

APPLE 1061 Apple v. Masimo IPR2020-01737

OPTICS

SECOND EDITION

EUGENE HECHT Adelphi University

With Contributions by Alfred Zajac

**

ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY Reading, Massachusetts = Mento Park, California = Don Mills, Ontario Wokingham, England + Amsterdam = Sydney = Singapore Tokyo = Madrid = Bogotă = Santiago = San Juan



Preface

The creation of this second edition was guided primarily The creation of this second edition was guided primarily by two distinct imperatives: to incorporate the peda-gogical insights gained in the classroom over the past dozen years, and to bring the book in step with the fast-moving edge of optical technology. Accordingly, several sections have been reorganized, some con-densed, others extended, and the exposition updated and improved throughout. In the process I have added a number of graphs, drawings and photographs, as well as a good deal of new textual material—always with the motivation of enlivening and clarifying the treatment. As well as the very many small but significant refinements that are incorporated in this second edition, there are also some substantive improvements in methodology and emphasis. For example, atomic pro-

methodology and emphasis. For example, atomic pro-cesses associated with radiation and absorption are con-sidered earlier and in more detail. The central role of scattering in optics (e.g., in reflection, refraction, and dispersion) can thereafter he understood more intuiuspersion) can directate the understood more mut-tively (Chapter 3). Huggen's principle, which is so useful and yet so contrived, then takes on a physical significance that is far more satisfying. Accordingly, several of the original classic derivations (those associated with the propagation of light and its interaction with material interfaces) have been recast, and addi-tional ones have been included as well (e.g., internal

to an only and yield interaction as were $(c_{0,i}, decrimation)$ reflection as viewed from the perspective of atomic scattering, p. 106, Fig. 4.35). With the realization that a picture is indeed worth a thousand words, new illustrations have been added to the discussion of geometrical optics (Chapters 5 and 6),

primarily to facilitate a better understanding of ray primarily to iacunate a better understanding or any tracing and image formation. Not surprisingly, the dis-cussion of fiberoptics has been considerably extended to include the remarkable developments of the last decade. The introduction to Fourier methods (Chapter 2022). 7) has been strengthened, in part, so that these ideas 7) has been strengthened, in part, so that these ideas can be applied more naturally in the remaining exposi-tion. Often unduly troublesome, the notion of waves leading and lagging one another is given additional attention as it relates to polarization (Chapter 8). The ramifications of the limited coherence of a typical light source are now examined, if only briefly, during the study of interference (Chapter 9). Using a new set of wavefront diagrams (e.g., Figs. 10.6, 10.10, 10.19) the plane-wave Fourier-component representation of diffraction (Chapter 10) is unobtrusively introduced early on. Enlarged and refined, the discussion of Four-ier optics (Chapter 11) now contains a simpler, more early on. Enlarged and refined, the discussion of Four-ier optics (Chapter 11) now contains a simpler, more pictorial representation that complements the formal mathematical treatment (there are 25 new diagrams in Chapter 11 alone). The intention is to make this material increasingly accessible to an ever wider readership. Much of the treatment of coherence theory (Chapter 12) has been reworked and reillustrated to produce a simpler, more accessible version. The discussions of lasers and holography (Chapter 14) have also been appropriately extended and brought up to date. The natural tendency in a textbook is to isolate the principle ideas, focusing exclusively on each of them in turn: Thus there are the traditional chapters on inter-ference, diffraction, polarization, and so forth. The first

ference, diffraction, polarization, and so forth. The first

Preface viii

edition more or less followed that approach, while at the same time underscoring conceptual interrelation-ships and the unity of the entire subject—after all, optics, like all of physics, is the study of the interaction of matter and energy. This second edition subtly moves a bit further toward a holistic approach. The text now introduces many of the unifying ideas, albeit on a simple level, as soon as is appropriate. For example, the concept of interference is used qualitatively to understand formally in Chapter 9. Among other benefits, this tech-nique of presenting advanced concepts in simplified form early in the exposition allows the student to develop an integrated perspective. Responding to requests from users, I have consider-anly increased the amount of material devoted to the analysis and solution of problems, roughly twice the num-ber that appeared in the first edition. Moreover, a por-cino of these are specifically designed to develop needed analytical skills. Because a balance was maintained, with a more "analy" problems and ones the even

tion of these are specifically designed to develop needed analytical skills. Because a balance was maintained, with as many "easy" problems added as hard ones, the exer-cises should better serve the needs of the student reader. This is especially true because, as in the first edition, the complete solutions to many of the problems (those without asterisks) can be found at the back of the book.

Over the years many people have been kind enough to share their thoughts about the book with me and h and the people of the people of the people of the line particular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of line structular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of line structular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of line structular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of line structular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of line structular I thank Professors R. G. Wilson of Maryland, R. A. Llewellyn of the University of Arrona, K. M. Becker of Purdue University, L. R. Wilcox of Maryland, R. A. Llewellyn of the University of Maryland, R. A. Llewellyn of the University of Maryland, R. A. Lewell polytechnic Institute and State University, G. Indebetouw of Virginia Polytechnic buniersity of Queensland. Wherever possible I have and encourage their continued participation. Anyone ot exchange ideas should write to the author on the production of this second edition. Sho wire of bang hours, good naturedly bringing to bear at marked long hours, good naturedly bringing to bear and encourage and the second edition. Sho wire of bang hours, good naturedly bringing to bear and encourage and the second edition. Sho particular band should prove the structular band and the production of this second edition. Sho particular band should prove the second edition will be production of the second edition. Sho particular band the production of the second edition will be and the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I ndu band the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I ndu band the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the baok physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the physically as fine as it is. Finally, I mark and the physically as fine as it Over the years many people have been kind enough

Freeport, New York

E.H.

Contents

1 A Brief History	1	4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction	79
1.1 Prolegomenon	1	4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach	92
1.2 In the Beginning	1	4.4 Familiar Aspects of the Interaction of Light and	
1.3 From the Seventeenth Century	2	Matter	114
1.4 The Nineteenth Century	5	4.5 The Stokes Treatment of Reflection and	
1.5 Twentieth-Century Optics	8	Refraction	118
		4.6 Photons and the Laws of Reflection and	
2 The Mathematics of Wave Motion	12	Refraction	120
2.1 One-Dimensional Waves	12	Problems	121
2.2 Harmonic Waves	15		
2.3 Phase and Phase Velocity	17	5 Geometrical Ontics-Paravial Theory	199
2.4 The Complex Representation	19	5.1 Introductory Remarks	199
2.5 Plane Waves	21	5.2 Lenses	190
2.6 The Three-Dimensional Differential Wave		5.3 Stops	149
Equation	23	5.4 Mirrors	153
2.7 Spherical Waves	24	5.5 Prisms	168
2.8 Cylindrical Waves	27	5.6 Fiberoptics	170
2.9 Scalar and Vector Waves	28	5.7 Ontical Systems	176
Problems	30	Problems	909
			A04
3 Electromagnetic Theory, Photons, and Light	83	6 More on Geometrical Optics	911
3.1 Basic Laws of Electromagnetic Theory	34	6.1 Thick Lenses and Lens Systems	911
3.2 Electromagnetic Waves	39	6.2 Analytical Ray Tracing	215
3.3 Energy and Momentum	48	6.3 Aberrations	220
3.4 Radiation	47	Problems	940
3.5 Light and Matter	56	10000000	240
3.6 The Electromagnetic-Photon Spectrum	68	7 The Supermedition of Warres	040
Problems	75	7 The Superposition of waves	Z4 Z
		The Addition of Waves of the Same Frequency	243
4 The Propagation of Light	79	7.1 The Algebraic Method	243
4.1 Introduction	79	7.2 The Complex Method	246

114 118

242 243 • • 243 246 ix

x Contents

7.3 Phasor Addition	247	1
the balance match the termine the termine	240	1
The Addition of Waves of Different Frequency	250	1
7.5 Beats	250	. D.
7.6 Group Velocity	252	
7.7 Anharmonic Periodic Waves-Fourier Analysis	254	
7.8 Nonperiodic Waves—Fourier Integrals	259	13
7.9 Pulses and Wave Packets	261	15
7.10 Optical Bandwidths	263	15
Problems	266	15
8 Polarization	270	15
8.1 The Nature of Polarized Light	270	Pr
8.2 Polarizers	277	
8.3 Dichroism	279	
8.4 Birefringence	282	13
8.5 Scattering and Polarization	292	
8.6 Polarization by Reflection	296	18
8.7 Retarders	300	13
8.8 Circular Polarízers	305	
8.9 Polarization of Polychromatic Light	306	13
8.10 Optical Activity	309	
8.11 Induced Optical Effects-Optical Modulators	814	18
8.12 A Mathematical Description of Polarization	321	19
Problems	326	13
		19
9 Interference	333	Pr
9.1 General Considerations	334	
9.2 Conditions for Interference	337	
9.3 Wavefront-Splitting Interferometers	339	14
9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers	346	14
9.5 Types and Localization of Interference Fringes	361	
9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference	363	14
9.7 Applications of Single and Multilayer Films	373	14
9.8 Applications of Interferometry	378	14
Problems	388	Pr
10 Diffraction	900	
10 F Preliminary Considerations	392	A]
10.9 Fraunhofer Diffraction	392	- A] - Ta
10.8 Fresnel Diffraction	494	11
10.4 Visabbo@a Casha Differentian Theorem	434	30
10.5 Boundary Differencian Ways	459	101
Problems	403	10
1100cm3	405	10

11	Fourier Optics	472
11.1	Introduction	472
11.2	Fourier Transforms	472
11.3	Optical Applications	488
Prob	lems	512
12	Basics of Coherence Theory	516
12.1	Introduction	516
12.2	Visibility	519
12.3	The Mutual Coherence Theory and the	
	Degree of Coherence	523
12.4	Coherence and Stellar Interferometry	530
Prob	lems	535
13	Some Aspects of the Quantum Nature of	
	Light	538
13.I	Quantum Fields	538
13.2	Blackbody Radiation—Planck's Quantum	
	Hypothesis	539
13.3	The Photoelectric Effect-Einstein's Photon	
	Concept	541
13.4	Particles and Waves	544
18.5	Probability and Wave Optics	548
13.6	Fermat, Feynman, and Photons	550
18.7	Absorption, Emission, and Scattering	552
Probl	lems	556
14	Sundry Topics from Contemporary Optics	559
14.1	Imagery-The Spatial Distribution of Optical	
	Information	559
14.2	Lasers and Laserlight	577
14.3	Holography	593
14.4	Nonlinear Optics	610
Probl	ems	616
	endix 1	690
App	endix 9	693
Арр- Апр-		
App App Tabl	e l	694
App App Tabl Solu	e 1 tions to Selected Problems	624 629
App App Tabl Solu Bibli	e 1 tions to Selected Problems lography	624 629
App App Tabl Solu Bibli Inde	e 1 tions to Selected Problems lography x of Tables	624 629 661

OPTICS

Second Edition

A BRIEF HISTORY

11 PROLEGOMENON

In chapters to come we will evolve a formal treatment of much of the science of optics with particular emphasis on aspects of contemporary interest. The subject embraces a vast body of knowledge accumulated over roughly three thousand years of the human scene. Before embarking on a study of the modern view of things optical, let's briefly trace the road that led us there, if for no other reason than to put it all in per-spective.

The complete story has myriad subplots and characters, heroes, quasi-heroes, and an occasional villain or two. Yet from our vantage in time, we can sift out of the tangle of millennia perhaps four main themes—the optics of reflection and refraction, and the wave and quantum theories of light.

1.2 IN THE BEGINNING

The origins of optical technology date back to remote antiquity. Exodus 38:8 (ca. 1200 s.c.) recounts how Becaleel, while preparing the ark and tabernade, recast "the looking-glasses of the women" into a brass laver (a ceremonial basin). Early mirrors were made of pol-ished copper, bronze, and later on of speculum, a cop-per alloy rich in tin. Specimens have survived from ancient Fevreta-a mirror in perfect condition was ancient Egypt-a mirror in perfect condition was unearthed along with some tools from the workers'

quarters near the pyramid of Sesostris II (ca. 1900 B.C.) in the Nile valley. The Greek philosophers Pythagoras, Democritus, Empedocles, Plato, Aristotle, and others evolved several theories of the nature of light (that of the last named being quite similar to the aether theory of the ninetcenth century). The rectilinear propagation of light was known, as was he law of reflection enunci-ated by Euclid (300 в.c.) in his book *Catoptrics*. Hero of Alexandria attempted to explain both these phenomena Alexandrna attempted to explain both these phenomena by asserting that light traverses the shortest allowed path between two points. The burning glass (a positive lens) was alluded to by Aristophance in his comic play *The Clouds* (424 B.C.). The apparent bending of objects parily immersed in water is mentioned in Plato's *Repub-*lic. Refraction was studied by Cleomedes (50 A.D.) and later by Claudius Ptolemy (130 A.D.) of Alexandria, who tabulared fully nercise measurements of the aneles of Later by Galaxies recently (159 G b) of integrating with tabulated fairly precise measurements of the angles of incidence and refraction for several media. It is clear from the accounts of the historian Pliny (23–79 A.D.) that the Roman slop sopsessed burning glasses. Several glass and crystal spheres, which were probably used to glass and crystal spheres, which were probably used to start fires, have been found among Roman ruins, and a planar convex lens was recovered in Pompeii. The Roman philosopher Seneca (3 B.C.-65 A.D.) pointed out that a glass globe filled with water could be used for magnifying purposes. And it is certainly possible that some Roman artisans may have used magnifying glasses to facilitate very fine detailed work. After the fall of the Western Roman Empire (475 A.D.), which roughly marks the start of the Dark

Ages, little or no scientific progress was made in Europe for a great while. The dominance of the Greco-Roman-Christian culture in the lands embracing the Mediterranean soon gave way by conquest to the rule of Allah. Alexandria fell to the Moslems in 642 A.D., and by the end of the seventh century, the lands of Islam extended from Persia across the southerm coast of the Mediterranean to Spain. The center of scholarship shifted to the Arab world, where the scientific and philosophical treasures of the past were translated and preserved. Rather than lying intact but dormant, as much of science did, optics was extended at the hands of Alhazen (a. 1000 A.D.). He elaborated on the law of reflection, putting the angles of incidence and reflection in the same plane normal to the interface, he studied spherical and parabolic mirrors and gave a detailed description of the uman eye.

By the latter part of the thirteenth century, Europe was only beginning to rouse from its intellectual stuppor. Alhazen's work was translated into Latin, and it had a great effect on the writings of Robert Grossettest (1175-1253). Bishop of Lincoln, and on the Polish mathematician Viello (or Witelo), both of whom were influential in rekindling the study of optics. Their works were known to the Franciscan Roger Bacon (1215-1294), who is considered by many to be the first scientist in the modern sense. He seems to have initiated the idea of using lenses for correcting vision and even hinted at the possibility of combining lenses to form a telescope. Bacon also had some understanding of the way in which rays traverse a lens. After his deat, optics again languished. Even so, by the mid-1300s, European paintings were depicting monks wearing eyeglasses. And alchemists had come up with a liquid amalgam of tin and mercury that was rubbed onto the back of glass plates to make mirrors. Leconardo da Vind (1452-1519) described the camera obscurs, later popularized by the work of Giovanni Battista Della Porta (1552-1615), who discussed multiple mirrors and combinations of positive and negrative lores in bis Moria network (1500).

discussed multiple mirrors and combinations of positive and negative lenses in his Magia **naiswili** (1589). This, for the most part, modest array of events constitutes what might be called the first period of optics. It was undoubtedly a beginning—but on the whole a dull one. It was more a time for learning how to play the game than actually scoring points. The whirlwind of accomplishment and excitement was to come later, in the seventeenth century.

1.3 FROM THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

It is not clear who actually invented the refracting telescope, but records in the archives at The Hague show that on October 2, 1608, Hans Lippershey (1587-1619), a Dutch spectacle maker, applied for a patent on the device. Calileo Galilei (1564-1642), in Padua, heard about the invention and within several months had built his own instrument, grinding the lenses by hand. The compound microscope was invented at just about the same time, possibly by the Dutchman Zacharias Janssen (1588-1632). The microscope's concave expejece was replaced with a convex lens by Francisco Fontana (1580-1656) of Naples, and a similar change in the telescope was introduced by Johannes Kepler (1571-1630). In 1611. Kepler published his Dioptrice. He had discovered total internal reflection and arrived at the small angle approximation to the law of refraction, in which case the incident and trans-



Figure 1.1 Johannes Kepler (1571-1630).

mission angles are proportional. He evolved a treatment of first-order optics for thin-lens systems and in his book describes the detailed operation of both the Keplerian (positive eyepiece) and Galilean (negative eyepiece) telescopes. Willebrord Snell (1591-1626), professor at Leyden, empirically discovered the long-hidden law of *refraction* in 1621—this was one of the great moments in optics. By learning precisely how rays of light are redirected on traversing a boundary between two media, Snell in one swoop swung open the door to modern applied optics. René Descartes (1596-1650) was the first to publish the now familiar formulation of the law of refraction in terms of sines. Descartes deduced the law using a model in which light was viewed as a pressure transmitted by an elastic medium; as he put it in his La Dioptrique (1637)

... recall the nature that I have attributed to light, when I said that it is nothing other than a certain motion or an action conceived in a very suble matter, which fills the pores of all other bodies...

The universe was a plenum. Pierre de Fernat (1601– 1665), taking exception to Descartes's assumptions, rederived the law of reflection from his own principle of least time (1657). Departing from Hero's shortest-path statement, Fernat maintained that light propagates from one point to another along the route taking the least time, even if it has to vary from the shortest actual path to do it.

The phenomenon of diffraction, i.e., the deviation from recilinear propagation that occurs when light advances beyond an obstruction, was first noted by Professor Francesco Maria Grimaldi (1618-1663) at the Jesuit College in Bologna. He had observed hands of light within the shadow of a rod illuminated by a small source. Robert Hooke (1635-1703), curator of experiments for the Royal Society, London, later also observed diffraction effects. He was the first to study the colored distreterence patterns generated by thin films (*Micrographia*, 1665) and correctly concluded that they were due to an interaction between the light reflected from the front and back surfaces. He proposed the idea that light was a rapid vibratory motion of the medium propagating at a very great speed. Moreover "every pulse or vibration of the luminous body will generate a 1.3 From the Seventeenth Century 3



Figure 1.2 René Descartes (1596-1650)

sphere"—this was the beginning of the wave theory. Within a year of Galileo's death, Isaac Newton (1642– 1727) was born. The thrust of Newton's scientifice ffort is clear from his own description of his work in optics as *caperimental philosophy*. It was his intent to build on direct observation and avoid speculative hypotheses. Thus he remained ambivalent for a long while about the actual nature of light. Was it corpuscular—a stream of particles, as some maintained? Or was light a wave in an all-pervading medium, the aether? At the age of 29, he began his now famous experiments on dispersion.

I procured me a triangular glass prism to try therewith the celebrated phenomena of colours.

Newton concluded that white light was composed of a mixture of a whole range of independent colors. He maintained that the corpuscles of light associated with the various colors excited the acther into characteristic



Figure 1.3 Sir Isaac Newton (1642-1727).

vibrations. Furthermore, the sensation of red corre-sponded to the longest vibration of the aether, and violet to the shortest. Even though his work shows a curious propensity for simultaneously embracing both Curious propensity for simultaneously embracing both the wave and emission (corpuscular) theories, he did become more committed to the latter as he grew older. Perhaps his main reason for rejecting the wave theory as it stood then was the blatant problem of explaining rectilinear propagation in terms of waves that spread out in all directions. After some all-too-limited experiments, Newton gave

After some all-too-limited experiments, Newton gave up trying to remove chromatic aberration from orfact-ing telescope lenses. Erroneously concluding that it could not be done, he turmed to the design of reflectors. Sir Isaac's first reflecting telescope, completed in 1668, was only 6 inches long and 1 inch in diameter, but it magnified some 80 times. At about the same time that Sir Isaac was emphasizing the emission theory in England, Christiaan Huygens (1629-1695), on the continent, was greatly extending the wave theory. Unlike Descartes, Hooke, and Newton,

Huygens correctly concluded that light effectively slowed down on entering more dense media. He was able to derive the laws of reflection and refraction and even explained the double refraction of calcite, using his wave theory. And it was while working with calcite that he discovered the phenomenon of *polarization*.

As there are two different refractions, I conceived also that there are two different emanations of the waves of light. . .

Thus light was either a stream of particles or a rapid ion of aethereal matter. In any case, it was



Figure 1.4 Christiaan Huygens (1629-1695).

generally agreed that its speed of propagation was exceedingly large. Indeed, many believed that light propagated instantaneously, a notion that went back at least as far as Aristole. The fact that it was finite was determined by the Dane Ole Christensen Römer (1644– 1710). Jupiter's nearest moon, Io, has an orbit about that planet that is nearly in the plane of Jupiter's own orbit around the Sun. Römer made a careful study of the eclipses of Io as it moved through the shadow hehind Jupiter. In 1676 he predicted that on November 9th Io would emerge from the dark some 10 minutes later than would have been expected on the basis of its yearly averaged motion. Precisely on schedule, Io performed as predicted, a phenomenon Römer correctly explained as preduced, a prenomenon komer correctly explained as arising from the finite speed of light. He was able to determine that light took about 22 minutes to traverse the diameter of the Earth's orbit around the Sun—a distance of about 186 million miles. Huygens and Newton, among others, were quite convinced of the validity of Römer's work. Independently estimating the Earth's orbital diameter, they assigned values to c equivalent to 2.3×10^8 m/s and 2.4×10^8 m/s, respectively. Still others, especially Hooke, remained skeptical. arguing that any speed so incredibly high actually had to be infinite.*

The great weight of Newton's opinion hung like a shroud over the wave theory during the eighteenth century, all but stifting its advocates. There were too many content with dogma and too few nonconformist enough to follow their own experimental philosophy. as surely Newton would have had them do. Despite this. the prominent mathematician Leonhard Euler (1707– 1783) was a devotee of the wave theory, even if an unheeded one. Euler proposed that the undesirable color effects seen in a lens were absent in the eye (which is an error section action because the different media present negated dispersion. He suggested that achro-matic lenses might be constructed in a similar way. Earburdt he this work. Securit 2 (incorrectioner) (690) Enthused by this work, Samuel Klingenstjerna (1698-1765), a professor at Upeale space of 1765), a professor at Upsala, reperformed Newton's experiments on achromatism and determined them to be in error. Klingenstjerna was in communication with

* A. Wróblewski, Am. J. Phys. 53 (7), July 1985, p. 620.

1.4 The Nineteenth Century 5

a London optician, John Dollond (1706–1761), who was observing similar results. Dollond finally, in 1758, com-bined two elements, one of crown and the other of fiint glass, to form a single achromatic lens. This was an accomplishment of very great practical importance. Incidentally, Dollond's invention was actually preceded by the unpublished work of the amateur scientist Chester Moor Hall (1703-1771) of Moor Hall in Essex.

1.4 THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

The wave theory of light was reborn at the hands of Dr. Thomas Young (1773–1829), one of the truly great minds of the century. On November 12, 1801, July 1, 1909, the barrier before the set of the s 1802, and November 24, 1803, he read papers before the Royal Society extolling the wave theory and adding to it a new fundamental concept, the so-called *principle* of interference:

When two undulations, from different origins, coincide either perfectly or very nearly in direction, their joint effect is a combination of the motions belonging to each.

He was able to explain the colored fringes of thin films and determined wavelengths of various colors using Newton's data. Even though Young, time and again, maintained that his conceptions had their very origins in the research of Newton, he was severely attacked. In a series of articles, probably written by Lord Brougham, in the *Edinburgh Review*, Young's papers were said to be "destitute of every species of merit"—and that's going pretty far. Under the pall of Newton's presumed infallibility, the pedants of England were not prepared for the wisdom of Young, who in turn became disbeartened.

Augustin Jean Fresnel (1788-1827), born in Broglie, Normandy, began his brilliant (1700-1627), oorn in Brogac, Normandy, began his brilliant revival of the wave theory in France, unaware of the efforts of Young some 13 years earlier. Fresnel synthesized the concepts of Huygens's wave description and the interference prinrungers s ware description and of a primary wave was viewed as a succession of stimulated spherical secondary wavelets, which overlapped and interfered to reform the advancing primary wave as it would appear an instant later. In Fresnel's words:

The vibrations of a luminous wave in any one of its points may be considered as the sum of the elementary movements conveyed to it at the same moment, from the separate action of all the portions of the unobstructed wave considered in any one of its anterior positions.

These waves were presumed to be longitudinal in analogy with sound waves in air. Dominique François Jean Arago (1786-1865) was an early convert to Fresnel's wave theory, and they became fast friends and sometime collaborators. Under criticism from such renowned men and proponents of the emission hypothesis as Pierre Simon de Laplace (1749–1827) and am-Baptiste Biot (1774–1862), Fresnel's theory took a mathematical emphasis. He was able to calculate on a mannematcat emphasis. He was able to calculate the diffraction patterns arising from various obstacles and apertures and satisfactorily accounted for rec-tilinear **propaga**tion in homogeneous isotropic media, thus dispelling Newton's main objection to the undula-tory theory. When finally apprised of Young's priority



Figure 1.5 Augustin Jean Fresnel (1788-1827).

to the interference principle, a somewhat disappointed Fresnel nonetheless wrote to Young telling him that he was consoled by finding himself in such good com-pany-the two great men became allies. Huygens was aware of the phenomenon of polariz-ation arising in calcite crystals, as was Newton. Indeed,

the latter in his Opticks stated.

Every Ray of Light has therefore two opposite Sides....

He further developed this concept of lateral asymmetry even though avoiding any interpretation in terms of the hypothetical nature of light. Yet it was not until 1808 that Étierme Louis Malus (1775–1812) discovered 1809 that Eternne Louis Malus (1775–1812) discovered that this two-sidedness of ligh became apparent upon reflection as well; it was not inherent to crystalline media. Fresnel and Arago them conducted a series of experiments to determine the effect of polarization on interference, but the results were utterly inexplicable within the framework of their longitudinal wave pic-ture—this was a dark hour indeed. For several years Young, Arago, and Fresen Wrestled with the problem until family Young suggested that the achtereal vibra-tion midble be transverse as is a wave on setting. The tion might be transverse as is a wave on a string. The two-sidedness of light was then simply a manifestation of the two orthogonal vibrations of the aether, transverse to the ray direction. Fresnel went on to evolve a mechanistic description of aether oscillations, which led to his now famous formulas for the amplitude of reflec-ted and transmitted light. By 1825 the emission (or corpuscular) theory had only a few tenacious advocates. The first terrestrial determination of the speed of light was performed by Armand Hippolyte Louis Fizeau (1819–1896) in 1849. His apparatus, consisting of a rotating toothed wheel and a distant mirror (8633 m), was set up in the suburbs of Paris from Suresnes to Montmattre. A pulse of light leaving an opening in the wheel struck the mirror and returned. By adjusting the known rotational speed of the wheel, the returning halown tolational speed of the wheel, the returning pulse could be made either to pass through an opening and be seen or to be obstructed by a tooth. Fizeau arrived at a value of the speed of light equal to 315,300 km/s. His colleague Jean Bernard Léon Foucault (1819–1868) was also involved in research on the speed of light. In 1834 Charles Wheatstone (1802-1875) had designed a rotating-mirror arrangement in

order to measure the duration of an electric spark. Using this scheme, Arago had proposed to measure the Using the settering in the product of the setter of the se thesis. On May 6, 1850, he reported to the Academy of Sciences that the speed of light in water was *less* than that in air. This result was, of course, in direct conflict with Newton's formulation of the emission theory and a hard blow to its few remaining devotees. While all of this was happening in optics, quite independently, the study of electricity and magnetism was also bearing fruit. In 1845 the master experimen-talist Michael Earaday (1791–1867) established an inter-electionship through and light whose

relationship between electromagnetism and light when he found that the polarization direction of a beam could be altered by a strong magnetic field applied to the medium. James Clerk Maxwell (1851–1879) brilliantly summarized and extended all the empirical knowledge on the subject in a single set of mathematical equations. Beginning with this remarkably succinct and beautifully Beginning with this remarkably succinct and beautifully symmetrical synthesis, he was able to show, purely theoretically, that the electromagnetic field could propagate as a transverse wave in the luminif-erous acther. Solving for the speed of the wave, he arrived at an expression in terms of electric and magnetic properties of the medium ($c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$). Upon substituting known empirically determined values for these quantities, he obtained a numerical result equal to the measured speed of light! The conclusion was inescapable-light was "an electromagnetic disturbance in the form of waves" propagated through the aether. Maxwell died at the age of 48, eight years too soon to see the experimental confirmation of his insights and far too soon for physics. Heinrich Rudolf Hertz (1857-1894) verified the existence of long electromag-netic waves by generating and **detecting** them in an extensive series of experiments published in 1888.

The acceptance of the wave theory of light seemed to necessitate an equal acceptance of the existence of an all-pervading substratum, the luminiferous aether. If there were waves, it seemed obvious that there must be a supporting medium. Quite naturally, a great deal of scientific effort went into determining the physical nature of the aether, yet it would have to possess some 1.4 The Nineteenth Century 7



Figure 1.6 James Clerk Maxwell (1831-1879).

rather strange properties. It had to be so tenuous as to allow an apparently unimpeded motion of celestial bodies. At the same time it could support the exceed-ingly high-frequency ($\sim 10^{18}$ Hz) oscillations of light traveling at 186,000 miles/s. That implied remarkably strong restoring forces within the acthereal substance. The speed at which a wave advances through a medium substratum and not upon the characteristics of the disturbed substratum and not upon any motion of the source. This is in contrast to the behavior of a stream of particles whose speed with respect to the source is the essential parameter.

Certain aspects of the nature of aether intrude when studying the optics of moving objects, and it was this area of research, evolving quietly on its own, that ultimately led to the next great turning point. In 1725 James Bradley (1693-1762), then Savilian Professor of

Astronomy at Oxford, attempted to measure the distance to a star by observing its orientation at two different times of the year. The position of the Earth changed as it orbited around the Sun and thereby provided a large base line for triangulation on the star. To his surprise, Bradley found that the "fixed" stars displayed an apparent systematic movement related to the direction of motion of the Earth in orbit and not dependent, as had been anticipated, on the Earth's position in space. This so-called stellar aberration is analogous to the well-known falling-raindrop situation. A raindrop, although traveling vertically with respect to an observer at rest on the Earth, will appear to change its incident angle when the observer is in motion. Thus a corpuscular model of light could explain stellar aberration rather handily. Alternatively, the wave theory also offers a satisfactory explanation provided that it is assumed that the aether remains totally undisturbed as the Earth ploas through it. Incidentally. Bradley, convinced of the correctness of his analysis, used the observed aberration data to arrive at an improved value of c, thus confirming Römer's theory of the finite speed of light.

Römer's theory of the finite speed of light. In response to speculation as to whether the Earth's motion through the acther might result in an observable difference between light from terrestrial and extraterrestrial sources. Arago set out to examine the problem experimentally. He found that there were no observable differences. Light behaved just as if the Earth were at rest with respect to the aether. To explain these results, Fresnel suggested in effect that light was partially dragged along as it traversed a transparent medium in motion. Experiments by Fizeau, in which light beams passed down moving columns of water, and by 'Sir George Biddell Airy (1801–1892), who used a waterfilled telescope in 1871 to examine stellar aberration, both seemed to confirm Fresnel's drag hypothesis. Assuming an acther at *absolute rost*, Hendrik Antoon Lorentz (1833–1928) derived a theory that encompassed Fresnel's ideas.

In 1879 in a letter to D. P. Todd of the U.S. Nautical Almana Office, Maxwell suggested a scheme for measuring the speed at which the solar system moved with respect to the luminiferous aether. The American physicist Albert Abraham Michelson (1852–1931), then a naval instructor, took up the idea. Michelson, at the tender age of 26, had already established a favorable teputation by performing an extremely precise determination of the speed of light. A few years later, he began an experiment omeasure the effect of the Earth's motion through the aether. Since the speed of light in aether is constant and the Earth, in turn, presumably moves in relation to the aether (orbital speed of 67,000 miles/h), the speed of light measured with respect to the Earth should be affected by the planet's motion. Michelson's work was begun in Berlin, but because of traffic vibrations, it was moved to Potsdam, and in 1881 he published his findings. There was no detectable motion of the Earth with respect to the aether—the aether was stationary. But the decisiveness of this surprising result was blunted somewhat when Lorentz pointed out an oversight in the calculation. Several years later Michelson, then professor of physics at Case School of Applied Science in Cleveland, Ohio, joined with Edward Williams Morely (1838–1923), a well-known professor of chemistry at Western Reserve, to redo the experiment with considerably greater precision. Amazingly enough, their results, published in 1887. once again were negative:

It appears from all that precedes reasonably certain that if there be any relative motion between the earth and the lumimiferous aether, it must be small; quite small enough entirely to refute Fresnel's explanation of aberration.

Thus, whereas an explanation of stellar aberration within the context of the wave theory required the existence of a relative motion between Earth and aether, the Michelson-Morley experiment refuted that possibiity. Moreover, the findings of Fizeau and Airy necessitated the inclusion of a partial drag of light due to motion of the medium.

1.5 TWENTIETH-CENTURY OPTICS

Jules Henri Poincaré (1854–1912) was perhaps the first to grasp the significance of the experimental inability to observe any effects of motion relative to the aether. In 1899 he began to make his views known, and in 1900 he said: Our aether, does it really exist? I do not believe that more precise observations could ever reveal anything more than *relative* displacements.

In 1905 Albert Einstein (1879–1955) introduced his special theory of relativity, in which he too, quite independently, rejected the aether hypothesis.

The introduction of a "luminiferous aether" will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an "absolutely stationary space."

He further postulated:

.

light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity c which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body.



Figure 1.7 Albert Einstein (1879-1955). (Photo by Fred Stein.)

1.5 Twentieth-Century Optics 9

The experiments of Fizeau, Airy, and Michelson-Morley were then explained quite naturally within the framework of Einstein's relativistic kinematics⁴. Deprived of the aether, physicists simply had to get used to the idea that electromagnetic waves could propagate through free space—there was no alternative. Light was now envisaged as a self-sustaining wave with the conceptual emphasis passing from aether to field. The electromagnetic wave became an entity in itself.

On October 19, 1900, Max Karl Ernst Ludwig Planck (1858–1947) read a paper before the German Physical Society in which he introduced the beginnings of what was to become yet another great revolution in scientific thought—guantam mechanics, a theory embracing submicroscopic phenomena. In 1905, building on these ideas, Einstein proposed a new form of corpuscular theory in which he asserted that light consisted of globs or "particles" of energy. Each such quantum of radiant energy or photon, f as it came to be called, had an energy proportional to its frequency ν , i.e., $S = h\nu$, where h is known as Planck's constant. By the end of the 1920s, through the efforts of Bohr. Born, Heisenberg, Schrödinger, De Brogile, Pauli. Dirac, and others, quantum mechanics had become a well-verified theory. It gradually became evident that the concepts of particle and wave, which in the mentolimoge of an atomic particle (e.g., electrons and neutrons) as a minute localized lump of matter would no longer suffice. Indeed, it was found that these "particles" could generate interference and diffraction patterns in precisely the same way as would light. Thus photons. Protons, electrons, neutrons, and so forth—the whole lot—lave both particle and wave mainfestations. Still, the matter was by no means settled. "Kvery physicist thinks that he knows what a photon is," wrote Einstein..."1 spent my life to find out what a photon is and I still don't know it." Relativity liberated light from the aether and showed the kinship between mass and energy (via $\mathbb{F} = mc$).

* See, for example, Special Relativity by French, Chapter 5. † The word photon was coined by G. N. Lewis, Nature, December 18, 1926.

What seemed to be two almost antithetical quantities now became interchangeable. Quantum mechanics went on to establish that a particle⁸ of momentum *p* had an associated wavelength λ , such that *p* h/λ (whether it had rest mass or not). The neutrino, a neutral particle presumably having zero rest mass, was postulated for theoretical reasons in 1930 by Wolfgang Pauli (1900–1958) and verified experimentally in the 1950s. The easy images of submicroscopic specks of matter became untenable, and the wave-particle dichotomy dissolved into a duality.

Quanum mechanics also treats the manner in which light is absorbed and emitted by atoms. Suppose we cause a gas to glow by heating it or passing an electrical discharge through it. The light emitted is characteristic of the very structure of the atoms constituting the gas. Spectroscopy, which is the branch of optics dealing with spectrum analysis, developed from the research of heavier, William Hyde Wollaston (1766–1828) made the earliest observations of the dark lines in the solar spectrum (1802). Because of the slit-shaped aperture generally used in spectroscopes, the output consisted of narrow colored hands of light, the so-called spectral *lines*. Working independently, Joseph Fraunhofer (1787–1826) greatly extended the subject. After accidentally discovering the double line of sodium, he went no to study sunlight and made the first wavelength determinations using diffraction graings. Gustaw Robert Kirchhoff (1824–1887) and Robert Wilhelm Bunsen (1811–1899), working conjointly at Heidelberg, established that each kind of atom had its own signature in a characteristic array of spectral lines. And in 1913 Niels Henrik David Bohr (1885–1962) set forth a precursory quantum theory of the hydrogen atom, which was nonetheless able to predict the wavelengths of its out somehow absorbs energy (e.g., through collisions) changes from its outermost electrons. An atom that somehow absorbs energy (e.g., through collisions) changes to its usid caling uration, known as the ground state, to whai's calind and furtation, known as the ground state, to makes colord and contiguration with respect to the nucleus, giving up the excess energy often

* Perhaps it might help if we just called them all warvicles.

in the form of light. The process is the domain of modern quantum theory, which describes the most minute details with incredible precision and beauty.

minute details with incredible precision and beauty. The flourishing of applied optics in the second half of the twenieth century represents a renaissance in itself. In the 1950s several workers began to inculcate optics with the mathematical techniques and insights of communications theory. Just as the idea of momentum provides another dimension in which to visualize aspects of mechanics, the concept of spatial frequency offers a rich new way of appreciating a broad range of optical phenomeca. Bound together by the mathematical formalism of Fourier analysis, the outgrowths of this contemporary emphasis have been far-reaching. Of particular interest are the theory of image formation and evaluation, the transfer functions, and the idea of spatial filtering.

The advent of the high-speed digital computer brought with it a vast improvement in the design of complex optical systems. Aspherical lens elements took on renewed practical significance, and the diffractionlimited system with an appreciable field of view became a reality. The technique of ion bombardment polishing, in which one atom at a time is chipped away, was introduced to meet the need for extreme precision in the preparation of optical elements. The use of single and multilayer thin-film reatings (reflecting, anti-filecting, etc.) became commonplace. Fiberoptics evolved into a practical tool, and thin-film light guides were studied. A great deal of attention was paid to the infrared end of the spectrum (surveillance systems, missile guidance, etc.), and this in turn stimulated the development of infrared materials. Plastics began to be used in optics (lens elements, replica gratings, fibers, aspherics, etc.). A new class of partially vitrified glass ceramics with exceedingly low thermal expansion was developed. A resurgence in the construction of astronomical observatories (both terrestrial and extraterrestrial) operating across the whole spectrum was well under way by the end of the 1960s and vigorously sustained in the 1980s.

Sustained in the 1900s. The first laser was built in 1960, and within a decade laser beams spanned the range from infrared to ultraviolet. The availability of high-power coherent sources led to the discovery of a number of new optical effects Figure 1.8 These photos, which were made using electronic amplification techniques, are a compelling illustration of the granularity displayed by light in its interaction with mater. Under exceedingly faint illustration and the pattern (each apot corresponding to one photon) scenes almost random, but as the light level increases the quantal character of the process gradually becomes obscured. [See Advence in Biological and Medical Physic V, 1957, 211–342) (Photos courtery Radio Corporation of America.)



(harmonic generation, frequency mixing, etc.) and thence to a panorama of marvelous new devices. The technology needed to produce a practicable optical communications system was evolving fast. The sophisticated use of crystals in devices such as second-harmonic generators, electro-optic and acousto-optic modulators, and the like spurred a great deal of contemporary research in crystal optics. The wavefront reconstruction technique known as holography, which produces magnificent three-dimensional images, was found to have numerous additional applications (nondestructive testing, data storage, ec.).

The military orientation of much of the developmental work in the 1960s continued in the 1970s and the 1980s with added vigor. That technological interest in optics ranges across the spectrum from "smart bombs" and spy satellites to "death rays" and infrared gadgets that see in the dark. But economic coosiderations coupled with the need to improve the quality of life have brought products of the discipline into the consumer marketplace as never before. Today lasers 1.5 Twentieth-Century Optics 11







are in use everywhere: reading videodises in living rooms, cutting steel in factories, setting type in newspapers, scanning labels in supermarkets, and performing surgery in hospitals. Millions of optical display systems on clocks and calculators and computers are bilnking all around the world. The almost exclusive use, for the last one hundred years, of electrical signals to handle and transmit data is now rapidly giving way to more efficient optical techniques. A far-reaching revolution in the methods of processing and communicating information is quietly taking place, a revolution that will chance our lives immensely in the years ahead.

Change our lives immensely in the years ahead. Profound insights are slow in coming. What few we have took over three thousand years to glean, even though the pace is ever quickening. It is marvelous indeed to watch the answer subtly change while the question immutably remains—*what is lights*^{*}

⁸ For more reading on the history of optics, see F. Cajori, A History of Physics, and V. Ronchi, The Nature of Light. Excerpts from a number of original papers can conveniently be found in W. F. Magie, A Source Book in Physics, and in M. H. Shamos, Great Experiments in Physics.

THE MATHEMATICS OF WAVE MOTION

here are a great many, seemingly unrelated, phy-sical processes that can be described in terms of the mathematics of wave motion. In this respect there are Inductionates of wake motion. In this respect there are fundamental similarities among a pulse traveling along a stretched string (Fig. 2.1), a surface tension ripple in a cup of tea, and the light reaching us from some remote point in the universe. This chapter will develop some of the mathematical techniques needed to treat wave phenomena in general. We will begin with some fairly simple ideas concerning the propagation of distur-bence rund from these active at the cheme dimensional bances and from these arrive at the three-dimensional differential wave equation. Throughout the study of optics one utilizes plane, spherical, and cylindrical waves. Accordingly, we'll develop their mathematical representations, showing them to be solutions of the differential wave equation. This chapter will be a completely classical treatment; even so, it can be shown, although we will not do so, that our results do indeed obey the requirements of special relativity.

2.1 ONE-DIMENSIONAL WAVES

12

The essential aspect of a propagating wave is that it is a self-sustaining disturbance of the medium through which it travels. Envision some such disturbance ψ moving in the positive x-direction with a constant speed v. The specific nature of the disturbance is at the moment unimportant. It might be the vertical displacement of the string in Fig. 2.1 or the magnitude of an electric or magnetic field associated with an electromagnetic wave (or even the quantum-mechanical probability amplitude of a matter wave). Since the disturbance is moving, it must be a function

as



Figure 2.1 A wave on a string.

The shape of the disturbance at any instant, say t = 0, can be found by holding time constant at that value. In this case,

$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{t=0} = f(\mathbf{x}, 0) = f(\mathbf{x})$

(2.2)

(2.3)

(2.4)

represents the shape or **profile** of the wave at that time. For example, if $f(x) = e^{-ux^2}$, where a is a constant, the profile has the shape of a bell, i.e., it is a Gaussian functiou. The process is analogous to taking a "photo-graph" of the pulse as it travels by. For the moment we will limit ourselves to a wave that *does* not *dange* it is *hage* as it progresses through space. Figure 2.2 is a "double exprodure" of such a disturbance taken at the beginning exposure" of such a disturbance taken at the beginning and end of a time interval t. The pulse has moved along the x-axis a distance vt, but in all other respects it remains unaltered. We now introduce a coordinate sysremains inflatence, we now introduce a coordinate sys-tem S', which travels along with the pulse at the speed v_i In this system ψ is no longer a function of time, and as we move along with S' we see a stationary constant profile with the same functional form as Eq. (2.2). Here, the coordinate is x' rather than x, so that

$\psi = f(x').$

The disturbance looks the same at any value of t in S'as it did at t = 0 in S when S and S' had a common origin. It follows from Fig. 2.2 that

x' = x - vtso that ψ can be written in terms of the variables associ-



Figure 2.2 Moving reference frame

2.1 One-Dimensional Waves 13

(2.5)

ated with the stationary S system as

 $\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = f(\mathbf{x}-vt).$

This then represents the most general form of the one-dimensional wave function. To be more specific, one-dimensional wave function. It to be more specific, we have only to choose a shape (2.2) and then substitute (x = vt) for x in f(x). The resulting expression describes a moving wave having the desired profile. Thus, $\psi(x, t) =$ $e^{-a(x-w)^2}$ is a bell-shaped wave traveling in the positive x-direction with a speed v. If we check the form of Eq. (2.5) by examining ψ after an increase in time of λ and a corresponding increase of v Δt in x, we find

$f[(x + v \Delta t) - v(t + \Delta t)] = f(x - vt)$ and the profile is unaltered.

Similarly, if the wave were traveling in the negative x-direction, i.e., to the left, Eq. (2.5) would become

$$\psi = f(x - vt)$$
, with $v > 0$. (2.6)

We may conclude therefore that, regardless of the shape of the disturbance, the variables x and t must appear in the function as a unit, i.e., as a single variable in the form $(x \neq vt)$. Equation (2.5) is often expressed equivalently as some function of (t - x/v), since

$$f(\mathbf{x} - vt) = F\left(-\frac{\mathbf{x} - vt}{v}\right) = F(t - \mathbf{x}/v). \qquad (2.7)$$

Incidentally, the pulse shown in Fig. 2.1 and the initial matrix the place shown in Fig. 1. In the order of as on-dimensional because the waves sweep over points lying on a line—itatkes only one space variable to specify them. Don't be confused by the fact that in this particular case the rope happens to rise up into a second dimension. In contrast, a two-dimensional wave propa-gates out across a surface, like the ripples on a pond, and can be described by two space variables.

We wish to use the information derived so far to develop the general form of the one-dimensional differential wave equation. To that end, take the partial derivative of $\psi(x, t)$ with respect to x, holding t constant. Using $x' = x \quad vt$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}, \quad \text{since} \quad \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} = 1.$$
 (2.8)

If we hold x constant, the partial derivative with respect

to time is

and

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial x'}{\partial t} = \mp v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x'}$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \mp v \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$

(2.9)

(2.10)

This says that the rate of change of ψ with t and with This says that the rate of change of ψ with t and with x are equal, to within a multiplicative constant, as shown in Fig. 2.3. Knowing beforehand that we'll need two constants to specify a wave, we can anticipate a second-order wave equation. The second partial derivatives of Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9) yield $\partial^2 \psi = \partial^2 f$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1/2}}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\pm v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} \right) = \pm v \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)$$

me held constant D $\psi(s_0, t)$ er to position held constant D



Since $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t},$ it follows, using Eq. (2.9), that $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = v^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}'^2}$ Combining these equations, we obtain $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2},$

which is the one-dimensional differential wave equation. It is apparent from the form of Eq. (2.11) that if two different wave functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are each separate solutions, then $(\psi_1 + \phi_2)$ is also a solution.^{*} Accordingly, the wave equation is most generally satisfied by a wave function having the form (2.12)

$\psi = C_1 f(x - vt) + C_2 g(x + vt),$

(2.11)

where C_1 and C_2 are constants and the functions are where G_i and G_p are constants and the runctions are twice differentiable. This is clearly a sum of two waves traveling in opposite directions along the s-axis with the same velocity but not necessarily the same profile. The superposition principle is inherent in this equation, and we will come back to it in Chapter 7.

We began with a special case, an important one to be sure, hut a special case nonetheless—most waves do not propagate with a constant profile. Still, that simple assumption has led us to the central formulation, the differential wave equation. If a function is a solution of that equation, it represents a wave. As we've seen, it will at the same time be a function of $(x \neq vt)$ -specifically, one that is twice differentiable with respect to both x and t.

Since both
$$\psi_1$$
 and ψ_2 are solutions

$$\frac{\delta^2 \psi_2}{\delta x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 \psi_1}{\delta x^2} = ad = \frac{1}{\delta x^2} \frac{\delta^2 \psi_2}{\delta x^2} = \frac{1}{\delta x^2} \frac{\delta^2 \psi_2}{\delta x^2},$$
idding these, we get

$$\frac{\delta^2 \psi_1}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \psi_2}{\delta x^2} \approx \frac{\delta^2}{\delta x^2} (\psi_1 + \psi_2) = \frac{1}{v^2} \left[\frac{\delta^2 \psi_1}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \psi_2}{\delta x^2} \right] = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta x^2} (\psi_1 + \psi_2),$$
but $(\psi_1 + \psi_2)$ is also a solution of Eq. (2.11).

A



Figure 2.4 An ultrashort pulse of green light from a neodymium-doped glass laser. The pulse passed through a water cell whese wall is marked in millimeters. During the 10-picosecond exposure the pulse moved about 2.2 mm. (Photo courtersy Bell Laboratories.)

2.2 HARMONIC WAVES

Let's now examine the simplest wave form for which the profile is a sine or cosine curve. These are variously known as sinusoidal waves, simple harmonic waves, or more succinctly as harmonic waves. We shall see in Chapter 7 that any wave shape can be synthesized by a superposition of harmonic waves, and they therefore take on a special significance. Choose as the profile the simple function

 $\psi(\mathbf{x},t)|_{t=0} = \psi(\mathbf{x}) = A \sin h\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{x}),$ (2.13)where k is a positive constant known as the propagation number. It's necessary to introduce the constant k simply because we cannot take the sime of a quantity that has physical units. Accordingly, ke is properly in radians. The sine varies from +1 to -1 so that the maximum value of $\psi(z)$ is A. This maximum disturbance is known as the **amplitude** of the wave (Fig. 2.5). To transform Eq. (2.13) into a progressive wave traveling at speed v in the positive x-direction, we need merely

2.2 Harmonic Waves 15

replace x by (x - vt), in which case

 $\psi(x, t) = A \sin k(x - vt) = f(x - vt).$ (2.14) $\psi(x, t) = A \sin n(x = w) = (x = w)$. (211) This is clearly (see Problem 2.8) a solution of the differential wave equation (2.11). Holding either x or t fixed results in a sinusoidal disturbance, so the wave is periodic in both space and time. The spatial period known as the wavelength and is denoted by λ_{i} as shown in Fig. 2.5. The unit of λ is the nanometer, where $1 \text{ nm} = 10^{-6} \text{ m}$; although the micron $(1 \text{ µm} = 10^{-6} \text{ m})$





Figure 2.5 A progressive wave at three different times

is often used, and the older **angstrom** (1 Å = 10^{-10} m) can still be found in the literature. An increase or decrease in x by the amount λ should leave ψ unaltered, that is.

$$\label{eq:phi} \begin{split} \psi(\mathbf{x},t) &\equiv \psi(\mathbf{x}\pm\lambda,t), \qquad (2.15) \\ \text{In the case of a harmonic wave, this is equivalent to altering the argument of the sine function by <math display="inline">\pm 2\pi. \\ \text{Therefore,} \end{split}$$

 $\sin k(x - vt) = \sin k[(x \pm \lambda) - vt] = \sin [k(x - vt) \pm 2\pi]$ and so

$$|k\lambda| = 2\pi$$

or, since both k and λ are positive numbers. $k = 2\pi/\lambda.$ (2.16) In a completely analogous fashion, we can examine the **temporal period**, r. This is the amount of time it takes for one complete wave to pass a stationary observer. In this case, it is the **repeti**tive behavior of the wave in time

that is of interest, so that $\psi(x, t) = \psi(x, t \pm \tau) \qquad (2.17)$ and $\sin k(x - vt) = \sin k[x - v(t + \tau)]$

 $|kv\tau| = 2\pi$

 $= \sin [k(x - vt) \pm 2\pi].$ Therefore,

or

But these are all positive quantities; hence

 $kv\tau = 2\pi$

$$\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}v\tau=2\pi,$$

from which it follows that

$$\tau = \frac{\lambda}{v}$$
.

The period is the number of units of time per wave (Fig. 2.6), the inverse of which is the **frequency** ν , or



Figure 2.6 A harmonic wave.

an

(2.18)

(2.19)

the number of waves per unit of time. Thus, $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ (cycles/s or Hertz),

and Eq. (2.19) becomes $v = v\lambda$ (m/s).

There are two other quantities that are often used in the literature of wave motion and these are the angular frequency

(2.20)

	$\omega = \frac{2\pi}{\tau}$	(radians/s)	(2.21)
d the wave	number		
	$x = \frac{1}{2}$	(m ⁻¹),	(2.22)

wave number, and propagation number all describe aspects of the repetitive nature of a wave in space and time. These concepts are equally well applied to waves that are not harmonic, as long as each wave profile is made up of a regularly repeating pattern (Fig. 2.7). We have thus far defined a number of quantities that characterize various aspects of wave motion. There exist, accordingly, a number of equivalent formulations of



Figure 2.7 Anharmonic periodic waves

the progressive harmonic wave. Some of the most common of these are

$$\psi = A \sin \frac{k}{x} (x \mp tt) \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\psi = A \sin 2\pi \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \mp \frac{t}{\tau}\right) \qquad (2.23)$$

$$\psi = A \sin 2\pi (xx \mp tt) \qquad (2.24)$$

$$\psi = A \sin (kx \mp ut) \qquad (2.25)$$

(2.26)

or

$$\psi = A \sin 2\pi \nu \left(\frac{x}{v} \mp t\right)$$

Of these, Eqs. (2.14) and (2.25) will be encountered most frequently. It should be noted that these waves are all of findine extent, i.e., for any fixed value of t, there is no mathematical limitation on x, which varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Each wave has a single constant frequency and is therefore said to be monochromatic. 2.3 Phase and Phase Velocity 17

2.3 PHASE AND PHASE VELOCITY

Examine any one of the harmonic wave functions, such as

 $\psi(x, t) = A \sin(kx - \omega t).$

The entire argument of the sine function is known as the **phase** φ of the wave, so that

 $\varphi = (kx - \omega t). \qquad (2.27)$ At t = x = 0,

$$\psi(x, t)\Big|_{\substack{x=0\\t=0}} = \psi(0, 0) = 0.$$

which is certainly a special case. More generally, we can write

 $\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = A \sin(hx - \omega t + \varepsilon), \qquad (2.23)$

where ε is the **initial phase** or *epoch angle*. To get a sense of the physical meaning of ϵ_i imagine that we wish to produce a progressive harmonic wave on a stretched string, as in Fig. 2.8. In order to generate harmonic waves, the hand holding the string would have to move such that its vertical displacement y was proportional to the negative of its acceleration, that is, in simple harmonic motion (see Problem 2.9). But at t=0 and x=0, the hand certainly need not be constant of course, begin its motion on an upward swing, in which case $e=\pi$, as indicated in Fig. 2.9. In this latter case,

 $\psi\left(\mathbf{x},t\right)=\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x},t)=A\sin\left(k\mathbf{x}-\omega t+\,\pi\right),$ which is equivalent to

 $\psi(x, t) = A \sin(\omega t - kx)$

$$\psi(x, t) = A \cos\left(\omega t - kx - \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

The initial phase angle is then just the constant contribution to the phase arising at the **generator and** is independent of how far in space, or how **long in time**, the wave has traveled.

The phase of a disturbance such as $\psi(x, t)$ given by Eq. (2.28) is

 $\varphi(x, t) = (kx - \omega t + \varepsilon)$ (2.29)and is obviously a function of x and L In fact, the partial

derivative of φ with respect to 4, holding x constant, is the rate of change of phase with time, or

 $\left|\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)_{s}\right| = \omega_{s}$

(2.30)

Similarly, the rate of change of phase with distance, holding t constant, is

(D)

 $\varepsilon = 0$

1

00

 $\left|\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)_{t}\right| = k.$ (2.31) These two expressions should bring to mind an equation from the theory of partial derivatives, one used quite frequently in thermodynamics, namely,

 $\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{-(\partial \varphi/\partial t)_x}{(\partial \varphi/\partial t)_x}$ (2.32)

$$(\partial t)_{\varphi} \quad (\partial \varphi / \partial \pi)_{t}$$

The term on **the left** represents the velocity of propaga-tion of the condition of constant phase. Return for a moment to Fig. 2.9 and choose any point on the profile,



Figure 2.9 With $A \sin (\pi/2) = A$. note that at x = 0 and $t = \tau/4$, y =

for example, the crest of the wave. As the wave moves through space, the displacement y of the point remains constant. Since the only variable in the harmonic wave tonction is the phase, it too must be constant. That is, the phase is fixed at such a value as to yield the constant **y** corresponding to the chosen point. The point moves along with the profile at the speed v and so too does the condition of constant phase.

Taking the appropriate partial derivatives of φ as given, for example by Eq. (2.29) and substituting them into Eq. (2.32), we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)_{\phi} = \pm \frac{\omega}{k} = \pm v.$$
 (2.33)

This is the speed at which the profile moves and is known commonly as the near valority or, more specifically, as the **phase valority**. The phase velocity carries a positive sign when the wave moves in the direction of increasing xThis is consistent with our development of v as the magnitude of the wave velocity. Consider the idea of the propagation of constant phase and how it relates to any one of the harmonic cause environment phase.

wave equations, say

$$\psi = A \sin k(x \mp vt)$$

$$\varphi = k(x - vt) = \text{constant};$$

with

as *i* increases, x must increase. Even if x < 0 so that $\varphi < 0$, x must increase (i.e., become less negative). Here, then, the condition of constant phase moves in the increasing x-direction. For

$\varphi = k(x + vt) = \text{constant},$

as t increases x can be positive and decreasing or negative and becoming more negative. In either case, the constant-phase condition moves in the decreasing xdirection.

Figure 2.10 depicts a source producing hypothetical regime 2.10 depicts a source producing hypothetical two-dimensional waves on the surface of a liquid. The essentially simusoidal nature of the disturbance, as the medium rises and falls, is evident in the diagram. But there is another useful way to envision what's happen-ing. The curves connecting all the points with a given phase



19





Figure 2.18 Idealized circular waves. (Photo by E.H.)

form a set of concentric circles. Furthermore, given that for a steries of containe to the relation of the second state of the source, if φ is constant over a circle, ψ too must be constant over that circle. In other words, all the corresponding peaks and troughs fall on circles and we speak of these as circular waves.

2.4 THE COMPLEX REPRESENTATION

As we develop the analysis of wave phenomena, it will become clear that the sine and cosine functions that describe harmonic waves are somewhat awkward for describe harmonic waves are somewhat awkward for our purposes. As the expressions being formulated become more involved, the trigonometric manipula-tions required to cope with them become even more unattractive. The complex-number representation of waves offers an alternative description that is mathematically simpler to use. In fact, the complex exponential form of the wave equation is used exten-sively in both classical and quantum mechanica, as well as in police as in optics.

(2.34)

The complex number z has the form z = x + iy

where i = -1. The real and imaginary parts of z are respectively x and y, where both x and y are themselves real numbers. This is illustrated graphically in the Argand diagram in Fig. 2.11. In terms of polar coordinates (r, θ) , we have

 $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$ and

 $z = x - iy = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta).$ The Euler formula*

 $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta = i \sin \theta$ allows us to write

 $z = re^{i\theta} = r\cos\theta + ir\sin\theta,$

where r is the magnitude of z, and θ is the phase angle where r is the magnitude of t, and 0 is the phase angle of z, in radians. The magnitude is often denoted by [4] and referred to as the modulus or absolute value of the complex complex complex complex conjugate, indicated by an ascerisk, is found by replacing i wherever it appears. with -i, so that

> $z^* = (x + iy)^* = (x - iy)$ $z^* = r(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)$

and

 $z^* = re^{-\tau\theta}$. The operations of addition and subtraction are quite straightforward:

 $z_1 = z_2 = (x_1 + iy_1) \pm (x_2 + iy_2)$ and therefore

 $z_1 \pm z_2 = (x_1 \pm x_2) + i(y_1 \pm y_2).$ Notice that this process is very much like the component addition of vectors.

If you have any doubts about this identity, take the differential of $z = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$, where r = 1. This yields $dz = iz d\theta$, and integration gives $z = \exp (i\theta)$.



Figure 2.11 Argand diagram

Multiplication and division are most simply expressed in polar form

 $z_1 z_2 = r_1 \, r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$ and

 $\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)},$

A number of facts that will be useful in future calculations are well worth mentioning at this point. It follows readily from the ordinary trigonometric addition formulas that

 $e^{z_1+z_2} = e^{z_1}e^{z_2}$ whence, if $z_1 = x$ and $z_2 = iy$, $e^{i} = e^{x+iy} = e^{i}e^{iy}$

The modulus of a complex quantity is given by (z) = (zz*)1/2,

so that $|e^z| = e^x$.

Inasmuch as $\cos 2\pi = 1$ and $\sin 2\pi = 0$, $e^{i2\pi} = 1;$

similarly, $e^{iw} = e^{-iw} = -1$ and $e^{\pi iw/2} = \pm i$. The function e^{t} is periodic, that is, it repeats itself every i2π: $e^{i+i2\pi}=e^ie^{i2\pi}=e^i.$

Any complex number can be represented as the sum of a real part Re (z) and an imaginary part Ini (z) $z = \text{Re}(z) + i \, \text{Im}(z),$

such that

Re $(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^*)$ and Im $(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(z - z^*)$.

From the polar form where Re $(z) = r \cos \theta$ and Im $(z) = r \sin \theta$.

it is clear that either part could be chosen to describe a harmonic wave. It is customary, however, to choose the real part, in which case a harmonic wave is written (2.35)

 $\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left[Ae^{i(\omega t - kx + \varepsilon)}\right],$ which is, of course, equivalent to

 $\psi(x, t) = A \cos(\omega t - kx + \varepsilon).$ Henceforth, wherever it's convenient, we shall write the wave function as

 $\psi(x, t) = A e^{i(\omega t - kx + \epsilon)} = A e^{i\varphi}$ (2.36)

and utilize this complex form in the required computa-tions. This is done to take advantage of the ease with which complex exponentials can be manipulated. Only which complex exponentials that be manipulated. Only after arriving at a final result, and then only if we want to represent the actual **wave, must** we take the real part. It has, accordingly, **become quite** common to write $\Psi(x, t)$, as in Eq. (2.36), where it is understood that the actual wave is the real part.

2.5 PLANE WAVES

The plane wave is perhaps the simplest example of a three-dimensional wave. It exists at a given time, when all the surfaces upon which a disturbance has constant phase form a set of planes, each generally perpendicular to the propagation direction. There are quite practical

2.5 Plane Waves 21

reasons for studying this sort of disturbance, one of which is that by using optical devices, we can readily produce light resembling plane waves.

The mathematical expression for a plane that is per-pendicular to a given vector k and that passes through some point (x_0, y_0, z_0) is rather casy to derive (Fig. 2.12). The position vector, in terms of its components in Car-tesian coordinates is tesian coordinates, is

r [x, y, z].

It begins at some arbitrary origin O and ends at the point (x, y, z), which can, for the moment, be anywhere in space. By setting

 $(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \cdot \mathbf{k} = 0,$ (2.37) we force the vector $(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)$ to sweep out a plane perpendicular to k, as its endpoint (x, y, z) takes on all allowed values. With

 $\mathbf{k} \equiv [k_x, k_y, k_z]$ (2.38)

Eq. (2.37) can be expressed in the form $k_x(x - x_0) + k_y(y - y_0) - k_z(z - z_0) = 0$ (2.39)

or as

 $k_x x + k_y y + k_z z = a.$ (2.40)



Figure 2.12 A plane wave moving in the k-direction.

where

 $a = k_x x_0 + k_y y_0 + k_z z_0 = \text{constant}.$ (2.41)The most concise form of the equation of a plane perpendicular to \mathbf{k} is then just

k · r constant - a.

The plane is the locus of all points whose position vectors each have the same projection onto the k-direction. We can now construct a set of planes over which $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ varies in space sinusoidally, namely,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = A \sin (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \qquad (2.43)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = A \cos (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \qquad (2.44)$$

 $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = A e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}.$

(2.42)

(2.45)

the

For each of these expressions $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is constant over every plane defined by $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ — constant. Since we are dealing



Figure 2.13 Wavefronts for a harmonic plane wave

with harmonic functions, they should repeat themselves in space after a displacement of λ in the direction of k. Figure 2.13 is a rather humble representation of this kind of expression. We have drawn only a few of the infinite number of planes, each having a different $\psi(x)$. The planes should also have been drawn with an infinite number of the second second second second second second second the second seco spatial extent, since no limits were put on r. The distur-

spatial extent, since no minis were put on r. The discus-bance clearly occupies all of space. The spatially repetitive nature of these harmonic functions can be expressed by

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi\left(\mathbf{r} + \frac{\lambda \mathbf{k}}{k}\right),$$
 (2.46)

where k is the magnitude of k and k/k is a unit vector parallel to it (Fig. 2.14). In the exponential form, this is equivalent to

$$A e^{ik\pi} = A e^{ik(y+\lambda_k(t))} = A e^{ik\pi}$$

For this to be true, we must have
 $e^{i\lambda\pi} = 1 = e^{i2\pi}$;
therefore, $\lambda k = 2\pi$

and
$$k = \frac{2\pi}{2}$$

The vector k, whose magnitude is the propagation number The vector \mathbf{x} , whose magnitude is the propagation vector. At any fixed point in space where \mathbf{r} is constant, the phase is constant and so too, is $\psi(\mathbf{r})$, in short the planes are motionless. To get things moving, $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ must be made to vary in time, something we can accomplish by introducing the time dependence in an analogous fashion to that of the one-dimensional wave. Here then $\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = A e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} \neq \omega t)}$ (2.47)

with A, ω_i and k constant. As this disturbance travels along in the k-direction we can assign a phase corre-sponding to it at each point in space and time. At any given time, the surfaces joining all points of equal phase are known as wavefronts or uses surfaces. Note that the wave function will have a constant value over the wavefront objie the anglinghed. A the function of the according to be function of the surface of the according to the according to the surface of the according to the surface of the according to the function of the surface of the according to the surface of only if the amplitude A has a fixed value at every point on the wavefront. In general, A is a function of r and may not be constant over all space or even over a Figure 2.14 Plane waves

wavefront. In the latter case, the wave is said to be inhomogeneous, but we will not be concerned with this sort of disturbance until later, when we consider laserbeams and total internal reflection. The phase velocity of a plane wave given by Eq. (2.47)

The phase velocity of a plane wave given oy $L_{q_{i}}(\omega, \tau_{i})$ is equivalent to the propagation velocity of the wave-front. In Fig. 2.14, the scalar component of r in the direction of k is r. The disturbance on a wavefront is constant, so that after a time dt_{i} if the front moves along k a distance drk, we must have

 $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \psi(r_k + dr_k, t = dt) - \psi(r_k, t).$ (2.48) In exponential form, this is

 $Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{T}^{\mp}\omega t)} = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{T}_{a}^{\pm}+\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{T}_{a}^{\mp}\omega t^{\mp}\omega t^{\mp}} = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{T}_{a}^{\pm}-\omega t)};$

therefore. $k \, d\tau_k = \pm \omega \, dt,$

and the magnitude of the wave velocity. dr_k/dt , is

$$\frac{dr_k}{dt} \pm \frac{\omega}{k} = \pm 1$$

(2.49)

We could have anticipated this result by rotating the coordinate system in Fig. 2.14 so that k was parallel to the x-axis. For that orientation $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = A e^{i(k \epsilon \mp \omega t)}$

2.6 The Three-Dimensional Differential Wave Equation 23

> effectively reduced to the one-dimensional disturbance already discussed in Section 2.3. The plane harmonic wave is often written in Cartesian

$$\psi(x, y, z, t) = Ae^{i(k_x z + k_y y + k_z z - \omega t)}$$

(2.50)

$$d_{i}(x, y, z, t) = A_{i}(k(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z)^{\alpha} a t) \qquad (0.51)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t) = A e^{i(\mathbf{k}(\alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y} + \gamma \mathbf{z})^{\mathbf{w}} o t]}, \qquad (2.51)$$

where α , β , and γ are the direction cosines of **k** (see Problem 2.19). In terms of its components, the magni-tude of the propagation vector is given by $k = k - (k_{2}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + k_{2}^{2})^{1/2}$

$$\alpha^{-} + \beta^{-} + \gamma^{-} = 1.$$
 (2.53)

We have examined plane waves with a particular emphasis on harmonic functions. The special sig-nificance of these waves is twofold: first, physically, sinusoidal waves can be generated relatively simply by using some form of harmonic oscillator; second, any three-dimensional waves can be expressed as a combina-tion of plane waves, each having a distinct amplitude and propagation direction.

We can certainly imagine a series of plane waves like those in Fig. 2.13 where the disturbance varies in some fashion other than harmonically. It will be seen in the next section that harmonic plane waves are, indeed, a special case of a more general plane-wave solution.

2.6 THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL DIFFERENTIAL WAVE EQUATION

Of all the three-dimensional waves, only the plane wave (harmonic or not) moves through space with an unchanging profile. Clearly, then, the idea of a wave unchanging prohie. Clearly, then, the idea of a wave being the propagation of a disturbance whose profile is unaltered is somewhat lacking. This difficulty can be overcome by defining a wave as any solution of the differential wave equation. Obviously, what we need now is a three-dimensional wave equation. This should be rather easy to obtain, since we can guess at its form



от

by generalizing from the one-dimensional expression (2.11). In Cartesian coordinates, the position variables \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , and z must certainly appear symmetrically² in the three-dimensional equation, a fact to be kept in mind. The wave function $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$ given by Eq. (2.51) is a particular solution of the differential equation we are looking for. In analogy with the derivation of Eq. (2.11), we compute the following partial derivatives from Eq. (2.51)

 $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$

 $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2}$

 $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \pi^2}$

$$-\alpha^{2}k^{2}\psi \qquad (2.54)$$
$$-\beta^{2}k^{2}\psi \qquad (2.55)$$
$$=-\gamma^{2}k^{2}\psi \qquad (2.56)$$

(2.57)

(2.58)

(2.60)

and

 $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -\omega^2 \psi,$ Adding the three spatial derivatives and utilizing the fact that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = -k^2 \psi,$$

Combining this with the time derivative Eq. (2.57) and remembering that $v = \omega/k$, we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2},$$
 (2.59)

the three-dimensional differential wave equation. Note that x_i , y_i and z do appear symmetrically, and the form is precisely what one might expect from the generalization of Eq. (2.11).

ation (2.59) is usually written in a more concise Eq form by introducing the Laplacian operator

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2},$$

* There is no distinguishing characteristic for any one of the axes in Cartesian coordinates. We should therefore be able to change the namesof, say, to 1, yo 1, and 1 to y (keeping the system right-handed) without altering the differential wave equation.

whereupon it becomes simply $\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}.$ Now that we have this most important equation, let's briefly return to the plane wave and see how it fits into the scheme of things. A function of the form

 $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t) = A e^{i \mathbf{k} \{\omega \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \in \mp \mathbf{0}\}}$ (2.62) is equivalent to Eq. (2.51) and, as such, is a solution of Eq. (2.61). It can also be shown (Problem 2.22) that

(2.61)

		,
	$\psi(x, y, z, l) = f(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z - vl)$	(2.63)
and		
	$\psi(x, y, z, t) = g(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + vt)$	(2.64)

are both plane-wave solutions of the differential wave equation. The functions f and g, which are twice differentiable, are otherwise arbitrary and certainly need not be harmonic. A linear combination of these solutions is also a solution, and we can write this in a slightly different manner as

 $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = C_1 f(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{k}/k - vt) = C_2 g(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{k}/k + vt), \quad (2.65)$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants. Cartesian coordinates are particularly suitable for describing plane waves. However, as various physical situations arise, we can often take better advantage of existing symmetries by making use of some other coor-dinate representations.

2.7 SPHERICAL WAVES

Toss a stone into a tank of water. The surface ripples that enhance from the point of impact spread out in two-dimensional circular waves. Extending this imagery to three dimensions, envision a small pulsating sphere surrounded by a fluid. As the source expands and surficience of a finite rate of a contract, it generates pressure variations that propa-gate outward as spherical waves. Consider now an idealized point source of light. The

radiation emanating from it streams out radially, uniformly in all directions. The source is said to be isotropic. and the resulting wavefronts are again concentric



Figure 2.15 The geometry of spherical coordinates

spheres that increase in diameter as they expand out into the surrounding space. The obvious symmetry of the wavefronts suggests that it might be more convenient to describe them mathem spherical polar coordinates (Fig. resentation the Laplacian operato

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

$$r^2 \sin^2 \theta \,\partial \phi^{2'}$$

where r , θ , ϕ are defined by

 $x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$, $z = r \cos \theta$. Remember that we are looking for a description of spherical waves, waves that are spherically symmetrical (i.e., ones that do not depend on θ and ϕ) so that (2.67)

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi(\mathbf{r}, \theta, \phi) = \psi(\mathbf{r}).$$

The Laplacian of $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is then simply

 $\nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi} \right).$

We can obtain this result without being familiar with Eq. (2.66). Start with the Cartesian form of the Laplacian

2.7 Spherical Waves 25

(2.60), operate on the spherically symmetrical wave function $\psi(r)$, and convert each term to polar coordinates. Examining only the x-dependence, we have

 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}$ дx ar ax

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x^2},$$

 $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi(r).$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{r^2} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{x^2}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{r^2}\right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r},$$

², we form $\partial^2 \psi / \partial y^2$ and $\partial^2 \psi / \partial z^2$, and

$$\nabla^2 \psi(r) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r},$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (2.68). This result can be expressed in a slightly different form:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r\psi). \qquad (2.69)$$

The differential wave equation (2.61) can then be written as

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}(r\psi) - \frac{1}{v^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}.$$
(2.70)

Multiplying both sides by r, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}(n\psi) = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(n\psi). \qquad (2.71)$$

matically, in terms of
$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$$

. 2.15). In this report is Now having $\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2$
 $-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$ on adding get

(2.66)

(2.68)

and

since

Using

we have

Notice that this expression is now just the onedimensional differential wave equation (2.11), where the space variable is r and the wave function is the product ($\eta \phi$). The solution of Eq. (2.71) is then simply

$$r\psi(r, t) = f(r - vt)$$

$$\psi(r, t) = \frac{f(r - vt)}{r}.$$

or

or

This represents a spherical wave progressing radially outward from the origin, at a constant speed v, and having an arbitrary functional form f. Another solution is given by

(2.72)

(2.75)

$$\psi(r,t)=\frac{g(r+vt)}{r},$$

and in this case the wave is converging toward the origin.^{*} The fact that this expression blows up at $\tau = 0$ is of little practical concern. A special case of the general solution

 $\psi(r, t) = C_1 \frac{|t| - |t|}{r} + C_2 \frac{g(r + vt)}{r}$ (2.73)

is the harmonic spherical wave

 $\psi(r, t) = \left(\frac{st}{r}\right) \cos k(r \mp vt)$ (2.74)

$$\psi(r, t) = \left(\frac{\mathscr{A}}{r}\right) e^{ik(r \pi_{Y}t)},$$

wherein the constant of is called the *source strength*. At any fixed value of time, this represents a cluster of concentric spheres filling all space. Each wavefront, or surface of constant phase, is given by

kr = constant.

* Other more complicated solutions exist when the wave is not spherically symmetrical. See C. A. Goulson, Waves, Chapter 1.



Figure 2.16 A "quadruple exposure" of a spherical pulse

Notice that the amplitude of any spherical wave is a function of r, where the term r⁻¹ serves as an attenuation factor. Unlike the plane wave, a spherical wave decreases in amplitude, thereby changing its profile, as



Figure 2.17 Spherical wavefronts.

It expands and moves out from the origin.⁸ Figure 2.16 illustrates this graphically by showing a "multiple exposure" of a spherical pulse at four different times. The pulse has the same extent in space at any point along any radius r; that is, the width of the pulse along the r-axis is a constant. Figure 2.17 is an attempt to relate the diagrammatic representation of $\psi(r, t)$ in the previous figure to its actual form as a spherical wave. It depicts half the spherical pulse at two different times, as the wave expands outward. Remember that these results would obtain regardless of the direction of r. because of the spherical ayawe. 2.16 and 2.17. In this case, the sinusoidal disturbance would have been bounded by the curves

$\psi = sd/r$ and $\psi = -sd/r$.

The outgoing spherical wave emanating from a point source and the incoming wave converging to a point are idealizations. In actuality, light only approximates spherical waves, as it also only approximates plane waves.

waves. As a spherical wavefront propagates out, its radius increases. Far enough away from the source, a small area of the wavefront will closely resemble a portion of a plane wave (Fig. 2.18).

2.8 CYLINDRICAL WAVES

We will now briefly examine another idealized waveform, the infinite circular cylinder. Unfortunately, a precise mathematical treatment is far too involved to do here. We shall, however, outline the procedure, so

⁴ The attenuation factor is a direct consequence of energy conservation. Chapter 3 contains a discussion of how these ideas apply specifically to electromagnetic radiation. 2.8 Cylindrical Waves 27

Figure 2.18 The flattening of spherical waves with distance.

that the resulting wave function will evoke no mysticism. The Laplacian of ψ in cylindrical coordinates (Fig. 2.19)

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2}, \qquad (2.76)$$

 $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$, and z = z.

where

The simple case of cylindrical symmetry requires that $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi(r, \theta, z) = \psi(r),$

The θ -independence means that a plane perpendicular to the z-axis will intersect the wavefront in a circle, which may vary in r, at different values of z. In addition, the z-independence further restricts the wavefront to a right circular cylinder centered on the z-axis and



Figure 2.19 The geometry of cylindrical coordinates.

having infinite length. The differential wave equation is accordingly

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r}\right) = \frac{1}{v^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}.$$
(2.77)

We are looking for an expression for $\psi(r)$, a solution of this equation. After a bit of manipulation, in which the time **dependence** is separated out, Eq. (2.77) becomes **something** called Bessel's equation. The solutions of Bessel's equation for large values of *r* gradually approach simple trigonometric forms. Finally, then, when *r* is sufficiently large, we can write

$$\psi(r, t) \approx \frac{\mathscr{A}}{\sqrt{r}} e^{ik(r-\omega)}$$

$$\psi(r, t) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\sqrt{r}} \cos k(r \neq vt).$$

(2.78)

This represents a set of coaxial circular cylinders filling all space and traveling toward or away from an infinite line source. No solutions in terms of arbitrary functions can now be found as there were for both spherical (2.73) and plane (2.63) waves. A plane wave impinging on the back of a flat opaque

A plane wave impinging on the back of a flat copaque screen containing a long thin slit will result in the emission, from that slit, of a disturbance resembling a cylindrical wave (see Fig. 2.20). Extensive use has been made of this technique to generate cylindrical lightwaves. Remember that the actual wave, however generated, only resembles the idealized mathematical representation.



Figure 2.20 Cylindrical waves emerging from a long, narrow sht.

2.9 SCALAR AND VECTOR WAVES

There are two general classifications of waves: longitudinal and transverse. The distinction between the twoarises from a **difference** between the direction along which the dist**urbance** occurs and the direction, k/k, in



Figure 2.21 (a) A longitudinal wave in a spring. (b) A transverse wave in a spring.

which the disturbance propagates. This is rather easy to visualize when dealing with an elastically deformable material medium (Fig. 2.21). A longitudinal wave occurs when the particles of the medium are displaced from their equilibrium positions, in a direction parallel to kk. A transverse wave arises when the disturbance, in this case the displacement of the medium, is perpendicular to the propagation direction. Figure 2.2(2) depicts a ransverse wave (as on a stretched string) traveling in the *i*-direction. In this instance, the wave motion is confined to a spatially fixed plane called the plane of vibration, and the wave is accordingly said to be linearly or plane polarized. To determine the wave completely, we must now specify the orientation of the plane of vibration and two sure and the disturbance into components along two mutually perpendicular axes, both normal to 2 (see Fig. 2.22(d)). The angle at which the plane of vibration is inclined is a constant, so that at any time k, and 4, differ from ψ by a multiplicative constant and are both therefore solutions of the differential wave equation. A significant fact has evolved: the wave function of a transverse wave behaves somewhat like a vector quantity. With the wave moving along the z-axis, we can write

 $\Psi(z, t) = \psi_x(z, t)\hat{i} + \psi_y(z, t)\hat{j},$ (2.79) where, of course, \hat{i} , \hat{j} , and \hat{k} are the unit base vectors in Cartesian coordinates.

A scalar harmonic plane wave is given by the expression

 $\psi({\bf r},t) = A e^{i ({\bf k}\cdot{\bf r}\ r_{oot})}.$ (2.47) A linearly polarized harmonic plane wave is given by the

wave vector $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{A} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}^{\top}\omega t)}$ (2.80)

or in Cartesian coordinates by

 $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t) = (A_{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_{\mathbf{y}}\hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{\mathbf{k}})e^{i(k_{\mathbf{x}} + k_{\mathbf{y}} + k_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w} t)}.$ (2.81)

For this latter case in which the plane of vibration is fixed in space, so too is the orientation of **A**. Remember that ψ and **A** differ only by a scalar and, as such, are Parallel to each other and perpendicular to k/k. 2.9 Scalar and Vector Waves 29



Figure 2.22 Linearly polarized wave

Light behaves like a transcerse wave, and an appreciation of its vectorial nature is of great importance. The phenomena of optical plaintains can readily be treated in terms of this sort of vector wave picture. For unpdarread light, in which the wave vector changes direction randomly and rapidly, scalar approximations become useful, as in the theories of interference and diffraction.

PROBLEMS

2.1 How many "yellow" light waves (λ = 580 nm) will 2.1 How many yerrow high wates (ν = 000 minut λ and fit into a distance in space equal to the thickness of a piece of paper (0.003 in)? How far will the same number of microwaves ($\nu = 10^{10}$ Hz, i.e., 10 GHz, and $\nu = 3 \times$ 108 m/s) extend?

2.2* The speed of light in vacuum is 3×10^8 m/s. Find the wavelength of red light having a frequency of 5×10^{14} Hz. Compare this with the wavelength of a 60-Hz electromagnetic wave.

2.3* It is possible to generate ultrasonic waves in crystals with wavelengths similar to light (5×10^{-9} cm) but with lower frequencies (6×10^{9} Hz). Compute the corresponding speed of such a wave.

2.4* Make up a table with columns headed by values of θ running from $-\pi/2$ to 2π in intervals of $\pi/4$. In each column place the corresponding value of sin θ , beneath those the values of cos θ , beneath those the beneath those the values of cos θ , beneath those the values of $(\theta - \pi/4)$, and $(\theta - \pi/4)$, and $(\theta - \pi/2)$, $\sin(\theta - 3\pi/4)$, and $\sin(\theta + \pi/2)$. Plot each of these functions, noting the effect of the phase shift. Does sin θ lead or lag sin $(\theta - \pi/2)$, in other words, does one of the functions reach a particular magnitude at a smaller spike of θ then the rather therefore lead smaller value of θ than the other and therefore lead the other (as $\cos \theta$ leads $\sin \theta$)?

2.5* Make up a table with columns headed by values For the control of the control that the second sec tions 15 cos $(kx - \pi/4)$ and 25 cos $(kx + 3\pi/4)$.

2.6* Make up a table with columns headed by value: of ωt running from $t - \pi/2$ to $t + \pi$ in intervals of t of $\pi/4 - \omega f$ course, $\omega = 2\pi/\pi$. In each column place the corresponding values of $\sin(\omega t + \pi/4)$ and $\sin(\pi/4 - \omega t)$ and then plot these two functions.

2.7 Using the wave functions

 $\psi_1 = 4 \sin 2\pi (0.2x - 3t)$

 $\psi_2 = \frac{\sin\left(7x + 3.5t\right)}{2}$

determine in each case the values of (a) frequency, (b) wavelength, (c) period, (d) amplitude, (e) phase velocity, and (f) direction of motion. Time is in seconds and xis in meters.

2.5

2.8* Show that

and

 $\psi(x, t) = A \sin k(x - vt)$ [2.14] is a solution of the differential wave equation.

2.9 Show that if the displacement of the string in Fig. 2.8 is given by

 $y(\mathbf{x}, t) = A \sin \left[k\mathbf{x} - \omega t + \varepsilon \right],$

then the hand generating the wave must be moving vertically in simple harmonic motion.

2.10 Write the expression for a harmonic wave of amplitude 10^3 V/m, period 2.2 × 10^{-15} s, and speed 8 × 10^6 m/s. The wave is propagating in the negative x-direction and has a value of 10^5 V/m at t = 0 and x = 0.

2.11 Consider the pulse described in terms of its displacement at t = 0 by

 $y(x, t)|_{t=0} = \frac{C}{2 + x^2},$

where C is a constant. Draw the wave profile. Write an expression for the wave, having a speed v in the negative x-direction, as a function of time t. If v = 1 m/s, sketch the profile at t = 2 s.

2.12* What is the magnitude of the wave function $\psi(z, t) = A \cos[k(z + vt) + \pi]$ at the point z = 0, when $t = \tau/2$ and when $t = 3\tau/4$?

2.13 Does the following function, in which A is a constant

 $\psi(y,t) = (y - vt)A$

represent a wave? Explain your reasoning.

Use Eq. (2.32) to calculate the speed of the wave representation in SI units i $\psi(y, t) = A \cos \pi (3 \times 10^6 y + 9 \times 10^{14} t).$

2.15 Create an expression for the *profile* of a harmonic wave traveling in the z-direction whose magnitude at $z = -\lambda/12$ is 0.866, at $z = +\lambda/6$ is 1/2, and at $z = \lambda/4$ is O

2.16* Show that the imaginary part of a complex number z is given by $(z - z^*)/2i$.

2.17* Determine which of the following describe traveling waves:

 $\psi(y, t) = e^{-(a^2y^2 + b^2t^2 - 2abty)}$ $\psi(z,t) = A \sin{(az^2 - bt^2)}$

 $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = A \sin 2\pi \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{a} + \frac{t}{b}\right)^2$ $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = A \cos^2 2\pi (t - \mathbf{x}).$

Where appropriate draw the profile and find the speed and direction of motion.

```
2.18 Given the traveling wave \psi(x, t) = 5.0 \exp(-ax^2 - t)
bt^2 = 2\sqrt{ab} xt, determine its direction of propagation.
Calculate a few values of \psi and make a sketch of the wave at t = 0, taking a = 25 \text{ m}^{-2} and b = 9.0 \text{ s}^{-2}. What
is the speed of the wave?
```

2.19 Beginning with Eq. (2.50), verify that $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t) = A e^{i[k(\alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y} + \gamma t)^{\#} \omega t]}$

and that

 $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1.$

Draw a sketch showing all the pertinent quantities.

2.20 Consider a lightwave having a phase velocity of 3×10^6 m/s and a frequency of 6×10^{16} Hz. What is the phottest distance along the wave between any two points that have a phase difference of 30° ? What phase shift occurs at a given point in 10^{-6} s, and how many waves have passed by in that time?

Problems 31

2.21 Write an expression for the wave shown in Fig. 2.23. Find its wavelength, velocity, frequency, and period.



Figure 2.23 A harmonic wave

2.22* Show that Eqs. (2.63) and (2.64), which are plane waves of arbitrary form, satisfy the three-dimensional differential wave equation.

2.23 De Broglie's hypothesis states that every particle has associated with it a wavelength given by Planck's constant ($h = 6.6 \times 10^{-34}$ Js) divided by the particle's momentum. Compare the wavelength of a 6.0-kg stone moving at a speed of 1.0 m/s with that of light.

2.24 Write an expression in Cartesian coordinates for a harmonic plane wave of amplitude A and frequency w propagating in the direction of the vector **k**, which in turn lies on a line drawn from the origin to the point (4, 2, 1). Hint: first determine k and then dot it with r.

2.25^{*} Write an expression in Cartesian coordinates for a harmonic plane wave of amplitude A and frequency ω propagating in the positive x-direction,

2.26 Show that $\psi(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}, t)$ may represent a plane wave where \mathbf{k} is normal to the wavefront. *Hint:* let \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 be position vectors drawn to any two points on the plane and show that $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}_2, t)$.

2.27* Make up a table with columns headed by values The market up a tope with control for a dependence of σ running from $-\pi/2$ to 2π in intervals of $\pi/4$. In each column place the corresponding value of sin θ , and beneath those the values of 2 sin θ . Next add these, column by column, to yield the corresponding values of the function sin $\theta = 2$ sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function sin $\theta = 2$ sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the function of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of these three of the 2 sin θ . Plot each of the 2 sin θ . functions, noting their relative amplitudes and phases.

2.28* Make up a table with columns headed by values of θ running from $-\pi/2$ to 2π in intervals of $\pi/4$. In

each column place the corresponding value of sin θ , and beneath those the values of sin $(\theta - \pi/2)$. Next add these, column by column, to yield the corresponding values of the function sin $\theta + \sin((\theta - \pi/2))$. Plot each of these three functions, noting their relative amplitudes and phases.

2.29* With the last two problems in mind, draw a plot of sin θ , sin $(\theta - 3\pi/4)$, and sin $\theta + \sin(\theta - 3\pi/4)$. Compare the amplitude of the combined function in this case with that of the previous problem.

2.30* Make up a table with columns headed by values of kx running from $x = -\lambda/2$ to $x = +\lambda$ in intervals of x of $\lambda/4$. In each column place the corresponding values of cos kx and beneath that the values of cos $(kx + \pi)$, next plot the functions cos kx, cos $(kx + \pi)$, and cos kx + $\pi/2$. + π). cos (kx

ELECTROMAGNETIC THEORY, PHOTONS, 3 AND LIGHT

he work of J. C. Maxwell and subsequent develop-ments since the late 1800s have made it evident that hight is most certainly electromagnetic in nature. Cassical electrodynamics, as we shall see, unalterably Classical electrodynamics, as we shall see, unalterably leads to the picture of a continuous transfer of energy by way of electromagnetic waves. In contrast, the more modern view of quantum electrodynamics describes electromagnetic interactions and the transport of energy in terms of massless elementary "particles" known as photons, which are localized quanta of energy. The quantum nature of radiant energy is not always readily apparent, nor indeed is it always of practical concern in optics. There is a range of situations in which the detecting equipment is such that it is impossible, and desirably so, to distinguish individual quanta. More often than not, the stream of incident light carries a

and desirably so, to assungues individual quanta. More often than not, the stream of incident light carries a relatively large amount of energy, and the granularity is obscured in any event. If the wavelength of light is small in comparison to the size of the apparatus, one may use, as a first approxi-mation, the techniques of geometrical optics. A somewhat more precise treatment, which is applicable as well when the dimensions of the apparatus are small, is that of divide optics. In physical optics the dominant property be links in the second s Applied optics. In physical optics the dominant property of light is its wave nature. It is even possible to develop most of the treatment without ever specifying the kind of wave one is dealing with. Certainly, as far as the classical study of physical optics is concerned, it will suffice admirably to treat light as an electromagnetic wave

We can think of light as another manifestation of

matter. Indeed, one of the basic tenets of quantum mechanics is that both light and material objects each display similar wave-particle properties. As Erwin C. Schrödinger (1887-1961), one of the founders of quantum theory, put it:

In the new setting of ideas the distinction [between particles and waves] has vanished, because it was dis-covered that all particles have also wave properties, and vice versa. Neither of the two concepts must be discarded, they must be amalgamated. Which aspect obtrudes itself depends not on the physical object, but on the experi-mental device set up to examine it.*

The quantum-mechanical treatment associates a wave equation with a particle, be it a photon, electron, proton, or whatever. In the case of material particles, the wave aspects are introduced by way of the field equation known as Schrödinger's equation. For photons we have a representation of the wave nature in the form of the classical electromagnetic field equations of Maxwell. With these as a starting point one can construct a quantum-mechanical theory of photons and their interquantum-mechanical theory of photons and their inter-action with charges. The dual nature of light is evi-denced by the fact that it propagates through space in a wavelike fashion and yet can display particlelike behavior during emission and absorption processes. Electromagnetic radiant energy is created and destroyed in quanta or photons and not continuously as a classical wave. Nonetheless its motion through a

* Erwin C. Schrödinger, Science Theory and Man.

lens, a hole, or a set of slits is governed by wave characteristics. If we're unfamiliar with this kind of behavior in the macroscopic world, it's because the wavelength of an object varies inversely with its momentum (see Chapter 13), and even a grain of sand (which is barely moving) has a wavelength so small as to be indiscernible in any conceivable experiment. The photon has several properties that distinguish it

from all other subatomic particles. These properties are of considerable interest to us, because they are respon-sible for the fact that quite often the quantum aspects of light are thoroughly obscured. In particular, there are no restrictions on the number of photons that can exist in a region with the same linear and angular momentum. Restrictions of this sort (the Pauli exclusion principle) do exist for most other particles (with the exception for example of the still hypothetical quantum exception for example of the stim hypothetical quantum of gravity, i.e., the graviton, He, and π mesons). The photon has zero rest mass, and therefore exceedingly large numbers of low-energy photons can be envisioned as present in a beam of light. Within that model dense streams of photons (many of which may have essentially the same momentum) act on the average to produce well-defined classical fields. We can draw a rough analogy with the flow of commuters through a train station during rush hour. Each one presumably behaves individually as a quantum of humanity, but all have the same intent and follow fairly similar trajectories. To a distant, myopic observer there is a seemingly smooth and continuous flow. The behavior of the stream en masse is predictable from day to day, so the precise motion of each commuter is unimportant, at least to the observer. The energy transported by a large number of photons is, on the average, equivalent to the energy transferred by a classical electromagnetic wave. It is for these reasons that the field representation of electromagnetic phenomena has been, and will continue to be, so useful. It should be noted, however, that when we speak of overlapping electromagnetic waves, it is essentially a euphemism for the interference of probability amplitudes, but more about that will have to wait for Chapter 13.

Quite pragmatically, then, we can consider light to be a classical electromagnetic wave, keeping in mind that there are situations (on the periphery of our present concern) for which this description is woeffully inadequate.

3.1 BASIC LAWS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC THEORY

Our intent in this section is to review and develop, if only briefly, some of the ideas needed to appreciate the concept of electromagnetic waves. We know from

We know from experiments that charges, even though separated bacume, experiments a neural metraction. Recall the familiar electrostatics demonstration in which a pith ball somehow senses the presence of a explanation we might speculate that each charge emits (and absorbs) a stream of undetected particles (virtual *photons*). The exchange of these particles among the charges may be regarded as the mode of interaction. Alternatively, we can take the classical approach and imagine instead that every charge is surrounded by something called an electric field. We then need only suppose that each charge interact directly with the electric field in which it is immersed. Thus if a charge g experiences a force F_x , the *electric field* **E** at the position, we observe that a moving charge may experience another force F_x , which is proportional to its velocity v. We are thus led to define yet another field, namely, the magnitig induction B, such that $F_M = gw \times B$. If forces F_x and F_M occur concurrently, the charge is said to be moving through a region pervaded by both electric and magnetic fields, whereupon $F = g E + q \times B$.

The definition of the entropy of th

3.1.1 Faraday's Induction Law

Sichael Faraday made a number of major contributions beletromagnetic theory. One of the most significant was his discovery that a time-varying magnetic flux passing through a closed conducting loop results in the septeration of a current around that loop. The flux of magnetic induction (or magnetic flux density) B through any open area A bounded by the conducting loop (Fig. (a)) is given by

$$\Phi_B = \iint_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S}.$$

(3.1)

(3.2)

The induced *electromotive force*, or *emf*, developed around the loop is then

$$emf = -\frac{d\Phi_B}{h}$$
.

We should not, however, get too involved with the image of wires and current and emf. Our present concern is with the electric and magnetic fields themselves. Jndeed, the emf exists only as a result of the presence



Figure 3.1 B-field through an open area A.

3.1 Basic Laws of Electromagnetic Theory 35

of an electric field given by

$$\operatorname{emf} = \oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I},$$

taken around the closed curve C, corresponding to the loop. Equating Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3), and making use of Eq. (3.1), we get

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \iint_{A} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S}. \quad (3.4)$$

(3.3)

We began this discussion by examining a conducting loop and have arrived at Eq. (3.4); this expression, except for the path C_i contains no reference to the physical loop. In fact, the path can be chosen quite arbitrarily and need not be within, or anywhere near, a conductor. The electric field in Eq. (3.4) arises not from the presence of electric charges but rather from the time-varying magnetic field. With no charges to act as sources or sinks, the field lines close on themselves, forming loops (Fig. 3.2). For the case in which the path



Figure 3.2 A time-varying B-field. Surrounding cach point where Φ_B is changing, the E-field forms closed loops.

is fixed in space and unchanging in shape, the *induction* law (Eq. 3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = -\iint_{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}.$$

(8.5)

(3.6)

This, in itself, is a rather fascinating expression, since it indicates that a time-varying magnetic field will have an electric field associated with it.

3.1.2 Gauss's Law – Electric

Another fundamental law of electromagnetism is named after the German mathematician Karl Friedrich Gauss (1777-1855). It relates the flux of electric field intensity through a closed surface A

$$\Phi_E = \bigoplus_A \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

to the total enclosed charge. The circled double integral is meant to serve as a reminder that the surface is closed. The vector dS is in the direction of an outward normal, as shown in Fig. 3.3. If the volume enclosed by A is V, and if within it there is a continuous charge distribution of density ρ , then Gaussi haw is

$$\oint_{A} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int \int_{V} \rho \, dV. \qquad (3.$$

The integral on the left is the difference between the amount of flux flowing into and out of any closed surface A. If there is a difference, it will be due to the presence of sources or sinks of the electric field within A. Clearly then, the integral must be proportional to the total enclosed charge, inasmuch as charges are the sources (+) and sinks (-) of the electric field.

The constant ϵ is known as the electric permittivity of the medium. For the special case of a vacuum, the permittivity of pres space is given by $\epsilon_0 = 8.8842 \times 10^{-28} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$. One function of the ϵ in Eq. (5.7) is, of course, to balance out the units, but the concept is even more basic to the description of the parallel plate capacitor (see Section 3.1.4). There it's the medium-dependent proportionality constant between the device's capacitance and its geometric characteristic. Indeed ϵ is often measured by a pro-



Figure 3.3 E-field through a closed area A.

cedure in which the material under study is placed within a capacitor. Conceptually, the permittivity embodies the electrical behavior of the medium: in a sense, it is a measure of the degree to which the material is permeated by the electric field in which it is immersed.

In the early days of the development of the subject, people in various areas worked in different systems of units, a state of affairs leading to some obvious difficulties. This necessitated the tabulation of numerical values for ϵ in each of the different systems, which was, a best, a waste of time. Recall that the same problem regarding densities was nearby avoided by using specific gravity (i.e., density ratios). Thus it was advantageous to tabulate values not of ϵ but of a new related quantity independent of the system of units being used. Accordingly, we define K, as ϵ/ϵ_0 . This is the dielectric constant (or relative permittivity), and it is appropriately unitless. The permittivity of a material can then be expressed iff terms of ϵ_0 as

$$\epsilon = K_r \epsilon_0.$$
 (3.2)
Our interest in K_r anticipates the fact that the permit

tivity is related to the speed of light in dielectric materials, such as glass, air, quartz, and so on.

3.1.3 Gauss's Law-Magnetic

There is no known magnetic counterpart to the electric charge, that is, no isolated magnetic poles have ever been found, despite extensive searching, even in lunar soil samples. Unlike the electric field, the magnetic inducion B does not diverge from or converge toward some kind of magnetic charge (a monopole source or sink). Magnetic induction fields can be described in terms of current distributions. Indeed we might emision an elementary magnet as a small current loop in which the lines of B are themselves continuous and doed. Any closed surface in a region of magnetic field would accordingly have an equal number of lines of B entering and emerging from it (Fig. 34). This situation arises from the absence of any monopoles within the endosed volume. The flux of magnetic induction Φ_{μ} through such a surface is zero, and we have the magnetic



Figure 3.4 B-field through a closed area A.

3.1 Basic Laws of Electromagnetic Theory 37

ivalent of Gauss's law:

$$\Phi_B = \bigoplus_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}.$$

3.1.4 Ampère's Circuital Law

Another equation that will be of great interest to us is due to André Marie Ampère (1775-1886). Known as the *circuital law*, it relates a line integral of **B** tangent to a closed curve *G*, with the total current \dot{r} passing within the confines of *C*:

$$\oint_C \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \mu \iint_A \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \mu i. \qquad (9.10)$$

(9.9)

The open surface A is bounded by C, and f is the current per unit area (Fig. 3.5). The quantity μ is called the permeability of the particular medium. For a vacuum $\mu = \mu_0$ (the permeability of free space), which is defined as $4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ N s² C⁻².



Figure 3.5 Current density through an open area A.



As in Eq. (3.8),

(3.11) with $K_{\rm m}$ being the dimensionless relative permeability. Equation (3.10), although often adequate, is not the whole truth. Moving charges are not the only source of a magnetic field. While charging or discharging a a magnetic field. While charging of uncharging a capacitor, one can measure a **B** field in the region between its plates (Fig. 3.6), which is indistinguishable from the field surrounding the leads, even though no current actually traverses the capacitor. Notice, however, that if A is the area of each plate, and Q the charge on it,

 $\mu = K_m \mu_0,$

$$E = \frac{Q}{\epsilon A}$$

As the charge varies, the electric field changes, and aE i

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{A}$$

is effectively a current density. James C. Maxwell hypothesized the existence of just such a mechanism, which he called the *displacement current density*,* defined by

 $\mathbf{J}_D = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$

(3.12)

* Maxwell's own words and ideas concerning this mechanism are examined in an article by A. M. Bork, Am. J. Phys. 31, 854 (1963).

Figure 3.6 B-field concomitant with a time-varying E-field in the gap of a capacitor.



Figure 3.7 A time-varying E-field. Surroundin Φ_E is changing, the B-field forms closed loops. ounding each point where The restatement of Ampère's law as

$$\oint_C \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \mu \iint_A \left(\mathbf{J} + \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

(3.13)

(3.17)

was one of Maxwell's greatest contributions. It points out that even when J = 0, a time-varying E-field will be accompanied by a B-field (Fig. 3.7).

3.1.5 Maxwell's Equations

The set of integral expressions given by Eqs. (3.5), (3.7), (3.9), and (3.13) have come to be known as Maxwell's equations. Remember that these are generalizations of experimental results. The simplest statement of Max-well's equations governs the behavior of the electric and magnetic fields in free space, where $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$, $\mu = \mu_0$, and but be and Lare zero. In the instance both p and I are zero. In that instance,

$$\begin{split} & \oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = -\iint_{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}, \quad (3.14) \\ & \oint_{C} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \iint_{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}, \quad (3.15) \\ & \bigoplus_{C} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0, \quad (3.16) \end{split}$$

$$\iint_{A} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0,$$

 $\bigoplus_{A} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0.$

Observe that except for a multiplicative scalar, the electric and magnetic fields appear in the equations with

electric and magnetic fields appear in the equations with a remarkable symmetry. However E affects B, B will in turn affect E. The mathematical symmetry implies a good deal of physical symmetry. Maxwell's equations can be written in a differential form, which will be somewhat more useful for our purposes. The appropriate calculation is carried out in Appendix 1, and the consequent equations for *fresspace*, in Cartesian coordinates, are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_y}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_x}{\partial t},$$
 (i)
 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_z}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_z}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_z}{\partial t},$ (ii)
(3.18)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{z}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{iii}) \quad (3.18)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}_{z}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{y}}{\partial z} = \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial t}, \quad (\text{i})$$

39

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{x}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{z}}{\partial x} = \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{y}}{\partial t}, \quad (ii) \qquad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{x}}{\partial t} = \mu_{0} \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{x}}{\partial t}, \quad (iii)$$

3.2 Electromagnetic Waves

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{y}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{z}}{\partial z} = 0.$$
(3.20)

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{y}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{z}}{\partial z} = 0.$ (3.21)

The transition has thus been made from the formulation of Maxwell's equations in terms of integrals over finite regions to a restatement in terms of derivatives at points in space.

We now have all that is needed to comprehend the magnificent process whereby electric and magnetic fields, inseparably coupled and mutually sustaining, propagate out into space as a single entity, free of charges and currents, sans matter, sans aether.

3.2 ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES

We have relegated to Appendix 1 a complete and mathematically elegant derivation of the electromag-netic wave equation. We will spend some time here at the equally important task of developing a more intuithe equally important has or beet topping a more inter-tive appreciation of the physical processes involved. Three observations, from which we might build a quali-tative picture, are readily available to us: the general perpendicularity of the fields, the symmetry of Maxwell's equations, and the interdependence of **E** and **B** in those equations. In studying electricity and magnetism one soon becomes aware that there are a number of relationships

described by vector cross-products or, if you like, right-hand rules. In other words, an occurrence of one sort produces a related, perpendicularly directed response. Of immediate interest is the fact that a time-varying

E-field generates a **B**-field that is everywhere perpendicular to the direction in which **E** changes (Fig. 3.7). In the same way, a time-varying **B**-field generates an

In the same way, a time-varying B-lield generates an E-field that is everywhere perpendicular to the direction in which B changes (Fig. 3.2). We might, accordingly, anticipate the general transverse nature of the E- and B-fields in an electromagnetic disturbance. Consider a charge that is somehow caused to necel-erate from rest. When the charge is motionless, it has associated with it a radial E-field extending in all direc-tions to infinity. At the instant the charge begins to move, the E-field is altered in the vicinity of the charge, add this advision generative out (hold your of 1000 for the field of th move, the E-new is direct in the relation of the Canager and this alteration propagates out into space at some finite speed. The time-varying electric field induces a magnetic field by means of Eq. (3,16) or (3,19). But the charge is accelerating, $\partial E/\partial t$ is itself not constant, so the Gauge is accelerating of an instant excellent and the important of the imp a pulse. As one field changes, it generates a new held that extends a bit further, and the pulse moves out from one point to the next through space. We can draw an overly mechanistic but rather pic-

turesque analogy, if we imagine the electric field lines as a dense radial distribution of strings. When somehow plucked, each string is distorted, forming a kink that travels outward from the source. All these kinks combine at any instant to yield a three-dimensional expand

bine at any instant to yield a three-dimensional expans-ing pulse. The E- and B-fields can more appropriately be con-sidered as two aspects of a single physical phenomenon, the electromagnetic field, whose source is a moving charge. The disturbance, once it has been generated in the electromagnetic field, is an untethered wave that moves beyond its source and independently of it. Bound together as a single entity, the time-varying electric and constitution and the generative each other in an endless together as a single entity, the time varying excitation magnetic fields regenerate each other in an endless cycle. The electromagnetic waves reaching us from the relatively nearby center of our own galaxy have been on the wing for 30,000 years. We have not yet considered the direction of wave

propagation with respect to the constituent fields. Notice, however, that the high degree of symmetry in Maxwell's equations for free space suggests that the disturbance will propagate in a direction that is sym-

metrical to both E and B. That implies that an elecmetrical to both E and b. First implies that all effection agnetic wave cannot be purely longitudinal (i.e., as long as E and B are not parallel). Let's now replace conjecture with a bit of calculation. Appendix is shows that Maxwell's equations for free space can be manipulated into the form of two

extremely concise vector expressions: $2^2 \mathbf{F}$

$$\nabla^{2} \mathbf{E} = \epsilon_{0} \mu_{0} \frac{\sigma}{\partial t^{2}} \qquad (A1.26)$$

$$\nabla^{2} \mathbf{B} = \epsilon_{0} \mu_{0} \frac{\sigma^{0} \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^{2}} \qquad (A1.27)$$

The Laplacian.* ∇^2 , operates on each component of E and B_s so that the two vector equations actually represent a total of six scalar equations. Two of these expressions, in Cartesian coordinates, are

and

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial z^2} = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial t^2} \qquad (3.2i)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial z^2} = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial t^2}, \qquad (3.25)$$

with precisely the same form for E_{i} , B_{i} , B_{j} , and B_{i} Equations of this sort, which relate the space and time variations of some physical quantity, had been studied long before Maxwell's work and were known to describe wave phenomena. Each and every component of the electromagnetic field $(E_x, E_y, E_z, B_x, B_y, B_z)$ therefore obeys the scalar differential wave equation

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}, \qquad (2.59) \end{split}$$
 provided that
$$v \equiv 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}. \qquad (3.24)$$

To evaluate v Maxwell made use of the results of elec-trical experiments performed in 1856 in Leipzig by Wilhelm Weber (1804-1891) and Rudolph Kohlrausch

* In Cartesian coordi

 $\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} \nabla^2 E_r + \hat{\mathbf{j}} \nabla^2 E_r + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \nabla^2 E_r.$

(1809-1858). Equivalently, nowadays $\mu_{\rm m}$ is assigned a scalue of $4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ m kg/G² in SI units, and one can determine c_0 directly from simple capacitor measurements. In any event,

 $\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \approx (8.85 \times 10^{-12} \, \text{s}^2 \, \text{C}^2/\text{m}^3 \, \text{kg}) (4 \, \pi \times 10^{-7} \, \text{m kg/C}^2)$ or

$$\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \approx 11.12 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^2/\text{m}^2$$
.

And now the moment of truth-in free space, the predicted speed of all electromagnetic waves would th

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}} \approx 3 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}.$$

This theoretical value was in remarkable agreement with the previously measured speed of light [15,300 km/s) determined by Fireau. The results of Direau's experiments, performed in 1849 with a rotating bothed wheel, were available to Maxwell and led him to comment:

This velocity [i.e., his theoretical prediction] is so nearly that of light, that it seems we have strong reason to conclude that light itself (including radiant heat, and other radiations if any) is an electromagnetic disturbance in the form of waves propagated through the electromagnetic field according to electromagnetic laws

This brilliant analysis was one of the great intellectual umphs of all time.

triumphs of all time. I thas become customary to designate the speed of light in vacuum by the symbol c which comes from the Latin word *celer*, meaning fast. In 1983 the 17th Confér-ence Cénérale des Poids et Mesures in Paris adopted a speed of the speed of the speed the speed the speed the speed the speed of the speed the speed the speed the speed the speed the speed of the speed the speed the speed the speed the speed the speed of the speed to speed the spee new definition of the meter and thereby fixed the speed of light in vacuum as exactly

$c = 2.99792458 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}.$

The experimentally verified transverse character of light must now be explained within the context of the diectromagnetic theory. To that end, consider the fairly sectionagretic that the transition of the terms of the terms of a plane wave propagating in the positive s-direction. The electric field intensity is a solution of Ga. (A.1.80), where B is constant over each of an infinite constant over each of

3.2 Electromagnetic Waves 41

a function only of x and t; that is, $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(x, t)$. We now a function only of x and t_1 matrix, E = E(x, y), we now refer back to Maxwell's equations, and in particular to Eq. (3.21), which is generally read as the divergence of **E** equals zero. Since **E** is not a function of either y or z, the equation can be reduced to

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} = 0,$$
 (3.25)

If E_x is not zero—that is, if there is some component of the field in the direction of propagation-this expression tells us that it does not vary with x. At any expression tells us that it does not vary with x. At any given time E_x is constant for all values of x, but of corres, this possibility cannot therefore correspond to a travel-ing wave advancing in the positive x-direction. Alterna-tively, it follows from Eq. (3.25) that for a wave, $E_x = 0$; the electromagnetic wave has no electric field com-ponent in the direction of propagation. The E-field associated with the plane wave is then exclusively trans-urgs: Without any loss of generality, we shall deal with verse. Without any loss of generality, we shall deal with plane or linearly polarized waves, in which the direction of the vibrating **E**-vector is fixed. Thus we can orient our coordinate axes so that the electric field is parallel to the y-axis, whereupon

$$E = \hat{j}E_{y}(x, t).$$
 (3.

