demaray accused Applied’s products, Demaray did not sue Applied.
15 Therefore, to protect its customers, Applied initiated the instant declaratory judgment action to
16 seek resolution of issues that would prove dispositive of the issues presented in Demaray’s
17 customer suits. The patent infringement, patent ownership, and patent license issues presented in
18 this action will be dispositive of the majority—and potentially all—of the issues in Demaray’s
19 customer suits against Intel and Samsung. Under such circumstances, the interests of judicial
20 efficiency, preventing needless waste of judicial and party resources, and preventing the
21 possibility of conflicting decisions, a temporary halt of Demaray’s customer suits is warranted.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.