DOCKET NO.: 2211726-00179US1 Filed on behalf of Unified Patents, LLC

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476

Scott Bertulli, Reg. No. 75,886 Trishan Esram, Reg. No. 74,075

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 663-6000

Email: david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Ashraf Fawzy, Reg. No. 67,914 Roshan Mansinghani, Reg. No. 62,429 Unified Patents, LLC 1875 Connecticut Ave. NW, Floor 10 Washington, DC, 20009 Tel: (202) 871-0110

Email: afawzy@unifiedpatents.com

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC Petitioner

v.

# INTERDIGITAL VC HOLDINGS, INC. Patent Owner

IPR2021-00102 U.S. Patent 8,363,724

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,363,724 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1–4, 7–12, 15, 19–23, 26–31, 34, 38–42, 45–50, 53, 56–60, 63–68, 71, AND 74 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		r	rage			
I.	INTRODUCTION					
II.	MAN	IDATORY NOTICES	1			
	A. B. C. D.	Real Party-in-Interest	2 2			
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING					
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED3					
	A. B. C.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications  LeGall is Prior Art  Grounds of Challenge	4			
V.	TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND5					
	A. B.	Non-Virtual Reference Pictures				
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '724 PATENT9					
	A. B. C.	Alleged Invention  Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art  Prosecution History	13			
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
VIII.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION15					
	A.	Grounds I and II: Claims 1–3, 7, 9, 12, 15, 19–22, 26, 28, 31, 34, 34, 41, 45, 47, 50, 53, 56–59, 63, 65, 68, 71, and 74 are anticipated or rendered obvious by <i>Xin</i>	15 15 23			



5.	Claim 7 is rendered obvious by <i>Xin</i>	32
6.	Claim 9 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
7.	Claim 12 is rendered obvious by Xin	
8.	Claim 15 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
9.	Claim 19 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
10.	Claim 20 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
11.	Claim 21 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
12.	Claim 22 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
13.	Claim 26 is rendered obvious by Xin	44
14.	Claim 28 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	44
15.	Claim 31 is rendered obvious by Xin	45
16.	Claim 34 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	45
17.	Claim 38 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
18.	Claim 39 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
19.	Claim 40 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
20.	Claim 41 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
21.	Claim 45 is rendered obvious by <i>Xin</i>	
22.	Claim 47 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	52
23.	Claim 50 is rendered obvious by <i>Xin</i>	
24.	Claim 53 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	56
25.	Claim 56 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	57
26.	Claim 57 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	58
27.	Claim 58 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	60
28.	Claim 59 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	60
29.	Claim 63 is rendered obvious by Xin	60
30.	Claim 65 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	60
31.	Claim 68 is rendered obvious by Xin	61
32.	Claim 71 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	
33.	Claim 74 is anticipated or rendered obvious by Xin	61
Grou	nd III: Claims 4, 8, 10, 11, 23, 27, 29 30, 42, 46, 48, 49	0, 60, 64,
	nd 67 are obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
1.	Overview of LeGall	
2.	Claim 4 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
3.	Claim 8 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
4.	Claim 10 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
5.	Claim 11 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
6.	Claim 23 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	
7	Claim 27 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	



В.

# IPR2021-00102 Petition Patent 8,363,724

	8.	Claim 29 is obvious over <i>Xin</i> in view of <i>LeGall</i>	74
	9.	Claim 30 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	75
	10.	Claim 42 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	75
	11.	Claim 46 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	76
	12.	Claim 48 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	76
	13.	Claim 49 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	77
	14.	Claim 60 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	77
	15.	Claim 64 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	78
	16.	Claim 66 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	78
	17.	Claim 67 is obvious over Xin in view of LeGall	79
IX	CONCLUS	SION	79



Unified Patents, LLC ("Unified" or "Petitioner") respectfully requests *inter* partes review ("IPR") of claims 1–4, 7–12, 15, 19–23, 26–31, 34, 38–42, 45–50, 53, 56–60, 63–68, 71, and 74 of U.S. Patent 8,363,724 (the "'724 patent") (EX1001) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§311–319 and 37 C.F.R. §42.1 *et seq*.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The '724 patent is directed to techniques of using virtual reference pictures in video encoding and decoding. The allegedly inventive concepts described in the '724 patent, including the use of a decoded picture buffer to store both virtual reference pictures and non-virtual reference pictures, were well-known in the prior art, as detailed in the grounds below.

### II. MANDATORY NOTICES

## A. Real Party-in-Interest

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1), Petitioner certifies that Unified Patents, LLC is the sole real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could have exercised control over Unified's participation in this proceeding, the filing of this petition, or the conduct of any ensuing trial. In view of *Worlds Inc. v. Bungie, Inc.*, 903 F.3d 1237, 1242–44 (Fed. Cir. 2018), Unified has submitted the Declaration of Kevin Jakel (EX1002) in support of its certification.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