Returning to Eq. (3.18), it follows that

$$\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t}$$
(3.27)

and that B, and B, are constant and therefore of no interest at present. The time-dependent B-field can only have a component in the z-direction. Clearly then, in free space, the plane electromagnetic wave is indeed transverse (Fig. 3.8). Except in the case of normal incidence, such waves propagating in real material media are generally not transverse—a complication arising from the fact that the medium may be dissipative and/or contain free charge

We have not specified the form of the disturbance other than to say that it is a plane wave. Our conclusions are therefore quite general, applying equally well to pulses or continuous waves. We have already pointed out that harmonic functions are of particular interest, because any waveform can be expressed in terms of sinusoidal waves by Fourier techniques. We therefore



Figure 3.8 The field configuration in a plane harmonic electromagnetic wave.

limit the discussion to harmonic waves and write $E_{\rm y}({\bf x},t)$ as

 $E_{j}(\mathbf{x},t)=E_{cy}\cos\left[\omega(l-\mathbf{x}/c)+\epsilon\right], \qquad (3.28)$ the speed of propagation being c. The associated magnetic flux density can be found by directly integrating Eq. (3.27), that is,

$$B_z = -\int \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} dx$$

Using Eq. (3.28), we obtain $B_{z} = -\frac{E_{0}\sqrt{\omega}}{c} \int \sin \left[\omega \left(t - x/c\right) - \varepsilon\right] dt$

or

 $B_{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} E_{0y} \cos \left[\omega(t - x/\epsilon) + \epsilon\right]. \qquad (3.29)$

The constant of integration, which represents a timeindependent field, has been disregarded. Comparison of this result with Eq. (3.28) makes it evident that $E = e^{-R}$ (150)

$$E_y = E B_z$$
. (3.30)
Since E_y and B_z differ only by a scalar, and so have the



same time dependence, **B** and **B** are in phase at all points in space. Moreover, $\mathbf{E} = \hat{f} E_j(x, t)$ and $\mathbf{B} = \hat{k} E_j(x, t)$ are mutually perpendicular, and their cross-product, $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$, points in the propagation direction, \hat{f} (Fig. 3.9). Plane waves, although of great importance, are not the only solutions to Maxwell's equations. As we saw in



Figure 3.10 Portion of a spherical wavefront far from the source.



3.3 ENERGY AND MOMENTUM

3.3.1 Irradiance

One of the most significant properties of the electromagnetic wave is that it transports energy. The light from even the nearest star beyond the Sun travels 25 million miles to reach the Earth, yet it still carries enough energy to do work on the electrons within your cyc. Any electromagnetic field exists within some region of space, and it is therefore quite natural to consider the radiant energy ber unit volume, or the energy density, a For an electric field alone, one can compute (Problem 3.3) the energy density (e.g., between the plates of a capacitor) to be

$$u_E = \frac{\epsilon_0}{9} E^2$$
.

(3.31)

(3.32)

OT

Similarly, the energy density of the *B*-field alone (as it might be computed within a toroid) is

$$u_B = \frac{1}{2\mu_0}B^2$$
.

We derived the relationship E = cB specifically for a plane wave; nonetheless it is quite general in its applicability. Since $c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$, it follows that

 $u_E = u_B, \qquad (3.33)$ The energy streaming through space in the form of an electromagnetic wave is shared between the constituent electric and magnetic fields. Since

	$u = u_E + u_B$	(3.3)
clearly,		
	$u = \epsilon_0 E^2$	(3.3
or equivalently	,	
-		

 $u = \frac{1}{\mu_0} B^2$.

3.3 Energy and Momentum 43

To represent the flow of electromagnetic energy, let S symbolize the transport of energy per unit time (the power) across a unit area. In the SI system it would then have units of W/m^2 . Figure 3.11 depicts an electromagnetic wave traveling with a speed ϵ through an area A. During a very small interval of time Δt_i only the energy contained in the cylindrical volume, $u(\epsilon \Delta t A)$, will cross A. Thus

$$S = \frac{uc \ \Delta l \ A}{\Delta t \ A} = uc \equal (3.37)$$
 or, using Eq. (3.35),

 $S = \frac{1}{\mu_0} EB.$ (3.98)

We now make the reasonable assumption (for isotropic media) that the energy flows in the direction of propagation of the wave. The corresponding vector S is then

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \qquad (3.39)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = c^2 \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}. \tag{3.40}$$

The magnitude of S is the power per unit area crossing a surface whose normal is parallel to S. Named after John Henry Poynting (1852-1914), it has come to be



(3.36) Figure 3.11 The flow of electromagnetic energy.

known as the Poynting vector. Let's now apply these considerations to the case of a harmonic, linearly polar ized plane wave traveling through free space in the direction of k

$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{0} \cos{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$	(3.41)
$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 \cos{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}.$	(3.42)
Using Eq. (3.40) we find	

$\mathbf{S} = c^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 \mathbf{E}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_0 \cos^2{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}.$

It should be evident that $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ cycles from maxima to minima. At optical frequencies, \mathbf{S} is an extremely rapidly varying function of time (indeed, twice as rapid as the fields, since cosine-squared has double the frequency neids, since cosine-squared has double the trequency of cosine), so its instantaneous value would be an impractical quantity to measure. This suggests that we employ an averaging procedure. That is to say, we absorb the radiant energy during some finite interval of time using, for example, a photocell, a film plate, or the retina of a human eye. The time-averaged value of the magnitude of the Poynting vector, symbolized by (S) is a measure of the simifrant ouranity known as $\langle S \rangle$, is a measure of the significant quantity known as the **irradiance**.* *I*. In this case, since $\langle \cos^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle$ 1/2 (see Problem 3.4),

$$\langle S \rangle = rac{c^2 \epsilon_0}{2} |\mathbf{E}_0 imes \mathbf{B}_0|$$

(3.43)

(3.44)

or

 $I=\langle S\rangle=\frac{\epsilon\epsilon_0}{2}E_0^2.$ The irradiance is therefore proportional to the square of the amplitude of the electric field. Two alternative ways of saying the same thing are simply

$$I = \frac{\epsilon}{\mu_0} \langle B^2 \rangle \qquad (3.45)$$

and
$$I = \epsilon_0 c \langle E^2 \rangle, \qquad (3.46)$$

Within a linear, homogeneous, isotropic dielectric, the

* In the past physicists generally used the word intensity to mean the flow of energy per unit area per unit time. By international, if not universal, agreement, that term is slowly being replaced in optics by the word irradiance.

expression for the irradiance becomes $I = \epsilon v \langle E^2 \rangle$.

Since, as we have seen, E is considerably more effective at exerting forces and doing work on charges than is B, we shall refer to E as the optical field and use Eq.

(3.47)

(3.46) almost exclusively. The time rate of flow of radiant energy is the power or radiant flux generally expressed in watts. If we divide the radiant flux incident on or exiting from a surface the ratiant hutchet of or exchange from a surface by the area of the surface, we have the radiant flux density (W/m^2) . In the former case, we speak of the *irradiance*, in the latter the *exitance*, and in either instance the flux density. The irradiance is a measure of the concentration of power. Whether recorded by a photograph or a meter, it is the primary practical quantity corresponding to the "amount" of light flowing.

to the "amount" of light flowing. There are detectors, like the photomultiplier, that serve as photon counters. Each quantum of the elec-tromagnetic field, having a frequency ν , represents an energy $h\nu$ (Planck's constant, $h = 6.625 \times 10^{-34}$ J s). If we have a uniform monochromatic beam of frequency v, the quantity $1/h^{\nu}$ is the average number of photons, crossing a unit area (normal to the beam) per unit time, namely, the *photon flux density*. Were such a beam to impinge on a counter having an area A, then $AI/h\nu$ would be the incident *photon* flux, that is, the average number of photons arriving per unit of time. We saw earlier that the spherical wave solution of the

differential wave equation has an amplitude that varies inversely with τ . Let's now examine this same feature within the context of energy conservation. Consider an isotropic point source in free space, emitting energy isotropic point source in refe space, emitting energy equally in all directions (i.e., emitting spherical avers). Surround the source with two concentric imaginary spherical surfaces of radii r_1 and r_2 , as shown in Fig. 3.12. Let $E_0(r_1)$ and $E_0(r_2)$ represent the amplitudes of the waves over the first and second surfaces, respecthe wards off har and a successful automatics, topic tively. If energy is to be conserved, the total amount of energy flowing through each surface per second must be equal, since there are no other sources or sinks present. Multiplying I by the surface area and taking the square root, we get

 $r_1 E_0(r_1) = r_2 E_0(r_2).$



Figure 3.12 The geometry of the inverse square law.

Inasmuch as r_1 and r_2 are arbitrary, it follows that

 $rE_0(r) = \text{constant},$

and the amplitude must drop off inversely with r. The irradiance from a point source is proportional to $1/r^2$. This is the well-known *inverse-square law*, which is easily verified with a point source and a photographic exposure meter. Notice that if we envision a beam of photons streaming radially out from the source, the same result clearly obtains.

3.3.2 Radiation Pressure and Momentum

As'long ago as 1619 Johannes Kepler proposed that it was the pressure of sunlight that blew back a comet's Was the pressure of sunlight that blew back a comet's tail so that it always pointed away from the Sun. That argument particularly appealed to the later proponents of the corpuscular theory of light. After all, they envisioned a beam of light as a stream of particles, and such a stream would obviously exert a force as it bombarded matter. For a while it seemed as though this effect might at last establish the superiority of the corpuscular over the wave theory, but all the experimental efforts to that end failed to detect the force of radiation, and interest slowly waned.

3.3 Energy and Momentum

45

Ironically, it was Maxwell in 1873 who revived the subject by establishing theoretically that waves do indeed exert pressure. "In a medium in which waves are propagated," wrote Maxwell, "there is a pressure in the direction normal to the waves, and numerically equal to the energy in a unit of volume."

When an electromagnetic wave impinges on some material surface, it interacts with the charges that con-stitute bulk matter. Regardless of whether the wave is partially absorbed or reflected, it exerts a force on those charges and hence on the surface itself. For example, in the case of a good conductor, the wave's electric field generates currents, and its magnetic field generates forces on those currents.

It's possible to compute the resulting force via classical electromagnetic theory, whereupon Newton's second law (which maintains that force equals the time rate of change of momentum) suggests that the wave its/l arrites momentum. Indeed, whenever we have a flow of energy, it's reasonable to expect that there will be an associated momentum—the two are the related time and space aspects of motion.

As Maxwell showed, the radiation pressure, P, equals the energy density of the electromagnetic wave. From Eqs. (3.31) and (3.32), for a vacuum, we know that

$$u_E = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} E^2 \text{ and } u_B = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} B^2.$$
 Since $\mathcal{P} = u = u_E + u_B$,

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} B^2.$$
 (3.48)

Alternatively, using Eq. (3.37), we can express the pres-sure in terms of the magnitude of the Poynting vector, namely,

Notice that this equation has the units of power divided by area, divided by speed—or equivalently, force times speed divided by area and speed, or just force over area. This is the instantaneous pressure that would be exerted on a perfectly absorbing surface by a normally incident beam.

Inasmuch as the E- and B-fields are rapidly varying,

S is rapidly varying, so it's eminently practical to deal with the average radiation pressure, namely,

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \frac{\langle S \rangle}{c} = \frac{I}{c}$$

expressed in newtons per square meter. This same pressure is exerted on a source that itself is radiating energy.

Referring back to Fig. 3.11, if p is momentum, the force exerted by the beam on an absorbing surface is 14

$$A \mathcal{P} = \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta t} \qquad (3.51)$$

If p_V is the momentum per unit volume of the radiation, then an amount of momentum $\Delta p = p_V(c \Delta t A)$ is trans-ported to A during each time interval Δt , and

$$A\mathcal{P} = \frac{p_V(c \,\Delta t \,A)}{\Delta t} = A \frac{S}{c},$$

Hence the volume density of electromagnetic momentum is

> $p_V = \frac{S}{c^2}$ (3.52)

When the surface under illumination is perfectly reflecting, the beam that entered with a velocity +c will emerge with a velocity -c. This corresponds to twice the change in momentum that occurs on absorption, and hence

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = 2 \frac{\langle S \rangle}{2}$$

Notice, from Eqs. (3.49) and (3.51), that if some amount of energy \mathscr{C} is transported per square meter per second, then there will be a corresponding momen-tum \mathscr{B}/ϵ transported per square meter per second.

tunn o'i transporteci per square meter per secont. In the photon picture, we envision particlelike quanta, each having an energy $\mathcal{E} = hv$. We can then expect a photon to carry a momentum $p = \mathcal{E}/c - h/\lambda$. Its vector momentum would be

p.

(3.53)

where **k** is the propagation vector and $\hbar = h/2\pi$. This all fits in rather nicely with special relativity, which

relates the rest mass m_0 , energy, and momentum of a particle by

$$\mathscr{E} = [(cp)^2 + (m_0c^2)^2]^{1/2}.$$

For a photon $m_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{E} = cp$. These quantum-mechanical ideas have been firmed experimentally utilizing the Compton effect, which detects the energy and momentum transferred to an electron upon interaction with an individual x-ray photon.

The average flux density of electromagnetic energy from the Sun impinging normally on a surface just outside the Earth's atmosphere is about 1400 W/m². Assuming complete absorption, the resulting pressure would be 4.7 × 10⁻⁶ N/m², or 1.8 × 10⁻⁶ ounce/cm², as compared with, say, atmospheric pressure of about 10⁶ N/m². The pressure of solar radiation at the Earth 10° N/m°. The pressure of solar radiation at the Earth is tiny, but its sill responsible for a substantial planet-wide force of roughly 10 tons. Even at the very surface of the Sun, radiation pressure is relatively small (see Problem 3.19.). As one might expect, it becomes appreci-able within the blazing body of a large bright star, where it plays a significant part in supporting the star against gravity. Despite the modest size of the Sun's flux density, it nonetheless can produce appreciable effects over long acting times. For yearnaple hold the preserve of curlative starts for the star against gravity. acting times. For example, had the pressure of sunlight exerted on the Viking spacecraft during its journey been neglected, it would have missed Mars by about 15,000 km. Calculations show that it is even feasible to use the pressure of sunlight to propel a space vehicle among the inner planets." Ships with immense reflect-ing sails driven by solar radiation pressure may some day ply the dark sea of local space. The pressure exerted by light was actually measured as long ago as 1901 by the Russian experimenter Pyotr Nikolaievich Lebedev (1866–1912) and independently by the Americans Ernest Fox Nichols (1869–1924) and Gordon Ferrie Hill (1870-1956). Their accomplishments were for-midable, considering the light sources available at the time. Nowadays, with the advent of the laser, light can be focused down to a spot size approaching the theoreti-cal limit of about one wavelength in radius. The result-

* The charged-particle flux called the "solar wind" is 1000 to 100,000 times less effective in providing a propulsive force than is sunlight-



diameter) transparent glass sphere suspended in midair or ard 250 mW laserbeam. (Photo courtesy Bell Laboratories.)

ing irradiance, and therefore the pressure is appreciable, even with a laser rated at just a few watts. It has thus become practical to consider radiation pressure for all sorts of applications, such as separating isotopes,

all sorts of applications, such as separating isotopics, trederating particles, and even optically levitating small piers (Fig. 3.13). Light can also transport angular momentum, but this will certainly not happen with a linearly polarized wave. Accordingly, we shall defer this rather important dission to Chapter 8, in which circular polarization is examined.

3.4 RADIATION

Although all forms of electromagnetic radiation propa-gate with the same speed in vacuum, they nontheless differ in frequency and wavelength. As we will see Presently, that difference accounts for the diversity of behavior observed when radiant energy interacts with

3.4 Radiation 47

matter. Even so, there is only one entity, one essence of electromagnetic wave. Maxwell's equations are independent of wavelength and so suggest no funda-mental differences in kind. Accordingly, its reasonable to look for a common source-mechanism for all radiation. What we find is that the various types of radiant energy seem to have a common origin in that they are all associated somehow with *nonuniformly moving charges*. We are, of course, dealing with waves in the electromagnetic field, and charge is that which gives rise to field,

neut injut, and attaget is turn when gives need to be on so this is not altagether surprising. A stationary charge has a constant E-field, no B-field, and hence produces no radiation—where would the energy come from if it did? A uniformly moving charge has both an E- and a B-field, but it does not radiate. If you traveled along with the charge, the current would thereupon vanish, hence **B** would vanish, and we would be back at the previous case, uniform motion being relative. That's reasonable, since it would make no sense at all if the charge stopped radiating just because you started walking along next to it. That leaves nonuniformly moving charges, which assuredly do radiate. In the photon picture this is underscored by the conviction that the fundamental interactions between matter and radiant energy are between photons and charges. We know in general that free charges (those not

bound within a story emit electromagnetic radiation when accelerated. That much is true for charges chang-ing speed along a straight line within a linear accelerator, sailing around in circles inside a cyclotron. or simply oscillating back and forth in a radio antennaif a charge moves nonuniformly, it radiates. A free charged particle can spontaneously absorb or emit a photon, and an increasing number of important devices, ranging from the free-electron laser (1977) to the synchroter n radiation generator, utilize this mechanism on a practical level.

3.4.1 Linearly Accelerating Charges

At constant speed the charge essentially has attached to it an unchanging radial electric field and a surrounding circular magnetic field. Although at any stationary point in space the E-field changes from moment to

C



Figure 3.14 (a) Electric field of a stationary electron. (b) Electric field of a moving electron.

moment, at any instant its value can be determined by

moment, at any instant its value can be determined by supposing that the field lines move along, fixed to the charge. Thus the field does not disengage from the charge, and there is no radiation. The electric field of a charge at rent can be represen-ted, as in Fig. 3.14, by a uniform, radial distribution of straight field lines, or lines of force. For a charge moving at a constant velocity v, the field lines are still radial and straight, but they are no longer uniformly dis-ributed. The nonuniformity becomes evident at high speeds and is usually negligible when $v \ll c$. In contrast, Fig. 3.15 shows the field lines associated with an electron accelerating uniformly to the right. The points O_1, O_8, O_8 , and O_4 are the positions of the electron after equal time intervals. The field lines are now curved, and this, as we shall see, is a significant fifterence. As a further contrast, Fig. 3.16 depicts the field of an electron a some arbitrary time i_8 . Before field of an electron at some arbitrary time 12. Before nent of an electron at some solution μ and μ prototo t = 0 the particle was always at rest at the point O. The charge was then uniformly accelerated until time t_1 , reaching a speed u, which was maintained constant thereafter. We can anticipate that the surrounding field thereafter. We can anticipate that the surrounding field lines will somehow carry the information that the elec-tron has accelerated. We have ample reason to assume that this "information" will propagate at the speed c. If, for example, $l_{\rm g}=10^{-9}$ s, no point beyond 3 m from O would be aware of the fact that the charge had even moved. All the lines in that region would be uniform, straight, and centered on O, as if the charge were still



Figure 3.16 A kink in E-field li where At time to the electron is at point O_2 , and it is for a sing with a constant speed to In the Vicinity of O_1 has a to make the resemble those in Fig. 3.14(b), for the sing the smart them resemble those in Fig. 3.14(b). Since there are no charges between them, if the second state of the sing state of the sphere of additional state of the second state of the sphere of additional state of the second state of the sphere of additional state of the sphere of the sphere of additional state of the sphere of the sphere of additional state of the sphere of the sphere of additional sphere accelerated, the field lines became distorted and sphere additional of the sphere of additional state of the sphere addition of the sphere of additional sphere of the sphere distances from the charge the only significant field sphere is the transverse component of the palse, which is known to be proportional to $\times (r \times a)$ and (a $\times a$), the sphere is a function of δ and that I(0) = I(0,0) = 0 while I(0,0) = I(270) is a maximut. The negregized to the charge the some external agents are applied to the charge to spine external spine to spine a spine is a function of the addition the spine spine I(0,0) = 0 while I(0,0) = I(270) is a maximut.

which in turn does work on the charge.

3.4.2 Synchrotron Radiation

A free charged particle traveling on any sort of curved path is accelerating and so will radiate. This behavior provides a powerful mechanism for producing radiant energy, both naturally and in the laboratory. The synchrotron radiation generator, one of the most exciting

all^a details of this calculation using J. J. Thomson's method of alyzing the kink can be found in J. R. Tessman and J. T. Finnell, M "Electric Field of an Accelerating Charge." Am. J. Phys 55, 523 (207) As a general reference for radiation, sec, for example, Marion 20 Heald, Classical Electromagnetic Radiation, Chapter 7.



Figure 3.17 The toroidal radiation pattern of a linearly accelerating charge (split to show cross section).

research tools to be developed in the 1970s, does just that. Clumps of charged particles, usually electrons or positrons, interacting with an applied magnetic field are made to revolve around a large, essentially circular track at a precisely controlled speed. The frequency of the orbit determines the frequency of the emission (which also contains higher harmonics), and that is continuously variable, more or less, as desired.

A charged particle slowly revolving in a circular orbit radiates a doughnut-shaped pattern similar to the one depicted in Fig. 3.17. Again the distribution of radiation is symmetrical around a, which is now the centripetal acceleration acting inward along the radius drawn from the center of the circular orbit to the charge. The higher the speed, the more an observer at rest in the laboratory will "see" the backward lobe of the radiation pattern shrink while the forward lobe elongates in the direction of motion. At speeds approaching c, the particle beam (usually with a diameter comparable to that of a straight pin) radiates essentially along a narrow cone pointing tangent to the orbit in the instantaneous direction of v (Fig. 3.18). For $v \approx c$ the radiation will be very strongly

polarized in the plane of the motion. This "searchlight," often less than a few millimeters in diameter, sweeps around as the particle clumps circle the machine, much like the headlight on a train rounding a turn. With each revolution the beam momentarily $(<\frac{1}{2}ns)$ flashes through one of many windows in the device. The result is a tremendously intense source of rapidly pulsating radiation, tunable over a very broad when magnets are used to make the circulating elec-trons wiggle in and out of their circular orbits, bursts of high-frequency x-rays of upparalleled intensity can be created. These beams, which are hundreds of thousands of times more powerful than a denta x-ray emission of a fraction of a watt, can easily burn a finger-sized hole through a 3-mm-thick lead plate.



Figure 3.18 Radiation pattern for an orbiting charge.



Figure 3.19 The first beam of light from the National Synchro Light Source (1982) emanating from its ultraviolet electron sto ring.

Though this technique was first used to produce light in an electron synchrotron as long ago as 1947, it took several decades to recognize that what was an energy-robbing nuisance to the accelerator people might be a major research tool in itself (Fig. 9.19). In the astronomical realm, we can expect that some regions exist that are pervaded by magnetic induction fields. Charged particles trapped in these fields will move in circular or helical orbits, and if their speeds are high enough, they will emit synchrotron radiation. Figure 3.20 shows five photographs of the extragalactic Crab Nebula.^{*} Radiation emanating from the nebula

* The Crab Nebula is believed to he expanding debris left over after the catachysnic death of a star. From its rate of expansion, astronomers alkulated that the explosion took place in 1600 A.D. This was sub-sequently corrobusted when a study of old Chinkees records (the chronicles of the Peiping Observatory) revealed the appearance of an extremely bright star, in the same region of the sky, in the year 1054 A.D.

In the first year of the period Chihha, the lifth moon, the day Chi-chou [i.e., July 4, 1054], a great star appeared ..., After more than a year, it gradually became invisible.

There is little doubt that the Crab Nebula is the remnant of that





Figure 3.20(a) Synchrotron radiation arising from the Crab Nebula.

Extends over the range from radio frequencies to the extreme ultraviolet. If we assume the source to be trapped circulating charges, we can anticipate strong polarization effects. These are evident in the form for photographs, which were taken through a polarizing filter. The direction of the electric field vector is indicated in each picture. Since in synchrotron radiation,



3.4 Radiation

51



recorded. (Photos courtesy Mt. Wilson and Palomar Observatories.)

the emitted \mathbf{E} -field is polarized in the orbital plane, we can conclude that each photograph corresponds to a particular uniform magnetic field orientation normal to the orbits and to \mathbf{E} .

It is believed that a majority of the low-frequency radiowaves reaching the Earth from outer space have their origin in synchrotron radiation. In 1960 radio



Figure 3.20(b) The Crab Nebula in unpolarized light.

omers used these long-wavelength emissions to identify the new class of objects known as quasars. In 1955 bursts of polarized radiowaves were discovered emanating from Jupiter. Their origin is now attributed to spiraling electrons trapped in radiation belts surrounding the planet.

3.4.3 Electric Dipole Radiation

Perhaps the simplest electromagnetic wave-producing mechanism to visualize is the oscillating dipole-two charges, one plus and one minus, vibrating to and fro along a straight line. And yet this arrangement is surely the most important of all.

the most important of all. Both light and ultraviolet radiation arise primarily from the rearrangement of the outermose, or weakly bound, electrons in atoms and molecules. It follows from the quantum-mechanical analysis that the electric from the quantum-mechanical indiges that the electric dipole moment of the atom is the major source of this radiation. The rate of energy emission from a material system, although a quantum-mechanical process, can be envisioned in terms of the classical oscillating electric dipole. This mechanism is therefore of considerable

importance in understanding the manner in which atoms, molecules, and even nuclei emit and absorb electromagnetic waves. It will be of particular interest when we study the interaction of light with matter. We shall again simply use the results of a lengthy and rather complicated derivation. Figure 3.21 schemati-cally depicts the electric field distribution in the region

Cally depicts the electric field distribution in the region of an electric dipole. In this configuration, a negative charge oscillates linearly in simple harmonic motion about an equal stationary positive charge. If the angular frequency of the oscillation is ω , the time-dependent dipole moment $\phi(t)$ has the scalar form

 $\neq = \neq_0 \cos \omega t.$ Note that $\neq(t)$ could represent the collective moment Note that $\mu(t)$ could represent the content non-neutrino of the oscillating charge distribution on the atomic scale or even an oscillating current in a linear television antenna. At t = 0, $\mu = \mu_0 = qd$, where d is the initial maximum

(3.54)

separation between the centers of the two charges (Fig. 3.21a). The dipole moment is actually a vector in the direction from -q to +q. The figure shows a sequence direction from -q to +q. The figure shows a sequence of field line patterns as the displacement, and therefore the dipole moment decreases, then goes to zero, and finally reverses direction. When the charges effectively overlap, +q = 0 and the field lines must close on themselves.

Very near the atom, the E-field has the form of a very hear the atom, the E-field has the form of a static electric dipole. A bit farther out, in the region where the closed loops form, there is no specific wavelength. The detailed treatment shows that the elecwavelength. In e detailed treatment show that the elec-tric field is composed of five different terms, and things are obviously complicated. Far from the dipole, in what is called the wave or radiation zone, the field configu-ration is particularly simple. In this zone a fixed wavelength has been established; E and B are transverse, mutually perpendicular, and in phase. Specifically,

F	#0R \$11 8	$\cos(kr - \omega l)$	(9.55)
L,	4		(3.33)

and B = E/c, where the fields are oriented as in Fig. 3.22. The Poynting vector $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mu_0$ always points radially outward in the wave zone. There, the **B**-field lines are circles concentric with, and in a plane perpenFinlar to, the dipole axis. This is understandable, since bein be considered to arise from the time-varying millator current. The irradiance (radiated radially outward from the pirce) follows from Eq. (3.44) and is given by

 $I(\theta) = \frac{\phi_0^2 \omega^4}{32\pi^2 c^3 \epsilon_0} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r^2},$

tagain an inverse square law dependence on distance.

(3.56)



Egure 3.21 The E-field of an oscillating electric dipole.

3.4 Radiation 53

The angular flux density distribution is toroidal, as in Fig. 3.17. The axis along which the acceleration takes Fig. 3.17. The axis along which the acceleration takes place is the symmetry axis of the radiation pattern. Notice the dependence of the irradiance on ω^{1} —the higher the frequency, the stronger the radiation; that feature will be important when we consider scattering. It's not difficult to attach an AC generator between

two conducting rods and thereby send currents of free electrons oscillating up and down that "transmitting





Figure 3.22 Field orientations for an

antenna." Figure 3.23 shows the arrangement carried to its logical conclusion-a fairly standard AM radio tower. An antenna of this sort will function most efficiently if its length corresponds to the wavelength being transmitted or, more conveniently, to $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$. The wave being radiated is then formed at the dipole in synchronization with the oscillating current producing it. All radiowaves are unfortunately several hundred meters long. Consequently, the antenna shown in the figure has half the $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ -dipole essentially buried in the earth. That at least saves some height, allowing us to build the device only $\frac{1}{4}\lambda$ tall. Moreover, this use of the Earth also generates a so-called ground wave that hugs the planet's surface, where most people with radios are likely to be located. A commercial station usually has a range somewhere between 25 and 100 miles.

3.4.4 Atoms and Light

Surely the most significant mechanism responsible for the natural emission and absorption of radiant energy— especially of light—is the bound charge, electrons onfined within atoms. These minute negative particles commercial warrant atoms. These finitide negative protects, which surround the massive positive nucleus of each atom, constitute a kind of distant, tenuous charged cloud. Much of the chemical and optical behavior of ordinary matter is determined by its outer or valence

Figure 3.23 Electromagnetic waves from a transmitting tower

electrons. The remainder of the cloud is ordinarily formed into "closed," essentially unresponsive, shells around and tightly bound to the nucleus. These closed or filled shells are made up of specific numbers of electron pairs. Even though it is not completely clean what occurs internally when an atom radiates, we do know with some certainty that light is emitted during readjustments in the outer charge distribution of the electron cloud. This mechanism is ultimately the pre-

dominant source of light in the world. Usually, an atom exists with its clutch of electrons arranged in some stable configuration that corresponds to their lowest energy distribution or level. Every electo nien towas energy unstruction of a sub-frace tron is in the lowest possible energy state available to it, and the atom as a whole is in its so called **ground state** configuration. There it will likely remain indefinitely, il left undisturbed. Any mechanism that pumps energy into the atom will alter the ground state. For instance, a collision with another atom, an electron, or a photon can affect the atom's energy state profor a photon can have the new starts theigh wave pro-foundly. According to quantum-mechanical theory, an atom can exist with its electron cloud in only certain specific configurations corresponding to only certain values of energy. In addition to the ground state, three are higher energy levels, the so-called *excited states*, each associated with a specific cloud configuration and a specific well-defined energy. When one or more elec-trons occupies a level higher than its ground-state level, the atom is said to be excited-a condition that is inherently unstable and temporary.

At low temperatures, atoms tend to be in their ground Action compersatives, automs denies to be in their ground state; at progressively higher temperatures, more and more of them will become excited through atomic col-lisions. This sort of mechanism is indicative of a class of relatively gentle excitations—glow discharge, flame, spark, and so forth—which energize only the outermost unpaired valence electrons. We will mitially concentrate on these outer electron transitions, which give rise to the emission of light, and the nearby infrared and ultraviolet.

When enough energy is imparted to an atom (typically to the valence electron), whatever the cause, the atom can react by suddenly ascending from a lower to a higher energy level. The electron will usually make a very rapid transition, a quantum jump, from its ground-state orbital configuration to one of the well-delineated excited states, one of the quantized rungs on its energy ladder. As a rule, the amount of energy taken up in the process equals the energy difference between the initial and final states, and since that is specific and well defined, the amount of energy that can be absorbed by an atom is quantized (i.e., limited to specific amounts). This state of atomic excitation is a short-lived resonance phenomenon. Usually, after about 10^{-6} or 10^{-6} s, the excited atom spontaneously relaxes back to a lower state acceleration spontaneously relates back to a lower state, most often the ground state, losing the excitation energy along the way. This energy readjustment can occur by way of the emission of light or (especially in dense materials) by conversion to thermal energy through

Internation of the state of the 13.7), the energy of the photon exactly matches the quantized energy decrease of the atom. That corresponds to a specific frequency, by way of $\Delta S = hx$, a frequency associated with both the photon and the atomic transition between the two particular states. This is said to be a resonance frequency, one of several (each with its own likelihood of occurring) at which the atom very efficiently absorbs and emits energy. The atom radiates a quantum of energy that presumably is created spontaneously, on the spot, by the shifting electron. Even though what occurs during that interval of $10^{-8}s$

3.4 Radiation 55

is far from clear, it can be helpful to imagine the orbital is far from clear, it can be helpful to imagine the orbital electron somehow making its downward energy transi-tion via a gradually damped oscillatory motion at the specific resonance frequency. The radiated light can then be envisioned in a semiclassical way as emitted in a short oscillatory pulse, or wavetrain, lasting less than roughly 10^{-6} s—a picture that is in agreement with experimental observation (see Section 7.10, Fig. 7.19). It is useful to think of this electromagnetic pulse as associated in some instruction be fashion with the abnera associated in some inextricable fashion with the photon In a way, the pulse is a semiclassical representation of the manifest wave nature of the photon. But the two are not equivalent in all respects: the electromagnetic wavetrain is a classical creation that can be used to describe the propagation and spatial distribution of light extremely well, yet its energy is not quantized, not localized, and that is an essential characteristic of the photon (see Chapter 13). So when we talk about photon wavetrains keep in mind that there is more to the notion than just a classical oscillatory pulse of electromagnetic

The emission spectra of single atoms or low-pressure gases, whose atoms do not interact appreciably, consist of sharp "lines," that is, fairly well-defined frequencies characteristic of the atoms. There is always some frequency broadening (see Section 7.10) of that radiation due to atomic motion, collisions, and so forth, so it's never precisely monochromatic (i.e., a single color or frequency). Generally, however, the atomic transition from one level to another is characterized by the from one level to another is characterized by the emission of a well-defined narrow range of frequencies. On the other hand, the spectra of solids and llquids, in which the atoms are now interacting with one another, is broadened into wide frequency bands. When two atoms are brought dose together, the result is a slight shift in their respective energy levels, because they act upon each other. The many interacting atoms in a solid create a trenendous number of such shifted levels. create a tremendous number of such shifted levels, in effect spreading out each of their original levels, bur-ring them into essentially continuous bands. Materials of this nature emit and absorb over broad ranges of frequencies,

Light emitted from a large assemblage of randomly oriented independent atoms will consist of wavetrains in all directions. Each one of these will bear no particular

consistent phase relation with any of the others, nor will they share a common polarization. This is in marked contrast to the continuous, polarized, extended wavetrains generated by sustained current oscillations in a transmitting antenna (Fig. 3.23). Even in that case, however, the radiation is not truly monochromatic. The simple harmonic functions containing only one frequency are idealizations—at times reasonable ones, but idealizations nonetheless. Before switching on even a perfect generator, the radiation will obviously have been zero. Yet a harmonic function has no such limitations on its time dependence and clearly cannot, by tions on its time dependence and clearly cannot by itself, represent such a wave. If the generator has been on for a long enough time, the wave it emits will be, at best, nearly monochromatic or **quasimonochromatic**. For many applications, laser light or light passed through a narrow hand filter can be adequately rep-resented by a single harmonic function. Even so, since it is not possible to produce monochromatic radiation. the term can be used only loosely, and this point must be borne io mind.

3.5 LIGHT IN MATTER

The response of dielectric or nonconducting materials The response or electromagnetic fields is of special concern to us in optics. We will, of course, be dealing with transparent dielectrics in the form of lenses, prisms, plates, films, and so forth, not to mention the surrounding sea of air.

The net effect of introducing a homogeneous, isotropic dielectric into a region of free space is to change ϵ_0 to ϵ and μ_0 to μ in Maxwell's equations. The phase velocity in the medium now becomes $v = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon u}$ 13.571

The ratio of the speed of an electromagnetic wave in vacuum to that in matter is known as the **absolute index** of refraction n and is given by

$$n = \frac{c}{v} = \sqrt{\frac{e_{is}}{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}}.$$
 (3.58)

In terms of the relative permittivity and relative per-meability of the medium, n becomes (3.59)

 $n = \sqrt{K_* K_{**}}$

The great majority of substances, with the exception of The great majority of substances, with the exception of ferromagnetic materials, are only weakly magnetic, none is actually nonmagnetic. Even so, K_m generally doesn't deviate from 1 by any more than a few parts in 10⁶ (e.g., for diamond $K_m = 1 - 2, 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$). Setting, $K_m = 1$ in the formula for n results in an expression known as Maxwell's relation, namely, $n = \sqrt{K_{e}}$ (3.60)

wherein K_s is presumed to be the static dielectric constant As indicated in Table 3.1, this relationship seems to work well only for some simple gases. The difficult arises because K_s and therefore n are actually frequency. an less obtable *II* and unit to the state of the state o ago, and the phenomenon was well known if not well understood even then. There are two interrelated questions that come to

mind at this point: (1) What is the physical has is in the frequency dependence of n^2 and (2) What is the mechanism whereby the phase velocity in the medium Table 3.1 Maxwell's rela

Cones a	inst box 2'0 i	
Samer	18.	
Air	1.000294	1.099293
Helium	1.000034	1.000036
Hodmann.	1.000131	1.000135
Carbox danisle	1.00049	1,00045
Lig	12 fb # 20°C	
Substants	v Ky	- A.
Bernete	1.64	1.501
Water	8,96	1.333
Ethyl alcohol (ethanol)	5.08	1.361
Carbon tetrachloride	4.63	1.461
Carbor disaffire	5.05	1.629
Schick	24 Joom temp	
Substanty	VK.	
Distant	\$.06	2.419
Amber	1.6	1.55
Fused silica	1.94	1.458
Sodiert chloride	2.57	1.50

low as 60 Hz, whereas # is was used (A = 589.29 mm)

is effectively made different from c? The answers to By effectively made concerns from er i he answers to both these questions can be found by examining the futuraction of an incident electromagnetic wave with the array of atoms constituting a dielectric material. An the array of atoms constituting a dielectric material. An the array or atoms constructing a dielectric material. An atom can react to incoming light in two different ways, depending on the incident frequency or equivalently to the incoming photon energy ($\mathcal{B} = h$). Generally the atom will "scatter" the light, redirecting it without otherwise altering it. On the other hand, if the photon's there is the state of the scatter is the photon's pergy matches that of one of the excited states, the pergy matches that of one of the excited states, the form will "absorb" the light, making a quantum jump that higher energy level. In the dense atomic land To that higher energy level. In the oerise atomic fand-ingape of ordinary gases (at pressures of about 10° Fa and up), solids, and liquids, it's very likely that this scittation energy will rapidly be transferred, via col-inities, to random atomic motion, thermal energy, before a photon can be emitted. This commonplace before a photon can be emitted. This commonplace process (the taking up of a photon and its conversion into thermal energy) was at one time widely known as "borption," but nowadays that word its more often used to refer just to the "taking up" aspect, regardless of what then happens to the energy. Consequently, it's now better referred to as dissipative absorption. In contrast to this excitation process, ground-state or parcenonat esattering occurs with incoming rediant "ab

nonresonant scattering occurs with incoming radiant energy of other frequencies-that is, other than reso nance frequencies (see Section 13.7). Imagine an atom in its lowest state and suppose that it interacts with a photon whose energy is too small to a cause a transition any of the higher, excited states. Despite that, the orromagnetic field of the light can be supposed to be the electron cloud into oscillation. There is no anyting atomic transition; the atom remains in its and state while the cloud vibrates ever so slightly at frequency of the incident light. Once the electron d starts to vibrate with respect to the positive cus, the system constitutes an oscillating dipole and presumably immediately begin to radiate at that frequency. The resulting scattered light consists photon that sails off in some direction carrying the amount of energy as did the incident photon—the ering is elastic. In effect, we are supposing that the resembles a little dipole oscillator, a model oyed by Hendrik Antoon Lorentz (1878) with thable success

3.5 Light in Matter 57

When an atom is in an active environment, the process of excitation and spontaneous emission is rapidly repeated. In fact, with an emission lifetime of $\approx 10^{-8}$ s atom could spontaneously emit upward of 108 photons per second in a situation in which there was enough energy to keep rescriting it. Atoms have a very strong tendency to interact with resonant light (they have a large absorption cross-section). This means that the saturation condition, in which the atoms of a lowsubtractive gas are constantly emitting and being re-excited, occurs at a modest value of irradiance (-10^8 W/m^8) . So it's not very difficult to get atoms firing

(*10 w/m), 30 is not very dimicuit to get atoms infing out photons at a rate of 100 million per second. Generally, we can imagine that in a medium illumi-nated by an ordinary beam of light, each atom behaves as though it was a "source" of a tremendous number of photons (scattered either elastically or resonantly) that fly off in all directions. A stream of energy like this resembles a classical spherical wave. Thus we imagine an atom (even though it is simplistic to do so) as a point source of spherical electromagnetic wavetrains-provided we keep in mind Einstein's admonition that "outgoing radiation in the form of spherical waves does not exist."

When a material with no resonances in the visible is source of spherical wavelets. As a rule, the closer a tiny source or spinerical wavelets. As a ture, the cuser the frequency of the incident beam is to an atomic resonance, the more strongly will the interaction occur and, in dense materials, the more energy will be dissipa-tively absorbed. It is precisely this mechanism of selec-tive absorption (see Section 4.4) that creates much of the visual appearance of things, it is primarily respon-sible for the color of your hair, skin, and clothing, the color of leaves and apples and paint.

3.5.1 Dispersion

Maxwell's theory treats matter as continuous, representing its electric and magnetic responses to applied **E**-and **B**-fields in terms of constants, ϵ and μ . Con-sequently, *K*, and *K_a* are also constant, and *n* is there-fore unrealistically independent of frequency. To deal

theoretically with dispersion, the well-known frequency dependence of the refractive index. it is necessary to incorporate the atomic nature of matter and. obviously, to exploit some frequency-dependent aspect of that nature. Following H. A. Lorentz, we can then average the contributions of large numbers of atoms to represent the behavior of an isotropic dielectric medium.

When a dielectric is subjected to an applied electric field, the internal charge distribution is distorted under its influence. This corresponds to the generation of electric dipole moments, which in turn contribute to the total internal field. More simply stated, the external field separates positive and negative charges in the medium (each pair of which is a dipole), and these then contribute an additional field component. The resultant dipole moment per unit volume is called the *itetric polarization* **P**. For most materials **P** and **E** are proportional and can satisfactorily be related by

$(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{P}.$

(3.61)

The redistribution of charge and the consequent polarization can occur by the following mechanisms. There are molecules that have a permanent dipole moment as a result of unequal sharing of valence electrons. These are known as palar molecules, the nonlinear water molecule is a fairly typical example (Fig. 324). Each hydrogen-oxygen bond is polar covalent, with the H-end positive with respect to the O-end. Thermal agitation keeps the molecular dipoles randomly oriented. With the introduction of an electric field, the dipoles align themselves, and the dielectric takes on an *orientational polorization*. In the case of mopolar molecules and atoms, the applied field distorts the electron cloud, shifting it relative nother nucleus and thereby producing a dipole moment. In addition to this *lectronic platrization*, there is another process that is applicable specifically to molecules, for example, the ionic crystal NACL. In the presence of an electric field, the positive and negative ions undergo a shift with respect to each of negative ions undergo a shift with respect to each in the is called ionic or atomic polarization. If the dielectric is subjected to an incident harmonic

If the dielectric is subjected to an incident harmonic electromagnetic wave, its internal charge structure will experience time-varying forces and/or torques. These will be proportional to the electric field component of



Figure 3.24 Assorted molecules and their dipole moments

the wave.* For polar dielectrics the molecules actually undergo rapid rotations, aligning themselves with the E(1)-field. But these molecules are relatively large and have appreciable moments of inertia. At high driving frequencies $\omega_{\rm p}$ polar molecules will be unable to follow

* Forces arising from the magnetic component of the field **box** * form $\mathbf{F}_M = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$ in comparison to $\mathbf{F}_2 = q\mathbf{E}$ for the electric **box** ponent: but $v \ll c$, so it follows from Eq. (3.30) that \mathbf{F}_M is given \mathbf{E}_2^{Y} negligible.

field alternations. Their contributions to **P** will the field alternations. Their contributions to **P** will prove the second second second second second second the second second second second second second second the second s

acount even. The electron cloud of the atom is bound to the positive medeus by an attractive electric force that sustains it in some sort of equilibrium configuration. Without knowme much more about the details of all the internal asone, interactions, we can anticipate that, like other stable mechanical systems which are not totally disrupted by small perturbations, a net force, F_i must exist that readwise system to equilibrium. Moreover, we can reasonably expect that for very small displacements, x_i some equilibrium (where F = 0), the force will be linear in x_i In other words, a plot of F(x) versus x will cross the vasis at the equilibrium point (x = 0) and will be straight line very close on either side. Thus for small displacements it can be supposed that the restoring force has the form $F = -A_x$. Once somehow momenming disturbed, an electron bound in this way will will be about its equilibrium position with a natural or resonant frequency given by $\omega_a = \sqrt{Jm_e}$, where m_i is its mass. This is the oscillatory frequency of the undriven watten.

A material medium is envisioned as an assemblage, merum, of a very great many polarizable atoms, each which is small (by comparison to the wavelength of the small (by comparison to the vavelength of the small of the state of the state of the state meson such a medium, each atom can be thought as a classical *forcal* oscillator being driven by the warying electric field *E(t)* of the wave, which is med here to be applied in the x-direction. Figure (b) is a mechanical representation of just auch an ator in an *isotropic* maching where the negatively red shell is fastened to a stationary positive nucleus ientical springs. Even under the illumination of 3.5 Light in Matter 59

bright sunlight, the amplitude of the oscillations will be no greater than about 10^{-17} m. The force $\{F_E\}$ secreted on an electron of charge q_e by the E(t) field of a harmonic wave of frequency ω is of the form $F_E = q_e E(t) = q_e E_0 \cos \omega t. \tag{3.62}$



Figure 3.25 (a) Distortion of the electron cloud in response to an applied & field. (b) The mechanical oscillator model for an interopic medium—all the springs are the same, and the oscillator can vibrate equally in all directions.
Consequently, Newton's second law provides the equation of motion; that is, the sum of the forces equals the mass times the acceleration:

$$q_e E_0 \cos \omega t - m_e \omega_0^2 x = m_e \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}$$
. (3.63)

The first term on the left is the driving force, the second is the opposing restoring force. To satisfy this expression, x will have to be a function whose second derivative isn't very much different from x itself. Fur-thermore we can anticipate that the electron will oscillate at the same frequency as E(t), so we "guess" at the solution

$$x(t) = x_0 \cos \omega t$$

01

and substitute it in the equation to evaluate the amplitude x0. In this way we find that

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{q_r/m_r}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)} E_0 \cos \omega t \tag{3.1}$$

$$x(t) = \frac{q_e/m_e}{(m_e^2 - m_e^2)} E(t).$$

This is the relative displacement between the negative cloud and the positive nucleus. It's traditional to leave q, positive and speak about the displacement of the the oscillator. Without a driving force (no incident wave) the oscillator will vibrate at its resonance frequency ω_0 . In the presence of a field whose frequency is less than ω_0 , E(t) and x(t) have the same sign, which means that the oscillator can follow the applied force (i.e., is in phase with it). However, when $\omega > \omega_0$, the displacement x(t) is in a direction opposite to that of the instantaneous force $q_{e}E(1)$ and therefore 180° out of phase with it torce $q_{s,\ell}(t)$ and therefore 180° out of phase with it. Remember that we are talking about oscillating dipoles where for $\omega_n > \omega$, the relative motion of the *positive* charge is a vibration in the direction of the field. Above resonance the positive charge is 180° out of phase with the field, and the dipole is said to lag by π tad. The dipole moment is equal to the charge q_{\star} times is displacement, and if there are N contributing elec-trons per unit volume the electric notalization. or

trons per unit volume, the electric polarization, or density of dipole moments, is

 $P = q_r x N.$

$$P = \frac{q_c^2 NE/m_c}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)}$$

Hence

(8.65)

(3.66)

and from Eq. (3.61)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \epsilon_0 + \frac{P(t)}{f(t)} = \epsilon_0 + \frac{q_s^2 N/m_e}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $n^2 = K_c - \epsilon/\epsilon_0$, we can arrive at an expression for *n* as a function of ω , which is known as a dispersion equation:

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{Nq_s^2}{\epsilon_0 m_e} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}\right).$$
 (14)

(3.67)

:100

At frequencies increasingly above resonance, $(\omega_{h}^{2} \leq \omega^{2}) < 0$, and the oscillator undergoes displacements that are approximately 180° out of phase with the driving force. The resulting electric polarization will therefore be similarly out of phase with the applied electric field. Hence the dilectric results and therefore the latter of the structure and the applied the structure of the We similarly out optimize that and hep-reference in index of refraction will both be less than 1. At frequencies increasingly helow resonance, $(\omega_0^2 - \omega_1^2) > 0$, the electric polarization will be nearly in phase with the applied point match with the detrift in place with appendix electric field. The dielectric constant and the corre-sponding index of refraction will then both be greater than 1. This kind of behavior, which actually represen-only part of what happens, is nonetheless greaterally observed in all sorts of materials

As a rule, any given substance will actually undergen-several of these transitions from n > 1 to n < 1 as the illuminating frequency is made to increase. The implici-tion is that instead of a single frequency ω_0 at which tion is that instead of a single frequency ω_0 at which the system resonates, there apparently are several such frequencies. It would seem reasonable to generalize matters by supposing that there are N molecules per unit volume, each with f_i oscillators having natural frequencies ω_{nj} , where j = 1, 2, 3, ... In that case.

$$n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{Nq_e^2}{\epsilon_0 m} \sum_i \left(\frac{f_i}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}\right).$$

This is essentially the same result as that arising from the quantum-mechanical treatment, with the exception that some of the terms must be reinterpreted. Accord ingly, the quantities ω_{0j} would then be the characteristic frequencies at which an atom may absorb or emit radian

energy. The f, terms, which satisfy the requirement that energy. The f_j terms, which satisfy the requirement that $f_j f = 1$, are weighting factors known as oscillator strengths. They reflect the emphasis that should be placed on each one of the modes. Since they measure the likelihood that a given atomic transition will occur, use f_j terms are also known as transition probabilities. A similar reinterpretation of the f_j terms is even mixed classically, since agreement with the experi-ment of the demands that they be less than unive. This

where classically, since agreement with the experi-tive of the second s in part, is attributable to energy lost when the forced exciliators reradiate. In solids, liquids, and gases at high pressure $(=10^3 \text{ atm})$, the interatomic distances are roughly 10 times less than those of a gas at standard temperature and pressure. Atoms and molecules in this respectators are pressure. Atoms and molecules in this relatively close proximity experience extrong interactions and resulting "frictional" force. The effect is a damp-ing of the oscillators and a dissipation of their energy within the substance in the form of "here" toract molecular motion).

Had we included a damping force proportional to the speed (of the form $m_e \gamma dx/dt$) in the equation of motion, the dispersion equation (3.70) would have been A/-2

 n^{i}

$$e^{2}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{i \sqrt{q_{e}}}{\epsilon_{0} m_{e}} \sum_{j} \frac{j_{j}}{\omega_{0j}^{2} - \omega^{2} + i \gamma_{j} \omega}.$$
 (3.71)

Although this expression is fine for rarified media such as gases there is another complication that must be exercome if the equation is to be applied to dense substances. Each atom interacts with the local electric Field in which it is immersed. Yet unlike the isolated onsequently an atom "sees" in addition to the applied field E(t) another field,* namely, $P(t)/3\epsilon_0$.

sult, which applies to isotropic media, is derived in almost

3.5 Light in Matter 61

Without going into the details here, it can be shown that $n^2 - 1 = Nq_2^2$ £

$$\frac{1}{1+2} = \frac{1}{3\epsilon_0 m_r} \sum_j \frac{j_j}{\omega_{0j}^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma_j \omega}.$$
(3.72)

Thus far we have been considering electron-oscillators almost exclusively, but the same results would have been applicable to ions bound to fixed atomic sites as well. In that instance *m*, would be replaced by the consider-ably larger ion mass. Thus although electronic polariz-ation is important over the entire optical spectrum, the contributions from ionic polarization significantly affect

The implications of a complex index of refraction will be considered later, in Section 4.3.5. At the moment we limit the discussion, for the most part, to situations in which absorption is negligible (i.e., $\omega_{0j}^2 - \omega^2 \gg \gamma_j \omega$) and n is real, so that

$$\frac{n^2-1}{n^2+2} = \frac{Nq_r^2}{3\epsilon_0 m_e} \sum_j \frac{f_j}{\varpi_{0j}^2 - \omega^2}$$
(3.73)

Colorless, transparent materials have their charac-teristic frequencies outside the visible region of the spectrum (which is why they are, in fact, colorless and spectrum (which is why firey are, in fact, colorises and transparent). In particular, glasses have effective natural frequencies above the visible in the ultraviolet, where they become opaque. In cases for which $\omega_0^2 \to \omega^2$, by comparison, ω^2 may be neglected in Eq. (3.73), yielding an essentially constant index of refraction over that frequency region. For example, the important charac-teristic frequencies for glasses occur at wavelengths of about 100 nm. The middle of the visible range is roughly about rooms in the induction of the rate rate rate is rooms for times that value, and there, $\omega_0^n > \omega_2^n$. Notice that as ω increases toward $\omega_{0,r}$ ($\omega_{0,r}^n = \omega_2^n$) decreases and n gradually increases with frequency, as is clearly evident in Fig. 3.26. This is called normal dispersion. In the ultra violet region, as ω approaches a natural frequency, the oscillators will begin to resonate. Their amplitudes will increase markedly, and this will be accompanied by damping and a strong absorption of energy from the incident wave. When $\omega_0 := \omega$ in Eq. (3.72), the damping term obviously becomes dominant. The regions immediately surrounding the various ω_0 ; in Fig. 3.27 are called *absorption bands*. There $dn/d\omega$ is negative, and the process is spoken of as **anomalous** (i.e., ahnormal) **dispersion**. If white light passes through a glass prism,



Figure 3.26 The wavelength dependence of the index of refraction



Figure 3.27 Refractive index versus frequency,

the blue constituent will have a higher index than the red and will therefore be deviated through a larger red and will therefore be deviated through a larger angle (see Section 5.6.1). In contrast, if we use a liquid-cell prism containing a dye solution with an absorption band in the visible, the spectrum will be altered markedly (see Problem 3.29). All substances possess absorption bands somewhere within the electromag netic frequency spectrum, so that the term anomalous dispersion, being a carryover from the late 1800s, is certainly a misnomer.

As we have seen, atoms within a molecule can also vibrate about their equilibrium positions. But the nuclei are massive, and so the natural oscillatory frequencies

will be low, in the infrared. Molecules such as H_2O and CO₂ will have resonances in both the infrared and ultraviolet. If water was trapped within a piece of glass during its manufacture, these molecular oscillators would be available, and an infrared absorption band would be available, and an infrared absorption band would exit. The presence of oxides will also result in infrared absorption. Figure 3.28 shows the $n(\omega)$ curves for a number of important optical crystals ranging from the ultraviolet to the infrared. Note how they rise ig-the ultraviolet and fall in the infrared. At the even lowed frequencies of radiowaves, glass will again be trans-parent. In comparison, a piece of stained glass evidently has a resonance in the visible where it absorbs out a matingliar tange of frozourotes.

has a resonance in the visible where it anorton out an particular range of frequencies, transmitting the com-plementary color. As a final point, potice that if the driving frequency is greater than any of the ∞_0 terms, then $n^2 < 1$ and n < 1. Such a situation can occur, for example, if we $n \sim 1$, such a suctation can occur, for example, if we beam x-rays onto a glass plate. This is an intriguing result, since it leads to v > c, in seeming contradiction to special relativity. We will consider this behavior again later on, when we discuss the group velocity (Sec tion 7.6).



Figure 3.28 Index of refraction versus wavelength and frequency for several important optical crystals. (Adapted from data published by The Harshaw Chemical Co.)

In partial summary then, over the visible region of In partial summary then, over the vasible region of spectrum, electronic polarization is the operative chanism determining n(s). Classically one imagines pronoscillators vibrating at the frequency of the left wave. When the wave's frequency is appreci-different from a characteristic or natural frequency. percent four same and and there is little dissipative option. At resonance, however, the oscillator ampli-a are increased, and the field does an increased fint of work on the charges. Electromagnetic energy ved from the wave and converted into mechanical red from the wave and converted model international y is dissipated thermally within the substance, and peaks of an absorption peak or band. The material, agh essentially transparent at other frequencies, remove a non-new second second

3.5.2 The Propagation of Light Through a Dielectric Medium

Line process whereby light propagates through a medium at a speed other than c is a fairly complicated one, and this section is devoted to making it at least physically reasonable within the context of the simple facilitor model.

Consider an incident or primary electromagnetic wave (in acoum) impinging on a dielectric. As we have seen, it will polarize the medium and drive the electrom-scillators into forced vibration. They, in turn, will peradiate or scatter energy in the form of electromag-ical actions and the same frequency as that of the iteration of the same frequency as that of the side that wave. In a substance whose atoms or molecules are arranged with some degree of regularity, these wavelets will tend to mutually interfere. That is, they will overlan in cortain residence whose atoms on the will the degree of regularity. will overlap in certain regions, whereupon they will ither reinforce or diminish each other to varying

Figure 3.30 illustrates a plane wave incident fro ye and the resulting clutter of scattered spherical blets. These superimpose in the forward direction of plane wavefronts, which we shall refer to as the doty wave. The way this actually occurs can better oppreciated in Fig. 3.31, which depicts a sequence showing two molecules A and B interacting with

3-5 Light in Matter 63



e 3.29 A group of semiconductor lenses mac , GaAs, and Ge. These materials are particularly red (2 μ m to 30 μ m), where they are highly trans-Figure 3.29 CdTc, GaAs, made from ZnSe. ularly useful in the rent despite the fact that they are quite opaque in the visible region of the spectrum (Photo courtesy Two-Six Incorporated.)

an incoming plane wave-a solid line represents a wave peak (a positive E-field), and a dashed line corresponds peak (a positive briefly) and a dashed interest corresponds to a trough (a negative E-field). In Part (a) of the figure the incoming plane wavefront impinges on molecule A, which begins to scatter a spherical wavelet. The phase of all such wavelets (as compared with the incident wave) will be examined presently; for the moment, let it be anything, say 180°. Accordingly, molecule A begins to radiate a trough in response to being driven by a peak. Part (b) shows the scattered spherical wavelet and the Part (b) shows the scattered spherical wavelet and the primary plane wave overlapping, marching out of step but marching together. And another wavelet is emerg-ing from A. In (c) a trough of the primary wavefront is incident on B, and it, in turn, begins to reradiate a wavelet, which must also be out of phase by 180°. In (d) we see the whole point of the diagram—all the wavelets are moving forward with the primary wave. In the forward direction the wavelets from A and B are in phase with each advert but out of phase with the or inmary wave. with each other but out of phase with the primary wave. That would be true for all such wavelets, regardless of how many molecules there were, how close together they were, or how they were distributed. As a result of the asymmetry introduced by the beam

Chapter 3 Electromagnetic Theory, Photons and Light 64



itself, all the scattered wavelets add to each other in itself, all the scattered wavelets add to each other in phase; they rise and fall together at points tangent to a plane and thus constructively (see Section 7.1) combine to form a forward-moving secondary plane wave. This does not happen in the backward direction or, indeed, in any other direction. If the scatterers are randomly located and far apart, the total radiation in any direction but forwards will be an uncompared mitmum of means but forward will be an uncorrelated mixture of essentially independent wavelets showing no significant inter-ference. This is approximately the situation existing about 100 miles up in the Earth's rarefied higb-altitude atmosphere (see Section 8.5). By contrast, in an ordinary

gas (and even the atmosphere at standard temperature and pressure has about 3 million molecules in a λ^3 cube the wavelets ($\lambda \approx 500$ nm) scattered by sources so close the wavelets ($\lambda = 500$ nm) scattered by sources so close together ("a" smn) cannot properly be viewed as random. Nor are they random in a solid or figuid, in which the atoms are 10 times closer and arrayed in a far more orderly fashion. Here again, the scattered wavelets interfere constructively in the forward direction--that much is independent of the arrangement of the molecules--but destructive interference, in which the' wavelets cancel one another (see Section 7.1), now prop dominates in all other directions. In dense media there is

essentially no scattering in any direction but forward; the beam progresses through the medium in the forward perical reasons alone we can anticipate that For

(a) (b)

In the forward direction the scattered wavelets arrive planar wavefronts-trough with trough, peak with peak.

3.5 Light in Matter 65

the secondary wave will combine with what is left of the primary wave to yield the only observed disturbance within the medium, namely, the refracted wave. Both the primary and accondary electromagnetic waves propagate through the interatomic void with the speed c. Yet the medium can certainly posses an index of refraction other than 1. The refracted wave may appear to have a phase velocity less than, equal to, or even greater than c. The key to this apparent contradiction resides in the phase relationship between the secondary and primary

The classical model predicts that the electron-oscil-lators will be able to vibrate almost completely in phase with the driving force (i.e., the primary disturbance) with the driving force (i.e., the primary disturbance) only at relatively low frequencies. As the frequency of the electromagnetic field increases, the oscillators will fall behind, lagging in phase by a proportionately larger amount. A detailed analysis reveals that at resonance the phase lag will reach 90°, increasing thereafter to almost 180°, or half a wavelength, at frequencies well above the particular characteristic value. Problem 5.28 evalues this phase lag for a damed driven oscillator.

almost 180°, or nair a wavelength, at requencies wen above the particular characteristic value. Problem 3.28 explores this phase lag for a damped driven oscillator, and Fig. 3.28 summarizes the results. In addition to these lags there is another effect that must be considered. When the scattered wavelets re-combine, the resultant secondary wave⁶ itself lags the oscillators by 90°. The combined effect of both these mechanisms in that at frequencies below resonance, the secondary wave lags the primary (Fig. 3.53) by some amount between approximately 90° and 180°, and at frequencies above resonance, the lag ranges from about 180° to 270°. But a phase lag of $\delta \ge 180°$ is equivalent to a phase lead of $360° - \delta [e.g., \cos(\theta - 270°) = \cos(\theta + 90°)]$. This much can be seen on the right side of Fig. 3.52(b). Within the transparent medium the primary and secondary waves overlap and, depending on their amplitudes and relative phase, generate the net refrac-ted disturbance. Except for the fact that it is weakened by scattering, the primary wave tavels into the material just as if it were traversing free space. By comparison *This point will be made more plausible when we consider the

⁴ This point will be made more plausible when we consider the predictions of the Huygens-Freenel theory in the diffraction chapter. Most texts on E & M treat the problem of reliation from a sheet of oscillating charges, in which case the 90° phase lag is a natural result.







Figure 3.32 A schematic representation of (a) implitude and (b) phase has versus driving frequency for a damped oscillator. The dathed curves correspond to decicated damping. The corresponding index of refraction is shown in (c).



Figure 3.33 A primary wave (a) and two possible secondary wave in (b) the secondary lags the primary—it takes longer to reach any given value. In (c) the secondary twave reaches any given value before (at an earlier time than) the primary; that is, it leads.

to this free-space wave, which initiated the process, the refracted wave is phase shifted, and this phase difference is crucial.

When the secondary wave lags (or leads) the primary the refracted wave must also lag (or lead) it by some amount (Fig. 3.34). This qualitative relationship will serve our purposes for the moment, although it should hed that the phase of the resultant also depends the amplitudes of the interacting waves [see Eq. 0]. Accordingly at frequencies below wo the refractance lags the free-space wave, whereas at frequenbove ω_0 it leads the free-space wave. For the special in which $\omega = \omega_0$ the secondary and primary waves but of phase by 180°; the former works against the so that the refracted wave is appreciably reduced mplitude although unaffected in phase.

The refracted wave advances through the medium, moring occurs over and over again. Light traversing substance is progressively retarded (or advanced) inste. Evidently, since the speed of the wave is the of advance of the condition of constant phase, a pange in the phase should correspond to a change in the speed.



ligure 3.34 If the secondary leads the primary the resultant will

3.5 Light in Matter 67

We now wish to show that a phase shift is indeed tantamount to a difference in phase velocity. In free space, the *disturbance at some point P* may be written as

$E_P(t) = E_0 \cos \omega t. \qquad (3.74)$

If P is now surrounded by a dielectric, there will be a cumulative phase shift ε_{P_1} which was built up as the wave moved through the medium to P. At ordinary levels of irradiance the medium will behave linearly, and the frequency in the dielectric will be the same as that in vacuum, even though the wavelength and speed may differ. Once again, but this time in the medium, the disturbance at P is

$E_P(t) = E_0 \cos(\omega t - \varepsilon_P), \qquad (3.75)$

where subtraction of $\varepsilon_{\rm P}$ corresponds to a phase lag. An observer at P will have to wait a longer time for a given crest to arrive when she is in the medium than she would have had to wait in vacuum. That is, if you imagine two parallel waves of the same frequency, one in vacuum and one in the material, the vacuum wave will pass P a time $\varepsilon_{\rm P}/\omega$ before the other wave. Clearly then, a phase lag of $\varepsilon_{\rm P}$ corresponds to a reduction in speed, v > c and n > 1. Similarly, a phase lead yields an increase in speed, v > c and n < 1. Again, the scattering process is a continuous one, and the cumulative phase shift builds as the light penetrates the medium. That is to say, ε is a function of the length of dielectric traversed, as it must be if v is to be constant (see Problem 3.30). The overall form of $n(\omega)$, as depicted in Fig. 3.32(c), can now be understood, as well. At frequencies far below

The overall form of $n(\omega)$, as depicted in Fig. 3.52(c), can now be understood, as well. At frequencies far below ω_0 , the amplitudes of the oscillators and therefore of the secondary waves are very small, and the phase angles are approximately 90°. Consequently, the refracted wave lags only slightly, and *n* is only slightly greater than 1. As ω increases, the secondary waves have greater amplitudes and lag by greater amounts. The result is a gradually decreasing wave speed and an increasing value of n > 1. Although the amplitudes of the secondary waves continue to increase, their relative phases approach 180° as ω approaches ω_0 . Consequently, their ability to cause a further increase in the resultant phase lag diminishes. A turning point ($\omega = \omega'$) is reached where the refracted wave begins to experience a decreasing phase lag and an increasing speed, $(dn/d\omega \sim$

0). That continues until ω = ω₀, whereupon the refracted wave is appreciably reduced in amplitude but unaltered in phase and speed. At that point, n = 1, u = c, and we are more or less at the center of the absorption band.

At frequencies just beyond ω_0 the relatively largeamplitude secondary waves lead; the refracted wave is advanced in phase, and its speed exceeds (n < 1). As ω increases the whole scenario is played out again in reverse (with some asymmetry due to frequency-dependent asymmetry in oscillator amplitudes and scattering). At even higher frequencies the secondary waves, which now have very small amplitudes, lead by nearly 90°. The resulting refracted wave is advanced very slightly in phase, and ne gradually anormaches 1

The precise shape of a particular $n(\omega)$ curve depends on the specific oscillator damping, as well as on the amount of absorption, which in turn depends on the number of oscillators participating. *

Insume of oscillators participating. A rigorous solution to the propagation problem is known as the *Evald-Ocsen estimation* there. Although the mathematical formalism, involving integrodifferential equations, is far too complicated to treat here, the results are certainly of interest. It is found that the electron-oscillators generate an electromagnetic wave having essentially two terms. One of these precisely cancels the primary wave within the medium. The other, and only remaining disturbance, moves through the dielectric at a speed v = c'n as the refracted wave." Henceforth we shall simply assume that a lightwave propagating through any substantive medium travels at a speed $v \neq c$.

3.6 THE ELECTROMAGNETIC-PHOTON SPECTRUM

In 1867, when Maxwell published the first extensive account of his electromagnetic theory, the frequency band was only known to extend from the infrared, across the visible, to the ultraviolet. Although this region

⁸ For a discussion of the Ewald–Oseen theorem, see Principles of Optics by Born and Wolf, Section 2-1.2; this is heavy reading. Also look at Reali, "Reflection from Dielectric Materials." Am. J. Phys. 50, 1133 (1982). is of major concern in optics, it is a small segment of the vast electromagnetic spectrum (see Fig. 3.55). This section comments the main categories (there is actually some overlapping) into which the spectrum is usually divided.

3.6.1 Radiofrequency Waves

In 1887, eight years after Maxwell's death, Heinrich Hertz, then professor of physics at the Technich Hochschule in Karlsruhe, Germany, succeeded in gen erating and detecting electromagnetic waves.⁶ Hi transmitter was essentially an oscillatory discharge across aspark gap (a form of oscillating electric dipole For a receiving antenna, be used an open loop of with with a brass knob on one end and a fine copper poin on the other. A small spark visible between the two end marked the detection of an incident electromagnet polarization, reflected and refracted it, caused it to interfere, setting up standing waves, and then even measured its wavelength (on the order of a meter). As he put it:

I have succeeded in producing distinct rays of electric force, and in carrying out with them the elementary experiments which are commonly performed with light and radiant heat.... We may perhaps further designate them as rays of light of very great wavelength. The experiments described appear to me, at any rate, eminently adapted to remove any doubt as to the identity of light, radiant heat, and electromagnetic wave motion.

The waves used by Hertz are now classified in the *radiofrequency* range, which extends from a few hertz to about 10⁶ Hz (λ , from many kilometers to 0.3 m or so). These are generally emitted by an assortment of electric circuits. For example, the 60-Hz alternating current circulating in power lines radiates with a wavelength of 5 × 10⁶ m, or about 3 × 10⁶ miles. There

* David Hughes may well have been the first person who actually performed this feat, but his experiments in 1879 went unpublished and unnoticed for many years.



DETECTION

Geige

lonization chamber

Bolomete:

Thermonile

Crystal

Electronu circuits ARTIFICIAL

GENERATION

Accelerators

Synchro

Sparks

Het bodies

Magnetron Klystron

tuhe

Electroni cîrcuits

AC generators

Tra

MICROSCOPI

Atomic nuclei

vibration and rotation

Electron spin Nuclear spin



is no upper limit to the theoretical wavelength; one could leisurely swing the proverbial charged pith ball and, in so doing, produce a rather long if not very strong wave. Indeed, waves more than 18 million miles ling have been detected streaming down toward Earth from outer space. The higher frequency end of the band is used for television and radio broadcasting.

At i MHz (10⁴ Hz) a radioferequency photon has an egergy of 6.62×10^{-28} J or 4×10^{-2} eV, a very small guantity by any measure. The granular nature of the radiation is generally obscured, and only a smooth Bansfer of energy is apparent.

3.6.2 Microwaves

bu microwave region extends from about 10° Hz up bout 3×10¹¹ Hz. The corresponding wavelengths for from roughly 30 cm to 1.0 mm. Radiation capable of penetrating the Earth's atmosphere ranges from less than 1 cm to about 30 m. Microwaves are therefore of interest in space-vehicle communications, as well as radio astronomy. In particular, neutral hydrogen atoms, distributed over vast regions of space, cmit 21-cm (1420-MH2) microwaves. A good deal of information about the structure of our own and other galaxies has here abaread from this particular amirities.

abon the structure of our own and outry games that been gleaned from this particular emission. Molecules can absorb and emit energy by altering the state of motion of their constituent atoms. They can be made to vibrate and/or rotate, Again, the energy associated with either motion is quantized, and molecules possess rotational and vibrational energy levels in addition to those due to their electrons. Only polar molecules will experience forces via the E-field of an incident electromagnetic wave that will cause them to rotate into alignment, and only they can absorb a photon and make a rotational transition to an excited state. Since massive molecules are not able to swing around easily, we can



Figure 3.36 A photograph of an 18 by 75 mile area Alaska. It was taken by the Seast satellite 800 kilomete above the Earth. The overall appearance is somewhat st ewhat strange b aoure use Earth. 1 ne overall appearance is somewhat strange because this is actually a radar or microwave picture. The wrinkled gray region on the right is Canada. The small, bright shell shape is Banks Island,

anticipate that they will have low-frequency rotational resonances (far IR, 0.1 mm, to microwave, 1 cm). For resonances (far IR, 0.1 mm, to microwave, 1 cm). For instance, water molecules are polar (see Fig. 3.24), and if exposed to an electromagnetic wave, they will swing around, trying to stay lined up with the alternating E-field. This will occur particularly vigorously at any one of its rotational resonances. Consequently, water molecules efficiently dissipatively absorb microwave radiation at or near such a frequency. The microwave oven (12.2 cm, 2.45 GHz) is an obvious application. On the other hand, nonpolar molecules, such as carbon dioxide, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, and methane. cannot make rotational transitions by way of the absorp-tion of photons. tion of photons.

Now . days microwayes are used for everything from transmitting telephone conversations and interstation television to cooking hamburgers, from guiding planes terevision to cooking namourgers, from guiding planes and catching speeders (by radar) to studying the origins of the Universe, opening garage doors, and viewing the surface of the planet (Fig. 3.36). They are also quite useful for studying physical optics with experimental arrangements that are scaled up to convenient dimensions.

Photons in the low-frequency end of the microwave spectrum have little energy, and one might expect their sources to be electric circuits exclusively. Emissions of this sort can, however, arise from atomic transitions, if the energy levels involved are quite near each other. embedded in a black band of shore-fast, first-year sea ice, Adj to that is open water, which appears smooth and gray. The dark blochy see at the far left is the main polar ice pack. There ar clouds because the radsr "seed" right through them. k gray

The apparent ground state of the cesium atom is a good example. It is actually a pair of closely spaced energy levels, and transitions between them involve an energy of only 4.14×10^{-9} eV. The resulting microwave emission has a frequency of 9.19263177 × 10⁹ Hz. Thit is the basis for the well-known cesium clock, the stan-dard of foregoing and time dard of frequency and time.

3.6.3 Infrared

The infrared region, which extends roughly from 3×10^{11} Hz to about 4×10^{14} Hz, was first detected by the renowned astronomer Sir William Herschel (1738) renowined astronomer Sir William Herschei (1739-1822) in 1800. The infrared, or IR, is often subdivided into four regions: the near IR, i.e., near the visible (780-3000 nm), the intermediate IR (3000-5000 nm) the far IR (6000-15,000 nm), and the extreme IR (15,000 nm-1.0 mm). This is again a rather loosed division, and there is no universality in the nomer-clature. Radiant energy at the long-wavelength extreme can be generated by either microwave oscillators of can be generated by either microwave oscillators or incandescent sources (i.e., molecular oscillators) Indeed, any material will radiate and absorb IR via thermal agitation of its constituent molecules.

The molecules of any object at a temperature above absolute zero (-273°C) will radiate IR, even if only weakly (see Section 13.2). On the other hand, infrared

dy emitted in a continuous spectrum from hot isomously construct on a communus spectrum from hot-budge, such as electric heaters, glovaing coals, and ofnays house radiators. Roughly half the electromag-net energy from the Sun is IR, and a common light but studies radiates far more IR than light. Like all but studies the body radiates IR on the rest infrared emitters. Not house house house radiates IR on the section of the section of the studies of the section of the section of the section of the body house house house radiates IR on the section of the s wirst blooded crottures, we too are infrared emitters. The human body radiates IR quite weakly, starting at acound 5000 nm, peaking in the vicinity of 10,000 nm, and trailing off from there into the extreme IR and, nothing, beyond. This emission is exploited by seci-netic singler stopes, as well as by some rather nasty "her" sensitive makes (Crotalidae, pit vipers, and Solving: constitions) that tend to be active at night. Solving: constitions with the advecture an vibrate in several offerent several with its atoms moving in our solutes disc.

different ways, with its atoms moving in various directions with respect to one another. The molecule need for be polar, and even a linear system such as CO_2 has three basic vibrational modes and a number of energy els, each of which can be excited by photons. The levels, each of which can be excited by photons. The associated vibrational emission and absorption spectra are, as a rule, in the IR (1000 nm to 0.1 mm). Many molecules have both vibrational and rotational reso-nances in the IR and are good absorbers, which is one reason IR is often misleadingly called "heat waves"— interput your face in the sunshine and feel the resulting l-up of thermal energy.

mild-up of thermal energy. Infrared radiant energy is generally measured with evice that responds to the heat generated on absorp-ing of IR by a blackened surface. There are, for xmple, thermocouple, pneumatic (e.g., Golay cells), proelectric, and bolometer detectors. These in turn spend on temperature-dependent variations in fuecd voltage, gas volume, permanent electric mization, and resistance, respectively. The detector bit coupled by way of a scanning estere to a cathode be coupled by way of a scanning system to a cathode tube to produce an instantaneous television-like IR re (Fig. 3.37) known as a thermograph (which is useful for diagnosing all sorts of problems, from Austrul for diagnosing all sorts of pronems, from transformers to faulty people. Photographic jenditive to near IR (<1300 nm) are also available. to are IR spy satellites that look out for rocket dings, IR resource satellites that look out for cop-sies, and IR astronomical satellites that look out direct out which directed a rise of matter space-one of which discovered a ring of matter bund the star Vega (1983); there are "heat-seeking"

3.6 The Electromagnetic-Photon Spectrum 71

missiles guided by IR, and IR lasers and telescopes peering into the heavens

Small differences in the temperatures of objects and their surroundings result in characteristic IR emission, which can be used in many ways, from detecting brain tumors and breast cancers to spotting a lurking burglar. The CO₂ laser, because it is a convenient source of continuous power at appreciable levels of 100 W and more, is widely used in industry, **especially** in precision cutting and heat treating. Its extreme-IR emissions (18.3 μ m-23.0 μ m) are readily absorbed by human tissue, making the laserbeam an effective bloodless scalpel that cauterizes as it cuts.

3.6.4 Light

Light corresponds to the electromagnetic radiation in the narrow band of frequencies from about 3.84×10^{14} Hz to roughly 7.69×10^{14} Hz (see Table 3.2). It is generally produced by a rearrangement of the outer electrons in atoms and molecules. (Don't forget syn-



Figure 3.37 Thermograph of the author. Note the cool beard

Table 3.2 Approximate frequency and vacuum wavelength ranges for the various colors.

_				_
	Color	$\lambda_0(nm)$	ν(THz)*	
	Red	780-622	384-482	
	Orange	622-597	482-503	
	Yellow	597-577	503-520	
	Green	577-492	520-610	
	Blue	492-455	610-659	

tz (THz) = 10¹² Hz, 1 na ter (nm)

chrotron radiation, which is a different mechanism.)* In an incandescent material, a hot glowing metal filament, or the solar fireball, electrons are randomly manent, of the solar incodu, electrons are fautomy accelerated and undergo frequent collisions. The result-ing broad emission spectrum is called *thermal radiation*, and it is a major source of light. In contrast, if we fill a tube with some gas and pass an electric discharge through it, the atoms therein will become excited and radiate. The emitted light is characteristic of the par-ticular energy levels of those atoms, and it is made up of a series of well-defined frequency bands or lines. or a series or well-denned irequency balls or lines. Such a device is known as aga discharge tube. When the gas is the krypton 86 isotope, the lines are par-ticularly narrow (zero nuclear spin, therefore no hyperfine structure). The orange-red line of Kr 86, whose vacuum wavelength is 605.7802105 nm, has a width (at half height) of only 0.00047 nm, or about 400 MHz. Accordingly, until 1983 it was the inter-national standard of length (1,650,763.73 wavelengths equaled a meter).

Newton was the first to recognize that white light is actually a mixture of all the colors of the visible spec-trum, that the prism does not create color by altering white light to different degrees, as had been thought for centuries, but simply fans out the light, separating it into its constituent colors. Not surprisingly, the very concept of *whiteness* seems dependent on our perception of the Earth's daylight spectrum—a broad frequency

*There is no need here to define light in terms of human physiology. On the contrary, there is plenty of evidence to indicate that this would not be a very good idea. For example, see T. J. Wang, "Visual Response of the Human Eye to X Radiation." Am. J. Phys. 35, 779 (1987).

distribution that falls off more rapidly in the violet the in the red (Fig. 3.38). The human eye-brain detecto perceives as white a wide mix of frequencies, usually perceives as while a wide mix of requirings, using with about the same amount of energy in each portio That is what we shall mean when we speak about "whi light"—much of the color of the spectrum, with region predominating. Nonetheless, many different of white light "-noul of an " region predominating. Nonetheless, many dilterent of tributions will appear more or less white. We recogn a piece of paper to be white whether it's seen inde-under incandescent light or outside under skyligh even though those whites are quite different. In fa-there are many pairs of colored light beams (e.g. 6s nm red and 492-nm cyan) that will produce the sense tion of whiteness, and the eye cannot always **distinguis** one white from another; it cannot frequency analyze

one white from another; it cannot frequency analyse light into its harmonic components the way the ear car analyze sound (see Section 7.7). Colors are the subjective human physiological and psychological responses, primarily, to the varioud frequency regions extending from about 384 THz fed red, through orange, yellow, green, and blue, to viole at about 769 TH2 (Table 3.2). Color is not a proper-of the light itself but a manifestation of the electrochemical energies users, and search being the electrochemical sensing system—eye, nerves, brain. T be more precise, we should not say "yellow light" bar rather "light that is seen as yellow." Remarkably variety of different frequency mixtures can evoke the same color response from the eve-brain sensor. A beam of red light (peaking at, say, 690 THz) overlapping



A graph of sunlight compared with the light in a 9 88

bam of green light (peaking at, say, 540 THz) will bam of green light (peaking at, say, 540 THz) will be suft, believe it or not, in the perception of yellow light, the though there are no frequencies present in the so-called yellow band. Apparently, the eye-brain series the input and "seea" yellow (Section 4.4), arages the input and "seea" yellow (Section 4.4). Toat's why a color television screen can manage with only three phosphors: red, green, and blue. In a flood of bright sunlight where the photon flux divisity might be 10^{10} photons/m² s, we can generally the guantum nature of the energy transport to become by obscured. However, in very weak beams,

density m spect the quantum nature of the energy quarks provided to the quantum nature of the energy quarks provided to the quark provided to ly the eye.

3.6.5 Ultraviolet

tight in the operations is the ultraviolet region ately 8×10^{11} Hz to about 3.4×10^{45} Hz), dis-Adjacent 10 light mil red by Johann Wilhelm Ritter (1776–1810). Photon gies therein range from roughly 3.2 eV to 100 eV, aviolet, or UV, rays from the Sun will thus have the neough energy to ionize atoms in the upper mosphere and in so doing create the ionosphere. These photon energies are also of the order of the mignitude of many chemical reactions, and ultraviolet

become important in triggering those reactions. **thately**, ozone (O_3) in the atmosphere absorbs what d otherwise be a lethal stream of solar UV. At steamths less than around 290 nm, UV is germicidal t kills microorganisms). The particlelike aspects of radiant energy become increasingly evident as the

the share of the second enclosing of the state of the second seco eye lens absorbs most strongly beyond 300 nm. A So who has had a lens removed because of catracters on who has had a lens removed because of catracters on see UV ($\lambda > 300$ nm). In addition to insects, such as Boneybees, a fair number of other creatures can while the second to UV. Pigcons, for example, are cap-ate or recognizing patterns illuminated by UV and

3.6 The Electromagnetic-Photon Spectrum 73

probably employ that ability to navigate by the Sun even on overcast days.

An atom emits a UV photon when an electron makes An atom emits a UV photon when an electron makes a long jump down from a highly excited state. For example, the outermost electron of a sodium atom can be raised to higher and higher energy levels until it is ultimately torn loose altogether at 5.1 eV, and the atom is ionized. If the ion subsequently recombines with a free electron, the latter will rapidly descend to the ground state, most likely in a series of jumps, each resulting in the electron to make one long plunge to the ground state, radiating a single 5.1-eV UV photon. Even more energetic UV can be generated when the Even more energetic UV can be generated when the inner, tightly bound electrons of an atom are excited The unpaired valence electrons of isolated atoms can



be an important source of colored light. But when these same atoms combine to form molecules or solids, the valence electrons are ordinarily paired in the process of creating the chemical bonds that hold the thing together. Consequently, the electrons are often more tightly bound, and their molecular-excided states are higher up in the UV. Molecules in the atmosphere, such as N_a , O_2 , CO_2 , and H_2O , have just this sort of electronic resonance in the UV (see Section 8.5).

Nowadays there are ultraviolet photographic films and microscopes, UV orbiting celestial telescopes, synchrotron sources, and ultraviolet lasers (Fig. 3.39).

3.6.6 X-rays

X-rays were rather fortuitously discovered in 1895 by Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen (1845–1923). Extending in frequency from roughly 2.4 × 10¹⁶ Hz to 5 × 10¹⁵ Hz, they have extremely short wavelengths; most are smaller than an atom. Their photon energies (100 eV to 0.2 MeV) are large enough so that x-ray quanta can interact with matter one at a time in a clearly granular fashion, almost like bullets of energy. One of the most practical mechanisms for producing x-rays is the rapid deceleration of high-speed charged particles. The resulting broad-frequency bremstrahlung (German for "braking radiation") arises when a beam of energetic electrons is fired at a material target, such as a copper plate. Collisions with the Cu nuclei produce deflections of the beam electrons, which in turn radiate x-ray photons.

In addition, the atoms of the target may become ionized during the bombardment. Should that occur through removal of an inner electron strongly bound to the nucleus, the atom will emit x-rays as the electron cloud returns to the ground state. The resulting quantized emissions are specific to the target alom, revealing its energy level structure, and accordingly are called *characteristic* radiation.

the charger iter statuter, and accordingly are called characteristic radiation. Traditional medical film-radiography generally produces little more than simple shadow castings, rather than photographic images in the usual sense; it has not been possible to fabricate useful x-ray lenses. But modern focusing methods using mirrors (see Section 5.4) have begun an era of x-ray imagery, creating



Figure 3.40 X-ray photograph of the Sun taken March, 1970. 1 with both the Moon is visible in the southeast corner. The work Difference of Valana and NASA.)

detailed pictures of all sorts of things, from imploring fusion pellets to celestial sources, such as the Sur (Fig. 3.40), distant quasars, and black holes—objects at temperatures of millions of degrees that emit dominantly in the x-ray region. Orbiting x-ray the scopes have given us an exciting new eye on the low verse. There are x-ray microscopes, picosecond x-ray streak cameras, x-ray diffraction gratings, and after ferometers, and work continues on x-ray benerging laboratory succeeded in producing laser radiation at laboratory succeeded in producing laser radiation at wavelength of 20.6 nm. Though this is more acturated in the x-ray region to qualify as the first soft x-ray large

3.6.7 Gamma Rays

These are the highest-energy $(10^4 \text{ eV to about } 10^{16} \text{ eV})$ lowest-wavelength electromagnetic radiations. There are emitted by particles undergoing transitions within the semic nucleus. A single gamma-ray photon carries so such energy that is can be detected with fattle difficulty, go the same time its wavelength has become so small tas his now extremely difficult to observe any wavelike entry.

whether and the set of the set of

PROBLEMS

To Consider the plane electromagnetic wave (in SI mits) given by the expressions $E_x = 0$, $E_y = 10^{14}(t \ x/c) + \pi/2$], and $E_x = 0$.

 a) What are the frequency, wavelength, direction of motion, amplitude, initial phase angle, and polariz-

ation of the wave? b) Write an expression for the magnetic flux density.

3.2 Write an expression for the **E**- and **B**-fields that constitute a plane harmonic wave traveling in the $\pm z$ -direction. The wave is linearly polarized with its plane $\bigcirc z$ -bit on at 45° to the yz-plane.

5.3[•] Calculate the energy input necessary to charge a parallel plate capacitor by carrying charge from one plate to the other. Assume the energy is stored in the field between the plates and compute the energy per that volume, $u_{\rm g}$, of that region, i.e., Eq. (3.31). *Hint:* there is the field between the plates should be the process.

3.4 The time average of some function f(t) taken over interval T is given by

 $\langle f(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} f(t') dt',$

where t' is just a dummy variable. If $\tau = 2\pi/\omega$ is the period of a harmonic function, show that

 $\langle \sin^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2},$ $\langle \cos^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}.$ Problems 75

$\langle \sin (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \cos (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle = 0,$

when T au and when $T \gg \tau$.

and

3.5^{*} Consider a linearly polarized plane electromagnetic wave traveling in the +x-direction in free space and having as its plane of vibration the xy-plane. Given that its frequency is 10 MHz and its amplitude is $E_0 = 0.08 \text{ V/m}$,

a) find the period and wavelength of the wave
b) write an expression for E(t) and B(t),
c) find the flux density, (S), of the wave.

3.6 A linearly polarized harmonic plane wave with a scalar amplitude of 10 V/m is propagating along a line in the xy-plane at 45° to the x-axis with the xy-plane as its plane of vibration. Please write a vector expression describing the wave assuming both k_a and k_b are positive. Calculate the flux density taking the wave to be in vacuum.

3.7 Pulses of UV lasting 2.00 ns each are emitted from a laser which has a beam of diameter 2.5 mm. Given that each burst carries an energy of 6.0 J, (a) determine the length in space of each wavetrain, and (b) find the average energy per unit volume for such a pulse.

3.8 A 1.0-mW laser has a beam diameter of 2 mm. Assuming the divergence of the beam to be negligible, compute its energy density in the vicinity of the laser.

3.9* A cloud of locusts having a density of 100 insects per cubic meter is flying north at a rate of 6 m/min. What is the flux density of locusts, i.e., how many cross an area of 1 m² perpendicular to their flight path per second?

5.10 Imagine that you are standing in the path of an antenna which is radiating plane waves of frequency 100 MHz and flux density 19.48 × 10⁻² Win². Compute the photon flux density, i.e., the number of photons per unit time per unit area. How many photons, on the average, will be found in a cubic meter of this region³

3.11* How many photons per second are emitted from a 100 W velow light bulb if we assume negligible ther-mal losses and a quasimonochromatic wavelength of 550 nm³ In actuality only about 2.5% of the total dissipated power emerges as visible radiation in an ordinary 100 W lamp.

3.12 A 3.0-V flashlight build draws 0.25 A, conver about 1.0% of the dissipated power into light ($\lambda \approx 550$ nm). If the beam has a cross-sectional area of 10 cm², and is approximately cylindrical,

a) how many photons are emitted per second?

 b) how many photons occupy each meter of the beam?
 c) what is the flux density of the beam as it leaves the flashlight?

3.13° An isotropic quasimonochromatic point source radiates at a rate of 100 W. What is the flux density at a distance of 1 m? What are the amplitudes of the E-and B-fields at that point?

3.14 Using energy arguments, show that the ampli tude of a cylindrical wave must vary inversely with $\sqrt{\tau}$. Draw a diagram indicating what's happening.

 3.15^* What is the momentum of a 10^{19}-Hz x-ray photon?

3.16 Consider an electromagnetic wave impinging on an electron. It is easy to show kinematically that the average value of the time rate of change of the electron's momentum \mathbf{p} is proportional to the average value of the time rate of change of the work, W, done on it by the wave. In particular,

$\left\langle \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{c} \left\langle \frac{dW}{dt} \right\rangle \hat{\mathbf{i}}.$

Accordingly, if this momentum change is imparted to some completely absorbing material, show pressure is given by Eq. (3.50).

3.17* Derive an expression for the radiation pressure when the normally incident beam of light is totally reflected. Generalize this result to the case of oblique incidence at an angle θ with the normal.

3.18 A completely absorbing screen receives 300 W alight for 100 s. Compute the total linear momentum transferred to the screen.

3.19 The average magnitude of the Poynting vector for sunlight arriving at the top of Earth's atmosphere $(1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m from the Sun})$ is about 1.4 kW/m².

a) Compute the average radiation pressure exerted a metal reflector facing the Sun.
b) Approximate the average radiation pressure at the surface of the Sun whose diameter is 1.4 × 10° m.

3.20 What force on the average will be exerted on the (40 m \times 50 m) flat, highly reflecting side of a space station wall if it's facing the Sun while orbiting Earth?

3.21 A parabolic radar antenna with a 2-m diameter transmits 200-kW pulses of energy. If is repetition ratios 500 pulses per second, each lasting 2 μ s, determine the average reaction force on the antenna.

3.22 Consider the plight of an astronaut floating in free space with only a 10-W lantern (inexhaustibly sup plied with power). How long will it take to reach a speed of 10 m/s using the radiation as propulsion? The astronaut's total mass is 100 kg.

8.23 Consider the uniformly moving charge depicted in Fig. 3.14(b). Draw a sphere surrounding it and show via the Poynting vector that the charge does not radiated

3.24* A plane, harmonic, linearly polarized light wave has an electric field intensity given

$$E_z = E_0 \cos \pi 10^{15} \left(t - \frac{x}{0.65c} \right)$$

while traveling in a piece of glass. Find a) the frequency of the light,

b) its wavelength,c) the index of refraction of the glass.

3.25 The low-frequency relative permittivity of water varies from 88.00 at 0°C to 55.33 at 100°C. Explain this behavior. Over the same range in temperature, the index of refraction ($\lambda = 589.3$ nm) goes from roughly $\frac{1}{1.3}$ to 1.32. Why is the change in *n* so much smaller than the corresponding change in *K*,?

3.16 Show that for substances of low density, such as gives, which have a single resonant frequency ω_0 , the index of refraction is given by

$$n \approx 1 + \frac{Nq}{2\epsilon_0 m_e(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)}.$$

3 x7* In the next chapter, Eq. (4.47), we'll see that a when its differs most from the medium in which it is

- a) The dielectric constant of ice measured at microwave frequencies is roughly 1, whereas that for water is about 80 times greater—why?
 b) How is it that a radar beam easily passes through ice but is considerably reflected when encountering a
- dense rain?

3.28 The equation for a driven damped oscillator is $m_t \ddot{x} + m_t \gamma \dot{x} + m_t \omega_0^2 x = q_t E(t).$

a) Explain the significance of each term. b) Let $E = E_0 e^{i\omega t}$ and $x = x_0 e^{i(\omega t - \omega)}$, where E_0 and x_0 are real quantities. Substitute into the above expression and show that

$x_0 = \frac{q_e E_0}{m_e} \frac{1}{[(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \omega^2]^{1/2}}.$

Provide an expression for the phase lag, α , and discuss trace, α varies as ω goes from $\omega \ll \omega_0$ to $\omega \equiv \omega_0$ to $\omega \gg \omega_0$.

Fuchsin is a strong (aniline) dye, which in solution alcohol has a deep red color. It appears red because sorbs the green component of the spectrum. (As might expect, the surfaces of crystals of fuchsin rengent expect, the surfaces of crystals of increase eff green light rather strongly.) Imagine that you was thin-walled hollow prism filled with this solution. We will the spectrum look like for incident white first By the way, anomalous dispersion was first ferved in about 1840 by Fox Talbot, and the effect a christened in 1862 by Le Roux. His work was Problems 77

promptly forgotten, only to be rediscovered eight years later by C. Christiansen.

3.30 Imagine that we have a nonabsorbing glass plate of index n and thickness Δy , which stands between a source S and an observer P.

a) If the unobstructed wave (without the plate present) is $E_u = E_0 \exp i\omega(t - y/c)$, show that with the plate in place the observer sees a wave

 $E_p = E_0 \exp i\omega [t - (n - 1) \Delta y/c - y/c].$ b) Show that if either n = 1 or Δy is very small, then

$$E_p = E_n + \frac{\omega(n-1)\Delta y}{c} E_n e^{-i\pi/2}.$$

С The second term on the right may be envisioned as the field arising from the oscillators in the plate.

3.31* Take Eq. (3.70) and check out the units to make sure that they agree on both sides.

3.32 The resonant frequency of lead glass is in the UV fairly near the visible, whereas that for fused silica is far into the UV. Use the dispersion equation to make rough sketch of n versus ω for the visible region of the spectrum.

3.33 Augustin Louis Cauchy (1789-1857) determined an empirical equation for $n(\lambda)$ for substances that are transparent in the visible. His expression corresponded to the power series relation

 $n = C_1 + C_2 / \lambda^2 + C_3 / \lambda^4 + \cdots,$

where the C's are all constants. In light of Fig. 3.27, what is the physical significance of C_1 ?

3.34 Referring to the previous problem, realize that there is a region between each pair of absorption bands for which the Cauchy equation (with a new set of con-stants) works fairly well. Examine Fig. 3.26: what can you say about the various values of C_1 as ω decreases across the whole spectrum? Dropping all but the first two terms, use Fig. 3.27 to determine approximate values for C_1 and C_2 for borosilicate crown glass in the visible

3.35° Crystal quartz has refractive indices of 1.557 and 1.547 at wavelengths of 410.0 nm and 550.0 nm, respectively. Using only the first two terms in Cauchy's equation, acluate C₁ and C₈ and determine the index of refraction of quartz at 610.0 nm.

3.36* In 1871 Sellmeier derived the equation

$n^2 = 1 + \sum_j \frac{A_j \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{0j}^2},$

where the A_j terms are constants and each λ_{oj} is the vacuum wavelength associated with a natural frequence

 ν_{0_1} , such that $\lambda_{0_1}\nu_{0_2} = c$. This formulation is a consider able practical improvement over the Cauchy equation Show that where $\lambda > \lambda_{0_1}$. Cauchy's equation is an approximation of Sellmeier's. Hint: write the about expression with only the first term in the sum; expanit by the binomial theorem; take the square root of and expand again.

3.37* If an ultraviolet photon is to dissociate the oxgen and carbon atoms in the carbon monoxid molecule, it must provide 11 eV of energy. What is the minimum frequency of the appropriate radiation?

THE PROPAGATION OF LIGHT

41 INTRODUCTION

We now consider a number of phenomena related to appagation of light and its interaction with material and in particular, we shall study the characteristics of lightwaves as they progress through various substances, crossing interfaces, and being reflected and perfaced in the process. For the most part, we shall traviate a classical electromagnetic wave whose of the process of the basic principles of optics of the process of the basic principles of optics of the process of the basic principles of optics of the process of the basic principles of optics of the principle of the basic principles of optics of the principle of the cross of the trace of the wave. as we shall see, this accounts for the longevity of

superior of the wave aspects of light but are combredy independent of the exact nature of the wave, as we shall see, this accounts for the longevity of *agent's principle*, which has served in turn to describe columnical acther waves, electromagnetic waves, and by, after three hundred years, applies to quantum trice.

Suppose, for the moment, that a wave impinges on interface separating two different media (e.g., a loce of glass in air). As we know from our everyday perfences, a portion of the incident flux density will diverted back in the form of a *reflected wave*, while diverted back in the form of a *reflected wave*, while diverted back in the form of a *reflected wave*, while a *reflected wave*. On a submicroscopic scale we can on an assemblage of atoms that scatter the incident entry. The manner in which these emitted selfs superimpose and combine with each other and on the spatial distribution of the scattering atoms. As we know from the previous chapter, the scattering process is responsible for the *index of refraction*, as well as the resultant *reflected* and *refracted* waves. This atomistic description is quite satisfying conceptually, even though it is not a simple matter to treat analytically. It should, however, be kept in mind even when applying macroscopic techniques, as indeed we shall later on.

shall later on. We now seek to determine the general principles governing or at least describing the propagation, reflection, and refraction of light. In principle it should be possible to trace the progress of radiant energy through any system by applying Maxwell's equations and the associated boundary conditions. In practice, however, this is often an impractical if not an impossible task (see Section 10.1). So we shall take a somewhat different route, stopping, when appropriate, to verify that our results are in accord with electromagnetic theory.

4.2 THE LAWS OF REFLECTION AND REFRACTION 4.2.1 Huygens's Principle

Recall that a wavefront is a surface over which an optical disturbance has a constant phase. As an illustration, Fig. 4.1 shows a small portion of a spherical wavefront Σ emaasting from a monochromatic point source S in a homogeneous medium. Clearly, if the radius of the wavefront as shown is τ , at some later time t it will simply be (r + vt), where v is the phase velocity of the wave.

But suppose instead that the light passes through a nonuniform sheet of glass, as in Fig. 4.2, so that the wavefront itself is distorted. How can we determine its new form X^2 Or for that matter, what will X^2 look like at some latter time, if it is allowed to continue unobstructed?

A preliminary step toward the solution of this problem lem appeared in print in 1690 in the work entitled Trailé de la Lumière, which had been written 12 years earlier by the Dutch physicist Christiaan Huygens. It was there that he enunciated what has since become was there that the entitleated what has since become known as **Huygens's principle**, that every point on a primary wavefront serves as the source of spherical secondary wavelets, such that the primary wavefront at some later time is the envelope of these wavelets. Moreover, the wavelets as the entering of mass attention, moreover, and antenia advonce with a speed and frequency equal to those of the primary wave at each point in space. If the medium is homogeneous, the wavelets may be constructed with finite radii, whereas if it is inhomogeneous, the wavelets must have infinitesimal radii. Figure 4.3 should make this fairly clear: it shows a view of a wavefront Σ , as well as a number of spherical secondary wavelets, which, after a time 4, have propagated out to a radius of v. The envelope of all these wavelets is then asserted to correspond to the advanced primary wave Σ . It is easy to visualize the process in terms of mechanical vibrations of an elastic medium. Indeed this is the way that Huygens envisioned it within the context of an all-pervading aether, as is evident from this comment by im:

We have still to consider, in studying the spreading out of these waves, that each particle of matter in which a wave proceeds not only communicates its motion to the next particle to it, which is on the straight line drawn from the luminous point, but that it also necessarily gives a motion to all the others which touch it and which oppose its motion. The result is that around each particle there arises a wave of which this particle is a center.

We can make use of these ideas in two different ways On one level, a mathematical representation of the wavelets will serve as the basis for a valuable analytical technique in treating diffraction theory. One can trace the progress of a primary wave past all sorts of apertures and obstacles by summing up the wavelet contributions



Figure 4.1 A segment of a spherical wave

mathematically. On another level, Fig. 4.3 represents a graphical application of the essential ideas and as such

is known as *Huygens's construction*. Thus far we have mercly stated Huygens's principle, without any justification or proof of its validity. As shall see (Chapter 10), Fresnel successfully modified Huygens's principle somewhat in the 1800s. A suf-later on, Kirchhoff showed that the Huggens-Free principle was a direct consequence of the differential wave equation (2.59), thereby putting it on a firm mathe-matical base. That there was a need for a reformulation



Figure 4.2 Distortion of a portion of a war a material of nonuniform thickness.

Huvacas

4.3 The

principle is evident from Fig. 4.3, where we ively only drew hemispherical wavelets.* Had we വി (i) ഗത്ത them as spheres, there would have been a back moving toward the source—something that is not ved. Since this difficulty was taken care of theoreti-ay Fresnel and Kirchhoff, we need not be disturbed erily by ft in fact, we shall overlook it completely when ন হায়) টাৱনা নীৰ্মা

In fact, we share bertook it completely when ing Huygens's construction, which, in the end, is hought of as a highly useful fiction. Huygens's principle fits in rather nicely with our r discussion of the atomic scattering of radiant scatta atom of a material substance that interacts on incident primary wavefront can be regarded as in source of scattered secondary wavelets. Things not quite as clear when we apply the principle to

propagation of light through a vacuum. It is helpful, wever, to keep in mind that at any point in empty of on the primary wavefront there exists both a severying B-field and a time-varying B-field. These

Hecht, Phys. Teach, 18, 149 (1980).

4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction 81

in turn create new fields that move out from the point. In this sense each point on the wavefront is analogous to a physical scattering center.

4.2.2 Snell's Law and the Law of Reflection

The fundamental laws of reflection and refraction can be derived in several different ways: the first approach to be used here is based on Huygens's principle. It should be said, however, that our intention at the moment is as much to elaborate on the use of the method as to arrive at the end results. Huygens's principle will provide a highly useful and fairly simple means of analyzing and visualizing some complex propagation problems, for example, those involving anisotropic media (p. 287) or diffraction (p. 392). Consequently, it is to our advantage to gain some practice in using the technique, even if it is not the most elegant procedure

for deriving the desired laws. Figure 4.4 shows a monochromatic plane wave impinging normally down onto the smooth interface separating two homogeneous transparent media. When ar incident wave comes into contact with the interface, it can be imagined as split into two: we observe one wave reflected upward and another transmitted down-ward. If we consider an incident wavefront Σ_c coinredent with the interface splitting into Σ_{r} and Σ_{r} , both also congruent with the interface, we can utilize Huygens's construction (neglecting the back-waves). Every point on Σ , serves as a source of secondary wave-Lets, which travel more or less upward into the incident medium at a speed v_i . At a time t later, the front will advance a distance v_i and appear as Σ'_i . Similarly, every point on the downward-moving front Σ_i , will serve as a source for wavelets essentially heading down with a source for wavelets essentially heading down with a speed v_i . After a time *t* the transmitted front will appear a distance $v_i t$ below as Σ_i^t .

The process is ongoing, repeating itself with the frequency of the incident wave.* The media are

⁴ This assumes the use of light whose flux density is not so extraor dinarily high that the fields are gigancic. With this assumption the medium will behave linearly, as is most often the case. It constrast, observable harmonics can be generated if the fields are made large enough (Section 14.4).



 $n_i \geq n_i$ (a)

Figure 4.4 A monochromatic plane wave impinging down onto a homogeneous, isotropic medium of index n_i , Σ_i , Σ_i , and Σ_i should homogeneous, actually overlap

atusly overlap. assumed to respond linearly, so the reflected and trans-mitted waves have that same frequency (and period), as do all the secondary wavelets. Taking $n_i > n_i$, it follows that $\ell(v_p > \ell(v_i, \text{ thus } u_i < v_i, and the$ wavelengths (the distances between wavefronts drawn $in consecutive intervals of <math>\tau$) will be such that $\lambda_i > \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_i$, as shown in Fig. 4.4(b). The incoming plane wave is perpendicular to the interface, and symmetry produces both reflected and transmitted plane waves that also travel out from the interface perpendicularly.

Now suppose the incident wave comes in at some other angle, as indicated in Fig. 4.5. Clearly, it sweep across the interface again, essentially splitting into twe waves: one reflected and one refracted. Let's follow the progress of a typical front in Fig. 4.6, envisioning the diagram as if it were a series of anaphots taken if successive intervals of time τ . Start when Σ_i makes otnact with the interface apoint a. At that point, both which lies on both fronts, can be taken as a source of which lies on both fronts, can be taken as a source of the an upwardly emitted wavelet traveling at a pixed wave and a downwardly emitted wavelet traveling at speed v. Now focus on another point, say, b on Σ_i . After a time t, the plane Σ_i will have moved a distant the incident meduum of v(t), so that b then corre-sponds to b'. Presumably, two wavelets will then proped gate out from b' into the incident and transmitted wedle, contributing to the reflected, Σ'_i , and transmit-ted, Σ_i , wavefronts. These wavelets are shown here align a time t_2 , where $\tau = t_1 + t_2$. The rest of the diagraph



Figure 4.5 Reflection and transmission of plane waves

4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction

which be self-explanatory. Figure 4.7 is a somewhat simplified version in which w_i , w_i , and θ_i , as before, are simplified or solutions of w_i and transmission (or widgelion), respectively. Notice that

 $\frac{\sin \theta_i}{\overline{BD}} = \frac{\sin \theta_r}{\overline{AC}} = \frac{\sin \theta_t}{\overline{AE}} = \frac{1}{\overline{AD}}.$ (4.1) townperison with Fig. 4.6, it should be evident that $\overline{BD} = v_i t_i$ $\overline{AC} = v_i t_i$ $\overline{AE} = v_i t_i$

a solution into Eq. (4.1) and canceling t, we have $\frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i}$ (4.2)υ,

vi vi Realises from the first two terms that the angle of intimener equals the angle of reflection, that is. $\theta_1 = \theta_r$.

(4.3)the normalized in the second s Figure 4.6 Reflection and transmission at an interface via Huygens's principle.

83



Figure 4.7 Reflected and transmitted wavefronts at a given instant



This is the very important law of refraction, the physical consequences of which have been studied, at least on record, for over eighteen hundred years. On the basis of some fine observations, Claudius Ptolemy of Alexandria attempted unsuccessfully to divine the expression Kepler nearly succeeded in deriving the law of refrac-tion in his book Supplements to Vitello in 1604. Unfortunately he was misled by some erroneous data compiled carlier by Vitello (ca. 1270). The correct relationship seems to have been arrived at first by Snell* at the University of Leyden and then by the French mathematician Descartes.† In English-speaking courtries Eq. (4.5) is generally referred to as Snell's law Notice that it can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\sin \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i} = n_{ii},$$

(4.6)

where $n_{ii} = n_i/n_i$ is the ratio of the absolute indices of refraction. In other words, it is the relative index of refrac The two metrics is the relation of the two metrics is the relation of the two metrics. It is evident in Fig. 4.6, where $n_{i_1} > |$ (i.e., $n_i > n_i$ and $v_i > v_i$), that $\lambda_i > \lambda_i$, whereas the opposite would be true if $n_{i_1} < 1$.

One feature of the above treatment mcrits some further discussion. It was reasonably assumed that each point on the interface, such as c in Fig. 4.6, coincides with a particular point on each of the incident, reflected. and transmitted waves. In other words, there is a fixed and transmitted waves. In other words, there is a hxed phase relationship between each of the waves at points a, b, c and so forth. As the incident front sweeps across the interface, every point on it in contact with the interface is also a point on both a corresponding reflec-ted front and a corresponding transmitted front. This situation is known as *wavefront continuity*, and it will be * This is the common spelling, although Snel is probably more

[†] For a more detailed history, see Max Herzberger, "Optics from Euclid to Huygens," Appl. Opt. 5, 1383 (1966).



Figure 4.8 The reflection of a wave as the result of scattering-



putified in a more mathematically rigorous treatment in Section 4.3.1. Interestingly, Sommerfeld* has shown that the laws of reflection and refraction (independent of the kind of wave involved) can be derived directly from the requirement of wavefront continuity without

From the requirement of wavefront continuity without intersecases to Huygens's principle, and the solution excessible to Huygens's principle, and the solution excess in depicted in Fig. 4.8. An electromagnetic dis-tracts in depicted in Fig. 4.8. An electromagnetic dis-traction the spacing between the atoms ($d \approx 0.1 \text{ nm}$) sweps atoms in interface. Each atom is driven success-deep and scatters a wavelet. The tilt of the incident sweps for the states the space delay between the scatterior wave and scatter a wave to the into the interest wave termines the phase delay between the scattering of and atom in turn (see Section 10.1.3 for the details). Paeront running from C to D is composed of wavelets that arrive in phase, superimpose, and interfere con-The problem is provided in the problem of the prob Contery, this can happen only for a reflected wave-cont propagating in the one direction such that $\theta_i = \theta_i$. This picture of scattered interfering wavelets is seenially an atomic version of the Huygens-Freenel microinterments. Prociple. Although theoretically all the dipoles throughout the

nmerfeld, Optics, p. 151. See also J. Sein, Am. J. Phys. 50, 130 TASO

4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction 85

medium contribute to the reflected wave, the dominant effect is due to a surface layer only about $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ thick, which Criterio due of a single layer only about p_A units, which is nonetheless typically several thousand atoms deep. Furthermore, the condition that only one beam is reflec-ted is true provided that $\lambda \gg d$; it would not be the case with x-rays where $\lambda = d$, and there several scattered beams actually result; nor is it the case with a diffraction grating, where the separation between scatterers is again comparable to λ , and several reflected and transmitted beams are produced. A similar argument can be made for the scattering process giving rise to the transmitted wave and Snell's law, as Problem 4.11 cstablishes.

4.2.3 Light Rays

The concept of a light ray is one that will be of interest to us throughout our study of optics. A ray is a line drawn in space corresponding to the direction of flow of radiant energy. As such, it is a mathematical device rather than a physical entity. In practice one can produce very narrow beams or pencils of light (e.g., a laserbeam), and we might imagine a ray to be the unattainable limit on the narrowness of such a beam. Bear in mind that in an isstropic madium (i.e., one whose properties are the same in all directions) rays are orthogonal trajectories of same in an intercenting vary are orthogonal trajectories of the wavefronts. That is to say, they are lines normal to the wavefronts at every point of intersection. Evidently, in such a medium a ray is parallel to the propagation vector k. As you night suspect, this is not true in anisotropic sub-stances, which we will consider later (see Section 8.4.1). Within honogeneous isotropic materials, rays will be straight lines, since by symmetry they cannot bend in any pre-ferred direction, there being none. Moreover, because terred direction, there being none. Moreover, because the speed of propagation is identical in all directions within a given medium, the spatial separation between two wavefronts, measured along rays, must be the same everywhere.^{*} Points where a single ray intersects a set G_{-} sourceder. of wavefronts are called corresponding points, for example, A, A', and A" in Fig. 4.9. Evidently the separation in time between any two corresponding points on any two

* When the material is inhomogeneous or when there is more than one medium involved, it will be the *optical path length* (see Section 4.2.4) between the two wavefronts that is the same.

equential wavefronts is identical. In other words, if wavefront Σ is transformed into Σ " after a time t", the distance between corresponding points on any and all rays will be traversed in that same time 1". This will be true even The uncertainty of the second state of the se

If a group of rays is such that we can find a surface that is orthogonal to each and every one of them, they are said to form a normal congruence. For example, the that is rays emanating from a point source are perpendicular to a sphere centered at the source and consequently form a normal congruence. We can now briefly consider an alternative to Huygens's principle that will also allow us to follow the

progress of light through various isotropic media. The basis for this approach is the *theorem of Malus and Dupin* (introduced in 1808 by E. Malus and modified in 1816 by C. Dupin), according to which a group of rays will preserve its normal congruence after any number of reflections and refractions (as in Fig. 4.9). From our present vantage point of the wave theory, this is equivalent to the state ment that rays remain orthogonal to wavefronts throughout all propagation processes in isotropic media. As shown in Problem 4.12, the theorem can be used to derive the law of reflection as well as Snell's law. It is often most convenient to carry out a ray trace through an optical system using the laws of reflection and refraction and then reconstruct the wavefronts. The latter can be accomplished in accord with the above considerations of equal transit times between corresponding points and the orthogonality of the rays and avefron

Figure 4.10 depicts the parallel ray formation con-comitant with a plane wave, where θ_i , θ_i , and θ_i , which-have the exact same meanings as before, are now measured from the normal to the interface. The incident ray and the normal determine a plane known as the **plane of incidence**. Because of the symmetry of the situation we must anticipate that before the selfthe situation, we must anticipate that both the reflected and transmitted rays will be undeflected from that plane. In other words, the respective unit propagation vectors $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i$, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_r$, and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_r$ are coplanar.

In summary, then, the three basic laws of reflection

and refraction are:

- 1. The incident, reflected, and refracted rays all lie in the plane of incidence. 2. $\theta_{\cdot} = \theta_{\cdot}$
- 3. $n_i \sin \theta_i = n_i \sin \theta_i$

These are illustrated rather nicely with a narrow light beam in the photographs of Fig. 4.11. Here, the incideral medium is air (n, = 1.0), and the transmitting medium is glass $(n_i = 1.5)$. Consequently, $n_i < n_i$, and it follows



refitee Figure 4.10 The w ted, and transmittee e and ray representations of an inciden mitted beam.



Secret 4.11 Refraction at various angles of incidence. (Photos cour say PSSC College Physics, D. C. Heath & Co., 1968.)

law that $\sin \theta_{1} > \sin \theta_{2}$. Since both angles, $\theta_{\rm end}$ $\theta_{\rm r}$, vary between 0° and 90°, a region over which thesine function is smoothly rising, it can be concluded that $\theta_i > \theta_i$. Roys entering a higher-index medium from a constraints and the normal and vice versa. This is evident in the figure. Notice that the bottom for is cut circular so that the transmitted beam in the glass always lies along a radius and is there-1020

within the glass always lies along a radius and is there-

Explore to the lower surface in every case. If a ray formul to an interface, $\theta_i = \theta_i$, and it sails right the incident beam in each portion of Fig. 4.11 is metrow and sharp, and the reflected beam is equally will genned. Accordingly, the process is known as Specular reflection (from the word for a common min-bley in ancient times, speculum). In this case, as in all(a), the reflecting surface is smooth, or more edy, any irregularities in it are small compared wavelength.^{*} In contrast, the diffuse reflection

ace ridges and valleys are small compared with λ , velets will still interfere constructively in only one dis

4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction 87

in Fig. 4.12(b) occurs when the surface is relatively rough. For example, "nonreflecting" glass used to cover pictures is actually glass whose surface is roughened so pictures is actually giass whose surface is foughened so that it reflection follows: The law of reflection holds exactly over any region that is small enough to be considered smooth. These two forms of reflection are extremes; a whole range of intermediate behavior is possible. Thus, although the paper of this page was manufactured deliberately to be a lairly diffuse scat-terer, the cover of the book reflects in a manner that is somewhere between diffuse and sneedlar. somewhere between diffuse and specular.

Let û, be a unit vector normal to the interface pointing in the direction from the incident to the transmitting medium (Fig. 4.13). As you will have the opportunity to prove in Problem 4.13, the first and third basic laws can be combined in the form of a vector refraction equation:

$$n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) = n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) \qquad (4.7)$$
 or, alternatively,

 $n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = (n_i \cos \theta_i - n_i \cos \theta_i) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$ (4*,*8)

4.2.4 Fermat's Principle

The laws of reflection and refraction, and indeed the manner in which light propagates in general, can be viewed from an entirely different and intriguing per-spective afforded us by **Fermat's principle**. The ideas that will unfold presently have had a tremendous influence on the development of physical thought in and beyond the study of classical optics. Apart from its implications in quantum optics (Section 13.6, p. 552), Fermat's principle provides us with an insightful and highly useful way of appreciating and anticipating the

highly useful way of appreciating and anticipating the behavior of light. Hero of Alexandria, who lived some time between 150.6.c and 250.4.b, was the first to set forth what has since become known as a *variational principle*. In his formulation of the law of reflection, he asserted that the path actually taken by light in going from some point S to a foint P via a reflecting surface was ithe shortest possible one. This can be seen rather easily in Fig. 4.14, which depicts a projet server. Semitting a number of rays that are a point source S emitting a number of rays that





Figure 4.15 Fermat's principle applied to refraction

Inasmuch as $t = (OPL)/t_0$, we can restate Fermat's principle: light, in going from points S to P, Irauerses the route having the smallest optical path length. Accordingly, when light rays from the Sun pass through the in-



Figure 4.16 A ray propagating through a layered material.

homogeneous atmosphere of the Earth, as shown Fig. 4.17(a), they bend so as to traverse the **lower**, den-regions as abruptly as **possible**, thus minimizing OPL Frgo, one can still see the Sun after it has actual passed below the horizon. In the same way, a pro-viewed at a glancing angle, as in Fig. 4.17(b), will appo-to reflect the environs as if it were covered with a sta-of water. The air near the roadway will be warmerto reflect the environs as if it were covered with a ab-of water. The air near the roadway will be warmer and less dense than that farther above it. Rays will be upward, taking the shortest optical path, and in so don they will appear to be reflected from a mirrored surfa-The effect is particularly easy to see on long moders highways. The only requirement is that you look at the road at near glancing incidence, because the rays bend yerv eradually. very gradually.

very gradually. The original statement of Fermat's principle of base time has some serious failings and is, as we shall see, in need of alteration. To that end, recall that if we have a function, say f(x), we can determine the specific value of the variable x that causes f(x) to have a stationary value by setting d/dx = 0 and solving for x. By a station-ary value we mean one for which the slope of f(x) versus x is zero, or counselently where the function have

ary value we mean one for which the slope of f(x) versus x is zero or equivalently where the function has a maximum \uparrow , minimum \downarrow , or a point of inflection with a horizontal tangent. Fermat's principle in its modern form reads: a ligat ray in going from point S to point B must traverse an optical path length that is stationary unit respect to cariations of that path. In other words, the OPL for the true trajectory will equal, to a first approximation, the OPL of path immediately adjacent to it.^{*} Thus there will be many curves neighboring the actual care which would be the sevel that immediately adjacent to it.* Thus there will be namy curves neighboring the actual one, which would take nearly the same time for the light to raverse. This late point makes it possible to begin to understand how light manages to be so clever in its meanderings. Suppor-that we have a beam of light advancing through a homogeneous isotropic medium so that a ray pass from points 5 to *P*. Atoms within the material are drived by the incident disturbance, and they reradiate in all directions. Cenerally, awaletes origination in the by the interest of the second balance of the second secon

* The first derivative of the OPL vanishes in its Taylor series expansion, since the path is stationary.

rent position ay from sur Straight path to sun Cool air 教 or air

Finan 4.17 The bending of rays through inhom

arrive nearly in phase and reinforce each other (see Senior 7.1). Wavelets taking other paths will arrive at Poil of phase and will therefore tend to cancel each other. Phase being the case, energy will effectively propa-tion and the senior of the maximum, examine Fig. 4.18, which depicts a segment be hollow three-dimensional ellipsoidal mirror. If the senior of the ellipsoid of the senior of the senior of the senior of the ellipsoid senior of the senior of the senior of the ellipsoid senior of the senior of the senior of the senior of the ellipsoid senior of the senior of the senior of the ellipsoid the ellipsoid senior of the ellipsoid senior sen regular, regardless of where on the perimeter Q hap-info be. It is also a geometrical property of the ellipse $d_i = \theta_i$ for any location of Q. All optical paths from d^2P via a reflection are therefore precisely equal— bine is a minimum, and the OPL is clearly stationary thin respect to variations **Results** begins **Found** at the standard statistical states begins **Found** at the state of the standard states and at the states to variations **Results** begins **Found** at the state of the states the states **Found** at the state of the states the states **Found** at the states **t** of the states **t** o With respect to variations. And the OFL is clearly stationary in the respect to variations. Rays leaving S and striking the pitror will arrive at the focus P. From another response we have the focus and the statistical statistical bulk scattered by electrons in the mirrored surface such that the wavelets will substantially reinforce each difference in P_{in} is the statistical sta

and have the same phase. In any case, if a plane was tangent to the ellipse at Q, the exact same

4.2 The Laws of Reflection and Refraction

91









Figure 4.18 Reflection off an ellipsoidal surface. Observe the reflec-tion of waves using a frying part filled with water. Even though these are usually circular it is well worth playing with. (Photo courtesy PSSC College Physics, D. C. Heath & Co., 1968.)

path SOP traversed by a ray would then be a relative path SQP traversed by a ray would then be a relative minimum. At the other extreme, if the mirrored surface conformed to a curve lying within the ellipse, like the dashed one shown, that same ray along SQP would now negotiate a relative maximum OPL. This is true even though other unused paths (where $\theta, \neq \theta$) would actually be shorter (i.e., apart from inadimisable curved paths). Thus in all cases the rays travel a stationary OPL in accord with the reformulated Fermat's unionibus in accord with the reformulated Fermat's principle. Note that since the principle speaks only about the path and not the direction along it, a ray going from P to Swill trace the same route as one from S to P. This is

wini trace the same route as one root 5 to F. Into is the very useful principle of romerikility. Fermat's achievement stimulated a great deal of effort to supersede Newton's laws of mechanics with a similar variational formulation. The work of many men, not-ably Pierre de Maupertuis (1698–1759) and Leonhard ably Pierre de Maupertuis (1698-1759) and Leonhard Euler, finally led to the mechanics of Joseph Louis Lagrange (1736-1813) and hence to the principle of Josef action, formulated by William Rowan Hamilton (1805-1865). The striking similarity between the principles of Fermat and Hamilton played an important part in Schrödinger's development of quantum mechanics. In 1942 Richard Phillips Feynman (h. 1918) showed that quantum mechanics can be fashioned in an alternative uw using a mistional aproach. The orthuning senquantum metantics can be assumed an an internative way using a variational approach. The continuing evo-lution of variational principles brings us back to optics via the modern formalism of quantum optics (see Chapter 13).

Fermat's principle is not so much a computational device as it is a concise way of thinking about the propa-gation of light. It is a statement about the grand scheme gation of light. It is a statement about the grand scheme of things without any concern for the contributing ns, and as such it will yield insights under a mechanis myriad of circumstances.

4.3 THE ELECTROMAGNETIC APPROACH

Thus far we have been able to deduce the laws of reflection and refraction using three different approaches: Huygens's principle, the theorem of Malus and Dupin, and Fermat's principle. Each yields a distinctive and valuable point of view. Yet another and even more powerful approach is provided by the electromagnetic

theory of light. Unlike the previous techniques, which say nothing about the incident, reflected, and tran-mitted radiant flux densities (i.e., I_1 , I_2 , I_3) respectively the electromagnetic theory treats these within the framework of a far more complete description

The body of information that forms the subject is optics has accrued over many centuries. As our know, edge of the physical universe becomes more extensive the concomitant theoretical descriptions must becom-ever more encompassing. This, quite generally, bring with it an increased complexity. And so, rather than using the formidable mathematical machinery of the quantum theory of light, we will often avail ourselve of the simpler insights of simpler times (e.g., Huygen and Fermat's principles). Thus even though we are no going to develop another and more extensive descrip-tions of reflection and refraction, we will not put asing these soften methods. In fact, throughout this and the concomitant theoretical descriptions must be those earlier methods. In fact, throughout this stu we shall use the simplest technique that can yi sufficiently accurate results for our particular purpo

4.3.1 Waves at an Interface

as

and

Suppose that the incident monochromatic lightwave is planar, so that it has the form

 $\mathbf{E}_{i} = \mathbf{E}_{0i} \exp \left[i(\mathbf{k}_{i}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}t)\right]$ or, more simply,

(4.11

(4.12)

(4.18)

(5.26

$$\mathbf{E}_{t} = \mathbf{E}_{0} \cos{(\mathbf{k}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_{t})},$$

Assume that E_{0} , is constant in time, that is, the v ve is Assume that Eq. is constant in child, and in Chapter 8 that linearly or plane polarized. We'll find in Chapter 8 that threarly or plane polarized. We'll find in Chapter 9 data any form of light can be represented by two orthogonal linearly polarized waves, so that this doesn't actually represent a restriction. Note that just as the origin for time, t = 0, is arbitrary, so too is the origin O in space where r = 0. Thus, making no assumptions about the directions, frequencies, wavelengths, phases, or ampli-tudes, we can write the reflected and transmitted waves as

E, =	$\mathbf{E}_{0r}\cos\left(\mathbf{k}_{r}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{r}l+\varepsilon_{r}\right)$	
F	$\mathbf{F}_{\rm exc} \cos \left(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{r} - \omega t + \mathbf{s} \right)$	

Here e, and e, are phase constants relative to E, and are moduced because the position of the origin is not mine. Faure 4.19 depicts the waves in the vicinity of the phase insertion between two homogeneous lossless deleting media of indices n, and n,. The arc equirements that must be met by the fields mine field intensity E that is tangent to the interface must be continuous across it (the same is true for H). In other words, the total tangential that the there or before a referred to as the boundary conditions. Specifically one of these is that the component of the must be continuous across it (the same is true for H). In other words, the total tangential component of E on one side of the surface must equal that on the other profilm 4.29. Thus since do is, is the unit vector normal to ensure the surface must equal that on the other to define the surface the surface the direction of the electric to be more the surface the direction of the electric **Problem 4.22**). Allow since u_n is the unit vector normal route interface, regardless of the direction of the electric field, within the wavefront, the cross-product of it with \hat{u}_n will be perpendicular to \hat{u}_n and therefore tangent the interface. Hence e interface. Hence

 $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \times \mathbf{E}_r + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \times \mathbf{E}_r = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \times \mathbf{E}_r$

(4.15)

(4.18)

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \times \mathbf{E}_{0i} \cos \left(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_i t \right)$$

 $+\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{r}\times\mathbf{E}_{or}\cos\left(\mathbf{k}_{r}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{r}t+\varepsilon_{r}\right)$ $= \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \times \mathbf{E}_{0t} \cos{(\mathbf{k}_t \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_t t + \varepsilon_t)}.$ (4.16)

This relationship must obtain at any instant in time and say, point on the interface (y = b). Consequently, E., E., and E. must have precisely the same functional impendence on the variables t and r, which means that

```
(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_i t)|_{y=b} = (\mathbf{k}_r \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_r t + \varepsilon_r)|_{y=b}
```

```
\approx (\mathbf{k}_t \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_t t + \varepsilon_t)|_{x=b}
                                                                      (1.17)
```

with this as the case, the cosines in Eq. (4.16) cancel, ing an expression independent of **1** and r, as indeed to be. Inasmuch as this has to be true for all values e, the coefficients of # must be equal, to wit

$\omega_1 = \omega_r = \omega_r$

call that the electrons within the media are underfoing (linear) forced vibrations at the frequency of the under wave. Clearly, whatever light is scattered has that same frequency. Furthermore,

 $(\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r})|_{y=b} = (\mathbf{k}_r \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_r)|_{y=b}$

 $= (\mathbf{k}_t \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_t)|_{y=b},$ (4.19)





Figure 4.19 Plane waves incident on the boundary between two homogeneous, isotropic, lossless dielectric media.

wherein r terminates on the interface. The values of sr and e_i correspond to a given position of O, and thus they allow the relation to be valid regardless of that location. (For example, the origin might be chosen such that r was perpendicular to k; but not to k, or k.) From the first two terms we obtain

$[(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_r) \cdot \mathbf{r}]_{\mathbf{y} = b} = \varepsilon_r.$

Recalling Eq. (2.42), this expression simply says that the Recamp det (1.2.3), this type shows mapping the tensor of the second start a plane (which is of course the interface) perpendicular to the vector $(k_1 - k_1)$. To phrase it slightly differently, $(k_1 - k_2)$ is parallel to \hat{u}_n . Notice, however, that since the incident and reflected waves are in the same medium, $k_i = k_r$. From the fact that $(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_r)$ has no component in the plane of the interface, that is, $\mathbf{\hat{b}}_n \times (\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_r) = 0$, we conclude that

$k_1 \sin \theta_1 = k_2 \sin \theta_1$

hence we have the law of reflection, that is $\theta_i = \theta_r$

Furthermore, since $(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_r)$ is parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$ all three vectors, k_i , k_v , and \hat{u}_n , are in the same plane, the plane of incidence. Again, from Eq. (4.19) we obtain

$$[(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}]_{y=b} = \varepsilon_i, \qquad (4.21)$$

and therefore $(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_i)$ is also normal to the interface.

to get

Thus \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{k}_r , \mathbf{k}_t , and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$ are all coplanar. As before, the tangential components of \mathbf{k}_i and \mathbf{k}_t must be equal, and consequently

 $k_i\,\sin\,\theta_i=k_i\,\sin\,\theta_i,\qquad (4.22)$ But because $\omega_i=\omega_i,$ we can multiply both sides by e/ω_i

$n_i \sin \theta_i = n_i \sin \theta_i$

which is Snell's law. Finally, if we had chosen the origin O to be in the interface, it is evident from Eqs. (4.20) and (4.21) that e_r and e_r would both have been zero. That arrangement, although not as instructive, is certainly simpler, and we'll use it from here on.

4.3.2 Derivation of the Fresnel Equations

We have just found the relationship that exists among the phases of $E_{i}(r_{i}, t), E_{i}(r_{i}, t)$ and $E_{i}(r_{i}, t)$ at the boundary. There is still an interdependence shared by the amplitudes $E_{0\,i}, E_{0\,r}$, and $E_{0\,i}$, which can now be evaluated. To that end, suppose that a plane monochromatic wave is incident on the planar surface separating two isotropic media. Whatever the polarization of the wave, we shall resolve its E_{-} and B_{-} fields into components parallel and perpendicular to the plane of incidence and treat these constituents separately.

Cose I: E perpendicular to the plane of incidence. We now assume that E is perpendicular to the plane of incidence and that B is parallel to it (Fig. 4.20). Recall that E = vB, so that

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{E} = v \mathbf{B}$$
 (4.23)
and, of course,

 $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$

(i.e., E, B, and the unit propagation vector \hat{k} form a right-handed system). Again making use of the continuity of the tangential components of the E-field, we have at the boundary at any time and any point

 $\mathbf{E}_{0i} + \mathbf{E}_{0i} = \mathbf{E}_{0i}, \qquad (4.25)$

(4.24)

where the cosines cancel. Realize that the field vectors

as shown really ought to be envisioned at y = 0 (i.e. the surface), from which they have been displaced the sake of clarity. Note too that although E, and must be normal to the plane of incidence by symmewe are guassing that they point outward at the interwhen E, does. The directions of the B-fields then for from Eq. (423).

when E_{α} does. The directions of the B-fields then following from Eq. (4.23). We will need to invoke another of the bound conditions in order to get one more equation. To presence of material substances that become electrical polarized by the wave has a definite effect on the filconfiguration. Thus, although the tangential conponent of **E** is continuous across the boundary, its nimal component is not. Instead the normal componenof the product «**E** is the same on either side of the



Figure 4.20 An incoming wave whose E-field is normal to the plane

Interface. Similarly, the normal component of **B** is conmetrops, as is the tangential component of $\mu^{-1}\mathbf{B}$. Here interface of the two media appears via their perbedies μ and μ_{τ} . This boundary condition will be modeler to use, particularly as applied to reflection the ins surface of a conductor." Thus the continuity in langential component of \mathbf{B}/μ requires that

$$-\frac{\mathbf{B}_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\cos\theta_{i}+\frac{\mathbf{B}_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\cos\theta_{r}=-\frac{\mathbf{B}_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\cos\theta_{i},\qquad(4.26)$$

where the left and right sides are the total magnitudes of B/μ parallel to the interface in the incident and trajsmitting media, respectively. The positive direction is that of increasing x, so that the components of **B**, and B_{\perp} appear with minus signs. From Eq. (4.23) we have $B_{\perp} = E_{\perp}/v_{\perp}$, (4.27)

 $B_{r} = E_{r}/v_{r}$, (1.28) $B_{h} = E_{r}/v_{r}$, (1.29) $B_{h} = E_{r}/v_{h}$, (1.29)

 $B_t = E_t / v_t. \qquad (4.29)$ Thus since $v_t = v_t$ and $\theta_t = \theta_t$, Eq. (4.26) can be written

$$\frac{1}{\mu_t v_t} (E_t - E_t) \cos \theta_t = \frac{1}{\mu_t v_t} E_t \cos \theta_t.$$

Making use of Eqs. (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14) and rememiveraging that the cosines therein equal one another y = 0, we obtain

```
\frac{n_t}{\mu_t}(E_{0t} - E_{0r})\cos\theta_t = \frac{n_t}{\mu_t}E_{0r}\cos\theta_t. (4.31)
Simblined with Eq. (4.25), this yields
```

$$\left(\frac{\underline{E}_{0t}}{\underline{E}_{0t}}\right)_{\perp} = \frac{\frac{n_t}{\mu_s}\cos\theta_t - \frac{n_t}{\mu_s}\cos\theta_t}{\frac{n_t}{\mu_s}\cos\theta_t + \frac{n_t}{\mu_s}\cos\theta_t}$$
(4.52)

 $H = \mu^{-1}B.$ [A1.14]

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach 95

and

and

(4.30)

$$\left(\frac{E_{\alpha_i}}{E_{\alpha_i}}\right)_{\perp} = \frac{2 \frac{n_i}{n_i} \cos \theta_i}{\frac{n_i}{\mu_i} \cos \theta_i + \frac{n_i}{\mu_i} \cos \theta_i}.$$
 (4.33)

The \perp subscript serves as a reminder that we are dealing with the case in which **E** is perpendicular to the plane of incidence. These two expressions, which are completely general statements applying to any linear, isotropic, homogeneous media, are two of the **Freshel equations**. Quite often one deals with dielectrics for which $\mu_i \approx$ $\mu_i = \mu_{0i}$ consequently the most common form of these equations is simply

$$r_{\perp} = \left(\frac{E_{\rm tr}}{E_{\rm 0i}}\right)_{\perp} - \frac{n_{\rm t}\cos\theta_{\rm t} - n_{\rm t}\cos\theta_{\rm t}}{n_{\rm t}\cos\theta_{\rm t} + n_{\rm t}\cos\theta_{\rm t}} \tag{4.34}$$

$$r_{\perp} = \left(\frac{E_{0i}}{E_{0i}}\right)_{\perp} = \frac{2\pi_i \cos \theta_i}{\pi_i \cos \theta_i + \pi_i \cos \theta_i}.$$
 (4.32)

Here r_{\perp} denotes the amplitude reflection coefficient, and t_{\perp} is the amplitude transmission coefficient.

Case 2.E parallel to the plane of incidence. A similar pair of equations can be derived when the incoming E-field les in the plane of incidence, as shown in Fig. 4.21. Continuity of the tangential components of E on either side of the boundary leads to

$$E_{0i} \cos \theta_i - E_{0i} \cos \theta_i = E_{0i} \cos \theta_i.$$
 (4.36)
much the same way as before, continuity of the

In much the same way as before, continuity of the tangential components of B/μ yields

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\tau}v_{\tau}}E_{0\tau} + \frac{1}{\mu_{\tau}v_{\tau}}E_{0\tau} = \frac{1}{\mu_{\tau}v_{\tau}}E_{0\tau}.$$
 (4.37)

Using the fact that $\mu_i = \mu_r$ and $\theta_r = \theta_r$, we can combine these formulas to obtain two more of the Fresnel equations:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}} = \left(\frac{E_{ur}}{E_{ui}}\right)_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{i}} - \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mu_{\mathbf{i}}}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{i}}}{\frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mu_{\mathbf{i}}}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{i}}}$$
(4.38)

and

$$t_{i} = \left(\frac{E_{0i}}{E_{0i}}\right)_{||} = \frac{2 \frac{n_{i}}{\mu_{i}} \cos \theta_{i}}{\frac{n_{i}}{\mu_{i}} \cos \theta_{i} + \frac{n_{i}}{\mu_{i}} \cos \theta_{i}}.$$

When both media forming the interface are dielectrics, the amplitude coefficients become

> $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{ij}\cos\theta_{i} - n_{ij}\cos\theta_{i}}{1 - n_{ij}\cos\theta_{ij}}$ (4.40) $n_i \cos \theta$, $n_i \cos \theta$.

(1.39)



Figure 4.21 An incoming wave whose E-field is in the plane of incidence.

and $2n_i \cos \theta_i$ $l_{i} = \frac{n_{i}\cos\theta_{i}}{n_{i}\cos\theta_{i} + n_{i}\cos\theta_{i}}$ One further notational simplification can be mad availing ourselves of Snell's law, whereupon the Frei equations for dielectric media become (Proble

$r_{\rm L} =$	$\frac{\sin\left(\theta_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)}{\sin\left(\theta_{i}+\theta_{i}\right)}$
rj =	$+\frac{\tan\left(\theta_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)}{\tan\left(\theta_{i}+\theta_{i}\right)}$
£. =	$+\frac{2\sin\theta_i\cos\theta_i}{\sin(\theta_i+\theta_t)}$
<i>t</i> _c =	$+\frac{2\sin\theta_i\cos\theta_i}{\sin(\theta_i+\theta_i)\cos(\theta_i-\theta_i)}^*$

A note of caution must be introduced before we may on to examine the considerable significance of the pri-ceding calculation. Bear in mind that the directions of more precisely, the phases) of the fields in Figs. 43 and 4.21 were selected rather arbitrarily. For example in Fig. 4.20 we could have has do be reversed as well. Had we done that, the sign of $r_{\rm a}$ would have turned out to be positive, leaving the other amplitude coefficients unchanged. The signs appearing in Eq. (4.42) through (4.45), in this case positive, except 10 the first, correspond to the particular set of field direc-tions selected. The minus sign, as we will see, just mean that we didn't guess correctly concerning E, in Fig. 4.20. Nonetheless, be aware that the literature is not standari-ized, and all possible sign variations have been labeled react, and all possible sign variations have been labeled Fremel equations—to avoid confusion they must be related to the specific field directions from which they were derived

4.3.3 Interpretation of the Fresnel Equations

This section is devoted to an examination of the physi implications of the Fresnel equations. In particular are interested in determining the fractional amplitude and flux densities that are reflected and refracted. addition we shall be concerned with any possible phase that might be incurred in the process.

amulture Coefficients

12.000

14.63

which examine the form of the amplitude this over the entire range of θ_i values. At nearly incidence ($\theta_i = 0$) the tangents in Eq. (4.43) are may equal to sines, in which case ess

$$[r_{ij}]_{\theta_i=0} = [-r_{\perp}]_{\theta_i=0} = \left[\frac{\sin\left(\theta_l - \theta_l\right)}{\sin\left(\theta_l + \theta_l\right)}\right]_{\theta_i=0}$$

will come back to the physical significance of the number of the second se

 $r_{11,n,\dots} = [-r_{\lambda}]_{\theta_{1}=0} = \left[\frac{n_{t}\cos\theta_{t} - n_{t}\cos\theta_{t}}{n_{t}\cos\theta_{t} + n_{t}\cos\theta_{t}}\right]_{\theta_{1}=0},$ (4.46)

with hollows as well from Eqs. (4.34) and (4.40). In the first, as θ_i goes to 0, $\cos \theta_i$ and $\cos \theta_i$ both approach are and consequently

 $[\tau_{ij}]_{0,i=0} = [-\tau_{2}]_{0,i=0} = \frac{n_{i} - n_{i}}{n_{i} + n_{i}}.$ (4.47)

Thus, for example, at an air $(n_i = 1)$ glass $(n_i = 1.5)$ interface at nearly normal incidence, the reflection

interface at nearly normal incidence, the reflection cefficients equal =0.2. When $n_i > n_i$ it follows from Snell's law that $\theta_i > \theta_i$, and n_i is negative for all values of θ_i (Fig. 4.22). In curves, is negative for all values of θ_i (Fig. 4.22). In curves, it is negative to all values of θ_i (Fig. 4.22). In curves, it is not possible at $\theta_i = 0$ and decreases gradually until it equals zero when $(\theta_i + \theta_i) = 90^\circ$, since $n2/\pi/2$ is infinite. The particular value of the incident by which this occurs is denoted by θ_i and is is defined to as the polarization angle (see Section 8.6.1).

spitto as the polarization angle (see Section 8.6.1). Duccases beyond θ_{μ} , τ_{1} becomes progressively near figative, reaching -1.0 at 90°. If you place a angle there of glass, a microscope slide, on this page and look straight down into it ($\theta_{1} = 0$), the region mutati the glass will seem decidedly grayer than the rest of the paper, because the slide will reflect at both biline faces, and the light reaching and returning from the glas will be diminished appreciably. Now hold the slide r far your eye and again view the page through it a you slit it, increasing θ_{1} . The amount of light reflect to see

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach 97



Figure 4.22. The amplitude coefficients of reflection and transmission as a function of incident angle. These correspond to externa reflection $n_i > n_i$ at an air-glass interface $(n_n = 1, 5)$.

the page through the glass. When $\theta_i \approx 90^\circ$ the slide will look like a perfect mirror as the reflection coefficients (Fig. 4.22) go to -1.0. Even a rather poor surface, such the state got of this book, will be mirrorlike at glancing incidence. Hold the book horizontally at the level of the middle of your eye and face a bright light you will see the source reflected rather nicely in the cover. This suggests that even x-rays could be mirror-reflected at

glancing incidence (p. 210), and modern x-ray tele-scopes are based on that very fact. At normal incidence Eqs. (4.35) and (4.41) lead rather straightforwardly to

$$\label{eq:last} \begin{split} [t_l]_{n=0} &= \lceil t_1 \rceil_{n=k} = -\frac{2n_n}{n_n+n_n}, \qquad (4.48) \end{split}$$
 It will be shown in Problem 4.24 that the expression
$$t_{\pm} + (-r_{\pm}) = 1 \qquad (4.49) \end{split}$$

 $t_1 + r_1 = 1$

(4.50)

holds for all θ_i , whereas

 $t_1 + t_1 = 1$ (4.50) is true only at normal incidence. The foregoing discussion, for the most part, was restricted to the case of external reflection (i.e., $n > n_1$). The opposite situation of internal reflection, in which the incident medium is the more dense $(n_i > n_i)$, is of interest as well. In that instance $\theta_i > \theta_i$, and τ_1 , as described by Eq. (4.42), will always be positive. Figure 4.23 shows that τ_1 increases from its initial value (4.47) at $\theta_i = 0$, reaching +1 at what is called the critical angle, θ_i . Specifically, θ_i is the special value of the incident angle for which $\theta_i = n_i^2$. Likewise, r_i starts off nega-cively (4.47) at $\theta_i = 0$ and thereafter increases, reaching +1 at $\theta_i = \theta_i$, ras is evident from the Fresnel equation $equile \theta_j^2$. It is left for Problem 4.34 to show that the polarization angle θ_j^2 , and θ_j for internal and external polarization angles θ_{μ}^{*} and θ_{μ} for internal and external reflection at the interface between the same media are simply the complements of each other. We will return to internal reflection in Section 4.3.4, where it will be shown that r_{\perp} and r_{\parallel} are complex quantities for $\theta_i > \theta_c$

ii) Phase Shiffs

It should be evident from Eq. (4.42) that r_{\perp} is negative regardless of θ_i when $n_i > n_i$. Yet we saw earlier that had we chosen $[\mathbf{E}_r]_{\perp}$ in Fig. 4.20 to be in the opposite direction, the first Fresnel equation (4.42) would have our cuoid, the first present equation (4.4.2) would have changed signs, causing r_{i} to be come a positive quantity. Thus the sign of r_{i} is associated with the relative direc-tions of $[E_{0,i}]_{i}$, and $[E_{0,j}]_{i}$. Bear in mind that a reversal of $[E_{0,j}]_{i}$ is tantamount to introducing a phase shift, $\Delta \varphi_{\perp}$, of π radians into $[E_{1,j}]_{i}$. Hence at the boundary $[E_{0,j}]_{i}$ and $[E_{0,j}]_{i}$, will be antiparallel and therefore π out of phase with each other, as indicated by the negative value of r. When we consider components normal to value of r_{\perp} . When we consider components normal to the plane of incidence, there is no confusion as to the plane of meteric, there is no contain as to whether two fields are in phase or π radians out of phase: if parallel, they're in phase; if antiparallel, they're π out of phase. In summary, then, the component of the electric field normal to the plane of incidence undergoes a phase shift of π radians upon reflection when the incident medium has a lower index than the transmitting medium.



Figure 4.23 The amplitude coefficients of reflection as a function of incident angle. These correspond to internal reflection $n_c < n_{\rm H}$ an air-glass interface $(n_{\rm H}=1/1.5)$.

Similarly, t_{\perp} and t_{\parallel} are always positive and $\Delta \varphi = 0$. Furthermore, when $n_1 > n_2$ no phase shift in the normal component results on reflection, that is, $\Delta \phi_{\perp} = 0$ so long =

 $\theta_i < \theta_c$. Things are a bit less obvious when we deal with \mathbb{R} Things are a bit less obvious when we used with test $[E_1]_1$, and $[E_1]_2$. It now becomes necessary to define more explicitly what is meant by in phase, since the field vectors are coplanar but generally not colinear. The field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions were chosen in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21 spin field directions that if you looked down any one of the propagat that it you looked down any one of the propagation vectors toward the direction from which the light win-coming, **E**, **B**, and **k** would appear to have the safe relative orientation whether the ray was incident. repre-ted, or transmitted. We can use this as the required condition for two **E** fields to be in phase. Equivalent but more simply, two fields in the incident plane ar-phase if their y-components are parallel and are out of phase

omponents are antiparallel. Notice that when two if E_0 components are antiparallel. Notice that when two Erfalds are out of phase so too are their associated B-figlids and vice versa. With this definition we need onlylook at the vectors normal to the plane of incidence, which extra the vectors normal to the plane of incidence, which extra the vectors normal to the relative phase which extra the vectors in the incidence. whether they be E or B, to determine the relative phase of the accompanying fields in the incident plane. Thus he fig. 424(a) E, and E, are in phase, as are B, and B, whether he he sease E, and E, are out of phase, along with B, and B, Similarly, in Fig. 4.24(b) E, E, E, and E, are in phase, as are B, B, and B. Now, the amplitude reflection coefficient for the parallel component is given by



(4.51)

(4.52)



Future 4.24 Field orientations and phase shifts.

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach <u>9</u>9

(4.53)

and for $n_i > n_i$ when

$(\theta_i + \theta_t) > \pi/2.$

Thus when $n_i < n_i$, $[\mathbf{E}_0,]_{\parallel}$ and $[\mathbf{E}_{0i}]_{\parallel}$ will be in phase $(\Delta \varphi_{\parallel} = 0)$ until $\theta_i = \theta_p$ and out of phase by π radians thereafter. The transition is not actually discontinuous. In relation T internation I = 0, for contrast, for internal reflection τ_i is negative until θ'_p , which means that $\Delta \varphi_i = 0$. Record θ'_p to θ_c , τ_i is positive and $\Delta \varphi_i = 0$. Beyond θ_c , τ_j becomes complex, and $\Delta \varphi_i$ gradually increases to π . at $\theta_{.} = 90^{\circ}$

at $\theta_i = 90^\circ$. Figure 4.25, which summarizes these conclusions, will be of continued use to us. The actual functional form of $\Delta \phi_{\perp}$ and $\Delta \phi_{\perp}$ for internal reflection in the region where $\theta_i > \theta_i$ can be found in the literature.^{*} but the curves depicted here will suffice for our purposes. Figure 4.25(e) is a plot of the relative phase shift between the parallel and perpendicular components. It has its Figure 4.20(6) is a pict of the relative phase shift obtained in the parallel and perpendicular components, that is, $\Delta \phi_{\rm J} - \Delta \phi_{\perp}$. It is included here because it will be useful later on (e.g., when we consider polarization effects). Finally, many of the essential features of this discussion are illustrated in Figs. 4.26 and 4.27. The amplitudes of the reflected vectors are in accord with those of Figs. 4.22 and 4.23 (for an air-glass interface), and the phase shifts agree with those of Fig. 4.25. Many of these conclusions can be verified with the

simples experimental equipment, namely, two linear polarizers, a piece of glass, and a small source, such as a flashlight or high-intensity lamp. By placing one polarizer in front of the source (at 45° to the plane of point in the second part of the second polarizer of the second polarizer in the second polarizer if its transmission axis is parallel to the plane of incidence. In comparison, at near-glancing incidence the reflected beam will vanish when the axes of the two polarizers are almost normal to each other.

iii) Reflectance and Transmittance

Consider a circular beam of light incident on a surface, as shown in Figs 4.28, such that there is an illuminated spot of area A. Recall that the power per unit area

* Born and Wolf, Principles of Optics, p. 49.



Figure 4.25 Phase shifts for the parallel and perpendicular com-ponents of the E-field corresponding to internal and external reflection.

crossing a surface in vacuum whose normal is parallel to S, the Poynting vector, is given by $\mathbf{S} = c^2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 \mathbf{E} \neq \mathbf{B}.$ Furthermore, the radiant flux density (W/m2) or irratiance is

 $I = \langle S \rangle = \frac{\epsilon \epsilon_0}{2} E_0^2.$

[3]\$2]

This is the average energy per unit time crossing a unit area normal to 5 (in isotropic media 5 is parallel to indicent, reflected, and transmitted flux densitys reflected, and transmitted beams are, respectively. The cross-sectional areas of the incident reflected, and transmitted beams are, respectively, the cross A, cos θ , and A cos θ . Accordingly, the indicent power is $I_A \cos \theta$; this is the energy per un-power arriving on the surface over A. Similarly, $I_A \cos \theta$, is the power being transmitted through A, M_{ac} define the reflected beam, and $I_A \cos \theta$, is the power being transmitted through A, M_{ac} define the reflectance R to be the ratio of the reflect M_{ac} power (or flux) to the incident power:

$$R = \frac{I_r \cos \theta_r}{I_i \cos \theta_i} = \frac{I_r}{I_i}.$$

In the same way, the **transmittance** T is defined as the ratio of the transmitted to the incident flux and is given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{I_t \cos \theta_t}{I_t \cos \theta_t} \qquad (s \le t)$$

The quotient I_t/I_t equals $(v_t e_t E_{u_t}^2/2)/(v_t e_t E_{u_t}^2/2)$, and since the incident and reflected waves are in the same medium, $v_t = v_t$, $e_t = e_t$, and

$$R = \left(\frac{E_{0r}}{E_{m}}\right)^2 = r^2.$$

In like fashion (assuming
$$\mu_i = \mu_i = \mu_0$$
),

$$T = \frac{n_i \cos \theta_i}{2} \left(\frac{E_{0i}}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{n_i \cos \theta_i}{2}\right)^2$$

$$I = \frac{1}{n_i \cos \theta_i} \left(\frac{1}{E_{0,i}} \right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{n_i \cos \theta_i} \right)^{1/2},$$

where use was made of the fact that $\mu_{0}\epsilon_{i} = 1/v_{i}^{2}$ and $\mu_{0}v_{i}\epsilon_{i} = n_{i}/\epsilon_{i}$. Notice that at normal incidence, which is a situation of great practical interest, $\theta_{i} = \theta_{i} = 0$, and



Figure 8:26 The reflected E-field at va ith external reflection.



Ders 451 The reflected E-field at various angles concomitant with internal reflection.

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach 103



Figure 4.29 Reflectance and transmittance versus incident angle.

(Fig. 4.30). Figure 4.31 is a plot of the reflectance at a single interface, assuming normal incidence for various single interface, assuming normal incidence for various transmitting media in air. Figure 4.32 depicts the corre-sponding dependence of the transmittance at normal incidence on the number of interfaces and the index of the medium. Of course, this is why you carl; see through a roll of "clear" smooth-surfaced plastic tape,



Figure 4.31 Reflectance at normal incidence in air $(n_i = 1.0)$ at a single interface



or

and

$$R - R_{\perp} = R_{\perp} = \left(\frac{n_t - n_t}{n_t + n_t}\right)^2$$
$$T = v_t = T = \frac{4n_t n_t}{n_t + n_t}$$

$$1 - n_1 - n_2 - \frac{1}{(n_1 + n_2)^2}$$

58)

Thus 4% of the light incident normally on an air-glax interface will be reflected back, whether internally, n_i , n_i , or externally, $n_i < n_i$ (Problem 4.40). This will

Chapter 4 The Propagation of Light 102



Figure 4.28 Reflection and transmission of an incident beam.

the transmittance [Eq. (4.55)], like the reflectance [Eq. (4.54)], is then simply the ratio of the appropriate irradiances. Since $R = r^2$, we need not worry about the sign of r in any particular formulation, and that makes of r in any particular formulation, and that makes reflectance a convenient notion. Observe that in Eq. (4.57) T is not simply equal to t², for two reasons. First, the ratio of the indices of refraction must be there, since the speeds at which energy is transported into and out of the interface are different, in other words, $1 \propto v$, from Eq. (3.57). Second, the cross-sectional areas of the incident and reflected beams are different, and so the energy flow per unit area is affected accordingly, and that manifests itself in the presence of the ratio of the cosine terms. cosine terms.

cosine terms. Let's now write an expression representing the con-servation of energy for the configuration depicted in Fig. 4.26. In other words, the total energy flowing into area A per unit time must equal the energy flowing outward from it per unit time:

 $I_i A \cos \theta_i = I_r A \cos \theta_r + I_i A \cos \theta_i.$ (4.58)

When both sides are multiplied by c this expression

0, (degrees)

with a geomplicated lens system, which might have 10 or 20 such air-glass boundaries. Indeed, if you look perjendicularly into a stack of about 50 microscope offes (cover-glass sildes are much thinner and easier to minde in large quantities), most of the light will be effected. The stack will look very much like a mirror

Figure 4.30 Non-respectively the state of t



Figure 4.52 Transmittance through a number of surfaces in air $(n_i = 1.0)$ at normal incidence.

and it's also why the many elements in a periscope must be coated with antireflection films (Section 9.9.2).

4.3.4 Total Internal Reflection

In the previous section it was evident that something rather interesting was happening in the case of internal reflection $(n, > n_i)$ when θ , was equal to or greater than θ_i , the so-called critical angle. Let's now return to that situation for a somewhat closer look. Suppose that we singleton for a solution that tools of probability dense medium, and we allow θ_i to increase gradually, as indicated in Fig. 4.33. We know from the preceding section (Fig. 4.23) that r_t and r_{\perp} increase with increasing θ_i , and therefore t_{\parallel} and t_{\perp} both decrease. Moreover $\theta_t > \theta_i$, since

$$\sin \theta_i = \frac{n_i}{2} \sin \theta$$

and $n_i > n_i$, in which case $n_i < 1$. Thus as θ_i becomes larger, the transmitted ray gradually approaches tangency with the boundary, and as it does so more and more of the available energy appears in the reflected beam. Finally, when $\theta_i = 90^\circ$, sin $\theta_i = 1$ and

 $\sin \theta_{i} = n_{ii}$

(4.69)





Figure 4.33 Internal reflection and the critical angle. (Photo Course of Educational Service, Inc.)

As solid earlier, the critical angle is that special value of $\delta_1 = 90^\circ$. For incident angles greater than or equal to θ_2 all the incoming energy is reflected back use the incident medium in the process known as total sections. It should be stressed that the transition the conditions denoised in Fig. 4.3 (as to the section of th and reflection. It is notice to a reflect that fig. 4.33(a) to those from the conditions depicted in Fig. 4.33(a) to those a (d) takes place without any discontinuities. That f_{15} θ_i becomes larger, the reflected beam grows f_{15} cound stronger while the transmitted beam grows

stor stand stronger while the transmitted beam grows well be until the latter vanishes and the former carries being at $\theta_i = \theta_i$. It's an easy matter to observe until the latter vanishmitted beam sa θ_i is made for. Just place a glass microscope slide on a printed as this time blocking out any specularly reflected body the glass is fairly bright and clear. But if you more your head, allowing θ_i (the angle at which you more the interface) to increase, the region of the printed are used by the glass will appear date rand darker

be overed by the glass will appear darker and darker, including that T has indeed been markedly reduced. The critical angle for our air-glass interface is roughly ree Table 4.1). Consequently, a ray incident nor on the left face of either of the prisms in Fig. 4.34

Re.	θ, (degrees)	θ _c (radians)	n _{ef}	θ_c (degrees)	e, (radians)
1.30	50.2849	0.8776	1.50	41.8103	0.7297
129	49.7612	0.8685	1.51	41.4718	0.7238
in	49.2509	0.8596	1.52	41.1395	0.7180
1.25	48.7535	0.8509	1.53	40.8132	0.7123
1.24	48.2682	0.8424	1.54	40.4927	0.7067
1.25	47.7946	0.8342	1.55	40.1778	0,7012
1.8	47.3321	0.8261	1.56	39.8683	0,6958
157	46.8803	0.8182	1.57	39,5642	0.6905
131	46.4387	0.8105	1.58	39,2652	0.6853
1.39	46.0070	0.8030	1.59	38,9713	0.6802
1.40	45.5847	0.7956	1.60	38,6822	0.6751
KG.	45.1715	0.7884	1.61	38,3978	0.6702
	44.7670	0.7813	1.62	38,1181	0.6653
19	44.3709	0.7744	1.63	37.8428	0.6605
墨	43.9830	0,7676	1.64	37.5719	0.6558
	43.6028	0.7610	1.65	37.3052	0.6511
156	43.2302	0.7545	1.66	37.0427	0.6465
0.00	42.8649	0.7481	1.67	36,7842	0.6420
	42.5066	0.7419	1.68	36.5296	0.6376
	42.1552	0.7357	1.69	\$6,2789	0.6332





Figure 4.34 Total internal reflection

will have a $\theta_i > 42^\circ$ and therefore be internally reflected This is a convenient way to reflect nearly 100% of the incident light without having to worry about the deterioration that can occur with metallic surfaces.

Another useful way to view the situation is shown in Fig. 4.35, which can be thought of as either a Huygens construction or a simplified representation of scattering off atomic oscillators. We know that the net effect of on a bank occurs of the homogeneous isotropic media is to alter the speed of the light from ε to v_i and v_i , respec-tively (p. 63). This is equivalent mathematically (via twey (p. 53). This is equivalent mathematically (via Huygens's principle) to asying that the resultant wave is the superposition of these wavelets propagating at the appropriate speeds. In Fig. 4.35(a) an incident wave results in the emission of wavelets successively from scattering centers A and B. These overlap to form the scattering centers A and B. These overlap to form the transmitted wave. The reflected wave, which comes back down into the incident medium as usual $(\theta_i = \theta_i)$, is not shown. In a time *i* the incident front travels a distance $v_i = \overline{CB}$, while the transmitted front moves is distance $v_i = \overline{CB}$, while the transmitted front moves is distance $v_i = \overline{DB}$, while the transmitted front moves is from A to E in the same time that the other moves from A to E in the same time that the other moves from C to B, and since they have the same frequency and period, they must charge phase by the same amount in the process. Thus the disturbance at point E must be in phase with that at point B; both of these points must be on the same transmitted wavefront. It can be seen that the greater v_i is in comparison to v_i , the more tilded the transmitted front will be (i.e., the

 v_i , the more tilted the transmitted from will be (i.e., the larger θ_i will be). That much is depicted in Fig. 4.35(b), where n_{ii} has been taken to be smaller by assuming n_i





and

where



Figure 4.95 An examination of the transmitted wave in the process of total internal reflection from a scattering perspective. Here we keep θ_i and n_i constant and in successive parts of the diagram decrease n_i , thereby increasing v_i . The reflected wave ($\theta_i = \theta_i$) is not drawn.

to be smaller. The result is a higher speed v_{i} , increas \overline{AD} and causing a greater transmission angle. In 4.35(c) a special case is reached: $\overline{AD} = \overline{AB} = v_{i}$, 4.55(c) a special case is relation. $AD = hD = v_d$, the wavelets will overlap in phase only adong the k_B the interface, $\theta_i = 90^\circ$. From triangle ABC, is $u_d/u_d = n_i/n_i$, which is Eq. (4.69). For the two gy media (i.e., for the particular value of n_b), the direc in which the scattered wavelets will add construct in the transmitting medium is along the interface, resulting disturbance ($\theta_i = 90^\circ$) is known as a su

wave. If we assume that there is no transmitted way becomes impossible to satisfy the boundary condit using only the incident and reflected waves—things not at all as simple as they might seem. Furthermo we can reformulate Eqs. (4.34) and (4.40) (Proble 4.43) such that

$$r_{\perp} = \frac{\cos \theta_i - (n_{ii}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i)^{1/2}}{\cos \theta_i + (n_{ii}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i)^{1/2}}$$

$$\eta = \frac{n_{tl}^2 \cos \theta_l - (n_{tl}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_l)^{1/2}}{n_{tl}^2 \cos \theta_l + (n_{tl}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_l)^{1/2}}.$$

Clearly then, since $\sin \theta_c = n_{tl}$ when $\theta_l > \theta_{cs} \sin \theta_l$

and both r_1 and r_1 become complex quantities. Dethis (Problem 4.44), $r_1 r_1^* = r_1 r_1^* = 1$ and R = 1, we mean shat $I_1 = I_1$ and $I_1 = 0$. Thus, although there is a transmitted wave, it cannot, on the average, qenergy across the boundary. We shall not perfor complete and rather lengthy computation nee derive expressions for all the reflected and trans neede fields, but we can get an appreciation of what's had ing in the following way. The wave function for transmitted electric field is

 $\mathbf{E}_{t} = \mathbf{E}_{0t} \exp i(\mathbf{k}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \boldsymbol{\omega} t),$

 $\mathbf{k}_t \cdot \mathbf{r} = k_{ix}\mathbf{x} + k_{iy}\mathbf{y},$

there being no z-component of k. But $k_{ix} = k_i \sin \theta_i$ and

 $k_{iy} = k_i \cos \theta_i$



Hence

E, =

$$\mathbf{E}_{0i}e^{\pm\beta y}e^{i(k_i x \sin \theta_i/n_i - \omega i)}. \qquad (4.73)$$

ing the positive exponential, which is physically Neg unter side, we have a wave whose amplitude drops off multiplicity as it penetrates the less dense medium. Hurbance advances in the x-direction as a surface Stanescent wave. Notice that the wavefronts or sur-tanes of constant phase (parallel to the x-plane) are the phase of the surface o periodicular to the surfaces of constant amplitude (partiel to the xz-plane), and as such the wave is intersegmeous (see Section 2.5). Its amplitude decays rapidly in the y-direction, becoming negligible at a disthe second medium of only a few wavelengths. If you are still concerned about the conservation of pargy, a more extensive treatment would have shown margy actually circulates back and forth across the reface, resulting on the average in a zero net flow wough the boundary into the second medium. Yet in the second medium is a second medium with the refay to be accounted for, namely, that associated the second second second second and the second second and the second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second the second second

Contracts that moves along the boundary plane of incidence. Since this energy could not interacted into the less dense medium under the dricumstances (so long as $\theta_i \ge \theta_c$), we must look for for some source of the source of for its source. Under actual experimental tions the incident beam would have a finite cross n and therefore would obviously differ from a men wave. This deviation gives rise (via diffrac-alight transmission of energy across the interh is manifested in the evanescent wave



Figure 4.36 Propagation vectors for internal reflection

Incidentally, it is clear from (c) and (d) in Fig. 4.25 that the incident and reflected waves (except at $\theta_i = 90^\circ$) do not differ in phase by π and cannot therefore cancel each other. It follows from the continuity of the tangen-tial component of E that there must be an oscillatory field in the less dense medium with a component parallel to the interface having a frequency ω (i.e., the evanescent wave). The exponential decay of the surface wave, or b

The exponential decay of the surface wave, or bran-dary uses, as it is also sometimes called, has been confirmed experimentally at optical frequencies.⁹ Imagine that a beam of light traveling within a block of glass is internally reflected at a boundary. Presum-ably, if you pressed another piece of glass against the first, the air-glass interface could be made to vanish, and the beam would then propagate onward undis-turbed. Furthermore, you might expect this transition from total to no reflection to occur gradually as the air fibm thinned out. In much the same wave, if you hold a four bound of no thread out. In much the same way, if you hold a drinking glass or a prism, you can see the ridges of your ingerprints in a region that, because of total internal reflection, is otherwise mirrorlike. In more general terms, if the evanescent wave extends with appreciable amplitude across the rare medium into a nearby region occupied by a higher-index material, energy may flow through the gap in what is known as **frustrated total**

*Take a look at the fascinating article by K. H. Drexhage "Monomolecular Layers and Light." Sci. Am. 222, 108 (1970).



Figure 4.37 Frustrated total internal reflection.

internal reflection (FTIR). In other words, if the evanescent wave, having traversed the gap, is still strong enough to drive electrons in the "frustrating" medium, they in turn will generate a wave that significantly alters the field configuration, thereby permitting energy to flow. Figure 4.37 is a schematic representation of FTIR. The width of the lines depicting the wavefronts decreases across the gap as a reminder that the amplitude of the field behaves in the same way. The process as a which is remarkably similar to the quantum-mechanical phenomenon of *barrie penetration or tunneling*, which has numerous applications in contemporary physics. One can demonstrate FTIR with the prism arrangement of Fig. 4.38 in a manner that is fairly self-evident.

One can demonstrate F11R with the prism arrangement of Fig. 1.88 in a manner that is fairly self-evident. Moreover, if the hypotenuse faces of both prisms are made planar and parallel, they can be positioned so as to transmit and reflect any desired fraction of the incident flux density. Devices that perform this function are known as *hom-splitter*. A *hom-splitter cube* can be made rather conveniently by using a thin, low-index transparent film as a precision spacer. Low-loss reflectors whose transmittance can be controlled by frustrating internal reflection are of considerable practical interest. FTIR can also be observed in other regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Three-centimeter microwaves are particularly easy to work with, inasmuch the evanescent wave will extend roughly 10° time farther than it would at optical frequencies. Onprisms made of parafin or hollow ones of acrytic pha hilled with kerosene or motor oil. Any one of the would have an index of about 1.5 for 3-m wave then becomes an easy matter to measure the depoind dence of the field amplitude on y.

4.3.5 Optical Properties of Metals

The characteristic feature of conducting media is presence of a number of free electric charges (free the sense of being unbound. i.e., able to circulate with the material). For metals these charges are of course the unit area resulting from the application a field E is related by means of Eq. (A1.15) toge conductivity of the medium or. For a dielectric there actual metals σ is nonzero and finite. In contrast, the diself of the difference of the sense o

i) Waves in a Metal

If we visualize the medium as continuous, Maxwell equations lead to

 $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial z^2} = \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} + \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t},$

which is Eq. (A1.21) in Cartesian coordinates. The last term, $\mu\sigma \partial E/\partial t$, is a first-order time derivative, like the damping force in the oscillator model discussed m See

To the property of the propert

(b)

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach 109



Figure 4.38 (a) A beam-splitter utilizing FTIR. (b) A typical modern application of FTIR: a conventional beam-splitter arrangement used to take photographs through a microscope. (c) Beam-splitter cubes. (Photo courtesy Melles Griot.)

tion 3.5.1. The time rate of change of E generates a voltage, currents circulate, and since the material is resistive. Light is converted to heat—ergo absorption. This expression can be reduced to the unattenuated wave equation, if the permittivity is reformulated as a complex quantity. This in turn leads to a complex index of refraction, which, as we saw earlier (Section 3.5.1), is tantamount to absorption. We then need only substitute the complex index

 $n_c = n_R - i n_I$

(4.75)

(4.76)

(where the real and imaginary indices n_R and n, are both real numbers) into the corresponding solution for a nonconducting medium. Alternatively, we can utilize the wave equation and appropriate boundary conditions to yield a specific solution. In either event, we can find a simple sinusoidal plane-wave solution applicable within the conductor. Such a wave propagating in the *y*-direction is ordinarily written as

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 \cos\left(\omega t - hy\right)$$

or as a function of n,

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 \cos \omega (t - ny/c)$, but here the refractive index must be taken as complex. Accordingly, writing the wave as an exponential and using Eq. (4.75), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{(-\omega n_i y/\varepsilon)} e^{i\omega(t-n_R)/\varepsilon)}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{-\omega n_l y/c} \cos \omega (t - n_R y/c). \tag{4.77}$$

The disturbance advances in the y-direction with a speed $\langle n_R, precisely as if n_R$ were the more usual index of refraction. As the wave progresses into the conductor, its amplitude, $\mathbf{E}_0 \exp\left(-\omega n_S/c\right)$, is exponentially attenuated. Inasmuch as irradiance is proportional to the square of the amplitude, we have

 $I(y) = I_0 e^{-\alpha y}$, (4.78)

where $I_0 = I(0)$, that is, I_0 is the irradiance at y = 0 (the interface), and $\alpha = 2\omega n_1/c$ is called the absorption coefficient. The flux density will drop by a factor of $e^{-1} = 1/2.7 \approx \frac{1}{3}$ after the wave has propagated a distance $y = 1/\alpha$, known as the skin or penetration depth. For a material to transparent the penetration depth must be large comparison to is thickness. The penetration depth must be large comparison to is thickness. The penetration depth, metals, however, is exceedingly small. For example, and the penetration depth, about 0.6 nm milds miniscule penetration depth, about 0.6 nm m, while is still only about 6 nm in the infrared ($\lambda_0 \approx 10,000$ n). This accounts for the generally observed opacity metals, which nonetheless can become partly the parent when formed into extremely thin films (e.g. the case of partially silvered two-way mirrors), for familiar metallic sheen of conductors corresponding high reflectance, which arises from the fact that incident wave cannot effectively penetrate the manage Relatively few electrons in the metal "see" the transpected wave, and therefore, although each about strongly, little total energy is dissipated by the Instead, most of the incoming energy reappears all reflected wave. The majority of metals, including less common ones (e.g. solution, polarsium, centing and adminum, into as allory gray appear all the incident light regardless of wavelengths and therefore estimates of aluminum, the or steel. They reflect about the reductors is the senter of a solution polarsium, centing and the reductors is not set of the room and therefore allows and therefore allows and therefore a silver gray appear.

an the inclusion estimation of the regardless of wavelengths and therefore essentially colorless. Equation (4.77) is certainly reminiscent of Eq. (4 and FTIR. In both cases there is an exponential de of the amplitude. Moreover, a complete analysis we show that the transmitted waves are not strictly the verse, there being a component of the field in direction of propagation in both instances.

urection of propagation in both instances. The representation of metal as a continuous mediworks fairly well in the low-frequency, long-waveled domain of the infrared. Yet we certainly might expthe at the wavelength of the incident beam decreative and the infrared structure would have us reckoned with. Indeed, the continuum model show large discrepancies from experimental results at org frequencies. And so we again turn to the data atomistic picture initially formulated by Hent Lorentz, Paul Karl Ludwig Drude (1865–1906), others. This simple approach will provide quality agreement with the experimental data, but the ubdutreatment nonetheless requires quantum theory Sispersion Equation

Envision the conductor as an assemblage of driven, Envision the conductor as an assemblage of driven, damped oscillators. Some correspond to free electrons and will therefore have zero restoring force, whereas others are bound to the atom, much like those in the others for bound to the atom, much like those in the others for each of the section 3.5.1. The conduction elecrons ife, however, the predominant contributors to the optical properties of metals. Recall that the displacement (& a vibrating electron was given by

$x(t) = \frac{q_e/m_e}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)} E(t).$

(3.651

no restoring force, $w_0 = 0$, the displacement is in figure to the driving force $q_c E(t)$ and therefore of phase with it. This is unlike the situation unsparent dielectrics, where the resonance modes are above the visible and the electrons osciltic phase with the driving force (Fig. 4.39). Free these socialities that tend to cancel the incomdisturbance. The effect, as we have already seen, is paidy decaying refracted wave.

Ruming that the average field experienced by an form moving about within a conductor is just the id field E(1), we can extend the dispersion equation of a just medium (3.71) to read

$$n^{2}(d) = 1 + \frac{Nq^{2}}{\epsilon_{0}m_{e}} \left[\frac{f_{d}}{-\omega^{2} + i\gamma_{e}\omega} + \sum_{f} \frac{f_{f}}{\omega_{0_{f}}^{2} - \omega^{2} + i\gamma_{f}\omega} \right], \qquad (4.79)$$

The first bracketed term is the contribution from the extrons, wherein N is the number of atoms per unit volume. Each of these has f, conduction electrons, which have no natural frequencies. The second term from the bound electrons and is identical to Eq.

construction the bound electrons and is identical to Eq. (21). It should be noted that if a metal has a particular color, it indicates that the atoms are partaking of selecfive at sorption by way of the bound electrons, in addidiate to the general absorption characteristic of the free electrons, Recall that a medium that is very strongly absorbing at a given fequency doesn't actually absorb without the incident light at that frequency but rather attempts reflects it. Gold and copper are reddish yellow 4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach



Figure 4.39 Oscillations of bound and free electrons

because n_f increases with wavelength, and the larger values of λ are reflected more strongly. Thus, for example, gold should be fairly opaque to the longer visible wavelengths. Consequently, under white light, a gold foil less than roughly 10^{-9} m thick will indeed transmit predominantly greenish blue light. We can get a rough idea of the response of metals to

We can get a rough idea of the response of metals to light by making a few simplifying assumptions. Accordingly, we neglect the bound electron contribution and assume that γ_c is also negligible for very large ω_c whereupon

 $n^{2}(\omega) = 1 - \frac{Nq_{*}^{2}}{\epsilon_{0} m_{e} \omega^{2}}.$ (4.80)

The latter assumption is based on the fact that at high frequencies the electrons will undergo a great many oscillations between each collision. Free electrons and positive ions within a metal may be thought of as a plasma whose density oscillates at a natural frequency ω_p , the plasma frequency. This in turn can be shown to equal $(Nq_s^2/\epsilon_0 m_c)^{1/2}$, and so

$$n^{\nu}(\omega) = 1 - (\omega_p/\omega)^2$$
, (

The plasma frequency serves as a critical value below which the index is complex and the penetrating wave drops off exponentially (4.77) from the boundary; at the conductor is transparent. In the latter circumstance n is less than 1, as it was for dielectrics at very high Trequencies. Hence we can expect metals in general to be fairly transparent to x-rays. Table 4.2 lists the plasma frequencies for some of the alkali metals that are trans-parent even to ultraviolet.

The index of refraction for a metal will usually be The index of refraction for a metal will usually be complex, and the impinging wave will suffer absorption in an amount that is frequency dependent. For example, the outer visors on the Apollo space suits were overlaid with a very thin film of gold (Fig. 4.40). The coating reflected about 70% of the incident light and was used under bright conditions, such as low and forward sun angles. It was desirand to descrease the themeal hand on angles. It was designed to decrease the thermal load on in the cooling system by strongly reflecting radiant energy in the infrared while still transmitting adequately in the visible. Inexpensive metal-coated sunglasses which are quite similar in principle are also available commercially

and they're well worth having just to experiment vith. The ionized upper atmosphere of the Earth contains a distribution of free electrons that behave very much like those confined within a metal. The index of refraction of such a medium will be real and less than 1 for there of the planet model in the second model of the model in the form the planet model is a second model of the planet model of the planet model is a second model of the planet model of the pl

If we wish to communicate between two distant terrestrial points, we might bounce low-frequency waves off the Earth's ionosphere. To speak to someone on the

* R. Von Eshelman, Sci. Am. 220, 78 (1969).

Table 4.2 Critical wavelengths and frequencies for some

Metal	λ _p (observed) nm	λ _p (calculated) nm	$v_p \approx c_p^{(0)}$ (observe
Lithium (Li)	155	155	1.94 × 10
Sodium (Na)	210	209	1.43 × 10
Potassium (K)	315	287	0.95 × 10
Rubidium (Rb)	340	322	0.88 × 10

Moon, however, we should use high-frequency sig to which the ionosphere would be transparent.

iii) Reflection From a Metal

Imagine that a plane wave initially in air impinges a conducting surface. The transmitted wave advant at some angle to the normal will be inhomogene But if the conductivity of the medium is increase



Figure 4.40 Edwin Aldrin Jr. at Tranquility Base on photographer, Neil Armstrong, is reflected in the gr (Photo courtesy NASA.)

wavefune will become aligned with the surfaces of wavefines will become aligned with the surfaces of constant amplitude, thereupone, and it will approach parallelistic the other words, in a good conductor the manufacture wave propagates in a direction normal to interface regardless of θ_i . It is not compute the reflectance, $R = I_i/I_b$ for the simplest case of normal incidence on a metal. Taking simplest case of normal incidence on a metal. Taking m = 1 and $n_i = n_i$ (i.e., the complex index), we have $n_i = n_i \in (4, 2)$ that

from Eq. (4.47) that

(4.82)

(4.83)

 $R = \left(\frac{n_c - 1}{n_c + 1}\right) \left(\frac{n_c - 1}{n_c + 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and therefore, since $n_e = n_R - in_I$, $(n_n - 1)^2 + n^2$

$$R = \frac{(n_R + 1)^2 + n_1^2}{(n_R + 1)^2 + n_1^2}.$$

If the conductivity of the material goes to zero, we have the case of a dielectric, whereupon in principle forces is real $(n_r = 0)$, and the attenuation coefficient, as is ready in the those circumstances, the index of the different goes in the second second

the gradient of Eq. (4.67). If instance (n_R is comparatively small, R in turn es large (Problem 4.49). In the unattainable limit is darge and a provide the second seco n, is purely imaginary, 100% of the incident flux example, at $A_0 = 589.3$ nm the parameters associated with solid solution are roughly $n_{\rm R} = 0.04$, $n_{\rm R} = 2.4$, and R = 0.03 and those for bulk tin are $n_R = 1.5$, $n_I = 5.3$, and R = 0.6; whereas for a gallium single crystal $n_R = -\frac{3}{2}n_R = 5.4$, and R = 0.7.

Sirves of R_i and R_{\pm} for oblique incidence shown in Fig. 4.1 are somewhat typical of absorbing media. Thus, Ethough R at $\theta_i = 0$ is about 0.5 for gold, as succeed to nearly 0.9 for silver in white light, the two stabs have reflectances that are quite similar in shape, thing 1.0 at $\theta_i = 90^\circ$. Just as with dielectrics (Fig. Princips and θ_i incidence, but here that minimum is nonze to Figure 4.42 illustrates the spectral reflectance will dielecter for a number of evaporated metal fideal conditions. Observe that although gold willing well in and below the green region of

4.3 The Electromagnetic Approach 113



Figure 4.41 Typical reflectance for a linearly polarized beam of white light incident on an absorbing medium ing n

the spectrum, silver, which is highly reflective across the visible, becomes transparent in the ultraviolet at about 316 nm.

Phase shifts arising from reflection off a metal occur in both components of the field (i.e., parallel and per-pendicular to the plane of incidence). These are genetally neither 0 nor π , with a notable exception at $\theta_i = 90^\circ$, where, just as with a dielectric, both components shift phase by 180° on reflection.



Figure 4.42 Reflectance versus wavelength for silver, gold, copper, and aluminum

4.4 FAMILIAR ASPECTS OF THE INTERACTION OF LIGHT AND MATTER

Let's now examine some of the phenomena that paint the everyday world in a marvel of myriad colors.

As we saw earlier (p. 72), light that contains a roughly equal amount of every frequency in the visible region of the spectrum is perceived as white. Thus a broad source of which every point on its surface can be imagined as sending out, more or less in all directions, a stream of light of every visible frequency. Similarly, a reflecting surface that accomplishes essentially the same thing will also appear white: a highly reflecting, frequencyindependent, diffusily scattering object will be perceived as white under white light.

as white under white light. Although water is essentially transparent, water vapor appears white, as does ground glass. The reason is simple enough—if the grain size issmall but much larger than the wavelengths involved, light will enter each transparent particle, be reflected and refracted several times, and emerge. There will be no distinction among any of the frequency components, so the reflected light reaching the observer will be white. This is the mechanism accountable for the white. This is the mechaning paper, clouds, talcum powder, snow, and paint, each grain of which is actually transparent naterial filled with small air bubbles (e.g., beaten egg white). Even though we usually think of paper, talcum powder, and sugar as each consisting of some sort of that misconception. Cover a printed page with a few of these materials (a sheet of white paper, some grains of sugar, or talcum) and illuminate it from behind. You'll have little difficulty in sequends colorless transparent particles, such as the oxides of zinc, thanium, or lead, in an equally transparent vehicle, for example, linseed oil or the newer acrylics. Obviously, if the particles and white have the same index of refraction, there will not be any reflections at the graine boundaries. The particles will simply disappener into the conglomeration. which itself remains clear. In contrast, if the indication and avaelengths (Problem 4.42), and the problem 4.42), and the problem 4.42), and the problem 4.42), and the problem 4.42) and the problem 4.42). To clor paint one need only dye the part, so that they absorb all frequencies except the design range. Carrying the logic in the reverse direction, it is the problem 4.42.

Carrying the logic in the reverse direction, if reduce the relative index, $m_{\rm H}$ at the grain or fite boundaries, the particles of material will reflect thereby decreasing the overall whiteness of the object Consequently, a wet white tissue will have a grays more transparent look. Wet talcum powder losses sparking whiteness, becoming a dull gray, as does white other that the same way, a piece of dyed fails soaked in a clear liquid (e.g., water, gin. or benzes will lose its whitish haze and become much darker; colors then being deep and rich like those of a sall water-color painting. A diffusely reflecting surface that absorbs somewice

A diffusely reflecting surface that absorbs some uniformly right across the spectrum—will reflect less than a white surface and so appear mat gray less it reflects, the darker the gray, until it absorbs and all the light and appears black. A surface that reperhaps 70% or 80% or more, but does so specific will appear the familiar shiny gray of a typical mi-Metals possess tremendous numbers of free elec-(p. 11) that scatter light very effectively, independid of frequency: they are not bound to the atoms and in no associated resonances. Moreover, the **amplitud** the vibrations are an order of magnitude largers they were for the bound electrons. The inident cannot penetrate into the metal any more than a flat of a wavelength or so before it's canceled complet. There is little or no refracted light; most of the enis reflected out, and only the small remainder is sorbed. Note that the primary difference between a surface and a mirrored surface is one of diffuse verised "wire" metal, such as silver or a luminum. "reflecting" images of things in the room on top of gray surface.

When the distribution of energy in a beam of lights is not effectively uniform across the spectrum, the light appears colored. Figure 4.43 depicts typical frequents





distributions for what would be perceived as red, green, and blue light. These curves show the predominant frequency regions, but there can be a great deal of variation in the distributions, and they will still provoke the responses of red, green, and blue. In the carly 18005 Thomas Young showed that a broad range of colors could be generated by mixing three beams of light, provided their frequencies were widely separated. When three such beams combine to produce white light they are called primary colors. There is no single unique set of these primaries, nor do they have to be quasimonochromatic. Since a wide range of colors can be created by mixing ref (R), green (C), and blue (B), these tend to be used most frequently. They are the three components (emitted by three phosphors) that generate the whole gamut of hues seen on a color television set.

Figure 4.44 summarizes the results when beams of these three primaries are overlapped in a number of different combinations. Red plus blue is seen as magenia (M), a reddish purple; blue plus green is seen as more (C), a bluish green or turquoise; and perhaps most surprising, red plus green is seen as yellow (Y). The sum of all three primaries is white:

 $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{W},$

M + G = W, since R + B = M,

C + R = W, since B + G = C,

C + R = W, since D + O = C,

Y + B = W, since R + G = Y.

Any two colors that together produce white are said to be **complementary**, and the last three symbolic state-



ments exemplify that situation. Now suppose we overlap a beam of magenta and a beam of yellow: M + Y = (R + B) + (R + G) = W + R

the result is a combination of red and white, or pink. That raises another point: we say a color is **saturated**, that it is deep and intense, when it does not contain any white light. As Fig. 4.45 shows, pink is unaturated red—red superimposed on a background of white. The mechanism responsible for the yellowish red hue of red and compare is in some sement will be to the

of gold and copper is, in some respects, similar to the or got and coppet is, in solut respects, animal to the process that causes the sky to appear blue. Putting it rather succinctly (see Section 8.5 for a further discussion of scattering in the atmosphere), the molecules of air have resonances in the ultraviolet and will therefore be driven into larger-amplitude oscillations as the frequency of the incident light increases toward the ultraviolet. Consequently, they will effectively take energy from and reemit (i.e., scatter) the blue component of sunlight in all directions, transmitting the complementary red end of the spectrum with little alteration. This is analogous to the selective reflection or scattering of yellow-red light that takes place at the or scattering of yellow-red light that takes place at the surface of a gold film and the concomitant transmission of blue-green light. In contradistinction, the charac-teristic colors of most substances have their origin in the phenomenon of selective or proformial absorption. For example, water has a very light green-blue tint because of its absorption of red light. That is, the $H_{\rm HO}$ molecules have a broad resonance in the infrared, which extends somewhat into the visible. The absorption inst user strong to them is no accomputed a velocity of provery strong, so there is no accentuated reflection of red light at the surface. Instead it is transmitted and gradually absorbed out until at a depth of about 30 m of sea water, red is almost completely removed from sunlight. This same process of selective absorption is responsible for the colors of brown eyes and butterflies, of birds and bees and cabbages and kings. Indeed the or or cas and bees and cabusges and kings. Indeed the great majority of objects in nature appear to have characteristic colors as the result of preferential absorp-tion by pigment molecules. In contrast with most atoms and molecules, which have resonances in the ultraviolet and infrared, the pigment molecules must obviously have resonances in the visible. Yet visible photons have energies of roughly 1.6 eV to 3.2 eV, which, as you



might expect, are on the low side for ordinary electron excitation and on the high side for excitation molecular vibration. Despite this, there are atoms where molecular vior autor, bespite this, there are atoms to the bound electrons form incomplete shells (gold, example) and variations in the configuration of the shells provide a mode for low-energy excitation addition, there is the large group of organic molecules, which evidently also have resonances in molecules, which evidently also have resonances in visible, All such substances, whether natural or thetic, consist of long-chain molecules made up of larly alternating single and double bonds in which called a conjugated system. This structure is typi by the carotene molecule $C_{q,H_{20}}$ (Fig. 4.46). Carotenoids range in color from yellow to red and found in carrots, tomatoes, daffodils, dandelid autumn leaves, and people. The chlorophylic another group of familiar natural pigments, but a portion of the long chain is turned around on to form a ring. In any event, conjugated systems or tontain a number of particularly mobile elect known as *pi electrons*. They are not bound to spee known as *p* electrons. They are not bound to spec-atomic sites but instead can range over the relativ large dimensions of the molecular chain or ring. In t phraseology of quantum mechanics, we would say it bese are long-wavelength, low-frequency, and that fore low-energy, electron states. The energy require to raise a pi electron to an excited state is accordin comparative low. comparatively low, corresponding to that of vi photons. In effect, we can imagine the molecule a

4.4 Familiar Aspects of the Interaction of Light and Matter 117

r having a resonance frequency in the visible. of an individual atom are precisely and the energy level of an indicate action and precision for the state of the energy levels into wide statistical and action of the energy levels into wide results in a broadening of the energy levels into wide results in a broadening of the energy levels into wide

solids and high-results in a broad-ming of the energy levels into wide results in a broad-ming of the resonances spread over a lands. In other words, the resonances spread over a lands and ange of frequencies. Consequently, we can expect that a dye will not absorb just a narrow portion of the spectrum, indeed if it did, it would reflect most frequencies and appear nearly white. Imagine a piece of stained glass with a resonance in the bits where it strongly absorbs. If you look through is at a white light source composed of red, green, and the bits where it store composed of red, green, and the bits where the source composed of red, green, and the bits where the source composed of red, green, and the piece of the source composed of red, green, and the piece of the source composed of red, green, and the piece bits source composed of red, green, and the piece bits of the piece of the source composed the piece of the piece of the piece bits of the piece through a piece bits of the piece bit





Figure 4.47 Yellow stained glass

of the process as subtractive coloration, as opposed to additive coloration, which results from overlapping beams of light.

In the same way, fibers of a sample of white cloth or paper are essentially transparent, but when dyed each fiber behaves as if it were a chip of colored glass. The ner verawes as it is were a corp or coored grass. The inident light penetrates the paper, emerging for the most part as a reflected beam only after undergoing numerous reflections and refractions within the dyed fibers. The exiting light will be colored to the extent that it lacks the frequency component absorbed by the dye. This is precisely why a leaf appears green, or a banana yellow. A bottle of ordinary blue ink looks blue in either reflected or transmitted light. But if the ink is painted

on a glass slide and the solvent evaporates, something rather interesting happens. The concentrated pigment absorbs so effectively that it preferentially reflects at the resonant frequency, and we are back to the idea that a strong absorber (large n_i) is a strong reflector. Thus,







Figure 4.48 Transmission curves for colored filters

concentrated blue-green ink reflects red, whereas red blue ink reflects green. Try it with a felt marking but you must use reflected light, being careful for inundate the sample with unwanted light from beio (Wipe the ink to obtain a thin layer and then place slide on a piece of black paper.) The whole range of colors (including red, green, at blue) can be produced by massing light through.

The whole range of colors (including red, green, gr if you mix all the subtractive primaries together (éi

If you mix all the subtractive primaries together (eff by combining paints or by stacking filters), you get color, no light—black. Each removes a region of fil spectrum, and together they absorb it all. If the range of frequencies being absorbed spread-across the visible, the object will appear black. That not to say that there is no reflection at all—you obvion-can see a reflected image in a piece of black pai-leather, and a rough black surface reflects also, of officiently. If way till have those red and blue inteleather, and a rough black surface reflects also, of diffusely. If you still have those red and blue inks, in

diffusely. If you still have those red and plue has, a them, add some green, and you'll get black. In addition to the above processes specifically rela-to reflection, refraction, and absorption, there are no processing mechanisms, which we deother colorgenerating mechanisms, which we are explore later on. For example, the scarabacid beetle mantle themselves in the brilliant colors produced b diffraction gratings on their wing cases, and wavelength dependent interference effects contribute to the colu-patterns seen on oil slicks. mother-of-pearl, soap bubbles, peacocks, and hummingbirds.

4.5 THE STOKES TREATMENT OF REFLECTION AND REFRACTION

A rather elegant and novel way of looking at reflection A rather elegant and novel way of looking at remeasure and transmission at a boundary was developed by British physicist Sir George Gabriel Stokes (1819–1893) Since we will often make use of his results in future chapters, let's now examine that derivation. Suppose that we have an incident wave of amplitude E_0 , improve



and

fing on the planar interface separating two dielectric , as in Fig. 4.49(a). As we saw earlier in this ar, since r and t are the fractional amplitudes

media, as in Fig. From Fig. wave cannot an experimental experiments of the second sec

no discription), a wave's meanderings must be revers-ible. Equivalently, in the idiom of modern physics one speaks of *time-reversal invariance*, that is, if a process the treverse process can also occur. Thus if we a hypothetical motion picture of the wave incident The stypothetical motion picture of the wave incident is efficient from, and transmitting through the inter-tion, the behavior depicted when the film is run back-back must also be physically realizable. Accordingly, source fig. 4.49(c), where there are now two incident wave of amplitudes $E_{0,t}$ and $E_{0,t}$. A portion of the wave phase amplitude is $E_{0,t}$ is both reflected and transmitted at the interface. Without making any assungtions, let r' and t' be the amplitude reflection Datamising or cofficient remediate for a nume Assumptions, let r' and t' be the amplitude reflection **Resumptions**. Let r' and t' be the amplitude reflection **Resumptions**. Let r' and t' be the amplitude reflection of requestly, the reflected portion is $E_0 t'$, and the trans-mitted portion is $E_0 t'$. Similarly, the incoming wave samplitude is $E_0 r$, splits into segments of ampli-amplitude is $E_0 r$. If the configuration in Fig. 4.49(c)

is to be identical with that in Fig. 4.49(b), then obviously

	$E_{0i}u' + E_{0i}rr = E_{0i}$	(1.84)
and		
	$E_0, rt + E_0, tr' = 0.$	(4.85)
Hence		
	$tt'=1-r^2$	(4.86)
and		
	r' r	11.07

the latter two equations being known as the Stokes relations. Actually this discussion calls for a bit more caution than is usually granted it. It must be pointed out that the amplitude coefficients are functions of the incident angles, and therefore the Stokes relations might better be written as

$$t(\theta_1)t'(\theta_2) = 1 - r^2(\theta_1)$$
 (4.88)

 $r'(\theta_2) = -r(\theta_1),$ (1.89)

where $n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2$. The second equation indicates, by virtue of the minus sign, that here is a 180° phase difference between the waves internally and externally effected. It is most important to keep in mind that here θ_1 and θ_2 are pairs of angles that are related by way of Snell's law. Note as well that we never did say whether n_1 was greater or less than n_2 , so Eqs. (4.88) and (4.89)

apply in either case. Let's return for a moment to one of the Fresnel equations:

$r_{\perp} = -\frac{\sin\left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{i}\right)}{\sin\left(\theta_{i} + \theta_{i}\right)}$

[4.42]

If a ray enters from above, as in Fig. 4.49(a), and we It a tay effects from above as in Fig. 4.5(a) and we assume $n_2 > n_1, r_1$ is computed by setting $\theta_1 = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_2$ and $\theta_i = \theta_1$ and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_2 and θ_2 and θ_1 and θ_2 and θ_2 and $\theta_$ incident at that same angle from below (in this instance Internal reflection), $\theta_i = \theta_i$ and we again substitute in Eq. (4.42), but here θ_i is not θ_2 , as before. The values of r_{\perp} for internal and external reflection at the same incident angle are obviously different. Now suppose, in this case of internal reflection, that $\theta_i = \theta_2$. Then θ_i , θ_1 , the ray directions are the reverse of those in the first situation, and Eq. (4.42) yields

$r'_{\perp}(\theta_2) = -\frac{\sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}{\sin(\theta_2 + \theta_1)}$

Although it may be unnecessary we once again point out that this is just the negative of what was determined for $\theta_1 = \theta_1$ and external reflection, that is,

 $r'_{\perp}(\theta_2) = -r_{\perp}(\theta_1).$ (4.90)

The use of primed and unprimed symbols to denote the amplitude coefficients should serve as a reminder that we are once more dealing with angles related by Snell's law. In the same way, interchanging θ_i and θ_i in Eq. (4.43) leads to

$r'_{\parallel}(\theta_2) = -\tau_{\parallel}(\theta_1).$

The 180° phase difference between each pair of com-The 180° phase difference between each pair of com-ponents is evident in Fig. 4.25, but do keep in mind that when $\theta_i = \theta_{\mu}$, $\theta_i = \theta_{\mu}^*$ and vice versa (Problem 4.46). Beyond $\theta_i = \theta_i$ there is no transmitted wave, Eq. (4.89) is not applicable, and as we have seen, the phase difference is no longer 180°. It is common to conclude that both the parallel and perpendicular components of the externally reflected beam change phase by π radians while the internally reflected beam undergrees no phase biffs at all B w pow

reflected beam undergoes no phase shift at all. By now within the particular convention we've established, this should be recognized as incorrect, or at least almost obviously [compare Figs. 4.26(a) and 4.27(a)].

4.6 PHOTONS AND THE LAWS OF REFLECTION AND REFRACTION

Suppose that light consists of a stream of photons that one such photon strikes the interface between dielectric media at an angle θ , and is subseque transmitted across it at an angle q. We know that if were just one of billions of such quanta in a nar-laserbeam, it would obediently conform to Smell's To appreciate this behavior let's examine the dyna associated with the odyssey of our single photon. R that that

$\mathbf{p} = \hbar \mathbf{k}$

(4.9

and consequently the incident and transmitted menta are $\mathbf{p}_i = \hbar \mathbf{k}_i$ and $\mathbf{p}_i = \hbar \mathbf{k}_i$, respectively assume (without much justification) that although material in the vicinity of the interface affects component of momentum, it leaves the **x**-competi-unchanged. Indeed we know experimentally that included the carbon experimentally that in momentum can be transferred to a medium from light beam (see Section 3.3.2). The statement of on servation of the component of momentum parallel the interface takes the form

$p_{ix} = p_0$

от

and hence

(4.91)

 $p_i \sin \theta_i = p_i \sin \theta_i$. If we use Eq. (3.53), this becomes

 $k_i \sin \theta_i = k_i \sin \theta_i$

 $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\sin \theta_t = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\sin \theta_t.$

Multiplying both sides by c/ν , we have $n_i \sin \theta_i = n_i \sin \theta_i$,

which of course is Snell's law. In exactly the sa if the photon reflects off the interface instead of being transmitted, Eq. (4.92) leads to

 $k_i \sin \theta_i = k_r \sin \theta_r$

ad since	$\lambda_i = \lambda_i$	$\theta_i =$	θ _r .	10 15	interesting	10 1	note	unau
10			n_{ti}	$=\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}$,			(4.93)

 $h_1 = h_1^*$ (139) as of if $n_0 \ge 1$, $h \ge h_1$. Experiments dating back as far an (59), include all Foucault, have shown that when $n_0 \ge 1$ the speed of propagation is actually reduced in the standing media, even though the momentum that the processes.¹ Table kep in mind that we have been dealing with a very imple representation that leaves much to be extended on the standing about the atomic curvature of the media or about the probability that a brotot will traverse a given path. Even though this protarent is obviously simplisitie, it is appealing pedagogically (see Chapter 15).

s an increase in the photon's effective mass. See F. R. 'On Snell's Law and the Gravitational Deflection of *Phys.* 36, 1001 (1968). Take a *cautious* look at R. A. ature of Light.'' *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* 55, 1186 (1965). Hot



1.50 (Photos courtesy Physics, Boston, D. C. Heath & Co.,

Problems 121

PROBLEMS

4.1 Calculate the transmission angle for a ray incident in air at 30° on a block of crown glass ($n_g = 1.52$).

4.2* A ray of yellow light from a sodium discharge lamp falls on the surface of a diamond in air at 45°. If at that frequency $n_d = 2.42$, compute the angular deviation suffered upon transmission.

4.3 Use Huygens's construction to create a wavefront diagram showing the form a spherical wave will have after reflection from a planar surface, as in the ripple tank photos of Fig. 4.50. Draw the ray diagram as well.

4.4* Given an interface between water $(n_{m} = 1.33)$ and glass ($n_g = 1.50$), compute the transmission angle for a beam incident in the water at 45°. If the transmitted beam is reversed so that it impinges on the interface, show that $\theta_i = 45^\circ$

4.5 A beam of 12-cm planar microwaves strikes the surface of a dielectric at 45°. If $n_{ii} = \frac{4}{3}$, compute (a) the wavelength in the transmitting medium, and (b) the angle θ_i



4.6* Light of wavelength 600 nm in vacuum enters a block of glass where $n_{\rm g} \approx 1.5$. Compute its wavelength in the glass. What color would it appear to someone imbedded in the glass (see Table 3.2)?

4.7 Figure 4.51 shows a bundle of rays entering and emerging from a glass disk (a lens). From the configuration of the rays, determine the shape of the wavefronts at various points. Draw a diagram in profile.



Figure 4.51

4.8 Make a plot of θ_i versus θ_i for an air-glass boundary where $n_{g_0} = 1.5$.

4.9 In Fig. 4.52 the wavefronts in the incident medium match the fronts in the transmitting medium every-



Figure 4.52

where on the interface—a concept known as manying continuity. Write expressions for the number of way per unit length along the interface in terms of θ_i and λ_i in one case and θ_i and λ_i in the other. Use these derive Snell's law. Do you think Snell's law apples as sound waves? Explain.

4.10° With the previous problem in mind, recurs p. n. Eq. (4.19) and take the origin of the coordinate stiin the plane of incidence and on the interface (6 4.20). Show that that equation is then equivalent equating the \times -components of the various propagvectors. Show that it is also equivalent to the notifier of wavefront continuity.

4.11 Figure 4.53 depicts a wavefront at \overline{AB} the pulsequently sweeps across the interface, driving and along it, which in turn radiate transmitted wavel Since the refracted wave travels at a speed v_0 across the transmitted wavelets also propagate at v_1 . To wavelets then overlap and interfere (which is essent the Huygens-Fresnel principle) to form the refer wave. Show that the transmitted wavelets will arrive phase along \overline{DC} , provided Snell's law obtains.

4.12 Making use of the ideas of equal transitiones between corresponding points and the orthogonality



rays and wareficents, derive the law of reflection and Snell's law. The ray diagram of Fig. 4.54 should be helpful.



Figure 4.54

113 Starting with Snell's law, prove that the vector

 $n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i - n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = (n_i \cos \theta_i - n_i \cos \theta_i) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_n.$ [4.8] Derive a vector expression equivalent to the law flection. As before, let the normal go from the count to the transmitting medium, even though it overbusy doesn't really matter.

4.1.2 In the case of reflection from a planar surface, permat's principle to prove that the incident and best rays share a common plane with the normal u, pamely, the plane of incidence.

4.16^{*} Derive the law of reflection, $\theta_i = \theta_r$, by using the calculus to minimize the transit time, as required Fermat's principle,

Stream, there is one triangle that can be inscribed within an acute triangle such that it has a minimal primeter. Using two planar mirrors, a laserbeam, and fermal a principle, explain how you can show that this inscribed triangle has its vertices at the points where the altitudes of the acute triangle intersect its correponding sides. Problems 123

4.18 Show analytically that a beam entering a planar transparent plate, as in Fig. 4.55, emerges parallel to its initial direction. Derive an expression for the lateral displacement of the beam. Incidentally, the incoming and outgoing rays would be parallel even for a stack of plates of different material.



Figure 4.55 (Source unknown.)

 $4.19^{\bullet}~$ Show that the two rays that enter the system in Fig. 4.56 parallel to each other emerge from it being parallel.



4.20 Discuss the results of Problem 4.18 in the light of Fermat's principle, that is, how does the relative index n_{21} affect things? To see the lateral displacement, look

at a broad source through a thick piece of glass ($\approx \frac{1}{4}$ inch) or a stack (four will do) of microscope slides *hrld at an angle*. There will be an obvious shift between the region of the source seen directly and the region viewed through the glass.

4.21 Suppose a lightwave that is linearly polarized in the plane of incidence impinges at 30° on a crownglass $(n_{\chi} = 1.52)$ plate in air. Compute the appropriate amplitude reflection and transmission coefficients at the interface. Compare your results with Fig. 4.22.

4.22 Show that even in the nonstatic case the tangential component of the electric field intensity **E** is continuous across an interface. [*Hint:* using Fig. 4.57 and Eq. (3.5), shrink sides *FB* and *CD*, thereby letting the area bounded go to zero.]



Figure 4.57

4.23 Derive Eqs. (4.42) through (4.45) for $r_{\perp}, r_{\parallel}, t_{\perp}$, and t_{\parallel} .

4.24 Prove that

 $t_{\perp}+(-r_{\perp})=1 \qquad [4.49]$ for all θ_i , first from the boundary conditions and then from the Fresnel equations. 4.25* Verify that

 $t_{\perp} + (-r_{\perp}) \approx 1$ for $\theta_i = 30^\circ$ at a crown glass and air interface 1.52).

4.26* Calculate the critical angle beyond which the istotal internal reflection at an air–glass $(n_s \approx 1.5)$ internal face. Compare this result with that of Problem 4.8a

4.27 Derive an expression for the speed of the evan cent wave in the case of internal reflection. Write it terms of c, n_i , and θ_i .

4.28 Light having a vacuum wavelength of 600 m traveling in a glass $(n_e = 1.50)$ block, is incident at 4 on a glass-air interface. It is then totally internative flected. Determine the distance into the air at with the amplitude of the evanescent wave has dropped of a value of 1/e of its maximum value at the interface.

4.29 Figure 4.58 shows a laserbeam incident on a piece of filter paper atop a sheet of glass whose in of refraction is to be measured—the photograph she the resulting light pattern. Explain what is happen and derive an expression for n_i in terms of R and

4.30 Consider the common mirage associated with a inhomogeneous distribution of air situated above a warm roadway. Envision the bending of the rays for it were instead a problem in total internal reflection, an observer, at whose head $n_{\rm e}=1.00029$, sees an apparent wet spot at $\theta_{\rm e}=88.7^\circ$ down the road, find the air immediately above the road.

incident at $\theta_p = \frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta_i$ results in a reflected beam the is indeed polarized. **4.32** Show that $\tan \theta_p = n_i/n_i$ and calculate the polarization angle for external incidence on a plate of galas ($n_g = 1.52$) in air.

4.31^{*} Use the Fresnel equations to prove that light

4.33* Beginning with Eq. (4.38), show that



two <u>A</u>iclectric media, in general $\tan \theta_p = [\varepsilon_1(\epsilon_1\mu_1 - \varepsilon_2\mu_1)/\epsilon_1(\epsilon_1\mu_1 - \epsilon_2\mu_1)]^{1/2}$.

4.34 Show that the polarization angles for internal and external reflection at a given interface are complementary, that is, $\theta_p + \theta'_p = 90^\circ$ (see Problem 4.32).

4.35 It is often useful to work with the azimuthal angle is what is defined as the angle between the plane of vibration and the plane of incidence. Thus for linearly polarised light.

	$\tan \gamma_1 = [E_{01}]_\perp / [E_{01}]_\parallel$	(4.94)
	$\tan \gamma_t = [E_{0t}]_\perp / [E_{0t}]_l$	(4.95)
and		

$$\begin{split} &\tan\gamma, = [E_{\alpha\gamma}]_{*} / [E_{\beta\gamma}]_{1}, \qquad (4.96) \\ &\text{ For e. 1.59 is a plot of } \gamma, \text{ versus } \theta, \text{ for internal and} \\ &\text{ veffection at an air-glass interface } (n_{g\alpha} = 1.51), \\ &\text{ where } s = \frac{16}{2} \cdot \text{Verify a few of the points on the curves} \\ &\text{ and in verticen show that} \end{split}$$

 $\tan \gamma_{t} = -\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{i}\right)}{\cos \left(\theta_{i} + \theta_{i}\right)} \tan \gamma_{i}. \tag{4.97}$

Problems 125



4.36* Making use of the definitions of the azimuthal angles in Problem 4.35, show that

and

 $R = R_{\parallel} \cos^2 \gamma_i + R_{\perp} \sin^2 \gamma_i \qquad (4.98)$

$$T = T_{\perp} \cos^2 \gamma_i = T_{\perp} \sin^2 \gamma_i. \qquad (4.99)$$

4.37 Make a sketch of R_{\perp} and R_{\parallel} for $n_i = 1.5$ and $n_i = 1$ (i.e., internal reflection).





and

4.4

$$T_{\parallel} = \frac{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_i) \cos^2 (\theta_i - \theta_i)}{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_i) \cos^2 (\theta_i - \theta_i)}$$
(4.100)

.

$$T_{\perp} = \frac{\sin 2\theta_i \sin 2\theta_i}{\sin^2(\theta_i + \theta_i)}, \qquad (4.101)$$

[4.65]

[4.66]

[4.78]

4.39* Using the results of Problem 4.38, that is, Eqs. (4.100) and (4.101), show that

$$R_{\parallel} + T_{\parallel} = 1$$
 and

$$R_{\perp} + T_{\perp} = 1.$$

4.40 Suppose that we look at a source perpendicularly through a stack of N microscope slides. The source seen through even a dozen slides will be noticeably darker. Assuming negligible absorption, show that the total transmittance of the stack is given by

$$T_t = (1-R)^{2N}$$

and evaluate T, for three slides in air.

Making use of the expression

$$I(y) = I_0 e^{-\alpha y}$$

for an absorbing medium, we define a quantity called the unit transmittance T_1 . At normal incidence (4.55) $T = I_1/I_1$, and thus when y = 1, $T_1 = I(1)/I_0$. If the total thickness of the slides in the previous problem is d and if they now have a transmittance per unit length T_1 , show that

$$T_1 = (1 - R)^{2N} (T_1)^d$$
.

4.42 Show that at normal incidence on the boundary between two dielectries, as $n_{il} = 1, R \rightarrow 0$, and $T \rightarrow 1$. Moreover, prove that as $n_{il} \rightarrow 1, R_{1} \rightarrow 0, R_{\perp} \rightarrow 0, T_{1l} \rightarrow 1$, and $T_{\perp} \rightarrow 1$ for all θ_{i} . Thus as the two media take on more similar indices of refraction, less and less energy is carried off in the reflected wave. It should be obvious that when $n_{il} \rightarrow 1$ there will be no interface and no reflection. reflection.

4.43* Derive the expressions for r_{\perp} and r_{\parallel} given b Eqs. (4.70) and (4.71).

4.44 Show that when $\theta_i > \theta_r$ at a dielectric interface and τ_{\perp} are complex and $\tau_{\perp} \tau_{\perp}^* = \tau_i \tau_i^* = 1$.

4.45 Figure 4.60 depicts a ray being multiply reflected by a transparent dielectric plate (the amplitudes after resulting fragments are indicated). As in Section 4.5 we use the primed coefficient notation, because the angles are related by Snell's law.

a) Finish labeling the amplitudes of the last four rays.b) Show, using the Fresnel equations, that



4.46 A wave, linearly polarized in the place of incidence, impinges on the interface between two dielectric media. If $n_i > n_i$ and $\theta_i = \theta'_{j_i}$, there reflected wave, that is, $r'_1(\theta'_{j_j}) = 0$. Using Stokes's zero

nique start from scratch to show that $t_3(\theta_p)t_3^{\prime}(\theta_p') = 1$, $t_1(\theta_p) = 0$, and $\theta_1 = \theta_p$ (Problem 4.34). How does this compart with Eq. (4.102)?

Making use of the Fresnel equations, show that $(\mathcal{B}_{k}) = 1$, as in the previous problem.

4.48 Figure 4.61 depicts a glass cube surrounded by The prime in very close proximity to its sides. Sketch in the paths that will be taken by the two rays shown and discuss a possible application for the device.



4.49 Figure 4.62 is a plot of n_r and n_R versus λ for a common metal. Identify the metal by comparing its referistics with those considered in the chapter and ss its optical properties.

beam c

Problems 127



Figure 4.62



Figure 4.63



5.1 INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Suppose we have an object that is either self-luminous or externally illuminated, and imagine its surface as consisting of a large number of point sources. Each of these emits spherical waves, that is, rays emanate radially in the direction of energy flow or, if you like, in the If the unclaim of energy now of, it you not, in the direction of the Poynting vector (Fig. 4.1). In this case, the rays *diverge* from a given point source *S*, whereas if the spherical wave were collapsing to a point, the rays would of course be *converging*. Generally one deals only with a small portion of a wavefront. A point from which a portion of a spherical wave diverges, or one toward which the wave segment converges, is known as a focal point of the bundle of rays.

Now envision the situation in which we have a point source in the vicinity of some arrangement of reflecting and refracting surfaces representing an *optical system*. Of the infinity of rays emanating from S, generally speaking, only one will pass through an arbitrary point in space. Even so, it is possible to arrange for an infinite number of rays to arrive at a certain point P, as in Fig. 5.1. Thus, if for a cone of rays coming from S there is 5.1. Thus, it for a cone of rays coming from S there is a corresponding cone of rays passing through P, the system is said to be stigmatic for these two points. The energy in the cone (apart from some inadvertent losses due to reflection, scattering, and absorption) reaches P, which is then referred to as a *perfect image* of S. The wave could conceivably arrive to form a finite patch of

light, or blur spot, about P; it would still be an image of S but no longer a perfect one. It follows from the principle of reversibility (ar Sec-tion 4.2.4) that a point source placed at P would to equally well imaged at S, and accordingly the two any spoken of as conjugate points. In an ideal optical spin every point of a three-dimensional region will be p for the or stiematically) imaged in another region, to

former being the object space, the latter the image signal Most commonly, the function of an optical device to collect and reshape a portion of the incident was front, often with the ultimate purpose of formir image of an object. Notice that inherent in realiza systems is the limitation of being unable to collect the emitted light; the system accepts only a segment the wavefront. As a result, there will always be



Figure 5.1 Converging and diverging waves



The article of the second sec

ang are many situations in which the great approximation of geo-optics more than compensates for its inac-its short, the subject treats the controlled manipula-trong (or ray) (by means of the interbositioning and/or refracting bodies, neglecting any diffrac-

Applie deals with situations in which the nonzero wavelength must be reckoned with. Analogously, when the de Broglie gash of a material object is negligible, we have *channel* of when it is not, we have the domain of *quantum mechanica* more 13).

5.2 Lenses 129

5.2 LENSES

No doubt the most widely used optical device is the lens, and that notwithstanding the fact that we see the world through a pair of them. Lenses date back to the burning glasses of antiquity, and indeed who can say when people first peered through the liquid lens formed by a droplet of water?

As an initial step toward an understanding of what lenses do and how they manage to do it, let's examine what happens when light impinges on the curved surface of a transparent dielectric medium

5.2.1 Refraction at Aspherical Surfaces

Imagine that we have a point source S whose spherical waves arrive at a boundary between two transparent media, as shown in Fig. 5.2. We would like to determine the shape that the interface must have for the wave traveling within the second medium to converge at a point *P*, there forming a perfect image of *S*. Practical reasons for wanting to focus a diverging wave to a point will become evident as we proceed.

The time it takes for each and every portion of a wavefront leaving 5 to converge at P must be identical, if a perfect image is to be formed—that much was implied by Huygens in 1678. Or as we saw in Section



are 5.8 The Cartesian oval

Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics-Paraxial Theory 130

4.2.3, the distance between corresponding points on any and all rays will be traversed in that s ne time Another way to say essentially the same thing from the perspective of Fermat's principle is that if a great many different rays are to go from S to P (i.e., if point A in Fig. 5.3 can be anywhere on the interface), each ray must traverse the same optical path length. Thus, for example, if S is in a medium of index n_1 and P is in an optically more dense medium of index n_2 .

$\ell_{o}n_{1} + \ell_{i}n_{2} = s_{o}n_{1} + s_{i}n_{2},$

(5.1)

(5.2)

(d)

and s, are the object and image distances measured from the vertex or pole V, respectively. Once we choose so and si, the right-hand side of this equation becomes fixed, and so

$\ell_o n_1 + \ell_o n_2 = \text{constant.}$

This is the equation of a *Cartesian oval* whose sig-nificance in optics was studied extensively by René Descartes in the early 1600s (Problem 5.1). Hence, when the boundary between two media has the shape of a Cartesian oval of revolution about the \overline{SP} , or optical

axis, S and P will be conjugate points, that is, a source at either location will be perfectly inagenerated and other. What's acoulty occurring physically is rathered to comprehend. Since $n_2 > n_1$, those regions of a wavefront traveling in the optically more dense mediations are then there then there is a since $n_2 > n_1$. move slower than those regions traversing the material. Consequently, as the wave begins to through the vertex of the oval, the segment imme about the optical axis is slowed down from c/n, t about the optical axis is advected to the from the ra-Regions of the same wavefront remote from the ra-are still in the first medium traveling with a grea-speed, c/n_1 . Thus the wavefronts bend, and if the bo-back is the same the same from the form of a specie (*i*, *m*). Thus not wavefroms being and if the be dary is properly configured (in the form of a Cartes-ovoid), the wavefronts will be inverted from diverge to converging spherical segments. In addition to focusing a spherical wave, we would like to be able to perform a few other restang-operations using refracting interfaces; some of the second second spin 4.0 we able second to the

like to be able to perform a few other resna operations using refracting interfaces: some of the are illustrated in Fig. 5.4. We shall consider the briefly and more for pedagogical than practical real The surfaces in Fig. 5.4(a) and (b) are ellipse threat of an education of a rehyperholoidal. N whereas those in (c) and (d) are hyperholoidal.



Figure 5.4 Ellipsoidal and hyperboloidal refracting surfaces $(n_n > n_1)$



The set of the task with the diverge from or converge indicates the task arrowheads have been initiated to another the tasks can go either way. In other words, an indicate plane wave will converge to the farthest form that focus will emerge as a plane wave. Further-more, as you might expect, if we let the point S in Fig. 25 move out to infinity, the ovoid would gradually meanophose into an ellipsoid. Base than deriving expressions for these surfaces, insight he above remarks. To that end, examine which relates back to Fig. 5.4(a). The optical lengths from any point D on the planar wavefront before S, must all be equal to the same constant the cava either diverge from or converge

ിണ് സ്പെ us F1 must all be equal to the same constant

$$(\overline{F_1A})n_2 + (\overline{AD})n_1 = C$$

$$(\overline{F_1A}) + (\overline{AD})n_{12} = C/n_2$$

werthe this relationship is indeed satisfied by an Thissoid of revolution, recall that if Σ corresponds to

(5.3)

The other ellipse, $(\overline{F_2A}) = \epsilon(\overline{AD})$, where e is a finitely. Thus if $e = n_{12}$, the left-hand side of becomes $(\overline{F_1A}) + (\overline{F_2A})$, which is certainly coman ellipse. Here the eccentricity is less than 1 (*n*₂) and it is left for Problem 5.2 to show that there are reater than 1 (i.e., $n_1 > n_2$), the curve would be an a hyperbola instead [compare (a) with (c) and (b) with (3) in Fig. 5.4). If all this brings back memories analytic geometry, you might keep in mind that that bject was originated by Descartes. Interestingly, it was pler who first (1611) suggested using conic sections Kepler 1

tepler who fix (lol1) suggested using conic sections experient of the section of the construct lenses such that both the object and image onstruct lenses such that both the object and image onstruct lenses such that both the object and image onstruct lenses such that both the object and the section of the



Figure 5.5 Geometry of an ellipsoid.

Another arrangement that will convert diverging spherical waves into plane waves is illustrated in Fig. 5.6(c). This is a sphero-elliptic convex lens, where F_1 is simultaneously at the center of the spherical surface and at the focus of the ellipsoid. Rays from F_1 strike the first surface perpendicularly and are therefore undeviated by it. As in Fig. 5.4(a), the exiting wavefronts are planar. All the elements thus far examined have been thicker at their midpoints than at their edges and are for that reason said to be convex (from the Latin convexus, meaning arched). In contrast, the planar hyper-bolic concevus [ens (from the Latin concevus, meaning hollow, and easily remembered because it contains the word cave) is thinner at the middle than at the edges, as is evident in Fig. 5.6(d). A number of other arrange-ments are possible, and a few will be considered in the problems (5.9). Note that each of these lenses will work

problems (5.3). Note that each of these lenses will work just as well in reverse: the waves shown emerging can instead be thought of as entering from the right. If a point source is positioned on the optical axis at the point F_0 of the lens in Fig. 5.6(a), rays will converge to the conjugate point F_0 . A luminous image of the source would appear on a screen placed at F_0 , an image that is therefore said to be real. On the other hand, in Fig. 5.6(d) the point source is at infinity, and the rays emerging from the system this time are diverging. They appear to come from a point F_0 , but no actual luminous image would appear on a screen placed. The familiar image schemetade by a place mirror.

image generated by a plane mirror. Optical elements (enses and mirrors) of the sort we have talked about, with one or both surfaces neither planar nor spherical, are referred to as aspherics. Although their operation is easy to understand and they perform certain tasks exceedingly well, they are still




(c)

difficult to manufacture with great accuracy. Nonethe-less, where the costs are justifiable or the required precision is not restrictive or the volume produced is large enough, aspherics are being used extensively and will surely have an increasingly important role. The first quality glass aspheric to be manufactured in great quan-tities (tens of millions) was a lens for the Kodak disk camera (1982). And the small-scale production of diffraction-limited molded-glass aspheric lenses has been reported in recent times. Today aspherical lenses are frequently used as an elegant means of correcting imaging errors in complicated optical systems.

in aging errors in complicated optical systems. A new generation of computer-controlled machines, aspheric generators, is producing elements with toler-ances (i.e., departures from the desired surface) of better than 0.5 µm (0.000020 inch). This is still about to factor of 10 away from the generally required toler-ance of $\lambda/4$ for quality optics, but that will surely come in time. Nowadays aspherics made in plastic and glass can be found in all kinds of instruments across the whole range of quality, including telescopes, projectors, cameras, and reconnaissance devices.



Figure 5.6 (a) A double hyperbolic lens. (b) A hyperbolic generation of the second state of the second sta

5.2.2 Refraction at Spherical Surfaces

Imagine that we have two pieces of material, and a concave and the other a convex spherical surface having the same radius. It is a unique property of sphere that such pieces will fit together in in contact regardless of their mutual orientation, we take two roughly spherical objects of surall



Figure 5.7 Polishing a spherical lens. (Photo of America.)

iding tool and the other a disk of glass, there is a conditional to be and the other a disk of glass, there with some abrasive, and then randomly the with respect to each other, we can anticipate the source of the method of the source of th

The our meet here is to establish techniques to methourfaces whereby a great many object points antifactorily imaged simultaneously in light com-orderfroad frequency range. Image errors, known has will occur, but is possible with the method technology to construct high-quality spherical ns whose aberrations are so well controlled

The systems whose abserrations are so well controlled that image fidelity is limited only by diffraction. How that we know why and where we are going, let's move on Figure 5.8 depicts a wave from the point source S (mpiging on a spherical interface of radius R central at C. The ray (SA) will be refracted at the interface found the local normal ($n_2 > n_1$) and there-nearized the optical axis. Assume that at some point of the axis as will all other rays incident at

and the optical axis. Assume that at some point spots the axis, as will all other rays incident at ungle θ_i (Fig. 5.9). Fermat's principle maintains a final path length (OPL) will be stationary, using the with respect to the position variable score for the ray in question. hat is, it ill be ze

$(\text{OPL}) = n_1 \dot{\ell_o} = n_2 \ell_i.$

Using the law of cosines in triangles SAC and ACP are greated that $\cos \varphi = -\cos (180 - \varphi)$, we get $R^{2} = [R^{2} + |s_{a} + R]^{2} - 2R(s_{o} + R)\cos\varphi]^{1/2}$

 $\ell_i = \frac{1}{n^2} + (s_i - R)^2 + 2R(s_i - R)\cos\varphi]^{1/2},$ The OPL on be rewritten as

(OPL) = $(s_0 + R)^2 - 2R(s_0 - R)\cos\varphi^{1/2}$

 $1 = 0.5 = R^{5} + (s_{1} - R)^{2} + 2R(s_{1} - R)\cos\varphi$

All the quantizes in the diagram $(s_1, s_2, R, \text{ etc.})$ are positive numbers, and these form the basis of **a** sign convention which is gradually unfolding and to which

5.2 Lenses 133



Figure 5.8 Refraction at a spherical interface

we shall return time and again (see Table 5.1). Inasmuch as the point A moves at the end of a fixed radius (i.e., R constant), φ is the position variable, and thus setting $d(\text{OPL})/d\varphi = 0$, via Fermat's principle we have

$$\frac{n_1 R(s_o + R) \sin \varphi}{2\ell_o} - \frac{n_2 R(s_i - R) \sin \varphi}{2\ell_i} = 0,$$

from which it follows that

(5.4)

$$\frac{n_i}{\ell_a} + \frac{n_2}{\ell_i} = \frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{n_2 s_i}{\ell_i} - \frac{n_1 s_o}{\ell_a} \right). \quad (5.5)$$

This is the relationship that must hold among the para-This is the tertahomating that have hold almost not a mong the parameters for a ray going from Sto P by way of refraction at the spherical interface. Although this expression is exact, it is rather complicated. We already know that if A is moved to a new location by changing φ , the new ray will not intercept the optical axis at P—this is not a



Figure 5.9 Rays incident at the same angle

Table 5.1 Sign convention for spherical refracting surfaces and thin lenses" (light entering from the left).

See for	+ left of V	
Xa	+ left of F ₀	
si, fi	+ right of V	
x,	+ right of F ₁	
R	+ if C is right of V	
Ser. 31	+ above optical axis	

* This table anticipates the intminent introduction of a few quantities not $\gamma \phi$ spoken of

Cartesian oval. The approximations that are used to represent ℓ_o and ℓ_i , and thereby simplify Eq. (5.5), are crucial in all that is to follow. Recall that

$$\cos \varphi = 1 - \frac{\varphi^2}{2!} + \frac{\varphi^4}{4!} - \frac{\varphi^6}{6!} + \cdots$$
 (5.6)
$$\sin \varphi = \varphi - \frac{\varphi^3}{3!} + \frac{\varphi^5}{5!} - \frac{\varphi^7}{7!} + \cdots ,$$
 (5.7)

If we assume small values of φ (i.e., A close to V), $\cos \varphi \approx 1$. Consequently, the expressions for ℓ_s and ℓ_i yield $\ell_{\varphi} = s_{\varphi}$, $\ell_i \approx s_i$, and to that approximation

and

$$\frac{n_1}{s_v} + \frac{n_2}{s_i} = \frac{n_2 - n_1}{R},$$
(5.8)

We could have begun this derivation with Snell's law rather than Fermai's principle (Problem 5.4), in which case small values of φ would have led to sin $\varphi \approx \varphi$ and Eq. (5.8) once again. This approximation delineates the domain of what is called *first-order theory*—we'll exammine *third-order theory* (sin $\varphi \approx \varphi - \varphi^2/3!$) in the next chapter. Rays that arrive at shallow angles with respect to the optical axis (such that φ and h are appropriately small) are known as **paraxial rays**. The *emerging wavefront sagmenti corresponding to these furaxial rays is essentially spherical and will form a "perfect" image at its carter P location of A* over a small area about the symmetry axis, namely, the *faraxial region*. Gauss, in 1841, was the first to give a systematic exposition of the formation of is variously known as *first-order, paraxial*, or Gaussian optics. It soon became the basic theoretical tool by which lenses would be designed for several decades to come. If the optical system is well corrected, an incident spherical wave will emerge in a form very closely resembling a spherical wave. Consequently, as the perfection of the system increases, it more closely approaches firstorder theory. Deviations from that of paraxial analysis will provide a convenient measure of the quality of an actual optical device.

If the point F_{ρ} in Fig. 5.10 is imaged at infinity $(s_i = \infty)$, we have

$$\frac{n_1}{s_0} + \frac{n_2}{\infty} = \frac{n_2 - n_2}{R}$$

That special object distance is defined as the *first focal* length or the object focal length, $s_v = f_v$, so that $f_v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{$

$$f_o = \frac{n_1}{n_2 - n_1} \vec{n}. \tag{5.9}$$

 n_1

The point F_o is known as the first or object focus. Similarly the second or image focus is the axial point F_i , where the image is formed when $s_o = \infty$, that is,





Figure 5.11 The reshaping of plane into spherical waves at a spherical interface---the image focus.

Defining the second or image focal length f_i as equal to s, in this special case (Fig. 5.11), we have

$$f_i = \frac{n_2}{n_2 - n_1} R.$$

 $n_2 - n_1$ Recall that an image is virtual when the rays diverge from it (Fig. 5.12). Analogously, an object is virtual when the rays converge toward it (Fig. 5.13). Observe that the virtual object is now on the right-hand side of the vertex, and therefore δ_n will be a negative quantity. Moreover, the surface is concave, and its radius will also be negative, as required by Eq. (5.9), since f_n would be negative. In the same way the virtual image distance appearing to the left of V is negative.



Figure 5.12 A virtual image point.



5.2 Lenses

135

Figure 5.13 A virtual object point.

5.2.3 Thin Lenses

(5.10)

Lenses are made in a wide range of forms; for example, there are acoustic and microwave lenses; some of the latter are mede of glass or was in easily recognizable shapes, whereas others are far more subtle in appearance (Fig. 5.14). In the traditional sense, a lens is an optical system consisting of two or more refracting interfaces, at least one of which is curved. Generally the nonplanar surfaces are centered on a common axis. These surfaces are most frequently spherical segments and are often coated with thin dielectric films to control their transmission properties (see Section 9.9). A lens that consists of one element (i.e., it has only two refracting surfaces) is a simple lens. The presence of more than one element makes it a compound lens. A lens is also classified as to whether it is thin or thick, that is, whether its thickness is effectively negligible or not. We will limit ourselves, for the most part, to cruthered systems (for which all surfaces are rotationally symmetric about a common axis) of spherical surfaces. Under these restrictions, the simple lens can take the diverse forms shown in Fig. 5.15. Lenses that are variously known as convex, converging, or pointies are thicker at the center and so tend to decrease the radius of curvature of the wavefronts. In other words, the wave converges more as it traverses the lens, assuming, of course, that the index of the lens is greater than that of the media in which it is immersed. *Concave, divenging*, or negative lenses, on the other hand,

74



Figure 5.14 A lens for short-wavelength radiowaves. The disks serve to refract these waves much as rows of atoms refract light. (Photo courtesy Optical Society of America.)

are thinner at the center and tend to advance that portion of the wavefront, causing it to diverge more then it did upon entry. In the broadest sense, a lens is a refracting denice that

In the broadest sense, a lens is a refracting device that is used to reshape wave/fronts in a controlled manner. Although this is usually done by passing the wave through at least one specially shaped interface separating two different homogeneous media, it is not the only approach available. For example, it is also possible to reconfigure a wavefront by passing it through an inhomogeneous medium. A gradient-index, or CRIN, lens is one where the desired effect is accomplished by using a medium in which the index of refraction varies in a prescribed fashion. Different portions of the wave shape as it progresses. In the commercial GRIN material (available only since 1976) the index varies radially, decreasing parabolically out from the central axis. Figure 5.15 Cross sections of various centered spherical simple lensing to sentered spherical sen



Today GRIN lenses are still fabricated in quantity only in the form of small-diameter, parallel, flat-faced rods. Usually grouped together in large arrays, they have been used extensively in such equipment as facsimile machines and compact copiers. There are other unconventional lenses, including the holographic lens and even the gravitational lens (where, for example, the gravity of a galaxy bend light passing in its vicinity, thereby forming multiple images of distant celestial objects, such as quasarys. We shall focus our attention in the remainder of this chapter on the more traditional types of lenses, even though hou are actually reading these words through a GRIN lens (p.179).

() Thin-Lens Equations

Return for a moment to the discussion of refraction at a single spherical interface, where the location of the conjugate points S and P is given by

$$\frac{n_1}{c} + \frac{n_2}{c} = \frac{n_2 - n_1}{p}$$

(5.8)

(5.11)

Thus :

 z_s $z_i - \kappa$ When s_{ij} is large for a fixed $(n_2 - n_1)/R$, s_i is relatively small. As s_i decreases, s_i moves away from the vertex, that is, both θ_i and θ_i increase until finally $s_0 - f_i$ and $s_i = \infty$. At that point, $n_i/s_i = (n_2 - n_i)/R$, so that if s_i gets any smaller, s_i will have to be negative, if Eq. (5.8) is to hold. In other words, the image becomes virtual (Fig. 5.16). Let's now locate the conjugate points for the lens of index n_i surrounded by a medium of index n_m as in Fig. 5.17, where another end has simply been ground on the piece in Fig. 5.16(c). This certainly isn't the most general set of circumstances, but it is the most common, and even more cogently, it is the simplex.⁴ We know from Eq. (5.8) that the paraxial rays issuing from S at s_n will meet at P'_n distance, which we now cull $s_{1,1}$, from V_1 , given by

$$\frac{a_m}{s_{n1}} + \frac{a_1}{s_{n1}} = \frac{a_1 - a_m}{R_1} - .$$

Thus as far as the second surface is concerned, it "sees" rays coming toward it from P', which serves as its object

* See Jenkins and White, Fundamentals of Optics, p. 57, for a derivation containing three different indices. 5.2 Lenses 137



Figure 5.16 Refraction at a spherical interface

point a distance s_{n2} away. Furthermore, the rays arriving at that second surface are in the medium of index n_i . Thus, the object space for the second interface that contains P' has an index n_i . Note that the rays from P'to that surface are indeed straight lines. Considering the fact that

$|s_{o:2}| = |1_{o:1}| + d,$

since s_{n2} is on the left and therefore positive, $s_{n2} = |s_{n2}|$, and s_{i1} is also on the left and therefore negative, $-s_{i1} = |s_{i1}|$, we have

$$s_{s_2} = -s_{i1} = d.$$
 (5.12)
at the second surface Eq. (5.8) yields

$$\frac{n_i}{(-x_i + d)} + \frac{n_m}{x_i} = \frac{1}{R_i}$$
(5.13)

(a) Figure 5.17 A spherical lens. (a) Refraction at the interfaces. The radius drawn from C_1 is normal to the first surface, and as the ray enters the lens it bends down *towned* that normal. The radius from

Here $n_t > n_m$ and $R_2 < 0$, so that the right-hand side is positive. Adding Eqs. (5.11) and (5.13), we have

$$\frac{\pi_{20}}{s_{e1}} + \frac{\pi_{20}}{s_{e2}} = (n_i - n_m) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right) + \frac{n_s d}{(s_{e1} - d)s_{e1}} - \frac{(5.14)}{(s_{e1} - d)s_{e1}} - \frac{n_s d}{(s_{e1} - d)s_{e1}} - \frac{n_s d}$$

If the lens is thin enough (d = 0), the last term on the right is effectively zero. As a further simplification, assume the surrounding medium to be air (i.e., $n_m \approx 1$). Accordingly, we have the very useful thin-lens equa-tion, often referred to as the lensmaker's formula:

$$\frac{1}{s_{0}} + \frac{1}{s_{1}} = (n_{1} - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_{1}} - \frac{1}{R_{2}} \right), \qquad (5.12)$$

where we let $s_{i_1} = s_i$ and $s_{i_2} = s_i$. The points V_1 and V_2 tend to coalesce as d = 0, so that s_a and s_i can be measured from either the vertices or the lens center. Just as in the case of the single spherical surface, if s_i is moved out to infinity, the image distance becomes the fo

cal length
$$f_i$$
, or symbolical

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} s_i = f_i.$$

Similarly

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}s_o=f_o.$



 C_2 is normal to the second surface; and as the ray emerges, since $n_t > n_s$, the ray bends down away from that normal. (b) The geometry.

It is evident from Eq. (5.15) that for a thin lens $f_i = f_o$, and consequently we drop the subscripts altogether Thus

$$\frac{1}{f} = (n_i - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$$
(5.16)

$$\frac{1}{s_o} + \frac{1}{s_1} = \frac{1}{f},$$
 (5.17)

which is the famous **Gaussian lens formula**. As an example of how these expressions might be used, let's compute the focal length in air of a thin planar-convex lens having a radius of curvature of 50 mm and an index of 1.5. With light entering on the planar surface ($R_1 = \infty$, $R_2 = -50$),

$$\frac{1}{f} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{\infty} - \frac{1}{-50} \right),$$

and

whereas if instead it arrives at the curved surface ($R_1 =$ $+50, R_2 = \infty$)

$$\frac{1}{f} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{+50} - \frac{1}{\infty} \right),$$

and in either case $f \approx 100 \text{ mm}$. If an object is alternately

ed at distances 600 mm, 200 mm, 150 mm, 100 mm, pl and 50 mm from the lens on either side, we can find the image points from Eq. (5.17). Hence

$\frac{1}{600} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{100}$

and $s_i = 120$ mm. Similarly, the other image distances are 200 mm, 300 mm, ∞_i and -100 mm, respectively. Interestingly enough, when $s_i = \infty$, $s_i = j$; as s_i decreases, s_i increases positively until $s_i = f$ and s_i is negative thereafter. You can qualitatively check this out with a simple convex lens and a small electric light—the table increases is probably. with a simple convex lens and a small electric light—the high-intensity variety that uses auto lamps is probably the most convenient. Standing as far as you can from the source, project a clear image of it onto a white sheet of paper. You should be able to see the lamp quite clearly and not just as a blur. That image distance approximates *f*. Now move the lens in toward *S*. adjust-ing *s*, to produce a clear image. It will surely increase. As $s_s \rightarrow f$, a clear image of the filament can be projected, 5.2 Lenses 139

but only on an increasingly distant screen. For $s_o < f$, there will just be a blur where the farthest wall intersects the diverging cone of rays-the image is virtual.

ii) Facal Points and Planes

Figure 5.18 summarizes pictorially some of the situations described analytically by Eq. 5.16. Observe that if a lens of index n_i is in a medium of index n_m .

$$\frac{1}{l} = (n_{lm} - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right).$$
(5.18)

The focal lengths in (a) and (b) of Fig. 5.18 are equal, (c), $n_l < n_m$, and consequently f is negative. In (d) and (e), $n_{lm} > 1$ but $R_1 < 0$, whereas $R_2 > 0$, so f is again negative, and the object in one case and the image in



$$\lim_{x_0 \to \infty}$$

the other are virtual. The last situation shows $n_{tm} < 1$, yielding an f > 0.

Notice that in each instance it is particularly convenient to draw a ray through the center of the lens, which, because it is perpendicular to both surfaces, is undeviated. Suppose, however, that an off-axis paraxial ray emerges from the lens parallel to its incident direction, as in Fig. 5.19. We maintain that all such rays will pass through the point defined as the *optical center* of the lens O. To see this, draw two parallel planes, one on each side tangent to the lens at any pair of points A and B. This can easily be done by selecting A and B such that the radii $\overline{AC_1}$ and $\overline{BC_2}$ are themselves parallel. It is to be shown that the paraxial ray traversing \overline{AB} enters and leaves the lens in the same direction. It is evident from the diagram that triangles AOC_1 and



Figure 5.19 The optical center of a lens. (Photo by E.H.)



Figure 5.20 Focusing of several ray bundles

BOC2 are similar, in the geometric sense, and therefore their sides are proportional. Hence, $|R_1|(\overline{OC}_2) = |R_2|(\overline{OC}_1)$, and since the radii are constant, the location of O is constant, independent of A and B. As we saw of O is constant, independent of A and B. As we saw earlier (Problem 4.19 and Fig. 4.55), a ray traversing a medium bounded by parallel planes will be displaced laterally but will suffer no angular deviation. This dis-placement is proportional to the thickness, which for a thin lens is negligible. *Raw passing through O may, accord*. tingly, be drawn as straight lines. It is customary when dealing with thin lenses simply to place O midway between the vertices. Recall that a bundle of parallel paraxial rays incident

Recall that a bundle of parallel paraxial rays incident on a spherical refracting surface comes to a focus at a point on the optical axis (Fig. 5.11). As shown in Fig. 5.20, this implies that several such bundles entering in a narrow cone will be focused on a spherical segment σ_i also centered on C. The undeviated rays normal to b, also concrete on c. The understated rays normal to the surface, and therefore passing through C, locate the foci on σ . Since the ray cone must indeed be narrow, σ can satisfactorily be represented as a plane normal to the symmetry axis and passing through the image focus. It is known as a **focal plane**. In the same way, limiting ourselves to paraxial theory, a lens will focus all incident parallel bundles of rays⁴ onto a surface called the second or back focal plane, as in Fig. 5.21. Here each point on σ is located by the undeviated ray through O. Similarly, the first or front focal plane contains the object focus F...

⁶ Perhaps the earliest literary reference to the focal properties of a lens appears in Aristophanes' play. *The Claudy*, which dates back to 23 n.C. In its Streptiates plays to use a burring glass to focus the Sun's rays onto a was tablet and thereby melt out the record of a gambling debt.



Figure 5.21 The focal plane of a lens

ii) Finite Imagery

Thus far we've dealt with the mathematical abstraction of a single-point source, but now let's suppose that a great many such points combine to form a continuous of a single-point source, but now let's suppose that a great may such points combine to form a continuous finite object. For the moment, imagine the object to be a segment of a sphere, σ_a , centered on *G*, as in Fig. 5.22. If σ_a is close to the spherical interface, point *S* will have a virtual image *P* (s, <0 and therefore on the left of *V*). With *S* fatther away, its image will be real (s, >0 and therefore on the right-hand side). In either case, each point on σ_a has a conjugate point on σ_a lying on a straight line through *C*. Within the restrictions of paraxial theory, these surfaces can be considered planar. Thus a small planar object normal to the optical axis will be imaged into a small planar region also normal to that axis. It should be noted that if σ_a is moved out to the optical instead (e.g. parallel), and the image points will lie on the focal plane (Fig. 5.21). By cutting and polishing the right side of the piece depicted in Fig. 5.22, we can construct a thin lens, just as was done in Section (i). Once again, the image (σ_i in Fig. 5.22) formed by the first surface of the lens will serve as the object for the second surface, which in turn

serve as the object for the second surface, which in turn

5.2 Lenses 141

will generate a final image. Suppose then that σ_i in Fig. 5.22(a) is the object for the second surface, which is 5.22(a) is the object to the section surface, which is assumed to have a negative radius. We already know what will happen next—the situation is identical to Fig. 5.22(b) with the ray directions reversed. The final image formed by a lens of a small planar object rormal to the optical axis will itself be a small planar object normal to the aptical axis will itself be a small planar object normal to the aptical axis will itself be a small planar object normal to integer The location, size, and or intention of an image pro-duced by a lens can be determined, particularly simply, with any discussion. The final the images of the when the simple of the producement. The final the images of the when the simple.

with ray diagrams. To find the image of the object in Fig. 5.23, we must locate the image point corresponding to each object point. Since all rays issuing from a source point in a paraxial come will arrive at the image point, any two such rays will suffice to fix that point. Since we know the positions of the focal point, since are three rays that are especially easy to apply. Two of these make use of the fact that a ray passing through the focal point ill generate from the lase neglities that have been to be apply that are the second secon will emerge from the lens parallel to the optical axis and vice versa; the third is the undeviated ray through



Figure 5.22 Finite imagery





Figure 5.23 Tracing a few key rays through a positive and negative lens.

O. Figure 5.24 shows how any two of these three rays locate the image of a point on the object. Incidentally, this technique dates back to the work of Robert Smith as long aro as 1738.

this technique dates back to the work of Robert Smith as long ago as 1738. This graphical procedure can be made even simpler by replacing the thin lens with a plane passing through tis center (Fig. 5.25). Presumably, if we were to extend every incoming ray forward a little and every outgoing ray backward a bit, each pair would meet on this plane. Thus the total deviation of any ray can be envisaged as occurring all at once on that plane. This is equivalent to the actual process consisting of two separate angular shifts, one at each interface. (As we will see later, this is tantamount to saying that the two principal planes of a thin lens coincide.)

a thin lens coincide.) In accord with convention, transverse distances above the optical axis are taken as positive quantities, and those below the axis are given negative numerical values. Therefore in Fig. 5.25 y, > 0 and $y_1 < 0$. Here the image is said to be *invented*, whereas if $y_1 > 0$ when $y_2 > 0$, it is *erect*. Observe that triangles *AOF*, and $P_2P_1F_1$ are similar. Ergo



Using the distances measured from the focal points and



Figure 5.24 (a) A real object and a positive lens. (b) A real object and a negative lens. (c) A real image projected on the viewing screen

combining this information with Eq. (5.19), we have $\mathbf{x}_s \mathbf{x}_i = \int^2 . \qquad (5.23)$

 $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} = \mathbf{j} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i})$ (3.3) This is the Newtonian form of the lens equation, the first statement of which appeared in Newton's Opticks in 1704. The signs of \mathbf{x}_{i} and \mathbf{x}_{i} are reckoned with respect to their concomitant foci. By convention \mathbf{x}_{i} is taken to be positive left of F_{i} , whereas \mathbf{x}_{i} is positive on the right of R_{i} . To he sure, it is evident from Eq. (5.23) that \mathbf{x}_{i} and \mathbf{x}_{i} have like signs, which means that the object and image must be on opposite sides of their respective focal points. This is a good thing for the neophyte to remember



5.2 Lenses

143

(d) much as the eye projects its image on the retina. (d) The minified, rightside-up, virtual image formed by a negative lens.



Figure 5.25 Object and image location for a thin lens.

when making those hasty freehand ray diagrams for which he is already infamous.

The ratio of the transverse dimensions of the final image formed by any optical system to the corresponding dimension of the object is defined as the *lateral* or **transverse magnification**, M_r , that is.

$$M_T = \frac{y_i}{y_e}$$
.

(5.24)

(5.25)

Or from Eq. (5.20) $M_T = -\frac{s_i}{s_o},$

Thus a positive M_{T} connotes an erect image, while a negative value means the image is inverted (see Table 5.2). Bear im mind that s, and s, are both positive for real objects and images. Clearly, then, all such images formed by a single thin lans will be inverted. The Newtonian expression for the magnification follows from Eqs. (5.19) and (5.22) and Fig. 5.24, whence

$$M_T = -\frac{x_i}{f} = -\frac{f}{x_o}$$
. (5.26)

The term magnification is a misnomer, since the magnitude of M_T can certainly be less than 1, in which case the image is smaller than the object. We have $M_T = -1$ when the object and image distances are positive and equal, and that happens (5.17) only when $s_a = i_1 = 2i_1$. This turns out to be the configuration in which the object and image are as close together as they can possibly get (i.e., a distance 4/4 part; see Problem 5.5). Table 5.5 summarizes a number of image configurations resulting from the juxtaposition of a thin lens and a real object. Figure 5.26 illustrates the behavior picture of the standard stan

Table 5.2 Meanings associated with the signs of various thin lens and spherical interface parameters.

Quantity	Sign	
	+	-
5.	Real object	Virtual object
5.	Real image	Virtual image
i	Converging lens	 Diverging lens
S.	Erect object	Inverted object
31	Erect image	Inverted image
MT	Erect image	Inverted image



Figure 5.26 The image-forming behavior of a thin positive lens

r. sto 5.3 Images of real ubjects formed by this lesses

Object						
	Image			Image		
Location	Type	Location	Orientation	Relative size		
$\infty > s_0 > 2f$ $s_0 = 2f$	Real Real	$\begin{array}{l} f < s_i < 2f \\ s_i = 2f \end{array}$	Inverted Inverted	Minified Same size		
$f < s_0 < z_f$ $s_0 = f$ $s_0 < f$	Virtual	$\pm \infty$ x > x	Erect	Magnified		

Location	Type	Location	Orientation	Relative star
Anywhere	Virtual	$ s_i < f ,$ $ s_0 > s_i $	Erect	Minified

torially. Observe that as the object approaches the lens, the real image moves away from it. Presumably, the image of a three-dimensional object

Presumably, the image of a three-dimensional object will itself occupy a three-dimensional region of space. The optical system can apparently affect both the transverse and longitudinal dimensions of the image. The *longitudinal magnification*, M_L, which relates to the axial direction, is defined as

$$M_L = \frac{dx_i}{dx_a}.$$

(5.27)

(5.28)

This is the ratio of an infinitesimal axial length in the region of the image to the corresponding length in the region of the object. Differentiating Eq. (5.23) leads to

.

$$M_L = -\frac{f^2}{x_a^2} = -M_T^2$$

for a thin lens in a single medium (Fig. 5.27). Evidently, $M_{\rm c} < 0$, which implies that a positive $dx_{\rm c}$ corresponds to an<u>egative</u> $dx_{\rm s}$ and vice versa. In other words, a finger pointing toward the lens is imaged pointing away from it (Fig. 5.28).

Form the image of a window on a sheet of paper, using a simple convex lens. Assuming a lovely arboreal scene, image the distant trees on the screen. Now move the paper away from the lens, so that it intersects a different region of the image space. The trees will fade while the nearby window itself comes into view.





Figure 5.27 The transverse magnification is different from the longitudinal magnification.

iv) Thin-Lens Combinations

Our purpose here is not to become proficient in the subtle intricacies of modern lens design, but rather to begin to appreciate, utilize, and adapt those systems already available.

In constructing a new optical system, one generally begins by sketching out a rough arrangement using the quickest approximate calculations. Refinements are then added as the designer goes on to the prodigious and more exact ray-tracing techniques. Nowadays these computations are most often carried out by electronic digital computers. Even so, the simple thin-lens concept provides a highly useful basis for preliminary calculations in a broad range of situations.

No lens is actually a thin lens in the strict sense of having a thickness that approaches zero. Yet many simple lenses, for all practical purposes, function in a fashion equivalent to that of a thin lens. Almost all



Figure 5.28 Image orientation for a thin lens.



spectacle lenses, which, by the way, have been used at least since the thirteenth century, are in this category. When the radii of curvature are large and the lens diameter is small, the thickness will usually be small as well. A lens of this sort would generally have a large focal length, compared with which the thickness would he with tree larges are interacted with the thickness. be quite small; many early telescope objectives fit that

be quite small; many early tclescope objectives fit that description perfectly. We will now derive some expressions for parameters associated with thin-lens combinations. The approach here will be fairly simple, leaving the more elaborate traditional treatment for those tenacious enough to pursue the matter into the next chapter. Suppose we have two thin positive lenses L₁ and L₂ separated by a distance d, which is smaller than either focal length, as in Fig. 5.29. The resulting image can be located graphically as follows. If we overlook L₂ for a moment, the image formed exclusively by L₁ is con-structed with rays 1 and 3. As usual, these pass through the lens object and image foci, F₈ and F₁₁. respectively. the lens object and image foci, F_{e1} and F_{i1} , respectively. The object is in a normal plane, so that two rays deterFigure 5.29 Two thin lenses separated by a distance smaller than either focal length.

or

0

This is

 $s_{e1} = \frac{s_{e1} f_1}{s_{e1} - f_1},$

and if $d > s_{11}$, the object for L_2 is real (as in Fig. 5.0), whereas if $d < s_{11}$, it is virtual ($s_{52} < 0$, as in Fig. 5.29). In the former instance the rays approaching L_2 are diverging from P'_1 , whereas in the latter they are con-verging toward it. Furthermore,

 $\frac{1}{s_{i2}} = \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{1}{s_{o2}}$

 $s_{l2} = \frac{s_{o2}f_2}{s_{o2} - f_2}$

right of L_1 , when $s_{e1} > f_1$ and $f_1 > 0$. For L_2 $s_{o2} = d - s_{o1},$

positive, and the intermediate image is to the

mine its top, and a perpendicular to the optical axis finds its bottom. Ray 2 is then constructed running backward from P_1 through O_2 . Insertion of L_2 has no effect on ray 2, whereas ray 3 is refracted through the image focus F_{r_2} of L_2 . The intersection of rays 2 and 3 fixes the image, which in this particular case is real, minified, and inverted. A similar onit of lower is illustrated in Fig. 5 80 in

minified, and inverted. A similar pair of lenses is illustrated in Fig. 5.30, in which the separation has been increased. Once again rays 1 and 3 through F_{11} and F_{21} fix the position of the intermediate image generated by L_1 alone. As before, ray 2 is drawn backward from O_2 to P_1 to S_1 . The intersection of rays 2 and 3, as the latter is refracted through F_{12} , locates the final image. This time it is real and erect. Notice that if the focal length of L_2 is increased with all else constant, the size of the image increases a well. increases as well. Analytically, we have for L

we have for L	
$\frac{1}{s_{i1}} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{s_{o1}}$	(5.29)



(5.30)

(5.31)

Using Eq. (5.31), we obtain

$$s_{i2} = \frac{(d - s_{i1})f_2}{(d - s_{i1} - f_2)},$$
(5.32)

In this same way we could compute the response of any number of thin lenses. It will often be convenient to have a single expression, at least when dealing with only two lenses, so substituting for $s_{i,i}$ from Eq. (5.29), we get

$$s_{12} = \frac{f_2 d - f_2 s_{o1} f_1 / (s_{o1} - f_1)}{d - f_2 - s_{o1} f_1 / (s_{o1} - f_1)},$$
(5.33)

Here s_{e1} and s₁₂ are the object and image distances, respectively, of the compound lens. As an example, let's compute the image distance associated with an object placed 50 cm from the first of two positive lenses. These

in turn are separated by 20 cm and have focal lengths of 30 cm and 50 cm, respectively. By direct substitution (5.33)

$$s_{i2} = \frac{50(20) - 50(50)(30)/(50 - 30)}{20 - 50 - 50(30)/(50 - 30)} = 26.2 \text{ cm},$$

and the image is real. Inasmuch as L_2 "magnifies" the

and the image is real. Insurance as L_2 magnines the intermediate image formed by L_1 , the total transverse magnification of the compound lens is the product of the individual magnifications, that is, $M_T = M_T M_{T2}$

$$M_T = \frac{f_1 s_{1R}}{d(s_{e1} - f_1) - s_{e1} f_1}.$$

(5.84)

In the above example

$$M_T = \frac{30(26.2)}{20(50 - 30) - 50(30)} = -0.72,$$

and just as we should have guessed from Fig. 5.29, the

and just as we should have guessed from Fig. 5.29, the image is minified and inverted. The distance from the last surface of an optical system to the second focal point of that system as a whole is known as the *back* focal length, or b.1.1. Likewise, the distance from the vertex of the first surface to the first or object focus is the *front local length*, or f.1.1. Con-sequently if we let $s_0 \rightarrow \infty$, s_0 approaches f_1 , which $h_1 \rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow h_2$ is the first locat length $d_0 = f_1$. Hone sequency if we let $s_{i2} \rightarrow \omega$, s_{o2} approaches f_2 , which combined with Eq. (5.31) tells us that $s_{i1} - d - f_2$. Hence from Eq. (5.29)

$$\frac{1}{s_{o1}}\Big|_{s_{o}=\infty} = \frac{1}{f_1} - \frac{1}{(d-f_2)} = \frac{d-(f_1+f_2)}{f_1(d-f_2)},$$

But this special value of s_{o1} is the f.f.l.:

fild
$$-t_a$$

f:f.1. = $\frac{f_1(a-f_2)}{d - (f_1 + f_2)}$ (5.35) In the same way, letting $s_{s_1} = \infty$ in Eq. (5.33), $(s_{s_1} - f_1) \rightarrow s_{s_1}$, and since s_{s_2} is then the b.f.l., we have

b.f.l. = $\frac{f_2(d - f_1)}{d - (f_1 + f_2)}$. (5.36)

To see now this works numerically, let's find both the b.f.l. and f.f.l. for the thin-lens system in Fig. 5.31(a), where $f_1 = -30$ cm and $f_2 = +20$ cm. Then b.f.J. = $\frac{20[10 - (-30)]}{10 - (-30 + 20)} = 40 \text{ cm}$

and similarly f.f.l. = 15 cm. Incidentally. notice the $d = f_1 + f_2$, plane waves entering the compound from either side will emerge as plane waves (Proble 5.27), as in telescopic systems. Observe that if $d \rightarrow 0$, that is, if the lenses are 1 into contact, as in the case of some achromatic de



(b) Figure 5.31 A positive and negative thin-lens combined by E.H.) The rewithant thin lens has an effective focal length, f, 1 1 1

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}, \qquad (5.38)$$
implies that if there are N such lenses in contact,
$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{f_N}, \qquad (5.39)$$

Many of these conclusions can be verified, at least gualitzevit, with a few simple lenses. Figure 5.29 is quite the to duplicate, and the procedure should be streaded, whereas Fig. 5.30 requires a bit more care. The dependent the focal lengths of the two lenses by the g distant source. Then hold one of the lenses a distant source. Then hold one of the lenses at a fixed distance slightly greater than its focal length If a need distinct signify ground that in the plane of observation (i.e., a piece of white er). Now comes the maneuver that requires some art if you don't have an optical bench. Move the find lens (L_1) toward the source, keeping it reasoniper). billy entered. Without any attempts to block out light entering L_2 directly, you will probably see a blurred of your hand holding L_1 . Position the lenses so region on the screen corresponding to L_1 is as disible. The scene spread across L_1 (i.e., its in the image) will become clear and erect, as

6.3.1 Sperture and Field Stops

5.3 STOPS

ically finite nature of all lenses demands that to only a fraction of the energy emitted by a period of the station of the energy emitted by a period of a simple lens therefore determines which the enter the system to form an image. In that the unobstructed or *clear diameter* of the lens and unobstructed or *clear diameter* of the tens as an aperture into which energy flows. Any beit the rim of **a** lens or a separate diaphragm, mines the amount of light reaching the image of sche aperture stop, aboreviated as A.S. The being diaphragm that is usually located behind



Figure 5.32 Aperture stop and field stop.

the first few elements of a compound camera lens is use into the elements of a compound camera tents is just such an aperture stop. Evidently it determines the light-gathering capability of the lens as a whole. As shown in Fig. 5.32, highly oblique rays can still enter a system of this ort. Usually, however, they are delibe-ately restricted in order to control the quality of the image. The element limiting the size or angular breadth of the object that can be imaged by the system is called the field stop or F.S.—it determines the field of view of the instrument. In a camera, the edge of the film that the stop of the size of the film of the instrument. In a camera, the edge of the film isself bounds the image plane and serves as the field stop. Thus, while (Fig. 5.82) the aperture stop controls the number of rays from an object point reaching the conjugate image point, it is the field stop that will or will not obstruct those rays in *tab*. Neither the very top nor the bottom of the object in Fig. 5.32 passes the field stop. Opening the circular aperture stop would cause the system to accept a larger energy cone and in so doing increase the irradiance at each image point. In contrast, opening the field stop would allow the extremities of the object, which were previously blocked, to be imaged.

5.3.2 Entrance and Exit Pupils

Another concept, quite useful in determining whether or not a given ray will traverse the entire optical system

is the pupil. This is simply an image of the aperture stop. is the pupil. This is simply an image of the aperture stop. The entrance pupil of a system is the image of the aperture stop as seen from an axial point on the object through those elements preceding the stop. If there are no kenses between the object and the A.S., the latter itself serves as the entrance pupil. To illustrate the point, examine Fig. 5.33, which is a lens with a rear aperture stop. The image of the aperture stop in L is virtual (see Table 5.3) and magnified. It can be located by sending a few rays out from the edges of the A.S. as seen from an axial point on the image of the A.S. as seen from an axial point on the image plane through the interposed lenses, if there are any. In Fig. 5.33 there are no such lenses, so the aperture stop itself serves as are no such lenses, so the aperture stop itself serves as are no such renses, so the approximate stop inserts the set to the exit pupil. Notice that all of this just means that the cone of light actually entering the optical system is determined by the entrance pupil, whereas the cone leaving it is controlled by the **exit pupi**l. No rays from the source point proceeding outside of either cone will make it to the image plane. If you wanted to use a telescope or a monocular as a

camera lens, you might attach an external front aperture stop to control the amount of incoming light for exposure purposes. Figure 5.34 represents a similar arrangement in which the entrance and exit pupil loca-tions should be self-evident. The last two diagrams



Figure 5.33 Entrance pupil and exit pupil



Figure 5.34 A front aperture stop.

included a ray labeled the chief ray. It is defined to be any ray from an of-axis object point that passes through the center of the aperture stop. The chief ray enters the optical system along a line directed toward the midpoint of the intrance pupil, E_{ay} , and leaves the system along a line passing through the center of the cit pupil, E_{ay} . The chief ray, associated with a conical bundle of rays from a point on the object, effectively behaves as the central ray of the bundle and is representative of it. Chief rays are of particular importance when the aberrations of a lens cleagin are being corrected. Figure 5.35 depicts a somewhat more involved around the through an optical system. One is the chief ray from a point on the periphery of the object

Usually fraced through an optical system. The other a chief ray from a point on the periphery of the object that is to be accommodated by the system. The other is called a marginal ray, since it goes from the axial object point to the rim or margin of the entrance pupil (or

point to the rin of margur of the entrance public of aperture stop). In a situation where it is not clear which element is the actual aperture stop, each component of the system must be imaged by the remaining elements to its left. The image that subtends the smallest ongle at the axial object point is the entrance pupil. The element whose **image** is the entrance pupil is then the aperture stop of the system for that object point. Problem 5.30 deals with just this

Entrance pupil Chief 107

5.3 Stops 151

Figure 5.35 Pupils and stops for a

kind of calculation.

kind of calculation. Notice how the cone of rays, in Fig. 5.86, that can reach the image plane becomes narrower as the object point moves off-axis. The effective aperture stop, which for the axial bundle of rays was the rim of L_1 , has been

markedly reduced for the off-axis bundle. The result is a gradual fading out of the image at points near its periphery, a process known as vignetting. The locations and sizes of the pupils of an optical system are of considerable practical importance. In



Figure 5.36 Vignetting

visual instruments, the observer's eye is positioned at the center of the exit pupil. The pupil of the eye itself will vary from 2 mm to about 8 mm, depending on the general illumination level. Thus a telescope or binocular designed primarily for evening use might have an exit pupil of at least 8 mm (you may have heard the term *night glasss*—they were quite popular on roofs during the Second World War). In contrast, a daylight version will suffice with an exit pupil of 3 or 4 mm. The larger the exit pupil, the easier it will be to align your eye properly with the instrument. Obviously a telescopic sight for a high-powered rifle should have a large exit pupil located far enough behind the scope so as to avoid injury from recoil.

5.3.3 Relative Aperture and f-Number

Suppose we wish to collect the light from an extended source and form an image of it using a lens (or mirror). The amount of energy gathered by the lens (or mirror) from some small region of a distant source will be from some small region to the area of the lens or, more generally, to the area of the entrance pupil. A large *clear aperture* will intersect a large cone of rays. Obviously, if the source were a laser with a very marrow beam, this would not necessarily be true. If we neglect losses due to reflections, absorption, and so forth, the incoming energy will be spread across a corresponding region of the image. Thus the energy per unit area per unit time (i.e., the flux density or irradiance) will be inversely proportional to the image area. The entrance pupil area, if circular, varies as the square of its radius and is therefore proportional to the square of its diameter *D*. Furthermore, the image area will vary as the square of its lateral dimension, which in turn [Eqs. (5.24) and (5.26)] is proportional to f^2 . (Keep in mind that we are talking about an extended object rather than a point source. In the latter case, the image would be confined to a very small area independent of (). Thus beam, this would not necessarily be true. If we neglect that a point source in the magnetized task, the magnetized be confined to a very small area independent of f.) Thus the flux density at the image plane varies as $(Df)f^2$. The ratio D/f is known as the relative aperture, and its inverse is said to be the **f-number**, or f/#, that is,

 $f/\# = \frac{f}{D}$

(5.4())



Figure 5.37 Stopping down a lens to change the f-n

where f/# should be understood as a single symbol.

where f/# should be understood as a single symbol. For example, a lens with a 25-mm aperture and a 50-mm focal length has an *f-number* of 2, which is usually designated *f*/2. Figure 5.37 illustrates the point by show-ing at either *f*/2 or *f*/4. A smaller *f-number* clearly permits more light to reach the image plane. Camera lenses are usually specified by their focal lengths and largest possible a pertures; for example, you might see "50 mm, *f*/1.4" on the barrel of a length side of a start side of the latter, is sometimes spoken of as the speed of the lense, *Law ff/1.4* lens is said to be twice as fast as an *f*/2 lens. Usually lens disphragms have *finamer* markings of *ff*, *1*, 4, 2, 2, 8, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 16, 22, and so on. The largest relative aperture in this increases the *f-number* the auture is fast lens-*f*/2 is more typical. Each consecutive **disphragm** setting increases the *f-number* by a multiplicative factor of $\sqrt{2}$ (numerically rounded off). This corresponds to *f*.1 Increases the promoter by a multiplicative factor of $\sqrt{2}$ (numerically rounded off). This corresponds to a decrease in relative aperture by a multiplicative factor of $1/\sqrt{2}$ and therefore a decrease in flux density by one half. Thus, the same amount of light will reach the film Thus, it is a near a set of f/1.4 at 1/500th of a second, f/2 at 1/250th of a second, f/2 at 1/250th of a second, or f/2.8 at 1/125th of a second, f/2 at 1/25th of a second f/2.

at the Yerkes Observatory of the University of Chicago, has a 40-inch diameter lens with a focal length of 63 feet and therefore an *f-number* of 18.9. The entrance pupil and focal length of a mirror will, in exactly the

me way, determine its f-number. Accordingly, the same way, accuration its *j*-number. Accordingly, the 200-inch diameter mirror of the Mount Palomar tele-scope, with a prime focal length of 666 inches, has an *f*-number of 3.33.

For precise work, in which reflection and absorption losses in the lens itself must be taken into consideration, the *T*-number is highly useful. In effect, it is a modified (increased) *f*-number that a given real lens would actually have to have were it to transmit an amount of light corresponding to a particular value of f/D.

5.4 MIRRORS

Mirror systems are being used in increasingly extensive applications, particularly in the x-ray, ultraviolet, and infrared regions of the spectrum. Although it is relatively simple to construct a reflecting device that will perform satisfactorily across a broad-frequency band-width, the same cannot be said of refracting systems. For example, a silicon or germanium lens designed for the infrared will be completely opaque in the visible (Fig. 3.29). As we will see later, when we consider their aberrations, mirrors have other attributes that contribute to their usefulness.

A mirror might simply be a piece of black glass or a Inely polished metal surface. In the past mirrors were usually made by coating glass with silver, the latter beiog chosen because of its high efficiency in the UV and IR (see Fig. 4.42), and the former because of its rigidity In recent times, vacuum-evaporated coatings of aluminum on highly polished substrates have become the accepted standard for quality mirrors. Protective coatings of silicon monoxide or magnesium fluoride are often layered over the aluminum as well. In special applications (e.g., in lasers), where even the small losses due to metal surfaces cannot be tolerated, mirrors formed of multilayered dielectric films (see Section 9.9) are indispensable.

A whole new generation of lightweight precision mir-rors is being developed for use in large-scale orbiting telescopes—the technology is by no means static.

5.4 Mirrors 153

5.4.1 Planar Mirrors

As with all mirror configurations, those that are planar can be either front- or back-surfaced. The latter is the kind most commonly found in everyday use because it allows the metallic reflecting layer to be completely protected behind glass. In contrast, the majority of mirrors designed for more critical technical usage are front-surfaced (Fig. 5.38).





From Sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3, it's a rather easy matter to determine the image characteristics of a planar mirror. Examining the point source and mirror arrangement of Fig. 5.38, we can quickly show that $|s_0| = |s_1|$, that is, the image P and object S are equidistant from the surface. To wit, $\theta_1 = \theta_1$, from the law of reflection; $\theta_1 + \theta_1$ is the exterior angle of triangle SPA and is therefore equal to the sum of the alternate interior angles, 4 VSA + 4 VPA. But 4 VSA $- \theta_1$, and therefore angles, 4 VSA + 4 VPA. This makes triangles VAS and VPA congruent, in which case $|s_0| = |s_1|$. (Go back and take another look at Problem 4.3 and Fig. 4.50 for the wave picture of the reflection.) We are now faced with the problem of determining a sign convention applicable to mirrors. Whatever we

We are now faced with the problem of determining a sign convention applicable to mirrors. Whatever we choose, and you should certainly realize that there is a choice, we need only be faithful unto it for all to be well. One obvious dilemma with respect to the convention for lenses is that now the virtual image is to the right of the interface. The observer sees *P* to be positioned behind the mirror, because the eye (or camera) cannot perceive the actual reflection; it merely interpolates the rays backward along straight lines. The rays from *P* are diverging, and no light can be cast upon a screen located at *P*—the image is certainly virtual. Clearly, it is a matter of taste whether s_i should be defined as positive or negative in this instance. Since Figure 5.39 (a) The image of an extended object in a planar mirror.

we rather like the idea of virtual object and image distances being negative, we shall define s_{a} and s_{i} as negative when they lie to the right of the vertex V. This will have the added benefit of yielding a mirror formula identical to the Gaussian lens equation (5.17). Evidently, the same definition of the transverse magnification



Figure 5.40 Mirror images-inversion.

(5.24) holds, where now, as before, $M_T = \pm 1$ indicates a *lifesize*, virtual, erect image. Each point of the extended object in Fig. 5.39, a

Each point of the extended object in Fig. 5.39, a perpendicular distance s, from the mirror, is imaged that same distance behind the mirror. In this way, the mirror from the way a lens locates an image. The object in Fig. 5.28 was a left hand, and the image formed by the lens was also a left hand, to be sure, it might have been distorted $(M_{\mu} \in M_{\gamma})$, but it was still a left hand. The only evident change was a 180° rotation about the optical axis—an effect known as *reversion*. Contrarily, the mirror image of the left hand, determined by dropping perpendiculars from each point, is a right hand (Fig. 5.40). Such an image is sometimes said to be *perventa*. In deference to the more usual lay vaning. The process that converts a right-handed coordinate system in the object space into a left-thanded or-



5.4 Mirrors

155



Figure 5.42 Rotation of a mirror and the concomitant angular displacement of a beam.

more than one planar mirror can be used to produce more than one planar mirror can be used to produce either an odd or even number of inversions. In the latter case a right-handed (r-h) object will generate a right-handed image (Fig. 5.41), whereas in the former instance, the image will be left-handed (l-h). There are a number of practical devices that utilize rotating planar mirror systems, for example, choppers, beam deflectors, and image rotators. Mirrors are frequently used to amplify and measure the slight rota-rious of certain behaviors anonarus (slightanometers).

tions of certain laboratory apparatus (galvanometers,

torsion pendulums, current balances, etc.). As π_{1} is shows, if the mirror rotates through an angle α , by reflected beam or image will move through an angof 2a.

5.4.2 Aspherical Mirrors

Curved mirrors that form images very much line of lenses or curved refracting surfaces have been since the time of the ancient Greeks. Euclid, presumed to have authored the book entitled Carg discusses in it both concave and convex mirrors.* anately, we developed the conceptual basis for design such mirrors when we spoke earlier about Ferm principle as applied to imagery in refracting syste curvers the thet have would like the determine principle as applied to imagery in tertacting sync Suppose then, that we would like to determina-configuration a mirror must have in order that an incident plane wave be reformed upon reflection as a converging spherical wave (Fig. 5.43). If the pla-wave is ultimately to converge on some point F, to optical path lengths for all rays must be eq ingly, for arbitrary points A_1 and A_2

 $OPL = \overline{W_1A_1} + \overline{A_1F} = \overline{W_2A_2} + \overline{A_2F}$ Since the plane Σ is parallel to the incident wavefree $\overline{W_1A_1} + \overline{A_1D_1} = \overline{W_2A_2} + \overline{A_2D_2}$

$$\begin{split} \overline{W_1A_1} + A_1D_1 = \overline{W_2A_2} + \overline{A_2D_2}. \end{split} \qquad (3) \\ \mbox{Equation (5.41) will therefore be satisfied for a surface of the state of the state$$

* Dioptrics denotes the optics of refracting elements, whereas denotes the optics of reflecting surfaces.



araboloidal mirror.



Figure 5.44 A paraboloida oidal radio antenna. Photo 5.4 Mirrors 157



(Fig. 5.44), from microwave horns and acoustical dishes to optical telescope mirrors and moon-based communi-cations antennas. The convex paraboloidal mirror is also possible but is less widely in use. Applying what we already know, it should be evident from Fig. 5.45 that an incident parallel bundle of rays will form a virtual merent f. Should bundle of rays will form a virtual image at F when the mirror is convex and a real image

image at F when the mirror is convex and a real image when it is concave. There are several other aspherical mirrors of some interest, namely, the ellipsoid ($\epsilon < 1$) and hyperboloid ($\epsilon > 1$). Both produce perfect imagery between a pair of conjugate axial points corresponding to their two 'foci (Fig. 5.46). As we shall see imminently, the Casse-grainian and Gregorian telescope configurations utilize convex secondary mirrors that are hyperboloidal and ellipsoidal, respectively.



Figure 5.45 Real and virtual images for a paraboloidal mirror.



Figure 5.46 Hyperbolic and elliptical mirrors.

It should be noted that all these devices are readily It should be noted that all these devices are readily available commercially. In fact, one can purchase $\sigma f \cdot \alpha x is$ *elaments*, in addition to the more common centered systems. Thus, in Fig. 5-47 the focused beam can be further processed without obstructing the mirror.



Figure 5.47 An off-axis parabolic mirror element.

Incidentally, this geometry also obtains in large may wave horn antennas, which have a significant may modern communications.

5.4.3 Spherical Mirrors

We are again reminded of the fact that precise are surfaces are considerably more difficult to **thrigh** are spherical ones. The high one are commensiv-with the increased time and meticulous effort regi-Motivated by these practical considerations, we more turn to the spherical configuration to dege-the circumstances under which it might period adequately. adequately.

i) The Paraxial Region

The well-known equation for the circular cross-sequence of a sphere [Fig. 5.48(a)] is

 $y^2 + (x - R)^2 = R^2$,

where the center C is shifted from the origin O by set radius R. After writing this as $y^2 - 2Rx + x^2 = 0,$

 $x = R \pm (R^2 - y^2)^{1/2}.$ Let's just concern ourselves with values of x less that

R, that is, we will study a hemisphere, open on the corresponding to the minus sign in Eq. (5.44). An expansion in a binomial series, x takes the form

$$x = \frac{y^2}{2R} + \frac{1y^4}{2^2 2! R^3} + \frac{1 \cdot 3y^6}{2^3 3! R^5} + \cdots,$$

This expression becomes quite meaningful as sort we realize that the standard equation for a parac with its vertex at the origin and its focus a distance the right [Fig. 5.48(b)] is simply

$y^2 = 4fx$.

Thus by comparing these two formulas, we see that 4f = 2R (i.e., if f = R/2), the first contribution in the series can be thought of as parabolic, and the rest



terms represent the deviation. If that deviation is Δx_i ,

$$\Delta x = \frac{y^4}{8R^5} + \frac{y^6}{16R^5} + \cdots$$

(5.47)

 $6R^8 \cdot 16R^8$ (230) in the difference will be appreciable only when individy this difference will be appreciable only when individy large [Fig. 5.48(c)] in comparison to R. In mendal region, that is, in the immediate vicinity of the spikicle. Thus if we talk about the paraxial theory patients and the conclusions drawn from our study membrace the conclusions drawn from our study using maric imagery of paraboloids. In actual use, St. y will not be so limited, and aberrations will a Moreover, aspherical surfaces produce perfect wonly for pairs of axial points—they too will suffer using the set of the solution of the set of the set of the set of the set only for pairs of axial points—they too will suffer using the set of the set only for pairs of axial points—they too will suffer using the set of the set only for pairs of axial points—they too will suffer using the set of t

Milliror Formula

The statutor formula the partial equation that relates conjugate object and more points to the physical parameters of a spherical more points to the physical parameters of a spherical more point of the physical parameters of a spherical more point of the physical parameters of a spherical more point of the physical parameters of the physical spherical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameters of the physical physical parameters of the physical parameter









remaining two sides.	that is,	
Furthermore.	$\frac{\overline{SC}}{\overline{SA}} = \frac{\overline{CP}}{\overline{PA}}.$	(5.48)



where s_0 and s_i are on the left and therefore positive. If we use the same sign convention for R as we did when we dealt with refraction, it will be negative here, because C is to the left of V (i.e., the surface is concave). Thus |R| = -R and

 \overline{SC} $s_a + R$ and \overline{CP} $-(s_i + R)$.





Figure 5.50 Focusing of rays via a spherical mirror. (Photos by E.H.)

In the paraxial region $\overline{SA} \approx s_o$, $\overline{PA} \approx s_o$ and so Eq. (Box becomes $\frac{s_o + R}{s_i + R} = -\frac{s_i + R}{s_i + R}$ 50 s_i or $\frac{1}{s_o} + \frac{1}{s_i} = -\frac{2}{R},$

which is often referred to as the **mirror** former equally applicable to concave (R < 0) and convex 0) mirrors. The primary or object focus is again a





$\lim s_o = f_o,$

endary or image focus corresponds to $\lim_{i \to \infty} s_i = f_i.$

ally, from Eq. (5.49) $\frac{1}{f_o} + \frac{1}{\infty} - \frac{1}{\infty} + \frac{1}{f_i} = -\frac{2}{R},$

h = -R/2, as we know from Fig. 5.45(c). Taint have

$$\frac{1}{s_o} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{f}.$$

(5.50)

Observe that f will be positive for concave mirrors (R < 0) and nearing for convex mirrors (R > 0). In the latter i stance the image is formed behind the mirror and twirtual (Fig. 5.50).

iii) Finite Imagery

by

The remaining mirror properties are so similar to those of lengs and spherical refracting surfaces that we need only mention them briefly, without repeating the entire onlievelopment of each item. Within the restrictions

The second se

5.4 Mirrors 161



Figure 5.51 Finite imagery with spherical mirrors.

image point for a spherical mirror will lie on a ray passing through both the center of curvature C and the object point. As with the thin lens (Fig. 5.24), the graphic location of the image is quite straightforward. Once more the top of the image is located at the intersec-tion of two rays, one initially parallel to the axis and passing through F after reflection, and the other going straight through C (Fig. 5.52). The ray from any off-axis object point to the vertex forms could angles with the object point to the vertex forms equal angles with the optical axis on reflection and is therefore particularly convenient to construct as well. So too is the ray that first passes through the focus and after reflection emer-ges parallel to the axis.

ges parallel to the axis. Notice that triangles $S_i S_p V$ and $P_i P_g V$ in Fig. 5.51(a) are similar, and hence their sides are proportional. Taking y, to be negative, as we did before, since it is below the axis, we find that $y_i/y_{pe} - x_i/y_{pe}$, which of



Figure 5.52 (a) Reflection from a concave mirror. (b) Reflection from a convex mirror.

(a)

course is equal to M_{T} , the transverse magnification, identical to that of the lens (5.25).

tical to that of the lens (5.25). The only equation that contains information about the structure of the optical element (n, R, etc.) is that for l, and so, rather understandably, it differs for the thin lens and spherical mitror. The other functional expressions that relate s_n , s_n and f or y_n , y_n , and M_T are, however, precisely the same. The only alteration in the previous sign convention appears in Table 5.4, where s_l on the left of V is now taken as positive. The striking similarity between the properties of a concave mirror and a concex lens on the other are quite evident from a comparison of Tables 5.3 and 5.5, which are identical in all respects.

The properties summarized in Table 5.5 and depicted pictorially in Fig. 5.53 can easily be verified empirically. If you don't have a spherical mirror at hand, a fairly crude but functional one can be made by carefully

Quantity		Sign
	7	-
5.	Left of V, real object	Right of V. virtual object
54	Left of V, real image	Right of V. virtual image
j	Concave mirror	Convex mirror
R	C right of V, convex	C left of V, concave
Yo	Above axis, crect object	Below axis, inverted object
y,	Above axis, erect image	Below axis, inverted imag

Table 5.5 Images of real objects formed by sph Object Location $ab > s_o > 2f$ $s_o = 2f$ $f < s_o < 2f$ Location $f < s_i < 2f$ $s_i = 2f$ $\infty > s_i > 2f$ Orientation Type Relative Real Real Real Same site Magnified s. < f Virtua Magnified $|s_i| > s$ Erect Object Imag Relative Location Type' Locatio Orient $|s_i| < |f|$ Anywhere Virtual Erect Minifie

shaping aluminum foil over a spherical form, such the end of a light bulb (in that particular case is therefore f will be small). A rather nice quite experiment involves examining the image of some object formed by a short focal-length concave for As you move it toward the mirror from beyond that and e 2f = R, the image will gradually increase, that $s_s = 2f$ it will appear inverted and life-size. Bring it closer will cause the image to increase even mining the image will conclusion bur. As s_s becomes smaller, the now erect, magning will continue to decrease until the object formers where the image is again life.

If you are not moved by all of this to jump up and make a mirror, you might try examining the image normed by a string spoon-either side will be increasing.



Fine 5.53 The image-forming behavior of a concave spherical

5.5 Prisms 163

5.5 PRISMS

Prisms have many different roles in optics; there are prism combinations that serve as beam-splitters (see Section 4.3.4), polarizing devices (see Section 8.4.3), and even interferometers. Despite this diversity, the vast majority of applications make use of only one of two main prism functions. First, a prism can serve as a dispersive device, as it does in a variety of spectrum analyzers. That is to say, it is capable of separating, to some extent, the constituent frequency components in a polychromatic light beam. You might recall that the term dispersion was introduced earlier (Section 3.5.1) in connection, $n(\omega)$, for dielectrics. In fact, the prism provides a highly useful means of measuring $n(\omega)$ over a broad range of frequencies and for a wide variety of materials (including gases and liquids). Its second and more common function is to effect a change in the orientation of an image or in the direction of propagation of a beam. Prisms are incorporated in many optical instruments, often simply to fold the system into a confined space. There are inversion prisms, reversion prisms, and prisms that deviate a beam without inversion or reversion—and all of this without dispersion.

5.5.1 Dispersing Prisms

Nowadays prisms come in a great variety of sizes and shapes and perform an equally great variety of functions (Fig. 5.54). Let's first consider the group known as **dispersing prism**. **Typically**. a ray entering a dispering prism, as in Fig. 5.55, will emerge having been deflected from its original direction by an angle δ known as the angular deviation. At the first refraction the ray is deviated through an angle $(\theta_{11} - \theta_{12})$, and at the second refraction it is further deflected through $(\theta_{e2} - \theta_{e2})$. The total deviation is then

$\delta = (\theta_{i1} - \theta_{i1}) + (\theta_{i2} - \theta_{i2}).$

Since the polygon ABCD contains two right angles, $\angle BCD$ must be the supplement of the *aprx angle* α . As the exterior angle to triangle *BCD*, α is also the sum

(5.51)

of the alternate interior angles, that is,

 $\alpha = \theta_{i1} + \theta_{i2}$ Thus

 $\delta = \theta_{11} + \theta_{12} - \alpha.$ (5.52)What we would like to do now is write δ as a function of both the angle of incidence for the ray (i.e., θ_{i1}) and the prism angle α ; these presumably would be known. If the prism index is n and it is immersed in air $(n_a \approx 1)$, it follows from Snell's law that

 $\theta_{12} = \sin^{-1} (n \sin \theta_{12}) = \sin^{-1} [n \sin (\alpha - \theta_{11})].$



Figure 5.54 Prisms. (Photo courtesy Melles Griot.)



Figure 5.55 Geom etry of a disp

Upon expanding this expression, replacing $\cos \epsilon_{1} = (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{11})^{1/2}$, and using Snell's law we have

 $\theta_{i2} = \sin^{-1} [(\sin \alpha)(n^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{i1})^{1/2} - \sin \theta_{i1} \cos \alpha]_{-1}$ The deviation is then

 $\delta = \theta_{i1} + \sin^{-1} [(\sin \alpha)(n^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{i1})^{1/2}]$ $-\sin \theta_{i1} \cos \alpha$] $-\alpha$.

(5.55

Apparently δ increases with *n*, which is itself a finof frequency, so we might designate the devi or frequency, so we might designate the deviate $\delta(v)$ or $\delta(\lambda)$. For most transparent dielectrics of pission concern, $n(\lambda)$ decreases as the wavelength free across the visible (refer back to Fig. 3.27 for a plot of $n(\lambda)$ versus λ for various glasses]. Clearly, then, n_{λ}

will be less for red light than it is for blue. Missionary reports from Asia in the early 1600s in cated that prisms were well known and highly value in China because of their ability to generate early A number of scientists of the era, particularly Grimaldi, and Boyle, had made some observation prisms, but it remained for the great Sir Isaac Ne to perform the first definitive studies of dispersion February 6, 1672, Newton presented a classic page the Royal Society entitled "A New Theory about and Colours." He had concluded that white least sisted of a mixture of various colors and that the

of refraction was color-dependent. Returning to Eq. (5.58), it is evident that the d suffered by a monochromatic beam on trave wism (i.e., n and α are fixed) is a function only indent angle at the first face, θ_1 . A plot of the of Eq. (5.53) as applied to a typical glass prism in 15 g. 5.56. The smallest value of δ is known inimum deviation, θ_n , and it is of particular for practical reasons. It can be determined lifty differentiating Eq. (5.59) and then setting θ_n but a more indirect route will certainly be but a more indirect route will certainly be ifferentiating Eq. (5.52) and setting it equal

 $\frac{d\theta}{d\theta_{i1}} = 1 + \frac{d\theta_{i2}}{d\theta_{i1}} = 0$ $d\theta_{i1} = -1$. Taking the derivative of Snell's law

$$\frac{1}{\cos \theta_{i1} d\theta_{i1}} = n \cos \theta_{i1} d\theta_{i1}$$

and $\cos\theta_{i2}\,d\theta_{i2} = n\,\cos\theta_{i2}\,d\theta_{i2},$

The as well, on differentiating Eq. (5.51), that $d\theta_{i1} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_{i1}\sin ce \, d\alpha = 0$. Dividing the last two equations and dimining for the derivative ing for the derivatives, we obtain

 $\frac{\cos \theta_{i1}}{\cos \theta_{i2}} = \frac{\cos \theta_{i1}}{\cos \theta_{i2}}$

Making use of Snell's law once again, we can rewrite

 $\frac{1-\sin^2 \theta_{i1}}{1-\sin^2 \theta_{i2}} = \frac{n^2-\sin^2 \theta_{i1}}{n^2-\sin^2 \theta_{i2}}$

The value of θ_{i1} for which this is true is the one for which $d\delta/d\theta_{i1} = 0$. Inasmuch as $n \neq 1$, it follows that

 $\theta_{i1} = \theta_{i2}$ and thereic

 $\theta_{t1} = \theta_{t2}$ This means that the ray for which the deviation is a

minum traverses the prism symmetrically, that is, millel to its base. Incidentally, there is a lovely argu-there is a lovely argu-there is a lovel or gra-there is a lovel or gra-le of the lovel or grathere is a lovel or grathe suppose a ray undergoes a minimum deviation a. Then if we reverse the ray, it will retrace



the same path, so δ must be unchanged (i.e., $\delta = \delta_m$). But this implies that there are two different incident angles for which the deviation is a minimum, and this

we know is not true-crego $\theta_{i1} = \theta_{i2}$. In the case when $\beta = \delta_{m_1}$ it follows from Eqs. (5.51) and (5.52) that $\theta_{i1} = (\delta_m + \alpha)/2$ and $\theta_{i1} = \alpha/2$, whereupon Snell's law at the first interface leads to

 $n = \frac{\sin\left[(\delta_m + \alpha)/2\right]}{1 + \alpha}$ (5.54) $\sin \alpha/2$

This equation forms the basis of one of the most accurate techniques for determining the refractive index of a transparent substance. Effectively, one fashions a prism transparent substance. Effectively, one fashions a prism out of the material in question, and then, measuring α and $\delta_m(\lambda)$, $n(\lambda)$ is computed employing Eq. (5.54) at each wavelength of interest. Hollow prisms whose sides are fabricated of plane-parallel glass can be filled with liquids or gases under high pressure; the glass plates will not result in any deviation of their own. Figures 5.57 and 5.58 show two examples of constant-druiation dispersing prisms, which are important primarily in spectroscopy. The Pellin–Braca prism is probably the most common of the group. Albeit a single block of glass, it can be envisaged as consisting of two $30^{-60^{-}}-90^{\circ}$ prisms and one $45^{-45^{\circ}}-90^{\circ}$ prism. Sup-ose that in the position shown a single monochromatic

pose that in the position shown a single monochromatic ray of wavelength λ traverses the component prism DAE symmetrically, thereafter to be reflected at 45°

166 Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics-Paraxial Theory



Figure 5.57 The Pellin-Broca prism.

from face AB. The ray will then traverse prism CDB from face AB. The ray will then traverse prism CDB symmetrically, having experienced a total deviation of 90°. The ray cau be thought of as having passed through an ordinary 60° prism (DAE combined with CDB) at minimum deviation. All other wavelengths present in the beam will emerge at other angles. If the prism is now rotated slightly about an axis normal to the paper, the incoming beam will have a new incident angle. A different wavelength component, say λ_2 , will now undergo a minimum deviation, which is again 90°— hence the name. constant deviation. With a prism of this sort, one can conveniently set up the light source and viewing system at a fixed angle (here 90°) and then simply rotate the prism to look at a particular wavelength. The device can be calibrated so that the prism-rotating dial reads directly in wavelength. prism-rotating dial reads directly in wavelength.

5.5.2 Reflecting Prisms

We now examine *reflecting prisms*, in which dispersion is not desirable. In this case, the beam is introduced in such a way that at least one internal reflection takes place, for the specific purpose of either changing the direction of propagation or the orientation of от both.

or both. Test's first establish that it is actually possible solution in the term and reflection without concentiant at the solution in the solution is assumed to have as its profile an is triangle—this happens to be a rather common contrain in any event. The ray refracted at the first face is later reflected from face FG. As we saw (Section 4.3.4), this will occur when the internal is angle is greater than the critical angle θ_{ct} , define $\sin \theta_{c} = n_{ti}$

For a glass-air interface, this requires that θ_i be great than roughly 42°. To avoid any difficulties at smal angles, let's further suppose that the base of π hypothetical prism is silvered as well-certain pri-do in fact require silvered faces. The angle of devia between the incoming and outgoing rays is $\delta = 180^{\circ} - \measuredangle BED.$

From the polygon ABED we have

 $\alpha + \measuredangle ADE + \measuredangle BED + \measuredangle ABE = 360^{\circ}.$ Moreover, at the two refracting surfaces

 $\angle ABE = 90^\circ + \theta_i$





 $\delta = \theta_{i1} + \theta_{i2} + \alpha.$ (5.56) Since the ray at point C has equal angles of incidence and reflection, $\angle BCF = \angle DCG$. Thus, because the prism is isosceles, $\angle BFC = \angle DGG$, and triangles FBCand DGC are similar. It follows that $\angle FBC = \angle CDG$.

 $\pm ADE = 90^\circ + \theta_{12}$.

Substituting for $\angle BED$ in Eq. (5.55), we get



Figure 5.59 Geometry of a reflecting prism,

and

Figure 5.61 The Porro prism

ø



5.5 Prisms

167

and therefore $\theta_{11}=\theta_{12}.$ From Spell's law we know that this is equivalent to $\theta_{11}=\theta_{12},$ whereupon the deviation becomes

$\delta - 2\theta_{i1} + \alpha$, (5.57)

which is certainly independent of both λ and n. The reflection will occur without any color preferences, and the prism is said to be *achromatic*. If we unfold the prism, that is, if we draw its image in the reflecting surface FG, as in Fig. 5.59(b), we see that it is equivalent in a



Figure 5.62 The Dove prism



Figure 5.63 The Amici prism

sense to a parallelepiped or thick planar plate. The image of the incident ray emerges parallel to itself, regardless of wavelength. A few of the many widely used reflecting prisms are

shown in the next several figures. These are often made

shown in the next several figures. These are often made from BSC-2 or C-1 glass (see Table 6.2). For the most part, the illustrations are self-explanatory, so the descriptive commentary will be brief. The right-angle prism (Fig. 5.60) deviates rays normal to the incident face by 90°. Notice that the top and bottom of the image have been interchanged, that is, the arrow has been flipped over but the right and left sides have not. It is therefore an inversion system with he top for artific life a phase mirror. (To see this the top face acting like a plane mirror. (To see this, imagine that the arrow and lollypop are vectors and take their cross-product. The resultant, arrow × lolly-pop, was initially in the propagation direction but is

reversed by the prism.) In the propagator direction out of reversed by the prism.) The Poro prism (Fig. 5.61) is physically the same as the right-angle prism but is used in a different orienta-tion. After two reflections, the beam is deviated by 180°. Thus, if it enters right-handed, it leaves right-handed. The Dove (Fig. 5.62) is a truncated version (to reduce size and weight) of the right-angle prism, used almost



Figure 5.64 The penta prism and its m

exclusively in collimated light. It has the integesting property (Problem 5.54) of rotating the image twice fast as it is itself rotated about the longitudinal æis. The Amici (Fig. 5.63) is essentially a truncated joj angle prism with a roof section added on 6 hypotenuse face. In its most common use it has it



alento Fig e 5.65 The rhomboid

Figure 5.66 The Leman-Springer prism

effect of splitting the image down the middle and inter-hanging the right and left portions.⁶ These prisms are expressed, because the 90° roof angle must be held to rought J or 4 seconds of arc, or a troublesome double mage hell result. They are often used in simple teleterns to correct for the reversion introduced

bases, spears prism (Fig. 5.64) will deviate the beam by contaffecting the orientation of the image. Note a offics surfaces must be silvered. These prisms used as end reflectors in small range finders. *Thomboil* prism (Fig. 5.65) displaces the line of 90° with that two

the without producing any angular deviation or changes in the orientation of the image. The *L* man. Springer prism (Fig. 5.66) also has a 90° mol. Here the line of sight is displaced without being

Ton can be how in actually works by placing two plane mirrors at at angle and looking directly into the combination. If you wink how the time will wink it is right ever, includentally, if your the finally group, you will see two sexus (images of the line free the mirrors most) one running down the niddle of each eye, it your nose pratamably between them. If one eye is stronger, even libe only one seam, down the niddle of that eye. If you choese the will be only one seam, down the niddle of that eye. If you choese the mill be only one seam, down the niddle of that eye. If you choese the mill be only one seam, down the niddle of that eye. If you choese the mill be only one seam, down the niddle of that eye. If you choese the mill be only one seam, down the niddle of that be tried to be



5.5 Prisms

169

r-h

Figure 5.67 The double Porro pr

deviated, but the emerging image is right-handed and rotated through 180°. The prism can therefore serve to erect images in telescope systems, such as gun sights and the like.

There are many more reflecting prisms that serve specific purposes. For example, if one simply cuts a cube so that the piece removed has three mutually perpen-dicular faces, it is called a *conter-cube* prism. It has the dicular laces, it is called a *conter-case* prism. It has the property of being retrofictive: that is, it will reflect all incoming rays back along their original directions. One hundred of these prisms are sitting in an 18-ioch square array 240,000 miles from here, having been placed on the Moon during the Apollo 11 flight.* The most common erecting system consists of two Porro prisms, as illustrated in Fig. 5.67. These are relativel ease to manufacture and are shown here with

relatively easy to manufacture and are shown here with rounded corners to reduce weight and size. Since there are four reflections, the exiting image will be right-handed. A small slot is often cut in the hypotenuse face to obstruct rays that are internally reflected at glancing angles. Finding these slots after dismantling the family's binoculars is all too often an inexplicable surprise.

* J. E. Foller and E. J. Wampler, "The Luttar Laser Reflector." Sci. Am., March 1970, p. 38.

5.6 FIBEROPTICS

In recent times, techniques have been evolved for In recent times, techniques have been evolved for efficiently conducting light from one point in space to another via transparent, dielectric fibers. As long as the diameter of these fibers is large compared with the wavelength of the radiant energy, the inherent wave nature of the propagation mechanism is of fittle impornature of the propagation mechanism is of little impor-tance, and the process obeys the familiar laws of geometrical optics. On the other hand, if the diameter is of the order of A, the transmission closely resembles the manner in which microwaves advance along waveguides. Some of the propagation modes are evident in the photomicrographic end views of fibers shown in Fig. 5.68. Here the wave nature of light must be reck-oned with and this behavior therefore resides in the domain of thousing logits. Albhavah contributions mide domain of physical optics. Although optical waveguides, particularly of the thin-film variety, are of increasing interest, this discussion will be limited to the case of relatively large diameter fibers.

Consider the straight glass cylinder of Fig. 5.69 sur-rounded by air. Light striking its walls from within will be totally internally reflected, provided that the incident angle at each reflection is greater than $\theta_c = \sin^{-1} \pi_a/m_\mu$, where m_l is the index of the cylinder or fiber. As we will show, a meridional ray (i.e. one that is coplanar with the optical axis) might undergo several thousand reflections per foot as it bounces back and forth along a fiber tors per too as a bounces back and form along a hoer, until it emerges at the far end (Fig. 5.70). If the fiber has a diameter D and a length L, the path length ℓ traversed by the ray will be

(5.58)

(5.59)

(5.60)

 $\ell = L/\cos\theta$. or from Snell's law

or

 $\ell = n_f L (n_f^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i)^{-1/2}.$ The number of reflections N is then given by

 $N = \frac{\ell}{D/\sin\theta_i} = 1$

 $N = \frac{L \sin \theta_i}{D(n_i^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i)^{1/2}} \pm 1,$



Figure 5.68 Optical waveguide mode patterns seen ir of small-diameter fibers. (Photo courtesy of Narinder



Figure 5.69 Rays reflected within a dielectric cylinder?

rounded off to the nearest whole number. The f which depends on where the ray strikes the end f is of no significance when N is large, as it is in prad Thus if D is $50 \,\mu\text{m}$ (i.e., $50 \,\text{microns where } 1 \,\text{ms}$ $10^{-6} \,\text{m} = 9.37 \times 10^{-6} \,\text{m}$, which is about $2 \times 10^{-3} \,\text{m}$ hair from the head of a human is roughly $50 \,\mu\text{m}$

sameter), and if $n_i = 1.6$ and θ_i . 30°. N turns out to make in diameters from about $2 \mu m$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch or so make in diameters from about $2 \mu m$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch or so make in diameters from about $2 \mu m$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch or so make in diameters from about $2 \mu m$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch or so make in the size of the size much smaller than about the size at the size of the size of the size of the size team (of moisture, dust, oil, etc.), if there is to be no team of light (via the mechanism of frustrated total size of the size read of a cost of the size of the size of the size of the size team (of moisture, dust, oil, etc.), if there is to be no team of does proximity. Light may leak from one of the size of Typically, a fiber core might have an index (n_i) of $(2n_i)$ through the introduction of clad fibers in 1953. Typically, a fiber core might have an index (n_i) of $(2n_i)$ and $(2n_i)$ through the core might have an index (n_i) of $(2n_i)$ and the cladding an index (n_i) of $(2n_i)$ through the core is available. A clad fiber is shown in the time is a maximum value θ_{max} of the core the there is a maximum value of the core of the c θ_{i} , for which the internal ray will impinge at the critical ingle, θ_{i} . Ray: incident on the face at angles greater



ging from the ends of a loose bundle of glas





Figure 5.71 Rays in a clad optical fiber.

Thus

OF

than θ_{max} will strike the interior wall at angles less than θ_{c} . They will be only partially reflected at each such encounter with the core-cladding interface and will with the the core-cladding interface. quickly leak out of the fiber. Accordingly, θ_{max} , which is known as the acceptance angle, defines the half-angle of the acceptance cone of the fiber. To determine it we write

$$\sin \theta_t = n_t/n_f - \sin (90 - \theta_t).$$

$$n_i/n_i = \cos \theta_i$$
 (5.61)

$$n_c/n_l = (1 - \sin^2 \theta_l)^{1/2}$$

Making use of Snell's law and rearranging matters, we have

$$\sin \theta_{max} = \frac{1}{m_f^2} (n_f^2 - n_c^2)^{1/2}, \quad (5.62)$$

The quantity $n_e \sin \theta_{max}$ is defined as the numerical aperture, or NA. Its square is a measure of the light-gathering power of the system. The term originates in microscopy, where the equivalent expression characterizes the corresponding capabilities of the objective lens. It should clearly relate to the speed of the system, and, in fact. in fact,

$$f/\# = \frac{1}{2(NA)}$$
. (5.63)

Thus for a fiber $NA = (n_f^2 - n_c^2)^{1/2}$. (5.64)

The left-hand side of Eq. (5.62) cannot exceed 1, and

in air $(n_s = 1.00028 = 1)$ that means that the largest value of NA is 1. In this case, the half-angle θ_{max} equals 90°, and the fiber totally internally reflects all light entering its face (Problem 5.55). Fibers with a wide variety of numerical apertures, from about 0.2 up to and including 1.0, are commercially obtainable. Bundles of free fibers whose ends are bound together

Bundles of free fibers whose ends are bound together (e.g., with epoxy), ground, and polished form flexible light guides. If no attempt is made to align the fibers in an ordered array, they form an *incoherent* (which should not be confused with coherence theory) just means. for example, that the term incoherent (which should not be confused with coherence theory) just means. for example, that the first fiber in the top row at the entrance face may have its terminus anywhere in the bundle at the exit face. These *flaxible light carriers* are, for that reason, relatively easy to make and in expensive. Their primary function is simply to conduct light from one region to another. Conversely, when the fibers are carefully arranged so that their terminations occupy the same relative positions in both of the bound ends of the bundle, it is said to be *cohernt*. Such an arrangement is capable of transmitting images and is consequently, known as a *flexible image carrier*. Incidentally, coherent bundles are frequently fashioned by winding fibers on a drum to make ribbors, which are then carefully layered. When one end of such a device is placed face down flat on an illuminated surface, a point mon to use floeroptic instruments to poke into all sorts of unlikely places, from nuclear reactor cores and jet engines to stronghost under examination. Nowadays it is common to use theoroptic instruments to poke into all sorts of unlikely places, from nuclear reactor cores and jet engines to stronghost, and reproductive organs. When a device is used to examine internal body cavities, it's called an *endoscope*. This category includes bronchoscopes, colonoscopes, gastroscopes, and stoo forh, all of which are generally less than about 200 cm in length. Similar industrial instruments are usually too or three times as long and often contain s5000 to 50,000 fibers, depending on the required image resolution and the overall diameter that can be accommodated. An additional incoherent bundle incorporated into the device

Not all fiberoptic arrays are made flexible; for

example, fused, rigid, coherent fiber faceplate mosific, are used to replace homogeneous resolution sheet glass on cathode-ray tubes, vii, image intensifiers, and other devices. Mosaics and of literally millions of fibers with their cladding together have mechanical properties almost idensihomogeneous glass. Similarly, a sheet of lixed by fibers can either magnify or minify an image, depen on whether the light enters the smaller or larger of the fiber. The compound yeo of an insect and the housefly is effectively a bundle of tappered poircal filaments. The rods and cones that make mahuman retina may also channel light through total inse



Figure 5.72 A coherent bundle of $10 \,\mu m$ glass fibers transform image even though knotted and sharply bent. (Photo courte American Cystoscope Makers, Inc.)

al reflection. Another common application of mosaics molening inaging is the field flattmar. If the image formed by a lens system resides on a curved surface, it soften desirable to reshape it into a plane, for example, march a film plate. A mosaic can be ground and contour of the image and on the other to match the extor. Soften as there when polished, responds sursingly taxe a heremet, when polished, responds suringly taxe a heremet, mosaic. (Hobby shops often it for use in making jewelry.)

ingly like a maring levelry.) It for use in making levelry.) If you have never seen the kind of light conduction we then twing about, ry looking down the edges is and a marine provide the solution of the solution in the solution of the hundred of these slides held together by a solution of the solutio

the forepoptics has three very different applications it is used for the direct transmission of images and limination, it serves as the core of a new family monand it provides a variety of remarkable aveguing used in telecommunications. The idea of mages over distances of a few meters with

are introduced to the encodimension of a few meters with the indices, however beautiful and however usein, is really a rather unsophisticated business that common any static responsion of the second states and the second state of the second states and the second state of the second states and the second state second states and the second state second states and the second states and the second state second states and the second states and second states and the second states and second states and the second states and the second states and second states and second states and the second states and the second states and second states and second states and the second states and second

Relds, rotations, and so forth—have become manifestation of the versatility of fibers. It is now in the beginning stages of a new at telecommunications, with radiant energy

stell relecommunications, with radiant energy stilling fibers replacing electricity moving in mean press-not for transmitting power, but information. The inuch higher frequencies of light allow for an investible increase in data-handling capacity. For reample, with sophisticated transmitting techniques, a pair of sophers telephone wires can be made to carry mention above two dozen simultaneous conversationation study by the compared with single, encours, similarter main meanmann, which is equivalent to about



Figure 5.73 A stack of cover-glass slides held together by a rubber band serves as a coherent light guide. (Photo by E.H.)

THE REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.

1300 simultaneous telephone conversations, and that, in turn, is roughly the equal of sending some 2500 typewriten pages each accond. Clearly, at present it's quite impractical to attempt to send television over copper telephone lines. Yet it's already possible to transmit in excess of 12,000 simultaneous conversations over a *single pair* of fibers—that's more than nine television channels. Each such fiber has a line rate of about 400 million bits of information per second (400 Mb/s), or 6000 voice circuits. This is only the beginning; rates of 2000 Mb/s will be widely available before long. The technology is in its infancy.

Capacities achieved to date don't even begin to approach the theoretical limit. Still, the accomplishments of recent times are impressive. For example, the new transatlantic cable TAT-8 is a fiberoptic system that is designed, using some clever data-handling techniques, to carry 40,000 conversations at once over just two pairs of glass fibers. TAT-1, a copper cable installed in 1956, could carry a mere 51 conversations, and the last of the bulky copper versions, TAT-7 (1983), can handle only about 8000. Significantly, the TAT-8 is designed to have regenerators or repeaters (to boost the signal strength) every 50 km (30 m) or more. That should be compared with the copper TAT-7, which has

amplifiers every 10 km or so. This feature is tremendously important in long-distance communications. Ordinary wire systems require repeaters roughly every kilometer; electrical coaxial networks extend that range to about 2 to 6 km; even radio transmissions through the atmosphere need regeneration every 30 to 50 km. It is anticipated that high-performance fiber systems will extend the repeater separation to upward of 150 km.

A major determining factor in the spacing of repeaters is the power loss due to attenuation of the signal as it propagates down the line. The decibel (dB) is the customary unit used to designate the ratio of two is the customary unit used to designate the ratio of two power levels, and as such it can provide a convenient indication of the power-out (P_0) with respect to the power-in (P_0). The number of dB = -10 log (P_c/P_t), and hence a ratio of 1:10 is 10 dB. 1:100 is 20 dB, 1:1000 is 30 dB, and so on. The attenuation (α) is usually specified in decibels per kilometer (dB/km) of fiber length (L). Thus - $\alpha L/10 = \log (P_c/P_t)$, and if we raise 10 to the power of both sides,

$P_o/P_1 = 10^{-aL/10}$

(5.65)

As a rule, reamplification of the signal is necessary when the power has dropped by a factor of about 10" ⁵. Com the power has dropped by a factor of about 10^{-5} . Com-mercial optical glass, the kind of material available for fibers in the mid-1960s, has an attenuation of about 1000 dB/km. Light, after being transmitted 1 km through the stuff, would forop in power by a factor of 10^{-100} , and regenerators would be needed every 50 m (which is little better than communicating with a string and two tin cans). By 1970 α was down to about 20 dB/km for fused silica (quartz, SiO₂), and it was reduced to as little as 0.16 dB/m in 10892. This transmos reduced to as little as 0.16 dB/km in 1982. This tremen dous decrease in attenuation was achieved mostly by removing impurities (especially the ions of iron, nickel and copper) and reducing contamination by OH groups, largely accomplished by scrupulously eliminat-ing any traces of water in the glass (p. 62). Figure 5.74 depicts the three major fiber configu-rations used in communications today. In (a) the core is

relatively wide, and the indices of core and cladding the three of the second states of the second states of the second states are both constant throughout. This is the so-called stepped-index fiber, with a homogeneous core of 50 to $150 \,\mu\text{m}$ or more and cladding with an outer diameter



of roughly 100 to 250 µm. The oldest of the three types, the stepped-index fiber was widely used in first-ation systems (1975-1980). The comparatively central core makes it rugged and easily infuse light, as well as easily terminated and coupled. I least expensive but also, as we will see prese least effective of the lot, and for long-range app

it has some serious drawbacks. Depending on the launch angle into the fiber can be hundreds, even thousands, of different to or modes by which energy can propagate down t (Fig. 5.75). This then is a multimode fiber, where mode corresponds to a slightly different transi Higher-angle rays travel longer paths: reflecting rangener-angie rays travel longer paths; reflecting-side to side, they take longer to get to the end q fiber than do rays moving along the axis. This side spoken of as intermodal dispersion (or often just dispersion), even though it has nothing to do w frequency-dependent index of refraction. Inform

and is usually digitized in some coded to be transmitted is usually digitized in some coded school and then sent along the fibers as a flood of reflexes of pulses or bits per second. The different fibers may have the undesirable effect of changing the same to be a sharp rectangular pulse can smear what sater traveling a few kilometers within the fiber, not an unrecognizable blur (Fig. 5.76). The total time delay between the arrival of the axial ray and the slowest ray, the one traveling the longest distance, is $\Delta t = l_{mm} - l_{coin}$. Here, referring back to Fig. 5.71, the minimum time of travel is just the axial length L divided by the speed of light in the fiber: to be trars







Figure 5.76 Rectangular pulses of light smeared out by increasing amounts of dispersion. Note how the closely spaced pulses degrade more quickly

As an example, suppose $n_f = 1.500$ and $n_i = 1.489$. The delay, $\Delta t/L$, then turns out to be 37 ns/km. In other words, a sharp pulse of light entering the system will be spread out in time some 37 ns for each kilometer of fiber traversed. Moreover, traveling at a speed of fiber traversed. Moreover, traveling at a speed $y_p = c/n_p = 2.0 \times 10^8$ m/s, it will spread in space over a length of 7.4 m/km. To make sure that the transmitted signal will still be easily readable, we might require that the spatial (or temporal) separation be at least twice the spread-out width (Fig. 5.77). Now imagine the line to be 1.0 km long. In that case the output pulses are 7.4 m wide on emerging from the fiber and so must be separated by 14.8 m. This means that the input pulses must be at least 14.8 m apart. back by 11.0 k. It is an after the nuclei input purpose integration $b_{\rm eff}$ is a start of the matter they must be separated in time by 74 ns, which is a rate of 1.5 million pulses per second. In this way the intermodal dispersion (which is type) and the second start of the second ically 15 to 80 ns/km) limits the frequency of the input signal, thereby dictating the rate at which information can be fied through the system. This problem of delay differences can be reduced as

much as a hundredfold by gradually varying the refractive index of the core, decreasing it radially outward to the clading [Fig. 5.74(b)]. Instead of following sharp zigzag paths, the rays then smoothly spiral around the



Figure 5.77 The spreading of an input signal due to intermodal dispersion

central axis. Because the index is higher along the center, rays taking shorter paths are slowed down by proportionately greater amounts, and rays spiralling around near the cladding move more swiftly over longer paths. The result is that all the rays tend to stay more parts the four both m the last call also for a base multimode graded-index fibers. Typically, a graded-index fiber has a core diameter of about $20 \,\mu$ m to $90 \,\mu$ m and an intermodal dispersion of only around $2 \,ns/km$. They are intermediate in price and widely used in medium-distance intercity applicatior

Multimode fibers with core diameters of 50 µm or more are often fed by light-emitting diodes, or LEDs. These are comparatively inexpensive and are com-monly used over relatively short spans at low trans-mission rates. The problem with them is that they emit a fairly broad range of frequencies. As a result, ordinary material or spectral dispersion, the fact that the fiber index is a function of frequency, becomes a limiting factor. That difficulty is essentially avoided by using spectrally pure laserbeams. Alternatively, the fibers can be oper-

ated at wavelengths near 1.3 µm, where silica glass (see Figs. 3.27 and 3.28) has little dispersion. The last, and best, solution to the problem of inter-modal dispersion is to make the core so narrow (less than 10 µm) that it will provide only one mode wherein the ray travel parallel to the central axis [Fig. 5.74(c)]. Such *single-mode* fibers of ultrapure glass (both stepped-index and the newer graded-index) provide the best performance. Typically having core diameters of only $2\,\mu$ m to $9\,\mu$ m, they essentially eliminate intermodal dispersion. Although they are relatively expensive and

require laser sources, these single-mode fibers of Fequite laser sources, these shape-mode fluers at $1.55 \,\mu\text{m}$ (where the attenuation is about 0.2 not far from the ideal silica value of 0.1 dB/ today's premiere long-haul lightguides. A pair fibers may someday connect your home to a v network of communications and computer facility making the era of the copper wire seem charming primitive.

5.7 OPTICAL SYSTEMS

We have developed paraxial theory to a point w is now possible to appreciate the principles und the majority of practical optical systems. To be sure the subleties involved in controlling **aberration** extremely important and still beyond this discuss Even so, one could build, for example, a b Even so, one could build, for example, a use (admitted) not a very good one, but a tele nonetheless) using the conclusions already threat first-order theory. What better starting point for a discussion of instruments than the most common of all—the

5.7.1 Eyes

For our purposes, three main groupings of eyest readily be distinguished: those that gather rad energy and form images via a single centers a system, those that utilize a multifaceted area and the

of uny lenses (feeding into channels resembling optical is and the most rudimentary, those that simply is an intra small lenaless hole (p.199). In addition right even the rulernach has infrared pumlule "yes" light yes, which might be included in this last group. It also a systems of the first type have evolved as includy and remarkably similarly in at least three and the original certain spile continuous real is on a light-sensitive screen or refina. By com-to, the multifacted compound eye (Fig. 5.78) and the endendy among arthropods, the independently among arthropods, the independently among arthropods, the ith articulated bodies and limbs (e.g., insects in). It produces a mosaic sensory image commany small-field-of-view spot contributions, thing segment of the eye (as if one were world through a tightly packed bundle may fine tubes). Like a television picture 1000 anguty fine tubes). Like a television picture of different-intensity dots, the compound eye hides and digitizes the scene being viewed. There is to real marge formed on a retinal screen; the synthesis oscientically in the nervous system. The horse-poward of 7000 such segments, and the preda-tionfly, an especially fast flyer, gets a better view



5.7 Optical Systems 377

with 30,000, as compared with some ants that manage with only about 50. The more facets, the more image dots, and the better the resolution, the sharper the composite picture. This may well be the oldest of eye types: tribbites, the little sea creatures of 500 million years ago had well-developed compound eyes. Remark-ably, however different the optics, the chemistry of the image-sensing mechanisms in all Earth animals is quite similar.

i) Structure of the Human Eve

The human eye can be thought of as a positive double lens arrangement that casts a real image on a light-sensitive surface. That notion, in a rudimentary form, was apparently proposed by Kepler (1604). who wrote "Vision, I say, occurs when the image of the ... external world ... is projected onto the ... concave retina." This insight gained wide acceptance only after a lovely experiment was performed in 1625 by the German Equit Christopher Scheiner (and independently, about five years later, by Descartes). Scheiner removed the coating on the back of an animal's eyeball and, peering through the nearly transparent retina from behind, was able to see a minified, inverted image of the scene beyond the eye. Though it resembles a simple camera,



Figure 5.78 (a) The compound eye made up of many ommaidia. (b) An ommaidium, the listle individual eye that cach 'users' assuall region in a par-ticular direction. The corneal lens and exhibit and the set of the set of the set which are the set of the set is surrounded rhabdom. Each of these is surrounded by retinal cells which lead via never fibers to the brain. (From Ackerman et al., Biolphysical Sizence, 6) 1952, 1973. Engle-wood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc. p. 31. After R. Bushman, Animala Without Backbones.)

the seeing system (eye, optic nerve, and visual cortex) functions much television unit. uch more like a closed-circuit computerized

The eye (Fig. 5,79) is an almost spherical (24 mm long by about 22 mm across jultilise mass contained within a tough flexible shell, the sclera. Except for the front portion, or *comea*, which is transparent, the sclera is white and opaque. Bulging upward from the body of the sphere, the core is surved surface (which is slightly flatened, thereby cutting down on spherical aberration) serves as the first and strongest convex element of the lens system. Indeed most of the bending imparted to a bundle of rays takes place at the air-cornea interface bundle of rays takes place at the air-cornea interface. Incidentally, one of the reasons you can't see very well under water ($n_w \approx 1.33$) is that its index is too close to that of the cornea ($n_c \approx 1.376$) to allow for adequate refraction. Light emerging from the cornea passes through a chamber filled with a clear watery fluid called the *aqueous* hanor ($n_m \approx 1.366$). A ray that is strongly refracted toward the optical axis at the air-cornea inter-face will be only slightly redirected at the corneas face will be only slightly redirected at the cornea-aqueous humor interface because of the similarity of their indices. Immersed in the aqueous is a diaphragm known as the *iris*, which serves as the aperture stop controlling the amount of light entering the eye through the hole, or *pupil*. It is the iris (from the Greek word for rainbow) that gives the eye its characteristic blue, brown, gray, green, or hazel color. Made up of circular and radial muscles, the iris can expand or contract the pupil over a range from about 2 mm in bright light to roughly 8 mm in darkness. In addition to this function, it is also linked to the focusing response and will contract to increase image sharpness when doing close work. Immediately behind the iris is the *crystalline lens*. The name, which is somewhat misleading, dates back to about 1000 A.D. and the work of Abû Alî al Hasan ibn al Hasan ibn al Haitham, alias Alhazen of Cairo, who described the eye as partitioned into three regions that were watery, crystalline, and glassy, respectively. The lens, which has both the size and shape of a small bean (9 mm in diameter and 4 mm thick), is a complex layered fibrous mass surrounded by an elastic membrane. In structure it is somewhat like a transparent onion, formed of roughly 22,000 very fine layers. It has some remarkable characteristics that distinguish it from man-



Figure 5.79 The human eye

made lenses in use today, in addition to the fast the continues to grow in size. Because of its laminar uture, rays traversing it will follow paths may minute, discontinuous segments. The lens as a quite pliable, albeit less so with age. Moreover, of refraction ranges from about 1.406 at the it

o approximately 1.386 at the less dense cortex and, as the interpresents a GRIN system (p. 136). The crystal-tions provides the needed fine-focusing mechanism industry desages in its shape, that is, it has a variable frought-a feature well come back to presently. The refracing components of the eye, the cornea and crystalline lens, can be treated as forming an affective double-element lens with an object focus of the trans. To simplify things a little we can take the meaned an image rocks of avoid 215 min beilthed it the retina. To simplify things a little we can take the binded lens to have an optical center 17.1 mm in at of the retina, which falls just at the rear edge of stalline lens.

Behind the ens is another chamber filled with a transparent gelatinous substance known as the vitreous tamer ($n_{ab} \approx 1.337$). As an aside, it should be noted that the vitreous humor contains microscopic particles of the provide straining freely about. You can easily see the standards, our much with diffraction friends. the second second with diffraction fringes, within the second second second second second second second the second second

Bally, a marked increase in one's percep-floaters may be indicative of retinal detach-you're at it, squint at the source again (a orescent light works well). Closing your poletely, you'll actually be able to see the periphery of your own pupil, beyond e of light will disappear into blackness. lieve it, block and then unblock some of ear cir hich th the glare circle will visibly expand and con-pectively. You are seeing the shadow cast by om the inside! Seeing internal objects like this ntoptic perception. tough sclerotic wall is an inner shell, the

is a dark layer, well supplied with blood chly pigmented with melanin. The choroid of a stray light, as is the coat of black paint of a camera, A thin layer (about 0.5 mm aick) of light receptor cells covers much of face of the choroid—this is the *retina* (from 5 meaning net). The focused **beam** of light ia electrochemical reactions in this pinkish structure. The human eye contains two

5.7 Optical Systems 179

kinds of photoreceptor cells: rods and cones (Fig. 5.80). Roughly 125 million of them are intermingled nonuniformly over the retina. The ensemble of rods (each formly over the return. The ensemble of rous (each about 0.002 mm in diameter) in some respects has the characteristics of a high-speed, black and white film (such as Tri-X). It is exceedingly sensitive, performing in light too dim for the cones to respond to, yet it is unable to distinguish color, and the images it relays are not well defined. In contrast, the ensemble of 6 or 7 million cones (each about 0.006 nm in diameter) can be imagined as a separate, but overlapping, low-speed color film. It performs in bright light, giving detailed colored views, but is fairly insensitive at low light levels. The normal wavelength range of human vision is said

to be roughly 390 nm to 780 nm (Table 3.2, p. 72). However, studies have extended these limits down to about 310 nm in the ultraviolet and up to roughly 1050 nm in the infrared—indeed people have reported "seeing" x-radiation. The limitation on ultraviolet transmission in the eye is set by the crystalline lens, which absorbs in the UV. People who have had a lens removed surgically have greatly improved UV sensitivity



Figure 5.80 An electron micrograph of the retina of a salamander (Necturus Maculous). Two visual comes appear in the foreground and several rods behind them. Photo from E. R. Lewis, Y. Y. Zeevi, and F. S. Werblin. Brain Research 15, 559 (1969).

The area of exit of the optic nerve from the eve contains no receptors and is insensitive to light; accord-ingly it is known as the *blind spat* (see Fig. 5.81). The optic nerve spreads out over the back of the interior of the eye in the form of the retina.

Just about at the center of the retina is a small depression from 2.5 to 3 mm in diameter known as the yellow spot, or macula. There is a tiny rod-free region about 0.3 mm in diameter at its center, the fovea centralis, (In comparison, the image of the full Moon on the retina is about 0.2 mm in diameter—Problem 5.59.) Here the cones are thinner (with diameters) of 0,0030 mm to 0,0015 mm) and more densely packed than anywhere else in the retina. Since the fovea pro vides the sharpest and most detailed information, the eyeball is continuously moving, so that light coming from the area on the object of primary interest falls on this region. An image is constantly shifted across different receptor cells by these normal eye movements. If such movements did not occur and the image was kept stationary on a given set of photoreceptors, it would actually tend to fade out. Another fact that indicates the complexity of the sensing system is that the rods are multiply connected to nerve fibers, and a single such fiber can be activated by any one of about a hundred rods. By contrast, cones in the forea are individually connected to nerve fibers. The actual perception of a scene is constructed by the eye-brain system in a continuous analysis of the time-varying retinal image. Just think how little trouble the blind spot causes, even one eye closed.

Between the nerve-fiber layer of the retina and the humor is a network of large retinal blood vessels, which

1

2

Figure 5.81 To verify the existence of the blind spot, close one eye and, at a distance of about 10 inches, look directly at the X—the 2 will disappear. Moving closer will cause the 2 to reappear while the

×

l vanishes

Figure 5.82 Accommodation-changes in the lens config can be observed entoptically. One way is t eye and place a bright small source against the "see" a pattern of shadows (*Purkinje figures*) to blood vessels on the sensitive retinal layer.

ii) Accommodation

(a)

(h)

The fine focusing, or accommodation, of the hum is a function performed by the crystalline lens the is suspended in position behind the iris by agan that are connected to the *ciliary muscles*. Ordine these muscles are relaxed, and in that state they back on the network of fine fibers holding that the lens. This draws the plable lens into a fair configuration, increasing its radii, which in increases its focal length (5.16). With the musi-Increases its local regist (5.16). With the most and pletely relaxed, the light from an object at information of the distribution of the distributic distribution of the distribution of the distribution of t

mant. As the object comes still closer, the ciliary president more tensely contracted, and the lens president of the state of the state

enerally accommodate by varying the lens the sector of th The second secon dation mechanism is quite different. They date by greatly changing the curvature of the

57.2 Eyeglasses

were probably invented some time in the late series proceeding invented some inner in the late sentury, possibly in Italy. A Florentine manu-neriod (1299), which no longer exists, spoke the recently invented for the convenience of values sight has begun to fail." These were lenses, little more than variations on the handing or reading glasses, and polished gem-e no doubt employed as lorgnettes long Roger Bacon (ca. 1267) wrote about negaather early on, but it was almost another ed years before Nicholas Cusa first discussed cycglasses and a hundred years more before cased to be a novelty, in the late 1500s. A tt was considered improper to wear specta-bio.

even as late as the eighteenth century or men in the paintings up until that time

5.7 Optical Systems 181

In 1804 Wollaston, recognizing that traditional (fairly flat, biconvex, and concave) eyeglasses provided good vision only while one looked through their centers, patented a new, deeply curved lens. This was the forerunner of modern-day meniscus (from the Greek meniskas, the diminutive for moon, i.e., cresent) lenses, which allow the turning eyeball to see through then from center to margin without significant distortion. It is customary and quite convenient in physiological

optics to speak about the **dioptric power**. \mathfrak{D} , of a lens, which is simply the reciprocal of the focal length. When *f* is in meters, the unit of power is the inverse meter, or *diopter*, symbolized by $D: 1 m^{-1} = 1 D$. For example, if a converging lens has a focal length of +1 m, its power $\mathfrak{D} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}_i$ for f = +10 cm, $\mathfrak{D} = 10 \text{ D}$. Since a this lens, $\mathfrak{D} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}_i$ for f = +10 cm, $\mathfrak{D} = 10 \text{ D}$. Since a this lens of index n_i in air has a focal length given by

$$\frac{1}{l} = (n_l - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right), \qquad (5.16)$$
 bower is

its p

$$\mathcal{D} = (n_l - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right).$$
(5.69)

You can get a sense of the direction in which we are moving by considering, in rather loose terms, that each surface of a lens bends the incoming rays-the more bending, the stronger the surface. A convex lens that strongly bends the rays at both surfaces has a short focal length and a large dioptric power. We already know that the focal length for two thin lenses in contact is given by

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}.$$
 [5.38]

This means that the combined power is the sum of the individual powers, that is,

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2$$

Thus a convex lens with $\mathscr{D}_1 = +10$ D in contact with a negative lens of $\mathscr{D}_2 = -10$ D results in $\mathscr{D} = 0$; the combination behaves like a parallel sheet of glass. Furthermore, we can imagine a lens, for example, a double convex lens, as being composed of two planar-convex lenses in intimate contact, back to back. The power of

each of these follows from Eq. (5.69); thus for the first planar-convex lens ($R_2 = \infty$),

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{R_1}, \qquad (3.70)$$

(5.71)

and for the second,

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{(n_l - 1)}{-R_2},$$

These expressions may be equally well defined as giving These expressions may be equally went denoted as going the powers of the respective surfaces of the initial double convex lens. In other words, the power of uny thin lens is equal to the sum of the powers of its surfaces. Because R_2 for a convex lens is a negative number, both \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 will be positive in that case. The power of a surface, defined in this way, is not generally the reciprocal of its focal length, although it is when immersed in air. Relating this terminology to the generally used model for the human eye, we note that the power of the crystalline lens *surrounded* by air is about +19D. The cornea provides roughly +43 of the total +58.6 D of the intact unaccommodated eye.

A normal eye, despite the connotation of the word, is not really as common as one might expect. By the term normal, or its synonym *emmelropic*, we mean an eye that is capable of focusing parallel rays on the retina while in a relaxed condition, that is, one whose second focal point lies on the retina. For the unaccommodated eye, we define the point whose image lies on the retina to be the far point. Thus for the normal eye the most distant point that can be brought to a focus on the retina, the far point, is located at infinity (which for all practical purposes is anywhere beyond about 5 m). In contrast, when the second focal point does not lie on the retina, there eve is *ametropic* (e.g., it suffers hyperopia, myopia, or astigmatism). This can arise either because of abnormal changes in the refracting mechanism (cornea, lens, etc.) or because of alterations in the length nea, lens, etc.) or because of alterations in the length of the eyeball that alter the distance between the lens and the retina. The latter is by far the more common cause. Just to put things in proper perspective, note that about 25% of young adults require ± 0.5 D or less of eyedgas correction, and perhaps as many as 65% need only ± 1.0 D or less.

i) Nearsightedness – Negative Lenses

0) Nearinghiedness – Negative ienses Myopia is the condition in which parallel was a brought to focus in front of the retina; thel on the lens system as configured is too large for anterior-posterior axial length of the eye. Insi distant objects fall in front of the retina, the fast is closer in than infinity, and all points beyond appear blurred. This is why myopia is often massightedness—an eye with this defect sees interior elength (File 5.583). To correct the conditioner theory elength (File 5.583). To correct the conditioner of the objects clearly (Fig. 5.83). To correct the at least its symptoms, we place an additional front of the eye such that the combined special lens system has its second focal point on the However, the set of the system of the system of the system has its second focal point on the Since the myopic eye can clearly see objects does the far point, the spectacle lens must cast read-nearby images of distant objects. Hence we intro a negative lens that will diverge the rays a bit the temptation to suppose that we are merely read the power of the system. In point of fact, the point the power of the system. In point of fact, the point that of the unaided eye. If you are wearing gas correct myopia, take them off: the world gets correct myopia, take them off; the world gets but it doesn't change size. Try casting a real if a piece of paper using your glasses—it can't h Suppose an eye has a far point of 2 m. Al

well if the spectacle lens appeared to bring more objects in closer than 2 m. If the virtual image object at infinity is formed by a concave lens at 2 eye will see the object clearly with an unaccomm lens. Thus using the thin-lens approximation (are generally thin to reduce weight and bulk)

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{s_o} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{\infty} + \frac{1}{-2},$$

distance, measured from the correction lens, c focal length (Fig. 5.84). The curve distance, measured from the confection texts and focal length (Fig. 5.84). The eye views the right virtual images of all objects formed by the correc-lens, and those images are located between its far near points. Incidentally, the near point also away a little, which is why myopes often pre-remove their spectacles when threading need reading small print; they can then bring the ma-closer to the eye, thereby increasing the magnific



The calculation we have just performed overlooks to separition between the correction lens and the effect it applies to contact lenses more than to tance of t s) the separation is usually made equal to the of the first focal point of the eye (≈16 mm) cornea, so that no magnification of the image of the unaided eye occurs. Many people have The mean so that no magnification of the image to of the unaided eye occurs. Many people have all eyes, yet both yield the same magnification. A M_T for one and not the other would be a Bacing the correcting lens at the eye's first M_T avoids the problem completely, regardless ever of that lens (take a look at Eq. (6.8)]. To just draw a ray from the top of some object that focal point. The ray will enter the eye with parallel to the optic axis, thus establishing the image. Yet, since this ray is unaffected ence of the spectacle lens whose center is at ace of the spectacle lens whose center is at

5.7 Optical Systems 183

the focal point, the image's location may change on insertion of such a lens, but its height and therefore M_T will not (see Eq. 5.24).

The question now becomes: What is the equivalent power of a spectacle lens at some distance d from the equivalent to that of a contact lens with a focal length f, that equals the far-point distance). It will do For our purposes to approximate the eye by a single lens and take d from that lens to the spectacle as roughly equal to the cornea-eyeglass distance, usually around 16 mm. Given that the focal length of the correction lens is f_i and the focal length of the eye is f_i , the combination has a focal length provided by Eq. (5.36), that is,

b.f.l. =
$$\frac{f_s(d-f_l)}{d-(f_l+f_s)}$$
. (5.72)

This is the distance from the eye-lens to the retina Similarly, the equivalent contact lens combined with the cye-lens has a focal length given by Eq. (5.38):

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_t} + \frac{1}{f_t},$$
(5.73)

where f = b.f.l. Inverting Eq. (5.72), setting it equal to Eq. (5.73), and simplifying, we obtain the result $1/f_c = 1/(f_i - d)$, independent of the eye itself. In terms of power,

$$\mathcal{D}_{c} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{i}}{1 - \mathcal{D}_{i}d}.$$
(5.74)

A spectacle lens of power \mathcal{D}_i a distance d from the respective the form of power \mathfrak{D}_{c} is unless \mathfrak{D} in the events of power \mathfrak{D}_{c} . Notice that since d is measured in meters and thus is quite small, unless \mathfrak{D}_{i} is large, as



Figure 5.84 The far-point distance equals the focal length of the correction lens.

it often is, $\mathfrak{D}_{c}\simeq \mathfrak{D}_{t}.$ Usually, the point on your nose where you choose to rest your eyeglasses has little effect, but that's certainly not always the case—an improper value of d has resulted in many a headache.

ii) Farsightedness – Positive Lenses

Hyperopia (or hypermetropia) is the defect that causes the second local point of the unaccommodated even to lie behind the retina (Fig. 5.8b). Farsightdness, as you might have guessed it would be called, is often due to a shortening of the anteroposterior axis of the eve—the lens is too close to the retina. To increase the bending of the rays, a positive spectade lens is placed in front of the eve. The hyperopic eye can and must accommodate to do so for a near point, which is much farther away than it would be normally (this we take as 25 cm). It will consequently be unable to see clearly. A converging corrective lens with positive power will effectively move a lose object out beyond the near point where the eye has a dequate acuity, that is, it will form a distant virtual image, which the eye can then see locarly. Suppose that a hyperopic eye has a ner point of 125 cm. For an object at +25 cm to have its image at $s_i = -125$ cm so that it can be seen as if through a normal eye, the focal length must be

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{(-1.25)} + \frac{1}{0.25} = \frac{1}{0.31},$$

or f = 0.31 m and $\mathfrak{D} = +3.2 \text{ D}$. This is in accord with Table 5.3, where $s_s < f$. These spectacles will cast real images—try it if you're hyperopic. As shown in Fig. 5.86, the correcting lens allows the

As shown in Fig. 5.86, the correcting lens allows the relaxed eye to view objects at infinity. In effect, it creates an image on its focal "plane," which then serves as a virtual object for the eye. The focus (whose image lies on the retina) is once again the *far point*, and it's a distance *f*, *bbind* the lens. The hyperope can comfortably "see" the far point, and any lens located anywhere in front of the eye that has an appropriate focal length will serve that purpose.

Very gentle finger pressure on the lids above and below the cornea will temporarily distort it, changing your vision from blurred to clear and vice versa. Digier at #

FARSIGHTED EYE

iii) Asligmalism – Anamorphic Lenses Perhaps the most common eye defect is astignation arises from an uneven curvature of the corner in a words, the corne as a saymmetric. Suppose the two meridional planes (ones containing the optimise



Figure 5.86 Again the far-point distance equals the focil len the correction lens.

Figure 5.87 Di Amard morphus Amorne dei Celendrical Icases. (Parezo courresy alle I in Grand 3

5.7 Optical Systems 185

through the eye such that the (curvature or) power is maximal on one and minimal on the other. If these planes are perpendicular, the *asignatism is regular* and correctible; if not, it is *irregular* and not easily corrected. Regular astigmatism can take different forms; the eye can be emmetropic, myopic, or hyperopic in various combinations and degrees on the two perpendicular meridional planes. Thus, as a simple example, the columns of a checker board might be well focused while the rows are blurred due to myopia or hyperopia. Obviously these meridional planes need not be horizontal and vertical.

The great astronomer Sir George B. Airy used a concave sphero-cylindrical lens to ameliorate his own myopic astigmatism in 1825. This was probably the first time astigmatism had been corrected. But it was not until the publication in 1862 of a treatise on cylindrical lenses and astigmatism by the Dutchman Franciscus Cornelius Donders (1818–1889) that ophthalmologists were moved to adopt the method on a large scale.

Any optical system that has a different value of M_{τ} or \mathfrak{D} in two principal meridians is said to be anamorphic. Thus, for example, if we rebuilt the system depicted in Fig. 5.31, this time using cylindrical lenses (Fig. 5.87), the image would be distorted, having been magnified in only one plane. This is just the sort of distortion needed to correct for astigmatism when a defect exists in only one meridian. An appropriate planar cylindrical spectacle lens, either positive or negative, would restore essentially normal vision. When both perpendicular meridians require correction, the lens may be spherocylindrical or even toric as in Fig. (5.88).



Figure 5.88 Toric surfaces.

Just as an aside, we note that anamorphic lenses are used in other areas, as for example, in the making of wide-screen motion pictures, where an extra-large horizontal field of view is compacted onto the regular film format. When shown through a special lens the distorted picture spreads out again. On occasion a television station will show short excerpts without the special lens—you may have seen the weirdly elongated result.

5.7.3 The Magnifying Glass

An observer can cause an object to appear larger, for the purpose of examining it in detail. by simply bringing it closer to here ye. As the object is brought nearer and nearer, its retinal image increases, remaining in focus until the crystalline lens can no longer provide adequate accommodation. Should the object come closer than this near point, the image will blur (Fig. 5.89). A single positive lens can be used, in effect to add refractive power to the eye, so that the object can be brought still closer and yet be in focus. The lens so used is referred to variously as a magnifying glass, a simple magnifyer, or a simple microscope I nany event, its function is to provide an image of a nearby object that is larger than the image seas by the unaided eye. Devices of this sort have been around for a long time. In fact, a quart convex lens ($\pi \ge 10$ cm), which may have served as a magnifier, was unearthed in 1885 among the ruins of the palace of King Sennacherib (705-681 h.c.) of Assyria.

Sennacherib (705-681 b.c.) of Asyria. Evidently, it would be desirable for the lens to form a magnified, erect image. Furthermore, the rays entering the normal eye should not be converging. Table 5.3 (p.145) immediately suggests placing the object within the focal length (i.e., $s_a < f$). The result is shown in Fig. 5.90. Because of the relatively tiny size of the eye's pupil, it will almost certainly always be the aperture stop, and as in Fig. 5.33 (p.150), it will also be the exit pupil. The meanificing energy MP or equivalently the

as an rig: 0.33 (p.100), it will also be the exit pupil. The magnifying power, MP, or equivalently, the angular magnification, M_A , of a visual instrument is defined as the ratio of the size of the retinal image as seen through the instrument over the size of the retinal image as seen by the unaided eye at normal viewing distance. The latter is generally taken as the distance to the near point, Nerpola

Figure 5.89 Images in relation to the near point.

 d_{e} . The ratio of angles α_{a} and α_{u} (which are made chief rays from the top of the object in the instance the aided and unaided eye, respectively) is equivato MP, that is,

 $\mathbf{MP} = \frac{a_a}{a_a}, \qquad (3.5)$ Keeping in mind that we are restricted to the paraxim region, tan $a_a=y_l/L\approx a_a$ and tan $a_a=y_a/d_a$

 $MP = \frac{y_i d_o}{y_o L}$,

wherein y, and y, are above the axis and positive $\frac{1}{4}$ make d_s and L positive quantities, MP will be posiwhich is quite reasonable. When we use Eqs. (5.24) at (5.25) for M_{τ} along with the thin-lens equation to expression becomes

$$MP = -\frac{s_i d_o}{s_o L} = \left(1 - \frac{s_i}{f}\right) \frac{d_o}{L},$$

Inasmuch as the image distance is negative 4^{-1} - $(L - \ell)$, and consequently,

 $MP = \frac{d_o}{I} [1 + \mathcal{D}(L - \ell)].$

I of course being the power of the magnified There are three situations of particular interest



5.7 Optical Systems

x87

= the magnifying power equals $d_o \mathcal{D}$. (2) When

$$[MP]_{\ell=0} = d_o \left(\frac{1}{L} + \circledast\right).$$

in this case the largest value of MP corresponds to the mallest galue of L_s which, if vision is to be clear, must that L_s Thus

 $[\mathrm{MP}]_{\substack{\ell=0\\L=d_a}} = d_a \mathcal{D} + 1. \tag{5.77}$ Taking $d_a = 0.25$ m for the standard observer, we have

 $[MP]_{\ell=0} = 0.25 \mathcal{D} + 1.$ (5.

Figure 5.90 (a) An unaided view of an object. (b) The aided view through a magnifying glass. (c) A positive lens used as a magnifying glass. The object is less than one focal length from the lens.

As L increases, MP decreases, and similarly as ℓ increases, MP decreases. If the eye is very far from the lens, the retinal image will indeed be small. (3) This last the object at the focal point $(s_a = f)$, in which case the virtual image is at infinity $(L = \infty)$. Thus from Eq. (5.76) $[MP]_{L=\infty} = d_n \mathcal{D}$ (5.79)

for all practical values of ℓ . Because the rays are parallel, the eye views the scene in a relaxed, unaccommodated configuration, a highly desirable feature. Notice that $M_T = -s_1/s_0$ approaches infinity as $s_0 \rightarrow f$, whereas in marked contrast, M_A merely decreases by 1 under the same circumstances.

same circumstances. A magnifier with a power of 10 D has a focal length (1/ 20) of 0.1m and a MP equal to 2.5 when $L \rightarrow \infty$. This is conventionally denoted as 2.5×, which means that the retinal image is 2.5 times larger with the object at the focal length of the lens than it would be were the object at the near point of the unaided eye (where the largest clear image is possible). The simplest single-lens magnifiers are limited by aberrations to roughly 2× or 3×. A large field of view generally implies a large lens, for practical reasons usually dictates a large have. for practical reasons usually dictates a large, as is f. curvature of the surfaces. The radii are large, as is f, and therefore MP is small. The reading glass, the kind Sherlock Holmes made famous, is a typical example. The watchmaker's eye loupe is frequently a single-element lens, also of about $2\times$ or $3\times$. Figure 5.91 shows a few more complicated magnifiers designed to operate in the range from roughly $10\times$ to $20\times$. The double lens in the range from roughly 10× to 20%. The double lens is quite common in a number of configurations. Although not particularly good, they perform satisfac-torily, for example, in high-powered loupes. The Cod-dington is essentially a sphere with a slot cut in it to allow an aperture smaller than the pupil of the eye. A clear marble (any small sphere of glass qualifies) will also greatly magnify-but not without a good deal of distortion.

The relative refractive index of a lens and the medium in which it is immersed, n_{itsn} is wavelength dependent. But since the focal length of a simple lens varies with $n_{sn}(\lambda)$, this means that f is a function of wavelength, and the constituent colors of white light will focus at different points in space. The resultant defect is known



as chromatic aberration. In order that the integr he in of this coloration, positive and negative lenses made different glasses are combined to form achromates different glasses are combined to torm *acaronness*. Section 6.8.2). Achromatic, cemented, doublet, and triplet lenses are comparatively expensive and usually found in small, highly corrected, high-p magnifiers.

5.7.4 Eyepieces

The eyepiece, or ocular, is a visual optical instrum Fundamentally a magnifier, it views not an actua but the intermediate image of that object as for a preceding lens system. In effect, the eye loo the ocular, and the ocular looks into the optical s be it a spotting scope, compound microscope, to or binocular. A single lens could serve the **pur** poorly. If the retinal image is to be more **sati** the ocular cannot have extensive aberrations. piece of a special instruction waver, might signed as part of the complete system, so that a local can be utilized in the overall scheme to baland aberrations. Even so, standard evepleces are used changeably on most telescopes and compoun scopes. Moreover, eyepieces are quite difficult

and perhaps most fruitful, approach is or slightly modify one of the existing and the to incorporate designs. The ocular un a provide a virtual image (of the inter-

design. The osline must provide a virtual image (of the inter-metal most often located at or near infinity, softat is more confortably one work by a meaning, relaxed to the transmission of the observer's specific to me powerient location, preferably at least 10 mm so from the last surface. As before, ocular mag-metal is be product 4.2, or as it is often written, stp = (280 mm)/f. The Hougens ocular, which dates back over 250 oran, is still in wide use today (Fig. 5.92), particularly microsopy. The lens adjacent to the eye is known of go-lens, and the first lens in the ocular is the prometal to eye relief, and for the Huygens ocular, the numericable 3 mm or so. Notice that this and the instance for the eye-lens. Clearly then, the virtual object for the eye. Scalary then, the outral wirtual object for the eye. Scalary then, the source she incoming rays to be converging so metal virtual object for the eye. Scalary then, requires the incoming rays to be converging so that virtual object for the eye-lens. Clearly then, this eyepice cannot be used as an ordinary fits contemporary appeal rests in its low gur-pice (see Section 6.3.2). Another old standby is indea eyepice (Fig. 5.93). This time the prin-this in front of the field-lens, so the intermedi-dial appear there in easy access. This is where openance a *reliek* (or *relicale*), which might con-to of cross hists, precision scales or a constant. cross hairs, precision scales, or angularly alar grids. (When these are formed on a plate, they are often called graticules.) Since and intermediate image are in the same



5.7 Optical Systems 189







plane, both will be in focus at the same time. The roughly 12-mm eye relief is an advantage over the previous ocular. The Ramsden is relatively popular and previous ocuar. The Ramsden is relatively popular and fairly inexpensive (see Problem 6.2). The **Kellner** eye-piece represents a definite increase in image quality, although eye relief is between that of the previous two devices. The Kellner is essentially an achromatized Ramsden (Fig. 5.94). It is most commonly used in mod-erately wide-field telescopic instruments. The **ortho-**scopic eyepiece (Fig. 5.95) has a wide field, high magnification, and long eye relief (~20 mm). The sym metrical (Plost) evenece (Fig. 5.96) has characteristics similar to those of the orthoscopic ocular but is generally somewhat superior to it. The Erfle (Fig. 5.97) is probably the most common wide-field (roughly $\pm 30^{\circ}$) eyepiece. It is well corrected for all aberrations and comparatively expensive.*

* Detailed designs of these and other oculars can be found in the Military Standardization Handbook—Optical Design, MIL-HDBK-141.

Although there are many other eyepieces, including variable-power *soom* devices and ones with aspherical surfaces, those discussed above are representative. They are the ones you will ordinarily find on telescopes and microscopes and on long lists in the commercial catalogs.



Figure 5.95 The orthoscopic eyepiece.





Figure 5.97 The Erfie eyepiece.

5.7.5 The Compound Microscope

The compound microscope goes a step beyond the simple magnifier by providing higher angular in infication (greater than about 30×0) of a set object invention, which may have occurred as early as is generally attributed to a Dutch spectacle may Zacharias Jansen of Middleburg. Galieo runs, acsecond, having announced his invention of a conmicroscope in 1610. A simple version, which it coto these earliest devices than it is to a modern labour, microscope is depixed in Fig. 5.98. The lens spithere a singlet, closest to the object is referred to objective. It forms a real, inverted, and usually, in nilled image of the field stop of the experice. If diverging from each point of this image will emay from the eye-lens (which in this simple case is the vious section. The ocular magnifies this intermed image still further. Thus the magnifying power of the entire system is the product of the transverse line magnification of the objective. M_{Tro} , and the angumagnification of the object.

 $MP = M_{Te}M_{Ae}$

Recall that $M_{\Upsilon} = -x_i/f_i$ Eq. (5.26). With this in mind most, but not all, manufacturers design their merscopes such that the distance (corresponding to x,) has the second focus of the objective to the first focus of the eveptice is standardized at 160 mm. This distanknown as the *tube length*, is denoted by L in the figure (Some authors define tube length as the image disdistance of the objective.) Hence, with the final image at ion and the standard near point taken as 10 mana (254 mm).

 $MP = \left(-\frac{160}{f_o}\right) \left(\frac{254}{f_e}\right),$

and the image is inverted (MP < 0). According barrel of an objective with a focal length f_1 of 32 mm will be engraved with the marking 5× (b) indicating a power of 5. Combined with a 10× of ($f_1 = 1$ inch), the microscope MP would then be To maintain the distance relationships and objective, field stop, and ocular, while a focus of mu



a rudimentary compound microscope.

5.7 Optical Systems 191

mediate image of the object is positioned in the first focal plane of the eyepiece, all three elements are moved as a single unit.

as a single timi. The objective itself functions as the aperture stop and entrance pupil. Its image, formed by the evepice, is the exit pupil into which the eye is positioned. The field stop, which limits the extent of the largest object that can be viewed, is fabricated as part of the ocular. The image of the field stop formed by the objical elements following it is called the *exit window*, and the image formed by the optical elements preceding it is the *entrance window*. The cone angle subtended at the center of the exit pupil by the periphery of the exit window is said to be the annular field of *sizen* in image those

entrance window. The cone angle subtended at the center of the exit pupil by the periphery of the exit window is said to be the angular field of view in image space. A modern microscope objective can be roughly classified as one of three different kinds. It might be designed to work best with the object positioned below a cover glass, with no cover glass (metallurgical instruments), or with the object immersed in a liquid that is in contaxt with the objective may be used with or without a cover glass. Four representative objectives are shown in Fig. 5.99 (see Section 6.3.1). In addition, the ordinary low-power (about 5×) cemented doublet achromate is quite common. Relatively inexpensive medium-power (10X or 20X) adfromatic objectives, because of their short focal lengths, can conveniently be used when expanding and spatially filtering laserbeams.

beams. There is one other characteristic quantity of importance, which must be mentioned here even if only briefly. The brightness of the image is, in part, dependent on the amount of light gathered in by the objective. The *f*-number is a useful parameter for describing this quantity, particularly when the object is a distant one (see Section 5.3.3). However, for ao instrument working at *finite conjugates* (s, and s, both finite), the numerical aperture, NA, is more appropriate (see Section 5.6). In the present instance

$NA = n_a \sin \theta_{max}$, (5.82)

where $n_{\rm e}$ is the refractive index of the immersing medium (air, oil, water, etc.) adjacent to the objective lens, and $\theta_{\rm max}$ is the half-angle of the maximum cone of light picked up by that lens (Fig. 5.99(b)). In other



Figure 5.99 Microscope objectives: (a) Lister objective, $10 \times$, NA = 0.25, f = 16 mm (two cemented achromates). (b) Amici objective, from $20 \times$, NA = 0.5, f = 8 mm to $40 \times$, NA = 0.8, f = 4 mm. (c) Oil-

words, θ_{max} is the angle made by a marginal ray with the axis. The numerical aperture is usually the second number etched in the barrel of the objective. It ranges from about 0.07 for low-power objectives to 1.4 or so for high-power (100×) ones. Of course, if the object is in the air, the numerical aperture cannot be greater than 1.0. Incidentally, Ernst Abbe (1840–1905), while working in the Carl Zeiss microscope workshop, introduced the concept of the numerical aperture. It was he who recognized that the minimum transverse distance between two object points that can be resolved in the image, that is, the resolving power, varied directly as λ and inversely as the NA.

5.7.6 The Telescope

It is not at all clear who actually invented the telescope. In point of fact, it was probably invented and reinvented many times. Recall that by the seventeenth century spectacle lenses had been in use in Europe for about three hundred years. During that long span of time, the fortuitous juxtapositioning of two appropriate lenses to form a telescope seems almost inevitable. In $(c) \qquad (d)$ immersion objective, 100 ×, NA = i.5, f = 1.6 mm (see Faq.) (d) Apochromatic objective, 55 ×, NA \approx 0.95. f = 3.2 (contifluorite lenses).

any event, it is most likely that a Dutch optician, ge even the ubiquitous Zacharias Jenssen of mich fame, first constructed a telescope and in additiinklings of the value of what he was peering fitearliest indisputable evidence of the discovery. Jidates to October 2, 1608, when Hans Lippernitioned the States-General of Holland for a pagdevice for seeing at a distance (which is whatter means in Greek). Incidentally, as you might guessed, its military possibilities were immediate ognized. His patent was therefore not granted in the government purchased the rights to the instaand he received a commission to continue resea Galileo heard of this own, and by 1609 he had ioned a telescope of his own, using two lenses are organ pipe as a tube. It was not long before He constructed a number of greatly improved instrucand was astounding the world with the astronom

i) Refracting Telescopes

A simple astronomical telescope is shown in Figure Unlike the compound microscope, which it are nbles in primary function is to enlarge the retinal form of the second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second This image will be the object for the next term, that is, the ocular. It follows from Table 145) that if the explece is to form a virtual addition, the object distance must be less than to the focal length, f. In practice, the position term diate image is fixed, and only the replicer is the second second second second second that is on gas the scope is used for astronomimon, this is of little consequence, especially matter is photographic.

emphases, this is of little consequence, especially emphases is photographic. The rest of the second second second second second second for a of the objective. Usually the eyepiece energy that is few focus searches the second focus is objective, in which case rays diverging from a pt on the intermediate image will leave the ocular allel to set other. A normal viewing eye can then



5.7 Optical Systems 193

focus the rays in a relaxed configuration. If the eye is nearsighted or farsighted, the ocular can be moved in or out so that the rays diverge or converge a bit to

compensate. (If you are astigmatic, you'll have to keep your glasses on when using ordinary visual instruments.) We saw earlier (Section 5.2.3) that both the back and front focal lengths of a thin-lens combination go

to infinity when the two lenses are separated by a distance d equal to the sum of their focal lengths (Fig. 5.101). The astronomical telescope in this configuration of infinite conjugates is said to be afocal, that is, without

a focal length As a side note, if you shine a collimated (parallel rays, i.e., plane waves) narrow laserbeam into the back end of a scope focused at infinity, it will emerge still collimated but with an increased cross-section. It is

often desirable to have a broad, quasimonochromatic, plane-wave beam, and specific devices of this sort are now available commercially. The periphery of the **objective** is the aperture stop,

103



eye will presumably be collinear with the central axis of the scope. The entrance pupil of the cyc should then coincide in space with the exit pupil of the scope. However, the eye is not immobile. It will move about scanning the entire field of view, which quite often contains many points of interest. In effect, the eye examines different regions of the field by rotating so that rays from a particular area fall on the fovea centralis. The direction established by the chief ray through the center of the entrance pupil to the fovea centralis is the primary line of sight. The axial point, fixed in reference to the head, through which the primary line of sight always passes, regardless of the orientation of the eyeball, is called the sighting intersect. When it is desirable to have the eyes urreying the field, the sighting intersect should be positioned at the center of the telescope's exit pupil. In that case, the primary line of sight always the orien primary line of sight will always correspond to a chief ray through the center



of the exit pupil, however the eye moves. Suppose that the margin of the visible object: a half-angle of α at the objective (Fig. 5,102) essentially the same as the angle $\alpha_{\rm e}$, which as subtended at the unaided eye. As in previous the angular magnification is

$MP = \frac{\alpha_u}{\alpha_u}$

Here a_a and a_a are measures of the field of view object and image space, respectively. The first imhalf-angle of the actual cone of rays collected, and second relates to the apparent cone of rays. Rearrives at the objective with a negative slope, it will the sign of MP positive for erect images, and the consistent with previous usage (Fig. 5.90), either a_a must be taken to be negative—we choose the

Figure 5.102 Kny angles for a learner



(5.83)

(5.84)

The proof of the second secon

$$MP = -\frac{f_o}{f_o}.$$

Another sufficient expression for the MP comes from south the transverse magnification of the orular, much as the exit pupil is the image of the objective \$102), we have

$$M_{Te} = -\frac{f_e}{x_0} - \frac{f_e}{f_0}.$$

more, if D_s is the diameter of the objective and moments of its image, the exit pupil, then $M_{Te} =$ more two expressions for M_{Te} compared with yield

 $MP = \frac{D_o}{D_{ep}},$

Security a negative quantity, since the image this an easy matter to build a simple refractby bolding a lens with a long focal length in one with a short focal length and making sure $A + f_c$. But again, well-corrected telescopic gually meters or triplets. To be useful when the orientation of the object is of importance, a scope must contain an additional *reating* system—such an arrangement is known as a *lertestrial letscope*. A single erecting lens or lens system is usually located between the ocular and objective, with the result that the image is right side up. Figure 5.103 shows one with a cemented doublet objective and a Kellner eyepiece. It will obviously have to have a long draw tube, the picturesque kind that comes to mind when you think of wooden ships and cannonballs.

For that reason, *binoculars* (binocular telescopes) generally utilize erecting prisms, which accomplish the same thing in less space and also increase the separation of



Figure 5.104 A binocular.

the objectives, thereby enhancing the stereoscopic effect. Most often these are double Porro prisms, as in Fig. 5.104 (notice the involved modified Erfle eyepiece, The vide field stop, and the achomatic doublet objective). Binoculars customarily bear several numerical markings, for example, 6×30 , 7×50 , or 20×50 . The initial number is the magnification, here 6×, 7×, or 20X. The second number is the entrance-pupil diameter or, equivalently, the clear aperture of the objective, expressed in millimeters. It follows from Eq. (5.84) that the exit-pupil diameter will be the second number divided by the first, or in this case 5, 7.1, and 2.5, all in millimeters. You can hold the instrument away from your eye and see the bright circular exit pupil surroun-ded by blackness. To measure it, focus the device at





The Galilean telescope. Galileo's first scope had a objective (5.6 cm in diameter, f = 1.7 m, R = 93.5 cm) oncave eyepiece, both of which he ground himself. It trast to his last scope, which was \$2×. (Photo by E.H.) Figure 5.105 The planar-convex obje planar-unar-or and a planar-or was 5 × in cor

infinity, point it at the sky, and observe the emergi-sharp disk of light, using a piece of paper at a Determine the eye relief while you're at it. By the way, as long as $d = j_a + j_a$, the scope g afocal, even if the expice is negative (i.e., $f_a < 0$ telescope built by Gahleo (Fig. 5.105) had just negative lens as an eyepiece and therefore negative lens as an evene target the treatment of the tr two such scopes mounted side by side to fo field glass. It is quite useful, however, as a lase expander, because it has no internal focal points a high power beam would otherwise in rounding air.

ii) Reflecting Telescopes

The difficulties inherent in making large lensed an underscored when we note that the largest remainstrument is the 40-inch Yerkes telescope in Will Bay, Wisconsin, whereas the reflector on Mo. Palomar in southwestern California is 200 note diameter, and the Soviet Union has a 236-inch of at their Crimea Observatory. The a lens must be transparent and free of internal etc. A front-surfaced mirror obviously need no indeed it need not even be transparent. A lens int supported only by its rim and may sag undri its weight; a mirror can be supported by its rim and as well. Furthermore, since there is no refraction a wavelength dependence of the index, mirrors a chromatic aberration. For these and other reaso their frequency response), reflectors predomi large telescopes

large telescopes. Invented by the Scotsman James Gregory (J 1675), in 1661, the reflecting telescope was first su fully constructed by Newton in 1668, and only be the bands of M an important research tool in the hands of 1 Herschel a century later. Figure 5.106 depicts of reflector arrangements, each having concar boloidal primary mirrors. The 200-inch Hale to is so large that a little enclosure, where an o itioned at the prime focus. In the sit, is po



5.7 Optical Systems 197

version, a plane mirror or prism brings the beam out at right angles to the axis of the scope, where it can be photographed, viewed, spectrally analyzed, or photo-electrically processed. In the Gregorian arrangement, which is not particularly popular, a concave ellipsoidal scoondary mirror reinverts the image, returning the beam through a hole in the primary. The Cassegrainian system utilizes a convex hyperboloidal secondary mirror to increase the effective focal length (refer hack to Fig. 546. p. 1582. It functions as if the primary mirror had 5.46, p. 158). It functions as if the primary mirror had the sa the same aperture but a larger focal length or radius of curvature.

iii) Catadioptric Telescopes

ii) Calodiophric blescopes A combination of reflecting (satophric) and refracting (diophric) elements is called a catadiophric system. The best known of these, although not the first, is the classic Schmid ophrical system. We must treat it here, even if only briefly, because it represents the precursor of a new outlook in the design of large-aperture, extended-field reflecting systems. As seen in Fig. 5.107, bundles of parallel rays reflecting off a spherical mirror will form images, let's say of a field of stars, on a spherical image surface, the latter being a curved film plate in practice. The only problem with such a scheme is that although it is free of other aberrations (see Section 6.3.1), we it is free of other aberrations (see Section 6.3.1), we It is tree of other aberrations (see Section 0.3.1), we know that rays reflected from the outer regions of the mirror will not arrive at the same focus as those from the paraxial region. In other words, the mirror is a sphere, not a paraboloid, and it suffers *spherial aberra-tum* [Fig. 5.107(b)]. If this could be corrected, the system (in theory at least) would be capable of perfect imagery over a wide field of view. Since there is no one central axis, there are in effect, no off-axis points. Recall that the paraboloid forms perfect images only at axial points, the image deteriorating rapidly off axis. One evening in 1929, while sailing on the Indian ocean (returning from an eclipse expedition to the Philippines), Bernhard Voldemar Schmidt (1879–1935) showed a colleague a sketch of a system he had designed to cope with the spherical aberration of a spherical mirror. He would use a thin glass corrector plate on whose surface would be ground a very shallow toroidal curve [Fig. 5.107(c)]. Light rays traversing the outer regions would





(b)

Figure 5.107 The Schmidt optical system.

Correction plate

be deviated by just the amount needed to be a focused on the image sphere. The corrector mu-come one defect without introducing app-amounts of other aberrations. This first system v in 1930, and in 1949 the famous 48-inch Schmiin 1930. and in 1949 the famous 48-inch Schmissope of the Palomar Observatory was complete in a fast (//2.5), wide-field device, ideal for surveying the size of the bowl of the Big Discompared with roughly 400 photographs by the sinch reflector to cover the same area. Major advances in the design of catadiot instrumentation have occurred since the introduce of the original Schmidt system.* There are an extra stability in the size of a missile tracking instrumenteer or ameras, compact commercial telever telephoto objectives, and missile tracking instrumentable variations on the theme exist.

telephoto objectives, and mission-inoming guidances tems. Innumerable variations on the theme exists in replace the correcting plate with concentric mem-lens arrangements (Bouwers-Maksutov), other us solid thick mirrors. One highly successful approx illustration scheric lens arraw (Rales) utilizes a triplet aspheric lens array (Baker),

5.7.7 The Camera

The prototype of the modern photographic camer was a device known as the *camera obscura*, the effect form of which was simply a dark room with a small form of which was simply a dark room with a small in one wall. Light entering the hole cast an inverted image of the sunkit outside scene on an intersection The principle was known to Aristotle, and his obser-tions were preserved by Arab scholars through Europe's long Dark Ages. Alhazen utilized it to solar eclipses indirectly over eight hundred years of the notebooks of Leonardo da Vinte contain seven descriptions of the obscura. but the first detailed ment appears in *Magia naturalis* (Matural Magi Giovanni della Porta. He recommended it as a data aid, a function to which it was soon quite popularity

* For further reading see J. J. Villa, "Catadioptric Lenses" for Spretra (March/April, 1968), p. 57.
† See W. H. Price, "The Photographic Lens," Sci. Am. (Ann., 27) p. 72.

phames Kepler, the renowned astronomer, had a pottage tent version, which he used while surveying in portage tent version, which he used while surveying in the surveying the surveying the surveying the metric obscura was commonplace. Note that the the Naulilus, a little cutlefish, is literally an open the obscura, which simply fills with sea water on

replacing the viewing screen with a photosensitive and a set of the se rnible

discernible. Instantial and the second seco to breat the of focus) and over a large range of the sector of the secto orther reduction in the hole size causes the image to aperture s blur again, and one quickly finds that the size for maximum sharpness is proportional blance from the image plane. (A hole with a cliameter at 0.25 m from the film plate is con-

containcter at 0.25 m from the film plate is con-trained works well.) There is no focusing of the stills on defects in that mechanism are respon-ible for the drop-off in clarity. The problem is actually ne of infiraction, as we shall see later on (Section 10.25). In most practical situations, the pinhole one overriding drawback is that it is insuffer-tally glow (roughly //500). This means that exposure full films. The obvious exception is a stationary such as a building (Fig. 5.109), for which the

Figure 5-10 depicts the essential components of a





Figure 5.108 The pinhole camera. Note the variation in image clarity as the hole diameter decreases. (Photos courtesy Dr. N. Joel, UNESCO.)



Figure 5.109 Photograph taken with a pinhole camera. (Science Building, Adelphi University). Hole diameter 0.5 mm, film plane distance 24 cm, A.S.A. 3000, shutter speed 0.25 s. Note depth of field. (Photo by E.H.)

fairly popular and representative modern camera—the single-lens reflex, or SLR. Light traversing the first few elements of the lens then passes through an iris dia-phragm. used in part to control the exposure time or, equivalently, the *f-number*—it is in effect a variable-aperture stop. On emerging from the lens, light strikes a movable mirror tilted at 45°, then goes up through the focusing screen to the penta prism and out the inder experiece. When the shutter release is pressed, the diaphragm opens fully, and the focal-plane shutter opens, exposing the film. The shutter then closes, the diaphragm opens fully, and the mirror drops back in place. Novadays most SLR systems bave any one of a number of built-in light-meter arrangements, which are automatically coupled to the diaphragm and shutter,



Figure 5.110 A single-lens reflex camera.



Figure 5.111 Angular field of view when focused at infinite

hose of approximate are excluded from the diagram the set of implicity. The set of anners, the entire lens is moved toward from the film plane. Since its focal length is synaries, so too must 4. The arguing field of the dely be thought of as relating to the fraction are set included in the photograph. It is further-



5.7 Optical Systems 201

more required that the entire photograph surface correspond to a region of satisfactory image quality. More precisely, the angle subtended at the lens, by a circle encompassing the film area, is the angular field of view φ (Fig.5.111). As a rough but reasonable approximation of a common arrangement, take the diagonal distance across the film to equal the focal length. Thus $\varphi/2 \approx$





Magnar Telephoto

tan⁻¹ β , that is, $\varphi \approx 53^\circ$. If the object comes in from infinity, s_i must increase. The lens is then backed away from the film plate to keep the image in focus, and the field of view, as recorded on the film whose periphery is the field stop, decreases. A standard StR lens has a focal length in the range of about 50 to 58 mm and a field of view of 40° to 50°. With the film size kept constant, a reduction of *f* results in a wider field angle. Accordingly, wide-angle SLR lenses range from $j \approx$ 40 mm down to about 6 mm, and φ goes from about 50° to a remarkable 220° (the latter being a specialpurpose lens wherein distortion is unavoidable). The *telephoto* has a long local length, roughly 80 mm or more. Consequently, its field of view drops off rapidly, until it is only a few degrees at $j \approx$ 1000 mm.

Interpretation has a long local length, roughly 80 mm or more. Consequently, its field of view drops off rapidly, until it is only a few degrees at $f \approx 1000$ mm. The standard photographic objective must have a large relative aperture, 1/(f #), to keep exposure times short. Moreover, the image is required to be flat and undistorted, and the lens should have a wide angular field of view as well. All of this is no mean task, and it is not surprising that a high-quality innovaive photographic objective remains particularly difficult to design, even with our marvelous, mathematical, electronic *idioi savants*. The evolution of a modern lens still begins with a creative insight that leads to a promising new form. In the past, these were laboriously perfected relying on intuition, experience, and, of course trial and error with a succession of developmental lenses. Today, for the most part, the computer serves this function with a succession of developmental lenses. Today, for the most part, the computer serves this function with a successful forms. Figure 5.112 illustrates the general configuration of several important lenses, roughly progressing from wide angle to telephoto. Particular specifications are not given, because variations are numerous. The Aviagon and Zeiss Orthometer are wide-angle lenses, whereas the *Tessra and Biotar* are often standard lenses. The *Cooke trifle*, described in 1893 by H. Dennis Taylor of Cooke and Sons, is still being made (note the similarity with the Tessar). It contains the smallest number of elements by which all seven third-order aberrations can essentially be made to vanish. Even earlier (ca. 1840), Josef Max Petzval designed what was then a rapid (portrait) lens for Voightländer and Son. Its modern offshoocs are



myriad. In general, a telephoto objective $l_{M,C}$ prifront grouping and a distant negative rear group It often resembles the Galilean scope exception lenses are shifted a bit so that the system is not a These are usually rather large and heavy at the by focal lengths, although calcium fluoride elements begun to help in both respects. As can be seefing 5.113, the telephoto has a large effective focal large a long focal length located a large distance in fro the focal plane. Thus while the image size is large back focal length is conveniently short, allowing the

to be handily slipped into a standard camer.

PROBLEMS

5.1 We wish to construct a Cartesian oval such the conjugate points will be separated by 11 cartesian the object is 5 cm from the vertex. If $n_1 = 1$ and n_2 that draw several points on the required surface.

5.2* Figure 5.114 depicts a point source at 5 at curved interface between two homogeneous $(n_i > n_i)$. Show that for rays to propagate in the mitting medium as a parallel bundle, the interface be byperbolic with an eccentricity of $(n_i/n_i) \ge 1$.

5.3 Diagrammatically construct an elliptos negative lens, showing the form of both rays and fronts as they pass through the lens. Do the same an oval-spheric positive lens.

5.4* Making use of Fig. 5.115, Snell's law, and that in the paraxial region $\alpha = h/s_{sp} \varphi \simeq h/N$ and $l h/s_{tr}$, derive Eq. (5.8).



ocate the image of an object placed 1.2 m from itex if agypsy's crystal ball, which has a 20-cm iter [5]. Make a sketch of the thing (not the iter rays).



5.6 Prove that the minimum separation between conjugate *real* object and image points for a thin positive lens is 4f.

5.7 A biconcave lens ($n_l = 1.5$) has radii of 20 cm and 10 cm and an axial thickness of 5 cm. Describe the image of an object 1-inch tall placed 8 cm from the first vertex.

5.8* Use the thin-lens equation on the previous problem to see how far off it is in determining the final-image location.

5.9 An object 2 cm high is positioned 5 cm to the right of a positive thin lens with a focal length of 10 cm. Describe the resulting image completely, using *both* the Gaussian and Newtonian equations.

5.10 Make a rough graph of the Gaussian lens equation, that is, plot s_i versus s_{u_i} using unit intervals of f along each axis. (Get both segments of the curve.)

5.11 What must the focal length of a thin negative lens be for it to form a virtual image 50 cm away of an ani that is 100 cm away? Given that the ant is to the right of the lens, locate and describe its image.

5.12* Compute the focal length in air of a thin biconvex lens ($n_i = 1.5$) having radii of 20 and 40 cm. Locate and describe the image of an object 40 cm from the lens.

5.13 Determine the focal length of a planar-concave lens $(n_t = 1.5)$ having a radius of curvature of 10 cm. What is its power in diopters?

Ser. 3.114


204 Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics-Paraxial Theory

5.14* Determine the focal length in air of a thin spherical planar-convex lens having a radius of cur-vature of 50.0 mm and an index of 1.50. What, if anything, would happen to the focal length if the lens were placed in a tank of water?

5.15* We wish to place an object 45 cm in front of a lens and have its image appear on a screen 90 cm behind the lens. What must be the focal length of the appropriate positive lens?

5.16~ The horse in Fig. 5.27 is 2.25 m tall, and it stands with its face $15.0~{\rm m}$ from the plane of the thin lens whose focal length is 3.00 m.

a) Determine the location of the image of the equine

b) Describe the image in detail—type, orientation, and

angenification.
 c) How tall is the image?
 d) If the horse's tail is 17.5 m from the lens, how long, nose-to-tail, is the image of the beast?

5.17* A candle that is 6.00 cm tall is standing 10 cm from a thin concave lens whose focal length is -30 cm. Determine the location of the image and describe it in detail. Draw an appropriate ray diagram.

 5.18^{\ast} . Two positive lenses with focal lengths of 0.30 m and 0.50 m are separated by a distance of 0.20 m. A small frog rests on the central axis 0.50 m in front of the first lens. Locate the resulting image with respect to the second lens.

5.19 The image projected by an equiconvex lens (n = 1.50) of a frog 5.0 cm tall and 0.60 m from a screen is to be 25 cm high. Please compute the necessary radii of the lens

5.20 A thin double convex glass lens (with an index of 1.56) while surrounded by air has a 10-cm focal length. If it is placed under water (having an index of 1.33) 100 cm beyond a small fish, where will the guppy's image be formed?

5.21 A homemade television projection system uses a large positive lens to cast the image of the screen onto

wall. The final picture is enlarged three a a wait. The time time, it's nice and clear. If the lens a focal length of 60 cm, what should be the sup-between the screen and the wall? Why use a large lens How should we mount the set with respect to the base

5.22 Write an expression for the focal length a thin lens immersed in water $(n_w = \frac{4}{3})$ in terms focal length when it's in air (f_a) .

5.23* A convenient way to measure the focal le of a positive lens makes use of the following fact For a positive tens makes use of the following lace pair of conjugate object and (real) image points (P) are separated by a distance L > 4f, there will be locations of the lens, a distance d apart, for whether the second secon same pair of conjugates obtain. Show that

$f = \frac{L^2 - d^2}{d^2}$ 4I.

Note that this avoids measurements made specific from the vertex, which are generally not rate to be

5.24 An equiconvex thin lens L_1 is cemented in mate contact with a thin negative lens, L_2 , such the combination has a focal length of 50 cm in air. If the second indices are 1.50 and 1.55, respectively, and it length of L_2 is -50 cm, determine all the radii, 1 or vature.

5.25 Verify Eq. (5.34), which gives M_T for a continu tion of two thin le

5.26 Compute the image location and magnifie of an object 30 cm from the front doublet of the lens combination in Fig. 5.116. Do the calcula finding the effect of each lens separately. Make of appropriate rays.

Figure 5.116

a ray diagram for the combination of two 1.27* is a ray diagram for the combination of two is wherein their separation equals the sum pective focal lengths. Do the same thing for which one of the lenses is negative.

in the ray diagram for a compound micro-fig. (598), but this time treat the intermediate a if it were a real object—this approach should impler.

5.29 Redraw the telescope in Fig. 5.101, taking grantage of the fact that the intermediate image can of as a real object (as in the previous

5.50 consider the case of two positive thin lenses, L_1

for an axial point, S, 12 cm in front of (to

5.31 Eake a sketch roughly locating the aperture stop wand exit pupils for the lens in Fig. 5.117.



Setch roughly locating the aperture stop ad exit pupils for the lens in Fig. 5.118, object point to be beyond (to the left



Problems 205





5.34* Make a sketch of a ray diagram, locating the images of the arrow shown in Fig. 5.120.



Figure 5.120

5.35 Show that Eq. (5.49) for a spherical surface is equally applicable to a plane mirror.

5.36 Locate the image of a paperclip 100 cm away from a convex spherical mirror having a radius of curvature of 80 cm.

5.37* Describe the image you would see standing 5 feet from, and looking directly toward, a hrase ball 1 foot in diameter hanging in front of a pawn shop.

5.38 The image of a red rose is formed by a concave spherical mirror on a screen 100 cm away. If the rose is 25 cm from the mirror, determine its radius of curvature.

5.39 From the image configuration determine the shape of the mirror hanging on the back wall in van Eyck's painting of John Arnolfini and His Wife (Fig. 5.121).

Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics-Paraxial Theory 206



Figure 5.121 Detail of John Arnolfini and His Wife by Jan van Eyck-National Gallery. London.



Figure 5.122 Venus and Cupid by Diego Rodriguez de Silva y Velásquez-National Gallery, London.



Figure 5.123 The Bar at the Falies Bergères by Édouard Courtauld Institute Galleries, London.

18 Vein in Velasquez's painting of Venus and 19 19 5,122) looking at herself in the mirror?

I in Manet's painting The Bar at the Folies 5,123) is standing in front of a large planar teed in it is her back and a man in evening where din it is her back and a man in evening in whom she appears to be talking. It would not a back and a man feeling the viewer it standing where that gentleman must from the laws of geometrical optics, what is amiss?

We use to design an eye for a robot, using a cave special mirror such that the image of an art 1.0 n tail and 10 m away fills its 1.0 cm-square togensite detector (which is movable for focusing poss). Where should this detector be located with the together memory. What should be the focal length together together together and a ray diagram.

5.45 You are herewith requested to design a little in the gooth of some happy soul. The requirements what the image be erect as seen by the dentist what when held 1.5 cm from a tooth the mirror an image twice life-size.

Stat Prove that with a spherical mirror of radius R. Object at a distance s_o will result in an image that is splited by an amount

R $M_T = \frac{\kappa}{2s_0 + R}.$

5.45° Reratometer is a device used to measure the induced is a locate used to interact of the eye, which is major when fitting contact lenses. In effect, red object is placed a known distance from and the image reflected of the cornea is the image reflected of the cornea is the instrument allows the operator to instrument allows the operator to instrument of that virtual image. Suppose that the instrument of that virtual image of the object to is z^{-1} at 100 mm. What is the radius of cur- z^{-1} at 100 mm. What is the radius of cur-

Con Considering a spherical mirror, show that the $s_0 = 0.5 s_1 - 1)/M_T$ and $s_i = -f(M_T - 1).$

Problems 207

5.47 Looking into the bowl of a soupspoon, a man standing 25 cm away sees his image reflected with a magnification of -0.064. Determine the radius of curvature of the spoon.

5.48* A large upright convex spherical mirtor in an amusement park is facing a plane mirror 10.0 m away. A girl 1.0 m tall standing midway between the two sees herself twice as tall in the plane mirror as in the spherical nersent wice as tail in the plate minor as in the spherical one. In other words, the angle subtended at the observer by the image in the plane mirror is twice the angle subtended by the image in the spherical mirror. What is the focal length of the latter?

5.49* The telescope depicted in Fig. 5.124 consists of two spherical mirrors. The radius of curvature is 2.0 m for the larger mirror (which has a hole through its center) and 60 cm for the smaller. How far from the smaller mirror should the film plane be located if the object is a star? What is the effective focal length of the sween? system?



5.50° Suppose you have a concave spherical mirror with a focal length of 10 cm. At what distance must an object be placed if its image is to be erect and one and a half times as large? What is the radius of curvature of the mirror? Check with Table 5.5.

5.51 Describe the image that would result for an object 3 inches tall placed 20 cm from a spherical concave shaving mirror having a radius of curvature of -60 cm.

5.52* Figures 5.125 and 5.126 are taken from an introductory physics book. What's wrong with them?

208 Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics-Paraxial Theory



5.53 Figure 5.127 shows a lens system, an object, and the appropriate pupils. Diagrammatically locate the image.

5.54 Referring to the dove prism in Fig. 5.60, rotate it through 90° about an axis along the ray direction. Sketch the new configuration and determine the angle through which the image is rotated.

5.55 Determine the numerical aperture of a single clad optical fiber, given that the core has an index of 1.62, and the clad 1.52. When immersed in air, what is its maximum acceptance angle? What would happen to a ray incident at, say, 45°?

5.56 Given a modern fused silica fiber with an attenuation of 0.2 dB/km, how far can a signal travel along it before the power level drops by half? 5.57 The number of modes in a stepped-in the is provided by the expression $N_m = \frac{1}{2} (\pi D \text{ NA}/\lambda_0)^2.$

Given a fiber with a core diameter of 50 μ m and r_1 1.482 and $n_1 = 1.500$, determine N_m when the initialization of the second of 0.85 µm.

5.58* Determine the intermodal delay (in naile a stepped-index fiber with a cladding of index and a core of index 1.500.

5.59 Using the information on the eye in Section compute the approximate size (in millimeters) image of the Moon as cast on the retina. The Mo a diameter of 2160 miles and is roughly 20.00 from here, although this, of course, varies

Figure 5.128 shows an arrangement in which an is deviated through a constant angle σ , equal me angle β between the plane mirrors, regard-me angle of incidence. Prove that this is indeed



5.61 An object 20 m from the objective $(f_o = 4 \text{ m})$ of An object 20 m there is imaged 30 cm from the $(f_0 = 60 \text{ cm})$. Find the total linear magof the scope.

5.62* Figure 5.129, which purports to show an erect-ing lenss sitem, is taken from an old, out-of-print optics text. What's wrong with it?

a photograph of a moving merry-go-round exposed, but blurred, at $\frac{1}{3}$'s and $\frac{1}{1}$, what liaphragm setting be if the shutter speed is as in order to "stop" the motion?

The field of view of a simple two-element astro-cal felescope is restricted by the size of the eye-make a ray sketch showing the vignetting that



Problems 209

5.65 A field-lens, as a rule, is a positive lens placed at 5.65 A heid-ten, as a rule, is a positive tens placed as (or near) the intermediate image plane in order to collect the rays that would otherwise miss the next lens in the system. In effect, it increases the field of view without changing the power of the system. Redraw the ray diagram of the previous problem to include a field-lens. Show that as a consequence the eye relief is reduced somewhat. somewhat.

5.66* Describe completely the image that results when a bug sits at the vertex of a thin positive lens. How does this relate directly to the manner in which a field-lens works (see previous problem)?

5.67* It is determined that a patient has a near point at 50 cm. If the eye is approximately 2.0 cm long.

- a) How much power does the refracting system have when focused on an object at infinity? When focused at 50 cm?
- at 50 cm?
 b) How much accommodation is required to see an object at altstance of 50 cm?
 c) What power must the eye have to see clearly an object at the standard near-point distance of 25 cm?
 d) How much power should be added to the patient's vision system by a correcting lens?

5.68° An optometrist finds that a farsighted person has a near point at 125 cm. What power will be required for contact lenses if they are effectively to move that point inward to a more workable distance of 25 cm so that a book can be read comfortably? Use the fact that if the object is imaged at the near point, it can be seen clearly.

5.69 A farsighted person can see very distant moun-tains with relaxed eyes while wearing +3.2-D contact lenses. Prescribe spectacle lenses that will serve just as

Figure 5.129



210 Chapter 5 Geometrical Optics—Paraxial Theory

well when worn 17 mm in front of the cornea. Locate and compare the far point in both cases.

5.70* A jeweler is **examini**ng a diamond 5.0 mm in diameter with a loupe **having** a focal length of 25.4 mm. a) Determine the maximum angular magnification of

the loupe.
b) How big does the stone appear through the magnificer?
c) What is the angle subtended by the diamond at the

unaided eye when held at the near point? d) What angle does it subtend at the aided eye?

5.71 Suppose we wish to make a microscope (that can be used with a relaxed eye) out of two positive lenses, both with a focal length of 25 mm. Assuming the object is positioned 27 mm from the objective, (a) how far apart should the lenses be, and (b) what magnification can we expect?

5.72* Figure 5.130 shows a glancing-incidence x-ray focusing system designed in 1952 by Hans Wolter. How does it work? Microscopes with this type of system have been used to photograph, in x-rays, the implosion of fuel pellet targets in laser fusion research. Similar x-ray optical arrangements have been used in astronomical telescoper (if a 3.00) telescopes (Fig. 3.40).



Figure 5.130 (a) X-ray focusing system. (b) X-ray mirrors (Photo courtesy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.)

MORE ON GEOMETRICAL OPTICS

he pre-eding chapter, for the most part, dealt with paratial decry a applied to this spherical lens systems. The two pre-original approximations were, rather assessive that we had *thin* lenses and that first-order y man sufficient for their analysis. Neither of these The start of the second sec bit of judicious pruning to avoid a plethora of dantr

6.1 CK LENSES AND LENS SYSTEMS

6

e 6.1 depicts a thick lens (i.e., one whose thickness The depicts a thick lens (i.e., one whose thickness no mans negligible). As we shall see, it could well be envisioned more generally as an optical allowing for the possibility that it consists of a rof simple lense, not merely one. The first and fixed points, or if you like, the object and image and F_i , can conveniently be measured from the outermost) vertices. In that case we have the front and back focal lengths denoted by f.f., a.f. When extended, the incident and emerged



211

rays will meet at points, the locus of which forms a curved surface that may or may not reside within the lens. The surface, approximating a plane in the paraxial region, is termed the **principal plane** (see Section 6.3.1). Points where the primary and secondary principal planes (as shown in Fig. 6.1) intersect the optical axis are known as the first and second principal **points**. H_1 and H_2 , respectively. They provide a set of very useful references from which to measure several of the system parameters. We saw carlier (Fig. 5.19, p. 140) that a ray traversing the lens through its optical center emerges parallel to the incident direction. Extending both the incoming and outgoing rays until they cross the optical axis locates what are called the **nodal points**. N_1 and N_2 in Fig. 6.2. When the lens is surrounded on both side by the same medium, generally air, the nodal and principal points will be coincident. The six points, two focal, two principal, and two nodal, constitute the **cardinal points** of the system. As shown in Fig. 6.3, the principal planes can lie completely outside the lens system. Here, although differently configured, each lens in either group has the same power. Observe that in the symmetrical lens the principal planes are, quie reasonably, symmetrically located. In the case of either the planarconcave or planar-convex lens, one principal plane is tangent to the curved surface—as should be expected from the definition (applied to the paraxil region). In contrast, the principal points can be external for mensicus lenses. One often speaks of this succession of shapses with the same power as exemplifying *lens bending*. A



Figure 6.2 Nodal points.



114

The principal points are conjugate to each other. In other words, since $f = \xi_{ij}(\xi_i + z_i)$, when $s_i = 0$, s_i must be zero, because f is finite and thus a point at H_1 is imaged at H_2 . Furthermore, an object in the first principal plane $(x_i = -f)$ with unit magnification $(M_T = 1)$. It is for this reason that they are sometimes spoken of as unit planes. Hence any ray directed toward a point on the first principal plane will emerge from the lens as it is confignated at the corresponding point (the same distance above or below the axis) on the second principal plane.

plane. Suppose we now have a compound lens consisting of two thick lenses, L_1 and L_2 (Fig. 6.5). Let s_{a1} , s_{11} , and f_1 and s_{a2} , s_{i2} , and f_2 be the object and image distances and focal lengths for the two lenses, all measured with respect to their own principal planes. We know that the transverse magnification is the product of the magnifications of the individual lenses, that is,

$$M_T = \left(-\frac{s_{11}}{s_{o1}}\right) \left(-\frac{s_{12}}{s_{o2}}\right) = -\frac{s_i}{s_o},$$

(6.7)

where s_0 and s_1 are the object and image distances for the combination as a whole. When s_0 is equal to infinity $s_0 = s_{01}$, $s_{11} = f_1$, $s_{02} = -(s_{11} - d)$, and $s_1 = f_2$. Since

$$\frac{1}{s_{o2}} + \frac{1}{s_{i2}} = \frac{1}{f_2},$$

it follows (Problem 6.1), upon substituting into Eq. (6.7), that

$$-\frac{f_{1}}{s_{22}} = f$$

or
$$f = -\frac{f_1}{s_{22}} \left(\frac{s_{22}f_2}{s_{22} - f_2} \right) - \frac{f_1f_2}{s_{11} - d + f_2}.$$

Hence

Hence

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2},$$

This is the effective focal length of the combination of two thick lenses where all distances are measured from principal planes. The principal planes for the system as





 $\overline{H_{22}H_2} = -\frac{fd}{f_1},$

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{-30} + \frac{1}{20} - \frac{10}{(-30)(20)}$$

so f = 30 cm. We found earlier (p.148) that the 40 cm and f.f.l. = 15 cm. Moreover, since these are two lenses, Eqs. (6.9) and (6.10) can be written as

 $\overline{O_1H_1} = \frac{30(10)}{20} = +15 \text{ cm}$

and

(6.8)

$$\overline{O_2 H_2} = -\frac{BO(10)}{-30} = +10 \text{ cm}.$$

Both are positive, and therefore the planes in m right of O_1 and O_2 , respectively. Both computed agree with the results depicted in the diagram. nters from the right, the system resembles a telephoto the that new to placed 15 cm from the film plane, yet as an effective focal length of 30 cm. The same procedures can be extended to three, four, more tenses. Thus

$$f = f_1 \left(\frac{-s_{i3}}{s_{03}} \right) \left(\frac{-s_{i3}}{s_{03}} \right) \cdots$$

(6.11)

or

Equivalently, the first two lenses can be envisioned as combined to form a single thick lens whose principal points and focal length are calculated. It, in turn, is combined with the third lens, and so on with each creasive element.

6.2 ANALYTICAL RAY TRACING

pyraterg is unquestionably one of the designer's chief bit. Haying formulated an optical system on paper, even mathematically shine rays through it to evaluate maance. Any ray, paraxial or otherwise, can be drough the system exactly. Conceptually it's a spatter of applying the refraction equation

 $n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) = n_t(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n)$ [4.7]





6.2 Analytical Ray Tracing 215

at the first surface, locating where the transmitted ray then strikes the second surface, applying the equation once again, and so on all the way through. At one time meridional rays (those in the plane of the optical axis) were traced almost exclusively, because nonmeridional or skew rays (which do not intersect the axis) are considerably more complicated to deal with mathematically. The distinction is of less importance to a high-speed electronic computer (Fig. 6.7) which simply takes a triffe longer to make the trace. Thus, whereas it would probably take 10 or 16 minutes for a skilled person with a desk calculator to evaluate the trajectory of a single skew ray through a single surface, a computer might require less than a thousandth of a second for the same job, and equally important, it would be ready for the next calculations with undiminished enhuman.

require less than a thousand of a second for the same job, and equally important, it would be ready for the next calculation with undiminished enthusiasm. The simplest case that will serve to illustrate the raytracing process is that of a paraxial, meridional ray traversing a thick spherical lens. Applying Snell's law in Fig. 6.8 at point P_1 yields

$$n_{i1}\theta_{i1} = n_{i1}\theta$$

 $n_{11}(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_1) = n_{11}(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_1).$







(6.12)

Inasmuch as $\alpha_1 = y_1/R_1$, this becomes

 $n_{i1}(\alpha_{i1} + y_1/R_1) = n_{i1}(\alpha_{i1} + y_1/R_1).$ Rearranging terms, we get

$$n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} = n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} - \left(\frac{n_{i1} - n_{i1}}{R_1}\right)y_1,$$

but as we saw in Section 5.7.2, the power of a single refracting surface is .

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{(n_{t1} - n_{11})}{R_1}.$$

Hence

This is often called the *refraction equation* pertaining to the first interface. Having undergone refraction at point P_1 , the ray advances through the homogeneous medium of the lens to point P_2 on the second interface. The height of P_2 can be expressed as

 $n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} = n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} - \mathcal{D}_1 y_1.$

 $y_2 = y_1 + d_{21} \alpha_{11}$ (6.13)

on the basis that $\tan \alpha_1 = \alpha_{11}$. This is known as the transfer equation, because it allows us to follow the ray from P_1 to P_2 . Recall that the angles are positive if the paraxial region $d_{21} \approx \overline{V_2 V_1}$ and y_2 is easily computed. Equations (6.11) and (6.12) are then used successively to trace a ray through the entire system. Of course, these are meridional rays and because of the lenses'

symmetry about the optical axis, such a my tension the same meridional plane throughout its solve process is two-dimensional; there are two equation two unknows, α_1 and γ_2 . In contrast, a skew ray have to be treated in three dimensions.

6.2.1 Matrix Methods

In the beginning of the 1930s, T. Smith formulat In the beginning of the 1930s, T. Smith formula frather interesting way of handling the carrier and the repetitive manner in which they are dra suggested the use of matrices. The processes of and transfer might then be performed matrices native operators. These initial insights on the early 1900s saw are birth of interest in this gas the early 1900s saw are birth of interest in this gas of the salient features of the method, leaving totaled to the therefore. Let's begin by writing the formulas

* For further	andian and Y. Hallback "Matrix Ber	resentation
	$y_{i1} = 0 + y_{i1},$	(619
and		
	$n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} = n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} - \mathcal{D}_1 y_{i1}$	26.00

For turther reading see K. Halbach, "Matrix Representation Gaussian Optics," Am. J. Phys. 32, 90 (1964); W. Brouwer, Methods in Optical Instrument Design; E. L. O'Neill, Introduce Statistical Optics; or A. Nussbaum, Geometric Optics.

t very insightful, since we merely replaced ot very insignitul, since we merely replaced [12] by the symbol y_{i1} and then let $y_{i1} = y_{i1}$. of business is for purely cosmetic purposes, see in a moment. In effect, it simply says that the provide the symplectic set of the s see in a moment. In effect, it simply says that of reference point P_1 above the axis in the edium (y_1) equals its height in the transmit-ing (y_1) —which is obvious. But now the pair as can be recast in matrix form as $1 \left[1 - 9 \right] \left[n - 9 \right]$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} \\ y_{i1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -y_{i1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} \\ y_{i1} \end{bmatrix} = (6.16)$$
This fould equally well be written as

 $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{l1} \\ y_{l1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{l1}/n_{l1} & -\mathcal{D}_{1}/n_{l1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{l1} \\ y_{l1} \end{bmatrix},$ (6.17)

The first the precise form of the 2×1 column matrices is gravity a matter of preference. In any case, these are be forwards as rays on either side of P_1 , one before and the other after refraction. Accordingly, are h_1 and h_2 for the two rays, we can write $[n_1, \alpha_n]$

$$\mathbf{s}_{i1} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{i1} \mathbf{c}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{s}_{i1} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{i1} \mathbf{c}_{i1} \\ \mathbf{y}_{i1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6.18)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{i1} = \mathcal{R}_1 \mathbf{x}_{i1}$ (6.20) Says that \mathscr{R}_1 transforms the ray $i_{,1}$ into the ning refraction at the first interface. From Fig. lave $n_{i2}\alpha_{i2} = n_{i1}\alpha_{i1}$, that is,

 $n_{i2} \alpha_{i2} = n_{i1} \alpha_{i1} + 0$ (6.21)

$y_{12} \simeq d_{21}\alpha_{11} + y_{11}$ (6.22) (6.13), with $y_2 = n_{i1}$, $\alpha_{i2} = \alpha_{i1}$, and use was made of Eq. (6.13), with y_2 rewritten as y_{i2} to make things pretty.

 $\begin{bmatrix} n_{i2}\alpha_{i2} \\ y_{i2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_{21}/n_{i1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i1}\alpha_{i1} \\ y_{i1} \end{bmatrix},$ (6.23)

6.2 Analytical Ray Tracing 217

(6.24)

(6.26)

The transfer matrix Г

$$\mathscr{F}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ d_{21}/n_{i1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (6.24)$$
 takes the transmitted ray at P_1 (i.e., t_{i1}) and transforms it into the incident ray at P_2 :

$$\mathbf{a}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{12} \alpha_{12} \\ \mathbf{y}_{12} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence Eqs. (6.21) and (6.22) become simply

$$t_{12} = \mathscr{F}_{21} t_{11}$$
. (6.25)

If we make use of Eq. (6.20), this becomes

$$i_{12} = \mathscr{F}_{21} \mathscr{R}_1 i_{11}$$
.

The 2 × 2 matrix formed by the product of the transfer and refraction matrices $\mathscr{P}_{21}\mathscr{R}_1$ will carry the ray incident at P_1 into the ray incident at P_2 . Notice that the deterat P_1 into the ray incoher at P_2 . Notice that the user-minant of \mathcal{F}_{22} , denoted by $|\mathcal{F}_{21}|$, equals 1, that is, (1)(1)-(0)(d_2, h_1) = 1. Similarly $|\mathcal{F}_2|$, equals the product of the individual determinants, $|\mathcal{F}_{21}, \mathcal{F}_{11}| = 1$. This provides a quick check on the computations. Carrying the pro-cedure through the second interface (Fig. 6.8) of the lens, which has a refraction matrix \mathcal{R}_2 , it follows that

$t_{12} = \mathcal{R}_2 t_{12}$,	(6.27)
or from Eq. (6.26)	
$\boldsymbol{*}_{l2} = \boldsymbol{\mathscr{R}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\mathscr{T}}_{21} \boldsymbol{\mathscr{R}}_{1} \boldsymbol{*}_{l1}.$	(6.28)
The system matrix of is defined as	
$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{F}_1 \mathcal{R}_1$	(6.29)
and has the form	
$\mathscr{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$	(6.30)
Since	
$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D}_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_{21}/n_{t1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D}_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
or	
$\mathscr{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D}_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D}_1 \\ d_{21}/n_{i1} & -\mathfrak{D}_1 d_{21}/n_{i1} + 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \mathcal{D}_{2} d_{21}/n_{t1} & -\mathcal{D}_{1} + (\mathcal{D}_{2} \mathcal{D}_{1} d_{21}/n_{t1}) & \mathcal{D}_{2} \\ d_{21}/n_{t1} & -\mathcal{D}_{4} d_{21}/n_{t1} + 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
(6.51)

and again $|\mathscr{A}| = 1$ (Problem 6.15). The value of each element in \mathscr{A} is expressed in terms of the physical lens parameters, such as thickness, index, and radii (via \mathscr{B}). Thus the cardinal points that are properties of the lens, determined solely by its make-up, should be deducible from \mathscr{A} . The system matrix in this case (6.3) transforms an incident ray at the first surface to an emerging ray at the second surface; as a reminder we will write it as

at the second surface; as a reminder we will write is as \mathscr{A}_{21}^{-1} . The concept of image formation enters rather directly (Fig. 6.9) after introduction of appropriate object and image planes. Consequently, the first operator \mathscr{F}_{1O} transfers the reference point from the object (i.e., P_O to P_1). The next operator \mathscr{A}_{21} then carries the trajectory through the lens, and a final transfer \mathscr{F}_{12} brings it to the image plane (i.e., P_i). Thus the ray at the image value of the invest operator is objective. point (+1) is given by

 $t_I = \mathcal{T}_{12} \mathcal{A}_{21} \mathcal{T}_{10} t_{0},$ (6.32) where t_O is the ray at P_O . In component form this is

 $\begin{bmatrix} n_{i}\alpha_{i} \\ y_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_{i2}/n_{i} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ $\times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d_{10}/n_{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{0}\alpha_{0} \\ y_{0} \end{bmatrix}$

(6.33) Laborno JJL yo J Notice that \mathcal{F}_{10} to $= \mathbf{i}_1$ and that \mathcal{F}_{21} to $= \mathbf{i}_2$, hence \mathcal{F}_{13} to $= \mathbf{i}_1$. The subscripts $O, 1, 2, \dots, I$ correspond to reference point P_O, P_1, P_2 , and so on, and subscripts i and i denote the side of the reference point (i.e., whether incident or transmitted). Operation by a refrac-tion matrix will change i to but not the reference point designation. On the other hand, operation by a transfer matrix obviously does change the latter.

designation of the other matrix objection by a contact matrix obviously does change the latter. Ordinarily the physical significances of the com-ponents of a are found by expanding out Eq. (6.33), but this is too involved to do here. Instead, let's return



Figure 6.9 Image geometry

to Eq. (6.31) and examine several of the terms example,

 $-a_{12} = \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2 - \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 d_{21}/n_1$ If we suppose, for the sake of simplicity, that ille the is in air, then

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{D}_{1}=\frac{n_{1}-1}{R_{1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}_{2}=\frac{n_{1}-1}{-R_{2}},\\\\ \text{as in Eqs. (5.70) and (5.71). Hence \\ -a_{12}=\langle n_{11}-1\rangle \bigg[\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}+\frac{\langle n_{11}-1\rangle p_{22}}{R_{1}R_{2}n_{11}}\bigg] \end{array}$$

 $n_{11} - 1$

But this is the expression for the focal length of a time lens (6.2); in other words,

$$a_{12} = -1/f.$$

If the imbedding media were different on radiist the lens (Fig. 6.10), this would become

 $a_{12} = -\frac{n_{i1}}{f_o} = -\frac{n_{i2}}{f_i}$ Similarly it is left as a problem to verify that

$$\overline{V_1H_1} = \frac{\pi_{i1}(1-a_{11})}{-a_{12}}$$

$$\overline{V_2H_2} = \frac{n_{t2}(a_{22}-1)}{-a_{12}},$$

which locate the principal points.



As an example of how the technique can be used, as apply it, at least in principle, to the Tessar lens* $m = F_{ac} \in \mathcal{C}^+$ The system matrix has the form

where

$$3.257 \\ M_{2}^{-1}.6116 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.189 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1.6053 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

 $\mathcal{F}_{43} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.081 \\ 1.6053 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\mathbf{a}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1.6116 - 1}{1.628} \\ 1 & \frac{1.628}{1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1-1.6116}{-27.57} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1.6053 - 1}{-3.457} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so or Kultiplying out the matrices, in what i

The transfer was chosen primarily because Nussbaum's Open computer a simple Fortran computer program return for this lens. It would be almost silly to evaluate first in law. Since Fortran Is an easily mastered com-text in the since Fortran Is and easily mastered com-text in the since Fortran Is and the site of the since Fortran is well worth further study.

6.2 Analytical Ray Tracing 219

obviously a horrendous although conceptually simple calculation, one presumably will get

$$\mathcal{A}_{71} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.848 & -0.198 \\ 1.338 & 0.867 \end{bmatrix}$$

and from that, f = 5.06, $\overline{V_1H_1} = 0.77$, and $\overline{V_7H_2}$ -0.67

As a last point, it is often convenient to consider a As a last point, it is often convenient to consider a system of thin lenses using the matrix representation. To that end, return to Eq. (6.31). It describes the system matrix for a single lens, and if we let $d_{21} \rightarrow 0$, it corresponds to a thin lens. This is equivalent to making \mathscr{F}_{21} a unit matrix, thus

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2) \\ 0 & l \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (6.38)$$

But as we saw in Section 5.7.2, the power of a thin lens I is the sum of the powers of its surfaces. Hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/\dot{f} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.39}$$



Figure 6.11 A Tessar,

In addition, for two thin lenses separated by a distance d, in air, the system matrix is

 $\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/f_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/f_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - d/f_2 & -1/f_1 + d/f_1 f_2 - 1/f_2 \\ d & -d/f_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$

Clearly then,

or

$$-a_{12} = \frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2},$$
 and from Eqs. (6.36) and (6.37)

 $\overline{O_1H_1}=fd/f_2, \qquad \overline{O_2H_2}=-fd/f_1,$

all of which by now should be quite familiar. Note how easy it would be with this approach to find the focal length and principal points for a compound lens composed of three, four, or more thin lenses.

6.3 ABERRATIONS

To be sure, we already know that first-order theory is no more than a good approximation—an exact ray trace or even measurements performed on a prototype system would certainly reveal inconsistencies with the corresponding parxial description. Such departures from the idealized conditions of Gaussian optics are known as aberrations. There are two main types: chromatic aberrations (which arise from the fact that n is actually a function of frequency or color) and monochromatic aberrations. The latter occur even with light that is highly monochromatic, and they in turn fall into two subgrouping. There are monochromatic aberrations that deteriorate the image, making it unclear, such as spherical observation, come, and astigmatism. In addition, there are aberrations that deform the image, for example. Petwol lobd curvature and divition

there are aberrations that detorm the image, for example, Petrud field curvature and disordinan. We have known all along that spherical surfaces in general would yield perfect imagery only in the paraxial region. Now emust determine the kind and extent of deviations that result simply from using those surfores with finite apertures. By the judicious mathematical of a system's physical parameters (e.g., the show the second s

The computer will carry the design from one the othen next until it finds one deep enough to meet it of the next until it finds one deep enough to meet much a perfectly satisfactory configuration. But no way to tell if that solution corresponds to the without sending the computer out and again to meander along totally different to the mention all of this so that the reader may present the current state of the art. In a word, it is presente but still incomplete; it is "automatic" but menuted.

6.3.1 Conochromatic Aberrations

A single treatment was based on the assumption at sin φ as in Fig. 5.8, could be represented satisfachly by φ alone; that is, the system was restricted to evolve the system was restricted to the observation of an image, the fattement sin $\varphi = \varphi$ is somewhat unsatisfactory. The statement sin $\varphi = \varphi$ is somewhat unsatisfactory. The statement sin $\varphi = \varphi$ is somewhat unsatisfactory. The statement sin $\varphi = \varphi$ is somewhat unsatisfactory.

 $\sin\varphi = \varphi - \frac{\varphi^3}{3!} + \frac{\varphi^5}{5!} - \frac{\varphi^7}{7!} + \cdots$ [5,7]

outerical aberration resulting from refraction at a single

6.3 Aberrations 221

are retained as an improved approximation, we have the so-called third-order theory. Departures from firstorder theory that then result are embodied in the five *primary aberrations* (spherical aberration, coma, astigmatism, field curvature, and distortion). These were first studied in detail by Ludwig von Seidel (1821-1896) in the 1850s. Accordingly, they are frequently spoken of a sub *Seidal aberrations*. In addition to the first two contributions, the series obviously contains many other terms, smaller to be sure, but still to be reckoned with. Thus, there are most certainly *higher-order aberrations*. The difference between the results of exact ray tracing and the computed primary aberrations can therefore be thought of as the sum of all contributing higher-order aberrations. We shall restrict this discussion to the primary aberrations exclusively.

i) Spherical Aberration

Let's return for a moment to Section 5.2.2 (p.134), where we computed the conjugate points for a single refracting spherical interface. We found that for the paraxial region,

$$\frac{n_1}{s_o} + \frac{n_2}{s_i} = \frac{n_s - u_0}{R}.$$
(5.8)

If the approximations for ℓ_o and ℓ_i are improved a bit (Problem 6.23), we get the third-order expression:

 $\frac{n_1}{s_e} + \frac{n_2}{s_i} = \frac{n_2 - n_1}{R} + h^2 \left[\frac{n_1}{2s_e} \left(\frac{1}{s_e} + \frac{1}{R} \right)^2 + \frac{n_2}{2s_e} \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{s_i} \right)^2 \right].$ (6.40)

The additional term, which varies approximately as h^2 , is clearly a measure of the deviation from first-order theory. As shown in Fig. 6.12, rays striking the surface at greater distances above the axis (h) are focused nearer the vertex. In brief, spherical aberration, or SA, corresponds to a dependence of local length on aperture for nonparaxial rays. Similarly, for a converging lens, as in Fig. 6.13, the marginal rays will, in effect, be ben too much, being focused in front of the paraxial rays. Keep in mind that pherical aberration pertains only to object points that are on the optical axis. The distance between the axial intersection of a ray and the paraxial focus. F, is known as the **longitudinal spherical aberration**.





or L . SA, of that ray. In this case, the SA is positive. In contrast the marginal rays for a diverging lens will generally intersect the axis behind the paraxial focus, and we say that its spherical aberration is therefore

negative. If a screen is placed at F_i in Fig. 6.13, the image of a star will appear as a bright central spot on the axis surrounded by a symmetrical halo delineated by the



cone of marginal rays. For an extended image, SA above the axis where a given ray strikes this as called the **transverse** (or **latera**)) **spherical abe-**or T • SA for short. Evidently, SA can be redu-sord of the strike the spectrum of the strike amount of light entering the system as well. Noti-tif the screen is moved to the position labeled 3 image blur will have its smallest diameter. This is the cirkle of least onfysion, and Σ_{LC} is general explored blue will have to be refocused and stopped down, because the position of 32 approach P_i as the aperture decrease. The amount of spherical aberration, when the ture and focal length are fixed, varies with both

The amount of spherical aberration, when the ture and focal length are fixed, varies with bo object distance and the lens shape. For a conse lens, the nonparasial rays are too strongly bene we imagine the lens as roughly resembling two joined at their bases, it is evident that the incide will undargo a minimum deviation when it makes ro less, the same angle at dots the emerging ray (Section 4 a triking example is illustrated in Fig. 6 dot A striking example is illustrated in Fig. 6.14, simply turning the lens around markedly redu. SA. When the object is at infinity a simple conce-convex lens that has an almost, but not quite side will suffer a minimum amount of spheric and which are the infinite another of spin-relations in the same way, if the object and imagest-are to be equal ($s_p = s_1 = 2f$), the lens should be vex to minimize SA. A combination of a conver and a diverging lens (as in an achromatic double

also be utilized to diminish spherical aberration Recall that the aspherical lenses of Section pair of conjugate points. Moreover, Hargers action

Figure 6.14 SA for a plasar-octain

have been one first to discover that two such axial points out for spherical surfaces as well. These are shown in out of spherical surfaces as well. These are shown in first fiber which depicts rays issuing from P and first fiber surface as if they came from P'. It is left as a problem to show that the appropriate locations of P



6.3 Aberrations 223

and P' are those indicated in the figure. Just as with the aspherical lenses, spherical lenses can be formed that have this same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the same zero SA for the pair of points P and p' have the pair of points P have th P'. One simply grinds another surface of radius PA centered on P to form either a positive- or negative-meniacus lens. The oil-immersion microscope objective uses this principle to great advantage. The object under study is positioned at P and surrounded by oil of index m_2 , as in Fig. 6.16. P and P' are the proper conjugate points for zero SA for the first element, and P' and P'are those for the meniscus lens.

II) Coma

Coma, or comatic aberration, is an image-degrading, monochromatic, primary aberration, is an image-degraning, object point even a short distance from the axis. Its origins lie in the fact that the principal "planes" can object point even a snorr instance from the same as origins lie in the fact that the principal "planes" can actually be treated as planes only in the paraxial region. They are, in fact, principal curved surfaces (Fig. 6.1). In the absence of SA a parallel bundle of rays will focus at the axial point F_i , a distance b.f.l. from the rear vertex. Yet the effective focal lengths and therefore the transverse magnifications will differ for rays traversing off-axis regions of the lens. When the image point is on the optical axis, this situation is of little consequence,



Figure 6.16 An oil-im

but when the ray bundle is oblique and the image point is off-axis, coma will be evident. The dependence of M_T is on-axis, communication endown in Fig. 6.17. Here meridional rays traversing the extremities of the lens arrive at the image plane closer to the axis than do the rays in the vicinity of the principal ray (i.e., the ray the rays in the vicinity of the principal ray (i.e., the ray that passes through the principal points). In this in-stance, the least magnification is associated with the marginal rays that would form the smallest image—the marginal rays that would form the smallest image—the coma is said to be negative. By comparison, the coma in Fig. 6.18 is positive. By comparison, the coma farther from the axis. Several skew rays are drawn from an extra-axial object point S in Fig. 6.19 to illustrate the formation of the geometrical comatic image of a point. Observe that each dreular come of rays whose endpoints (1-2.5-4.1-2.5-4) form a ring on the lens is imaged in what H. Dennis Taylor called a *comatic circle* on Σ_i . This case corresponds to positive coma, so the herene the sing on the lens is the more distant its comatic on Σ_i . This case corresponds to positive coma, so the larger the ring on the lens, the more distant its comatic circle from the axis. When the **outer ring** is the intersec-tion of marginal rays, the distance from 0 to 1 in the image is the *tangential coma*, and the length from 0 to **S** on Σ_i is termed the *sogittal coma*. A little more than half of the energy in the image appears in the roughly triangular region between 0 and 3. The coma flare, which owes its name to its cometlike tail, is often thought to be the score of all absertations primarily herause of to be the worst of all aberrations, primarily because of

to be the worst of all aberrations, primarily because of its asymmetric configuration. Like SA, coma is dependent on the shape of the lens. Thus, a strongly concave positive-meniscus lens) with the object at infinity will have a large negative coma. Bending the lens so that it becomes planar-convex).



Figure 6.17 Negative com



Figure 6.18 Positive coma. (Photo by E.H.)

then equiconvex **b**, convex-planar **(**, and **b**= the end meniscus **(** will change the coma from negative. To to positive. The fact that it can be made exact for a single lens with a given object distance in significant. The particular shape it then has (5) almost convex-planar and nearly the configuration minimum St. minimum SA.

minimum SA. It is important to realize that a lens that is well zero for the case in which one conjugate point is at infinity (a) may not perform satisfactorily when the object is near would therefore do well, when using off-the-shell would therefore do well, when using off-the-shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately and the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is the shell is not approximately a set of the shell is not approximately approximately a set of the shell is not approximately approximately a set of the shell is not approximately approximatel would therefore do well, when using dif-inc-aicline in a system operating at finite conjugates, to cut two infinite conjugate corrected lenses, as in lise In other words, since it is unlikely that a lense desired focal length, which is also corrected in particular set of finite conjugates, can be the



the set of sension coma image of a point. The central

de, this back-to-back lens approach is an

adhe, this back-to-back tone of a calling alternative. oma can also be negated by using a stop at the Bation, as William Hyde Wollaston (1766-bovered in 1812. The order of the list of the addression of the list of the addression (SA, coma, astigmatism, Petzval Licensure, and distortion) is significant, because

6.3 Aberrations 225



Figure 6.20 A combination of two infinite conjugate lenses yielding a system operating at finite conjugates.

any one of them, except SA and Petzval curvature, will be affected by the position of a stop, but only if one of the preceding aberrations is also present in the system. Thus while SA is independent of the location along the axis of a stop, coma will not be, as long as SA is present. This can be appreciated by examining the representa-tion in Fig. 6.21. With the stop at Σ_1 , ray 3 is the chief ray, there is SA but no coma; that is, the ray pairs meet is a mit to so the stop is moved to Σ_n , the symmetry is upset, ray 4 becomes the chief ray, and the rays on either side of it, such as 3 and 5, meet above not on it—there is positive coma. With the stop at Σ_n , rays 1 and 3 interset below the chief ray, 2, and there is negative coma. In this way, controlled amounts of the aberration can be introduced into a compound lens in order to cancel coma in the system as a whole.

The optical size theorem is an important relationship that must be introduced here even if space precludes



Figure 6.21 The effect of stop location on coma.

its formal proof. It was discovered independently in 1875 by Abbe and Helmholtz, although a different form of it was given 10 years earlier by R. Clausius (of thermodynamics fame). In any event, it states that

 $n_a y_a \sin \alpha_a = n_i y_i \sin \alpha_1, \qquad (6.41)$

where n_0 , y_0 , α_s and n_i , y_i , α_i are the index, height, and slope angle of a ray in object and image space, respectively, at any aperture size⁶ (Fig. 6.9). If coma is to be zero.

$$M_T = \frac{y_i}{y_e}$$

[5.24]

must be constant for all rays. Suppose then that we send a marginal and a paraxial ray through the system. The former will comply with Eq. (6.41), the latter with its paraxial version (in which $\sin \alpha_{p} = \alpha_{ap}$, $\sin \alpha_{r} = \alpha_{ap}$). Since M_{T} is to be constant over the entire lens, we equate the magnification for both marginal and paraxial rays to get

$$\frac{\sin \alpha_o}{\sin \alpha_c} = \frac{\alpha_{ep}}{\alpha_c} = \text{constant},$$
 (6.4)

which is known as the sine condition. A necessary criterion for the absence of coma is that the system meet the sine condition. If there is no SA, compliancy with the sine condition will be both necessary and sufficient for zero coma.

It's an easy matter to observe coma. In fact, anyone who has focused sunlight with a simple positive lens has no doubt seen the effects of this aberration. A slight tilt of the lens, so that the nearly collimated rays from the Sun make an angle with the optical axis, will cause the focused spot to flare out into the characteristic comet shape.

iii) Astigmatism

When an object point lies an appreciable distance from the optical axis the incident cone of rays will strike the lens asymmetrically, giving rise to a third primary

* To be precise, the sine theorem is valid for all values of α_e only in the sagital plane (from the Latin segita, meaning arrow), which is discussed in the next section. aberration known as astigmatism. The word derive from the Greek o_{γ} meaning not, and atigma, meaning spot or point. To facilitate is description, envision tigmendional plane (also called the *langential plane*) coutaining both the chief ray (i.e., the one passing through the center of the aperture) and the optical axis. The sagitud plane is then defined as the plane containing the chief ray, which, in addition, is perpendicular to the meridional plane (Fig. 6.22). Unlike the latter, which is unbroken from one end of a complicated lens system to the other, the sagitud plane generally changes along as the chief ray is deviated at the various element Hence to be accurate we should say that there are actually several sagitud planes, one attendant with ead region within the system. Nevertheless, all skew ray from the object point lying in a sagitud plane are termen



Plane 6.23 Asignatism.

In the case of an axial object point, the cone of rays grammetrical with respect to the spherical surfaces of allens. There is no need to make a distinution between meridional and sagittal planes. The ray configurations in all planes containing the optical axis are identical. In the absence of spherical absertation, all the focal lengths per he same, and consequently all rays arrive at a single keys. In contrast, the configuration of an oblique, build all planes. As a result, the focal lengths in these planes will be different as well. In effect, here the meridional rays are tiled more with respect to the lens than are the sagittal rays, and they have a shorter focal length. Ican be shown," using Fermat's principle, that the *focal length difference* depends effectively on the power of the lens (as opposed to the shape or index) and the angle at which the rays are inided more. This aspect the rays become more oblique, that is, as the object point axis.

foint moves further of the axis, and is, of course, zero maxis. Having two distinct focal lengths, the incident conical andle of rays takes on a considerably altered form ther refraction (Fig. 6.23). The cross-section of the earn as it leaves the lens is initially circular, but it andually becomes elliptical with the major axis in the

See A. W. Barton. A Text Book on Light, p. 124.

sagital plane, until at the tangential or meridional focus F_T , the ellipse degenerates into a line (at least in thirdorder theory). All rays from the object point traverse this point the beam's cross-section rapidly opens out until it is again circular. At that location the image is a circular blur known as the *errideo [last confusion.* Moving further from the lens the beam's cross-section again deforms into a line, called the scendary image. This time it's in the meridional plane at the sagittal focus, F_5 . Remember that in all of this we are assuming the absence of SA and coma.

Since the circle of least confusion increases in diameter as the astigmatic difference increases (i.e., as the object moves further off-axis), the image will deteriorate, losing definition around its edges. Observe that the secondary line image will change in orientation with changes in the object position, but it will always point toward the optical axis, that is, it will be radial. Similarly, the primary line image will vary in orientation, but it will remain normal to the secondary image. This arrangement causes the interesting effect shown in Fig. 6.24 when the object is made up of radial and tangential elements. The primary mod secondary images are, in effect, formed of transverse and radial dashes, which increase in size with distance from the axis. In the latter case, the dashes point like arrows toward the center of the image—ergo, the name sagitta.





Figure 6.24 Images in the tangent and sagittal focal plan

The existence of the sagittal and tangential foci can be verified directly with a fairly simple arrangement. Place a positive lens with a short focal length (about 10 or 20 mm) in the beam of a He-Ne laser. Position another positive test lens with a somewhat longer focal length far enough away so that the now diverging beam fills that lens. A convenient object, to be located between the two lenses, is a piece of ordinary wire screening (or a transparency). Align it so the wires are horizontal (x)a transparency. Align it so the wires are horizontal (x) and vertical (3). If the test lens is rotated roughly 45° about the vertical (with the x- y- and z-axes fixed in the lens), astigmatism should be observable. The meridional is the x-plane (z being the lens axis, now at about 45° to the laser axis), and the sagittal plane corresponds to the plane of y and the laser axis. As the wire mash is moved toward the test lens, a point will be reached where the horizontal wires are in focus on a screen beyond the lens whereas the wareling lawice and the second the lens whereas the wareling lawice axis. screen beyond the lens, whereas the vertical wires are not. This is the location of the sagital focus. Each point on the object is imaged as a short line in the meridional (horizontal) plane, which accounts for the fact that only (nonzonta) plane, which accounts for the fact that only the horizontal wires are in focus. Moving the mesh slightly closer to the lens will bring the vertical lines into clarity while the horizontal ones are blurred. This is the tangential focus. Try rotating the mesh about the central laser axis while at either focus.

Note that unlike visual astigmatism, which arose from an actual asymmetry in the surfaces of the optical sys-

tem, the third-order aberration by that same name

tem, the third-order aberration by that same name applies to spherically symmetrical lenses. Mirrors, with the singular exception of the plane mirror, suffer much the same monochromatic aberra-tions as do lenses. Thus although a paraboloidal mirror is free of SA for an infinitely distant axial object point is off-axis imagery is quite poor due to assume and coma. This strongly relates its use to narrow field devices sufficient as a searchildus and attenues field Conta : this scrongy marks in use to narrow and devices, such as searchights and astronomical the scopes. A concave spherical mirror shows SA, con-and astigmatism. Indeed one could draw a diagram just like Fig. 6.23 with the lens replaced by an obliquely illuminated substitutions. Isodestable, much substitution illuminated spherical mirror, Incidentally, such a n ror displays appreciably less SA than would a simple, convex lens of the same focal length.

iv) Field Curvature

Suppose we had an optical system that was free of all the aberrations thus far considered. There would then be a one-to-one correspondence between points on the object and image surfaces (i.e., stigmatic imagery). We mentioned earlier (Section 5.2.3) that a planar object normal to the axis will be imaged approximately as a plane only in the paraxial region. At finite apertures the resulting curved stigmatic image surface is a manifestation of the primary aberration known as **Petzval field curvature**, after the Hungarian



matician Josef Max Petzval (1807-1891). The mathematician jower max retizval (1807–1891). The affect can readily be appreciated by examining Figs. 5.22 (p. 14) and 6.25. A spherical object segment σ_i , maged by the lens as a spherical segment σ_i , both entered at O. Flattening out σ_i , into the plane σ'_i , will content at object point to move toward the lens along he concomitant chief ray, thus forming a paraboloidal petwol surface Σ_p . Whereas the Petzval surface for a Patron largues are interested une lateral such as the solution of the solution lacement Δx of an image point at height y_i on the val surface from the paraxial image plane is given by

$$\Delta x = \frac{y_1^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n_i f_i}, \quad (6.4)$$

where n_j and f_j are the indices and focal lengths of the m thin lenses forming the system. This implies that the Persval surface will be unaltered by changes in the positions or shapes of the lenses or in the location of the stop, so long as the values of n_j and f_j are fixed. Notice that for the simple case of two thin lenses (m = 2) here a new reading $A = m_j$ and f_j are fixed. having any spacing, Δx can be made zero provided that

$$\frac{1}{\pi_1 f_1} + \frac{1}{\pi_2 f_2}$$
 or, equivalently,

the fiel

$$f_1 \neq n_0 f_0 = 0$$

This is the so-called *Patzval condition*. As an example of
its use, suppose we combine two thin lenses, one positive
the other negative, such that
$$f_1 = -f_2$$
 and $n_1 = n_2$. Since

gative, such that
$$f_1 = -f_2$$
 and $n_1 = n_2$.

$$\frac{1}{f} \approx \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2},$$

[6.8]

<u>f</u>

6.3 Aberrations 229

the off-axis image when the film plane is at F_i . An effective means of nullifying the inward curvature of a positive lens is to place a negative *fsid flattmer* lens near the focal plane. This is often done in projection and photographic objectives when it is not otherwise practicable to meet the Petzval condition (Fig. 6.26). In this position the flattener will have little effect on other aberrations (take another look at Fig. 6.7).

Astigmatism is intimately related to field curvature. Astigmatism is intimately related to field curvature. In the presence of the former aberration, there will be two paraboloidal image surfaces, the tangential, Σ_{τ} , and the sagital, Σ_{σ} (as in Fig. 6.27). These are the loci of all the primary and secondary images, respectively, as the object point roarns over the object plane. At a given height (y), a point on Σ_{τ} always lies three times as far from Σ_{ρ} as does the corresponding point on Σ_{s} , and both are on the same side of the Petzval surface Fig. 6.27). When there is no astigmatism Σ_{σ} and Σ_{τ} coalesce on Z_{ρ} . It is possible to alter the shapes of Σ_{δ} and Σ_{τ} by bending or relocating the lenses or by moving the stop. The configuration of Fig. 6.27(b) is known as an *arificially flatureat* field. A stop in front of an inexpensive and the intermediated of the state of the s



Figure 6.26 The field flattener.



Figure 6.27 The tangential, sagittal and Petzval image surfaces

planar, and the image there is tolerable, losing planar, and the image there is tolerable, losing definition at the margins because of the astigmatism. That is to say, although their loci form Σ_{LC} , the circles of least confusion increase in diameter with distance off the axis. Modern good-quality photographic objectives are generally anastigmats; that is, they are designed so that Σ_S and Σ_T cross each other, yielding an additional off-axis angle of zero astigmatism. The Cooke Triplet, Tessar, Orthometer, and Biotar (Fig. 5.112) are all



Figure 6.28 A typical Sonnar. The markings C, S, and E denote the limits of the 35-mm film formate (field uop), i.e., corners, sides, and edges. The Sonnar family lies between the double Gauss and the

anastigmats, as is the relatively fast Zeiss So anastigmats, as is the relatively last zens Sonna residual astigmatism is illustrated graphically 6.28. Note the relatively flat field and small an astigmatism over most of the film plane. Let's return briefly to the Schmidt carrers there is the for 100 since we are now in a better point.

Fig. 5.107 (p. 198), since we are now in a he to appreciate how it functions. With a stop at the of curvature of the spherical mirror, all chief rays by definition pass through C, are incident norm by definition pass through c, are incident norm the mirror. Moreover, each pencil of rays from a object point is symmetrical about its chief ray in each chief ray serves as an optical axis, so tho-off-axis points and, in principle, no come or an instead of attempting to flatten the image size designer has coped with curvature by simply uses the film plate to conform with it.

v) Distortion

The last of the five primary, monochromatic as is distortion. Its origin lies in the fact that the is distortion. Its origin lies in the rate that the gas magnification, M_T , may be a function of the size image distance, y. Thus, that distance may differ the one predicted by paraxial theory in which constant. In other works, distortion arises hease different areas of the lens have different local large different areas of the fein nave due to the base of an of and different magnifications. In the absence of an of the other aberrations, distortion is manifest in a mis-shaping of the image as a whole, even though a is sharply focused. Consequently, when proan optical system suffering *positive or pinculay* tion, a square array deforms, as in Fig. 6.29(b) instance, each image point is displaced radially from the center, with the most distant points from the center, with the most distant point in the greatest amount (i.e., M_{T} increases is situation in which M_{T} decreases with the axial and in effect, each point on the image moves ninward toward the center (Fig. 6.29(c)). Distortion with the set is in the interval of the state of the set of inward toward the center (Fig. 6.29(c)). Instortu-easily be seen by just looking through an aberral at a piece of lined or graph paper. Fairly third will show essentially no distortion, whereas un positive or negative, thick, simple lenses will ge suffer positive or negative distortion, respective introduction of a stop into a system of thin Pe



AND Description

EXCOMPANIENT SET UP: OTHERN SET UP: OTHE The work a four step in position taken is a solution of the aberration, the way, exists regardless of the size of the supervised for the same way, a rear stop (Fig. 6.30(c)) presses x_i along the chief ray (i.e., $S_2O > S_2B$),



6.30 The effect of stop location on distortion

6.3 Aberrations 231

thereby increasing M_T and introducing pincushion dis-tortion. Interchanging the object and image thus has the effect of changing the sign of the distortion for a given lens and stop. The aforementioned stop positions will pro-duce the opposite effect when the lens is negative. All of this suggests the use of a stop midway between identical law downer. The distortion from the form

identical lens elements. The distortion from the first lens will precisely cancel the contribution from the second. This approach has been used to advantage in the design of a number of photographic lenses (Fig. 5.112). To be sure, if the lens is perfectly symmetrical and operating as in Fig. 6.30(d), the object and image distances will be equal, hence $M_T = 1$. (Incidentally, coma and lateral color will then be identically zero as well.) This applies to (finite conjugate) copy lenses used, for example, to record data. Nonetheless, even when M_T is not 1, making the system approximately sym-metrical about a stop is a very common practice, since it markedly reduces these several aberrations. Distortion can arise in compound lens systems, as for identical lens elements. The distortion from the first

it markedly reduces these several aberrations. Distortion can arise in compound lens systems, as for example in the telephoto arrangement shown in Fig. 6.31. For a distant object point, the margin of the positive achromat serves as the aperture stop. In effect, the arrangement is like a negative lens with a front stop, so it displays positive or pincushion distortion. Suppose a chief ray enters and emerges from an







Figure 6.31 Distortion in a compound lens.

optical system in the same direction as, for example, in Fig. 5.30(d). The point at which the ray crosses the axis is the optical center of the system, but since this is a chief ray, it is also the center of the aperture stop. This is the situation approached in Fig. 5.30(d), with the stop up against the thin lens. In both instances the incoming and outgoing segments of the chief ray are parallel, and there is zero distortion, that is, the system is orthoscopic. This also implies that the entrance and exit pupils will correspond to the principal planes (if the system is immersed in a single medium—see Fig. 6.2). Bear in mind that the chief ray is now a principal ray. A thin-lens system will have zero distortion if its optical center is coincident with the center of the aptrux of up. By the way, in a pinhole camera, the rays connecting conjugate object and image points are straight and pass through the center of the aptrux fuelon. The entering and emerging rays are obviously parallel (being one and the same), and there is no distortion.

6.3.2 Chromatic Aberrations

The five primary or Seidel aberrations have been considered in terms of monochromatic light. To be sure, if the source has a broad spectral bandwidth, these aberrations are influenced accordingly; but the effects are inconsequential, unless the system is quite well corrected. There are, however, chromatic aberrations that arise specifically in polychromatic light, which are far more significant. The ray-tracing equation (6.12) is a function of the indices of refraction, which in turn vary with wavelength. Different "colored" rays will traverse a system along different paths, and this is the tial feature of chromatic aberration. Since the thin-lens equation

$\frac{1}{f} = (n_t - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$

is wavelength-dependent via $n_i(\lambda)$, the focal finals vary with λ . In general (Fig. 3.26, p) decreases with wavelength over the visible restriction of the second seco

It's an easy matter to observe chromatic abeor CA, with a thick, simple converging leaf illuminated by a **polychromatic point** source deflame will do), the lens will cast a real image survey by a halo. If the plane of observation is then mearer the lens, the periphery of the blurred become tinged in orange-red. Moving it has bethe lens, beyond the best image, will cause the to become tinted in blue-violet. The location of the of least confusion (i.e., the plane $S_{1,c}$) correspond the position where the best image will apper looking directly through the lens at a source-

ation will be far more striking. The image of an off-axis point will be formed of constituent frequency components, each arriving different height above the axis (Fig. 6.33). In essen the frequency dependence of f causes a frequency





The transverse magnification as well. The make between two such image points (most items to be blue and red) is a measure of the immain advantage of the transverse of the will fill a volume of space with a continuum or less overlapping images, varying in size and cause the eye is most sensitive to the yellowbortion of the spectrum, the tendency is to focus or that region. With such a configuration one was all the other colored images superimposed only out of focus, producing a whitish blue or what.

When he bue focus, F_{μ} , is to the left of the red as, F_{d} the A · CA is said to be positive. as it is in "MConversely, a negative lens would generate save A (Δ_{η} , with the more strongly deviated blue outing to originate at the right of the red focus. What is happening is that the lens, whether news or geneave, is prismatic in shape; that h_{η} it what is happening is that the lens, whether deviated either toward or away from the axis, in both cases the rays are bent toward the art user to the prismatic cross-section. But the day to deviation is an increasing function of n, and fore it decreases with A_{i} Hence blue light is devitions and is focused nearest the lens. In other "the right, for a concave lens it is farthest and to the "the right; for a concave lens it is farthest and to the

matic Doublets

itive and one negative, could conceivably result

6.3 Aberrations 233

in the **precise** overlapping of F_B and F_B (Fig. 6.34). Such an **arrange**ment is said to be achromatized for those two specific wavelengths. Notice that what we would like to do is effectively eliminate the total dispersion (i.e., the fact that each color is deviated by a different amount) and not the total deviation itself. With the two lenses separated by a distance d.

 $\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2}.$ [6.8]

Rather than retain the second term in the thin-lens equation (5.16), let's abbreviate the notation and write $1/f_1 = (n_1 - 1)\rho_1$ and $1/f_2 = (n_2 - 1)\rho_2$ for the two elements. Then

 $\frac{1}{f} = (n_1 - 1)\rho_1 + (n_2 - 1)\rho_2 - d(n_1 - 1)\rho_1(n_2 - 1)\rho_2.$ (6.45)

This expression will yield the focal length of the doublet for red (f_R) and blue (f_B) light when the appropriate indices are introduced, namely, n_{1R} , n_{2R} , n_{1B} , and n_{2R} . But if f_R is to equal f_B , then

$1/f_R = 1/f_B$

and $(n_{1R} - 1)\rho_1 + (n_{2R} - 1)\rho_2 - d(n_{1R} - 1)\rho_1(n_{2R} - 1)\rho_2$ $= (n_{1R} - 1)\rho_1 + (n_{2R} - 1)\rho_2$

$$-d(n_{1B}-1)\rho_1(n_{2B}-1)\rho_2.$$
 (6.46)

One case of particular importance corresponds to $d \equiv 0$, that is, the two lenses are in contact. Expanding out Eq.



Figure 6.34 An achromatic doublet. The paths of the rays are much examenated.

(6.46) with d = 0 then leads to

 $\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = -\frac{\mathfrak{n}_{2B} - \mathfrak{n}_{2R}}{n_{1B} - n_{1R}},$

The focal length of the compound lens (f_Y) can conveniently be specified as that associated with yellow light, roughly midway between the blue and red extremes. For the component lenses in yellow light, $1/f_{1Y} = (n_{1Y} - 1)\rho_1$ and $1/f_{2Y} = (n_{2Y} - 1)\rho_2$. Hence

$$\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = \frac{(n_{2Y} - 1)}{(n_{1Y} - 1)} \frac{f_{2Y}}{f_{1Y}}.$$

Equating Eqs. (6.47) and (6.48) leads to

 $\frac{f_{2Y}}{f_{2Y}} = -\frac{(n_{2B} - n_{2R})/(n_{23} - 1)}{(n_{23} - 1)}$ (6.49) fir $(n_{1B} - n_{1R})/(n_{1Y} - 1)^{4}$

The quantities

or

ities
$$\frac{n_{2B} - n_{2R}}{n_{2Y} - 1}$$
 and $\frac{n_{1B} - n_{1R}}{n_{1Y} - 1}$

are known as the dispersive powers of the two materials forming the lenses. Their reciprocals, $V_{\rm S}$ and $V_{\rm L}$, are variously known as the dispersive indices, V-numbers, or Abbe numbers. The lower the Abbe numbers, the greater the dispersive power. Thus

$$\frac{f_{2Y}}{f_{1Y}} = -\frac{V_1}{V_2}$$

 $f_{1Y}V_1 + f_{2Y}V_2 = 0.$

 $f_{1V}V_1 + f_{21}V_2 = 0.$ (6.30) Since the dispersive powers are positive, so too are the V-numbers. This implies, as we anticipated, that one of the two component lenses must be negative, and the other positive, if Eq. (6.50) is to obtain, that is, if f_R is to equal f_R . At this point we could presumably design an achromatic double, and indeed we presently shall, but a few additional points must be made first. The designa-tion of wavelengths as red, yellow, and blue is far too imprecise for practical application. Instead it is cus-tor or efer to specific spectral lines whose wavelengths are known with great precision. The Fraunhofer lines, as they are called, serve as the needed reference markers across the spectrum. Several of these

Table 6.1 Several strong Fraunhofer lines. r Prailborter Innel. Wavelength (Å)* 6562, 816 Red 5805, 923 Yellnaw enter of doublet 5892, 9 5889, 953 Yellnaw 5875, 618 Yellnaw 5172, 899 Orcen 4861, 327 Blae 4390, 455 Violet 4320, 728 Violet 4320, 728 Violet Designation Source D, D Na Na He gg Fe H H Ca D₂ D₃ or d

3933.666 Viole

Table 6.2 Optical glass

•1Å = 0.1 nm

(6.47)

(6.48)

(6.50)

	option Show		
Type number	Name	np	
511:635	Borosilicate crown-BSC-1	1.5110	
517:645	Borosilicate crown-BSC-2	1.5170	
513:605	Crown-C	1.5125	
518:596	Crown	1.5180	59
523:586	Crown-C-1	1.5230	
529:516	Crown flint-CF-1	1.5286	
541:599	Light barium crown-LBC-1	1.5411	
573:574	Barium crown-LBC-2	1.5725	57
574:577	Barium crown	1.5744	57
511:588	Dense barium crown-DBC-1	1.6110	58
617:550	Dense barium crown-DBC-2	1.6170	55
511:572	Dense barium crown-DBC-3	1.6109	57
562:510	Light barium flint-LBF-2	1.5616	51
568:534	Light bariura flint-LBF-1	1,5880	53
584:460	Barium flint-BF-1	1.5858	40
505:496	Barium flint-BF-2	1.6053	43
559:452	Extra light flint-ELF-1	1.5585	40
573:425	Light flint-LF-1	1.5725	22
580:410	Light flint-LF-2	1.5795	11
505:380	Dense flint-DF-1	1.6050	00
517:366	Dense flint-DF-2	1.6170	30
621:362	Dense flint-DF-8	1.6210	
549:338	Extra dense flint-EDF-1	1.6490	42
565:924	Extra dense flint-EDF-5	1.6000	38
573:322	Extra dense flint-EDF-2	1.6725	30
589:\$09	Extra dense flint-EDF	1.6890	r
720:293	Extra dense flint-EDF-3	1.1200	

From T. Calvert, "Optical Components." Electromechanical Type number is given by $(n_D - 1)$: (10 V_D), where n_D is ro decimal places. For more data see Smath, Modern Optical Electrometer of the second seco



gion are listed in Table 6.1. The lines

(6.51)

D₃) are most often used (for blue, red,

(5) Jay are most often used (for blue, rea, ind one generally traces paraxial rays in manufacturers will usually list their wares he Abbe number, as in Fig. 6.35, which is refractive index versus

 $V_d = \frac{n_d - 1}{n_F - n_C},$

(Take a look at Table 6.2 as well.) Thus Eq. (6.50) might better be written as

> $f_{1d}V_{1d} + f_{2d}V_{2d} = 0,$ (6.52)

where the numerical subscripts pertain to the two glasses used in the doublet, and the letter relates to the *d*-line. Incidentally, Newton erroneously concluded, on the basis of experiments with the very limited range of

F.C.a

materials available at the time, that the dispersive power was constant for all glasses. This is tantamount to saying (Eq. 6.52) that $j_{14}=-j_{24}$, in which case the doublet would have zero power. Newton, accordingly, shifted hiseflorts from the refracting to the reflecting telescope, and this fortunately turned out to be a good move in the long run. The adromat was invented around 1733 by Chester Moor Hall. Easy, but it lay in limbo until it was seemingly reinvented and patented in 1758 by the London optician John Dollond. Several forms of the adromatic doublet are shown

Several forms of the achromatic doublet are shown in Fig. 6.36. Their configurations depend on the glass types selected, as well as on the choice of the other aberrations to be controlled. By the way, when purchasing off-the-shelf doublets of unknown origin, be careful not to buy a lens that has been deliberately designed to include certain aberrations in order to compensate for errors in the original system from which it came. Penhaps the most commonly encountered doublet is the cemented Fraunhofer achromat. It's formed of a crown⁴ double-convex lens in contact with a concaveplanar (or nearly planar) find lens. The use of a crown front element is quite popular because of its resistance to wear. Since the overall shape is roughly convexplanar, by selecting the proper glasses, both spherical aberration and coma can be corrected as well. Suppose that we wish to design a Fraunhofer achromat of focal length 50 cm. We can get some idea of how to select glasses by solving Eq. (6.52) simultaneously with the compound-lens equation

$$\frac{1}{f_{1d}} + \frac{1}{f_{2d}} = \frac{1}{f_d}$$
to get
$$\frac{1}{f_{1d}} = \frac{V_{1d}}{f_d(V_{1d} - V_{2d})} \qquad (6.55)$$
and
$$\frac{1}{f_{1d}} = \frac{V_{2d}}{f_d(V_{1d} - V_{2d})}, \qquad (6.54)$$

* Traditionally the glasses in the range $n_d > 1.60$, $V_d > 50$, and $n_d < 1.60$, $V_d > 55$ are known as crows, and the others are finits. Note the letter designations in Fig. 6.55.





Figure 6.36 (a) Achromatic doublets. (b) Doublets and ited (Photo courtesy Melles Griot.) to avoid small values of f_{14} and f_{24} , which inte strongly curved surfaces on the comthe difference $V_{14} - V_{24}$ should be made by 20 or more is convenient). From Figurialent) we sefect, any BK1 and PZ. These invalent we sefect, any BK1 and PZ. These invalent we sefect any BK1 and PZ. These indices of $n_c = 1.50763$, $n_a = 1.51009$, s_{150} and $n_c = 1.61503$, $n_a = 1.52004$, n_F respectively. Likewise, their V-numbers are life given tasher accurately, and we needn't comthe line this instance they are $V_{14} = 63.46$ and T, respectively. The focal lengths, or if you bewers of the two lenses, are given by Eqs. (6.54):

$$\mathcal{D}_{1d} = \frac{1}{f_{1d}} = \frac{63.46}{0.50(27.09)}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}_{2d} = \frac{1}{f_{2d}} = \frac{36.37}{0.50(-27.09)}$$

Gence $\underline{Sig} = 4.685 \text{ D}$ and $\underline{Sig} = -2.685 \text{ D}$, the sum $\underline{Sig} \ge D$, which is 1/0.5, as it should be. For ease of solution let the first or positive lens be equiconvex. Sequently its radii R_{11} and R_{12} are equal in magni-

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{R_{11}} - \frac{1}{R_{12}} = \frac{2}{R_{11}}$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}_{1d}}{n_{1d}-1} = \frac{4.685}{0.51009} = 9.185.$$

 $S_{21}^{*} = -R_{12} = 0.2177$ m. Furthermore, having contrast the lenses be in intimate contact, we have with that is, the second surface of the first lense that is, the second surface of the first lense that is the second surface of the second lense. For the

$$\rho_2 = \frac{1}{R_{21}} - \frac{1}{R_{22}} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{2d}}{n_{2d} - 1}$$

$$\frac{-1}{-0.2177} - \frac{1}{R_{22}} = \frac{-2.685}{0.62004}$$

⁹ m. In summary, the radii of the crown

6.3 Aberrations 237

element are $R_{11} = 21.8$ cm and $R_{12} = -21.8$ cm while the flint has radii of $R_{21} = -21.8$ cm and $R_{22} = -381.9$ cm.

Note that for a thin-lens combination the principal planes coalesce, so that achromatizing the focal length corrects both A · CA and L · CA. In a thick doublet, however, even though the focal lengths for red and blue are made identical, the different wavelengths may have different principal planes. Consequently, although the magnification is the same for all wavelengths, the focal points may not coincide; in other words, correction is made for L · CA but not for A · CA. In the above analysis only the C and F-rays were

In the above analysis only the C- and F-rays were brought to a common focus, and the *d*-line was introduced to establish a focal length for the doublet as a whole. It is not possible for all wavelengths traversing a doublet achromat to meet at a common focus. The resulting residual chromatism is known as *scendary spectrum*. The elimination of secondary spectrum is particularly troublesome when the design is limited to the glasses currently available. Nevertheless, a fluorite (CaF₂) element combined with an appropriate glass element can form a doublet achromatized at three wavelengths and having very little secondary spectrum. More often triplets are used for color correction at three or even four wavelengths. The secondary spectrum of a binocular can easily be observed by looking at a distant white object. Its borders will be slightly haloed in magenta and green—try shifting the focus forward and backward.

ii) Separated Achromatic Doublets

It is also possible to achromatize the focal length of a doublet composed of two widely separated elements of the same glass. Return to Eq. (6.46) and set $n_1 g = n_{g_R} - n_R$ and $n_1 g = n_{g_R} - n_R$. After a bit of straightforward algebraic manipulation, it becomes

 $(n_R - n_B)[(\rho_1 + \rho_2) - \rho_1 \rho_2 d(n_B + n_R - 2)] = 0$ or

$$d = \frac{1}{(n_p + n_R \rightarrow 2)} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right).$$

Again introducing the yellow reference frequency, as we did before, namely, $1/f_{1Y} = (n_{1Y} - 1)\rho_1$ and $1/f_{2Y} =$

Figure 6.37 Achromatized lenses.

 $(n_{2Y}-1)\rho_2,$ we can replace ρ_1 and $\rho_2.$ Hence $d = \frac{(f_{1Y} + f_{2Y})(n_Y - 1)}{n_B + n_R - 2},$

where $n_{11} = n_{2Y} = n_Y$. Assuming $n_Y = (n_B + n_R)/2$, we have

$$d = \frac{h_{11} + h_{21}}{2}$$

238

 $d = \frac{f_{1d} + f_{2d}}{2}.$ (6.55)

 $d = \frac{d-1}{2}$. (6.59) This is precisely the form taken by the Huygens ocular (Section 5.7.4). Since the red and blue focal lengths are the same, but the corresponding principal planes for the doublet need not be, the two rays will generally not met at the same focal point. Thus the ocular's lateral chromatic aberration is well corrected, but axial chro-matic aberration is need. In order for a system to be free of both chromatic aberrations, the red and blue rays must emerge paralled to each other (no L · CA) and must intersect the axis at the same point (no A · CA), which means they must overlap. Since this is effectively the case with a thin achromat, it implies that multielement systems, as a rule, should consist of achromatic components in order to keep the red and blue rays from separating (Fig. 6.37). As with all such invocations there are exceptions. The Taylor triplet (Section 5.7.7) is one. The two colored rays for which it is achromatized separate within the lens but are recombined and emerge together.





. Figure 6.38 a, b

New Orleans and the Mississippi River photo-,500 m (41,000 ft) with Itek's Metritek-21 camera ad resolution, 1 m; scale, 1;59,492, (b) Photo scale, 10 scale, 1:2500.

(c)

6.3 Aberrations

239

6.3.3 Concluding Remarks

For the practical reason of manufacturing ease, the vast majority of optical systems are limited to lenses having spherical surfaces. There are, to be sure, toric and cylindrical lenses as well as many other aspherics. Indeed, very fine, and as a rule very expensive devices, such as high-altitude reconnaisance cameras and tracking systems, may have several aspherical elements. Even so, spherical lenses are here to stay and with them are their inherent aberrations which must satisfactorily be dealt with. As we have seven, the designer (and his faithful electronic companion) must manipulate the system variables (indices, shapes, spacings, stops, etc.) in order to balance out offensive aberrations. This is done to whatever degree and in whatever order is appropriate for the specific optical system. Thus one might tolerate far more distortion and curvature in an ordinary telescope than in a good photographic objective. Likewise, there is little need to worry about chromatic aberration if you want to work exclusively with laser light of almost a single frequency. In any event, this chapter has only touched on the problems (more to appreciate than solve them). That they are most certainly amenable to solution is evidenced, for example, by the remarkable aerial photographs in Fig. 6.38, which speak rather eloquenty for themselves.

PROBLEMS

6.1* Work out the details leading to Eq. (6.8).

6.2 According to the military handbook MIL-HDBK-141 (23.5.5.3), the Ramsden eyepiece (Fig. 5.93) is made up of two planar-convex lenses of equal focal length *f* separated by a distance 2*f*/3. Determine the overall focal length *f* of the thin-lens combination and locate the principal planes and the position of the field stop.

6.3 Write an expression for the thickness d of a double-convex lens such that its focal length is infinite.

6.4 Suppose we have a positive meniscus lens of radii 6 and 10 and a thickness of 3 (any units, as long as

you're consistent), with an index of 1.5. Determining focal length and the locations of its principal (compare with Fig. 6.3).

6.5 Using Eq. (6.2), derive an expression for the real length of a homogeneous transparent sphere of radio *R*. Locate its principal points.

6.6* A spherical glass bottle 20 cm in diameter walls that are negligibly thin is filled with water bottle is sitting on the back seat of a car on a are supday. What's its focal length?

6.7* With the previous two problems in wind use pute the magnification that results when the instant of the solution of the

6.8° A thick glass lens of index 1.50 has radii of +23 cm and +20 cm, so that both vertices are intended of the corresponding centers of curvature. Given find the thickness is 9.0 cm, find the focal energy of the key Show that in general $R_1 - R_2 = d/3$ for such a degrap power lenses. Draw a diagram showing what append to an axial incident parallel bundle of rays as in power through the system.

6.9 It is found that sunlight is focused to a spatifier of the back face of a thick lens, which has if a point at $H_1 = +0.2$ cm and $H_2 = -0.4$ cm. Also, the location of the image of a candle that is place 49.8 cm in front of the lens.

6.10* Please establish that the separation between principal planes for a thick glass lens is roughed out third its thickness. The simplest geometry occua planar-convex lens tracing a ray from the object What can you say about the relationship between focal length and the thickness for this lens type

6.11 A crown glass double-convex lens, L0 rr lli and operating at a wavelength of 900 nm, has of refraction of 3/2. Given that its radii are 40 15 cm, locate its principal points and compute 15 roa elevision screen is placed 1.0 m from the test, where will the real image of the picture

512 Tanagine two identical double-convex thick separated by a distance of 20 cm between their separated by a distance of 20 cm between their separate of the separate separ

Scompound lens is composed of two thin lenses they 10 cm. The first of these has a focal length son, and the 3econd a focal length of -20 cm. The focal length of the combination and beat the (Stresponding principal points. Draw a Bigram & the system.

6.14^a A Source-planar lens of index 3/2 has a thickness of 0.2 cm and a radius of curvature of 2.5 cm. Learning the system matrix when light is incident on the curved surface.

6. Show that the determinant of the system matrix in (631) is equal to 1.

6.16 Show that Eqs. (6.36) and (6.37) are equivalent to Eqs. (6.3) and (6.4), respectively.

6.17 EXAMPLE 1 the planar surface of a concave-planar the planar surface of a concave-planar the planar surface of a concave-planar surfac

6.18 Sompute the system matrix for a thick biconvex less of index 1.5 having radii of 0.5 and 0.25 and a state of 0.3 (in any units you like). Check that the less that the system state of 0.5 (in any units you like).

6.19" The system matrix for a thick biconvex lens in $\hat{\mathfrak{ghr}}$ is given by

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -2.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$.

Problems 241

Knowing that the first radius is 0.5 cm, that the thickness is 0.3 cm, and that the index of the lens is 1.5, find the other radius.

6.20* A concave-planar glass (n = 1.50) lens in air has a radius of 10.0 cm and a thickness of 1.00 cm. Determine the system matrix and check that its determinant is 1. At what positive angle (in radians measured above the axis), should a ray strike the lens at a height of 2.0 cm, if it is to emerge from the lens at the same height but parallel to the optical axis?

6.21* Considering the lens in Problem 6.18, determine its focal length and the location of the focal points with respect to its vertices V_1 and V_2 .

6.22 Referring back to Fig. 6.15, show that when $P'P = Rn_2/n_1$ and $\overline{PC} = Rn_1/n_2$ all rays originating at P appear to come from P'.

6.23 Starting with the exact expression given by Eq. (5.5), show that Eq. (6.40) results, rather than Eq. (5.8), when the approximations for ℓ_a and ℓ_i are improved a bit.

6.24 Supposing that Fig. 6.39 is to be imaged by a lens system suffering spherical aberration only, make a sketch of the image.





THE SUPERPOSITION **OF WAVES**

n succeeding chapters we shall study the phenomena of polarization, interference, and diffraction. These all share a common conceptual basis in that they deal, for the most part, with various aspects of the same process. Stating this in the simplest terms, we are really con-Stating this in the simplest terms, we are really con-cerned with what happens when two or more light waves overlap in some region of space. The precise circum-stances governing this superposition, of course, deter-mine the final optical disturbance. Among other things we are interested in learning how the specific properties of each constituent wave famplitude, phase, frequency, etc.) influence the ultimate form of the composite dis-turbance. turbance

Recall that each field component of an electromag-netic wave $(E_x, E_y, E_x, B_x, B_y, and B_z)$ satisfies the scalar three-dimensional differential wave equation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}.$$
 (2.59)

A significant feature of this expression is that it is *linear*; in other words, $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and its derivatives appear only to the first power. Consequently, if $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\psi_2(\mathbf{r}, t), \dots, \psi_n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are individual solutions of Eq. (2.59), any *linear combination* of them will, in turn, be a solution. Thus

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}\psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
 (7.1)

satisfies the wave equation, where the coefficients C_i are simply arbitrary constants. Known as the principle of superposition, this property suggests that the resultant

242



Figure 7.1 The superposition of two disturbances.

erested only in linear systems where the interested only in linear systems where the on principle is actually applicable. Do keep prever, that large-amplitude waves, whether many applicable and the system of the system prevent and the system of a high-intensity fore the electric field might be as high as m is easily capable of eliciting nonlinear effects pare 14). By comparison, the electric field with sunlight here on Earth has an amplitude about 10 V(cm. are many instances in which we need not be with the vector nature of light, and for the we sill restrict ourselves to such cases. For

will restrict ourselves to such cases. For

the win reactive out propagate along the same de, if the lightwaves all propagate along the same de share a common constant plane of vibration, puld each be described in terms of one electrictomporent. These would all be either parallel or temport. These would all be either parallel or manuallel at any instant and could thus be treated as palars. A good deal more will be said about this point is we progress; for now, let's represent the optical fouroarte as a scalar function E(r, t), which is a so-mino of Eq. (2.9). This approach leads to a simple hate theory that is highly useful as long as we are areful about applying it.

ADDITION OF WAVES OF THE SAME

GEBRAIC METHOD

call that we can write a solution of the differential equation in the form

 $\mathbf{E}^{E}(x,t) = E_0 \sin \left[\omega t - (kx + \epsilon)\right],$ (7.2) what is the amplitude of the harmonic disturthe propagating along the positive x-axis. Alterna-

ar	$\alpha(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon)=-(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}+\varepsilon)$	
	$E(\mathbf{x}, t) = F_{\rm e} \sin\left[\omega t + \alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)\right]$	

7.1 The Algebraic Method 243

Suppose then that we have two such waves $E_1 = E_{01} \sin \left(\omega t + \alpha_1\right)$ (7.5a)

and $E_2 = E_{02} \sin(\omega t + \alpha_2),$ (7.5b)

each with the same frequency and speed, overlapping in space. The resultant disturbance is the linear superposition of these waves. Thus $F = F_1 + F_2$

or, on expanding Eqs. (7.5a) and (7.5b), $E = E_{01}(\sin \omega t \cos \alpha_1 + \cos \omega t \sin \alpha_1)$

+ $E_{02}(\sin \omega t \cos \alpha_2 + \cos \omega t \sin \alpha_2)$.

When we separate out the time-dependent terms this becomes

 $E = (E_{01} \cos \alpha_1 + E_{02} \cos \alpha_2) \sin \omega t$

and

(7.3)

(7.4)

+ $(E_{01} \sin \alpha_1 + E_{02} \sin \alpha_2) \cos \omega t$. (7.6)

Since the bracketed quantities are constant in time, let $E_0 \cos \alpha = E_{01} \cos \alpha_1 + E_{02} \cos \alpha_2$ (7.7)

. $E_0 \sin \alpha = E_0 \sin \alpha_1 + E_{00} \sin \alpha_{01}$ This is not an obvious substitution, but it will be legitimate as long as we can solve for E_0 and α . To that end, square and add Eqs. (7.7) and (7.8) to get

 $E_0^2 = E_{01}^2 + E_{02}^2 + 2E_{01}E_{02}\cos(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$ (7.9)and divide Eq. (7.8) by (7.7) to get

 $\tan \alpha = \frac{E_{01} \sin \alpha_1 + E_{02} \sin \alpha_2}{E_{01} \cos \alpha_1 + E_{02} \cos \alpha_2}$ (7.10)

Provided these last two expressions are satisfied for E_0 and α , the situation of Eqs. (7.7) and (7.8) is valid. The total disturbance then becomes

 $E = E_0 \cos \alpha \sin \omega t + E_0 \sin \alpha \cos \omega t$

OT $E = E_0 \sin(\omega t + \alpha).$ (7.11)

Thus a single disturbance results from the superposition



 $E = E = E_2$



Figure 7.2 The superposition of two harmonic waves in and out of phase.

of the sinusoidal waves E_1 and E_2 . The composite wave (7.11) is harmonic and of the same frequency as the constituents, although its amplitude and phase are different. The flux density of a light wave is proportional to its amplitude squared, by way of Eq. (3.44). Hence it follows from Eq. (7.9) that the resultant flux density is not simply the sum of the component flux densities—there is an additional contribution $2E_{01}E_{02}\cos(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$, known as the interference term. The crucial factor is the difference in phase between the two interfering waves E_1 and E_5 , $\delta = (\alpha_5 - \alpha_1)$. When $\delta = 0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \ldots$ the resultant amplitude is a maximum, whereas $\delta = \pm \pi, \pm 3\pi, \ldots$ yields a minimum (Problem 7.3). In

the former case, the waves are said to be in phase overlaps crest. In the latter instance the waves are out of phase and trough overlaps crest, as shown 7.2. Realize that the *phase difference* may arise more difference in path length traversed by the two as well as a difference in the initial phase arise

 $\delta = (kx_1 + \varepsilon_1) - (kx_2 + \varepsilon_2)$

oг

 $\frac{k_0}{2\pi}$

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2).$$

Here x_1 and x_2 are the distances from the sources of the two waves to the point of observation, and a base wavelength in the pervading medium. If the wave initially in phase at their respective emitters, the x_2 , and

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (x_1 - x_2).$$

This would also apply to the case in which two dimbances from the same source traveled difference routing before arriving at the point of observation. Since $n = c/v = \lambda_0 \Lambda_0$,

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} n(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2).$$

The quantity $n(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)$ is known as the optical difference and will be represented by the abbrevit OPD or by the symbol A. It's the difference in the isoperator optical path lengths [Eq. (4.59)]. Bear in mind that it possible, in more complicated situations, for easy wave to travel through a number of different this area of the path difference: one route is symbolic to the symbolic

 $\delta = k_0 \Lambda$,

being the propagation number in vacuus
$$\lambda_0$$
. One route is essentially δ radians longer the

the other. Wayes for which $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ is constant, regardless of



state to be coherent, a situation we shall assume aroughout most of this discussion. Special case of some interest is the superposition

 $E_1 = E_{01} \sin \left[\omega t - k(x + \Delta x) \right]$

$E_2 = E_{02} \sin \left(\omega t - k \mathbf{x}\right),$

Sin particular $E_{01} = E_{02}$ and $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = k \Delta x$. It is Problem 7.7 to show that in this case Eqs. (7.9), and (7.11) lead to a resultant wave of

$$E = 2E_{el}\cos\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right)\sin\left[\omega t - k\left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)\right]$$
. (7.12)

support the clearly the dominant role played with length difference, Δx_i especially when the semitted in phase $(e_i = e_2)$. There are many clustences in which one arranges just these



7.1 The Algebraic Method 245



conditions, as will be seen later. If $\Delta x \ll \lambda$, the resultant has an amplitude that is nearly $2E_{og}$, whereas if $\Delta x = \lambda/2$, it is zero. The former situation is referred to as constructive interference, and the latter as destructive interference (see Fig. 7.3).

By repeated applications of the procedure used to arrive at Eq. (7.11), we can show that the superposition of any number of coherent harmonic waves having a given frequency and traveling in the same direction leads to a harmonic wave of that same frequency (Fig. 7.4). We happen to have chosen to represent the two waves above in terms of sine functions, but the same results would prevail if we used cosine functions. In general, then, the sum of N such waves,



 $E = E_0 \cos{(\alpha \pm \omega t)},$

(7.18)

Figure 7.4 The superposition of three harmonic waves yields a harmonic wave.

$$E_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{0i}^{\alpha} + 2 \sum_{j>i}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{i} E_{0i} E_{0j} \cos(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \quad (7.19)$$
and

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{0i} \sin \alpha_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{0i} \cos \alpha_i},$$

(7.20)

(7.21)

Pause for a moment and satisfy yourself that these relations are indeed true

Consider a number (N) of atomic emitters comprising an ordinary light source (an incandescent bulb, candle flame, or discharge lamp). Each atom is effectively an independent source of photon wavetrains (Section 3.4.4), and these, in turn, each extend in time for roughly 1 to 10 ns. In other words, the atoms generally emit wavetrains that have a sustained phase for only up to about 10 ns, after which a new wavetrain may be emitted with a totally random phase, and it too will be sustained for less than approximately 10 ns, and so forth. On the whole each atom may be thought of as emitting a disturbance composed of a stream of photons that varies in its phase rapidly and randomly. In any event, the phase of the light from one atom, $w_i(t)$, will remain constant with respect to the phase from another remain constant with respect to the phase from another atom $a_i(h)$, for only a time of at most 10 ns before it changes randomly: the atoms are coherent for up to ahout 10^{-8} s. Since flux density is proportional to the time average of E_{22}^{23} generally taken over a comparatively long interval of time, it follows that the second summato an in Eq. (7.19) will contribute terms proportional to $(\cos [a(t) - a_t(t)))$, each of which will average out to $(\cos [a(t) - a_t(t)))$, each of which will average out to zero because of the random rapid nature of the phase changes. Only the first summation remains in the time average, and its terms are constants. If the atoms are each emitting wavetrains of the same amplitude E_{01} , then

$$F_{2}^{2} = NF_{2}^{2}$$

The resultant flux density arising from N sources having random, rapidly varying phases is given by N times the flux density of any one source. In other words, it is determined

by the sum of the individual flux densities. A fluctuation whose atoms are all emitting a random tumult pri-light, which, as the superposition of these ease "incoherent" wavetrains, is itself rapidly and ran varying in phase. Thus two or more such bulls will light that is essentially incoherent (i.e., for daran longer than about 10 ns), light whose total combi-irradiance will simply equal the sum of the fla-contributed by each individual bulb. This us-for candle flames, flashbulbs, and all thermal from laser) sources. We cannot expect to see many ence when the lightwaves from two reading has

At the other extreme, if the sources are color a phase at the point of observation (i.e., $\alpha_i =$ (7.19) will become

$$E_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N E_{0i}^2 + 2 \sum_{i>i}^N \sum_{i=1}^N E_{0i}E_{i}$$

$$E_0^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{0i}\right) +$$

Again supposing that each amplitude is E_{01} , we get $E_0^2 = (NE_{01})^2 = N^2 E_{01}^2$

(>> > ?

In this case of in-phase coherent sources, as have entreed in which the amplitudes are added first and then equa-determine the resulting flux density. The superposi-coherent waves generally has the effect of alterna-spatial distribution of the energy but not the ton amount present. If there are regions where the flux density is explored to the energy of the identified density is greater than the sum of the individue flu densities, there will be regions where it is less sum.

7.2 THE COMPLEX METHOD

It is often mathematically convenient to ma the complex representation of trigonometric when dealing with the superposition of hard turbances. The wave

 $E_1 = E_{01} \cos(kx \pm \omega t + \varepsilon_1)$

en as $E_1 = E_{01} e^{i(\alpha_1 - \omega_0)},$ aber that we are interested only in the real (see Strong 2.4). Suppose that there are N such appressives having the same frequency and the positive x-direction. The resultant wave art (see S $E = E_0 e^{i(\alpha + \omega t)},$ which is equivalent to Eq. (7.18) or, upon summation of the component waves. $E = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{0i} e^{i\alpha_i}\right] e^{+i\omega t}.$ e quantin $E_0 e^{i\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_{0j} e^{i\alpha_j}$

(a)

nown a the complex amplitude of the composite wave national complex amplitude of the composite wave nationally the sum of the complex amplitudes of the national Since

 $E_1 = E_0, \cos(\alpha_1 \mp \omega t)$

 $E_0^2 = (E_0 e^{i\sigma})(E_0 e^{i\sigma})^*,$ (7.27) we always compute the resultant irradiance from g_{0} and (7.27). For example, if N = 2, $E_{1}^{x} = (E_{01}e^{ia_{1}} + E_{02}e^{ia_{2}})(E_{01}e^{-ia_{1}} + E_{02}e^{-ia_{2}}),$

D

 $E_0^2 = E_{01}^2 + E_{02}^2 + E_{01}E_{02}[e^{i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} + e^{-i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}]$

7.3 Phasor Addition

247

or $E_0^2 = E_{01}^2 + E_{02}^2 + 2E_{01}E_{02}\cos{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)},$

which is identical to Eq. (7.9).

7.3 PHASOR ADDITION

whence

(7.24)

(7.25)

(7.26)

The summation described in Eq. (7.26) can be represen-ted graphically as an addition of vectors in the complex plane (recall the Argand diagram in Fig. 2.11). In the parlance of electrical engineering, the complex ampli-tude is known as a **phasor**, and it is specified by its magnitude and phase, often written simply in the form $E_0 \angle \alpha$. The method of phasor addition to be developed $E_{\Delta \subset A}$. In emethod of phasor addition to be developed now can be employed without any appreciation of its **relationship** to the complex-number formalism. For **simplicity's** sake, we will for the most part circumvent the use of that interpretation in what is to follow. Imagine, then, that we have a disturbance described by

$E_1 = E_{0t} \sin{(\omega t + \alpha_1)}.$

In Fig. 7.5(a) we represent the wave by a vector of length E_{01} rotating counterclockwise at a rate ω such that its projection on the vertical axis is E_{01} sin ($\omega t + \alpha_1$). If we were concerned with cosine waves, we would take the projection on the horizontal axis. Incidentally, the rotating vector is, of course, a phasor $E_{01} \angle \alpha_1$, and the R and



I designations signify the real and imaginary axes. Similarly, a second wave

$E_2 = E_{02} \sin \left(\omega t + \alpha_2\right)$

is depicted along with E_i in $[E_i, Z_5]$. Their algebraic sum, $E = E_i + E_k$, is the projection on the *I*-axis of the resultant phasor determined by the vector addition of the component phasors, as in Fig. 7.5(c). The law of cosines applied to the triangle of sides E_{01} , E_{02} , and E_0 vields

 $E_0^2 = E_{01}^2 + E_{02}^2 + 2E_{01}E_{02}\cos{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)},$

where use was made of the fact that $\cos [\pi - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)] = -\cos (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$. This is identical to Eq. (7.9), as it must be. Using the same diagram, observe that $\tan \alpha$ is given be: only the amount of the first output of the second with finding E_0 rather than E(t), and since E_0 is unaffected by the constant revolving of all the phasors, it will often be convenient to set t = 0 and thus eliminate that rotation

Some rather elegant schemes, such as the vibration curve and the Cornu spiral (Chapter 10), will be predi-cated on the technique of phasor addition. Moreover,



Figure 7.6 The sum of E1, E2, E3, E4 and E5+

it is a pictorial approach, and that often helps insights. As a final example, let's briefly ex wave resulting from the addition of

 $E_1 = 5 \sin \omega t$ $E_2 = 10 \sin(\omega t + 45^\circ)$ $E_3=\sin\left(\omega t-15^\circ\right)$ $E_1 = 10 \sin(\omega t + 120^\circ)$

$E_5 = 8 \sin (\omega t + 180^\circ),$

 $E_5 = 6 \sin(at + 180^\circ)$, where ω is in degrees per second. The appropri-phasors 5.0°, 10.445°, 1.2–15°, 10.2120°, and 8.00 are plotted in Fig. 7.6. Notice that each phase state whether positive or negative, is referenced to the horizontal. One need only read off $E_{0.6}a$ with a scale and protractor to get $E = E_5 \sin(at + a)$. It is evident that this technique offers a tremendous advantage in speed and simplicity, if not in accuracy.

7.4 STANDING WAVES

and

We saw in Chapter 2 that the general solution 2 the differential wave equation consisted of the sum of us traveling waves,

 $\psi(x, t) = C_1 f(x - vt) + C_2 g(x + vt).$ In particular let us choose to examine two hormanic out of the same frequency propagating in opposite directions A situation of practical concern arises when the incide wave is reflected backward off some sort of mirro for electromagnetic waves. Imagine that an ind wave traveling to the left,

 $E_I = E_{0I} \sin \left(kx + \omega t + \varepsilon_I \right)$ strikes a mirror at x = 0 and is reflected to the right if the form

 $E_R = E_{0R} \sin (kx - \omega t + \varepsilon_R).$ The composite wave in the region to the right mirror is $E = E_I + E_R$. We could perform the it

and arrive at a general solution* much like and arrive at a general solution much like tion 7.1. There are, however, some valuable sights to be gained by taking a slightly more

approach ial phase ε_i may be set to zero by merely r clock at a time when $E_j = E_{ot} \sin kx$. Certain ns determined by the physical setup must be grathematical solution, and these are known ndary conditions. For example, if we were **Summary conditions.** For example, if we were not arope with one end tied to a wall at x = 0, just always have a zero displacement. The poing waves, one incident and the other could have to add in such a way as to yield that wave at x = 0. Similarly at the boundary of conducting sheet the resultant electromag-must have a zero electric-field component the surface. Assuming $E_{01} = E_{08}$, the boun-tions require that at x = 0, E = 0, and since follows from Eqs. (7.28) and (7.29) that $e_R = 0$. posite disturbance is then

 $E = E_{co}[\sin(kx + \omega t) + \sin(kx - \omega t)],$

Applang the identity

 $\sin \sigma + \sin \beta = 2 \sin \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \beta) \cos \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \beta),$

$E(\mathbf{x},t)=2E_{0I}\sin k\mathbf{x}\cos \omega t,$

(7.30)

tion for a standing or stationary wave, to a traveling wave. Its profile does not move Ito a traveling wave. Its profile does not move pace it is clearly not of the form $f(x \neq vl)$. At x = x', the amplitude is a constant equal to and E(x', l) varies harmonically as $\cos vl$. Boints, namely, $x = 0, \lambda l^2, \lambda . 3\lambda / 2, \dots$, the tee will be zero at all times. These are known or nodal points (Fig. 7.7). Halfway between item node, that is, at $x = \lambda / 4, 3\lambda / 4$, Boints are known as the articloser. The dis-points are known as the articloser. The dis-The maximum value of $\pm Z(x_1)$, rooms are known as the antinodes. The dis- $Z(x_1)$ will be zero at all values of x whenever $Z(x_1)$ where m = (2m + 1)r/4, where m = (2m + 1)r/4. If .

J. M. Pearson, A Theory of Waves

7.4 Standing Waves 249



Figure 7.7 A standing wave at various times

often the case, the composite wave will contain a travel-ing component along with the stationary wave. Under such conditions there will be a net transfer of energy, whereas for the pure standing wave there is none.

It was by measuring the distances between the nodes of standing waves that Hertz was able to determine the wavelength of the radiation in his historic experiments (see Section 3.6). A few years later, in 1890, Otto Wiener first demonstrated the existence of standing lightwayes The arrangement he used is depicted in Fig. 7.8. It shows a normally incident parallel beam of quasi-monochromatic light reflecting off a front-silvered mirror. A transparent photographic film, less than $\lambda/20$ thick, deposited on a glass plate, was inclined to the mirror at an angle of about 10^{-5} radians. In that way the film plate cut across the pattern of standing plane waves. After developing the emulsion it was found to



Figure 7.8 Wiener's experiment,



be blackened along a series of equidistant parallel bands. These corresponded to the regions where the photo graphic layer had intersected the antinodal planes. Sig-nificantly, there was no blackening of the emulsion at the mirror's surface. It can be shown that the nodes the mirror's surface. It can be shown that the nodes and antinodes of the magnetic field component of an electromagnetic standing wave alternate with those of the electric field (Problem 7.10). We might suspect as much from the fact that at $t = (2m + 1)\tau/4$, E = 0 for all values of π , so to conserve energy if follows that $B \neq 0$. In agreement with theory. Herth that previously (1888) determined the existence of a nodal point of the electric field at the surface of this reflector. Accordingly, Wiener could conclude that the blackened regions were surfaced with a pairoder of the E field. Thus, it is cited associated with antinodes of the E-field. Thus it is the electric field that triggers the photochemical process. In a similar way Drude and Nernst showed that the E-field is responsible for fluorescence. These observations are all quite understandable, since the force exerted on an electron by the **B**-field component of an electromagnetic wave is generally negligible in comparison to that of the E-field. It is for these reasons that the electric field is referred to as the optic disturbance or light field.

THE ADDITION OF WAVES OF DIFFERENT FREQUENCY

Thus far the analysis has been restricted to the superposition of waves, all having the same frequency. Yet one never actually has disturbances, of any kind, that are strictly monochromatic. It will be far more realistic, as we shall see, to speak of **quasimon**ochromatic light, which is composed of a narrow range of frequencies. The study of such light will lead us to the important

The study of such light will lead us to the important concepts of bandwidth and coherence time. The ability to modulate light effectively (Section 8.11.3) makes it possible to couple electronic and optical systems in a way that has had and will certainly continue to have far-reaching effects on the entire technology. Moreover, with the advent of electro-optical techniques, light already has a new and significant role as a carrier of information. This section is devoted to developing some of the multimetric lidear needed to appreciate some of the mathematical ideas needed to appreciate this new emphasis.

7.5 BEATS

and

Consider the composite disturbance arising bination of the waves $E_1 = E_{01} \cos\left(k_1 x - \omega_1 t\right)$

 $E_2 = E_{01} \cos\left(k_2 x - \omega_2 t\right),$

which have equal amplitudes and zero initial to angles. The net wave $E = E_{01} [\cos (k_1 x - \omega_1 t) + \cos (k_2 x - \omega_1 t)]$

can be reformulated as

 $E = 2E_{01}\cos\frac{1}{2}[(k_1 + k_2)\mathbf{x} - (\omega_1 + \omega_2)\mathbf{x}]$ $\times \cos \frac{1}{2}[(k_1 - k_2)x - (\omega_1 - \omega_2)t].$

using the identity

 $\cos \alpha + \cos \beta = 2 \cos \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) \cos \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)$ We now define the quantities $\bar{\omega}$ and \bar{k} , which \bar{a} the we now define the quantities ω and k, we average angular frequency and average propage respectively. Similarly the quantities $\omega_{\rm m}$ designated the modulation frequency and frequency and propagation number, respectively. Let

	$\bar{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$	$\omega_m = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)$	25.41
and	$k = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2)$	$k_m = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2);$	15.82
thus			

 $E = 2E_{01}\cos{(k_m x - \omega_m t)}\cos{(\vec{k}x - \vec{\omega}t)}.$ The total disturbance may be regarded as a travel wave of frequency $\bar{\omega}$ having a time-varying lated amplitude $E_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ such that

 $E(x, t) = E_0(x, t) \cos{(\bar{k}x - \bar{\omega}t)},$

where $E_0(x, t) = 2E_{01}\cos{(k_m x - \omega_m t)}.$

In applications of interest here, ω_1 and $\omega_2 = \lim_{t \to 0} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t}$ the applications of interest here, ω_1 and $\omega_2 = \omega_1$ be rather large. In addition, if they are comparison each other, $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$, then $\overline{\omega} \gg \omega_m$ and $\mathcal{L}_{cl} = \mathcal{A}$

=>

The superposition of two harmonic waves of different

change slowly, whereas E(x, t) will vary quite rapidly (Fig. 7.9). The irradiance is proportional to $\tilde{E}_{g}^{2}(x, t) = 4E_{01}^{2}\cos^{2}(k_{m}x - \omega_{m}t)$

$E_0^2(x,t) = 2E_{01}^2[1 + \cos{(2k_m x - 2\omega_m t)}].$

at $E_2^{p}(x, t)$ oscillates about a value of $2E_{01}^{2}$ with frequency of $2\omega_m$ or simply $(\omega_1 - \omega_2)$, which is the beat frequency. In other words, E_0 modulation frequency, whereas E_0^2 varies namely, the beat frequency. first observed with the use of light in 1955 Gudmundsen, and Johnson.* To obtain

undsen, and Johnson. To obtain slightly different frequency they used the

ller, R. A. Gudmundsen, and P. O. Johnson, "Photo-g of Incoherent Light," Phys. Rev. 99, 1691 (1955).

Zeeman effect. When the atoms of a discharge lamp, in this case mercury, are subjected to a magnetic field, their energy levels split. As a result the emitted light their energy levels split. As a result the emitted light contains two frequency components, ν_1 and ν_2 , which differ in proportion to the magnitude of the applied field. When these components are recombined at the surface of a photoelectric mixing tube, the beat frequency, $\nu_1 - \nu_2$, is generated. Specifically, the field was adjusted so that $\nu_1 - \nu_2 = 10^{10}$ Hz, which con-veniently corresponds to a 3-cm microwave signal. The recorded photoelectric current had the same form as the $F_2^{(n)}$ curve in Fig. 7 (d)

7.5 Beats

25

recorded photoelectric current had the same form as the $E_3^{(k)}(u)$ curve in Fig. 7.9(d). The advent of the laser has since made the observa-tion of beats using light considerably easier. Even a beat frequency of a few Hz out of 10⁴⁴ Hz can be seen as a variation in phototube current. The observation of beats now represents a particularly sensitive and fairly simple means of detecting small frequency differences. For

example, a modern version of the famous Michelson-Morley experiment that beats two infrared laserbeams will be considered in Section 9.8.3. The ring laser (Section 9.8.5), functioning as a groscope, utilizes beats to measure frequency differences induced as a result of the rotation of the system. The Doppler effect, which accounts for the frequency shift when light is reflected accounts for the frequency shift when light is reneted of a moving surface, provides another series of applica-tions of beats. By scattering light off a target, whether solid, liquid, or even gaseous, and then beating the original and reflected waves, we get a precise measure of the target speed. In much the same way on an atomic scale, laser light will shift in phase upon interacting with sound waves moving in a material (this phenomenon is called Brillouin scattering). Thus 2aw, becomes a called Brillouin scattering). Thus 2w, becomes a measure of the speed of sound in the medium

7.6 GROUP VELOCITY

words,

hence

The disturbance examined in the previous section,

 $E(\mathbf{x},t) = E_0(\mathbf{x},t)\cos{(\bar{k}\mathbf{x}-\bar{\omega}t)},$ [7.34] consists of a high-frequency ($\bar{\omega}$) carrier wave, amplitudemodulated by a cosine function. Suppose, for a moment, that the wave in Fig. 7.9(b) were not modulated, that is, $E_0 = \text{constant}$. Each small peak in the carrier would travel to **the** right with the **usual** phase velocity. In other

words,

$$v = \frac{(\partial \varphi / \partial t)_{*}}{(\partial \varphi / \partial x)_{*}}$$
, [2.32]
From Eq. (7.34) the phase is given by $\varphi = (\bar{h}_{X} - \bar{\omega}t)$,

$$v = \hat{\omega}/\bar{k}$$
. (7.36)

Clearly, this is the phase velocity whether the carrier is modulated or not. In the former case the peaks simply change amplitude periodically as they stream along. Evidently, there is another motion to be concerned

Evidently, there is another motion to be concerned with, and that is the propagation of the modulation envelope. Return to Fig. 7.9(a) and suppose that the constituent waves, $E_1(x, t)$ and $E_2(x, t)$, advance with the same speed, $u_1 = u_2$. Imagine, if you will, the two har-monic functions having different wavelengths and

frequencies drawn on separate sheets of clear a When these are overlayed in some way (as in Fig. the resultant is a stationary beat pattern. If the are both moved to the right at the same speed resemble traveling waves, the beats will obvious with that same speed. The rate at which the mov-generic attempts is known as the move envelope advances is known as the group vel envelope advances is known as the **group ve**loit equals the velocity of the carrier (the average speed, $\vec{\omega}/k$). It words, $v_e = v = v_1 = v_2$. This applies specifically dispersive media in which the phase velocity is in dent of wavelength so that the two waves could the same speed. For a more generally applicable examine the expression for the modulation er

 $E_0(x, t) = 2E_{01}\cos(k_m x - \omega_m t).$ The speed with which that wave moves is again given by Eq. (2.32), but now we can forget the earth of The modulation therefore advances at a rate de-on the phase of the envelope $(\hbar_{wx} - \omega_{wt})$, and

$$v_g = \frac{\omega_m}{k_m}$$

or

 $v_g = \frac{\omega_1 - \omega_2}{k_1 - k_2} = \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta k}$

Realize, however, that ω may be dependent on λ or equivalently on k. The particular function $\omega = effic$ called a dispersion relation. When the frequency $<math>\Delta \omega$, centered about ω , is small, $\Delta \omega / \Delta k$ is approximately equal to the derivative of the dispersion relation.

$$v_k = \frac{a\omega}{dk}$$

The modulation or signal propagates at a speed $v_{\rm c}$ the begreater than, equal to, or less than $v_{\rm c}$ the phase with the carrier. Equation (7.37) is quite general and the true, as well, for any group of overlapping the long as their frequency range is narrow. Since $\omega = kv$, Eq. (7.37) yields

$$v_g = v + k \frac{dv}{dk}$$
.

7.6 Group Velocity 253



interpretendent of λ , dv/dk = 0 and $v_{g} = v$. Specifically, $v_{1} = v_{2} = k_{x}$, v = c, and $v_{g} = c$. In dispersive media $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$, as in Fig. 7.10) in which n(k) is known, $\omega = w(n, \text{ and } k_{2})$ useful to reformulate v_{g} as

$$v_x = \frac{c}{n} - \frac{kc}{n^2} \frac{dn}{dk},$$
$$v_x = v \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \frac{dn}{dk} \right).$$

dia, in regions of normal dispersion, the

(7.39)

Figure 7.10 Group and phase velocities

refractive index increases with frequency (dn/dk > 0), and as a result $v_g < v$. Clearly, one should also define a group index of refraction

$$n_g = c/v_g$$
, (7.40)

which must be carefully distinguished from n. In 1885 A. A. Michelson measured n_g in carbon disulfide using pulses of white light and obtained 1.758 in comparison to n = 1.635.

The special theory of relativity makes it quite clear that there are no circumstances under which a signal can propagate at a speed greater than c. Yet we have

already seen that under certain circumstances (Section 3.5.1) the phase velocity can exceed c. The contradiction is only an apparent one, arising from the fact that although a monochromatic wave can indeed have a speed in excess of c, it cannot convey information. In contrast, a signal in the form of any modulated wave will propagate at the group velocity, which is always less than c in normally dispersive media.*

7.7 ANHARMONIC PERIODIC WAVES - FOURIER ANALYSIS

Figure 7.11 depicts a disturbance that arises from the superposition of two harmonic functions having different amplitudes and wavelengths. Notice that something rather curious has taken place-the com-posite disturbance is **anharmonic**; in other words, it is poste disturbance is **annarmonic**; in other words, it is not sinusoidal. As we have already said, and will cer-tainly say again. *purely sinusoidal* waves have no actual physical existence. This fact emphasizes the practical significance of anharmonic disturbances and is the moti-vation for our present concern with them. Figure 7.11 suggests that by using a number of sinusoidal functions whose amplitudes, wavelengths, and relative phases whose ampinuous, water, and team phases and team phases have been judiciously selected, it would be possible to synthesize some rather interesting wave profiles. An exceptionally beautiful mathematical technique for doing precisely this was devised by the French physicist loans preclass man was do use of the result of the problem of the second secon harmonic functions whose wavelengths are integral submulti-ples of λ (that is, λ , $\lambda/2$, $\lambda/3$, etc.). This Fourier-series representation has the mathematical form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = C_0 + C_1 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_1\right) \\ + C_2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda^{1/2}}\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon_2\right) + \cdots, \qquad (7.41)$$

* In regions of anomalous dispersion (Section 3.6.1) where da/dk < 0, v_{μ} may be greater than c. Here, however, the signal propagates at yet a different speed, known as the signal velocity, v_{ν} . Thus $v_{\mu} = v_{\mu}$ except in a resonance absorption hand. In all cases v_{ν} corresponds to the velocity of energy transfer and never exceeds c.



Figure 7.11 The superposition of two immu

where the C-values are constants, and of coung the profile (x) may correspond to a traveling wave To get some sense of how this scheme works that although C_0 by itself is obviously a provision of for the original function, it will be appropriate a the few points where it crosses the f(x) curve. In the same way, adding on the next term improves thing a ble proce the function. since the function

$[C_0 + C_1 \cos\left(2\pi x/\lambda - \varepsilon_1\right)]$

will be chosen so as to cross the f(x) curve ex-frequently. If the synthesized function [the ri side of Eq. (7.41)] comprises an infinite nu terms, selected to intersect the anharmonic fu an infinite number of points, the series will prebe identical to f(x).

It is usually more convenient to reformulate by making use of the trigonometric identity

 $C_m \cos(mkx + \varepsilon_m) = A_m \cos mkx + B_m \sin \omega$ where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, λ being the wavelength if $f(x) = C_m \cos \varepsilon_m$, and $B_m = -C_m \sin \varepsilon_m$. Thus

$$f(x) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \cos mkx + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m \sin mkx + C_{m-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m \cos$$

The first term is written as $A_0/2$ because

simplification it will lead to later on. The process satisfies simplification is will lead to later on. The process of determining the coefficients A_0 , A_m , and B_m for a specific periodic function f(x) is referred to as **Fourier analysis**. Well spend a moment now deriving a set of quations for these coefficients that can be used hence-ther. To that encl, integrate both sides of Eq. (7.42) wer any spatial interval equal to λ , for example, from to λ or form $-\lambda^2$ to $+\lambda/2$ or, more generally, from λ to $x' + \lambda$. Since over any such interval

$$\int_0^{x} \sin m kx \, dx = \int_0^{x} \cos m kx \, dx = 0,$$

e is only one nonzero term to be evaluated, namely, $\int_{0}^{x} f(x) \, dx = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{A_0}{2} \, dx = A_0 \frac{\lambda}{2}.$

$$A_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

To find A_m and B_m we will make use of the probability dissa (Prublem 7.24), that is, the fact that 10

$\int_0^\infty \sin a k x \cos b k x dx = 0$	(7.44)
$\int_0^\lambda \cos akx \cos bkx dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_{ab}$	(7.45)
$\int_0^\lambda \sin akx \sin bkx dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_{ab},$	(7.46)

(7.43)

and

and b are nonzero positive integers and δ_{ab} , in as the Kronecker delta, is a shorthand notation in zero when $a \neq b$ and equal to 1 when a = b. if A_{ab} we now multiply both sides of Eq. (7.42) hyperbalance integrates and then integrates is the second state of the second state of the second state, the second state of the second state of the second state. The second state of the se

$$J(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{x} + \mathrm{sk} \mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = \int_0^1 A_m \cos^2 m \mathbf{k} \mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = \frac{\lambda}{2} A_m,$$

$$A_m = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\Lambda f(\mathbf{x}) \cos m \mathbf{k} \mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}. \tag{7.47}$$

7.7 Anharmonic Periodic Waves-Fourier Analysis 255

This expression can be used to evaluate Am for all values of m, including m = 0, as is evident from a comparison of Eqs. (7.43) and (7.47). Similarly, multiplying Eq. (7.42) by sin lkx and integrating, leads to

$$B_m = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} f(x) \sin mkx \, dx. \tag{7.48}$$

In summary, a periodic function f(x) can be represented as a Fourier series

$$f(x) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \cos mkx + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m \sin mkx,$$
[7.42]

where, knowing f(x), the coefficients are computed using

$$A_m = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda f(x) \cos m hx \, dx \qquad (7.47)$$

$$B_{nt} = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} f(x) \sin mkx \, dx. \qquad (7.48)$$

Be aware that there are some mathematical subtleties related to the convergence of the series and the number of singularities in $f(\mathbf{x})$, but we need not be concerned

of singularities in $f(\mathbf{x})$, but we need not be concerned with these matters here. There are certain symmetry conditions that are well worth recognizing, because they lead to some computa-tional short cuts. Thus if a function $f(\mathbf{x})$ is *even*, that is, if $f(-\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$, or equivalently, if it is symmetric about $\mathbf{x} = 0$, its Fourier series will contain only cosine terms $[0_m = 0$ for all m) that are themselves even functions. Likewise odd functions that are antisymmetric about $\mathbf{x} = 0$, that is, $f(-\mathbf{x}) = -f(\mathbf{x})$, will have series expansions containing only sine functions $(A_m = 0$ for all m). In either case, one need not bother to calculate both sets of coefficients. This is particularly helpful when the bocation of the origin $(\mathbf{x} = 0)$ is arbitrary, and we can choose it so as to make life as simple as possible. None-theless, keep in mind that many common functions are neither odd nor even (e.g., eⁿ). As an example of the technique, let's compute the

As an example of the technique, let's compute the Fourier series that corresponds to a square wave. We select the location of the origin as shown in Fig. 7.12,



Figure 7.12 A periodic square wave

and so

 $f(\mathbf{x}) \approx \begin{cases} +1 & \text{when } 0 < x < \lambda/2 \\ -1 & \text{when } \lambda/2 < x < \lambda_* \end{cases}$ Since f(x) is odd, $A_m = 0$, and

 $B_m = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda/2} (+1) \sin mkx \, dx + \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{\lambda/2}^{\lambda} (-1) \sin mkx \, dx,$ thus

 $B_m = \frac{1}{m\pi} \left[-\cos mkx \right]_0^{\lambda/2} + \frac{1}{m\pi} \left[\cos mkx \right]_{\lambda/2}^{\lambda}.$

Remembering that $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, we obtain $B_m = \frac{2}{m\pi} (1 - \cos m\pi).$

The Fourier coefficients are therefore

 $B_1 = \frac{4}{\pi}, \quad B_2 = 0, \quad B_3 = \frac{4}{3\pi},$

$$B_4 = 0$$
, $B_5 = \frac{4}{5\pi}$, since $\frac{4}{5\pi}$

and the required series is simply

 $f(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} (\sin kx + \frac{1}{3} \sin 3kx + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5kx + \cdots).$ (7.49)

Figure 7.13 is a plot of a few partial sums of the series as the number of terms increases. We could pass over to the time domain to find f(t) by just changing kx to kJ. Suppose that we have three ordinary electronic oscil-









Figure 7.13 Synthesis of a periodic square wave.



re 7.13(d

where output voltages vary sinusoidally and are method in both frequency and amplitude. If these counter cid in series with their frequencies set at Say and Sag and the total signal is examined on an alloscore, we can synthesize any of these curves, as Say (Similarly, we might simultaneously strike on an appropriately tuned piano with just force on action cereta e chock or composite or appropriate action of the propriate of the propriate average action of the propriate average of the proprese of the pr ang All Offcourier analysis of a simple composite wave exponit constituents—presumably here are wate could even name each note in the chord, at we gostponed any detailed consideration of monie periodic functions, such as those in Fig. and Taktricted our analysis to purely situssidal actions have a cogent rationale for having done events on we can envision this kind of distur-upperposition of harmonic constituents of rent frequencies whose individual behavior can be ied separately. Accordingly, we can write

 $f(x + vt) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \cos mk (x = vt)$

 $+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m \sin mk(x \pm vt) \qquad (7.50)$

7.7 Anharmonic Periodic Waves—Fourier Analysis 257

or equivalently

$$f(\mathbf{x} \pm vt) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m \cos \left[mk(\mathbf{x} \pm vt) + \varepsilon_m\right] \quad (7.5)$$

for any such anharmonic periodic wave. As a last example let's now analyze the square wave of Fig. 7.14 into its Fourier components. We notice that of T_{05} . Alter this is a birter temporation with the transition is a very with the birter temporate as shown, the function is a very and all the B_m terms are zero. The appropriate Fourier coefficients (Problem 7.25) are then

$$A_0 = \frac{4}{a}$$
 and $A_m = \frac{4}{a} \left(\frac{\sin m 2\pi/a}{m 2\pi/a} \right)$. (7.52)

Unlike the previous function, this one has a nonzero value of A_0 . You might have already noticed that $A_u/2$ is actually the mean value of f(c), and since the curve lies completely above the axis, it will clearly not be zero. The expression (sin u)/u arises so frequently in optics that it is given the special name sinc u, and its values are listed in Table 1 (p. 624). Since the limit of sinc u as u goes to zero is 1, A_m can represent all the coefficients, if we let $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The form we are using is rather general, inasmuch as the width of the square peak, $2(\lambda/a)$, can be any fraction of the total wavelength. depending on a. The Fourier series is then



Figure 7.14 A periodic anharmonic function

If we were synthesizing the corresponding function of time, f(t), having a square peak of width 2(r/a), the same expression (7.53) would apply where kx was simply replaced by at. Here ω is the angular temporal frequency of the periodic function f(t) and is known as the fundamental. It is the lowest frequency of the cosine term and arises when m = 1. Frequencics of 2ω , 3ω , 4ω , ..., are known as harmonic of the fundamental and are associated, of course, with m = 2, $3, 4, \ldots$. In much the same way, since A is the spatial period, $\kappa = 1/A$ is the spatial frequency. Once again one speaks of the harmonics, of frequency 2k, 3k, 4k, ..., where these are spatial abternations. Evidently, the dimensions of κ are cyclea per unit length (e.g., cyclea per mm or possibly just cm⁻¹), and those of k are radians per unit length. Before we press on it's important to clarify a few

Before we press on its important to tainly a terpoints to as to avoid a common confusion concerning the use of the terms spatial frequency and spatial period (or wavelength). Figure 7.14 shows a one-dimensional periodic square-wave function spread out in space along the **x**-xxis. This might be a pattern seen on the face of an oscilloscope or the profile of a rather extraordinary disturbance moving along a taut rope. In either case, it repeats itself in space over a distance known as the wavelength and one over that is the spatial frequency. Now suppose instead that the pattern corresponds to an irradiance distribution, a series of bright and dark stripes, for instance, the kind of thing you might see looking through a narrow horizontal slit against a picket fence or, even better, while scanning on a line across a group of alternately clear and opaque bands (Fig. 14.2) illuminated by monochromatic light. Again the pattern will have some spatial period and frequency (4 terminde by the rate at which it repeats in space, but this time the light itself will also have a spatial frequency (and period (λ), as well as a temporal frequency and period, quite apart from the other. The pattern might have a wavelength (λ) of 20 cm, and the light generating it a wavelength (λ) of 20 cm. And the light generating it a wavelength (λ) of 20 cm. The pattern the symbols k and λ for the lightwave itself and use k and λ to describe spatial optical batterns.

Now return to the square function of Fig. 7.14 and suppose that we set a = 4, or in other words, we cause

the square peak to have a width of $\lambda/2$. In the integral $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} (\cos k\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\cos 3k\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\cos 5k\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2})$

As a matter of fact, if the graph of the function such that a horizontal line could divide it into shaped segments, above and below that line, they series will consist of only odd harmonics. We replot the curve representing the partial sum of the through m = 9, it would closely resemble the wave. In contrast, if the width of the peak is seen the number of terms in the series needed to protect

the same general resemblance to $f(\mathbf{x})$ will be increase. This can be appreciated by examining the ratio

$\frac{A_m}{A_1} = \frac{\sin m 2\pi/a}{m \sin 2\pi/a}$

Observe that for a = 4, the ninth term file is fairly small, $A_0 \approx 10\% A_1$. In comparison the 100 times narrower (that is, $a = 400, A_2$. Similarly, whereas it takes terms through m =cate the curve of Fig. 7.13(b) when a = 4, itself that up to m = 8 to produce roughly the equivalent poid when a = 8. Making the peak narrower has the eff of introducing higher-order harmonics, which it turn have smaller wavelengths. We might guess, then of prime importance but rather the relative disof prime importance but rather the relative disof prime importance but rather the relative dissponding wavelengths available." If there details in the profile, the series must contain comtively short-wavelength (or in the time domainatively short-wavelength to up in Eq. (7.53) atto-(7.15) should simply be thought of as the amplithese harmonic contributions that are to be able to be mothers with their haves shift for W 180".

The negative values of A_m in Eq. (7.5) As (7.15) should simply be though of as the amplithous harmonic contributions that are to be all the synthesis with their phases shifted by 180° pared with the positive terms. The equivalence a negative amplitude and a π -rad phase shift from the fact that $A_m \cos(\mathbf{kx} + \pi) = -A_m \cos^2 \mathbf{k}$

* Evidently one is not going to be able to build a castle of the blocks are a good deal smaller than the castle.

7.8 Nonperiodic Waves—Fourier Integrals

NONFERIODIC WAVES - FOURIER INTEGRALS

THE 7.14 and imagine that we keep the width mare peak constant while A is made to increase much As A approaches infinity, the resulting mult and longer appear periodic. We then have gauge square pulse, the adjacent peaks having and off to infinity. This suggests a possible way of realizing the method of Fourier series to include and a functions. As we shall see, these are of conclusions and the shall see these are of conclusions and the shall see these are of conclusions that the shall see these are of conclusions and the shall see these are of conclusions and the shall see these are of conclusions and the shall see these are of the shall see these the

=4 and choose some value of λ ; anything will do, the maximum control of $\frac{1}{2}$ cm, that red at x = 0, as illustrated in Fig. 7.15(a). portance of each particular frequency, mk, can preciated by examining the value of the corre-ling Fourier coefficient, in this case A_m . The ay he thought of as weighting factors that phasize the various harmonics. Figure rempassize the various harmonics. Figure ns a plot of a number of values of A_m 1, 2, ...) versus *mk* for the foregoing -such a curve is known as the *spatial* pere ma = 0, 1, 2,of mk, which may be nonzero only at values of $1, 2, \dots$ If the quantity a is now made equal to A is increased to 2 cm, the peak width will be y unaffected. The only alteration is a doubling the spatial frequency spectrum is evident in the spatial frequency spectrum is evident in). Note that the density of components along is has increased markedly. Nonetheless, The markedly. Nonetheiess, and the markedly. Nonetheiess, and a state of the markedly. Nonetheiess, and the markedly large the with λ , is getting smaller and smaller, ng higher frequencies to synthesize it. the envelope of the curve, which was barely Fig. 7.15(a), is quite evident in Fig. 7.15(c), envelope is identical in each case, except



259

Figure 7.15 The square pulse as a limiting case. The negative coefficients correspond to a phase shift of π radians.

for a scale factor. It is determined only by the shape of the original signal and will be quite different for other configurations. We can conclude that as λ increases and

the function takes on the appearance of a single square pulse, the space between each of the A(mk) contribu-tions in the spectrum will decrease. The discrete spectral lines, while decreasing in amplitude, will gradually merge, becoming individually unresolvable. In other words, in the limit as A approaches ∞ , the spectral lines will become infinitely close to each other. As k becomes extremely small, *m* must consequently become exceed-ingly large, if *mk* is to be at all appreciable. Changing ingy large, if mk is to be at all appreciable. Changing notation, we replace mk, the angular frequency of the harmonics, by k_{w} . Although it comprises discrete terms, in the limit K_{w} will be transformed into k (i.e., a con-tinuous frequency distribution). The function $A(k_{w})$ in the limit will become the envelope shown in Fig. 7.15. It is obviously no longer meaningful to talk about the fundamental frequency and its harmonics. The pulse being synthesized, f(x), has no apparent fundamental frequency. frequency.

Recall that an integral is actually the limit of a sum Recall that an integral is actually the limit of a sum as the number of elements goes to infinity and their size approaches zero. Thus it should not be surprising that the *Fourier striss* must be replaced by the so-called *Fourier integral* as A goes to infinity. That integral, which we state here without proof, is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} A(k) \cos kx \, dk + \int_{0}^{\infty} B(k) \sin kx \, dk \right]$$
provided that
$$A(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cos kx \, dx$$
and
$$B(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \sin kx \, dx. \qquad (7.57)$$
The similarity with the series representation should be

obvious. The quantities A(k) and B(k) are interpreted So that the quantities A(k) and B(k) are interpreted as the amplitudes of the sine and cosine contributions in the range of angular spatial frequency between k and k + dk. They are generally spoken of as the Fourier cosine and the sine transforms, respectively. In the foregoing example of a square pulse, it is the cosine transform A(k) they A(k). transform. A(k), that will be found to correspond to the envelope in Fig. 7.15.

Figure 7.16 A symmetrical frequency spectrum for d in Figure 7.15(a). Note that the zeroth term is actually is indeed the amplitude of the m = 0 contribution to the

A careful examination of Fig. 7.15 and Eq.(2) A careful examination of Fig. 7.15 and p_{car} reveals that except for the zero-frequency (a amplitudes of the contributions to the synthetic (4/a) sinc $\pi 2\pi/a$: the envelope of the curveling function. Remember that the first term in A_{ca} , not A_{ca} , which suggests another way to repet the frequency spectrum. Inasmuch as costen $\cos(-mk_{ca})$, we can divide the amplitude of exis-bution beyond m = 0 in half and plot it vice and a positive value of k and again with a negative or 2161. This mathematical correliance movides 7.16). This mathematical contrivance provide symmetrical curve, but it's introduced here is is common practice to represent frequency of that fashion. As we will see in Chapter 11, powerful Fourier transform methods involve powerful Fourier transform methods involve a representation that automatically gives rise to 1 metrical distribution of positive and negative ra-frequency terms. Certain optical phenometral and a soccur symmetrically in spaces marvelous relationship can be constructed was spatial frequency spectrum, provided that the passes positive and negative frequencies. The frequency is a useful mathematical device, and redeeming erace. Still al babarial processes redeeming grace. Still, all physical processe expressed exclusively in terms of positive fre and we shall continue to do just that throug remainder of this chapter.



ets now determine the Fourier-integral representa-mine stuare pulse in Fig. 7.17, which is described the functor

```
f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} E_0 & \text{when } |\mathbf{x}| < L/2\\ 0 & \text{when } |\mathbf{x}| > L/2. \end{cases}
```

where $f(\mathbf{x})$ is an even function, the sine transform, B(k). $A(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cos kx \, dx = \int_{-L/2}^{+L/2} E_0 \cos kx \, dx.$

 $A(k) = \frac{E_0}{k} \sin k \alpha \bigg|_{-L/2}^{+L/2} = \frac{2E_0}{k} \sin k L/2.$ ing numerator and denominator by L and regimes, we have

 $A(k) = E_0 L \frac{\sin kL/2}{kL/2}$



nd its transfe

7.9 Pulses and Wave Packets 261

or equivalently

$A(k) = E_0 L \operatorname{sinc} (kL/2).$ (7.58)

The Fourier transform of the square pulse is plotted in Fig. 7.17(b) and should be compared with the envelope in Fig. 7.17(b) and should be compared with the envelope in Fig. 7.15. Realize that as L increases, the spacing between successive zeroes of A(k) decreases and vice versa. Moreover, when k = 0, it follows from Eq. (7.58) that $A(0) = E_0 L$.

It is a simple matter to write out the integral representation of f(x) using Eq. (7.56):

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty E_0 L \operatorname{sinc} \left(kL/2 \right) \cos k\mathbf{x} \, dk.$ (7.59)

An evaluation of this integral is left for Problem 7.26. Earlier, when we talked about monochromatic waves, we pointed out that they were in fact fictilious, at least physically. There will always have been some point in time when the generator, however perfect, was turned on, Figure 7.18 depicts a somewhat idealized harmonic pulse corresponding to the function

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} E_0 \cos k_p \mathbf{x} & \text{when } -L = \mathbf{x} = L \\ 0 & \text{when } |\mathbf{x}| > L \end{cases}$$

We chose to work in the space domain but could cer-tainly have envisioned the disturbance as a function of time. We are effectively examining the spatial profile tume. We are effectively examining the spatial profile of the wave E(x - v) at t = 0 rather than the temporal profile at x = 0. The spatial frequency k_p is that of the harmonic region of the pulse itself. Proceeding with the analysis, we note that E(x) is an even function, con-sequently $B(k) \equiv 0$ and

 $A(k) - \int_{-L}^{+L} E_0 \cos k_p x \cos kx \, dx.$

This is identical to

 $A(k) = \int_{-L}^{+L} E_0 \frac{1}{2} [\cos{(k_p - k)x} + \cos{(k_p - k)x}] dx,$ which integrates to

 $A(k) = E_0 L \left[\frac{\sin{(k_p + k)L}}{(k_p + k)L} + \frac{\sin{(k_p - k)L}}{(k_p - k)L} \right]$

7.10 Optical Bandwidths 263

of Section 11.2), we could have let Δk be the width of $A^{2}(k)$ at a point where the curve had dropped to $\frac{1}{2}$ or possibly 1/e of its maximum value. In any event, it will suffice for the time being to observe that

$\Delta \nu \sim 1/\Delta t$ (7.63) that is, the frequency bandwidth is the same order of magnitude as the reciprocal of the temporal extent of the pulse (Problem 7.28). If the wave packet has a narrow bandwidth, it will extend over a large region of space and time. Accordingly, a radio tuned to receive a bandwidth of $\Delta \nu$ will be capable of detecting pulses

a constitution of a value of the second relation of the second se principle.

7.10 OPTICAL BANDWIDTHS

Suppose that we examine the light emitted by what is loosely termed a monochromatic source, for example, a sodium discharge lamp. When the beam is passed through some sort of spectrum analyzer we will be able to observe all its various frequency components. Typi-cally we will find that there are a number of fairly narrow frequency ranges that contain most of the energy and that these are separated by much larger regions of darkness. Each such brightly colored band is known as a spectral line. There are devices in which the light enters by way of a slit, and each line is actually a colored image of that slit. Other analyzers represent the forgument distribution on the screene of an occilie through some sort of spectrum analyzer we will be able a concerning of that sinc votice analyzers represent the frequency distribution on the screen of an oscillo-scope. In any event, the individual spectral lines are never infinitely sharp. They always consist of a band of frequencies, however small (Fig. 7.19).

trequences, nowever small (rig. 7.19). The electron transitions responsible for the gener-ation of light have a duration on the order of 10^{-9} s to 10^{-9} s. Because the emitted wavetrains are finite, there will be a spread in the frequencies present, known as the natural linewidth (see Section 11.3.4). Moreover, since the atoms are in random thermal motion, the frequency spectrum will be altered by the Doppler effect. In addition, the atoms suffer collisions that inter-



Figure 7.18 A finite cosine wavetrain and is trans

has the transform

 $A(\omega) = E_0 T \operatorname{sinc} (\omega_p - \omega) T,$

 $\omega a_{1} = k$ are related by the phase velocity. The

The wave k are related by the phase velocity. The prest voltrum except for the notational change may voltrum and L to T, is identical to that of Fig. 3(0). For the particular wave packet being studied mage of angular frequencies ($\omega \text{ or } k$), that the storm comprises is certainly not finite. Yet if we re to space of the width of the transform ($\Delta \omega \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7.18(c) suggests that we use $\Delta k = 2\pi/L$ or $\Delta \omega =$ 7. In contrast, the spatial or temporal extent of the improvement of the transform ($\Delta \omega \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7. The contrast is the spatial or temporal extent of the improvement of the transform ($\Delta \omega \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7. The contrast is the spatial or temporal extent of the improvement of the transform ($\Delta \omega \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7. The contrast is the spatial or temporal extent of the improvement of the transform ($\Delta \omega \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7. The contrast is the spatial or temporal extent of the temporal extent of the transform ($\Delta k \text{ or } \Delta k$), 7. The contrast is the spatial or temporal extent of the temporal extent of te

The contrast, the spatial of temporal extent of the transmissions at $\Delta x = 2L$ or $\Delta t = 2T$, respective product of the width of the packet in what transled k-space and its width in x-space is $\Delta k \Delta x = c$ conslogously $\Delta \omega \Delta t = 4\pi$. One speaks of the quantum k-cond $\Delta \omega$ as the **frequency bandwidths**. Had we

a differently shaped pulse, the product of the other and the pulse length might certainly have mewhat different. The ambiguity arises because

243,861

The cadmin

+0.00055 nm at /..../2

es rol (i = 645 847 and spectral line from

Retchosen one of the alternative possibilities

 $\Delta \omega$ and Δk . For example, rather than from minima of A(k) (there are transforms and minima, such as the Gaussian function

(7.62)

pulses as wave packets or wave groups. As we me expected, the dominant contribution is associated we have a sevent of the dominant contribution is associated about the transform was centered about the temporal the transform was centered about the temporal the transform was centered about the temporal the transform was centered about the tempory frequency ω_{μ} . Quite clearly, as the waverrain infinitely long (i.e., $L \rightarrow \infty$), its frequency shrinks, and the curve of Fig. 7.18(c) closed isingle tall spike at k_{μ} (or ω_{μ}). This is ob-limiting case of the idealized monochromatic Since we can think of A(k) as the amplitu contributions to E(x) in the range k to k^{-1} must be related to the energy of the wave into must be related to the energy of the wave init (Problem 7.27). We'll come back to this point: 11 when we consider the power spectrum moment, merely observe [Fig. 7.18(c)] that give energy is carried in the spatial frequency ran $k_p = \pi/L$ to $k_p + \pi/L$, extending between the on either side of the central peak. An increase length of the wavetrain causes the energy of to become concentrated in an ever narrowing k about k. must be related to the energy of the wave in k about k.

The wave packet in the time domain, that is $E(t) = \begin{cases} E_0 \cos \omega_p t & \text{when } -T \leq t = T \\ 0 & \text{when } |t| > T \end{cases}$

or, if you like

 $A(k) = E_0 L[\text{sinc} (k_p + k)L + \text{sinc} (k_p - k)L]. \quad (7.60)$

When there are many waves in the train $(\lambda_y \ll L)$, $k_y L \gg 2\pi$. Thus $(k_y + k) L \gg 2\pi$. and therefore sinc $(k_y + k) L$ is down to fairly small values. In contrast, when $k_y = k_z$, the second sinc function in the brackets has a maximum value of 1. In other words, the function given by Eq. (7.60) can be thought of as having a peak at $k = -k_{\rm s}$, as shown in part (b) of the drawing. Since only positive values of k are to be allowed, only the tail of that left-side peak that crosses into the positive k region will con-Final close into the period of the region will ob-tribute. As we have just seen, such contributions will be negligible far from $k = -k_p$, especially when $L > \lambda_p$ and the peaks are both narrow and widely spaced. The positive tail of the left-side peak then falls off rapidly beyond $k = -k_p$. Consequently, we can neglect the first sinc in this particular case and write the transform as

(7.61) $A(k) = E_0 L \operatorname{sinc} (k_p - k) L$

[Fig. 7.18(c)]. Even though the wavetrain is very long, since it is not infinitely long it must be synthesized from a continuous range of spatial frequencies. Thus it can he thought of as the composite of an infinite ensemble of harmonic waves. In that context one speaks of such

7.10 Optical Bandwidths 265

coherence that applies over the region between these extremes as well. White light has a frequency range from 0.4×10^{15} Hz to about 0.7×10^{16} Hz, that is, a bandwidth of about 0.3×10^{15} Hz. The coherence time is then roughly $3 \times$ 10^{-15} s, which corresponds (7.64) to wavelengths long. Accordingly, while light may be envisaged as a random succession of very short pulse. Were we to synthesize white light, we would have to superimpose a broad, continuous range of harmonic constituents in order to produce the very short wave packets. Inversely, we can pass white light through a Fourier analyzer, such as a diffraction grating a prism, and in so doing actually generate those components.

The available bandwidth in the visible spectrum (=300 THz) is so broad that it represents something of a wonderland for the communications engineer. For example, a typical television channel occupies a range of about 4 MHz in the electromagnetic spectrum (Δν is determined by the duration of the pulses needed to control the scanning electron beam). Thus the visible region could carry roughly 75 million television channels. Needless to say, this is an area of active research (see Section 8.11).

(see Section 5.11). Ordinary discharge lamp's have relatively large band-widths leading to coherence lengths only on the order of several millimeters. In contrast, the spectral lines united by lawnessure intone lamp such as the¹⁰⁶ to several manufactors in contrast, the spectral manufactors and the emitted hybor pressure isotope lamps such as Hg^{BB} $(\lambda_{abr} = 546.078 \text{ nm})$ or the international standard Kr⁴⁰ $(\lambda_{abr} = 605.616 \text{ nm})$ have bandwidths of roughly 1000 MHz. The corresponding coherence lengths are of the order of 1 m, and coherence times are about 1 ns. The frequency stability is about one part per million-these sources are certainly quasimonochromatic.

Chapter 7 The Superposition of Waves 264

rupt the wavetrains and again tend to broaden the frequency distribution. The total effect of all these mechanisms is that each spectral line has a bandwidth $\Delta \nu$ rather than one single frequency. The time that satisfies Eq. (7.63) is referred to as the **coherence time** (henceforth to be written Δt_c), and the length Δx_c given

$$\Delta x_c = c \Delta t_c$$

(7.64)

is the coherence length. As will become evident presis the concentre region. As will become evident pres-ently, the coherence length is the extent in space over which the wave is nicely sinusoidal so that its phase can be predicted reliably. The corresponding temporal dur-ation is the coherence time. These concepts are extremely important in considering the interaction of waves, and we will come back to them later in the discussion of interference.

Though the concept of the photon wavetrain is already familiar, we are now in a position, armed with a little Fourier analysis, to deduce something about its configuration. This can be done by essentially working backward from the experimental observation that the frequency distribution of a spectral line from a quasimonochromatic (nonlaser) source can be represen-ted by a bell-shaped Gaussian function (Section 2.1). That is, the irradiance versus frequency is found to be Gaussian. But irradiance is proportional to the electric field amplitude squared, and since the square of a Gaussian function is a Gaussian function, it follows that the net amplitude of the light field is also bell-shaped.

the net amplitude of the light field is also bell-shaped. Now suppose a single photon wavetrain, one of N identical such packets making up the beam, resembles Fig. 7.20(a) in that it is a harmonic function modulated by a Gaussian envelope. Its Fourier transform, $A(\omega)$, is also Gaussian. Imagine that we look at only one and the same harmonic frequency component that goes into making up each photon wavetrain, for example, the one corresponding to ω' . Remember that this com-ponent is an infinitely long, constant-amplitude sinusoid. If every packet is indeed identical, the ampli-tude of the Fourier component associated with ω' will be the same in each. At any point in a stream of photons be the same in each. At any point in a stream of photons these w¹-component monochromatic waves, one from each wavetrain, will have a random relative phase distri-bution that rapidly changes in time with the arrival of

ω' ω Δο Figure 7.20 A cosinusoidal wavetrain modulated envelope along with its transform, which is also Gas

each photon. Thus all such contribution r together (7.21) will correspond on average to monic wave of frequency ω' having an amplitud portional to $N^{A_{2}}$, and this is the ω' part of observed field. The same will be true for eva-frequency constituting the packets. This me there is the same amount of energy present 40-frequency in the net light field of the beam a in the totality of the separate constituent wave Moreover, we know all about this energy-frequent tribution; it's Gaussian, so the transform of the waverain must be Gaussian too. In other wave wavetrain must be Gaussian too. In other wavetrain must be Gaussian too. In other wave observed spectral line corresponds to the power trum of the beam, but it also corresponds to 'di spectrum of an individual photon packet. If diance is Gaussian, the photon wavetrain a Gaus As a result of the randomness of the wavetrain bedged to the photon wavetrain and the spectral spectral spectral bedged to the photon wavetrain and the spectral spectral spectral spectral bedged to the spectral spectral spectral spectral spectral spectral bedged to the spectral sp

ets a result of the randomness of the waveline individual harmonic components of the resultan will not have the same relative phases as they each packet. Thus the profile of the resultant will from that of the separate wave packets, even

amplitude of each frequency component present in reason is simply $N^{5/2}$ times its amplitude in any packet. The observed spectral line corresponds to long metrum of the resultant beam to be a able corresponds to the power spectrum of an inhibit of the resultant beam, to be surce, and a spectrum of an inhibit of the stremendous ber of arbitrarily overhapping wave groups, so that any of the resultant will rarely, if ever, he zero, source is quasimonochromatic (i.e., if the bend is well event spectrum of the resultant beam, to be sure, The of the result of the rate, if even, the first summaries (i.e., if the band-sult compared with the mean frequency $\vec{\nu}$), envision the resultant as being "almost"

in the frequency and the second secon To 7.21. We might imagine the frequency and the to be randomly varying, the former over a decentered at \vec{s} . Accordingly, the **frequency** refined as $\Delta r/\vec{s}$ is a useful measure of spectral free a coherence time as short as 10⁻⁹ s corre-to roughly a few million wavelengths of the illating carrier $(\bar{\nu})$, so that any amplitude or variations will occur quite slowly in commany carrier (v), so that any amplitude of variations will occur quite slowly in com-quivalently we can introduce a time-varying or such that the disturbance can be written as there these paration between (7.65)

sparation between wave crests changes in time. Sign duration of a wave packet is Δl_c , so two the wave in Fig. 7.21 separated by more than on different contributing wavetrains. These d thus be completely uncorrelated in phase. ords, if we determined the electric field of site wave as it passed by an idealized detector, predict is phase fairly accurately for times set than Δt_c later, but not at all for times greater in Chapter 12 we will consider the degree of

Figure 7.21 A quasimonochromatic

The most spectacular of all present-day sources is the laser. Under optimum conditions, with temperature variations and vibrations meticulously suppressed, a variations and vibrations meticilously suppressed, a laser was actually operated at quite close to its theoretical limit of frequency constancy. A short-term frequency stability of about 8 parts per 10¹⁴ was attained⁴ with a He-Ne continuous gas laser at $\lambda_0 = 1153$ m. That corresponds to a remarkably narrow bandwidth of about responds to a remarkably narrow bandwidth of about 20 Hz. More common and not very difficult to obtain are frequency stabilities of several parts per 10⁹. There are commercially available CO₂ lasers that provide a short-term (-10^{-1} s) $\Delta \nu/\bar{\nu}$ ratio of 10^{-9} and a long-term (-10^{9} s) value of 10^{-9} .

PROBLEMS

7.1 Determine the resultant of the superposition of the parallel waves $E_1 + E_{01} \sin(\omega t + \epsilon_1)$ and $E_2 = E_{02} \sin(\omega t + \epsilon_2)$ when $\omega = 120\pi$, $E_{01} = 6$. $E_{02} = 8$, $\epsilon_1 = 0$, and $\epsilon_2 = \pi/2$. Plot each function and the resultant.

7.2* Considering Section 7.1, suppose we began the analysis to find $E = E_1 + E_2$ with two cosine functions $E_1 = E_{c1} \cos(\omega t + \alpha_1)$ and $E_2 = E_{c2} \cos(\omega t + \alpha_2)$. To make things a little less complicated, let $E_{c1} = E_{c2}$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$. Add the two waves algebraically and make use of the familiar trigonometric identity $\cos \theta + \cos \Phi = 2\cos \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \Phi) \cos \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \Phi)$ in order to show that $E = E_0 \cos(\omega t + \alpha)$, where $E_0 = 2E_{c1} \cos \alpha/2$ and $\alpha = \alpha_3/2$. Now show that these same results follow from Eqs. (7.9) and (7.10). and (7.10).

7.3^{*} Show that when the two waves of Eq. (7.5) are in phase, the resulting amplitude squared is a maximum equal to $(E_{01} + E_{02})^2$, and when they are out of phase it is a minimum equal to $(E_{01} - E_{02})^2$.

7.4* Show that the optical path, defined as the sum of the products of the various indices times the thicknesses of media traversed by a beam, that is, $\Sigma_i n_i x_i$, is equivalent

† T. S. Jaseja, A. Javan, and C. H. Townes, "Frequency Stability of Helium-Neon Lasers and Measurements of Length." Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 165 (1963)

to the length of the path in vacuum that whind the same time for that beam to negotiate.

7.5 Answer the following:

7.5 Answer the following:
a) How many wavelengths of λ₀ = 500 nm light we span a 1-m gap in vacuum?
b) How many waves span the gap when a glass, 5 m thick (n = 1.5) is inserted in the path?
c) Determine the OPD between the two situations.
d) Verify that Λ/λ₀ corresponds to the difference between the solutions to (a) and (b) above.

7.6* Determine the optical path difference **7.6°** Determine the optical path difference between two waves A and B, both having vacuum wavelength 500 nm, depicted in Fig. 7.22; the glass (n = 1.53) is filled with water (n = 1.33). If the waves ware more that the phase and all the above numbers are exact, find they relative phase difference at the finishing line,



7.7* Using Eqs. (7.9), (7.10), and (7.11), show that the resultant of the two waves

 $E_1 = E_{01} \sin \left[\omega t - k(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x})\right]$

 $E_2 = E_{01} \sin \left(\omega t - kx\right)$

and

is

 $E = 2E_{01}\cos\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right)\sin\left[\omega t - k\left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)\right], \quad [7.5]$

7.8 Add the two waves of Problem 7.7 directly to iter Eq. (7.17).

19 Use the complex representation to find the result and $F = E_1 + E_2$, where

 $E_1 = E_0 \cos(4x + \omega t)$ and $E_2 = -E_0 \cos(4x - \omega t)$. perity the composite wave.

7.10 The electric field of a standing electromagnetic is given by

 $E(x, t) = 2E_0 \sin kx \cos \omega t.$ [7.30] expression for B(x, t). (You might want to

er look at Section 3.2.) Make a sketch of the wave.

maidering Wiener's experiment (Fig. 7.8) in matic light of wavelength 550 nm, if the film ngled at 1.0° to the reflecting surface, deter-number of bright bands per centimeter that or on it.

1.12* Bicrowaves of frequency 10¹⁰ Hz are beamed another at a metal reflector. Neglecting the refractive different air. determine the spacing between successive and in the resulting standing wave pattern.

7.13" A wanding wave is given by

 $E = 100 \sin \frac{2}{3}\pi x \cos 5\pi t.$ e two waves that can be superimposed to gen-

7.1.4° with a agine that we strike two tuning forks, one quency of 340 Hz, the other 342 Hz. What

7.23 shows a carrier of frequency ω_c being ulated by a sine wave of frequency ω_{un}

$E = E_0(1 + \alpha \cos \omega_m t) \cos \omega_c t.$

is equivalent to the superposition of three encies $\omega_{i}, \omega_{c} + \omega_{m}$, and $\omega_{c} - \omega_{m}$. When annoulating frequencies are present, we the L as a Fourier series and sum over all values of the terms ω_{i}, ω_{i} $\omega_c + \omega_m$ constitute what is called the 267

upper sideband, and all the $\omega_c - \omega_m$ terms form the lower ideband. What bandwidth would you need in order to transmit the complete audible range?

7.16 Given the dispersion relation $\omega = ak^2$, compute both the phase and group velocities.

7.17 The speed of propagation of a surface wave in a liquid of depth much greater than λ is given by

 $v = \sqrt{\frac{g\lambda}{2\pi} + \frac{2\pi\Upsilon}{\rho\lambda}},$

where

g = acceleration of gravity

- $\lambda = wavelength$
- $\rho = \text{density}$ Y = surface tension.

Compute the group velocity of a pulse in the long wavelength limit (these are called gravity waves). 7.18* Show that the group velocity can be written as

 $v_g = v - \lambda \frac{dv}{d\lambda}$

7.19 Show that the group velocity can be written as

 $v_{g} = \frac{1}{n + \omega(dn/d\omega)}$

7.20* Determine the group velocity of waves when the phase velocity varies inversely with wavelength.



Problems

7.21* Show that the group velocity can be written as $c = \lambda c dn$

$$v_{g} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{d\lambda}$$

7.22 Using the dispersion equation,

 $n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{Nq_s^2}{\epsilon_0 \pi_e} \sum_j \left(\frac{f_j}{\omega_{0j}^2 - \omega^2} \right), \qquad [3.70]$ show that the group velocity is given by

$$v_g = \frac{c}{1 + N a_s^2 / \epsilon_0 m \omega^2 2}$$

for high-frequency electromagnetic waves (e.g., x-rays). Keep in mind that since f_j are the weighting factors, $\Sigma_j f_j = 1$. What is the phase velocity? Show that $vv_g \approx c^2$.

7.23° Analytically determine the resultant when the two functions $E_1 = 2E_0 \cos \omega t$ and $E_2 = \frac{1}{2}E_0 \sin 2\omega t$ are superimposed. Draw E_1 , E_2 , and $E = E_1 - E_2$. Is the resultant periodic; if so, what is its period in terms of ω^2 ?

7.24 Show that

$\int_0^\lambda \sin akx \cos bkx dx = 0$	[7.44,
$\int_0^\lambda \cos akx \cos bkx dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_{ab}$	[7.45]
$\int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \sin akx \sin bkx dx = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_{ab},$	(7.46)

where $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$, and *a* and *b* are positive integers. **7.25** Compute the Fourier series components for the periodic function shown in Fig. 7.14.

7.26 Change the upper limit of Eq. (7.59) from ∞ to *a* and evaluate the integral. Leave the answer in terms of the so-called *sine integral*:

$$Si(z) = \int_{0}^{z} sinc w dw,$$

which is a function whose values are commonly tabulated. 7.27 Write an expression for the transform Alor the harmonic pulse of Fig. 7.24. Check that rises 50% or greater for values of a roughly less from σ With that in mind, show that $\Delta \nu \Delta r - 1$, where Δr the bandwidth of the transform at half its maxim irradiance as well. The purpose here is to get so sense of the kind of approximations used in the r cussion.



7.28 Derive an expression for the coherence (in vacuum) of a wavetrain that has a frequency width $\Delta \nu$; express your answer in terms of the high $\Delta \lambda_0$ and the mean wavelength $\bar{\lambda}_0$ of the train.

7.29 Consider a photon in the visible region spectrum emitted during an atomic transition 10^{-8} s. How long is the wave packet? Keeping the results of the previous problem (if you we do estimate the linewidth of the packet ($\lambda_0 = 500$ What can you say about its monochromaticity cated by the frequency stability?

7.30 The first experiment directly measure the bandwidth of a laser (in this case a continuous $Pb_{ass}Sn_{a1}Te$ diode laser) has been successfully out. The laser, operating at $\lambda_a = 10,600$ heterodyned with a CO₂ laser, and bandwidth rows 354 Hz were observed. Compute the origing frequency stability and coherence length lead-timetluride laser.

1 D. Hinkley and C. Freed, Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 277 (1905)

31 A magnetic field technique for stabilizing a Hece gave to 2 parts in 10¹⁰ has been patented. At int a one what would be the coherence length of a laser with such a frequency stability?

The second sec

3" Surpose that we have a filter with a pass band a conserved at 600 nm, and we illuminate it with Compute the coherence length of the emerg-

Problems 269

7.34* A filter passes light with a mean wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 500 \text{ nm}$. If the emerging wavetrains are roughly $20\lambda_0 \log_2$, what is the frequency bandwidth of the exiting light?

7.35* Suppose we spread white light out into a fan of wavelengths by means of a diffraction grating and then pass a small select region of that spectrum out through a sitt. Because of the width of the slit, a band of wavelengths 1.2 mm wide centered on 500 nm emerges. Determine the frequency bandwidth and the coherence length of this light.



POLARIZATION

8.1 THE NATURE OF POLARIZED LIGHT

It has already been established that light may be treated as a transverse electromagnetic wave. Thus far we have considered only linearly polarized or plaue-polarized light, that is, light for which the orientation of the electric field is constant, although its magnitude and sign vary in time (Fig. 3-9). The electric field or optical disturbance therefore resides in what is known as the **plaue of vibration**. That fixed plane contains both **E** and k, the electric field vector and the propagation vector in the direction of motion. Imagine now that we have two harmonic, linearly polarized light waves of the same frequency, moving through the same region of space, in the same direction. If their electric field vectors are collinear, the superimposing disturbances will simply combine to form a resultant linearly polarized wave. Its amplitude and phase will be examined in detail, under a diversity of conditions, in the next chapter, when we consider the phenomeono of interference. In contradistinction, if the two lightwaves are such that their respective electric field directions are mutually perpendicular, the resultant wave may or may not be linearly polarized. The exact form that light will take (.e., its state of polarization) and how we can observe it, produce it, change it, and make use of it will be the concern of this chapter. 8.1.1 Linear Polarization

and

We can represent the two orthogonal optical distributions that were considered above in the form $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(z, t) = \mathbf{\hat{l}} E_{ox} \cos{(kz - \omega t)}$ (21)

 $\mathbf{E}_{y}(z, t) = \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_{0y} \cos{(kz - \omega t + \varepsilon)},$

(8.8)

where ε is the relative phase difference between the waves, both of which are traveling in the indexitie Keep in mind from the start that because the phase in the form $(kz - \omega t)$, the addition of a positive equation that the cosine in Eq. (8.2) will not afficient example. Accordingly, E_s has E_s by $\varepsilon > 0$. Of count is a negative quantity, E_s leads E_s by $\varepsilon < 0$. The latter that give percendicular waves:

 $\mathbf{E}(z, t) = \mathbf{E}_{x}(z, t) + \mathbf{E}_{y}(z, t).$ If ε is zero or an integral multiple of $\pm 2\pi$, the way are said to be in **phase**. In that particular case we becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &= (\hat{\mathbf{i}} E_{0x} + \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_{0y}) \cos{(kt - \omega t)}. \end{split}$$
 The resultant wave therefore has a fixed amplified equal to $(\hat{\mathbf{i}} E_{0x} + \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_{0y})$; in other words, it too is line as shown in Fig. 8.1. The waves advance the of observation where the fields are to offer one sees a single resultant E oscillattilted line, cosinusoidally in time [Fig. 6.feld progresses through one complete 6.fed as the wave advances along the z-axis stars wavelength. This process of addition can are equally well in reverse; that is, we can are called a star wave into two orthogonal

the now that ε is an odd integer multiple of $\pm \pi$.

 $\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{\hat{i}} E_{0x} - \mathbf{\hat{j}} E_{0y}) \cos{(\mathbf{k}z - \omega t)}.$ (8.5)

The same is again linearly polarized, but the plane of



8.1 The Nature of Polarized Light 271

vibration has been rotated (and not necessarily by 90°) from that of the previous condition, as indicated in Fig. 8.2.

8.1.2 Circular Polarization

Another case of particular interest arises when both constituent waves have equal amplitudes (i.e., $E_{0x} = E_{0y} = E_{0y}$), and in addition, their relative phase difference $e = -\pi/2 + 2m\pi$, where $\pi = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. In other words, $e = -\pi/2$ or any value increased or decreased from $-\pi/2$ by whole number multiples of 2m. Accordingly

 $\mathbf{E}_{x}(z, t) = \hat{\mathbf{i}} E_{0} \cos(kz - \omega t) \qquad (8.6)$



272 Chapter 8 Polarization



Figure 8.2 Linear light

and

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{z},t) = \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_0 \sin{(kz - \omega t)}.$ The consequent wave is given by

 $\mathbf{E} = E_0[\hat{\mathbf{i}}\cos{(kz - \omega t)} + \hat{\mathbf{j}}\sin{(kz - \omega t)}] \qquad (8.8)$

(Fig. 8.3). Notice that now the scalar amplitude of E, that is, $(E \cdot E)^{1/2} = E_0$, is a constant. But the direction of E is time-varying, and it is not restricted, as before, to a single plane. Figure 8.4 depicts what is happening at some arbitrary point z_0 on the axis. At t = 0, E lies along the reference axis in Fig. 8.4(a), and so

$\mathbf{E}_x = \hat{\mathbf{i}} E_0 \cos k \mathbf{z}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_y = \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_0 \sin k \mathbf{z}_0.$

At a later time, $t = k_{eo}/\omega_{e} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{eo}$. Exploring the x-axis. The resultant electric field vector E is rotating *clockwise* at an angular frequency of ω_{e} as seen by an observer toward whom the wave is moving (i.e.,



amo viv signt-circular light.

(8,7)

looking back at the source). Such a wave is said to be right-circularly polarized (Fig. 8.5), and one see simply refers to it as right-circular light. The E2 makes one complete rotation as the wave-accept through one wavelength. In comparison, if $\varepsilon = 5\pi/2$, $9\pi/2$, and so on $(e.e., <math>\varepsilon = \pi/2 + 2\pi\pi$, where $\pi = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$), then

 $\mathbf{E} = E_0[\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos (kz - \omega t) - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \sin (kz - \omega t)]$ The amplitude is unaffected, but **E** now rotates *cockwise*, and the wave is referred to as left-circu

polarized. A linearly polarized wave can be synthesized two oppositely polarized circular waves of equ tude. In particular, if we add the right-circular Eq. (8.8) to the left-circular wave of Eq. (8.9)

 $\mathbf{E} = 2E_0 \,\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos{(kz - \omega t)},$

8.1 The Nature of Polarized Light 273

the seconstant amplitude vector of $2E_0\hat{i}$ and is the second polarized.

81.3 Lincal Polarization

If the us the mathematical description is concerned, are and circular light may be considered to be takes of elliptically polarized light, or more aligned light. This means that, in general, the interaction field vector E will rotate and change binded as well. In such cases the endpoint of E takes the wave sweeps by. We can see this better by enable within an expression for the curve traversed by the pof E. To that end, recall that

 $E_{\rm x} = E_{\rm 0x} \cos\left(kz - \omega t\right)$

 $E_{\rm y}=E_{\rm 0y}\cos{(kt-\omega t+\epsilon)}. \tag{6.12}$ The fluction of the curve we are looking for should not be a function of either position or time; in other words we should be able to get rid of the $(kt-\omega t)$



(8.11)

values of the electric vector in a right-circular wave. rotation rate is ω and $k_2 = \pi/4$. dependence. Expand the expression for E_{1} into $E_{2}/E_{0y} = \cos (kz - \omega t) \cos \varepsilon - \sin (kz - \omega t) \sin \varepsilon$

 $E_{y}/E_{0y} = \cos(\kappa \epsilon - \omega t) \cos \epsilon - \sin(\kappa z - \omega t) \sin \epsilon$ and combine it with E_s/E_{0x} to yield

$$\frac{E_y}{E_{0y}} - \frac{E_x}{E_{0x}} \cos \varepsilon = -\sin \left(kz - \omega t \right) \sin \varepsilon. \tag{8.13}$$

It follows from Eq. (8.11) that $\sin (kz - \omega t) = [1 - (E_x/E_{0x})^2]^{1/2}$,

so Eq. (8.13) leads to

$$\left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0y}} - \frac{E_v}{E_{0x}}\cos\varepsilon\right)^2 = \left[1 - \left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0y}}\right)^2\right]\sin^2\varepsilon.$$
Finally, on rearranging terms, we have

$$\left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0y}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0x}}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0y}}\right)\left(\frac{E_v}{E_{0y}}\right)\cos\varepsilon = \sin^2\varepsilon.$$
(8.14)

This is the equation of an ellipse making an angle α with the (E_x, E_y) -coordinate system (Fig. 8.6) such that

$$\tan 2\alpha = \frac{2E_{0x}E_{0y}\cos s}{E_{0x}^2 - E_{0y}^2}.$$
 (8.15)



Figure 8.5 Right-circular light.


Figure 8.6 Elliptical light

and similar

Equation (8.14) might be a bit more recognizable if the principal axes of the ellipse were aligned with the coordinate axes, that is, $\alpha = 0$ or equivalently $\varepsilon = \pm \pi/2$, $\pm 3\pi/2, \pm 5\pi/2, \ldots$, in which case we have the familiar form

$$\frac{E_y^2}{E_{0y}^2} + \frac{E_x^2}{E_{0x}^2} = 1.$$
 (8.16)
Furthermore, if $E_{0y} = E_{0x} - E_0$, this can be reduced to

 $E_{y}^{2} + E_{x}^{2} = E_{0}^{2},$ (8.17)

hich, in agreement with our previous results, is a circle If ε is an even multiple of π , Eq. (8.14) results in

$$E_y = \frac{E_{0y}}{E_{0x}} E_x$$

ly for odd multiples of π ,

 $E_{y} = -\frac{E_{0y}}{E_{0y}}E_{x}.$ (8.19)

(8.18)

These are both straight lines having slopes of $\pm E_{0y}/E_{0x}$;

in other words, we have linear light. Figure 8.7 diagrammatically summarizes most of these conclusions. This very important diagram is labeled across the bottom " E_{μ} leads E_{μ} by: 0, $\pi/4$, $\pi/2$, $S=(4, ..., "where these cases the norming very norms of <math>\pi$ to $3\pi/4, \ldots$," where these are the positive values of ε to be used in Eq. (8.2). The same set of curves will occur if "E₁ leads E₂ by: 2π , $7\pi/4$, $3\pi/2$, $5\pi/4$,...," and that happens when ϵ equals -2π , $-7\pi/4$, $-3\pi/2$, $-5\pi/4$, and so forth. Figure 8.7(b) illustrates how E₂ leading $E_{\rm y}$ by $\pi/2$ is equivalent to $E_{\rm y}$ leading $E_{\rm x}$ by $3\pi/2$ (where the sum of these two angles equals 2π). This will be of continuing concern as we go on to shift the n phases of the two orthogonal component make the lightwave.

the lightwave. We are now in a position to refer to a plightwave in terms of its specific state of poly We shall say that linearly polarized or plan light is in a \mathscr{P} -state, and right- or left-cm light is in a \mathcal{R} -or \mathcal{L} -state, respectively. Similarly, i of elliptic polarization corresponds to an a already seen that a \mathcal{P} -state can be repre-superposition of \mathcal{R} - and \mathcal{L} -states, and the for an 8-state. In this case, as shown in its amplitudes of the two circular waves are diffe analytical treatment is left for Problem 8.8.)

8.1.4 Natural Light

An ordinary light source consists of a very l of randomly oriented atomic emitters of randomly oriented atomic emitters, la atom radiates a polarized wavetrain for rou-All emissions having the same frequency with for no longer than 10⁻⁸. New wavetrains are emitted, and the overall polarization changes pletely unpredictable fashion (see Section 8, changes take place at so rapid a rate as to sinde resultant polarization start indirection) single resultant polarization state indiscernity is referred to as **natural light**. It is also known *ized light*, but this is a bit of a misnomer, since the light is composed of a rapidly varying su the different polarization states.

the anterent polarization states. We can mathematically represent natural into the terms of two arbitrary, *incoherent*, orthogon polarized waves of equal amplitude (i.e., was the relative phase difference varies rapid)

domly). Keep in mind that an idealized monochror wave must be depicted as an infinite wavefil disturbance is resolved into two orthogonal disturbance is resolved into two orthoguna-perpendicular to the direction of propaga-turn, must have the same frequency. Is extent, and therefore be mutually cohered constant). In other words, a parfectly monoch-wave is always polarized. In fact, Eqs. (8.1) a



we 8.7 (a) Various polarization configurations. The light would create with $r = \pi/2$ or $3\pi/2$ if $E_{0x} = E_{0x}$, but here for the sake memily E_{0y} was taken to be larger than E_{0x} (o) E_x leads E_x (or E_x large E_x) by $3\pi/2$.

(b

Exact components of a transverse $(E_z = 0)$

Subtrant completion for artificial, light is gen-active completely polarized nor completely active completely polarized nor completely active completely polarized nor completely information of the second second second relative transformation of the second second relative transformation of the second s ay of describing this behavior is to envision it as ral and polarized light.

81.5 liar Momentum and

e have already seen that an electromagnetic wave an object can impart both energy and linear momentum to that body (Section 3.3). Moreover, if the incident plane wave is circularly polarized, we can expect electrons within the material to be set into cir-cular motion in response to the force generated by the rotating E-field. Alternatively, we might picture the field as being composed of two orthogonal 9-states that are 90° out of phase. These simultaneously drive the elec-ron its uso parenediated interdines with a #2 phase for our of phase. These simulations with a $\pi/2$ phase tron in two perpendicular directions with a $\pi/2$ phase difference. The resulting motion is again circular. In effect the torque exerted by the **B**-field averages to zero effect the torque exerted by the B-held averages to Zero over an orbit, and the E-field drives the electron with an angular velocity ω equal to the frequency of the electromagnetic wave. Angular momentum will thus be imparted by the wave to the substance in which the electrons are imbedded and to which they are bound. We can treat the problem rather simply without actually going into the details of the dynamics. The power delivered to the system is the energy transferred per



Figure 8.8 Elliptical light as the superposition of an R- and 2-state.

8.1 The Nature of Polarized Light 275

Figure 8.9 Angular momentum of a photon

unit time, $d\mathcal{E}/dt$. Furthermore, the power generated by a torque Γ acting on a rotating body is just $\omega\Gamma$ (which is analogous to vF for linear motion), so

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial t} = \omega \Gamma.$$

(8.20)

(8.22)

Since the torque is equal to the time rate of change of the angular momentum L_2 it follows that on the average 18 đI

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \omega \frac{dL}{dt},$$
(8.21)

A charge that absorbs a quantity of energy $\mathcal E$ from the incident circular wave will simultaneously absorb an amount of angular momentum L such that

$$L = \frac{g}{\omega}$$
.

If the incident wave is in an *R*-state, its **E**-vector rotates clockwise, looking toward the source. This is the direc-tion in which a positive charge in the absorbing medium would rotate, and the angular momentum vector is

would rotate, and the angular momentum vector is therefore taken to point in the direction opposite to the propagation direction,⁴ as shown in Fig. 8.9. According to the quantum-mechanical description, an electromagnetic wave transfers energy in quantized packets or photons such that $\mathscr{C} = h \times / \hbar u \, \mathscr{S} = h \omega / \hbar u$ and the intrinsic or spin angular momentum of

* This choice of terminology is admittedly a bit awkward. Yet its use in optics is fairly well established, even though it is completely anti-thetic to the more reasonable convention adopted in elementary

a photon is either $-\hbar$ or $+\hbar$, where the signs right or left-handedness, respectively. Notice angular momentum of a photon is completely indep is mrngs. Whenever a charged particle emits or electromagnetic radiation, along with change energy and linear momentum, it will undergo a of $\pm\hbar$ in its angular momentum. TRoa.

The energy transferred to a target by a monochromatic electromagnetic wave can be as being transported in the form of a stream photons. Quite obviously, we can anticipate a photons. Quite obviously, we can anticipate a synohing quantized transport of angular mean A purely left-circularly polarized plane wave pure angular momentum to the target as if all the for-photons in the beam had their spins aligner direction of propagation. Changing the lighten direction of propagation. Changing the lighten tricular reverses the spin orientation of the pho-well as the torque exerted by them on the target spin an extremely sensitive form and pass. Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and extremely sensitive form and pass. Justice and extremely sensitive form and pass. Justice and extremely sensitive form and pass. Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and Justice and pass. Justice and Just 1935, using an extremely sensitive torsi Richard A. Beth (b. 1906) was actually able

Richard A. Beth (b. 1906) was actually able to such measurements.¹ Thus far we've had no difficulty in describ right- and left-circular light in the photon p what is linearly or elliptically polarized light? light in a *B*-state can be synthesized by the superposition of equal amounts of light imen-sizes (with an anorporiet phase difference) states (with an appropriate phase difference) states (with an appropriate phase dimerence) set photon whose angular momentum is measured will be found to have its spin either parallel or antiparallel to k. A beam of linear lig interact with matter as if it were composed, instant, of equal numbers of right- and lefter photons. There is a subtle point that has to b here. We cannot say that the beam is actually in

As a rather important yet simple example, consider two atom. It is composed of a proton and an electron, each but of h/2. The atom has lightly more energy when the mi-parides are in the same direction. It is possible, however in a very long time, roughly 10⁹ years, one of the spin-and be antiparallel to the other. The change in angular of the atom in then h, and this is imparted to an ennered plat carties of the slipht excess in energy as well. This is may 21-cm microwave emission, which is so significant in Tai

† Richard A. Beth, "Mechanical Detection and Measuren Angular Momentum of Light," Phys. Rev. 50, 115 (1980)

sels qual amounts of well-defined right- and thotons; the photons are all identical, individual photon exists in either spin state elihood. If we measured the angular Relihood. If we measured the angular the constituent photons, $-\hbar$ would result . This is all we can observe. We are not the photon is doing before the measure-dit exists before the measurement). As can will therefore impart no total angular

The arget arget the same probability, one angular momen-the same probability, one angular momen-the same probability, one angular momen-the other, --h. In this instance, a net positive shomentum will therefore be imparted to the shomentum size allowing the showed light. tet. The result en masse is elliptically polarized light, It is a superposition of unequal amounts of \mathcal{R} - and the bearing a particular phase relationship.

82 ROLARIZERS

416 Alinear polariz

some idea of what polarized light is, ogical step is to develop an understanding of singues used to generate it, change it, and in annanipulate it to fit our needs. An optical device input is natural light and whose output is some af polarized light is quite reasonably known as a For example, recall that one possible rep

8.2 Polarizers 277

resentation of unpolarized light is the superposition of two equal-amplitude, incoherent, orthogonal Ø-states. An instrument that separates these two components, Minimizerative and passing on the other, is known as a linear polarizer. Depending on the form of the output, we could also have circular or sliptical gelarizer. All these devices vary in effectiveness down to what might

be called leaky or partial polarizers. Polarizers come in many different configurations, as we shall see, but they are all based on one of four fundamental physical mechanisms: dickroism, or selective absorption; reflection; scattering; and birgfringence, or double refraction. There is, however, one underlying property that they all share, which is simply that there must be some form of asymmetry associated with the process. This is certainly understandable, since the polarizer must somehow select a particular polarization state and discard all others. In truth, the asymmetry may be a subtle one related to the incident or viewing angle, but usually it is an obvious anisotropy in the material of the polarizer itself.

8.2.1 Malus's Law

One matter needs to be settled before we go on: how do we determine experimentally whether or not a device is actually a linear polarizer? By definition, if natural light is incident on an ideal

linear polarizer, as in Fig. 8.10, only light in a P-state





Figure 8.11 A linear polarizer and analyzer-Malus's law

will be transmitted. That P-state will have an orientation

parallel to a specific direction, which we will call the transmission axis of the polarizer. In other words, only

the component of the optical field parallel to the tran-mission axis will pass through the device essentially unaffected. If the polarizer in Fig. 8.10 is rotated about the z-axis, the reading of the detector (e.g., a photocell) will be unchanged because of the complete symmetry

of unpolarized light. Keep in mind that we are most

certainly dealing with waves, but because of the very high frequency of light, our detector will, for practical reasons, measure only the incident irradiance. Since the

irradiance is proportional to the square of the amplitude of the electric field [Eq. (3.49]), we need only concern ourselves with that amplitude. Now suppose that we introduce a second identical

ideal polarizer, or analyzer, whose transmission axis is vertical (Fig. 8.11). If the amplitude of the electric field transmitted by the polarizer is E_0 , only is component, $E_0 \cos \theta$, parallel to the transmission axis of the analyzer will be passed on to the detector (assuming no absorp-

tion). According to Eq. (3.44), the irradiance reaching

the detector is then given by

$I(\theta) = \frac{c\epsilon_0}{2} E_0^2 \cos^2 \theta.$

The maximum irradiance, $I(0) = ce_0 E_0^2/2$, occurs up the angle θ between the transmission axes of the analyzer and polarizer is zero. Equation (8.23) can accordingly be rewritten as

 $I(\theta) = I(0) \cos^2 \theta.$ This is known as Malus's law, having first been lished in 1809 by Étienne Malus, military engin

captain in the army of Napoleon. Observe that $I(90^\circ) = 0$. This arises from the electric field that has passed through the sperpendicular to the transmission axis of the spectrum of the spectr is perpendicular to the transmission axis of up (the two devices so arranged are said to be made field is therefore parallel to what is called the axis of the analyzer and hence obviously has nove ponent along the transmission axis. We can use to setup of Fig. 8.11 along with Malus's law to diterra-whether a particular device is a linear pointree.



tion simply appears as a reflected wave. In contrast, the obstamply appears as a rescue way. In obstance, the electrons are not free to move very far in the *x*-direction, and the corresponding field component of the wave is essentially unaltered as it propagates through the grid. The transmission aris of the grid is perpendicular to the wires. It is a common error to assume naively that the y-component of the field somehow slips through the errors between the wires. es between the wires.

One can easily confirm our conclusions using micro-waves and a grid made of ordinary electrical wire. It is not so easy a matter, however, to fabricate a grid that not so easy a matter, nowever, to narrate a grin that will polarize light, but it has been done! In 1960 George R. Bird and Maxfield Parrish, Jr., constructed a grid having an incredible 2160 wires per mm.[®] Their feat was accomplished by evaporating a stream of gold (or at other times aluminum) atoms at nearly grazing incidence onto a plastic diffraction grating replica (see Section 10.2.7). The metal accumulated along the edges of each step in the grating to form thin microscopic wires' whose width and spacing were less than one wavelength across. Although the wire grid is useful, particularly in the

infrared, it is mentioned here more for pedagogical than practical reasons. The underlying principle on which it is based is shared by other, more common, dichroic polarizers.

8.3.2 Dichroic Crystals

There are certain materials that are inherently dichroid There are certain materials that are innerently distributed because of an anisotropy in their respective crystalline structures. Probably the best known of these is the naturally occurring mineral lowmafilite, a semiprecious stone often used in jewelry. Actually there are several tourmalines, which are horon silicates of differing chemical composition $[e_2, NaFe_3BA_3b]_{30}O_{27}(OH_1)$. For this substance there is a specific direction within the crystal known as the norincinal or arbitic axis, which the crystal known as the principal or optic axis, which is determined by its atomic configuration. The electric field component of an incident lightwave that is perpen-dicular to the principal axis is strongly absorbed by the

* G. R. Bird and M. Parrish, Jr., "The Wire Grid as a Near-Infrared Polarizer," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 50, 886 (1960).

8.3 DICHROISM

8.12

airs-grid pols

8.3.1 The Wire-Grid Polarizer

oadest sense the term *dichroism* refers to the absorption of one of the two orthogonal Ø-state ints of an acident beam. The dichroic polarizer ponents or all influences or an enclusion polarizer (is physically anisotropic, producing a strong asym-neous preferential absorption of one field com-ent while being essentially transparent to the other.

the simplest device of this sort is a grid of parallel monotony wires, is shown in Fig. 8.12. Imagine that application of the source of the source of the source of the line of the right. The electric field can be resolved to enable two orthogonal components, in this case,

and two orthogonal comparents, in this care, sen to be parallel to the wires and the other icular to them. The y-component of the field is conduction electrons along the length of each

e conduction electronia along the tength of each generating a current. The electrons in turn th lattice atoms, imparting energy to them and feating the wires (joule heat). In this manner transferred from the field to the grid. In extrons accelerating along the y-axis radiate

forward and backward directions. As should

incident wave tends to be canceled by ted in the forward direction, resulting

ransmission of the y-component of the

on propagating in the backward direc-

sample. The thicker the crystal, the more complete the absorption (Fig. 8.13). A plate cut from a tourmaline crystal parallel to its principal axis and several mil-limeters thick will accordingly serve as a linear polarizer. limeters thick will accordingly serve as a linear polarizer. In this instance the crystal's principal axis becomes the polarizer's transmission axis. But the usefulness of tourmaline is rather limited by the fact that its crystals are comparatively small. Moreover, even the transmit-ted light suffers a certain amount of absorption. To complicate matters, this undesirable absorption is strongly wavelength dependent and the specimen will therefore be colored. A tourmaline crystal held up to natural while light nature areas then come in therefore be concrete. A tourname crystal net up to natural while light might appear green (they come in other colors as well) when viewed along that axis, where all the E-fields are perpendicular to it (ergo the term dichroic, meaning (wo colors).

There are several other substances that display similar characteristics. A crystal of the mineral hypersthene, a ferromagnesian silicate, might look green under white light polarized in one direction and pink for a different polarization direction.

We can get a qualitative picture of the mechanism that gives rise to crystal dichroism by considering the microscopic structure of the sample. (You might want to take another look at Section 3.5.) Recall that the atoms within a crystal are strongly bound together by short-range forces to form a periodic lattice. The elecshort-ange forces to form a periodic lattice. The effectives trons, which are responsible for the optical properties, can be envisioned as elastically tied to their respective equilibrium positions. Electrons associated with a given atom are also under the influence of the surrounding nearby atoms, which themselves may not be symmetriaculty distingtion of the second seco field of an incident electromagnetic wave will vary with the direction of E. If in addition to being anisotropic the material is absorbing, a detailed analysis would have to include an orientation-dependent conductivity. Currents will exist, and energy from the wave will be converted into joule heat. The attenuation, in addition to varying in direction, may be dependent on frequency as well. This means that if the incoming white light is in a P-state, the crystal will appear colored, and the color will depend on the orientation of E. Substances



Figure 8.13 A diochroic crystal. The naturally occur evident in the photograph of the tourmaline crystals of the optic axis. (Photo by E.H.)

that display two or even three different rolors are mil to be dichroic or trichroic, respectively.

* More will be said about these processes later on whe birefringence. Suffice it to say now that for crystals class there are two distinct directions, and therefore two displayed by *abarbing* specimens. In *biasia*

83.3 Polaroid

33 Formation of the second The account of his early work is rather infor-and makes fascinating reading. It is particularly ing to follow the sometimes whimsical origins is now, no doubt, the most widely used group rizers. The following is an excerpt from Land's

ature there are a few pertinent high spots in pment of polarizers, particularly the work of rd Herapath, a physician in Bristol, England, iam Errd Herapani, a priyam to the order of the second sec Induid. Phelps went to his teacher, and en did something which I [Land] think was er the circumstances; he looked at the crys-nicroscope and noticed that in some places 6

The processing of the second s

who was working in those happy days on the phe... Brewster, who invented the kaleido-ote a book about it, and in that book he men-tt he would like to use herapathite crystals for m. When I was reading this book, back in d 1927. I came across his reference to these able crystals, and that started my interest in

da initial approach to creating a new form of ter was to grind herapathite into millions copic crystals, which were naturally needlesmall size lessened the problem of the light. In his earliest experiments the crysigned nearly parallel to each other by means

me Aspects of the Development of Sheet Polarizers." 1, 957 (1951),

8.3 Dichroism 281

of magnetic or electric fields. Later Land found that they would be mechanically aligned when a viscous colloidal suspension of the herapathic needles was extruded through a long narrow silt. The resulting *j*-sheet was effectively a large flat dichroic crystal. The J-sheet was effectively a large hat dichroic crystal. The individual submicroscopic crystals still scattered light a bit, and as a result, J-sheet was somewhat hazy. In 1938 Land invented H-sheet, which is now probably the most widely used linear polarizer. It does not contain dichroic crystals but is instead a molecular analogue of the wire grid. A sheet of clear polyvinyl alcohol is heated and stretched in a given direction, its long hydrocarbon molecules becoming aligned in the process. The sheet it then direct linea polytical colution rich in ddime. The is then dipped into an ink solution rich in iodine. The is then only to have a but a basic and attaches to the straight long-chain polymeric molecules, effectively for-ming a chain of its own. The conduction electrons associated with the iodime can move along the chains as if they were long thin wires. The component of \mathbf{E} in an incident wave that is parallel to the molecules drives the electrons, does work on them, and is strongly absorbed. The transmission axis of the polarizer is therefore perpendicular to the direction in which the film was stretched.

Each separate miniscule dichroic entity is known as a dichromophore. In H-sheet the dichromophores are of molecular dimensions, so scattering represents no prob-lem. H-sheet is a very effective polarizer across the



Figure 8.14 A pair of crossed polaroids. Each polaroid appears gray because it absorbs roughly half the incident light. (Photo by E.H.)

entire visible spectrum but is somewhat less so at the blue end. When a bright white light is viewed through a pair of crossel *H*-sheet polaroids, as in Fig. 8.14, the *estimition* color will be a deep blue as a result of this leakage. *HN*-50 would be the designation of a hypothetical, ideal *H*-sheet having a neutral color (*N*) and transmitting 50% of the incident natural light while absorbing the other 50%, which is the undesired polarization component. In practice, however, about 4% of the incoming light will be reflected back at each surface (antireflection coatings are not generally used), leaving 92%. Half of this is presumably absorbed, and thus we might contemplate an *HN*-46 polaroid. Actually, large quantities of *HN*-38, *HN*-32, and *HN*-22, each differing by the amount of iodine present, are produced commercially and are readily available (Problem 8.7).

differing by the amount of iodine present, are produced commercially and are readily available (Problem 8.7). Many other forms of polaroid have been developed.⁴ *K-sheet*, which is humidity- and heat-resistant, has as its dichromophore the straight-chain hydrocarbon polyvinylene. A combination of the ingredients of *H*- and *K*-sheets leads to *HR-sheet*, a near-infrared polarizer.

Polaroid vectograph is a commercial material designed to be incorporated in a process for making threedimensional photographs. The stuff never was successful at its intended purpose, but it can be used to produce some rather thought-provoking, if not mystifying, demonstrations. Vectograph film is a water-clear plastic laminate of two sheets of polywinyl alcohol arranged so that their stretch directions are at right angles to each other. In this form there are no conduction electrons available, and the film is not a polarizer. Using an iodine solution, imagine that we draw an X on one side of the film and a Y overlapping it to the Ø-state light coming from the Y. In other words, the painted regions form two crossed polarizer. They will be seen superimposed on each other. Now, if the vectograph is viewed through a linear polarizer that can be rotated, either the X, the Y, or both will be seen. Obviously, more imaginative drawings can be made (one need only remember to make the one on the far side backward).

* See Polarized Light: Production and Use, by Shurcliff, or its more readable little brother, Polarized Light, by Shurcliff and Ballard.

8.4 BIREFRINGENCE

Many crystalline substances (i.e., solids whose arranged in some sort of regular repetitive optically mixtorpic. In other words, their optical iss are not the same in all directions within an sample. The dichroic crystals of the previous are but one special subgroup. We saw there are sources the binding forces on the electronia anisotropic. Earlier, in Fig. 3.25(b) we represenisotropic oscillator using the simple mechanica of a spherical charged shell bound by identical to a fixed point. This was a fitting represenisotropic oscillator using the simple mechanica glass and plastic, are usually, but not always Figure 8.15 shows another charged shell, this optically isotropic substances (amorphous soling spring constants). An electron that is displic equilibrium along a direction parallel to do "springs" will evidently oscillate with a differentier interction 3.5.2), light propagates through a bus (Section 3.5.2), light propagates through a the The electrons are driven by the 2-field and the



Figure 8.15 Mechanical model depicting a negatively combound to a positive nucleus by pairs of springs have

and any wavelets recombine, and the result wave moves on. The speed of the wave, the in index of refraction, is determined by the between the frequency of the E.feld and or characteristic frequency of the electrons, by the binding force will threfore be manifest in the refractive index. For example, if the tweet on more through some hypothetical between the transmitter of the electron that could be the trait is encountered electrons that could be the trait of the electron's natural frequency would opportuned to the square root of the spring in our state of the electron's natural frequency would opportuned to be square root of the spring in our state, with E along the y-axis, where force is weaker, the natural frequency would opportuned to the square root of the spring in contrast, with E along the y-axis, where force is weaker, the natural frequency or what lower. Keeping in mind our earlier it dispersion and the f(a) curve of Fig. 3.26, that folds absorption band of n_(a). A crystal so dispersion of a dispersion of n dispersion of the share the size of refraction might look like a field. A material of this sort, which displays in indices of refraction is said to be birefrinter crystal is such that the frequency of the share the size of the source of n_(a). A crystal so diversion (a) and transparent for the other (a). The share the state of the other, is in the share share the same natural frequency and they are been the same natural f

fringence used to be used instead of our present-day it comes from the Latin refractus by way of an etymoeginning with frangere, meaning to break.



Figure 8.16 Refractive index versus frequency along two axes in a crystal. Regions where $dn/d\omega < 0$ correspond to absorption bands.

Often the characteristic frequencies of birefringent crystals are above the optical range, and they appear colorless. This is represented by Fig. 8.16 where the incident light is now considered to have frequencies in the region of ω_{0} . Two different indices are apparent, but absorption for either polarization is negligible. Equation (3.70) shows that $n(\omega)$ varies inversely with the natural frequency. This means that a large effective spring constant (i.e., strong binding) corresponds to a low polarizability, a low dielectric constant, and a low refractive index.

We will construct, if only pictorially, a linear polarizer utilizing birefringence by causing the two orthogonal *P*-states to follow different paths and thus actually separate. Even more fascinating things can be done with birefringent crystals, as we shall see later.

8.4.1 Calcite

Let's now spend a moment relating the above ideas to an actual and somewhat typical birefringent crystal, calcite. Calcite or calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) is a rather



Figure 8.17 Arrange ent of atoms in calcite.

common naturally occurring substance. Both marble and limestone are made up of many small calcite crystals bonded together. Of particular interest are the beautiful large single crystals, which, although they are becoming rare, can still be found, particularly in India, Mexico, and South Africa. Calcite is the most common material for making linear polarizers for use with high-power lasers.

Figure 8.17 shows the distribution of carbon, calcium, and oxygen within the calcie structure; Fig. 8.18 is a view from above, looking down along what has, in anticipation, been labeled the optic axis in Fig. 8.17. Each OO₃ group forms a triangular cluster whose plane is perpendicular to the optic axis. Notice that if we rotated Fig. 8.18 about a line normal to and passing through the conterport of any tensor of the carbonate groups through the center of any one of the carbonate groups, the same exact configuration of atoms would appear three times during each revolution. The direction we have designated as the optic axis corresponds to a rather special crystallographic orientation, in that it is an axis of 3-fold symmetry. The large birefringence displayed by calcite arises from the fact that the carbonate groups

are all in planes normal to the optic axis. The of their electrons, or rather the mutual ind the induced oxygen dipoles, is markedly diffe E is either in or normal to those planes (Proj La can even the asymmetry is clear enough Calcite samples can readily be split, form surfaces known as *cleavage planes*. The cryst tially made to come apart between specific atoms where the interatomic bonding is relation



Figure 8.18 optical axis. Atomic arrangement

planes in calcite (Fig. 8.18) are normal to ge planes in calcite (Fig. 8.18) are normal to pent directions. As a crystal grows, atoms are for upon layer, following the same pattern. I've aight than on another, resulting in a is an externally complicated shape. Even so, ige planes are dependent on the atomic ion, and if one cuts a sample so that each a clearage plane, is form will be related to reangement of its atoms. Such a specimen is ngement of its atoms. Such a specimen is a deavage pairle, atomic Such a specimen is on a classage form. In the case of calcite it is deaton, with each face a parallelogram whose gib 5° and 101° 55′ (Fig. 8.19). Note that by two bint corners where the surface planes induce obtuse angles. A line passing through of either of the blunt corners, oriented so ors equal angles with each face (45.5°) and (5.8°), is clearly an axis of 3-fold symmetry. The a bit more obvious if we cut the rhomb ties of equal length.) Evidently such a line sound to the optic axis. Whatever the natural and the optic axis. Whatever the natural and the optic axis. Whatever the natural antechar calcite specimen, you need only comer and you have the optic axis. Chamus Bartholinus (1625-1692), doctor of and pofessor of mathematics at the Univer-penhagen (and incidentally, Römer's father.

find : gen (and incidentally, Römer's fatheran agent (and medicitally, kould a markable optical an aclaicte, which he called *double refraction*, been discovered not long before, near



war 1.19 Baldte cleavage form.

8.4 Birefringence 285



Figure 8,80 Double image formed by a celcite crystal (not cleavage form). (Photo by E.H.)

Eskifjordur in Iceland, and was then known as Iceland spar. In the words of Bartholinus:*

Greatly prized by all men is the diamond, and many are the joys which similar treasures bring, such as pre-cious stones and pearls. .. but he, who, on the other hand, prefers the knowledge of unusual phenomena to mand, preters the knowledge of ubusant preters are these delights, he will, I hope, have no less joy in a new sort of hody, namely, a transparent crystal, recently brought to us from Iceland, which perhaps is one of

the greatest wonders that nature has produced... As my investigation of this crystal proceeded there showed itself a wonderful and extraordinary phenomenon: objects which are looked at through the rystal do not show, as in the case of other transparent bodies, a single refracted image, but they appear double.

Dougs a might reteries magnetic the set of t consist of two gray dots (black where they overlap). Rotating the crystal will cause one of the dots to remain stationary while the other appears to move in a circle

* W. F. Magie, A Source Book in Physics.

about it, following the motion of the crystal. The rays forming the fixed dot, which is the one invariably closer to the upper blant corner, behave as if they had merely passed through a plate of glass. In accord with a suggestion made by Bartholinus, they are known as the **ordinary rays**, or *o*-rays. The rays coming from the other dot, which behave in such an unusual fashion, are known as the **extraordinary rays**, or *o*-rays. If the crystal is examined through an analyzer, it will be found that the ordinary and extraordinary images are linearly polarized (Fig. 8.21). Moreover, the two emerging *P*states are orthogonal.

states are orthogonal. Any number of planes can be drawn through the rhomb so as to contain the optic axis, and these are all called *principal planes*. More specifically, if the principal plane is also normal to a pair of opposite surfaces of the cleavage form, it slices the crystal across a *principal* section. There are evidently three of these passing through any one point; each is a parallelogram having angles of 109⁶ and 71⁸. Figure 8.22 is a diagrammatic representation of an initially unpolarized beam traversing a principal section of a calcite rhomb. The filled-in circles and arrows drawn along the rays indicate that



Figure 8.21 A calcite crystal (blunt corner on the bottom). The transmission axes of the two polarizers are parallel to their short redges. Where the image is doubled the lower, undeflected one is the ordinary image. Take a long look, there's a los in this one. (Photo by E.H.)



the o-ray has its electric field vector normal to the principal section, and the field of the e-ray is pointed

to the principal section. To simplify matters a bit, let **E** in the incident **G** wave be linearly polarized perpendicular to the operaxis, as shown in Fig. 8.23. The wave strikes the under of the crystal, thereupon driving electrons into occlution, and they in turn reradiate secondary waves The wavelets superimpose and recombine to form the refracted wave, and the process is repeated over and over again until the wave emerges from the control of the this second second second second second second second second the second seco

First orders approximate and recommendation of a over again until the wave emerges from the few prepresents a cogent physical argument for angle ideas of Huygens's principle. Huygens although without benefit of electromagnes used his construction to explain successful arm aspects of double refraction in calcite as loss 1690. It should be made clear from the outself that his treatment is incomplete." in which like appealingly, although deceptively, simple.

* A. Sommerfeld, Optics, p. 148.



the state the plane wave polarized perpendicular to the

Extended as the E-field is perpendicular to the optic and, one assumes that every point on the wavefront intentional problem in the surface) acts as a spherical wavelets, all of which are in phase. The field of the wavelets is everywhere

has long as the field of the wavelets is everywhere optic axis, they will expand into the crystal Entercions with a speed v_i , as they would in an entropic medium. (Keep in mind that the speed is a motion of frequency.) Since the σ -wave displays no ablauts behavior, this assumption seems a reasonmer. The envelope of the wavelets is essentially a tota of a plane wave, which in turn serves as a motion of secondary point sources. The process interval and the wave moves straight across the

That, consider the incident wave in Fig. 8.24 field is parallel to the principal section. Notice & now has a component normal to the optic axis, a component parallel to it. Since the medium ngent, light of a given frequency polarized to the optic axis progradgets with a speed v_1 , $q^{(4)}v_2$. In particular for calcite and sodium that (4 = 589 nm), 1.486 $v_1 = 1.658 v_2 = c$. What thygens's wavelets can we expect now? At the corrisplifying matters, we represent each e8.4 Birefringence 287



Figure 8.24 An incident plane wave polarized parallel to the prin-

wavelet, for the moment at least, as a small sphere (Fig. 8.25). But $v_0 > v_{\perp}$, so that the wavelet will elongate in all directions normal to the optic axis. We therefore speculate, as Huygens did, that the secondary wavelets associated with the *e*-wave are ellipsoids of revolution about the optic axis. The envelope of all the ellipsoidal wavelets is essentially a portion of a plane wave parallel to the incident wave. This plane wave, however, will evidently undergo a sidewise displacement in traversing



Figure 8.25 Wavelets within calute.

the crystal. The beam moves in a direction parallel to the lines connecting the origin of each wavelet and the point of tangency with the planar envelope. It is known as the ray direction and corresponds to the direction in which energy propagates. This is an instance in which the direction of the ray is not normal to the wavefront. If the incident beam is natural light, the two situations

In the Indierth of Statis is failed an any the two statistics depicted in Figs. 8.23 and 8.24 will exist simultaneously, with the result that the beam will split into two orthogonal linearly polarized beams (Fig. 8.22). You can actually see the two diverging beams within a crystal by using a properly oriented narrow laserbeam (E neither normal nor parallel to the principal plane, which is usually the case). Light will scatter off internal flaws, making its path fairly visible.

The electromagnetic description of what is happening is rather complicated but well worth examining at this point, even if only superficially. Recall from Chapter 3 that the incident E-field will polarize the dielectric; that is, it will shift the distribution of charges, thereby creating electric dipoles. The field within the dielectric is thus altered by the inclusion of an induced field, and



Figure 8.26 Orientations of the E-, D-, S-, and k-vectors.

one is led to introduce a new quantity, the distributed p (see Appendix 1). In isotropic media D isotropic myta and the two are therefore a tensor and are not always parallel. If we are through such a medium, we find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field within the wavefront are D and B and not find that the field with is normal to the surfaces of constant plant and the are all copliant. Clearly there is the field with the start and the second that the second the field with the second the second that the second the second the second that the second the second that the second the second that the second the second the second that the second the second that the second the second the second that the second the second

8.4.2 Birefringent Crystals

Cubic crystals, such as sodium chloride (i.e., consistent), have their atoms arranged in a relatively/site and highly symmetry access, each running from one corner to a opposite corner, unlike calcite, which has one such the manating from a point source within such a crystal will propagate uniformly in all direction spherical wave. As with amorphous solids, there

* In the oscillator model the general care correspond side in which E is not parallel to any of the spring directions will drive the charge, but is resultant motion will cost in direction of E because of the anisotropy of the binding free charge will be displaced most, for a given force composed direction of vecket restraint. The induced field will churge the same orientation as E.



need 9.27 Images in sodium chloride and calcite single crystals.

farred directions in the material. It will have a fidex of refraction and be optically isotropic (Fig. that case all the springs in the oscillator model reform the identical.

If standy be identical. If the belonging to the *krazgonal*, tetragonal, and also seems have their atoms arranged so that light regains in some general direction will encounter the standing of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the standing of the body of the standing of the body of the standing of the 8.4 Birefringence 289

Table 8.1 Refractive indices of some uniaxial birefringent crystals $(\lambda_0 = 589.3 \text{ nm}).$

Crystal	na	n,
Tourmaline	1.669	1.638
Calcite	1,6584	1.4864
Quartz	1.5443	1.5534
Sodium nitrate	1.5854	1.3369
lce	1.309	1.313
Rutile (TiOa)	2.616	2,903

The difference $\Delta n = (n_i - n_v)$ is a measure of the birefringence. In calcie $v_i > v_{\perp}$, $(n_e - n_v)$ is -0.172, and it is said to be ngative vuriaxial. In comparison, there are other crystals, such as quart. (crystallized silicon dioxide) and ice, for which $v_{\perp} > v_{i}$. Consequently, the ellipsoidal *e*-wavelets are enclosed within the spherical *e*-wavelets, as shown in Fig. 8.29. (Quartz is optically active and therefore accually a bit more complicated.) In that case, $(n_e - n_e)$ is positive, and the

is optically active and therefore actually a bit more complicated.) In that case, (n, -n,) is positive, and the crystal is said to be positive uniaxial. The remaining crystallographic systems, namely orthorhombic, monoclinic, and triclinic, have two opticaxes and are therefore said to be biaxial. Such substances,



Figure 8.28 Wavelets in a negative uniaxial crystal.





for example, mica [KH₂Al₃(SO₄)₃], have three different principal indices of refraction. Each set of springs in the oscillator model would then be different. The birefringence of biaxial crystals is measured as the numerical difference between the largest and smallest of these indices.

8.4.3 Birefringent Polarizers

It will now be a rather easy matter, at least conceptually, to make some sort of linear birefringent polarizer. Any number of schemes for separating the o- and e-waves have been employed, all of them, of course. relying on fact that $n_e \neq n_e$.

have been employed, all of them, of course, relying on fact that $n_r + n_c$. The most renowned bircfringent polarizer was introduced in 1828 by the Scotish physicist William Nicol (1768–1851). The Nicol prism, as it is called, is now mainly of historical interest, having long been superseded by other, more effective polarizers. Putting it rather succinctly, the device is made by first grinding and polishing the ends (from 71[§] to 68[§]; see Fig. 8.23) of a suitably long, narrow calcite rhombohedron: then, alter cutting the rhomb diagonally, the two pieces are polished and accemented back together with Canada bal-

Figure 8.30 The Nicol prism. The little flat on the blunt search locates the optic axis. (Photo by E.H.)

6.50). The balsam cement is transparent and ndex of 1.55 almost midway between n_e and n_{e} . More beam enters the "prism," the e- and e-rays and, they separate and strike the balsam layer. In angle at the calcit-balsam interface for the bout 69° (Problem 8.24). The e-ray (entering marrow cone of roughly 28°) will be totally reflected and thereafter absorbed by a layer paint on the sides of the rhomb. The e-ray thereally displaced but otherwise essentially for last in the optical region of the spectrum ham absorbs in the ultraviolet). *E-Boundit polarizer* (Fig. 8.31) is constructed

Stam absorbs in the utraviolet, or Forwall polarizer (Fig. 8.31) is constructed and other than calcite, which is transparent from the 5000 nm in the infrared to about 230 nm in periode, it therefore can be used over a broad cal range. The incoming ray strikes the surface with and E can be resolved into components that completely parallel or perpendicular to the



8.4 Birefringence 291

optic axis. The two rays traverse the first calcite section without any deviation. (We'll come back to this point later on when we talk about retarders.) Notice that if the angle of incidence on the calcite-air interface is θ , one need only arrange things so that $n_* < 1/\sin \theta < n_{\infty}$ in order for the e-ray, and not the e-ray, to be totally internally reflected. If the two prisms are now cemented together (glycerine or mineral oil are used in the ultraviolet) and the interface angle is changed appropriately, the device is known as a *Glan–Thompson* polarizer. Its field of view is roughly 30°, in comparison to about 10° for the Glan–Far, as it is often called. The latter, however, has the advantage of being able to handle the considerably higher power levels often encountered with lasers. For example, whereas the maximum irradiance for a Glan–Thompson could be about 1 W/cm² (continuous wave). The difference is, of 100 W/cm² (continuous wave). The difference is, of 100 W/cm² (continuous wave). The difference is, of 100 W/cm² (continuous wave).

Figure 8.31 The Glan-Foucauk prism. (Photo by E.H.)





Figure 8.32 The Wollaston prism.

course, due to deterioration of the interface cement

course, due to deterioration of the interface cement (and the absorbing paint, if it's used). The Wollaston prior is actually a polarizing beam-splitter, because it passes both orthogonally polarized components. It can be made of calcite or quartz in the form indicated in Fig. 8.32. Observe that the two com-ponent rays separate at the diagonal interface. There, the e-ray becomes an o-ray, changing its index accord-ingly. In calcite n < n and the according a service has the e-ray becomes an e-ray, changing its index accord-ingly. In calcite $n_i < n_i$, and the emerging e-ray is been toward the normal. Similarly, the e-ray, whose field its initially perpendicular to the optic axis, becomes an e-ray in the right-hand section. This time, in calcite the e-ray is bent away from the normal to the interface (see Problem 8.25). The deviation angle between the two emerging beams is determined by the priam's wedge angle, θ . Prisms providing deviations ranging from about 15° to roughly 45° are available commercially. They can be nurthwed compared (n_2 , with metanovil They can be purchased cemented (e.g., with castor oil or glycerine) or not cemented at all (i.e., optically contac-ted), depending on the frequency and power requirements.

8.5 SCATTERING AND POLARIZATION 8.5.1 An Introduction to Scattering

We can begin to understand many apparent phenomena in terms of differing aspects recurring atomic processes, and so we are the electron. When an electromagnetic wa on an atom or molecule it interacts with electron cloud, imparting energy to the ato can be pictured as if the lowest energy or of the atom were set into vibration. The frequency of the electron cloud is equal to of the atom were set into vibration. The oc frequency of the electron cloud is equal to the frequency w, that is, the frequency of the lan E-field of the lightwave. The amplitude of the tion will be relatively large only when ν is a to fit eresonant frequency of the atom. In freq nance we can employ the simple description as first being in its ground state; upon a sint being in its ground state, have will most likely return to its ground state, have will most likely return to its ground state, have pated its excess energy thermally. In rarefied gas pated us excess energy thermaily. In rarried gase atom will generally make the downward togo emitting a photon, an effect known as resonance, the ele trons vibrating with respect to the nucleus mag-ded as oscillating electric dipoles, and as sug-



re 8.33 Scat ring of a spher cal wa

radiate electromagnetic energy at a neiding with that of the incident light. naiding with that of the incident light, nant emission propagates out in the dipole tern of Fig. 8.21. The removal of energy from tern of Fig. 3.21.7 Internovoud frames from upper and the subsequent reemission of some at energy is known as scattering (Fig. 8.33). It arying physical mechanism operative in critacion, and diffraction; the scattering indamental indeed.

indamental indecd. To be electron-oscillators, which generally inces in the ultraviolet, there are atomic-which correspond to the vibration of the atoms within a molecule. Because of their atomic-oscillators usually have resonances ed. Moreover, they have relatively small

politude of an oscillator, and thus the amount sy kemoved from the incident wave, increases frequency of the wave approaches a natural by of the atom. For low-density gases, in which of the atom. For low-density gases, in which emctions are negligible, absorption will be nt, and the reradiated or scattered wave will increasingly more energy as the driving improaches a resonance. This results in some eresting effects when the atom's natural are in the ultraviolet and the incident wave suble region. In that case, as the frequency implicible increases, more and more of it hff i ing light increases, more and more of it cally scattered. As an example, imagine that initially scattered. As an example, imagine that do not motify a scattered. As an example, imagine that billion Blue, and you are surrounded, even inun-ted, with blue light. Sunlight streaming into the the from one direction is scattered in all direc-be air molecules. Without an atmosphere, the day would be as black as the void of space, a hmade in the Apollo Innar photographs (Fig. wwwold then see only light that show directly all then see only light that shone directly atmosphere, the red end of the spectrum it part, undeviated, whereas the blue or y end is substantially scattered. This high-ttered light reaches the observer from futions, making the entire sky appear bright rig. 8.35). When the Sun is very low in the stars through a great thickness of air. The

8.5 Scattering and Polarization 293



Figure 8.84 A half-Earth hanging in the black Moon sky. (Photo courtesy NASA)



Figure 8.35 Scattering of sky light.

blues and violets are scattered sideways out of the beam much more strongly than are the yellows and reds, which continue to propagate along a line of sight from the Sun to from the Earth's familiar fiery sunsets.

Lord Rayleigh was the first to work out the depen-dence of the scattered flux density on frequency. In accord with Eq. (3.56), which describes the radiation pattern for an oscillating dipole, the scattered flux density is directly proportional to the fourth poorer of the driving frequency. The scattering of light by objects that are small in comparison to the wavelength is known as **Rayleigh scattering**. The molecules of dense trans-parent tuedia, be they gaseous, liquid, or solid, will similarly scatter predominantly bluish light, if only feebly. The effect is quite weak, particularly in liquids and solids, because the oscillators are arrayed in a more orderly fashion, and the reemitted wavelets tend to reinforce each other only in the forward direction, canceling sideways scattering.* Lord Rayleigh was the first to work out the depen-

The smoke rising from the end of a lighted cigarette is made up of particles that are smaller than the wavelength of light, making it appear blue when seen against a dark background. In contrast, exhaled smoke contains relatively large water droplets and appears white. Each droplet is larger than the constituent wavelengths of light and thus contains so many oscil-lators it is able to sustain the ordinary processes of reflection and refraction. These effects are not prefer-ential to any one frequency commonent in the incident relection and retraction. These effects are not prefer-ential to any one frequency component in the incident white light. The light reflected and refracted several times by a droplet and then finally returned to the observer is therefore also white. This accounts for the whiteness of small grains of salt and sugar, fog, clouds, paper, powders, ground glass, and, more ominously, the typical pallid, polluted city sky. Particles that are approximately the size of a wavelength (remember that atoms are roughly a fraction

of a nanometer across) scatter light in a very distinctive way. A large distribution of such equally sized particles can give rise to a whole range of transmitted colors. In 1883 the volcanic island Krakatoa, located in the Sunda Strait west of Java, blew apart in a fantastic conflagra-

* Recall that you can see the two beams passing through a birefringent calcite crystal only if the sample contains enough flaws to act as scattering centers.



Figure 8.36 Scattering of polar ciii).

tion. Great quantities of fine high into the atmosphere and drifted over m of the Earth. For a few years afterward the Su Moon repeatedly appeared green or blue, and and sunsets were abnormally colored. In 1908 Gustav Mie (1868–1957) publishede

solution of the scattering problem for he spherical particles of any size. Although co-his solution has great practical value, partic applied to colloidal and metallic suspensions. particles, fog, clouds, and the solar coror only a few.

8.5.2 Polarization by Scattering

Imagine that we have a linearly polarized plane was incident on an air molecule, as pictured in Fig. 3.8. The orientation of the electric field of the super-radiation (i.e., E_{i}) follows the dipole pattern E_{i} , the Poynting vector S, and the oscillating di-all coplanar (Fig. 3.22). The vibrations induce



illel to the E-field of the incoming light are perpendicular to the propagation direc-received and the dipole does not radiate and of its axis. Now if the incident wave is at it can be represented by two orthogonal, states, in which case the scattered light s equivalent to a superposition of the condi-tion Fig. 8.36, (a) and (b). Evidently, the fut in the forward direction is completely off that axis it is partially polarized, becomby more polarized as the angle increases, rection of observation is normal to the sthe light is completely linearly polarized. di an the light is completely linearly polarized. Billy verify these conclusions if you happen be of polaroid. Locate the Sun and then the second second second second second second find that portion of the sky to be partially pormal to the rays (see Fig. 8.38). It's not polarized mainly because of molecular to the presence of large particles in the air, solarizing effects of multiple scattering. The

Figure 8.37 Scattering of unpola ized light by a me



Figure 8.38 A pair of crossed p noticeably darker than the lower of ation of sky light. (Photo by E.H.) polarizers. The upper polaroid is one, indicating the partial polariz-



Figure 8.39 A piece of waxed paper between crossed polarizers.

latter condition can be illustrated by placing a piece of waxed paper between crossed polaroids (Fig. 8.39). Because the light undergoes a good deal of scattering and multiple reflections within the waxed paper, a given oscillator may "see" the superposition of many essentially unrelated E-fields. The resulting emission is almost completely depolarized.

As a final experiment, put a few drops of milk in a glass of water and illuminate it (perpendicular to its axis) using a bright flashlight. The solution will appear bluish white in scattered light and yellowish in direct light, indicating that the operative mechanism is Rayleigh scattering. Accordingly, the scattered light will also be narially noharized

light scattering. Accordingly, the scattered light will also be partially polarized. Using very much the same ideas Charles Glover Barkla (1877–1944) in 1906 established the transverse wave nature of x-ray radiation by showing that it could be polarized in certain directions as a result of scattering off matter.

8.6 POLARIZATION BY REFLECTION

One of the most common sources of polarized light is the ubiquitous process of reflection from dielectric media. The glare spread across a window pan of paper, or a balding head, the sheen on set of a telephone, a billiard ball, or a book jackan generally partially polarized.

of a telephone, a uniaru uan, or a DOOR factor generally parially polarized. The Paris Academy had offered a prize for a m cal theory of double refraction, and Malus acouundertook a study of the problem. He was smuthe window of his house in the Rue d'Enferome examining a calcite crystal. The Sum vas settiits image reflected toward him from the window Luxembourg Palace not far away. He had us the and looked through it at the Sun's telleritoationisment, he saw one of the double images pear as he rotated the calcite. After the Sun had continued to verify his observations into the mature of polarized light were becoming dearfirst time. At that time no satisfactory explanpolarization existed within the context of theory. During the next 13 years the work of men, principally Thomas Young and Augustin finally led to the representation of light as some transverse vibration. (Keep in mind that all the the electrom-scillator model provides a star

simple picture of what happens when light is on reflection. Unfortunately, it's not a complete tion, since it does not account for the behavioro netic nonconducting materials. Nonetheless on an incoming plane wave linearly polarized so that in E-field is perpendicular to the plane of indexe. (It 8.40). The wave is refracted at the interface, on the medium at some transmission angle 6, life field drives the bound electrons, in this can need the plane of incidence, and they in turn reradiportion of that reemitted energy appears in

⁶ Try it with a candle flame and a piece of glass. Here, $\theta_p \approx 56^\circ$ for the most pronounced effect. At near glagging both of the images will be bright and neither will vanifiely the crystal—Malus apparently lucked out at a good approximation.

† W. T. Doyle, "Scattering Approach to Fresnel's broader Brewster's Law," Am. J. Phys. 53, 463 (1985).



of a Effected wave. It should be clear then from the and the dipole radiation pattern that both the and refracted waves must also be in 9-states normal to the incident plane.* In contradistinction, if

> reflection is determined by the scattering array, as in 10.2.7. The scattered wavelets in general combine only one direction, yielding a reflected ray at an int of the incident ray.



297

8.6 Polarization by Reflection



Figure 8.40 (a) A wave reflecting and refracting at an interface. (b) Electron-oscillators and Brewster's law. (c) The polarization of light that occurs on reflection from a dielectric, such as glass, water, or plastic.

the incoming E-field is in the incident plane, the electron-oscillators near the surface will vibrate under the influence of the refracted wave, as shown diagrammagically in Fig. 8.40(b). Observe that a rather interesting thing is happening to the reflected wave. Its flux density is now relatively low, because the reflected ray direction makes a small angle θ with the dipole axis. If we could arrange things so that $\theta = 0$, or equivalently θ , $+ \theta_i$.

90°, the reflected wave would vanish entirely. Under those circumstances, for an incoming unpolarized wave made up of two incoherent orthogonal P-states, only the component polarized normal to the incident plane and therefore parallel to the surface will be reflected. The particular angle of incidence for which this situation occurs is designated by θ_p and referred to as the **polarization angle** or **Brewster's angle**, whereupon $\theta_p + \theta_l = 90^{\circ}$. Hence, from Snell's law

$n_i \sin \theta_p = n_i \sin \theta_i$	
and the fact that $\theta_i = 90^\circ - \theta_p$, it follows that	
$n_i \sin \theta_p = n_i \cos \theta_p$	
and	
$\tan \theta_p = n_t/n_t.$	(8.25

This is known as Brewster's law after the man vho overed it empirically, Sir David Brewster (1781-

1868), professor of physics at St. Andrewer 1868), professor of physics at bt. Andrewski, and, of course, inventor of the kaleidoscop When the incident beam is in air n, a sum transmitting medium is glass, in which case the polarization angle is ~56°. Similarly if an incidence which the surface of a running of the polarization angle is ~55°. Similarly if an ired beam strikes the surface of a pond (n, H_2O) at an angle of 53°, the reflected beam completely polarized with its E-field perpend the plane of incidence or, if you like, parall water's surface (Fig. 8.41). This suggests a rate way to locate the transmission axis of an polarizer; one just needs a piece of glass or polarizer; one just needs a piece of glass or The problem immediately encountered in this phenomenon to construct an effective and this phenomenon to construct an effective p in the fact that the reflected beam, although polarized, is weak, and the transmitted beam strong, is only partially polarized. One set trated in Fig. 8.42, is often referred to a s polarizer. It was invented by Dominique F. J. Arapan





Figure 8.41 Light off a puddle is parti ized. (a) When viewe a Polaroid filter wh ground, the glas visible. When



The pile-of-plates polarizer.

1812. Devices of this kind can be fabricated s plates in the visible, silver chloride plates in red, and quartz or vycor in the ultraviolet. It's to construct a crude arrangement of this adozen or so microscope slides. (The beautiful may appear when the slides are io contact ed in the next chapter.)

8.6.1 Application of the Fresnel Equations

pter 4 we obtained a set of formulas known as mations, which describe the effects of an e particulations, which describe the effects of an g affectromagnetic plane wave falling on the e between two different dielectric media. These relate the reflected and transmitted field to the incident amplitude by way of the icidence θ_i and transmission θ_i . For linear its E-field parallel to the plane of incidence ing the amplitude reflection coefficient as $r_{\parallel} =$ that is, the ratio of the reflected to incident amplitudes. Similarly when the electric field to the incident plane, we have $r_{\perp} \equiv [E_0, / E_0]$. ponding irradiance ratio (the incident and ot beams have the same cross-sectional area) is the square of the amplitude of the field, $\mathbb{R}_{i} = r_{i}^{2} - [\mathbb{E}_{0i}/\mathbb{E}_{0i}]_{1}^{2}$ and $R_{\perp} = r_{\perp}^{2} - [E_{0i}/E_{0i}]_{\perp}^{2}$.

1- ma the appropriate Fresnel equations yields

 $R_{i} = \frac{\tan^{2}\left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{i}\right)}{\tan^{2}\left(\theta_{i} + \theta_{i}\right)}$

(8.26)

8.6 Polarization by Reflection 299

$$R_{\perp} = \frac{\sin^2 (\theta_i - \theta_i)}{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_i)}.$$
 (8.27)

Observe that whereas R_{\perp} can never be zero, R_{\parallel} is indeed zero when the denominator is infinite, that is, when $\theta_i + \theta_i = 90^\circ$. The reflectance, for linear light with **E** $E_{i1} = 0$ and the plane of incidence, the number of vanishes; $E_{i1} = 0$ and the beam is completely transmitted. This is of course the essence of Brewster's law.

and

If the incoming light is unpolarized, we can represent it by two now familiar orthogonal, incoherent, equal-amplitude *P*-states. Incidentally, the fact that they are equal in amplitude means that the amount of energy in one of these two polarization states is the same as that in the other (i.e., $I_{i,\parallel} = I_{i,\perp} = I_i/2$), which is quite reasonable. Thus

$I_{r\|}=I_{r\|}I_{i}/2I_{i\|}=R_{\|}I_{i}/2,$

and in the same way $I_{r\perp} = R_{\perp}I_i/2$. The reflectance in natural light, $R = I_r/I_i$, is therefore given by

 $R = \frac{I_{\rm eff} + I_{\rm p,i}}{I_{\rm f}} = \frac{1}{2} (R_{\rm i} + R_{\perp}). \label{eq:R_eff}$ (8.28)

Figure 8.43 is a plot of Eqs. (8.26), (8.27), and (8.28) Figure 8.43 is a plot of Eqs. (8.26), (8.27), and (8.28) for the particular case when $n_i = 1$ and $n_i = 1.5$. The middle curve, which corresponds to incident natural light, shows that only about 7.5% of the incoming light is reflected when $\theta_i = \theta_i$. The transmitted light is then evidently partially polarized. When $\theta_i \neq \theta_p$ both the transmitted and reflected waves are partially polarized. It is often desirable to make use of the concept of the degree of polarization V, defined generally as

$$V = \frac{I_p}{I_p + I_u}$$
, (8.25)

in which I_{ν} and I_{ν} are the constituent flux densities of in which I_a and I_a are the constituent flux densities of polarized and unpolarized [ight. For example, if $I_a = 4$ W/m² and $I_a = 6$ W/m², then V = 40% and the beam is partially polarized. With unpolarized light $I_b = 0$ and obviously V = 0, whereas at the opposite extreme, if $I_a = 0, V = 1$ and the light is completely polarized; thus $0 \le V \le 1$. One frequently deals with partially polarized, linear, quasimonothromatic light. In that case if we rotate an analyzer in the beam, there will be an



Figure 8.43 Reflectance versus incident angle

orientation at which the transmitted irradiance is maximum (I_{max}) , and perpendicular to this, a direction where it is minimum (I_{min}) . Clearly $I_p = I_{max} - I_{min}$, and

$$V = \frac{I_{\max} - I_{\min}}{I_{\max} + I_{\min}}.$$

(8.30)

Note that V is actually a property of the beam, which may obviously be partially or even completely polarized before encountering any sort of polarizer.

8.7 RETARDERS

We shall now consider a class of optical elements known as retarders, which serve to change the polarization of an incident wave. In principle the operation of a retar-der is quite simple. One of the two constituent coherent 9-states is somehow caused to lag in phase behind the other by a predetermined amount. Upon emerging from the retarder, the relative phase of the two com-ponents is different than it was initially, and thus the polarization state is different as well. Indeed, once we have developed the concept of the retarder, y able to convert any given polarization state other and in so doing create circular any polarizers as well.

8.7.1 Wave Plates and Rhombs

Recall that a plane monochromatic wave Recall that a plane monochromatic wave incadu uniaxial crystals, such as critice, is generally at two, emerging as an ordinary and an exter-beam. In contrast, we can cut and polish a cal-so that its optic axis will be normal to both and back surfaces (Fig. 8.44). A normally inclu-wave can only have its E-field perpendicular axis. The secondary spherical and ellipsicity will be wave to avoid the site of the in the design. wave can only have its believe per period and ellipsoid, will be tangent to each other in the direct optic axis. The ϕ - and c-waves, which are di-these wavelets, will be coincident, and a single ted plane wave will pass through the crystal ted plane wave will pass through the crystal no relative phase shifts and no double image. Now suppose that the direction of the optic arranged to be parallel to the front and back sur-as shown in Fig. 8.45. If the E-field of fit me monochromatic plane wave has components put and perpendicular to the optic axis, two senares pr waves will propagate through the crystal. Su-no π_{s} , and the e-wave will move across the more rapidly than the e-wave. After travers more rapidly than the σ -wave. After traversion of thickness d the resultant electromagnetic superposition of the e- and σ -waves, which is relative phase difference of $\Delta \varphi$. Keep in mind are harmonic waves of the same frequer fields are orthogonal. The relative optical p difference is given by

 $\Lambda = d(|n_o - n_e|),$

and since $\Delta \varphi = k_0 \Lambda$,

$\Delta \varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} d(|n_o - n_e|).$

* If you have a calcite rhomb, find the blunt our crystal until you are looking along the direction through one of the faces. The two images will on completely overlap.



8,44 A case's plate cut perpendicular to the optic axis.

there is a always, is the wavelength in vacuum (the form application) is the absolute value of the index of the most general statement. The state of the emergent light evidently depends litudes of the incoming orthogonal field and of course on $\Delta \varphi$.

ull-Wave Plate

Use is equal to 2π , the relative retardation is one elength; the e and e-waves are back in phase, and it is no observable effect on the polarization of the ident manchromatic beam. When the relative relation 2φ , which is also known as the retardance, is **Here a** Δe_{γ} which is also known as the retardance, is the device is called a *full-wave plate*. (This does not in that $d = \lambda_{1}$ In general the quantity $|n_{n} - n_{1}|$ in effectively as $1/\lambda_{0}$. Evidently a full-wave plate for only in the manner discussed for a parand neutron and the second sec ingly will undergo some retardance and will charge from the wave plate as various

8.7 Retarders 301



Figure 8.45 A calcite plate cut parallel to the optic axis

forms of elliptical light. Some portion of this light will proceed through the analyzer, finally emerging as the complementary color to that which was extinguished. It is a common error to assume that a full-wave plate behaves as if it were isotropic at all frequencies; it

behaves as if it were isotropic at all frequencies; it obviously doesn't. Recall that in calcite, the wave whose **E**-field vibrations are parallel to the optic axis travels fastest, that is, $m_2 \ge v_1$. The direction of the optic axis in a negative uniaxial retarder is therefore often referred to as the **fast axis**, and the direction perpendicular to it is the **slow axis**. For *positive* uniaxial crystack, such a quartz, these principal axes are reversed, with the slow axis corresponding to the optic axii corresponding to the optic axis.

The Half-Wave Plate

A retardation plate that introduces a relative phase difference of π radians or 180° between the o- and e-wave is known as a half-wave plate. Suppose that the plane of vibration of an incoming beam of linear light makes some arbitrary angle θ with the fast axis, as shown makes some arbitrary angle θ with the last axis, as shown in Fig. 8.46. In a negative material the e-wave will have a higher speed (same ν) and a longer wavelength than the o-wave. When the waves emerge from the plate there will be a relative phase shift of $\lambda_0/2$ (that is, $2\pi/2$ radians), with the effect that **E** will have rotated through 2θ . Going back to Fig. 8.7, it should be evident that a





half-wave plate will similarly flip elliptical light. In addition, it will invert the handedness of circular or elliptical light, changing right to left and vice yessa

Lot, it was interfaced in anterine of the set of the plan. As the *e*- and *e*-waves progress through any retardation plate, their relative phase difference A₀ increases, and the state of polarization of the wave therefore gradually changes from one point in the plate to the next. Figure 8.7 can be envisioned as a sampling of a few of these states at one instant in time taken at different locations. Evidently if the thickness of the material is such that

$d(|n_o - n_e|) = (2m + 1)\lambda_0/2,$

where m = 0, 1, 2, ..., it will function as a half-wave plate ($\Delta \varphi = \pi, 3\pi, 5\pi$, etc.).

Although its behavior is simple to visualize, calcite is actually not often used to make retardation plates. It is quite brittle and difficult to handle in thin slices, but more than that, its birefringence, the difference Entrance plane

between n, and n,, is a bit too large for convenient On the other hand, quartz with its model and fringence is frequendly used, but it has no name cleavage planes and must be cut, ground, and gemaking it rather expensive. The biaxil crystal used most often. There are several forms of more serve the purpose admirably, for example, biogopite, biotite, or muscovite. The most comoccurring variety is the pale brown muscovite casily cleaved into strong. Bexible, and exceedentions are setting and the second second second large-area sections. Moreover, its two principal almost exactly parallel to the cleavage planes those axes the indices are about 1.599 and 1.590 and sodium light, and although these numbers varied constant. The minimum thickness of a mice plane crystal magnesium fluoride (for the IR range for 5000 nm to about 600 mirrons. Crystalling quart the IR range from 6000 nm to about 12,000 me

the IR range from 0000 nm to access also widely used for wave plates. Retarders are also made from sheets of poly alcohol that have been stretched so as to align i long-chain organic molecules. Because of the even electrons in the material do not experience nding forces along and perpendicular to the these molecules. Substances of this sort are permanently birefringent, even though they brealline.

an make a rather nice half-wave plate by just a strip of ordinary (glossy) cellophane tape a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip a strip of the strip of the strip of the strip a strip of the strip of t

arter-Wave Plate

White wave plate is an optical element that introbase a genative phase shift of $\Delta \varphi = \pi/2$ between the entrorthogonal φ - and φ -components of a wave. To one again from Fig. 8.7 that a phase shift of morver timear to elliptical light and view eversa. The paparent that linear light incident parallel principal aris will be unaffected by any sort of the plate. You can't have a relative phase without having two components. With automality, the two constituent ϑ -attes are arrived, that is, their relative phase difference randomly and rapidly. The introduction of an element phase shift by any form of retarder 8.7 Retarders 303



Figure 8.47 A hand holding a piece of Scotch tape stuck to a microscope slide between two crossed polaroids. (Photo by E.H.)

will still result in a random phase difference and thus have no noticeable effect. When linear light at 45° to either principal axis is incident on a quarter-wave plate, is o- and e-components have equal amplitudes. Under these special circumstances a 90° phase shift converts the wave into circular light. Similarly, an incoming circular beam will emerge linearly polarized.

the wave not checked angle. Similarly, all incoming the cular beam will emerge linearly polarized. Quarter-wave plates are also usually made of quart, mica, or organic polymeric plastic. In any case, the thickness of the birefringent material must satisfy the expression $d(n_i - n_i) = (4m + 1)\lambda_i/4.$ You can make a crude quarter-wave plate using household plastic food wrap, the thin stretchy stuff that comes on rolls. Like cellophane, it has ridges running in the bong direction, which coincides with a principal axis. Overlap about a half dozen hayers, being careful to keep the ridges parallel. Position the plastic at 45° to the axes of a polarizer and examine it through a rotating analyzer. Keep adding one layer at a time until the irradiance stays roughly constant as the analyzer turns; at that point you will have circular light and a quarter-wave plate. This is easier said than done in white light, but it's well worth trying.

tit's well worth trying. Commercial wave plates are generally designated by their *linear retardation*, which might be, for example, 140 nm for a quarter-wave plate. This simply means

that the device has a 90° retardance only for green light of wavelength 560 nm (i.e., 4 × 140). The linear retardation is usually not given quite that precisely; 140 \pm 20 nm is more realistic. The retardation of a wave plate can be increased or decreased from its specified value by tilting it somewhat. If the plate is rotated about its fast axis, the retardation of linercase, whereas a rotation about the slow axis has the opposite effect. In this way a wave plate can be tuned to a specific frequency in a region about its nominal value.

The Fresnel Rhomb

We saw in Chapter 4 that the process of total internal reflection introduced a relative phase difference between the two orthogonal field components. In other words, the components parallel and perpendicular to the plane of incidence were shifted in phase with respect to each other. In glass (n = 1.51) a shift of 45⁸ accompanies internal reflection at the particular incident angle of 54.6⁶ [Fig. 4.25(c)]. The Fresael shom in Fig. 8.48 utilizes this effect by causing the beam to be internally reflected wice, thereby imparting a 90° relative phase shift to its components. [If the incoming plane wave is linearly polarized at 45⁶ to the plane of incidence, the field components [E_i]₁ and [E_i]₂, will



Figure 8.48 The Fresnel rhomb.



initially be equal. After the first reflection to within the glass will be elliptically polarized second reflection it will be circular. Since the is almost independent of frequency over a large the rhomb is essentially an *advancedic* 90° retard Mooney rhomb (n = 1.65) shown in Fig. 8.49]

in principle, although its operating character

6.7.2 Compensators

A compensator is an optical device that is capable of ing a controllable relationer on a wave. Unlike plate where $\Delta \phi$ is fixed, the relative phase dimarising from a compensator can be varied contin-Of the many different kinds of compensators consider only two of those that are used most wider. The Babinet compensator, depicted in Fig. 50 two independent calcite, or more common wedges whose optic axes are indicated by file most dots in the figure. A ray passing vertically through the device at some arbitrary point will be a thickness of J_1 in the upper wedge and J_2 is through the device at some arbitrary point will a thickness of J_1 in the upper wedge and J_2 in the prism, which this system Cosely resembles in has larger angles and is much thicker, the ϕ in the upper wedge become the e - and ϕ rays (the wedge angle is typically about 2.5°), and of the rays is negligible. The total phase

$\Delta \varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} (d_1 - d_2) (|n_o - n_e|). \tag{8.33}$

The second part of the second p



Figure 8.51 The Soleil compensato

unknown plate can be found by placing it on the compensator and examining the fringe shift it produces.

pensator and examining the fringe shift it produces. The Babinet can be modified to produce a uniform retardation over its surface by merely votating the top wedge 180° about the vertical, so that its thin edge rests on the thin edge of the lower wedge. This configuration will, however, slightly deviate the beam. Another variation of the Babinet, which has the advantage of producing a uniform retardance over its surface and no beam deviation, is the *Solid compensator* shown in Fig. 8.51. Generally made of quarts (although MgF, and CdS are used in the infrared), it consists of two wedges and one plane-parallel slab whose optic axes are oriented as indicated. The quantity d; corresponds to the total thickness of both wedges, which is constant for any setting of the positioning micrometer screw.

8.8 CIRCULAR POLARIZERS

Earlier we concluded that linear light whose **E**-field is at 45° to the principal axes of a quarter-wave plate will emerge from that plate circularly polarized. Any series combination of an appropriately oriented linear polarizer and a 90° retarder will therefore perform as a **circular polarizer**. The two elements function completely independently, and whereas one might be bire-



fringent, the other could be of the reflection type. The handedtess of the emergent circular light depends on whether the transmission axis of the linear polarizer is at $+45^\circ$ or -45° to the fast axis of the retarder. Either circular state, \mathcal{S} or \mathcal{R} , can be generated quite easily. In fact, if the linear polarizer is situated between two retarders, one oriented at $+45^\circ$ and the other at -45° , the combination will be "ambidextrous." In short, it will yield an \mathcal{R} -state for light entering from one side and an \mathcal{S} -state when the input is on the other side.

an 2-state when the input list on the other side. CP-HN is the commercial designation for a popular one-piece circular polarizer. It is a laminate of an HN polaroid and a stretched polyvinyl alcohol 90° retarder. The input side of such an arrangement is evidently the face of the linear polarizer. If the beam is incident on the output side (i.e., on the retarder), it will thereafter pass through the H-sheet and can only emerge linearly polarized.

A circular polarizer can be used as an analyzer to determine the handedness of a wave that is already known to be circular. To see how this might be done, imagine that we have the four elements labeled A, B, C, and D in Fig. 8.52. The first two, A and B, taken together form a circular polarizer, as do C and D. The precise handedness of these polarizers is unimportant now, as long as they are both the same, which is tantamount to saying that the fast axes of the retarders are parallel. Linear light coming from A receives a 90° retardance from B, at which point it is circular passes through C another 90° retardance is the resulting once more in a linearly polarised effect, B and C together form a half-wave merely flips the linear light from A through angle of 20, in this case 90°. Since the linear wave C is parallel to the transmission axis of D through it and out of the system. In this simple we've actually proved something that is rather a left-circular polarizers for the output side will be a left-circular polarizer for the output side will be a left-circular polarizer for the output side will be a left-circular light will be some thought, that right-circular light will 0° swill be absorbed. The converse is true as is, of the two circular polarizer for any only light in an pass through a right-circular polarizer for the output side.

8.9 POLARIZATION OF POLYCHROMATIC L

.9.1 Bandwidth and Coherence Time Polychromatic Wave

We are again reminded of the fact that by its vertice purely monochromatic light, which is of course not a



Figure 8.52 Two linear polarizers and two quarter-wave plates.

sality, must be polarized. The two orthogonal ats of such a wave have the same frequency, as a constant amplitude. If the amplitude of bodd component varied, it would be by the presence of other additional frequenbourier-analyzed spectrum. Moreover, the constant is they are coherent. A monochromatic is an infinite wavetrain whose properties is an infinite wavetrain whose properties start, the wave is completely polarized.

Senter, the wave is completely polarized. In four sources are polychromatic; that is to say, data tenergy having a range of frequencies, sexamine what happens on a submicroscopic ge particular attention to the polarized in the polychromatic polychromatic polychromatic rescited into vibration (possibly by a coltherepon radiates. Depending on its precise and color of the polychromatic

8.9 Polarization of Polychromatic Light 307

short-lived. Evidently the concepts of polarization and coherence are related in a fundamental way. Now consider a wave whose bandwidth is very small

In comparison with its mean frequency, in other words, a quasimonochromatic wave. It can be represented by two orthogonal harmonic \mathscr{P} -states, as in Eqs. (8.1) and (8.2), but here the amplitudes and epoch angles are functions of time. Furthermore, the frequency and propagation number correspond to the mean values of the spectrum present in the wave, namely, $\tilde{\omega}$ and \tilde{k} . Thus

and

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{i}} E_{0\mathbf{x}}(t) \cos \left[\mathbf{k} \mathbf{z} - \bar{\omega} t + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right] \qquad (8.34a)$

 $\mathbf{E}_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{k} E_{0j}(t) \cos{\left\{\frac{k}{k} - \omega t + c_{j}(t)\right\}}, \quad (a.34s)$ The polarization state, and accordingly $E_{0i}(t), E_{0j}(t), a, (d, t), (d, t), will vary slowly, remaining essentially$ constant over a large number of oscillations. Keep in mind that the narrow bandwidth implies a relativelylarge coherence time. If we watch the wave during a much longer interval, the amplitudes and epoch angles will vary somehow, either independently or in somecorrelated fashion. If the variations are completely uncorrelated, the polarization state will remain constantonly for an interval, small compared to the coherencetime. In other words, the ellipse describing the polarization state may change shape, orientation, andhandedness. Since, speaking practically, no existingdetector could discern any one particularly, it for air dis $was unpolarized. Antithetically, if the ratic <math>S_{0i}(t) E_{0i}(t)$ were constant even though both terms varied, and if $\varepsilon = \epsilon_{0i}(t) - \epsilon_{0i}(t)$ were the necessity for correlation among these different functions is quite obvious. Yet we can actually impress these conditions on the wave by merely passing it through a polarizer, thereby removing any undesired constituents. The time interval over which the wave thereafter maintains its polarization state is no longer dependent on the bandwidth, because the wave's components have been appropriately correlated. The light could be polychromatic (even white) yet completely polarized. It will behave very much like the idealized monochromatic waves treated in Section 8.1. Between these two extremes of completely polarized and

unpolarized light is the condition of partial polarization. In fact, it can be shown that any quasimonochromatic wave can be represented as the sum of a polarized and an unpolarized wave, where the two are independent and either may be zero.

8.9.2 Interference Colors

Insert a crumpled sheet of cellophane between two polaroids illuminated by white light. Alternatively, take an ordinary plastic bag (polyethylene), which shows nothing special between crossed polaroids, and stretch it. That will align its molecules, making it birefringent. Now crumple it up and examine it again. The resulting pattern will be a profusion of multicolored regions, which vary in hue as either polaroid rotates. These interference colors, as they are generally called, arise from the wavelength dependence of the retardation. The usual variegated nature of the patterns is due to local variations in thickness, birefringence, or both. Insert a crumpled sheet of cellophane between two local variations in thickness, birefringence, or both.

The appearance of interference colors is guite com-mon and can easily be observed in any number of substances. For example, the effect can be seen with a piece of multilayered mica, a chip of ice, a stretched plastic bag, or finely crushed particles of an ordinary white (quartz) pebble. To appreciate phenomenon occurs, examine Fig. 8.53, An of monochromatic linear light is schematin passing through some small region of a by plate 2. Over that area the birefringence and are both assumed to be constant. The transities is cenerally elliptical. Equivalently, we envira is generally elliptical. Equivalently, we envi emerging from Σ as composed of two orth-waves (i.e., the x- and y-components of the which have a relative phase difference $\Delta \varphi$ by Eq. (8.32). Only the components of th by Eq. (8.32). Only the components of the second bances, which are in the direction of the second se axis of the analyzer, will pass through it and on to observer. Now these components, which also be phase difference of $\Delta \varphi$, are coplanar and each fere. When $\Delta \varphi = \pi, 3\pi, 5\pi, \ldots$, they are compo-out of phase and cancel each other. When a 0, $2\pi, 4\pi, \ldots$, the waves are in phase and relation other. Suppose then that the retardance arisin point P₁ on S for blue light ($\lambda_0 = 435$ mm) [355 case blue will be strongly transmitted. It follows Fe ($\Lambda, 32$) have $\lambda_0 = 2\pi \frac{2\pi}{40}$. Eq. (8.32) that $\lambda_0 \Delta \varphi = 2\pi d(|n_0 - n_e|)$ is essential stant determined by the thickness and the gence. At the point in question, therefore 1740 π for all wavelengths. If we now change yellow light ($\lambda_0 = 580 \text{ nm}$), $\Delta \varphi \approx 3\pi$ and t



tely canceled. Under white-light illumina-

which light interacts with material subcan yield a great deal of valuable information their molecular structures. The process to be t, although of specific interest in the study thad and is continuing to have far-reaching an the sciences of chemistry and biology. In 1611 the French physicist Dominique F. J. Arago Science and Arago Science and Sci possible activity. It was then that he discovered plane of vibration of a beam of linear light continuous rotation as it propagated along and of a quartz plate (Fig. 8.54). At about the Jean Baptise Biol (1774-1862) saw this same using both the vaporous and liquid forms futural substances like turpentine. Any such using the E-field of an incident linear to appear to rotate is said to be optically extra substances in the must distinguish

as Biot found, one must distinguish



Figure 8.54 Optical activity displayed by quartz.

between right- and left-handed rotation. If while looking in the direction of the source, the plane of vibration appears to have revolved clockwise, the substance is referred to as *destrorotatory*, or *d-rotatory* (from the Latin dextro, meaning right). Alternatively, if E appears to have been displaced counterclockwise, the material is *levorationy*, or *l-rotatory* (from the Latin *levo*, meaning left). left)

In 1822 the English astronomer Sir John F. W. Herschel (1792-1871) recognized that *d*-rotatory and *l*-rotatory behavior in quartz actually corresponded to two different crystallographic structures. Although the molecules are identical (SiO₂), crystal quartz can be either right- or left-handed, depending on the arrange-ment of those molecules. As shown in Fig. 8.55, the



Figure 8.55 Right- and left-handed quartz crystals

Figure 8.53 The origin of interference color:





external appearances of these two forms are the same in all respects, except that one is the mirror image of the other; they are said to be *manimorphy* of each the other; they are said to be *mantiomorphy* of each other. All transparent enantiomorphic substances are optically active. Furthermore, molten quartz and *fused* quartz, neither of which is crystalline, are not optically active. Evidently, in quartz optical activity is associated with the structural distribution of the molecules as a whole. There are many substances, both organic and inorganic (e.g., benzil and NaBrO₃, respectively), which, like quartz, exhibit optical activity only in crystal form. In contrast, many naturally occurring organic form. In contrast, many naturally occurring organic compounds, such as sugar, tartaric acid, and turpentine, are optically active in solution or in the liquid state. Here the rotatory power, as it is often referred to, is evidently an attribute of the individual molecules. There

are also more complicated substances for with activity is associated with both the molecules in and their arrangement within the various do example is rubidium tarrate. A *d*-rotation solution that compound will change to *l*-rotatory wheat go tallized. In 1825 Fresnel, without addressing the act

In 1825 Freshel, without addressing mechanism involved, proposed a simple pi-logical description of optical activity. Since linear wave can be represented as a superpo-and \mathscr{S} -states, he suggested that these two circular light propagate at different speech material shows circular birefringence; that is two indices of refraction, one for \mathscr{R} -states if for \mathscr{L} -states $(n_{\mathscr{D}})$. In traversing an optically men the two circular ways would ore of men, the two circular waves would get o



Sultant linear wave would appear to have be can see how this is possible analytically by to Eqs. (8.8) and (8.9), which described matic right- and left-circular light propagat-adirection. It was seen in Eq. (8.10) that the set we waves is indeed linearly polarized. We have correstions slightly in order to eccent ese expressions slightly in order to remove two in the amplitude of Eq. (8.10), in which

 $\hat{g}_{\mu} = \frac{E_2}{2} \left[\int \cos \left[\hat{k}_{\mu} z - \omega t \right] + \hat{j} \sin \left(k_{\mu} z - \omega t \right) \right] \quad (8.55a)$

 $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{0}}{2} \left[\hat{i} \cos \left\{ k_{0} t - \omega t \right\} - \hat{j} \sin \left(k_{2} t - \omega t \right) \right] \quad (8.35b)$

he right- and left-handed constituent waves. Constant, $k_{\mathcal{R}} = k_0 n_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $k_{\mathcal{L}} = k_0 n_{\mathcal{L}}$. The resul-fibance is given by $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{L}}$, and after a mometric manipulation, it becomes

 $t = \xi_{scos} \left[(k_{\mathcal{R}} + k_{\mathcal{L}}) z/2 - \omega t \right] \left[\hat{i} \cos \left(k_{\mathcal{R}} - k_{\mathcal{L}} \right) z/2 \right]$ + Tain $(k_{\mathcal{R}} - k_{\mathcal{L}})z/2$].

(8.36) osition where the wave enters the medium is linearly polarized along the x-axis, as shown

(8.37)

(8.38)

$\mathbf{E} = E_0 \hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos \omega t$

any point along the path, the two com-Tay the same time dependence and are there-tase. This just means that anywhere along the gesultant is linearly polarized (Fig. 8.57), igh its orientation is certainly a function of z. if $n_{\mathcal{R}} > n_{\mathcal{L}}$ or equivalently $k_{\mathcal{R}} > k_{\mathcal{R}}$, E will conterclockwise, whereas if $k_{\mathcal{L}} > k_{\mathcal{R}}$, the rotackwise (looking toward the source). Tradiangle β through which E rotates is defined then it is clockwise. Keeping this sign con-nd, it should be clear from Eq. (8.36) that but z makes an angle of $\beta = -(k_R - k_Z)/2$ to its original orientation. If the medium d, the angle through which the plane of es is then

 $\beta = \frac{\pi d}{\lambda_0} (n_{\mathcal{L}} - n_{\Re}),$



Figure 8.58 The superposition of an \Re - and an \mathscr{L} -state at z = d $(k_{\mathscr{L}} > k_{\Re}, k_{\mathscr{L}} > k_{\Re}, \lambda_{\mathscr{L}} < \lambda_{\Re}, \text{ and } v_{\mathscr{L}} < v_{\Re}).$

where $n_{\mathcal{R}} > n_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}$ is d-rotatory and $n_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}} > n_{\mathcal{R}}$ is l-rotatory (Fig. 8.58). Fresnel was actually able to separate the constituent

Area d. 2-states of a linear beam using the composite prism of Fig. 8.59. It consists of a number of right- and left-handed quartz segments cut with their optic axes as shown. The A-state propagates more rapidly in the first prism than in the second and is thus refracted toward the normal to the oblique boundary. The opposite is true for the L-state, and the two circular



Figure 8.59 The Fresnel composite prism.

Figure 8.50 Right-handed quartz

waves increase in angular separation at each interface. waves increase in angular separation at each intervace. In sodium light the specific valuery power, which is defined as β/d , is found to be $21.7^{n}/m$ for quartz. Thus it follows that $|n_{er} - n_{el}| = 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ for light propagating along the optic axis. In that particular direction ordinary double refraction. of course, vanishes. However, with the incident light propagating normal to the optic axis (as is frequently the case in polarizing nisms wave nalets and compensators) normal to the optic axis (as is frequently the case in polarizing prisms, wave plates, and compensators), quartz behaves like any optically inactive, positive, uniaxial crystal. There are other birefringent, optically active crystals, both uniaxial and biaxial, such as cin-nabar, HgS ($n_s = 2.854, n_r = 3.201$), which has a rota-tory power of $32.5^\circ/mm$. In contrast, the substance NaClO₃ is optically active (3.1°/mm) but not birefrin-gent. The rotatory power of liquids, in comparison, is a relatively small but it is unrule neoficied in across of so relatively small that it is usually specified in terms of 10-cm path lengths; for example, in the case of turpen-tine ($C_{10}H_6$) it is only $-37^\circ/10$ cm (10° C with $\lambda_0 =$ 589.3 nm). The rotatory power of solutions varies with boost may rise transfer post-the concentration. This fact is particularly helpful in determining, for example, the amount of sugar presen-in a urine sample or a commercial sugar syrup. You can observe optical activity rather easily using

colorless corn syrup, the kind available in any grocery store. You won't need much of it, since β/d is roughly +30°/inch. Put about an inch of syrup in a glass con-

tainer between crossed polaroids and illu a flashlight. The beautiful colors that an analyzer is rotated arise from the fact that β_{0} , an effect known as *rotatory dispersion*. of λ_0 , an effect known as rotatory dispersion. University to get roughly monochromatic light, you can read determine the rotatory power of the syrue. The first great scientific contribution matching to the Pasteur (1822-1895) came in 1848 and can more the

with his doctoral research. He showed that which is an optically inactive form of tartard add, actually composed of a mixture containing titles of right- and left-handed constituents of this sort, which have the same molecule but differ somehow in structure, are called was able to crystallize racemic acid and the the two different types of mirror-image cry and H tiomorphs) that resulted. When dissolv water, they formed *d*-rotatory and *l*-rotator This implied the existence of molecules the chemically the same, were themselves mirro each other; such molecules are now know stereoisomers. These ideas were the basis for t

* A gelatin litter works well, but a piece of colored also do nicely, Just remember that the cellophane t plate (see Section 8.7.1), so don't put it between the you align its principal axes appropriately.

reochemistry of organic and inorganic are one is concerned with the three-ial distribution of atoms within a given

10.1 Usefui Model

Total Model omenon of optical activity is extremely com-and linkough it can be treated in terms of boundary of the second second second second implified model, which will yield a qualita-tible das optically isotropic medium by a cost distribution of isotropic electron-osci-vibrated parallel to the E-field of an incident potally anisotropic medium was similarly a firstibution of anisotropic oscillators that a core angle to the driving E-field. We now me angle to the driving E-field. We now he electrons in optically active substances to move along twisting paths that, for gassumed to be helical. In other words, le is pictured much as if it were a conduct-he silicon and oxygen atoms in a quartz known to be arranged in either right- or irals about the optic axis, as indicated in spurals about the optic axis, as indicated in n the present representation this crystal spond to a parallel array of helices. In an active sugar solution would be to a distribution of randomly oriented likewing the same handedness.⁺ womight anticipate that the incoming wave differently with the specimen, depending

"Optical Activity and Molecular Dissymmetry," mp. Phys. 9, 239 (1968), contains a fairly extensive ther reading.

To here sold and liquid states, there is a third hubtances, which is rather useful because of its all opporties. Its Known as the mesonphilo of liquid hild synals are organic compounds that can flow and its characteristic molecular actionations. Is particular cythat have a helical structure and therefore exhibit foldory powers, of the order of 40.000/mm. The Kilka molecular arrangement is considerably smaller th.

8.10 Optical Activity 313

on whether it "saw" right- or left-handed helices. Thus on whether is any type of inclusion of the R- and Z-components of the wave. The detailed treatment of the process that leads to circular birefringence in crystals is by no means simple, but at least the necessary asymis of no nearies supply, out as the nearies of the nearies of the near a standom array of helices, corresponding to a solution, produce optical activity? Let us examine one such molecule in this simplified representation, for example, one whose axis plined representation, for example, one whose axis happens to be parallel to the harmonic **B**-field of the electromagnetic wave. That field will drive charges up and down along the length of the molecule, effectively producing a time-varying electric dipole moment $\mathbf{a}(t)$, parallel to the axis. In addition, we now have a current associated with the spiraling motion of the electrons.



Figure 8.61 The radiation from helical molecules

This in turn generates an oscillating magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{w}(l)$, which is also along the helix axis (Fig. 8.61). In contrast, if the molecule were parallel to the **B**-field of the wave, there would be a time-varying flux and thus an induced electron current circulating around the molecule. This would again yield oscillating axial electric and magnetic dipole moments. In either case $\phi(l)$ and w(l) will be parallel or antiparallel to each other depending on the sness of the particular molecular helix. Clearly, energy has been removed from the field, and both oscillating dipoles will scatter (i.e., reradiate) electromagnetic waves. The electric field **B**_w emitted in a given direction by an electric dipole is perpendicular to the electric field **E**_w emitted by a magnetic dipole. Accordingly, the sum of these, which is the resultant field **E**, scattered by a helix, will not be parallel to the incident field **E**_s along the direction of propagation (the same is of course true for the magnetic fields). The plane of vibration of the resultant transmitted light (**E**, + **E**) will thus be rotated in a direction determined by the sense of the helix. The amount of the rotation will vary with the orientation of each molecule, but it will always be in the same direction for helices of the same sense.

same sense. Although this discussion of optically active molecules as helical conductors is admittedly superficial, the analogy is well worth keeping in mind. In fact, if we direct a linear 3-cm microwave beam onto a box filled with a large number of identical copper helices (e.g., 1 cm long by 0.5 cm in diameter and insulated from each other), the transmitted wave will undergo a rotation of its plane of vibration.*

8.10.2 Optically Active Biological Substances

Before moving on to other things, we should mention a few of what are probably the most fascinating observations associated with optical activity, namely, those in the field of biology. Whenever organic molecules are synthesized in the laboratory, an equal number of dand l-isomers are produced, with the effect that the

* I. Tinoco and M. P. Freeman, "The Optical Activity of Oriented Copper Helices," J. Phys. Chem. 61, 1196 (1957). compound is optically inactive. One might that if they exist at all, equal amounts of destances. This is by no means the case. Nature stances. This is by no means the case. Nature (success, $C_1 B_{12} O_{11}$), no matter where it is whether extracted from sugar cane or use always d-rotatory. Moreover, the simple an or d-glucose (CqH₁₂O₄), which as its nond-rotatory, is the most important carbonyn human metabolism. Evidently, living thus somehow distinguish between optical isomet All proteins are fabricated of compounds main a arids. These in turn are combinations hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen. There are been

amino acids. These in turn are combined hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen. There are simplest one, glycine, which is not enantiono generally *l*-rotatory. This means that if we bread up protein molecule, whether it comes from an eggplant, a beetle or a Beatle, the constitued and acids will be *l*-rotatory. One important effects group of antibiotics, such as penicillin, when some dextro amino acids. In fact, this may yeal a for the toxic effect penicillin has on bacteria It is initizine to speculate about the prosent

It is intriguing to speculate about the possible of life on this and other planets. For example, on Earth originally consist of both mirrorfice five amino acids were found in a meteorific Victoria, Australia, on September 28, 2007 at an has revealed the existence of roughly equal and the optically right- and left-handed forms, marked contrast to the overwhelming prefethe left-handed form found in terrestrial rocks in implications are many and marvelous.⁹

8.11 INDUCED OPTICAL EFFECTS - OPTIC

There are a number of different physical effecting polarized light that all share the single feature of somehow being externally indigenerations are external influence.

* See Physics Today, Feb. 1971, p. 17, for additional directores for further reading.

8.11 Induced Optical Effects-Optical Modulators 315

the a magnetic or electric field) on the **manner in which**

an.1 otoelasticity

Pavid Brewster discovered that normally corropic substances could be made optically us the application of mechanical stress. The is variously known as mechanical birefrintional stress birefringence. Under comorasion the material takes on the properties stress of the stress of the properties of the induced birefringence is proported birefringence is proported the stress. Clearly then, if the stress is not form wet the sample, neither is the birefringence incomposed on a transmitted wave [Eq.

clasticity serves as the basis of a technique for the stresses in both transparent and opaque a structures (Fig. 8.62). Improperly annealed by mounted glass, whether serving as an



Den 8.62 A clear plants triangle between polaroids. (Photo by E.H.)

automobile windshield or a telescope lens, will develop internal stresses that can easily be detected. Information concerning the surface strain on opaque objects can be obtained by bonding photoelastic coatings to the parts under study. More commonly, a transparent scale model of the part is made out of a material *optically sensitive to stress*, such as epoxy, glyptol, or modified polyester resins. The model is then subjected to the forces that the actual component would experience in use. Since the birefringence varies from point to point over the surface of the model, when it is placed between crossed polarizers, a complicated variegated fringe pattern will reveal the internal stresses. Examine almost any piece of clear plastic or even a block of unflavored gelatin between two polaroids; try stressing if further and watch the pattern change accordingly (Fig. 8.63). The retardance at any point on the sample is propor-

The retardance at any point on the sample is proportional to the principal stress difference, that is, $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)$, where the sigmas are the orthogonal principal stresses. For example, if the sample were a plate under vertical tension, σ_i would be the maximum principal stress in the vertical direction and σ_2 would be the minimum principal stress, in this case zero, horizontally. In more complicated situations, the principal stresses, as well as





Figure 8.63 A stressed piece of clear plastic between polaroids. (Photo by E.H.)

their differences, will vary from one region to the next. Under white-light illumination, the loci of all points on the specimen for which $(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)$ is constant are known as isochromatic regions, and each such region corresponds to a particular color. Superimposed on these colored fringes will be a separate system of black bands. At any point where the E-field of the incident linear light is parallel to either local principal stress axis, the wave will pass through the sample unaffected, regardless of wavelength. With crossed polarizers, that light will be also nobed by the analyzer, yielding a black region known as an isochrize band (Problem 8.35). In addition to being beautiful to look at, the fringes also provide both a qualitative map of the stress pattern and a basis for quantitative calculations.

8.11.2 The Faraday Effect

Michael Faraday in 1845 discovered that the manner in which light propagated through a material medium could be influenced by the application of an external magnetic field. In particular, he found that the plane of vibration of linear light incident on a piece of glass rotated when a strong magnetic hield was applied in the propagation direction. The **Faraday or magneto-optic** effect was one of the earliest indications of the inter-



relationship between electromagnetism, Although it is reminiscent of *optical activity* we shall see, an important distinction between effects.

effects. The angle β (measured in minutes of arc) transwhich the plane of vibration rotates is given by the empirically determined expression

 $\beta = \mathcal{V}Bd$,

where B is the static magnetic flux density gauss), d is the length of medium traversed T' is a factor of proportionality known constant. The Verdet constant for a particular varies with both frequency (dropping off decreases) and temperature. It is roughly of 10⁻⁵ min of arc gauss⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for gases of arc gauss⁻² cm⁻¹ to solids and liquid 8.2). You can get a better feeling for the mean these numbers by imagining, for examples sample of H_O in the moderately large flux (the Earth's field is about one half gauss) ticular case, a rotation of 2°11' would result and 0.0131.

By convention, a positive Verdet conduct a (diamagnetic) material for which the Forl-rotatory when the light moves parallel to the age and d-rotatory when it propagates antiparalli 8.11 Induced Optical Effects-Optical Modulators 317

reversal of handedness occurs in the case optical activity. For a convenient mmemonic, the field to be generated by a solenoidal coil the sample. The plane of vibration, when the same direction as the current of the beam's propagation directer is axis. The effect can, accordingly, be dy reflecting the light back and forth a few month the sample.

The share the sample. The share treatment of the Faraday effect inthe share treatment of the Faraday effect inthe share of B on the atomic or molecular the share of B on the atomic or molecular share the share of B on the share of the share share the share of the share of the share of the share the share of the orbit share of the share of the share of the orbit share of the share of the share of the orbit share of the share of the share of the orbit share of the share of the share of the orbit share of the constant B-field. The total radial the the share store of the share of the islass the share of the share of the islass of share of the constant B-field. The total radial the the clastic restoring force) can therefore the share of the share of the islass of share of the share of the islass of share of the share of the islass of share of the index of refraction, n_a and n_x . The share how of the share of share fashof Fresnel's treatment of optical activity. As

(invite)	Temperature (°C)	𝒴 (min of arc gauss ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)
1000	18	0.0317
	20	0.0151
Ter a	16	0.0359
Line and a second	20	0.0166
of his one	26	-0.00058
	0	6.27×10^{-6}
Statement of the local division of the local	0	9.39×10^{-6}

of 760 mm Hg. Stings are given in the usual handbooks. before, one speaks of two normal modes of propagation of electromagnetic waves through the medium, the Aand &-states. For ferromagnetic substances things are somewhat

For ferromagnetic substances things are somewhat more complicated. In the case of a magnetized material β is proportional to the component of the magnetization in the direction of propagation rather than the component of the applied dc field.

There are a number of practical applications of the Faraday effect. It can be used to analyze mixtures of hydrocarbons, since each constituent has a characteristic magnetic rotation. Moreover, when utilized in spectroscopic studies it yields information about the properties of energy states above the ground level. In recent times the Faraday effect has been put to even more exciting and promising uses. Since the advent of the laser in the and promising best since the advent has been made to utilize the enormous potential of laser light as a com-munications medium (see Section 7.2.6). An essential component of any such system is the modulator, whose function it is to impress information on the beam. Such a device must have the capability of somehow varying the lightwave at high speeds and in a controlled fashion. It mights for example, alter the wave's amplitude, polarization, propagation direction, phase, or frequency in a manner related to the signal that is to be transmitted. The Faraday effect provides one possible basis for such a modulator. Clearly, if a device of this sort is to function efficiently, each unit length of the medium must absorb as little light as possible while imparting as large a rotation to the beam as possible To this end, a number of rather exotic ferromagnetic materials have been studied. An infrared modulator of this sort was constructed by R. C. LeCraw. It utilizes the synthetic magnetic crystal yttrium-iron garnet (YIG), to which has been added a quantity of gallium. YIG has a structure similar to that of natural gem garnets. The device is depicted schematically in Fig. 8.64. A linear infrared laser beam enters the crystal from the left. A transverse dc magnetic field saturates the magnetization of the YIG crystal in that direction. The total magnetization vector (arising from the constant field and the field of the coil) can vary in direction. being tilted toward the axis of the crystal by an amount proportional to the modulating current in the coil. Since

the Faraday rotation depends on the axial component of the magnetization, the coil current controls β . The analyzer then converts this polarization modulation to amplitude modulation by way of Malus's law [Eq. (8.24)]. In short, the signal to be transmitted is introduced across the coil as a modulating voltage, and the emerging laser beam carries that information in the form of amplitude variations.

There are actually several other magneto-optic effects. We shall consider only two of these, and rather succinctly at that. The Voigt and Catom-Musion effects both arise when a constant magnetic field is applied to a transparent medium perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the incident light beam. The former occurs in vapors, whereas the latter, which is considerably stronger, occurs in liquids. In either case the medium displays birefringence similar to that of a uniaxial crystal whose optic axis is in the direction of the dc magnetic field, that is, normal to the light beam [Eq. (8.32)]. The two indices of refraction now correspond to the situations in which the plane of vibration of the wave is either normal or parallel to the constant magnetic field. It arises in liquids from an aligning of the optically and magnetically anisotropic molecules of the medium with that field. If the incoming light propagates at some angle to the static field opt- $\pi/2$, the Faraday and Cotton-Mouton efficurrently, with the former generally termlarger of the two. The Cotton-Mouton is analogue of the Kerr electro-optic effect sidered next.

8.11.3 The Kerr and Pockeis Effects

The first electro-optic effect was discovery tish physicist John Kerr (1824-1907) in 19 that an isotropic transparent substance profringent when placed in an electric field 12 takes on the characteristics of a uniaxial energy optic axis corresponds to the direction of the field. The two indices, m and m, are associate the two orientations of the plane of vibration wave, namely, parallel and perpendicular electric field, respectively. Their difference birefringence, and it is found to be

$\Delta n = \lambda_0 K E^2,$

where K is the Kerr constant. When K is pointed to the form of the second state of the Kerr constant second state of the Kerr



Att	K (in units of 10^{-7} cm statvolt ⁻²)
Substance	
C ₆ H ₆	0.0
CS ₂	3.2
CHCl ₃	-3.5
н.0	4.7
C-H-NC	123
C H NO	220

In electrostatic units, so that one must opter E in Eq. (8.40) in statvolts per cm 500 V). Observe that, as with the Cottonthe Kar effect is proportional to the square effect referred to as the quadratic electro-optic momenon in liquids is attributed to a stat of anisotropic molecules by the Ede situation is considerably more compli-

depicts an arrangement known as a Kerr ing modulator. It consists of a glass cell belectrodes, which is filled with a polar trodi, as it is called, is positioned between nolarizers whose transmission areas are at applied E-field. With zero voltage acress to light will be transmitted; the shutter is application of a modulating voltage genered, causing the cell to function as a variable and thus opening the shutter proportionterest value of such a device lies in the fast and effectively to frequencies roughly as Kerr cells, usually containing nitrobenton disulfide, have been used for a number ta variety of applications. They serve as a subspeed photography and as light-beam before of measurements of the speed tor cells are also extensively used as Qconspire 14) in pulsed laser systems. Functioning as the electrodes have an of cf man dar segarated by a distance to figure by the given by

 $\Delta \varphi = 2\pi K \ell V^2/d^2,$

8.11 Induced Optical Effects-Optical Modulators 319

where V is the applied voltage. Thus a nitrobenzene cell in which d is one cm and d is several arm will require a rather large voltage, roughly 3 × 10⁴ V, in order to respond as a half-wave plate. This is a characteristic quantity known as the half-wave voltage, V_{A2}. Another drawback is that nitrobenzene is both poisonous and explosive. Transparent solid substances, such as the mixed crystal potassium tantiate niobate (KaTao, Which Show a Kerr effect, are therefore of interest as electro-optical modulators. There is another very important electro-optical effect known as the *Poekis effect*, after the Cerman physicial

There is another very important electro-optical effect known as the *Pockels* (ffect, after the German physicist Friedrich Carl Alwin Pockels (1865–1913), who studied it extensively in 1893. It is a linear electro-optical effect, inasmuch as the induced birefringence is proportional to the first power of the applied E-field and therefore the applied voltage. The Pockels effect exists only in certain crystals that lack a center of symmetry; in other words, crystals having no central point through which every atom can be reflected into an identical atom. There are 32 crystal symmetry classes, 20 of which may show the Pockels effect. Incidentally, these same 20 classes are also piezoelectric. Thus, many crystals and all liquids are excluded from displaying a linear electrooptic effect.

The first practical Pockels cell, which could perform as a shutter or modulator, was not made until the 1940s, when suitable crystals were finally developed. The



Figure 8.65 A Kerr cell.

(8.41)

operating principle for such a device is one we've already discussed. In brief, the birefringence is varied electronically by means of a controlled applied electric field. The retardance can be altered as desired, thereby changing the state of polarization of the incident linear wave. In this way, the system functions as a polarization modulator. Early devices were made of ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (NH₄H₂PO₄), or ADP, and porasium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), known as KDP; both are still widely in use. A great improvement was provided by the introduction of single crystals of potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KD₂PO₄), or KD⁴P, which yields the same retardation with voltages less than half of those needed for KDP. This process of infusing crystals with deuterium is accomplished by growing them in a solution of heavy water. Today cells made with KD⁴P or CD⁴A (cesium dideuterium arsenate) are available conmercially. Tremendous effort has gone into research on electro-optical crystals. The development of these materials is continually adding exotic names to the jargon of the new technology, such as linhum tantalate, rubidium dihydrogen arsenate, linhum miobate, barium titanate, and barium sodium niobate, to mention only a few.

nitiobate, to mention only a few. A *Packels cell* is simply an appropriate noncentrosymmetric, orientexel, single crystal immersed in a controllable electric field. Such devices can usually be operated



Figure 8.66 A Pockels cell.

at fairly low voltages (roughly 5 to 10 times less of that of an equivalent Kerr cell); they are linear, and course there is no problem with toxic liquids. The response time of KDP is quite short, typically less the 10 ns, and it can modulate a light beam at up to ab 25 GHz (i.e., 26 × 10⁹ Hz). There are two common configurations, referred to as transverse and longing depending on whether the applied E-field is period dicular or parallel to the direction of propagation respoctively. The longitudinal type is illustrated, this most basic form, in Fig. 8.66. Since the beam traverse the electrodes, these are usually made of transparses metal-oxide coatings (e.g., Shol, InO, or CdO), film metal films, grids, or rings. The crystal itself is generate uniaxial in the absence of an applied field, and aligned such that its optic axis is along the beam propagation direction. For such an arrangement the retardance is given by

$\Delta \varphi = 2\pi n_o^3 r_{53} V/\lambda_0,$

where r_{05} is the dectro-optic constant in m/V, n_s is the ordinary index of refraction, V is the potential difference in volts, and A_0 is the vacuum wavelengthy meters.⁸ Since the crystals are anisotropic, their propties vary in different directions, and they must be described by a group of terms referred to collective as the second-rank electro-optic tensor r_{n_c} . Fortunate, we need only concern ourselves here with one offic components, namely, r_{00} , values of which are given in Table 8.4. The half-wave voltage corresponds to a value of $\Delta \phi = n_c$ in which case

$$\begin{split} \Delta \varphi &= \frac{V}{V_{A/2}} \quad (8.43) \\ \text{and from Eq. (8.42)} \\ V_{A/2} &= \frac{\lambda_0}{2 n_p^3 r_{00}^3}, \quad (2.43) \\ \text{As an example, for KDP, } r_{0.5} &= 10.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/V}, \ 6, \ 1.51, \text{ and we obtain } V_{A/2} &= 7.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Vat } \lambda_0 &= 546.1 \text{ m}. \end{split}$$

1.51, and we obtain $V_{\lambda/2} \approx 7.6 \times 10^3$ V at $\lambda_0 = 546.1$ nm.

⁶ This expression, along with the appropriate one for the transmode, is derived rather nicely in A. Yariv, Quantum Ectionics is so, the treatment is sophisticated and not recommended for call reading.

Table 8.4 Electro-optic constants (room temperature, $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{66.1}$ nm).

Material	703 (units of 10 ⁻¹² m/V)	n, (approx.)	V_12 (in kV)
ALL HAPOA	8.5	1.52	9.2
ADP (WH_POA)	10.6	1.51	7.6
KDP (KHASOA)	~13.0	1.57	~6.2
KDA (KD.PO4)	~23.3	1.52	-8.4

Pockels cells have been used as ultra-fast shutters, hydroches for lasers, and de to 30-GHz light modufors. They are also being applied in a wide range of fectro-optical systems, for example, data processing ad display techniques.⁴

8.12 A MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION OF POLARIZATION

Figs far we have considered polarized light in terms the electric field component of the wave. The most general representation was, of course, that of elliptical light. There we envisioned the endpoint of the vector 8 continuously sweeping along the path of an ellipse baving a particular shape—the circle and line being special cases. The period over which the ellipse was traversed equaled that of the lightwave (i.e., roughly 10⁻¹⁸) and was thus far too short to be detected. In Bontrast, measurements made in practice are generally Ferages over comparatively long time intervals. Genry, it would be advantageous to formulate an Iternative description of polarization in terms of conscient observables, namely irradiances. Our motives for far more than the ever-present combination of asterias and pedagogy. The formalism to be considered has far-reaching significance in other areas of study, iterample, particle physics (the photon is, after all an

The reader interested in light modulation in general should consult the Nebon, "The Modulation of Laser Light," Scientific American Men 1988, For Sone of the practical details ver R. S. Plos, "A Science of Electro-Optics Materials, Methods and Uses," Optical Science (and Fred 1996), we R. Ostidate, "Decide Call Primer," Langreal Magettile (Feb, 1998), both of which contam useful bib-"rphics

8.12 A Mathematical Description of Polarization 321

elementary particle) and quantum mechanics. It serves in some respects to link the dassical and quantummechanical pictures. But even more demanding of our present attention are the considerable practical advantages to be gleaned from this alternative description. We shall evolve an elegant procedure for predicting the effects of complex systems of polarizing elements on the ultimate state of an emergent wave. The mathematics, written in the compressed form of matrices, will require only the simplest manipulation of those matrices. The complicated logic associated with phase retardations, relative orientations, and so forth, for a tandem series of wave plates and polarizers is almost all built in. One need only select appropriate matrices from a chart and drop them into the mathematical mill.

8.12.1 The Stokes Parameters

The modern representation of polarized light attually had its origins in 1882 in the work of G. C. Scokes. He introduced four quantities that are functions only of observables of the electromagnetic wave and are now known as the Stokes parameters.⁶ The polarization state of a beam of light (either natural or totally or partially polarized) can be described in terms of these quantities. We will first define the parameters operationally and then relate them to electromagnetic theory. Imagine that we have a set of four filters, each of which, under natural illumination, will transmit half the incident light, the other half being discarded. The choice is not a unique one, and a number of equivalent possibilities exist. Suppose then that the first filter is simply isotropic, passing all states equally, whereas the second and third are linear polarizers whose transmission axea are horizontal and at +45° (diagonal along the first and third quadrants), respectively. The last filter is a circular is positioned alone in the path of the beam under

⁴ Much of the material in this section is treated more extensively in Shurdiff's *Polarited Light: Production and Use*, which is something of a classic on the subject, Yuu might also look at M. J. Walker, "Matrix Calculus and the Stokes Parameters of Polarzed Kadiatian," Am. J. Phys. 22, 170 (1964), and W. Bickel and W. Bailey, "Stokes Vectors, Mueller Matrices, and Polarized Scattered Light," Am. J. Phys. 53, 468 (1985).

investigation, and the transmitted irradiances I_0 , I_1 , I_2 , I_3 are measured with a type of meter that is insensitive to polarization (not all of them are). The operational definition of the Stokes parameters is then given by the relations 45a)

(8.45a)
(8.45b)
(8.45c)
(8.45d)

Notice that So is simply the incident irradiance, and So. Force that ϕ_0 specify the state of polarization. Thus δ_1 reflects a tendency for the polarization to resemble either a horizontal *P*-state (whereupon $\delta_1 > 0$) or a vertical one (in which case $\delta_1 < 0$). When the beam vertical one (in which case $s_1 < 0$). When the beam displays no preferential orientation with respect to these axes $(\delta_1 = 0)$ it may be elliptical at $\pm 45^\circ$, circular, or unpolarized. Similarly δ_2 implies a tendency for the light to resemble a ϑ -state oriented in the direction of $+45^\circ$ (when $\delta_2 > 0$) or in the direction of -45° (when $\delta_3 < 0$) or neither $(\delta_8 = 0)$. In quite the same way δ_3 reveals a tendency of the beam toward right-handedness $(S_3 > 0)$, left-handedness $(S_3 < 0)$, or neither $(S_8 = 0)$. Now recall the expressions for quasimonochromatic light,

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{i}} E_{0\mathbf{x}}(t) \cos \left[(\bar{k}\mathbf{z} - \bar{\omega}t) + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right] \quad [8.34(a)]$ and

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{j}} E_{\mathbf{o}\mathbf{v}}(t) \cos\left[(\bar{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{z} - \bar{\omega}t) + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{v}}(t)\right], \quad [8.34(b)]$ where $\mathbf{E}_{c}(t) = \mathbf{E}_{c}(t) + \mathbf{E}_{y}(t)$. Using these in a fairly straightforward way, we can recast the Stokes parameters* as

$S_0 = \langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle + \langle E_{0y}^2 \rangle$	(8.46a)
$S_1 = \langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle - \langle E_{0y}^2 \rangle$	(8.46b)
$S_2 = \langle 2E_{0x}E_{0y}\cos\varepsilon \rangle$	(8.46c)
$S_3 = \langle 2E_{0x}E_{0y}\sin\varepsilon\rangle.$	(8.46d)
Here $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_y - \varepsilon_x$ and we've dropped the con so that the parameters are now proportional	stant $\epsilon_0 c/2$, l to irradi-

* For the details see E. Hecht, "Note on an Operational Definition of the Stokes Parameters," Am. J. Phys. 38, 1155 (1970).

ances. For the hypothetical case of perfect ances. For the hypothetical case of perfermatic light, $E_{o_2}(t)$, $E_{o_3}(t)$, and $\varepsilon(t)$ are dent, and one need only drop the $\langle t \rangle$ (8.46) to get the applicable Stokes paramingly enough, these same results can time averaging Eq. (8.14), which is the g for elliptical light.

for empirical ngm. If the beam is unpolarized, $\langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle = \langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle$ averages to zero, because the amplitude always positive. In that case $S_0 = \langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle + \langle E_{0x}^2 \rangle$ $S_2 = S_3 = 0$. The latter two parameters got both $\cos \varepsilon$ and $\sin \varepsilon$ average to zero inder the amplitudes. It is often convenient to the amplitudes, it is often convenient to a Stokes parameters by dividing each one is s_0 . This has the effect of using an inciden-irradiance. The set of parameters (s_0 - x_0 -*natural light* in the normalized represent (1, 0, 0, 0). If the light is horizontally pole no vertical component, and the normalized rer (1, 1, 0, 0). Similarly, for vertically for we have (1, -1, 0, 0). Representations of polarization states are listed in Tables 8 to 0. we have (1, -1, 0, 0). Representations of a polarization states are listed in Table 8.5 (the are displayed vertically for reasons to be div Notice that for completely polarized light Eq. (8.46) that

fo

 $S_0^2 = S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_2^2$ Moreover, for partially polarized light it can be stars that the degree of polarization (8.29) is given by

 $V = (S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2)^{1/2} / S_0.$

Imagine now that we have two quasimonod waves described by (S'_0, S'_1, S'_2, S'_3) and $(S''_0, S''_1, S''_2, S''_3)$ waves described by $(S_0^*, S_1^*, S_2^*, S_2^*)$ and (S_{τ}^*, S_0^*) which are superimposed in some region of a long as the waves are *incoherent*, any one of in parameters of the resultant will be the sub-corresponding parameters of the constitute which are proportional to irradiance). In the set of parameters describing the result $S_0^*, S_1^* + S_1^*, S_2^* + S_2^*, S_1^* + S_2^*, For example, is$ $density vertical <math>\mathscr{P}$ -state $\{1, -1, 0, 0\}$ is addi-*incoherent* \mathscr{L} -state (see Table 8.5) of flux de of the (all

* E. Collett, "The Description of Polarization in Classical Am. J. Phys. 36, 713 (1968).

8.12 A Mathematical Description of Polarization 323

nd Jones vectors for some polarization states a column vector, Jones vectors Stokes vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 0 1 -1 $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ 8.12.2 The Jones Vectors 0 0 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\-1\end{bmatrix}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\-i\end{bmatrix}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1\\i\end{bmatrix}$

1 0 0

1

the composite wave has parameters It is an ellipse of flux density 3, more

is a vector; we have already seen how two ent) vectors add.* Indeed, it will not be

of three-dimensional vector, but this sort tion is rather widely used in physics to ge. More specifically, the parameters

ire arranged in the form of what is called

nents for a collection of objects to form a elves be vectors in such a space are discussed Introduction to Vector Analysis.

vertical than horizontal $(S_1 < 0)$, left-handed

), and having a degree of polarization of $\sqrt{5}/3$.

Another representation of polarized light, which com-plements that of the Stokes parameters, was invented in 1941 by the American physicist R. Clark Jones. The technique he evolved has the advantages of being appli-cable to coherent beams and at the same time being extremely concise. Yet unlike the previous formalism, it is only applicable to polarized users. In that case it would seem that the most natural way to represent the beam would be in terms of the electric vector itself. Written in column form, this Jones vector is in column form, this Jones vector is

81 82 83

(8.49)

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\ E_{\mathbf{y}}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (8.50)$$

where $E_{r}(t)$ and $E_{r}(t)$ are the instantaneous scalar components of E. Obviously, knowing E, we know every-thing about the polarization state. And if we preserve the phase information, we will be able to handle coherent waves. With this in mind, rewrite Eq. (8.50) as

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{0x} e^{i\varphi_r} \\ E_{0y} e^{i\varphi_y} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (8.51)$$

where φ_x and φ_y are the appropriate phases. Horizontal and vertical \mathscr{P} -states are thus given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{h} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{0x} e^{i\varphi_{x}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{E}_{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ E_{0y} e^{i\varphi_{y}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8.52)$$

respectively. The sum of two coherent beams, as with respectively. The sum of two coherent beams, as which the Stokes vectors, is formed by a sum of the corre-sponding components. Since $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_h + \mathbf{E}_w$, when, for example $E_{0x} - E_{0y}$ and $\varphi_x = \varphi_y$. E is given by

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{0x} e^{i\varphi_x} \\ E_{0x} e^{i\varphi_x} \end{bmatrix} \tag{8.53}$$

or, after factoring, by

$$\mathbf{E} = E_{0x} e^{i\varphi_x} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (8.54)$$

which is a P-state at +45°. This is the case since the amplitudes are equal and the phase difference is zero. There are many applica**tions in** which it is not necessary to know the exact amp**litudes** and phases. In such instances we can normalize the irradiance to unity, thereby stances we can normalize the irradiance to limity, intercopy forfeiting some information but gaining much simpler expressions. This is done by dividing both elements in the vector by the same scalar (real or complex) quantity. such that the sum of the squares of the components is one. For example, dividing both terms of Eq. (8.53) by $\sqrt{2} E_{os} e^{i\phi_s}$ leads to

$$\mathbf{E}_{45} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(8.55)

(8.56)

or

and

Similarly, in no $\mathbf{E}_{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{E}_{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$

Right-circular light has $E_{0x} = E_{0y}$, and the y-component leads the x-component by 90°. Since we are using the form $(kx - \omega t)$, we will have to add $-\pi/2$ to φ_{1} , thus $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\varphi_{1}}{dx} dx$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \left[\sum_{E_{0,k} \in \{\mathbf{x}_{k}=r/2\}}^{C_{0,k} \in \{\mathbf{x}_{k}=r/2\}} \right].$$

Dividing both components by $E_{0,k} e^{i\varphi_{k}}$, we have
$$\left[\frac{1}{e^{-i\pi/2}} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{-i} \right];$$

hence the normalized Jones vector isf
$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{1}{-i} \right] \text{ and similarly } \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{1}{i} \right]. \quad (8.57)$$

The sum
$$\mathbf{E}_{ig} + \mathbf{E}_{ig}$$
 is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+1\\-1+i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix},$$

† Had we used (as – kz) for the phase, the terms in Eq. would have been interchanged. The present notation, akhough possibly a bit more difficult to keep straight (e.g., $-\pi/2$ for a phase lead), is more often used in modern works. Be wary when consulting references (e.g., Shurcliff).

This is a horizontal P-state having an amp that of either component, a result that of either component, a result in arr our earlier calculation of Eq. (8.10). The for elliptical light can be obtained by the sa-used to arrive at E_{π} and E_{2} , where now used to arrive at E_x and E_x , where now be equal to E_{0x} and the phase difference need to 90°. In essence, for vertical and horizontal we need to do is stretch out the circular form ing ellipse by multiplying either component by a so Thus

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -i \end{bmatrix}$$

describes one possible form of horizontal, elliptical light. elliptical light. Two vectors A and B are said to be orthogonal $A \cdot B = 0$; similarly two complex vectors are when $A \cdot B^* = 0$. One refers to two polariza-as being orthogonal when their Jones vector orthogonal. For example,

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^* = \frac{1}{2} [(1)(1)^* + (-1)(1)^*) = 0$

$$\mathbf{E}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{v}^{*} = [(1)(0)^{*} + (0)(1)^{*}] = 0,$$

where taking the complex conjugates of obviously leaves them unaltered. Any po will have a corresponding orthogonal state $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}}\cdot\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}}^{*}=\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}}\cdot\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{B}}^{*}=1$

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathfrak{R}}\cdot\mathbf{E}_{\mathscr{S}}^{*}=\mathbf{E}_{\mathscr{L}}\cdot\mathbf{E}_{\mathfrak{R}}^{*}=0.$ Such vectors form an *orthonormal* set, as dio As we have seen, any polarization state crow by a linear combination of the vectors line the orthonormal sets. These same ideas are able importance in quantum mechanics deals with orthonormal wave functions

8.12.3 The Jones and Mueller Matrice

Suppose that we have a polarized incident being resented by its Jones vector \mathbf{E}_i , which passes integrated

Figurent, emerging as a new vector \mathbf{E}_i corre-othe transmitted wave. The optical element and \mathbf{E}_i into \mathbf{E}_i , a process that can be the transmitted value of a set of the transmitter of the particle is an array of numbers that has de addition and multiplication operations. Let the corresponding matrix of the optical ed addition and the optical the optical matrix of the optical mouestion. Then E - 15 (8.59)

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{o} \mathbf{E}_i$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8.60)$$

(8.60)

 $\begin{bmatrix} E_{tx} \\ E_{ty} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ix} \\ E_{iy} \end{bmatrix}$ (8.61)

I am annobing, we obtain

 $E_{ix} = a_{11}E_{ix} + a_{12}E_{iy},$ $E_{iy} = a_{21}E_{ix} + a_{22}E_{iy}.$

Talls 84 contains a brief listing of Jones matrices for the second elements. To appreciate how these are used threat one a few applications. Suppose that E_{e} The plate whose fast axis is vertical (i.e., in the built. The polarization state of the emergent built as follows, where we drop the constant-factor for convenience:

[1 0][1] [E]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{ix} \\ E_{iy} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ --i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice the set of the

 $\mathbf{E}_i = \mathcal{A}_1 \cdots \mathcal{A}_2 \mathcal{A}_1 \mathbf{E}_i$

resido not commute; they must be applied in

8.12 A Mathematical Description of Polarization 325

Linear optical element	Jones matrix	Mueller matrix
Horizontal linear polarizer ↔	$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
Vertical linear polarizer ‡	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
Linear polarizer at +45° 2*	$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\1&1\end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Linear polarizer at –45° 🍾	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Quarter-wave plate, fast axis vertical	$e^{i\pi/4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Quarter-wave plate, fast axis horizontal	$e^{i\pi/4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Homogeneous circular polarizer right 📿	$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 3 & \mathbf{i} \\ -\mathbf{i} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Homogeneous circular polarizer left – O	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

the proper order. The wave leaving the first optical element in the series is $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbf{F}_{i}}$; after passing through the second element, it becomes $\mathscr{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$, E_{i} , and so on. To illustrate the process, return to the wave considered above (i.e., a \mathscr{P} -state at +45°), but now have it pass through two quarter-wave plates, both with their fast

axes vertical. Thus, again discarding the amplitude factors, we have

 $E_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$ whereupon

 $E_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -i & -i \end{bmatrix},$

and finally

 $E_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

L=1J The transmitted beam is a \mathscr{D} -state at -45°, having essentially been flipped through 90° by a half-wave plate. When the same series of optical elements is being used to examine various states it becomes desirable to replace the product $\mathscr{A}_1 \cdots \mathscr{A}_2 \mathscr{A}_1$, by the single 2×2 system matrix obtained by carrying out the multiplication (the order in which it is calculated should be $\mathscr{A}_2 \mathscr{A}_1$, then $\mathscr{A}_3 \mathscr{A}_2 \mathscr{A}_1$, etc.). In 1943 Hans Mueller, then a professor of physics at the Massachuserts Institute of Technology. devised a

the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, devised a matrix method for dealing with the Stokes vectors. Recall that the Stokes vectors have the attribute of being applicable to both polarized and partially polarized light. The Mueller method shares this quality and thus serves to complement the Jones method. The latter, however, can easily deal with coherent waves, whereas the former cannot. The Mueller, 4 × 4, matrices are applied in much the same way as are the Jones matrices. There is therefore little need to discuss the method at length; a few simple examples, augmented by Table so, should suffice. Imagine that we pass a unit-irradiance unpolarized wave through a linear horizontal polarizer. The Stokes vector of the emerging wave δ_t is



The transmitted wave has an irradiance of $\frac{1}{2}(S_0 = \frac{1}{2})$ and is linearly polarized horizontally $(S_1 > 0)$. As another example, suppose we have a partially polarized elliptical

wave whose Stokes parameters have been to be, say, (4, 2, 0, 3). Its irradiance is 4; it is horizontal than vertical $(S_1 > 0)$, it is rightf. horizontal than vertical $(s_1 > 0)$, it is right-frequencies of polarization of the parameters can be larger than one with the parameters can be larger than one with of $s_3 = 3$ is fairly large, indicating that the line resembles a circle. If the wave is now made a quarter-wave plate with a vertical fast axis; then

 $\mathbf{S}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and thus

S, - -3

The emergent wave has the same irradia of polarization but is now partially linearly We have only touched on a few of the more aspects of the matrix methods. The full ensubject goes far beyond these introductory re-

PROBLEMS

8.1 Describe completely the state of polarization of each of the following waves:

a) $\mathbf{E} = \hat{1}E_0 \cos(kz - \omega t) - \hat{1}E_0 \cos(kz - \omega t)$ b) $\mathbf{E} = \hat{1}E_0 \sin 2\pi (z/A - \nu t) - \hat{1}E_0 \sin 2\pi (z/A - \nu t)$ c) $\mathbf{E} = \hat{1}E_0 \sin (\omega t - kz) + \hat{1}E_0 \sin (\omega t - kz - \pi/4)$ d) $\mathbf{E} = \hat{1}E_0 \cos (\omega t - kz + \hat{1}E_0 \cos (\omega t - kz + \pi/2))$

8.2 Consider the disturbance given by the use
$\mathbf{E}(z, t) = [\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos \omega t + \hat{\mathbf{j}} \cos (\omega t - \pi/2)]E_0 \sin kz.$
of wave is it? Draw a rough sketch showing it
features.

* One can weave a more elaborate and mathem development in terms of something called the solu-further, but more advanced, reading, see O'Ne Statistical Optics.

vically, show that the superposition of an æ-orate having different amplitudes will yield as shown in Fig. 8.8. What must e be to that figure?

Brite an expression for a \mathcal{P} -state lightwave of for Educacy ω and amplitude E_0 propagating $ch = c_{asis} with$ its plane of vibration at an angle to the sy-plane. The disturbance is zero at t = 0

sst byrite an expression for a \mathcal{P} -state lightwave of interference of the state of the st

Write an expression for an R-state lightwave of huency ω propagating in the positive x-direction that at $\sharp \Rightarrow 0$ and x = 0 the E-field points in the

What that is initially natural and of flux density hrough two sheets of HN-52 whose trans-es are parallel, what will be the flux density gring beam?

a will be the irradiance of the emerging beam for of the previous problem is rotated 30°?



Problems 8.9* Suppose that we have a pair of crossed polarizers

327

8.9° Suppose that we have a pair of crossed polarizers with transmission axes vertical and horizontal. The beam emerging from the first polarizer has flux density I_1 , and of course no light passes through the analyzer (i.e., $I_2 = 0$). Now insert a perfect linear polarizer (HN-50) with its transmission axis at 45° to the vertical between the two elements—compute I_2 . Think about the motion of the electrons that are radiating in each rolarizer polarizer.

8.10* Imagine that you have two identical perfect linear polarizers and a source of natural light. Place Inter pointiers and a software of mutual neutral pointiers that a software of mutual neutral pointiers that the neutral pointiers of and 50°, respectively. Now insert between them a third linear polarizer with its transmission axis at 25°. If 1000 W/m² of light is incident, how much will emerge with and without the middle polarizer in place?

8.11 Suppose that an ideal polarizer is rotated at a rate ω between a similar pair of stationary crossed polarizers. Show that the emergent flux density will be modulated at four times the rotational frequency. In other words, show that

$I = \frac{I_1}{8} (1 - \cos 4 \omega t),$

where I_1 is the flux density emerging from the first polarizer and I is the final flux density

8.12 Figure 8.67 shows a ray traversing a calcite crystal at nearly normal incidence, bouncing off a mirror, and then going through the crystal again. Will the observer see a double image of the spot on Σ ?

8.13° A pencil mark on a sheet of paper is covered by a calcite crystal. With illumination from above, isn't the light impinging on the paper already polarized, having passed through the crystal? Why then do we see two images? Test your solution by polarizing the light from a flashlight and then reflecting if off a sheet of mean Tar impender sefection off relaxed is the reflected paper. Try specular reflection off glass; is the reflected light polarized?

8.14 Discuss in detail what you see in Fig. 8.68. The crystal in the photograph is calcite, and it has a blunt corner at the upper left. The two polaroids have their transmission axes parallel to their *short* edges.



Figure 8.68

8.15 The calcite crystal in Fig. 8.69 is shown in three different orientations. Its blunt corner is on the left in (a), the lower left in (b), and the bottom in (c). The polaroid's transmission axis is horizontal. Explain each photograph, particularly (b).

8.16 In discussing calcite we pointed out that its large 8.16 in discussing calcite we pointed out that is large binefringence arises from the fact that the carbonate groups lie in parallel planes (normal to the optic axis). Show in a sketch and explain why the polarization of the group will be less when E is perpendicular to the CO_8 plane than when E is parallel to it. What does this mean with respect to v, and v_1 , that is, the wave's speeds when E is linearly polarized perpendicular or parallel v the order axis? to the optic axis?



ACS (6)



Figure 8.69

The second secon

beam of natural light is incident on an air-erface $(n_{ii} = 1.5)$ at 40°. Compute the degree lation of the reflected light.

and of natural light incident in air on a glass marinee at 70° is partially reflected. Compute Ingeflectance. How would this compare with of indence at, say, 56.3°? Explain.

nevof yellow light is incident on a calcite plate 8.20 A plate is cut so that the optic axis is parallel face and perpendicular to the plane of and the angular separation between the two ferging rays.

n of light is incident normally on a quartz ptic axis is perpendicular to the beam. If compute the wavelengths of both the many and extraordinary waves. What are their

8.22 A beam of light enters a calcite prism from the if, as shown in Fig. 8.70. There are three possible my of the optic axis of particular interest, and sepond to the x-, y-, and z-directions. Imagine such prisms. In each case sketch the ing and emerging beams, showing the state of mation. How can any one of these be used to affect n_e and n_e ?

Fame 8.30

Problems 329

8.23 The electric field vector of an incident \mathcal{P} -state makes an angle of $+30^\circ$ with the horizontal fast axis of a quarter-wave plate. Describe, in detail, the state of polarization of the emergent wave.

8.24 Compute the critical angle for the ordinary ray, that is, the angle for total internal reflection at the calcite-balsam layer of a Nicol prism.

8.25* Draw a quartz Wollaston prism, showing all pertinent rays and their polarization states.

8.26 The prism shown in Fig. 8.71 is known as a Rochon polarizer. Sketch all the pertinent rays, assuming

- a) that it is made of calcite.
- c)

Figure 8.71

- that it is made of quartz. Why might such a device be more useful than a dichroic polarizer when functioning with high-fluxdensity laser light?d) What valuable feature of the Rochon is lacking in the Wollaston polarizer?



 8.27^{\ast} Take two ideal polaroids (the first with its axis vertical and the second, horizontal) and insert between them a stack of 10 half-wave plates, the first with its fast acts rotated $\pi/40$ rad from the vertical, and each sub-sequent one rotated $\pi/40$ rad from the previous one. Determine the ratio of the emerging to incident irradiance, showing your logic clearly.

8.28* Suppose you were originally given only a linear polarizer and a quarter-wave plate. How could you determine which was which?

8.29* An L-state traverses an eighth-wave plate having a horizontal fast axis. What is its polarization state on emerging?

8.30* Figure 8.72 shows two polaroid linear polarizers and between them a microscope slide to which is attached a piece of cellophane tape. Explain what you



Figure 8.72

8.31 A Babinet compensator is positioned at 45° between crossed linear polarizers and is being illuminated with sodium light. When a thin sheet of mica (indices 1.599 and 1.594) is placed on the compensator, the black bands all shift by $\frac{1}{2}$ of the space separating them. Compute the retardance of the sheet and its thickness.

8.32 Imagine that we have unpo**larized** room **light** incident almost normally on the glass surface of a radar screen. A portion of it would be specularly reflected back toward the viewer and would be spectrally relaced back toward the viewer and would thus tend to obscure the display. Suppose now that we cover the screen with a right-circular polarizer, as shown in Fig. 8.73. Trace the incident and reflected beams, indicating their polarization states. What happens to the reflected beam?

8.33 Is it possible for a beam to consist of two orthogonal incoherent @-states and not be natural light? Explain. How might you arrange to have such a beam? 8.34° The specific rotatory power for sucress solved in water at 20°C ($\lambda_0 = 589.3$ nm) ¹⁴ for 10 cm of path traversed through a solution come 1g of active substance (sugar) per cm³ of solution vertical *P*-state (solution light) enters at one cont 1-m tube containing 1000 cm³ of solution, of a current. At what orientation will the *P*-analysis is sucrose. At what orientation will the P.

8.35 On examining a piece of stressed plan 8.35 On examining a piece of stressed physics, material between crossed linear polarizers, we want see a set of colored bands (isochromatics) and, posed on these, a set of dark bands (isochromatics) and might we remove the isochroinics, leaving only the matics? Explain your solution, Incidentally, off program arrangement is independent of the orientation of a material sample. photoelastic sample.

8.36* Consider a Kerr cell whose plates are by a distance d. Let e be the effective length plates (slightly different from the actual length been of fringing of the field). Show that

 $\Delta \varphi = 2\pi K \ell V^2 / d^2.$

8.37 Compute the half-wave voltage for a Pockels cell made of ADA (ammoni arsenate) at $\lambda_0 \approx 550$ nm, where $r_{63} \approx$ $n_0 = 1.58$





Two intoherent light beams represented by (A 10 and (3, 0, 0, 5) are superimposed. in detail the polarization states of each of

emine the resulting Stokes parameters of the

mine the resulting Stokes parameters of the ned beam and describe its polarization state. Fits degree of polarization? the resulting light produced by overlapping paherent beams (1, 1, 0, 0) and (1, -1, 0, 0)?

Bhow by direct calculation, using Mueller what a unit-irradiance beam of natural light frough a vertical linear polarizer is converted tical #-state. Determine its relative irradiance particulation of the state of the state of the state of the state tical #-state. e of polarization

6how by direct calculation, using Mueller at a unit-irradiance beam of natural light by a linear polarizer with its transmission is converted into a *P*-state at +45°. Deter-ine irradiance and degree of polarization.

by direct calculation, using Mueller a beam of horizontal P-state light passing a-plate with its fast axis horizontal emerges

8.43° Confirm that the matrix

Γ	1	0	0	0]
	0	0	0	-1
	0	0	1	0
L	0	1	0	0

e as a Mueller matrix for a quarter-wave plate ast axis at +45°. Shine linear light polarized at bugh it. What happens? What emerges when a tal \$\Pstate enters the device?

E44 Derive the Mueller matrix for a quarter-wave plate with its fast axis at -45°. Check that this matrix addy cancels the previous one, so that a beam through the two wave plates successively infinitered.

Problems 331

8.45* Pass a beam of horizontally polarized linear light through each one of the ta-plates in the two previous questions and describe the states of the emerging light. Explain which field component is leading which and how Fig. 8.7 compares with these results.

8.46 Use Table 8.6 to derive a Mueller matrix for a half-wave plate having a vertical fast axis. Utilize your result to convert an R-state into an L-state. Verify that It is to control on a state into the same wave plate the same wave plate will convert an \mathscr{L} - to a \mathscr{R} -state. Advancing or retarding the relative phase by $\pi/2$ should have the same effect. Check this by deriving the matrix for a half-wave plate with a horizontal fast axis.

8.47 Construct one possible Mueller matrix for a rightcircular polarizer made out of a linear polarizer and a quarter-wave plate. Such a device is obviously an inhomogeneous two-element train and will differ from the homogeneous circular polarizer of Table 8.6. Test your matrix to determine that it will convert natural light to an *R*-state. Show that it will pass *R*-states, as will the homogeneous matrix. Your matrix should convert *L*-states incident on the input side to *R*-states, whereas the homogeneous polarizer will totally absorb them. Verify this.

8.48* If the Pockels cell modulator shown in Fig. 8.66 is illuminated by light of irradiance I_i , it will transmit a beam of irradiance I_i such that

$I_t = I_i \sin^2{(\Delta \varphi/2)}.$

Make a plot of I_{i}/I_{i} versus applied voltage. What is the significance of the voltage that corresponds to maximum transmission? What is the lowest voltage above zero that will cause I_i to be zero for ADP ($\lambda_0 = 546.1$ nm)? How an things be rearranged to yield a maximum value of I_i/I_i for zero voltage? In this new configuration what irradiance results when $V = V_{\lambda/2}$?

8.49 Construct a Jones matrix for an isotropic plate of absorbing material having an amplitude transmission coefficient of t. It might sometimes be desirable to keep track of the phase, since even if t = 1, such a plate is still an isotropic phase retarder. What is the Jones matrix for a region of vacuum? What is it for a perfect absorber?

8.50 Construct a Mueller matrix for an isotropic plate of absorbing material having an amplitude transmission coefficient of *l*. What Mueller matrix will completely depolarize any wave without affecting its irradiance? (It has no physical counterpart.) 8.51 Keeping Eq. (8.29) in mind, write on for the unpolarized flux-density compare partially polarized beam in terms of the meters. To check your result, add an unpovector of flux density 4 to an \Re -state of flux Then see if you get $I_u = 4$ for the resultance way

> ane color patterns shimmering across an oil and applied payement result from one of the result of the phenomenon of "On a macroscopic scale we might conneted problem of the interaction of surface pool of water. Our everyday experience of situation allows us to envision a complex of disturbances (as shown, e.g., in Fig. 9.1), the regions where two (or more) waves speed, partially or even completely canceling still other regions might exist in the pattern, ultant troughs and crests are even more than those of any of the constituent waves, superimposed, the individual waves sepaantime on, completely unaffected by their prounter.

INTERFERENCE

prounter. Mona arising from optical interference would, be quite difficult to interpret in terms of a uncular model. The wave theory of the electrature of light, however, provides a natural which to proceed. Recall that the expression the optical disturbance is a second-order, the, linear, partial, differential equation have seen, it therefore obeys the important ensures ensure and a coordingly, the resultant elecunity E, at a point in space where two or natures overlap, is equal to the vector sum of

rater on the asphalt allows the oil film to assume the oth planar surface. The black asphalt absorbs the preventing back reflection, which would tend to 28. the individual constituent disturbances. Briefly then, optical interference may be termed an interaction of two or more lightwaves yielding a resultant irradiance that deviates



Figure 9.1 Water waves from two point sources in a ripple tank.

Chapter g Interference 334

from the sum of the component irradiances.

Out of the multitude of optical systems that produce interference, we will choose a few of the more important to examine. Interferometric devices will be divided, for the sake of discussion, into two groups: wavefout split-ting and amplitude splitting. In the first instance, por-tions of the primary wavefort are used either directly as sources to emit secondary waves or in conjunction as sources to enhance the secondary waves of in conjunction with optical devices to produce virtual sources of secon-dary waves. These secondary waves are then brought together, thereupon to interfere. In the case of amplitude splitting, the primary wave itself is divided into two segments, which travel different paths before re-combining and interfering.

9.1 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

We have already examined the problem of the superposition of two scalar waves (Section 7.1), and in many respects those results will again be applicable. But light is, of course, a vector phenomenon; the electric and magnetic fields are vector fields. And an appreciation magnetic helds are vector helds. And an appreciation of this fact is fundamental to any kind of intuitive understanding of optics. Still, there are many situations in which the particular optical system can be so configured that the vector nature of light is of little practical significance. We will therefore derive the basic interference equations within the context of the vector model, thereafter delineating the conditions under which the scalar textures is applicable.

which the scalar treatment is applicable. In accordance with the principle of superposition, the electric field intensity **E**, at a point in space, arising from the separate fields \mathbf{E}_1 , \mathbf{E}_2,\ldots of various contributing sources is given by

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2 + \cdots$$

Once again, note that the optical disturbance, or light field E, varies in time at an exceedingly rapid rate, roughly

 $4.3 \times 10^{14} \,\text{Hz}$ to $7.5 \times 10^{14} \,\text{Hz}$,

making the actual field an impractical quantity to detect. On the other hand, the irradiance I can be measured directly with a wide variety of sensors (e.g., photocells,

bolometers, photographic emulsions, or systel, indeed then, if we are to study interferences we have be approach the problem by way of the irradiance Much of the analysis to follow can be perform without specifying the particular shaped of the de-fronts, and the results are therefore quite generation their applicability (Problem 9.1). For the site of the plicity, however, consider two point more the site of the site of the set of the s their applicability (Problem 9.1). For the sole of plicity, however, consider two point sources by any emitting monochromatic waves of the same lower in a homogeneous medium. Furthermous lee separation a be much greater than λ_i locateful point of observation *P* far enough away from the sour-that at *P* the wavefronts will be planes (Fig. 9.2), $\hat{\tau}$ the moment, we will consider only linearly polari-waves of the form $\mathbf{E}_1(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{E}_{01} \cos \left(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{r} - \omega t + \varepsilon_1\right)$

and

(9.1)

 $\mathbf{E}_{2}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_{02} \cos \left(\mathbf{k}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t + \varepsilon_{2} \right).$ We saw in Chapter 3 that the irradiance at P is $I = \epsilon v \langle \mathbf{E}^2 \rangle$. Inasmuch as we will be concerned only with relative irradiances within the same medium, we will, for the time being at least, simply neglect the constants $I = \langle \mathbf{E}^2 \rangle$. What is meant by $\langle E^2 \rangle$ is of course the time a the magnitude of the electric field intensity so $\langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \rangle$. Accordingly $\mathbf{E}^2 = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E},$ where now

(9.84

12.5)

 $\mathbf{E}^2 = (\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2) \cdot (\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2),$ and thus

 $\mathbf{E}^2 = \mathbf{E}_1^2 + \mathbf{E}_2^2 + 2\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2.$ Taking the time average of both sides, we find character irradiance becomes

 $I = I_1 + I_2 + I_{12},$ provided that $I_1 = \langle \mathbf{E}_1^2 \rangle$,



man #4 Wayes from two point sources overlapping in space

$I_2=\langle \mathbf{E}_2^2\rangle,$	(9.6)
and	
$I_{12} = 2\langle \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 \rangle.$	(9.7)
the expression is known as the interfer	rence te rm . rm
$\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_{01} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{02} \cos{(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t + \varepsilon_1)}$)
$\times \cos (\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t + \varepsilon_2)$	(9.8)
to oppresize any	
$\mathbf{E}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{0} = \mathbf{E}_{00} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{02} \left[\cos \left(\mathbf{k}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1} \right) \right]$	
$\times \cos \omega t + \sin (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_1) \sin \omega$	ot]
$\times [\cos(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_2) \cos \omega t]$	
+ sin $(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_2) \sin \omega t$].	(9.9)
whe time average of some function f	^f (t), taken
$\langle f(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} f(t') dt'.$	(9.10)
the second τ of the harmonic functions is 2 second concern $T \gg \tau$. In that case	π/ω , and the $1/T$ ant effect.

(b)

After multiplying out and averaging Eq. (9.9) we have $\langle \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_{01} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{02} \cos{(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \varepsilon_2)},$

where use was made of the fact that $\langle \cos^2 \omega t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$, $\langle \sin^2 \omega t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\langle \cos \omega t \sin \omega t \rangle = 0$. The interference term is then

$$I_{12} = \mathbf{E}_{01} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{02} \cos \delta$$
, (9.1)

and δ_i equal to $(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)$, is the phase difference arising from a combined path-length and initial phase-angle difference. Notice that if \mathbf{E}_{01} and \mathbf{E}_{02} (and therefore E_1 and E_2) are perpendicular, $I_{12} = 0$ and $I = I_1 + I_2$. Two such orthogonal \mathcal{P} -states will com-bine to yield an $\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{P}_2$, or \mathcal{S} -state, but the flux-density distribution will be unaltered.

The most common situation in the work to follow corresponds to \mathbb{E}_{02} , parallel to \mathbb{E}_{02} . In that case, the irradiance reduces to the value found in the scalar treatment of Section 7.1. Under those conditions

$I_{12} = E_{01} E_{02} \cos \delta.$

This can be written in a more convenient way by noticing

$$I_1 = \langle \mathbf{E}_1^2 \rangle = \frac{E_{01}^2}{2}$$
 (9.12)

175

336 Chapter 9 Interference

and

when

$$I_2 = \langle \mathbf{E}_2^2 \rangle = \frac{E_{02}}{2}.$$

(9.13)

The interference term becomes
$$I_{10} = 2\sqrt{I_{10}I_{00}}\cos \delta$$

 $I = I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}\cos\delta.$

(9.14) At various points in space, the resultant irradiance can be greater, less than, or equal to $I_1 + I_2$, depending on the value of I_{12} , that is, depending on δ . A maximum in the irradiance is obtained when $\cos \delta = 1$, so that $I_{\rm max} = I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}$ (9.15)

$$8 = 0 \pm 2\pi \pm 4\pi$$

In this case the phase difference between the two waves It in state the phase difference between the two waves is an integer multiple of 2m, and the disturbances are said to be *in phase*. One speaks of this as *total constructive interference*. When $0 < \cos \delta < 1$ the waves are *out of* Interpretex, when $0 \sim \cos \delta < 1$ the waves are out of phase, $|I + I_2 < 1 < I_{max}$, and the result is known as constructive interference. At $\delta = \pi/2$, $\cos \delta = 0$, the optical disturbances are said to be 90° out of phase, and $I = I_1 + I_2$. For $0 > \cos \delta > -1$ we have the condition of disturbances interference, $I_1 + I_2 > I > I_{min}$. The minimum in the irradiance results when the waves are 180° out of phase, troughs overlap crests, $\cos \delta = -1$, and and

$$I_{\min} = I_1 + I_2 - 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}$$

This occurs when $\delta = \pm \pi$, $\pm 3\pi$, $\pm 5\pi$,..., and it is referred to as *total destructive interference*. Another somewhat special yet very important case arises when the amplitudes of both waves reaching *P* in Fig. 9.2 are equal (i.e., $\mathbf{E}_{01} = \mathbf{E}_{02}$). Since the irradiance contributions from both sources are then equal, let L = L = L. Fourising *P* 10, can now here write as $I_1 = I_2 = I_0$. Equation (9.14) can now be written as

$$I - 2I_0(1 + \cos \delta) = 4I_0 \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2},$$

from which it follows that $I_{\min} = 0$ and $I_{\max} = 4I_0$.

Equation (9.14) holds equally well for waves emitted by S_1 and S_2 . Such waves can 25

 $\mathbf{E}_{1}(r_{1},t) = \mathbf{E}_{01}(r_{1}) \exp\left[i(kr_{1}-\omega_{1}+\varepsilon_{1})\right] \qquad (0.16)$ and

 $\mathbf{E}_{2}(\mathbf{r}_{2}, t) = \mathbf{E}_{02}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \exp\left[i(k\mathbf{r}_{2} - \omega t \mathbf{\Phi})\right]$ (9.1) The terms r_1 and r_2 are the radii of the spin of the spin of r_2 in other The terms r_1 and r_2 are the radii of the spec-wavefronts overlapping at P; in other words a specify the distances from the sources to \mathbb{R} in the

$\delta = k(r_1 - r_2) + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2),$

The flux density in the region surrounding S_1 and S_2 will certainly vary from point to point g_1 varies. Nonetheless, from the principle of composition of energy, we expect the spatial average of $I_1 = 1 + f_2 + 1$, average of $I_1 = 1 + f_2 + 1$, average of $I_1 = 1$ must therefore be zero, werified by Eq. (9.11), since the average of $I_2 = 1$ must therefore be zero. The second s

Equation (9.17) will be applicable when the between S_1 and S_2 is small in comparison r_2 and when the interference region is also snis, in th same sense. Under these circumstances \mathbf{E}_{010} as be considered independent of position, that over the small region examined. If the emitt are of equal strength, $E_{01} = E_{02}$, $I_1 = I_2$.

 $I = 4I_0 \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} [k(\tau_1 - \tau_2) + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)].$

Irradiance maxima occur when

 $\delta = 2\pi m$

provided that m = 0, ±1, ±2, Similarly minima for which I = 0, arise when

have

(9.16)

(9.17)

$\delta = \pi m'$,

where $m' = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \ldots$, or if you like $m' = \pm 1$. Using Eq. (9.19) these two expressions for the main be rewritten such that maximum irradiance does when $(\tau_1-\tau_2)=[2\,\pi m+(\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1)]^{(k)}$



idal surfaces of maximum irradiance for two ive where r, > r2. m is posi

and minimum when

$(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = [\pi m' + (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)]/h.$	(9.20b)
of these equations defines a family of which is a hyperboloid of revolution the hyperboloids are separated by of oright-hand sides of Eqs. (9.20a) and blocated at S ₁ and S ₂ . If the wave the emitter server a solution of the second	of sur- on. The listances (9.20b). es are in
and the simplified to	(oa) and
$(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = 2\pi m/k = m\lambda$	(9.21a)

 $(r_1 - r_2) = \pi m'/k = \frac{1}{2}m'\lambda$ (9.216) and minimum irradiance, respectively.

9.2 Conditions for Interference 337

Figure 9.3(a) shows a few of the surfaces over which there are irradiance maxima. The dark and light zones that would be seen on a screen placed in the region of interference are known as **interference fringes** [Fig. 9.3(b)]. Notice that the central bright band, equidistant from the two sources, is the so-called zeroth-order fringe (m = 0), which is straddled by the $m' = \pm 1$ minima, and these, in turn, are bounded by the first-order $(m = \pm 1)$ maxima, which are straddled by the m' = ±3 minima, and so forth.

9.2 CONDITIONS FOR INTERFERENCE

It should be kept in mind that for a fringe_pattern'to be observed, the two sources need not be in phase with each other. A somewhat shifted but otherwise identical interference pattern will occur if there is some initial phase difference between the sources, so long as it remains constant. Such sources (which may or may not be in step but are always marching together) are said to be **coherent.*** Remember that because of the granu-lar nature of the emission process, conventional quasimonochromatic sources produce light that is a mix of photon wavetrains. At each illuminated point in space there is a net field that oscillates nicely (through roughly a million cycles) for less than 10 ns or so before it randomly changes phase. This interval over which the lightwave resembles a sinusoid is a measure of what is called its temporal coherence. The average time inter-val during which the lightwave oscillates in a predictable way we have already designated as the coherence time of the radiation. The longer the coherence time, the greater the temporal coherence of the source. As observed from a fixed point in space, the passing

lightwave appears fairly sinusoidal for some number of lightwave appears tarify sinusoidal tor some number of oscillations between abrupt changes of phase. The cor-responding apatial extent over which the lightwave oscil-lates in a regular, predictable way we have called the coherence length [Eq. (7.64)]. Once again, it will be convenient to picture the light beam as a progression of well-defined, more or less sinusoidal, wavegroups of

* Chapter 10 is devoted to the study of coherence, so here we'll merely touch on those aspects that are immediately pertinent.

338 Chapter 9 Interference

average length Δx_{a} , whose phases are quite uncorrelated to one another. Bear in mind that temporal coherence is a manifestation of spectral purity. If the light were ideally monochromatic, the wave would be a perfect sinusoid with an infinite coherence length. All real sources fall short of this, and all actually emit a range of frequencies, albeit sometimes quite narrow. For instance, an ordinary laboratory discharge lamp has a coherence length of several millimeters, whereas certain kinds of lasers routinely provide coherence lengths of tens of kilometers. Two ordinary sources, two light bulbs or candle

have, can be expected to maintain a constant relative phase for a time no greater than λ_i , so the interference pattern they produce will randomly shift around in space at an exceedingly rapid rate, averaging out and making it quite impractical to observe. Until the advent of the laser, it was a working principle that no two individual sources could ever produce an observable interference pattern. The coherence time of lasers, however, can be appreciable (of the order of mil-liseconds), and interference via independent lasers has been detected electronically (though not yet by the rather slow human eye). The most common means of overcoming this problem, as we shall see, is to make one source serve to produce two coherent secondary sources.

sources. If two beams are to interfere to produce a stable pattern, they must have very nearly the same frequency. A significant frequency difference would result in a rapidly varying, time-dependent phase difference, which in turn would cause I_{10} to average to zero during the detection interval (see Section 7.1). Still, if the sources both emit white light, the component reds will interfere with reds and the blues with buse A erset interfere with reds, and the blues with blues. A great many fairly similar, slightly displaced, overlapping monochromatic patterns will produce one total white-light pattern. It will not be as sharp or as extensive as a quasimonochromatic pattern, but white light will produce observable interference. The clearest patterns will exist when the interfering

waves have equal or nearly equal amplitudes. The cen-tral regions of the dark and light fringes will then correspond to complete destructive and constructive interference, respectively, yielding maximum contrast.

In the previous section, we assumed, that the two overlapping optical disturbance vectors were been polarized and parallel. Nonetheless, the formation for the sector of the poly as well to more complication indeed the treatment is applicable region polarization state of the waves. To apprecise that any polarization state can be synthesized to the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector tesents no particular difficulty. Suppose that every wave has its propagative orthogonal ϑ -states with respect to the plane were not the same plane, so that we can label the constitu-ted and ϑ -states with respect to the plane is the complex plane is the plane of t

dicular to the plane, respectively [Fig. 9,4 plane wave, whether polarized or not, c in the form $(E_{ij} + E_{\perp i})$. Imagine that the war and $(E_{ij2} + E_{\perp 2})$ emitted from two iden sources superimpose in some region of space in resulting flux-density distribution will consist for independent, precisely, overlapping interformaterns $\langle (\mathbf{E}_{11} + \mathbf{E}_{12})^2 \rangle$, and $\langle (\mathbf{E}_{11} + \mathbf{E}_{12})^2 \rangle$. although we derived the equations of the pr

although we derived the equations of the previous tion specifically for linear light, they are applied and any polarization state, including natural light. Notice that even though $E_{\pm 1}$ and $E_{\pm 2}$ streads parallel to each other, $E_{\pm 1}$ and $E_{\pm 2}$, which are in the reference plane, need not be. They will be patient when the two beams are themselves parallel ke). The inherent vector nature of the impo-present are marilest in the dot-product refuture (a). The inherent vector nature of the integration process as manifest in the dot-product represent (9.11) of I_{12} cannot therefore be ignored. As see, there are many practical situations in with beams approach being parallel, and in these scalar theory will do rather nicely. Even so, bin Fig. 9.4 are included as an urge to caulial depict the imminent overlapping of gradient productive waves. In Fig. 9.4(b) theorem are normallel, even though the basis of the stars interity polarized waves. In Fig. 3 400 fails tors are parallel, even though the beam interference would nonetheless result. In would be the case here even if the beam Werenel and Arago made an extensive ornditions under which the interference of light occurs, and their conclusions summaries some

9.3 Wavefront-Splitting Interferometers 339

residerations. The Fresnel-Arago laws are above fallove:

iogonal, coherent P-states cannot interfere se that $I_{12} = 0$ and no fringes result.

Shallel, coherent \mathcal{P} -states will interfere in the meway as will natural light.

ot interfere to form a readily observable pattern even if rotated into alignment. This this understandable, since these P-states are

23 . FRONT-SPLITTING INTERFEROMETERS

bles for a moment to Fig. (9.3), where the equation $(r_1 - r_2) = m\lambda$ [9.21a]

the surfaces of maximum irradiance. Since $gth \lambda$ for light is very small, a large number responding to the lower values of m will b, and on either side of, the plane m = 0. A Figure 9.4 Interference of polarized light

number of fairly straight parallel fringes will therefore appear on a screen placed perpendicular to that (m = 0)plane and in the vicinity of it, and for this case the approximation $r_i \approx r_0$ will hold. If S_1 and S_2 are then displaced normal to the $\overline{S_1S_2}$ line, the fringes will merely be displaced parallel to themselves. Two parrow slits will therefore increase the irradiance, leaving the cen-tral region of the two-point source pattern otherwise essentially unchanged.

essentially unchanged. Consider a hypothetical monochromatic plane wave illuminating a long narrow slit. From that primary slit a cylindrical wave will emerge. Suppose that this wave, in turn, falls on two parallel, narrow, closely spaced slits, S_1 and S_2 . This is shown in a three-dimensional view in Fig. 9.5(a). When symmetry exists, the segments of the primary wavefront arriving at the two slits will be exactly in plane, and the slits will constitute two otherent secondary sources. We expect that wherever the two waves coming from S_1 and S_2 overlap, interfer-ence will occur (provided that the optical path difference is less than the coherence length, $c \Lambda_c$). is less than the coherence length, $c \Delta t_c$)

Consider the construction shown in Fig. 9.5(c). In a



342 Chapter g Interference

Fig. 9.5(c). For the mth-order interference maximum, whole wavelengths should fit within the distance $r_1 = r_2$. Therefore, from the triangle $S_1 S_2 B_1$,

$$a \sin \theta_m = m\lambda$$

$$\theta_m = m\lambda/a.$$

The spacing of the fringes on the screen can be gotten readily from Eq. (9.27). The difference in the positions of two consecutive maxima is

$$y_{m+1} - y_m - \frac{3}{a}(m+1)\lambda - \frac{3}{a}m\lambda$$

$$\Delta y = \frac{s}{a} \lambda.$$

(9.29)

(9.30)

Since this pattern is equivalent to that obtained for two overlapping spherical waves (at least in the $r_1 \approx r_2$ region), we can apply Eq. (9.17). Using the phase difference

$$\delta = k(r_1 - r_2).$$

Equation (9.17) can be rewritten as

$$I = 4I_0 \cos^2 \frac{k(r_1 - r_2)}{2}$$

provided, of course, that the two beams are coherent



Figure 9.6 Idealized irradiance versus distance curve

and have equal irradiances Io. With $r_1 - r_2 = ya/s,$ the resultant irradiance becomes

 $I = 4I_0 \cos^2 \frac{ya\pi}{ya\pi}$

 $1 = 4_{10} \cos^2 \frac{1}{44}$ As shown in Fig. 9.6, consecutive maximum by the Δy given in Eq. (9.30). It should be that we effectively assumed that the slips was of Fig. 9.6 are really an unattainable idealized actual pattern, Fig. 9.5(c), drops off slipt distance either side of O because of diffraction. In addition, as P in Fig. 9.5(c) is taken farther for the axis, 5,18 (which is less than or equal to 3, increases. If the primary source has a sum of overlap in portions of uncorrel amount of overlap in portions of uncorrel wavegroups, and the contrast of the finges degrade. It is possible for Δ_X to be less than 55, that case, instead of two correlated portions wavegroups will orchap, and the finges will wave wavegroups will orchap, and the finges will wave wavegroups will overlap, and the fringes will va-depicted in Fig. 9.7(a), when the path-length di-exceeds the coherence length, wavegroup source S_1 arrives at P with wavegroup D_2 from is interference, but it lasts only for a she Is interference, out it taks only for a short the pattern shifts as wavegroup- D_1 begins overlap wavegroup- C_2 , since the relative phase base different if the coherence length was larger on the put If the concrete length was harden on the difference smaller, wavegroup- D_2 would more interact with its clone wavegroup- D_2 , and wavegroup the difference smaller, wavegroup and the becomes interference pattern stable [Fig. 9.7(b)]. Since light source will have a coherence length of on three wavelengths or so, it follows from Eq. (

* Modifications of this pattern arising as a result of width of either the primary S or secondary-source al sidered in later chapters (10 and 12). In the former contrast will bused as a measure of the degree of either 12.1). In the latter, diffraction effects become significant the second sec



atic representation of how light, composed of a groups with a coherence length Δx_c , produces the path-length difference exceeds Δx_c and (b) ice is less than Δx_i

mly down three fringes will be seen on either side of maximum.

Taylor (or with broad bandwidth illumina-constituent colors will arrive at y = 0 in ung traveled equal distances from each apera structure default usaftes i nor actu a per service or fringe will be essentially white, and higher order maxima will show a spread write, since x₀ is a function of λ₁ according with the service of the servic hapter.

9.3 Wavefront-Splitting Interferometers 343

The fringe pattern can be directly observed by punch-ing two small pinholes in a thin card. The holes should ing two small pinholes in a thin card. The holes should be approximately the size of the type symbol for a period on this page, and the separation between their centers about three radii. A street lamp, car headlight, or traffic signal at night, located a few hundred feet away, will serve as a plane wave source. The card should be posi-tioned directly in front of and very class to the syr. The fringes will appear perpendicular to the line of centers. The naturen is much more readily seen with slits, as The pattern is much more readily seen with slits, as Inc pattern is much more readily seen with subs, as discussed in Section 10.2.2, but you should give the pinholes a try. Microwaves, because of their long wavelength, also

offer an easy way to observe double-slit interference. Two slits (e.g., $\lambda/2$ wide by λ long, separated by 2λ) cut in a piece of sheet metal or foil will serve quite well as secondary sources (Fig. 9.8).

The interferometric configuration discussed above, with either point or slit sources, is known as **Young's** experiment. The same physical and mathematical considerations apply directly to a number of other wavefront-splitting interferometers. Most common among these are Fresnel's double mirror, Fresnel's double prism, and Lloyd's mirror.

Fresnel's double mirror consists of two plane frontsilvered mirrors inclined to each other at a very small angle, as shown in Fig. 9.9. One portion of the cylin-drical wavefront coming from silt 5 is reflected from the first mirror, and another portion of the wavefront is reflected from the second mirror. An interference



Figure 9.8 A microwave interferometer.

Chapter g Interference 344

field exists in space in the region where the two reflected waves are superimposed on each other. The images $(S_1$ and $S_2)$ of the slit S in the two mirrors can be considered as separate coherent sources, placed at a distance a a part. It follows from the laws of reflection, as illustrated in Fig. 9.9(a), that $\overline{SA} = \overline{S_1A}$ and $\overline{SB} = \overline{S_2B}$, so that $\overline{SA} + \overline{AP} = \tau_1$ and $\overline{SB} + \overline{BP} = \tau_2$. The optical path-length difference between the two rays is then simply rengen unterset occurrent the two rays is then an infiny $r_1 - r_2$. The various maxima occur at $r_1 - r_2 = m\lambda$, as they do with Young's interferometer. Again, the separation of the fringes is given by

$\Delta y = \frac{s}{a} \lambda,$

where s is the distance between the plane of the two where s is the distance between the plane of the two virtual sources (S_1, S_2) and the screen. The arrangement in Fig. 9.9 has again been deliberately exaggerated to make the geometry somewhat clearer. Notice that the angle θ between the mirrors must be quite small if the



electric field vectors for each of the two because be parallel, or nearly so. Let \mathbf{F}_1 and \mathbf{F}_2 represented from the coherent vitralized and S_2 . At any instant in time at the point P in each of these vectors can be resolved into compo-parallel and perpendicular to the plane of the With \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 parallel to \overline{AP} and \overline{BP}_1 response should be apparent that the components of only for small θ . The Freenel double prism or bips on the plane of the plane of the figure will approach being parallel only for small θ .

The Fresnel double prism or biprism conversion of the prism of the wavefront impinges on the prism of the wavefront is reference over the lower segment is refracted upword in the region of superposition, interference over the prism angle α (Problem 9.15), where $\beta \approx 0$ The



ion for the separation of the fringes is the same

The last @vefront-splitting interferometer that we mader is Lloyd's mirror, shown in Fig. 9.11. It was a fat piece of either dielectric or metal that many a mirror, from which is reflected a portion of a fat wavefront coming from slit S. Another

Figure 1 wavefront coming from sli S. Another Sche wavefront proceeds directly from the slit the green. For the separation a, between the slit and slit and its image S, in the mirror. The spacing the images is once again given by (slo). The distin-tion is the separation of this device is that at glancing stars ($\phi_i = \pi/2$) the reflected beam undergoes a babagabilit. (Recall that the amplitude reflection stars are then both equal to -1.) With an addi-and ghase shift of $\pm \pi$.

 $\delta = k(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \pm \pi,$

at the irradiance becomes

 $I = 4I_0 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi a y}{s\lambda}\right),$

ge pattern for Lloyd's mirror is complemen-t of Young's interferometer; the maxima of m exist at values of y that correspond to





minima in the other pattern. The top edge of the mirror is equivalent to y = 0 and will be the center of a dark fringe rather than a bright one, as in Young's device. The lower half of the pattern will be obstructed by the presence of the mirror itself. Consider what would happen if a thin sheet of transparent material were placed in the path of the rays traveling directly to the screen. The transparent sheet would have the effect of increasing the number of wavelengths in each direct ray. The entire pattern would accordingly move



Figure 9.11 Lloyd's mirror,
upward, where the reflected rays would travel a bit farther before interfering. Because of the obvious inherent simplicity of this device, it has been used over innerent simplicity of this device, it has been used over a very wide region of the dectormagnetic spectrum. The actual reflecting surfaces have ranged from crystals for x-rays, ordinary glass for light, and wire screening for microwaves to a lake or even the Earth's ionosphere for radio waves.*

All the above interferometers can be demonstrated quite readily. The necessary parts, mounted on a single optical bench, are shown diagrammatically in Fig. 9.12. The source of light should be a strong one; if a laser is not available, a discharge lamp or a carbon arc followed by a water cell, to cool things down a bit, will do nicely. The light will not be monochromatic, but the fringes, which will be colored, can still be observed. A satisfactory approximation of monochromatic light can be obtained with a filter placed in front of the arc. A low-power He-Ne laser is perhaps the easiest source to work with, and you won't need a water cell or filter.

9.4 AMPLITUDE-SPLITTING INTERFEROMETERS

Suppose that a lightwave was incident on a half-silvered mirror† or simply on a sheet of glass. Part of the wave would be transmitted and part would be reflected. Both the transmitted and reflected waves would, of course, have lower amplitudes than the original one. One might say figuratively that the amplitude had been "split." If say figuratively that the ampitude had been "spit." If the two separate waves could somehow be brought together again at a detector, interference would result, as long as the original coherence between the two had not been destroyed. If the path lengths differed by a distance greater than that of the wavegroup (i.e., the coherence length), the portions reunited at the detector

*For a discussion of the effects of a finite slit width and a finite frequency bandwidth, see R. N. Wolfe and F. C. Eisen, "Irradiance Distribution in a Lioyd Mirror Interference Pattern," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 59, 766 (1946).

3a, roo (reso, reso, res, reso, reso, reso, reso, reso, reso, reso, reso, reso, r



Figure 9.12 Bench setup to study wavefrom

would correspond to different wavegroups No phase relationship would exist between them case, and the fringe pattern would be unstable point of being unobservable. We will get ideas when we consider coherence theory in. For the moment we restrict ourselves, for the to those cases in which the path difference the coherence length.

9.4.1 Dielectric Films-Double-Beam, Interference

Interference effects are observable in sheet tran materials, the thicknesses of which vary over a very broad range, from films less than the lengthe wave (e.g., for green light λ_0 equals about η ness of this printed page) to plates several t thick. A layer of material is referred to as a a given wavelength of electromagnetic radiation when its thickness is of the order of that wavelength Better the early 1940s the interference phenomena as with thin dielectric films, although well known may fairly limited practical applicability. The rathe tacular color displays arising from oil slicks an films, however pleasing aesthetically and theore were mainly curiosities.

With the advent of suitable vacuum deposition ued niques in the 1930s, precisely controlled coating teore be produced on a commercial scale, and ther, in turk World War, both sides were finding the world World War, both sides were finding the wariety of coated optical devices, and by unlayered coatings were in widespread use.



and ray representations of thin-film interferent the top and bottom of the film interference.

9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers 347

Fringes of Equal Inclination

Initially, consider the simple case of a transparent parallel plate of dielectic material having a thickness *d* (Fig. 9.13). Suppose that the film is nonabsorbing and that the amplitude-reflection coefficients at the interthat the amputuse-renection coefficients at the inter-faces are so low that only the first two reflected beams E_1 , and E_2 , (both having undergone only one reflection) need be considered (Fig. 9.14). In practice, the ampli-tudes of the higher-order reflected beams $(E_{3r}, \text{etc.})$ generally decrease very rapidly, as can be shown for the air-water and air-galas interfaces (Problem 9.21). For the moment, consider S to be a monochromatic For the moment, consider 5 to be a monochromatic point source. The film serves as an amplitude-splitting device, so that E_1 , and E_2 , may be considered as arising from two coherent virtual sources lying behind the film; that is, the two images of S formed by reflection at the first and second interfaces. The reflected rays are parallel on leaving the film and can be brought together at a point E on the focal plane of a telescope oblective. at a point P on the focal plane of a telescope objective or on the retina of the eye when focused at infinity. From Fig. 9.14, the optical path-length difference for the first two reflected beams is given by

 $\Lambda = n_t[(\overline{AB}) + (\overline{BC})] - n_t(\overline{AD}),$ and since $(\overline{AB}) = \langle \overline{BC} \rangle = d/\cos \theta_i$,

 $\Lambda = \frac{2n_f d}{\cos \theta_t} - n_1(\overline{AD}).$

Now, to find an expression for (\overline{AD}) , write

 $(\overline{AD}) = (\overline{AC}) \sin \theta_i;$

if we make use of Snell's law, this becomes

 $(\overline{AD}) = (\overline{AC}) \frac{n_l}{n_l} \sin \theta_l,$ where $(\overline{AC}) = 2d \tan \theta_{i}$ The expression for A now becomes

 $\Lambda = \frac{2n_f d}{\cos \theta_t} (1 - \sin^2 \theta_t)$

or finally

 $\Lambda = 2n_f d \cos \theta_t$.

(9.88)

(9.32)



Figure 9.14 Fringes of equal inclination

The corresponding phase difference associated with the optical path-length difference is then just the product of the free-space propagation number and A_i that is, k_0A . If the film is immersed in a single medium, the index of refraction can simply be written as $n_1 = n_2 = n$. Realize, of course, that n may be less than n_i , as in the case of a propagation of the net of a propagation of the net Results, of tour so that's may be test than n_j , as in the case of a soap film in air, or greater than n_j , as with an air film between two sheets of glass. In either case there will be an additional phase shift arising from the reflections themselves. Recall that for incident angles up to about 30°, regardless of the polarization of the incoming light, the two beams, one internally and one externally reflected, will experience a relative phase shift of m radians (Fig. 4.25 and Section 4.5). Accordingly, 8 = k A +

and more explicitly

$$\delta = \frac{4\pi n_f}{\lambda_0} d\cos\theta_t \pm \pi$$

 $\delta = \frac{4\pi d}{\lambda_0} (n_f^2 - n^2 \sin^2 \theta_{\rm el})^{1/2} \pm \pi$

01

The sign of the phase shift is immaterial so the choose the negative sign to make the equation simpler in form. In reflected light an interfer maximum, a bright spot, appears at P when $\delta = 1$ other words, an even multiple of π . In three (9.34) can be rearranged to yield

(maxima) $d \cos \theta_t = (2m + 1) \frac{\lambda_f}{4}, \quad m = 0.1.1$

where use has been made of the fact that $A_j = 4$

(9.1



tion at the first Figure 9.15 Fringes seen on a small p

(9.34)

persponds to minima in the transmitted ference minima in reflected light (maxima ted light) result when $\delta = (2m \pm 1)\pi$, that is, les of π . For such cases Eq. (9.34) yields

(9.37)

$d \cos \theta_t = 2m \frac{\lambda_l}{4}$.

A man of odd and even multiples of $\lambda/4$ in a (9.37) is rather significant, as we will see could, of course, have a situation in which m_0 or $m_1 < m_2 < m_2$, as with a fluoride film if \mathfrak{g}_{n} an optical element of glass immersed in gase shift would then not be present, and atoms would simply be modified appropri-

ed to focus the rays has a small aperture, The set of the set of



ices on a large on of the film

9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers 349

For an extended source, light will reach the lens from various directions, and the fringe pattern will spread out over a large area of the film (Fig. 9.16). The angle θ_i or equivalently θ_i determined by the position of P, will in turn control 8. The fringes appearing at points P_1 and P_2 in Fig. 9.17 are, accordingly, known as fringes of equal inclination. (Problem 9.26 discusses some easy ways to see these fringes.) Keep in mind that each source point on the extended source is incoherent with respect to the others. Notice that as the film becomes thicker, the separation (\overline{AC}) between E_1 , and E_2 , also increases, since \overline{AC} and $\overline{A$

$(\overline{AC}) = 2d \tan \theta_t$. [9.32]

When only one of the two rays is able to enter the pupil of the eye, the interference pattern will disappear. The larger lens of a telescope can then be used to gather in both rays, once again making the pattern visible. The separation can also be reduced by reducing θ_i and



Figure 9.17 All rays inclined at the same angle arrive at the same



therefore θ_i , that is, by viewing the film at nearly normal incidence. The equal-inclination fringes that are seen in this manner for thick plates are known as **Haidinger** Fringes, after the Austrian physicist Wilhelm Karl Haidinger (1795-1871). With an extended source, the symmetry of the setup requires that the interference pattern consists of a series of concentric circular bands pensiti consists of a series of contentific circular bands centered on the perpendicular drawn from the eye to the film (Fig. 9.18). As the observer moves, the interfer-ence pattern follows along.

Hinges of Equal Thickness A whole class of interference fringes exisp in whi the optical thickness, n_d is the dominant of the rather than θ_i . These are referred to as from thickness. Under white-light illumination cence of scap bubbles, oil slicks (a few avalent thick), and even oxidized metal surface is the rest variations in film thickness. Interference bands a kind are analogous to the constant-height of a topographical map. Each fringe is

Fringes of Equal Thickness

film for which the optical thickness is a or film for which the optical nuckeness is a general, *n*, does not vary, so that the fringes expond to regions of constant film thick-h, they can be quite useful in determining features of optical elements (lenses, prisms, sample, a surface to be examined may be net with an optical flat.³ The air in the space two generates a thin-film interference pat-tion optical data the state of an optical flat. rest surface is flat, a series of straight, equally rest indicates a wedge-shaped air film, usually from dust between the flats. Two pieces of separated at one end by a strip of paper will sfactory wedge with which to observe these

ed at nearly normal incidence in the manspewed at nearly normal indicence in the man-ized in Fig. 9.19, the contours arising from a minim film are called **Fizeau fringes**. For a thin of small angle a, the optical path-length dif-Between two reflected rays may be approxi-ble (9.33), where d is the thickness at a par-ticular that is int, that is,

 $d = x\alpha$. isaloes of θ_i the condition for an interference in becomes

 $(m+\tfrac{1}{2})\lambda_0=2n_fd_m^ (m+\tfrac{1}{2})\lambda_0=2\alpha x_m n_f.$ Since 1- Aplan x, may be written as

 $\mathbf{x}_m = \left(\frac{m+1/2}{2\alpha}\right)\lambda_f.$ at distances from the apex given by $\lambda_1/4\alpha$, deconsecutive fringes are separated by a free by $\gamma = frite_1$

 $\Delta x = \lambda_f/2\alpha, \quad \Lambda_{-} > \cdots > \qquad (9.40)$ be optically flat when it deviates by not more the perfect plane. In the past, the beat flats were quarta. Now glass-ceramic materials (e.g., CER-dy small thermal coefficients of expansion (about area) are available. Individual flats of $\lambda/200$ or a de. 9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers 351

Notice that the difference in film thickness between adjacent maxima is simply $\lambda_j/2$. Since the beam reflected from the lower surface traverses the film twice $(\theta_i = \theta_i = 0)$, adjacent maxima different no pitad path length by λ_{fc} . Note, Too, that the film thickness at the various maxima is given by is given by

 $d_m = (m + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\lambda_f}{2},$ (9.41)

which is an odd multiple of a quarter wavelength. Traversing the film twice yields a phase shift of m, which when added to the shift of m resulting from reflection, puts the two rays back in phase.



Figure 9.19 Fringes from a wedge-shaped film.

Figure 9.20 is a photograph of a soap film held verti-Figure 9.20 is a photograph of a soap film held verti-cally so that it settles into a wedge shape under the influence of gravity. When illuminated with white light, the bands are various colors. The black region at the top is a portion where the film is less than $\lambda/4$ thick. Twice this, plus an additional shift of $\lambda/2$ due to the reflection, is less than a whole wavelength. The reflected rays are therefore out of phase. As the thickness decreases still further, the total phase difference approaches m. The irradiance at the observer goes to a minimum (Eq. 9.16), and the film appears black in reflected light.^{*}

Press two well-cleaned microscope slides together. The enclosed air film will usually not be uniform. In ordinary room light a series of irregular, colored bands (fringes of equal thickness) will be clearly visible across the surface (Fig. 9.21). The thin glass slides distort under pressure, and the fringes move and change accordingly. Indeed, if the two pieces of glass are forced together

* The relative phase shift of π between internal and external reflection is required if the reflected flux density is to go to zero smoothly, as the film gets thinner and finally disappears.



Figure 9.20 A wedge-shaped film made of liquid dishwashing soap. (Photo by E. H.)



Figure 9.21 Fringes in an air film between two rates and (Photo by E. H.)

at a point, as might be done by pressington them will a sharp pencil, a series of concentric, nearly inter-fringes is formed about that point (Fig. 9.22) have as Newton's rings,⁴ this pattern is more pre-examined with the arrangement of Fig. 92-lensis placed on an optical flat and illuminated incidence with equipment detruct is the incidence with quasimonochromatic light for incidence with quasimonochromatic light for of uniformity in the concentric circular par-measure of the degree of perfection in the support of lens. With *R* as the radius of curvature of the conse-lens, the relation between the distance x and the like thickness d is given by

 $x^2 = R^2 - (R - d)^2$ or more simply by $x^2 = 2Rd - d^2.$

Since $R \gg d$, this becomes $r^2 = 2Rd$

* Robert Hooke (1695-1703) and Isaac Newton studied a whole range of thin-film phenomena, fro to the air film between lenses. Quoting from Newton

I took two Object-glasses, the one a Planconver Foot Telescope, and the other a large double of about lifty Foot: and upon this, laving the plane side downwards, J preseld them slowly fit-the Colours successively emerge in the middle





here and threader's rings with two microscope slides. (Photos by

The expression of the second $2n_f d_m = (m + \frac{1}{2})\lambda_0.$

induciof the mth bright ring is therefore found

9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers 353



Similarly, the radius of the mth dark ring is $x_m = (m\lambda_j R)^{1/2}.$ (9.48)

If the two pieces of glass are in good contact (no dust), the central fringe at that point $(x_0 = 0)$ will clearly be a minimum in irradiance, an understandable result since d goes to zero at that point. In transmitted light, the observed pattern will be the complement of the reflected one discussed above, so that the center will now appear

bright. Newton's rings, which are Fizeau fringes, can be dis-tinguished from the circular pattern of Haidinger's fringes by the manner in which the diameters of the Haidinger pattern corresponds to the maximum value



Figure 9.23 A standard setup to oberve Newton's rings.

of m (Problem 9.25), whereas just the opposite applies

of m (Problem 9.25), whereas just the opposite applies to Newton's rings. An optical shop, in the business of making lenses, will have a set of precision spherical test plates or gauges. A designer can specify the surface accuracy of a new lens in terms of the number and regularity of the Newton rings that will be seen with a particular test gauge. The use of test plates in the manufacture of high-quality lenses, however, is giving way to far more sophisticated techniques involving laser interferometers (Section 9.8.4). (Section 9.8.4).

9.4.2 Mirrored Interferometers

There are a good number of amplitude-splitting inter-There are a good number of amphitude-sputung inter-ferometers that utilize arrangements of mirrors and beam-splitters. By far the bes known and historically the most important of these is the Michelson inter-ferometer. Its configuration is illustrated in Fig. 9.24. An extended source (e.g., a diffusing ground-glass plate illuminated by a discharge lamp) emits a wave, part of which travels to the right. The beam-splitter at O divides the most into two one segment traveling to the right the wave into two, one segment traveling to the right



and one up into the background. The two was reflected by mirrors M_1 and M_2 and return be an splitter. Part of the wave coming from through the beam splitter going downward im the wave coming from M_1 is deflected by splitter toward the detector. Thus the two heaves united, and interference can be expected. Notice that one beam passes through O three time whereas the other traverses it only once. Consequent such beam will pass through equal thicknesses of giv only when a compensator plate C is inserted in the an OM_1 . The compensator is an exact during the set of the traverse of the traverse is an exact during the set of the traverse of M_1 . The compensator is an exact during the set of the traverse of the traverse is an exact during the traverse of the traver



Figure 9.24 The Michelson interferometer. (c) The frin with the tip of a hot soldering iron in one arm. (Photo by



115 A conceptual rearrangement

ther, with the exception of any possible silver-minim coating on the beam-splitter. It is posi-sin angle of 45° , so that O and C are parallel ber. With the compensator in place, any optical The arises from the actual path difference. b, because of the dispersion of the beam-optical path is a function of λ . Accordingly, variant path is a function of A. Accordingly, pative work, the interferometer without the store plate can be used only with a production of a com-megates the effect of dispersion, so that even with a very broad bandwidth will generate We fringes. Merstand how fringes are formed, refer to the stion shown in Fig. 9.25, where the physical

components are represented more as mathematical sur-faces. An observer at the position of the detector will simultaneously see both mirrors M_1 and M_2 along with the source Σ in the beam-splitter. Accordingly, we can redraw the interferometer as if all the elements were in a straight line. Here M_1 corresponds to the image of mirror M_1 in the beam-splitter, and Σ hasbeen swung over in line with O and M_2 . The positions of these elements in the diagram depend on their relative dis-tances from $O(e.g., M_1$ can be in front of, behind, or coincident with M_2 and an even pass through it). The surfaces Σ_1 and Σ_2 are the images of the source Σ in mirrors M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Now consider a single point S on the source emitting light in all directions; let's follow the course of one emerging ray. In actuality

wave from S will be split at O, and its segments will a wave from S will be split at O, and its segments will thereafter be reflected by M i and M₂. In our schematic diagram we represent this by reflecting the ray off both M_2 and M_1 . To an observer at D the two reflected rays will appear to have come from the image points S₁ and S₂ [note that all rays shown in (a) and (b) of Fig. 9.25 share a common plane of incidence]. For all practical purposes, S_1 and S_2 are coherent point sources, and we can anticipate a flux-density distribution obeying Eq. (9.14). As the figure shows, the **optical** path difference for these rays is nearly $2d \cos \theta$, which represents a phase difference of $k_2 2d \cos \theta$. There is an additional phase term arising from the fact that the wave traversing the arm OMo is internally reflected in the beam-splitter the value of M_1 whereas the OM_1 wave is externally reflected at O. If the beam-splitter is simply an uncoated glass plate, the relative phase shift resulting from the two reflections will (Section 4.5, p. 119) be π radians. Destructive, rather than constructive, interference will then exist when

$2d\cos\theta_m = m\lambda_0$,

(9.44)

where m is an integer. If this condition is fulfilled for the point S, then it will be equally well fulfilled for any point on Σ that lies on the circle of radius O'S, where O' is located on the axis of the detector. As illustrated in Fig. 9.26, an observer will see a circular fringe system concentric with the central axis of her eye's lens. Because of the small aperture of the eye, the observer will not be able to see the entire pattern without the use of a large lens near the beam-splitter to collect most of the emergent light.

If we use a source containing a number of frequency



Figure 9.26 Formation of circular fringe

components (e.g., a mercury discharge p dependence of θ_m on λ_0 in Eq. (9.44) requires such component generate a fringe system δ Note, too, that since 2d cos θ_m must be less coherence length of the source, it follows that will be restrictively the set to use in dews that will be particularly easy to use in de interferometer (see Section 9.5). This point interferometer (see Section 3.3). Into point made strikingly evident were we to compare the produced by laser light with those generated light from an ordinary tungsten bulb or a can light from all obtained to the set of the of a can the latter case, the path difference must be rear-zero, if we are to see any fringes at all, whereas former instance a difference of 10 cm has little able effect.

able effect. An interference pattern in quasimonochronomy typically consists of a large number of alternary and dark rings. A particular ring correspondence order m. As M_2 is moved toward M'_1 , d deciration according to Eq. (9.44), cos θ_m increases with θ_m fore decreases. The rings shrink toward the cert he bickherder order, one discussed and the cert fore decreases. The rings surface waits they the highest-order one disappearing w decreases by $\lambda_0/2$. Each remaining ring b more and more fringes vanish at the center a few fill the whole screen. By the time d with the cancel fringe will have a pread reached, the central fringe will have sprea the entire field of view. With a phase shift of from reflection off the beam-splitter, the w will then be an interference minimum. (Lack of tion in the optical elements can render this under able.) Moving M_2 still farther causes the frug-reappear at the center and move outward§ Notice that a central dark fringe for whick $\theta_n = 1$ Eq. (9.44) can be represented by

$2d = m_0 \lambda_0$

(Keep in mind that this is a special case, The region might correspond to neither a section minimum.) Even if d is 10 cm, which is tark in laser light, and $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm, m_0 will be quinnamely 400,000. At a fixed value of d, succession rings will satisfy the expressions

$$2d \cos \theta_1 = (m_0 - 1)\lambda$$
$$2d \cos \theta_2 = (m_0 - 2)\lambda$$

$$2d\cos\theta_{1} = (m_{0} - p)\lambda_{0}$$

lar position of any ring, for example, the pth need by combining Eqs. (9.45) and (9.46) - 0) - 6)

(9.47)

(9.48)

$$2al(1-\cos\theta_p)=px_0.$$

 $\theta_{\mu} \equiv \theta_{\mu}$, both are just the half-angle subtended $\theta_{\mu} = \theta_{\mu}$, both are just the half-angle subtended detector by the particular ring, and since m =, Eq. (9.47) is equivalent to Eq. (9.44). The new he somewhat more convenient, since busics it ewhat more convenient, since (using the somewhat more convenient, since (using the xample as above) with d = 10 cm, the sixth dark m be specified by stating that p = 6, or in terms offer of the *p*th ring, that m = 399,994. If θ_p is

$$\cos \theta_p = 1 - \frac{\theta_p^2}{2},$$

(9.47) yields
$$\theta_p = \left(\frac{p\lambda_0}{d}\right)^{1/2}$$

gular radius of the pth fringe.

uction of Fig. 9.25 represents one possible the one in which we consider only pairs allel emerging rays. Since these rays do not meet, they cannot form an image without a regions of some sort. Indeed, that lens is most illy ar ded by the observer's eye focused at infinity. resulting fringes of equal inclination ($\theta_{m} = \text{constant}$) ed at infinity are also Haidinger fringes. A com-on of Figs. 9.25(b) and 9.3(a), both showing two int sources, suggests that in addition to these bings at infinity, there might also be (real) brings at infinity, there might also be (real) brand by converging rays. These fringes do the Hence, if you illuminate the interferometer tource and shield out all extraneous light, with we the projected pattern on a screen in foom (see Section 9.5). The fringes will respace in front of the interferometer (i.e., or is shown), and their size will increase distance from the beam-splitter. We will real) fringes arising from point-source ter on.

fors of the interferometer are inclined o each other, making a small angle (i.e., M_2 are not quite perpendicular), Fizeau Served. The resultant wedge-shaped air

9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers 357

film between M_2 and M'_1 creates a pattern of straight parallel fringes. The interfering rays appear to diverge from a point behind the mirrors. The eye would have to focus on this point in order to make these *localized* fringes observable. It can be shown analytically* that by appropriate adjustment of the orientation of the mir rors M₁ and M₂, fringes can be produced that are straight, circular, elliptical, parabolic, or hyperbolic— this holds as well for the real and virtual fringes. It is apparent that the Michelson interferometer can

It is apparent that the strategies interformer can be used to make extremely accurate length measure-ments. As the moveable mirror is displaced by $\lambda \partial_{2,2}$ each fringe will move to the position previously occupied by an adjacent fringe. Using a microscope arrangement, one need only count the number of frin-ges N, or portions thereof, that have moved past a reference point to determine the distance traveled by the mirror Δd , that is,

$\Delta d = N (\lambda_0/2).$

Of course, nowadays this can be done fairly easily by electronic means. Michelson used the method to measure the number of wavelengths of the red cadmium line corresponding to the standard meter in Sèvres near Paris.†

The Michelson interferometer can be used along with a few polaroid filters to verify the Fresnel-Arago laws. A polarizer inserted in each arm will allow the optical path-length difference to remain fairly constant, while the vector field directions of the two beams are easily changed.

A microwave Michelson interferometer can be con-A inclusive matched in increase of the second secon minima as one of the mirrors is moved, thereby determining A. A few sheets of plywood, plastic, or glass inserted in one arm will change the central fringe. Counting the number of fringe shifts yields a value for the index of refraction, and from that we can compute the dielectric constant of the material.

* See, for example, Valasek, Optics, p. 135.

† A discussion of the procedure he used to avoid counting the 3,106,327 fringes directly can be found in Strong, Concepts of Classicon Optics, p. 238, or Williams, Applications of Interferometry, p. 51.



Figure 9.27 The Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

The Mach-Zehnder interferometer is another amplitude-splitting device. As shown in Fig. 9.27, it consists of two beam-splitters and two totally reflecting mirrors. The two waves within the apparatus travel along separate paths. A difference between the optical paths can be introduced by a sight fill of one of the beam-splitters. Since the two paths are separated, the interferometer is relatively difficult to align. For the same reason, however, the interferometer finds myriad applications. It has even been used, in a somewhat altered yet conceptually similar form, to obtain electron interference fringes.

An object interposed in one beam will alter the optical path-length difference, thereby changing the fringe pattern. A common application of the device is to observe the density variations in gas-flow patterns within research chambers (wind tunnels, shock tubes, etc.). One beam passes through the optically flat windows of the test chamber, while the other beam traverses appropriate compensator plates. The beam within the chamber will propagate through regions having a spatially varying index of refraction. The resulting distortions in the wavefront generate the fringe contours.

* L. Marton, J. Arol Simpson, and J. A. Suddeth, Rev. Sci. Instr. 25, 1099 (1954), and Phys. Rev. 90, 490 (1953).



Figure 9.28 Scylla IV. *

A particularly nice application is shown in Fig. 9.28, which is a photograph of the magnetic transme device known as Scylla IV. It was used to study controlled thermonuclear reactions at the Los Aland Scientific Laboratory. In this application the M Zehnder interferometer appears in the form of parallelogram, as illustrated in Fig. 9.29. The two relif laser interferograms, as these photographs are called show (Fig. 9.30) the background pattern without a

filter	Va	ive	Disch	arge tü	Quett
Lens	comera	Compres	sion coll	1000	
Diaphragm	in the second		-	1000	
Film , P		1		1.78	
plane	P	tasma F	ringe	Vacuutta pump	1
	Cor	mpensation		1	heatt
me me					8
	ñ	Quartz	window	05	
Se	ale 0 5 10 15			Ø	



100 9.50 Interferogram without plasma.

The tube and the density contours within the tube a reaction (Fig. 9.31), where amplitude-splitting device, which differs evolutions instrument in many respects, is the tuberferometer. It is very easy to align and quite

(a)



9.4 Amplitude-Splitting Interferometers

359



Figure 9.31 Interferogram with plasma. (Photo courtesy Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.)

stable. An interesting application of the device is discussed in the last section of this chapter, where we consider its use as a gyroscope. One form of the Sagnac interferometer is shown in Fig. 9.32(a) and another in Fig. 9.32(b); still others are possible. Notice that the



Figure 9.32 (a) A Sagnac interferometer. (b) Another variation of the Sagnac interferometer.

Figure 9.33 The Pohl interferometer.

main feature of the device is that there are two identical but oppositely directed paths taken by the beams and that both form closed loops before they are united to produce interference. A deliberate slight shift in the orientation of one of the mirrors will produce a pathlength difference and a resulting fringe pattern. Since the beams are superimposed and therefore inseparable, the interferometer cannot be put to any of the conventional uses. These in general depend on the possibility of imposing variations on only one of the constituent beams.

Real Fringes

Before we examine the creation of real, as opposed to virtual, fringes, let's first consider another amplitudesplitting interferometric device, the Pohl fringeproducing system, illustrated in Fig. 9.33. It is simply a thin transparent film illuminated by the light coming from a point source. In this case, the fringes are real and can accordingly be intercepted on a screen placed anywhere in the vicinity of the interferometer without a condensing-lens system. A convenient light source to use is a mercury lamp covered with a shield having small hole (=4] inch diameter) in it. As a thin film, m a piece of ordinary mica taped to a dark-colored bot cover, which serves as an opaque backing if you a laser, its remarkable coherence length and have density will allow you to perform this same state with almost anything smooth and transparties the beam to about an inch or two in diameters it through a lens (a focal length of 50 to 10 do). Then just reflect the beam off the surface plate (e.g., a microscope slide), and the fringeevident within the illuminated disk wherevoir strate

a screen. The underlying physical principle involved with point-source illumination for all four diferometric devices considered above can bewith the help of a construction, variation and shown in Figs. 9.34 and 9.35.* The two vertical to Fig. 9.34, or the inclined ones in Fig. 9.35. either the positions of the mirrors or the two server

* A. Zajac, H. Sadowski, and S. Licht, "The Real Eringe and the Michelson Interferometers," Am. J. Phys.

9.5 Types and Localization of Interference Fringes 361

since that is the region where we need to focus our detector (eye, camera, telescope). In general, the problem of locating fringes is characteristic of a given interferometer; that is, it has to be solved for each individual device.

Fringes can be classified, first, as either real or virtual and, second, as either nonlocalized or localized. Real fringes are those that can be seen on a screen without the use of an additional focusing system. The rays forming these fringes converge to the point of observation, all by themselves. Virtual fringes cannot be projected onto a screen without a focusing system. In this case the rays obviously do not converge.

the rays obviously do not converge. Nonlocalized fringes are real and exist everywhere within an extended (three-dimensional) region of space. The pattern is literally nonlocalized, in that it is not restricted to some small region. Young's experiment, as illustrated in Fig. 9.5, fills the space beyond the secondary sources with a whole array of real fringes. Nonlocalized fringes of this sortare generally produced by small sources, that is, point or line sources, be they real or virtual. In contrast, localized fringes are clearly



Figure 9.36 Real Michelson fringes using He-Ne laser light. (Photo by E. H.)



The second secon

AND LOCALIZATION OF

Olten it minportant to know where the fringes prointerferometric system will be located,

observable only over a particular surface. The pattern is literally localized, whether near a thin film or at infinity. This type of fringe will always result from the use of extended sources but can be generated with a point source as well. The Pohl interferometer (Fig. 9.33) is particularly

The Pohl interferometer (Fig. 9.33) is particularly useful in illustrating these principles, since with a point source it will produce both real nonlocalized and virtual localized fringes. The real nonlocalized fringes (Fig. 9.37, upper half) can be intercepted on a screen almost anywhere in front of the mica film.

anywhere in front of the mice film. For the nonconverging rays, realize that since the aperture of the eye is quite small, it will intercept only those rays that are directed almost exactly at it. For this small pencil of rays, the eye, at a particular position, sees either a bright or dark spot but not much more. To perceive an extended fringe pattern in the bottom ball parallel rays of the type shown in the bottom ball Fig. 9.37, a large lens will have to be used to set in light entering at other orientations is fringes can generally be seen by looking in are localized at infinity and are equivalent inclination fringes of Section 9.4. Similarly, M_1 and M_2 in the Michelson interference the usual circular, virtual, equal-inclination localized at infinity will be seen. We can impart air film between the surfaces of the mirrors M_2 acting to generate these fringes. As with the ration of Fig. 9.37 for the Pohl device, real noise fringes will also be present.



herry of the fringe pattern seen in reflected transparent wedge of small angle d is shown. The fringe location P will be determined toton of incidence of the incoming light. They have this same kind of localization, as below, Sagnac, and other interferometers the equivalent interference system consists of hig planes inclined slightly to each other. The spilling the Mach-Zehnder interferometer is in that by rotating the mirrors, one can localsulting virtual fringes on any plane within the enerally occupied by the test chamber (Fig.



tinges in the Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference 363

9.6 MULTIPLE-BEAM INTERFERENCE

Thus far we have examined a number of situations in which two coherent beams are combined under diverse conditions to produce interference patterns. There are, however, other circumstances under which a much larger number of mutually coherent waves are made to interfere. In fact, whenever the amplitude-reflection coefficients, the r's, for the parallel plate illustrated in Fig. 9.14 are not small, as was previously the case, the higher-order reflected waves $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots$ become quite significant. A glass plate, slightly silvered on both sides so that the r's approach unity, will generate a large number of multiply internally reflected rays. For the film, substrate, and surrounding medium are transparent dielectrics. This avoids the more complicated phase changes resulting from metal-coated surfaces.

phase charges totaling itom the total content instants of the charges charges charges totaling itom the analysis as simply as possible, let the film be nonabsorbing and let $n_1 = n_2$. The notation will be in accord with that of Section 4.5: in other words, the amplitude-transmission coefficients are represented by (, the fraction of the amplitude of a wave transmitted when a wave leaves the film. Keep in mind that the rays are actually lines drawn perpendicular to the optical fields $\mathbf{E}_{i1}, \mathbf{E}_{gr},$ and so forth. Since the rays will remain nearly parallel, the scalar theory will suffice as long as we are careful to account for any possible phase shifts. As shown in Fig. 9.40, the scalar amplitudes of the reflected waves $\mathbf{E}_{11}, \mathbf{E}_{gr}, \mathbf{E}_{sr}, \dots$, are respectively $E_{or}, E_{ofr}, t', \dots$, where E_o is the amplitude of the initial incoming wave and r = r' via Eq. (4.89). The minus sign indicates a phase shift, which we will consider later. Similarly, the transmitted waves $\mathbf{E}_{11}, \mathbf{E}_{ofr}, \mathbf{e$



Chapter 9 Interference 364 9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference 365 ntant amplitude E_0 Figure 9.43 Phasor diagram. case $E_{0r} = E_0 r \left[1 + \frac{u'}{(1+r^2)} \right]_r$ Again, $u' = 1 - r^2$; therefore, as illustrated in Fig. 9.43, $E_{0r} = \frac{2r}{(1+r^2)} E_0.$ Base shifts arising purely from the reflections (internal Since this particular arrangement results in the addition of the first and second waves, which have relatively large amplitudes, is should yield a large reflected flux density. The irradiance is proportional to $E_{b}^{*}/2$, so from Eq. Figure 9.40 Multiple-beam interference from a partitiwhen $\Lambda = (m + \frac{1}{2})\lambda$. Now the first and second phase, and all other adjacent waves are $\lambda/2$ phase; that is, the second is out of phase with The resultant irradiance expression has a particularly in odd powers. The sum of the scalar amplitude: the is, the total reflected amplitude at point P, is the (3.44) simple form for two special cases. The difference in optical path length between adja- $I_r = \frac{4r^2}{(1+r^2)^2} \left(\frac{E_0^2}{2}\right).$ $E_{0r} = E_0 r - (E_0 trt' + E_0 tr^3 t' + E_0 tr^3 t' + \dots)$ the third is out of phase with the fourth, and then. The resultant scalar amplitude is then cent rays is given by (9.50) or $\Lambda = 2n_f d \cos \theta_t.$ [9.33] That this is in fact the maximum, $(I_r)_{max}$, will be shown $E_{0r} = E_0 r - E_0 trt' (1 + r^2 + r^4 + \cdots)$ $E_{3} = E_{3} t + E_{0} t t' - E_{0} t r^{3} t' + E_{0} t r^{5} t' - \cdots$ That this is in fact the maximum, $(I_i)_{max}$, will be shown later. We will now consider the problem of multiple-beam interference in a more general fashion, making use of the complex representation. Again let $n_i = n_2$, thereby avoiding the need to introduce different reflection and All the waves except for the first, **E**₁₇, undergo an odd number of reflections *within* the film. It follows from Fig. 4.25 that at each internal reflection the component where since $\Lambda = m\lambda$, we've just replaced $r' hr = The geometric series in parentheses converges to the finite sum <math>1/(1-r^2)$ as long as $r^2 < 1$, so that $F_{z} = E_0 r + E_0 r t t' (1 - r^2 + r^4 - \cdots).$ rig. 4.20 mas at each internai renection the component of the field parallel to the plane of incidence changes phase by either 0 or π , depending on the internal incident angle, $\theta_i < \theta_i$. The component of the field perpendicular to the plane of incidence suffers no change in phase on internal reflection when $\theta_i < \theta_i$. The series in parentheses is equal to $1/(1 + r^2)$, in which $E_{0r} = E_0 r - \frac{E_0 t r t'}{(1 - r^2)_{d}}$ (9.49) transmission coefficients at each interface. The optical fields at point P are given by It was shown in Section 4.5, when we consider treatment of the principle of reversibility that $tt' = 1 - r^2$, and it follows that $E_{1\tau} = E_0 \tau e^{i\omega t}$ Clearly then, no relative change in phase among these waves results from an odd number of such reflections (Fig. 9.41). As the *first special case*, if $\Lambda = m\lambda$, the second, third, fourth, and successive waves will all be in phase $E_{2r}=E_0tr't'e^{i(\omega t-\delta)}$ $E_{0r} = 0.$ $E_{3r}=E_0tr'^3t'e^{i(\omega t-2\delta)}$ Thus when $\Lambda = m\lambda$ the second, third, fourth, age cessive waves exactly cancel the first reflected was shown in Fig. 9.42. In this case no light is reflected the incoming energy is transmitted. The second second third, fourth, and successive waves win an of its reflection at P. The wave E_{17} , however, because of its reflection at the top surface of the film, will be out of phase by 180° with respect to all the other waves. The phase shift is embodied in the fact that r = -r' and r' occurs only $E_{N_T} = E_0 t r'^{(2N-3)} t' e^{i [\omega t - (N-1)\delta]},$ where $E_0 e^{t_{out}}$ is the incident wave. The terms δ , 2δ , . . . , $(N-1)\delta$ are the contributions Pas 9.42 Ebasor diagram

to the **phase arising** from an optical path-length difference between adjacent rays ($\delta = k_0 \Lambda$). There is an additional phase contribution arising from the optical distance traversed in reaching point P_i but this is com-mon to each ray and has been omitted. The relative phase shift undergone by the first ray as a result of the reflection is embodied in the quantity r'. The resultant reflected scale rays are is reflected scalar wave is then

 $E_r = E_{1r} + E_{2r} + E_{3r} + \cdots + E_{Nr},$

or upon substitution (Fig. 9.44) $E_{\tau} = E_0 \tau e^{i\omega t} + E_0 t r' t' e^{i(\omega t - \delta)} + \dots + E_0 t r'^{(2N-3)} t'$

 $\times e^{i[\omega t - (N-1)\delta]}$.

This can be rewritten as

 $E_r = E_0 e^{i\omega t} \{ r + r' t t' e^{-i\delta} [1 + (r'^2 e^{-i\delta})$ + $(r'^2 e^{-i\delta})^2$ + · · · + $(r'^2 e^{-i\delta})^{N-2}$]}.

If $r'^2 e^{-i\delta} < 1$, and if the number of terms in the series approaches infinity, the series converges. The resultant wave becomes

$$E_r = E_0 e^{i\omega t} \left[r + \frac{r' tt' e^{-i\theta}}{1 - r'^2 e^{-i\theta}} \right].$$

(9.51)

In the case of zero absorption, no energy being taken out of the waves, we can use the relations r = -r' and $u' = 1 - r^2$ to rewrite Eq. (9.51) as - (8, 7)

$$E_r = E_0 e^{i\omega t} \left[\frac{r(t-\sigma)}{1-r^2 e^{-i\delta}} \right].$$

$$E_{r}$$

$$E_{r}$$

$$E_{r}$$

$$E_{r}$$

Figure 9.44 Phasor diagram.



where energy is not absorbed, that is, u' + indeed none of the incident energy is absor-flux density of the incoming wave should east the sum of the flux density reflected off the the total transmitted flux density emerging film. It follows from Eqs. (9.55) and (9.56) d



(9.27) be true, however, if the dielectric film is thin layer of semitransparent metal. Sur-finduced in the metal will dissipate a por-rident electromagnetic energy (see Section

(9.57)

(9.58)

(9.59)

(9.60)

ider the transmitted waves as described by Eq. (1) sharing will exist when the denominator is (1) as possible, that is, when $\cos \delta = 1$, in which $2\pi m$ and

 $(I_i)_{max} = I_i$ ster these conditions Eq. (9.52) indicates that

 $(I_r)_{\min} = 0,$

國馬马).

expect from Eq. (9.57). Again, from Eq. of expect non-neuron from real-order that a minimum transmitted flux density of the denominator is a maximum, that is, term of $\delta = -1$. In that case $\delta = (2m + 1)\pi$ and

$$(I_t)_{\min} = I_t \frac{(1 - r^2)^2}{(1 + r^2)^2}$$

The separation of the reflected flux

$$(I_r)_{\max} = I_i \frac{4r^2}{(1+r^2)^2}.$$

the that the constant-inclination fringe pattern has in when $\delta = (2m + 1)\pi$ or

$$\frac{2\pi n_i}{h_0}d\cos\theta_i = (2m+1)\pi,$$

at in the same as the result we arrived at previously, , by using only the first two reflected waves. hat Eq. (9.59) verifies that Eq. (9.50) was simum. of Eqs. (9.55) and (9.56) suggests that we

new quantity, the coefficient of finesse F, such

$$F = \left(\frac{2\tau}{1-\tau^2}\right)^2,$$

9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference 367



whereupon these equations can be written as I. $F \sin^2(\delta/2)$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{I_i}}{I_i} = \frac{1}{1 + F \sin^2(\delta/2)}$$
(9.61)
and
$$\frac{I_i}{I_i} = \frac{1}{1 + F \sin^2(\delta/2)}$$
(9.61)

$$\frac{I_i}{I_i} = \frac{1}{1 + F \sin^2(\delta/2)}.$$
(9.62)

The term $[1 + F \sin^2(\delta/2)]^{-1} = \mathscr{A}(\theta)$ is known as the Airy function. It represents the transmitted flux density distribution and is plotted in Fig. 9.45. The complemen-tary function $[1 - \mathscr{A}(\theta)]$, that is, Eq. (9.61), is plotted as well, in Fig. 9.46. When $\delta/2 = m\pi$ the Airy function is equal to unity for all values of F and therefore r. When approaches 1, the transmitted flux density is very small, except within the sharp spikes centered about



the points $\delta/2 = m\pi$. Multiple-beam interference has resulted in a redistribution of the energy density in comparison to the sinusoidal two-beam pattern (of which the curves corresponding to a small reflectance are reminiscent). This effect will be further demon-strated when we consider the diffraction grating. At that time we will clearly see this same peaking effect, resulting from an increased number of coherent sources contributing to the interference pattern. Remember that the Airy function is, in fact, a function of θ_i or θ_i by way of its dependence on δ , which follows from Eqs. (9.34) and (9.35), ergo the notation $\mathfrak{sl}(\theta)$. Each spike in the flux-density curve corresponds to a particular δ and therefore a particular θ . For a plane-parallel plate, the fringes, in transmitted light, will consist of a series of narrow bright rings on an almost completely dark background. In reflected light, the fringes will be narrow and dark on an almost uniformly bright background.

ground. Constant-thickness fringes can also be made sharp and narrow by applying a light silver coating to the relevant reflecting surfaces to produce multiple-beam interference. This procedure has a number of practical applications, one of which will be discussed in Section 9.8.2, when we consider the use of multiple-beam Fizeau fittings to achieve the programmer to a section of the se fringes to examine surface topography.

9.6.1 The Fabry-Perot Interferometer

The multiple-hearn interferometer, first constructed by Charles Fabry and Alfred Perot in the late 1800s, is of considerable importance in modern optics. Besides being a spectroscopic device of extremely high resolving power, it serves as the basic laser resonant cavity. In principle, the device consists of two plane, parallel, highly reflecting surfaces separated by some distance d. This is the simplest configuration, and as we shall see, other forms are also widely in use. In practice, two semisilvered or aluminized glass optical flats form the reflecting boundary surfaces. The enclosed air gap generally ranges from several millimeters to several cenand often to considerably greater lengths when it serves as a laser resonant cavity. If the gap can be mechanically

varied by moving one of the mirrors, it's an interferometer. When the mirrors and adjusted for parallelism by screwings and adjusted for parallelism by screwing domain sort of spacer (inwar or quart is common said to be an etalon (although it is, of course, and interferometer in the broad sense). Indeed in surfaces of a single quart plate are appro-ished and silvered, it too will serve as an etalon need not be air. The unsilvered sides of the often made to have a slight wedge shape (a area to reduce the interference pattern area. often made to have a slight wedge single (a single the gap. The transmitted rays are collect and brought to a focus on a screen, where the to form either a bright or dark spot. Char particular plane of incidence, which contain all the reflected rays. Any other ray emitted from a diffe point S_2 , parallel to the original ray and in of incidence, will form a spot at the same the screen. As we shall see, the discussion of section is again applicable, so that Eq. (9.54)the transmitted flux density I_t . The migenerated in the cavity, arriving at P from S_2 , are coherent among themselves. Bu



Figure 9.47 Fabry-Perot etalon.

warther Mbry-Perot etalon.

oı

or eq

mpletely incoherent with respect to those that there is no sustained mutual interfer-ontribution to the irradiance I_i at P is just two irradiance contributions. incident on the gap at a given angle will

gle circular fringe of uniform irradiance th a broad diffuse source, the interference narrow concentric rings, corresponding

e-beam transmission pattern. system can be observed visually by looking the etalon, while focusing at infinity. The using lens, which is no longer needed, is ere At large values of d, the rings will be better. At large values of a, the rings will be getter, and a telescope might be needed guify the pattern. A relatively inexpensive mon-will serve the same purpose and will allow tographing the fringes localized at infinity. As the expected from the considerations of Section

It is possible to produce real nonlocalized fringes bright point source. Barially transparent metal films that are often to increase the reflectance $(R = 7^8)$ will absorb a A of the flux density; this fraction is referred abareptance

 $tt' + r^2 = 1$

9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference 369



T+R=1," [4.60]

where T is the transmittance, must now be rewritten as T + R + A = 1.(9.63)

One further complication introduced by the metallic films is an additional phase shift $\phi(\theta_i)$, which can differ from either zero or π . The phase difference between two successively transmitted waves is then

$$\delta = \frac{4\pi n_l}{\lambda_0} d\cos\theta_l + 2\phi. \tag{9.64}$$

For the present conditions, θ_i is small and ϕ may be considered to be constant. In general, d is so large, and λ_0 so small, that ϕ can be neglected. We can now express Eq. (9.54) as

$$\frac{I_{i}}{I_{i}} = \frac{T^{2}}{1 + R^{2} - 2R \cos \delta},$$
uivalently
$$\frac{I_{i}}{I_{i}} = \left(\frac{T}{1 - R}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{1 + [4R/(1 - R)^{2}] \sin^{2}(\delta/2)}.$$
(9.65)



function, we obtain

$$\frac{I_i}{I_i} = \left[1 - \frac{A}{(1-R)}\right] \mathscr{A}(\theta), \qquad (9.66)$$

as compared with the equation for zero absorption
$$\frac{I_i}{I_i} = \mathscr{A}(\theta). \tag{9.62}$$

Inasmuch as the absorbed portion A is never zero, the
transmitted flux-density maxima
$$(I_i)_{max}$$
, will always be
somewhat less than I_i . [Recall that for $(I_i)_{max}$, $\mathscr{A}(\theta) = 1$.]
Accordingly, the *peak transmission* is defined as
 $(I_iI_i)_{max}$:

$$\frac{(I_i)_{\text{max}}}{I_i} = \left[1 - \frac{A}{(1-R)}\right]^2.$$
 (9.67)

A silver film 50 nm thick would be approaching its A site: finit both the control of a pproaching its maximum value of R (e.g., about 0.94), while T and Amight be, respectively, 0.01 and 0.05. In this case, the peak transmission will be down to $\frac{1}{8}$. The relative irradi-ance of the fringe pattern will still be determined by the Airy function, since

$$\frac{I_t}{(I_t)_{max}} = \mathcal{A}(\theta). \qquad (9.68)$$

A measure of the sharpness of the fringes, that is, how rapidly the irradiance drops off on either side of the maximum, is given by the half-width y. Shown in



Figure 9.49 Fabry-Perot fringes.



Figure 9.50 Overlapping fringes.

th

Fig. 9.49, γ is the width of the peak, in radians when

Fig. 9,49, γ is the when of the peak, in radiaty when $I_{i} = (I_{i})_{m_{i}} I_{i}^{2}$. Peaks in the transmission occur at specific when of the phase difference $\delta_{max} = 2\pi\pi$. According the irradiance will drop to half its maximum value (i.e. $\mathfrak{S}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}$) whenever $\delta = \delta_{max} + \delta_{1/2}$. Inasmuch $\mathscr{A}(\theta) = [1 + F \sin^2(\delta/2)]^{-1},$

en when
$$[1 + F \sin^2 (\delta_{1/2}/2)]^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

it follows that $\delta_{1/2} = 2 \sin^{-1} (1/\sqrt{F}).$

Since F is generally rather large, $\sin^{-1} (1/\sqrt{2})$ and therefore the half-width, $\gamma = 2\delta_{1/2}$, because 1JE $\gamma = 4/\sqrt{F}.$ 12.4

Recall that $F = 4R/(1-R)^2$, so that the large is, the sharper the transmission peaks will be. Another quantity of particular interest is

the separation of adjacent maxima to the harvest
Known as the finesse,
$$\mathcal{F} = 2\pi/\gamma$$
 or, from Eq. (9.69)

$$\mathscr{F} = \frac{\pi \sqrt{P}}{2}$$
.

Over the visible spectrum, the finance of most and Fabry-Perot instruments is about 30. The physic tation on \mathcal{F} is set by deviations in the mirror

allelism. Keep in mind that as the finesse the half-width decreases, but so too does the amission. Incidentally, finesse of about 1000 th curved-mirror sy ng5

of Spectroscopy

perot interferometer is frequently used to detailed structure of spectral lines. We will complete treatment of interference specrather will define the relevant terbut rather will define the relevant ter-briefly outlining appropriate derivations. I have seen, a hypothetical, purely monochro-havar generates a particular circular fringe $t_0 \delta$ is a function of λ_0 , so that if the source of pof two such monochromatic components, supposed ring systems would result. When the holesen particular carginal particular amount fringes partially overlap, a certain amount they exists in deciding when the two systems dually discernible, that is, when they are said (sed. Lord Rayleigh's¹ criterion for resolving I-irradiance overlapping slit images is well Fen if somewhat arbitrarily in the present Its use, however, will allow a comparison orgrating instruments. The essential feature The second secon the have equal irradiances, $(I_a)_{max} = (I_b)_{max}$.

Highe Beam Interferometry," by H. D. Polster, Appl. B) should be of interest. Also look at "The Optical Straham, C. Seaton, and S. Smith, Sci. Am. (Feb. 2- discussion of the use of the Fabry-Perot inter-optical transistor.

lete treatment can be found in Born and Wolf, Prin and in W. E. Williams. Applications of Interferometry

be reconsidered with respect to diffraction in the

9.6 Multiple-Beam Interference 371

The peaks in the resultant, occurring at $\delta = \delta_a$ and $\delta = \delta_b$, will have equal irradiances,

 $max = (I_a)_{max} + I',$ (9.71) the irradiance, $(8/\pi^2) (I_t)_{max}$, is the tuent irradiances, so that, recalling Eq. (9.68),

 $(8/\pi^2) \frac{(\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon})_{\text{max}}}{(\mathcal{I}_{\theta})_{\text{max}}} = [\mathcal{A}(\theta)]_{\delta \sim \delta_{\epsilon} + \Delta \delta/2} + [\mathcal{A}(\theta)]_{\delta \sim \delta_{\delta} + \Delta \delta/2}.$ (9) (9.72)

Using $(I_t)_{max}$ given by Eq. (9.71), along with the fact that

$$\frac{l^{\prime}}{\langle I_{a}\rangle_{\rm max}} = [\mathscr{A}(\theta)]_{\delta = \delta_{a} + \Delta\delta},$$

we can solve Eq. (9.72) for $\Delta\delta$. For large values of F,

$$(\Delta \delta) \approx \frac{4.2}{\sqrt{E}}$$
 (9.73)

This then represents the smallest phase increment, $(\Delta \delta)_{min}$, separating two resolvable fringes. It can be related to equivalent minimum increments in wavelength $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\min}$, frequency $(\Delta \nu)_{\min}$, and wave num-ber $(\Delta \kappa)_{\min}$. From Eq. (9.64), for $\delta = 2\pi m$, we have

$$m\lambda_0 = 2n_f d \cos \theta_t + \frac{\phi \lambda_0}{2}$$
. (9.74)

Dropping the term $\phi \lambda_0 / \pi$, which is clearly negligible, and then differentiating, yields

 $m(\Delta\lambda_0)+\lambda_0(\Delta m)=0$

$$\frac{\lambda_0}{(\Delta\lambda_0)} = -\frac{m}{(\Delta m)}.$$

The minus will be omitted, since it means only that the order increases when λ_0 decreases. When δ changes by 2π , m changes by 1, so

 $\frac{2\pi}{(\Delta\delta)} = \frac{1}{(\Delta m)}$ and thus $\frac{\lambda_0}{(\Delta \lambda_0)} = \frac{2 \pi m}{(\Delta \delta)}$ (9.75)

finesse of about 1000
(stems using dielectric
$$(I_i)_m$$

At the saddle point, the sum of the two constitutions of the t

or

or

The ratio of λ_0 to the least resolvable wavelength difference, $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\min}$, is known as the **chromatic resolv**ing power R of any spectroscope. At nearly normal idence

$$\mathscr{R} = rac{\lambda_0}{(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\min}} \approx \mathscr{F} rac{2n_f d}{\lambda_0}$$

(9.76)

(9.77)

(9.79)

(9.80)

$\mathcal{R} \approx \mathcal{F}m$.

For a wavelength of 500 nm, $n_f d = 10$ mm, and R = 90%, the resolving power is well over a million, a range only recently achieved by the finest diffraction gratings bin recently achieved by the intext of natural $(\lambda \lambda_0)_{min}$ is less than a millionth of λ_0 . In terms of frequency, the minimum resolvable bandwidth is

$$(\Delta \nu)_{\min} = \frac{1}{\mathscr{F}2n_f d}$$

inasmuch as $|\Delta \nu| = |c\Delta \lambda_0 / \lambda_0^2|$. As the two components present in the source become increasingly different in wavelength, the peaks shown overlapping in Fig. 9.50 separate. As the wavelength difference increases, the *m*th-order fringe for one wavelength λ_0 will approach the (m + 1)th-order for the other wavelength $(\lambda_0 - \Delta \lambda_0)$. The particular wavelength difference at which overlapping takes place, $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\rm tar}$: is known as the **free spectral range**. From Eq. (9.75), a change in δ of 2π corresponds to $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\rm tar}$: $= \lambda_0/m$, or at near normal incidence.

$$(\Delta\lambda_0)_{\rm fsr} \approx \lambda_0^2/2n_f d, \qquad \qquad (9)$$
 and similarly

$$(\Delta \nu)_{\text{far}} \approx c/2n_f d.$$

Continuing with the above example (i.e., $\lambda_0=500~\mathrm{nm}$ and $n_s d = 10$ mm), $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{sr} = 0.0125$ nm. Clearly, if we attempt to increase the resolving power by merely increasing *d*, the free spectral range will decrease, bringing with it the resulting confusion from the overlapping of orders. What is needed is that $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{\min}$ be as small as possible and $(\Delta \lambda_0)_{isr}$ be as large as possible. But lo and behold,

$$\frac{(\Delta \lambda_0)_{far}}{(\Delta \lambda_0)_{min}} = \mathscr{F}.$$

This result should not be too sutprising in view of the original definition of \mathscr{F} . Both the applications and configuration of Fabry-Perot interferometer are number Etalons have been arranged in series with as well as with grating and prism spects multilaver dielectric films have been used for multilayer dielectric films have been used multilayer diffective nins have been used metallic mirror coatings. Scanning techniques are now widely it take advantage of the superior linearity of take advantage of the superior linearity of detectors over photographic plates, to ob-reliable flux-density measurements. The basic central-spot scanning is illustrated in Fig. 9,511 is accomplished by varying 8 but for central-spot scanning is inustrated in Fig. 9,51 is accomplished by varying δ , by charging of than $\cos \theta_i$. In some arrangements, n_i is an by altering the air pressure within the etailo-tively, mechanical vibration of one mirror so placement of $\lambda_0/2$ will be enough to scanform

placement of $A_0/2$ will be enough to scanding training, corresponding as it does to $\Delta \delta = \frac{1}{2}$ lar technique for accomplishing this utilized tric mirror mount. This kind of material fun-length, and therefore 4, as a voltage is applied to a The voltage profile determines the mirror motion Instead of photographically recording radians over a large region in space, at a single point in this bits anthol percent previous constrained on the second

over a large region in space, at a single point in time, this method records irradiance over a larger region in time, at a single point in space. The actual configuration of the etalon itself hard-undergone some significant variations. Plerred Connet in 1956 first described the spherical-mixed hard-re-interformater. Since then, curved-mirror system that become prominent as laser cavities and are the increasing use as spectrum analyzers. increasing use as spectrum analyzers.



CATIONS OF SINGLE AND 9.7 JULTILAYER FILMS

ises to which coatings of thin dielectric films it in recent times are many indeed. Coatings unwanted reflections off a diversity of surunwanted reflections off a diversity of sur-monoplace. Multilayer, nonabsorbing beam-and dionic mirrors (color-selective heam-split-ransmit and reflect particular wavelengths) purchased commercially. Figure 9.52 is a seg-diagram illustrating the use of a cold mirror in cos with a bat reflector to channel infrarend taigram illustrating the use of a cold murror in the with a heat reflector to channel infrared of the rear of a motion-picture projector. The manned infrared radiation emitted by the the photographic film. The top half of Fig. motinary back-silvered mirror shown for com-tant cells, which are one of the prime power-resting. Multilayer broad and marrow helmets and visors, are shielded with similar mont coverings. Multilayer broad and narrow rol coverings. Multilayer broad and narrow filters, ones that transmit only over a specific e, can be made to span the region from oultraviolet. In the visible, for example, they actant part in splitting up the image in color ameras, and in the infrared they're used in isile Fijidance systems, CO₂ lasers, and satellite



A composite drawing showing an ordinary system in and a coated one in the bottom.

9.7 Applications of Single and Multilayer Films 373

horizon sensors. The applications of thin-film devices are manifold, as are their structures, which extend from the simplest single coatings to intricate arrangements of 100 or more layers.

The treatment of multilayer film theory used here will deal with the *total* electric and magnetic fields and their boundary conditions in the various regions. This is a far more practical approach for many-layered sys-tems than is the multiple-wave technique used earlier.*

9.7.1 Mathematical Treatment

and

Consider the linearly polarized wave shown in Fig. 9.53, impinging on a thin dielectric film between two semiinfinite transparent media. In practice, this might corre-spond to a dielectric layer a fraction of a wavelength thick, deposited on the surface of a lens, a mirror, or thick, deposited on the surface of a few, a minor, of a prism. One point must be made clear at the outset: each wave E_{r1} , E_{r1} , E_{r1} , E_{r1} , and so forth, represents the resultant of all possible waves traveling in that direction, at that point in the medium. The summation process is therefore built in. As discussed in Section 4.3.2, the boundary conditions require that the tangential components of both the electric (E) and magnetic ($\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}/\mu$) fields be continuous across the boundaries (i.e., equal on both sides). At boundary I

$$E_1 = E_{i1} + E_{r1} = E_{i1} + E'_{r11} \qquad (9.81)$$

$$H_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\mu_{0}}} (E_{i1} - E_{r1}) n_{0} \cos \theta_{i1}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\mu_{0}}} (E_{i1} - E'_{r11}) n_{1} \cos \theta_{111}, \qquad (9.82)$$

where use is made of the fact that E and H in nonmagnetic media are related through the index of refraction and the unit propagation vector:

$$\mathbf{H} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \, n \mathbf{\hat{k}} \times \mathbf{E}.$$

* For a very readable nonmathematical discussion, see P. Baumeister and G. Pincus, "Optical Interference Coatings," Sci. Amer. 223, 59 (December 1970).



Figure 9.53 Fields at the boundaries

At boundary II

and

$$E_{11} = E_{11} + E_{r11} = E_{t11}$$
$$H_{11} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\alpha_*}} (E_{111} - E_{r11})n_1 \cos \theta_{111}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} E_{t11} n_r \cos \theta_{t11},$$

(9.83)

(9.84)

(9.86)

Mul

obta

the substrate having an index n_i . In accord with Eq. (9.33), a wave that traverses the film once undergoes a shift in phase of $k_0(2n_i d \cos \theta_{i11})/2$, which will be denoted by $k_0 h$, so that

$$E_{111} = E_{11}e^{-B_{0}h} \qquad (9.85)$$
 and
$$E_{r11} = E_{r11}e^{+B_{0}h} \qquad (9.86)$$

Equations (9.83) and (9.84) can now be write $E_{11} = E_{r1}e^{-ik_0h} + E'_{r11}e^{+ik_0h}$ and $H_{i1} = (E_{i1}e^{-ik_0h} - E_{ri1}e^{+ik_0h})\sqrt{\frac{e_0}{\mu_0}}n_{+0}$ These last two equations can be solved for E_{iij} which when substituted into Eqs. (9.81) and (9. $E_1 = E_{11} \cos k_0 h + H_{11} (i \sin k_0 h) / \gamma_0$ and $H_1 = E_{11}Y_1 i \sin k_0 h + H_{11} \cos k_0 h_0$ where $\Upsilon_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} n_1 \cos \theta_{iII}.$ When E is in the plane of incidence the ab tions result in similar equations, provided to $Y_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} n_1 / \cos \theta_{111}.$ In matrix notation, the above linear relation form $\begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos k_0 h & (i \sin k_0 h)/Y_1 \\ Y_1 i \sin k_0 h & \cos k_0 h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{ij} \\ H_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$ (9.91)

 $\begin{bmatrix} E_{I} \\ H_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{I} \begin{bmatrix} E_{II} \\ H_{II} \end{bmatrix},$ (9.92)

The characteristic matrix \mathcal{M}_1 relates the fields at the two adjacent boundaries. It follows, therefore, that if no overlaying films are deposited on the substracting there will be three boundaries or interfaces, and now

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{II} \\ H_{II} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{II} \begin{bmatrix} L_{II} \\ H_{III} \end{bmatrix}.$$
tiplying both sides of this expression by \mathcal{M}_{IP} we

 $\begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_{1}\mathcal{M}_{11} \begin{bmatrix} E_{111} \\ H_{111} \end{bmatrix}$



certain matrix of the entire system is the fibe product (in the proper sequence) of the 2×2 matrices, that is,

 $\boldsymbol{\mathscr{M}} = \boldsymbol{\mathscr{M}}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mathscr{M}}_{11} \cdots \boldsymbol{\mathscr{M}}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$ (9.96)

the how all this fits together, we will derive most for the amplitude coefficients of reflection passission using the above scheme. By reformu-tions (1922), and terms of the boundary conditions (1922), and (9.84)] and setting

$$Y_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} n_0 \cos \theta_{iI}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (E_{i1} + E_{r1}) \\ (E_{i1} - E_{r1})Y_0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_t \begin{bmatrix} E_{r11} \\ E_{r11}Y_c \end{bmatrix}$$

When the matrices are expanded, the last relation

 $1 + r = m_{11}t + m_{12}Y_{i}t$

 $(1-r)Y_0 = m_{21}t + m_{22}Y_st$

```
r = E_{rl}/E_{il} and t = E_{tll}/E_{il}.
```

 $m_{31} + Y_0 Y_s m_{12} - m_{21} - Y_s m_{22}$ (9.97) $m_{11} + Y_0 Y_s m_{12} + m_{21} + Y_s m_{22}$

 $\frac{2Y_0}{+Y_0Y_{,m_{12}}+m_{21}+Y_{,m_{22}}}$ (9.98)

9.7 Applications of Single and Multilayer Films 375

To find either r or t for any configuration of films, we need only compute the characteristic matrices for each film, multiply them, and then substitute the resulting matrix elements into the above equations

9.7.2 Antireflection Coatings

Now consider the extremely important case of normal incidence, that is,

 $\theta_{i1}=\theta_{i11}=\theta_{i11}=0,$

which in addition to being the simplest, is also quite frequently approximated in practical situations. If we put a subscript on τ to indicate the number of layers present, the reflection coefficient for a single film becomes

 $r_1 = \frac{n_1(n_0 - n_z)\cos k_0 h + i(n_0 n_z - n_1^2)\sin k_0 h}{n_1(n_0 + n_z)\cos k_0 h + i(n_0 n_z + n_1^2)\sin k_0 h}.$ (9.99)

Multiplying r_1 by its complex conjugate leads to the reflectance

 $R_1 = \frac{n_1^2 (n_0 - n_s)^2 \cos^2 k_0 h + (n_0 n_s - n_1^2)^2 \sin^2 k_0 h}{n_1^2 (n_0 + n_s)^2 \cos^2 k_0 h + (n_0 n_s + n_1^2)^2 \sin^2 k_0 h}.$ (9.100)

This formula becomes particularly simple when $k_0h =$ $\frac{1}{2}\pi$, which is equivalent to saying that the optical thickness h of the film is an odd multiple of $\frac{1}{4}\lambda_0$. In this case $d = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_f$, and

$$R_1 = \frac{(n_0 n_s - n_1^{2})^2}{(n_0 n_s + n_1^{2})^2},$$
(9.101)

which, quite remarkably, will equal zero when $n_1^2 = n_0 n_s$. (9.102)

Generally, d is chosen so that h equals $\frac{1}{4}\lambda_0$ in the yellowgreen portion of the visible spectrum, where the eye is most sensitive. Cryolite (n = 1.35), a sodium aluminum fluoride compound, and magnesium fluoride (n = 1.38)are common low-index films. Since MgF₂ is by far the are common overhead that such more frequently. On a glass substrate, $(n_i \approx 1.5)$, both these films have indices that are still somewhat too large to satisfy Eq. (9.102). None-theless, a single $\frac{1}{4}\lambda_0$ layer of MgF₂ will reduce the reflectance of glass from about 4% to a bit more than 1%, over

the visible spectrum. It is now common practice to apply antireflection coatings to the elements of optical instru-ments. On camera lenses, such coatings produce a decrease in the haziness caused by stray internally scatdecrease in the haziness caused by stray internaly scat-tered light, as well as a marked increase in image bright-ness. At wavelengths on either side of the central yellow-green region, R increases and the lens surface will appear blue-red in reflected light. For a double-layer, quarter-wavelength antireflection coating,

H H.H. or more specifically $\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i/Y_i \\ iY_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i/Y_2 \\ iY_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (9.103) 0 _ At norm

al incidence this becomes

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} -n_2/n_1 & 0\\ 0 & -n_1/n_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(9.104)

Substituting the appropriate matrix elements into Eq. (9.97), yields r_2 , which, when squared, leads to the reflectance

$$R_2 = \left[\frac{n_2^2 n_0 - n_1 n_1^2}{n_2^2 n_0 + n_1 n_1^2}\right]^2. \tag{9.105}$$

For R_2 to be exactly zero at a particular wavelength, we need

$$\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2 = \frac{n_c}{n_0}$$
. (9.106)

This kind of film is referred to as a double-quarter, single-minimum coaling. When n_1 and n_2 are as small as possible, the reflectance will have its single broadest minimum equal to zero at the chosen frequency. It should be clear from Eq. (9.106) that $n_2 > n_1$ accordshow the team from Eq. (2) for $M_2 \to M_2$ with a constraint of the matrix $M_2 \to M_1$ actions index)-(low index)-(air) system as gHLa. Zirounium dioxide (n = 2.1), itanium dioxide (n = 2.40), and zine sulfide (n = 2.32) are commonly used for H-

later and magnesium fluoride (n = 1.38) and cerium fluoride (n = 1.63) often serve as *L*-layers. Other double- and triple-layer schemes can be de-signed to satisfy specific requirements for spectral





Figure 9.55 Lens elements coated with a multilayer film a (Photos courtesy Optical Coating Laboratory, Inc., Su California.)

response, incident angle, cost, and so on. Fig. 9.5% is a response, incident angle, cost, and so on. Fig. work scene photographed through a 15 elements with a 150-W lamp pointing directly into the thread The lens elements were covered with a side MgF₂. For Fig. 9.55 a triple-layer antreader was used. The improved contrast and given are apparent,

9.7 Applications of Single and Multilaver Films



377

Figure 9.57 Reflectance and transmittance for several periodic

This has the effect of increasing the short-wavelength high-frequency transmittance and is therefore known as a high-pass filter. Similarly, the structure

$g(0.5H)L(HL)^m(0.5H)a$

merely corresponds to the case in which the end Hlayers are $\lambda_0/8$ thick. It has a higher transmittance at the long-wavelength, low-frequency range and serves as a *low-pass filter*. At nonnormal incidence, up to about 30°, there is

quite frequently little degradation in the response of thin-film coatings. In general, the effect of increasing the incident angle is a shift in the whole reflectance cuve down to slightly shorter wavelengths. This kind of behavior is evidenced by several naturally occurring periodic structures, for example, peacock and hum-mingbird feathers, butterfly wings, and the backs of several varieties of beetles.

several varieties of beetes. The last multilayer system to be considered is the *interforence*, or more precisely the *Fabry–Perot*, filter. If the separation between the plates of an etalon is of the order of λ , the transmission peaks will be widely sepa-rated in wavelength. It will then be possible to block all the peaks but one by using absorbing filters of colored glass or gelatin. The transmitted light corresponds to a single sharm neak, and the etalon serves as a parcou single sharp peak, and the etalon serves as a narrow band-**pass** filter. Such devices can be **fabricated** by depositing a semit**ransparent** metal **film** onto a glass support, followed by a MgF₂ spacer and another metal coating.



Hillayer Periodic Systems

 $g(HL)^3 a$.

index in

st kind of periodic system is the quarter-wave

is made up of a number of quarter-wave periodic structure of alternately high- and materials, illustrated in Fig. 9.56, is desig-

367 illustrates the general form of a portion

al reflectance for a few multilayer filters.

The high-reflectance central zone increases ing values of the index ratio n_{H}/\bar{n}_{L} , and its ases with the number of layers. Note that

The second seco

with very high reflectance can be produced arrangement. mall peak on the short-wavelength side of the the can be decreased by adding an eighth-wave offin to both ends of the stack, in which case at an eightent will be denoted by

 n_N

 π_L πι

 $g(0.5L)(HL)^{m}H(0.5L)a.$

All-dielectric, essentially nonabsorbing Fabry-Perot filters have an analogous structure, two possible examples of which are

and g HLHL HH LHLH a.

The characteristic matrix for the first of these is

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{H} \mathcal{M}_{L} \mathcal{M}_{H} \mathcal{M}_{L} \mathcal{M}_{L} \mathcal{M}_{H} \mathcal{M}_{L} \mathcal{M}_{H},$$

but from Eq. (9.104) $\mathcal{M}_{L}\mathcal{M}_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$

$$M_LM_L = -\mathcal{I}$$

where \mathscr{I} is the unity matrix. The central double layer, corresponding to the Fabry-Perot cavity, is a half-



Figure 9.58 Interference of scattered light.

wavelength thick $(d = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_f)$. It therefore has no effect of the reflectance at the particular wavelength under consider ation. Thus, it is said to be an absentee layer, and as a consequence,

M - - M H M L.M H M H M L M H

The same conditions prevail over and over again at the center and will finally result in

 $\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$ At the special frequency for which the filter was de signed, τ at normal incidence, according to Eq. (9.97),

$$\tau = \frac{n_0 - n_s}{n_0 + n_s},$$

the value for the uncoated substrate. In particular, for glass ($n_i = 1.5$), in air ($n_0 = 1$) the theoretical peak transmission is 96% (neglecting reflections from the back surface of the substrate, as well as losses in both the blocking filter and the films themselves).

9.8 APPLICATIONS OF INTERFEROMETRY

reduces to

There have been many physical applications of the principles of interferometry. Some of these are only of historical or pedagogical significance, whereas others are now being used extensively. The advent of the laser and the resultant availability of highly coherent quasimonochromatic light have made it particularly easy to create new interferometer configurations.

9.8.1 Scattered-Light Interference

Probably the earliest recorded study of interference fringes arising from scattered light is to be found in Sir Isaac Newton's Optiks (1704, Book Two, Part IV). Our present interest in this phenomenon is twofold. First it provides an extremely easy way to see some rather beautiful colored interference fringes. Second, it is the basis for a remarkably simple and highly useful interf ferrometer. ferometer.

g.8 Applications of Interferometry

379



incidence, the pattern is a series of concentric rings of radius $\!\!\!\!\!^*$

$$\rho \approx \left[\frac{nm\lambda a^2b^2}{d(a^2-b^2)}\right]^{1/2}$$

Now consider a related device, which is very useful in testing optical systems. Known as a scatter plate, it generally consists of a slightly rough-surfaced, trans-parent sheet. In an arrangement such as the one shown in Fig. 9.59, it serves as an amplitude-splitting element. In this application it must have a center of symmetry; that is, each scattering site is required to have a dupli-cate, symmetrically located about a central point.

The system under consideration, a point source of quasimonochromatic light S is imaged, by means of lens L_1 on the surface, at point A of the mirror being tested. A portion of the light coming from the source is scattered by the scatter plate and thereafter illuminates the entire surface of the mirror. The mirror, in turn, reflects light back to the scatter plate. This wave, as well as the

* For more of the details, see A. J. deWitte, "Interference in Scattered Light," Am. J. Phys. **35**, 301 (1967).

To see the fringes, lightly rub a thin layer of ordinary talcum powder onto the surface of any common back-silvered mirror (dew will do as well). Neither the thickguvered mirror (dew will do as well). Neither the thick-ness nor the uniformity of the coating is particularly important. The use of a bright point source, however, is crucial. A satisfactory source can be made by taping a heavy piece of cardboard having a hole about § inch in diameter over a good flashlight. Initially, stand back from the mirror about 3 or 4 feet; the fringes will be too fine and closely spaced to see if you stand much mercer thicks of the section of the source of the section of the section of the source of the section of nearer. Hold the flashlight alongside your cheek and iljuminate the mirror so that you can see the brightest reflection of the bulb in it. The fringes will then be clearly seen as a number of alternately bright and dark

bands In Fig. 9.58 two coherent rays leaving the point source are shown arriving at point P after traveling different sources. One ray is reflected from the mirror and then Source do ya sigle transparent takum grain toward P. The second ray is first scattered downward by the Stain, after which it crosses the mirror and is reflected back toward P. The resulting optical path-length Gifference determines the interference at P. At normal

light forming the image of the pinhole at point A, passes through the scatter plate again and finally reaches the image plane (either on a screen or in a camera). Fringes are formed on this latter plane. The interference pro are ionimed on this latter plane. In the interference pro-cess, which is manifest in the formation of these fringes, occurs because each point in the final image plane is illuminated by light arriving via two dissimilar routes, one originating at A and the other at some point B, which reflects scattered light. Indeed, as strange as they may look at first sight, well-defined fringes do not example.

Examining the passage of light through the system in a bit more detail, consider the light initially incident on the scatter plate and assume that the wave is planar, as shown in Fig. 9.61. After it passes through the scatter as shown in Fig. 9.01. After it passes inrough the scatter plate, the incident plane wavefront E_{T} . We envision this wave, in turn, split into a series of Fourier components consisting of plane wayes, that is,

$\mathbf{E}_T = \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2 + \cdots.$

Two of these constituents are shown in Fig. 9.61(a). Two of these constituents are shown in Fig. 9.61(a). Now suppose we attach a specific meaning to these components; namely, E_1 is taken to represent the light traveling to the point A in Fig. 9.59, and E_6 that traveling toward B. The analysis of the stages that follow could be continued in the same way. Let the portion of the wavefront returning from A be represented by the wavefront E_A in Fig. 9.61(b). The scatter plate will



sform it into an irregular transmitted 🛙 transform it into an irregular transmitted $\mathbf{\tilde{k}}_{k,r}$ in the same figure. This again strues a complicated configuration, but it can b Fourier components consisting of plane wa above case. In Fig. 9.61(b), two of these wavefronts have been drawn, one traveling and the other inclined at an angle θ . The hi front, which is denoted by \mathbf{E}_{Asy} is focused by the point P on the screen (Fig. 9.59). The wavefront returning from R to the sci The wavefront returning from B to the scatter plat



(9,107)

Figure 9.61 Wavefronts passing through the scatter plate.

by \mathbf{E}_{B} in Fig. 9.61(c). Upon traversing the , it will be reshaped into the wave \mathbf{E}_{BT} . One

is in will be resulted this wavefront, denoted for components of this wavefront, denoted foolined at the angle θ and will therefore be the same point P on the screen. the waves arriving at P will be coherent in that interference occurs. To obtain the resul-tance I_{P} , first add the amplitudes of all the wing at P, that is, \mathbf{E}_{P} , and then square and ying at ge Ep.

ussion above, only two point sources at the mirror is illuminated by the ongoing light, nt of it will serve as a secondary source ring waves. All the waves will be deformed by the plate, and these, in turn, can be split into be components. In each series of component will be one inclined at an angle θ , and all of these will be focused at the same point P on the Green Theresultant amplitude will then have the form

$\mathbf{E}_P = \mathbf{E}_{A\theta} + \mathbf{E}_{B\theta} + \cdots$

reaching the image plane can be envisioned n part of two optical fields of special interest. ম্মার্টা গ্রাহার results from light that was scattered only through the plate toward the mirror, and sults from light that was scattered only on and the image plane. The former broadly es the test mirror and ultimately results in an to the screen. The latter, which was initially to the region about A, scatters a diffuse blur to the region about A is chosen so that the all area in the vicinity of it is free of aberrations. In where the wave reflected from it serves as a refer-net with which to compare the wavefront correspond-tion and the mirror surface. The interference patwill show, as a series of contour fringes, any

> trustion of the scatter plate, the reader might consult for rappers by J. M. Burch, Neurer 171, 889 (1953), Ma 52, 600 (1952). Reference should be made to J. de Gansial Optics, p. 383. Also see R. M. Scott, "Scatter tertty", Appl. On 8, 551 (1959), and J. B. Houston, Me and Use a Scatterplate Interferometer," Optical "Option, p. 32. D. p. 32.

9.8 Applications of Interferometry 381

9.8.2 Thin-Film Measurements by Multiple-Beam Interferometry

Return to Fig. 9.32 and now suppose that the wedge Return to Fig. 3.52 and now suppose that the wedge has a step in it. Figure 9.62 illustrates the fringe pattern that might be seen under these circumstances. If the wedge angle is the same for each surface, that is, if the top surfaces are parallel, the fringes will be equally spaced.

When the separation of the fringes is b and the shift is a, then the height of the step is given by

$t = \frac{a}{b} \frac{\lambda_f}{2}$

If one of the boundaries of the film is an optical flat and the other boundary is a crystal surface or some other surface examined for flatness, then these Fizeau other surface examined for names, then these Fizeau fringes are contours of the surface under examination. An actual optical system for measuring the thickness of a thin film deposited on a glass substrate is shown in Fig. 9.63. The film whose thickness is to be determined

is coated with an opaque layer of silver, about 70 nm thick, which accurately contours the undersurface. The



Figure 9.62 Fringes arising from a stepped wedge-shaped film.



Figure 9.63 Arrangement for measuring film thickness.

opposing silvered surfaces generate a sharp multiple-wave Fizeau pattern. The upper plate is illed slightly to create an air film in the form of Fig. 962, so that the same arrangement of fringes is now observed (Fig. 9.64). Film thicknesses of about 2.0 nm can readily be determined in this manner. Such methods yield a reso-lution in depth comparable to the lateral resolution of an electron microscope. Tolandw, using the multiple. an electron microscope. Tolansky, using the multiple-beam techniques that he invented, has measured height changes of 1×10^{-8} inches, nearly the size of a single atom

9.8.3 The Michelson-Morley Experiment

Over the years since 1881, the Michelson interferometer has had innumerable applications, most of which are now mainly of historical interest. One of the most sig-



nificant of these was its use in the Michelson-Mines nincant of these was its use in the MINIFERE-experiment. During the last century scientists common that there existed a medium, the luminifie carrying) aether, which permeated all matter, pe all space, was massless, and neither solid, liqui gas. As James Maxwell wrote in the Encode Partennice

Britanni

Acthers were invented for the planets to swidjin, to constitute electric atmospheres and inspects obtained to convey sensations from one part of our holds to another, and so on, unti all space had be mil-or four times over with acthers..., These which has survived is that which was incented by Huygens to explain the propagation of light

It was well established that light was a wave only natural to have a medium in which the di

with that assumption, the nature of the test to match terrestrial and astronomical ions. At the time, there was no denying the supercise. Was the acther stationary in space, providing a reference frame from which to the absolute motion of all other objects? Or beed along by the planets as they moved self the aether were stationary, an obser-anth would be able to detect an aether wind to effects of the aether wind, using his inter-which was designed specifically for that pur-oriented, as shown in Fig. 9.65, with the mallel to the velocity of the Earth through derived from purely classical laws of physics, how when the beam of light travels to the history against the aether wind, are very the learnt DM. is s = v, it is moving against the aether wind, se to travel the length OM_1 is





9.8 Applications of Interferometry 383



aether wind, and

 $t_1'' = \frac{\ell_1}{c+v}.$ The total time, $t'_1 + t''_1$, to traverse OM_1O is $t_1 = \frac{\ell_1}{c-v} + \frac{\ell_1}{c+v},$ which can be written as

$$\label{eq:linear} \ell_1 = \frac{2\,\ell_1}{c}\,\beta^2,$$
 where

 $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}.$

The time of travel toward the second mirror can be determined with the help of Fig. 9.66. From the right triangle, where t_2' is the transit time to cover OM_2 , $c^2 t_2'^2 = v^2 t_2'^2 + \ell_2^2,$

from which it follows that

$$t'_2 = \frac{\ell_2}{c}\beta$$
,

But this is also the time t''_2 that it takes the beam of light to return from M_2 to O, and since $t_2 = t'_2 + t''_2$, 91

$$t_2 = \frac{\mu c_2}{c} \beta.$$

Notice that even when $\ell_1 = \ell_2 - \ell$, $t_1 \neq t_2$ and $t_1 - t_2 = \frac{2\ell}{c} (\beta^2 - \beta).$

Using the binomial expansion with
$$c\gg v,$$
 we obtain
$$\beta^2=(1-v^2/c^2)^{-1}=1+v^2/c^2$$

and

$$\beta = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$$

 $\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{2}v^2/c^2$. We find that with $\Delta t = t_1 - t_2$

$$\Delta t = \frac{\ell}{c} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^2,$$

A time difference Δt in the two paths corresponds to a difference in the number of wavelengths fitting between OM_1O and OM_2O :

$$\Delta N = \Delta t / \tau$$
 or $\Delta N = \nu \Delta t$,

where τ is the period and ν the frequency. This is also the number of pairs of fringes (i.e., a maximum and a minimum) that would shift past the telescope cross hairs, in a limit of the end of the source of the test of the end of the test of the end of th that $\Delta N = g$. Furthermore, suppose the observer set the cross haris initially at the center of a bright fringe. As the Earth began to move, the bright fringe would sweep by, and the cross haris would shift to the center of the adjacent dark fringe. We cannot, of course, stop the world, but we can rotate the interferometer. If the instrument is rotated 90% the new transit time difference, which can be determined by just interchanging the 1 and 2 subscripts, is equal to $-\Delta t$. This that if the observer were to rotate the interferen-90°, a time difference of 2 Δt would be introduced would end up on the next bright fringe. This is essentially what Michelson and Minney Their apparatus was multimirrored to make length as large as possible, $f_1 \approx (2 - 11.0)^2$ on a massive stone, which floated on a trong mercury (Fig. 9.67). Each man took they around with the slowly revolving stone summed to be equal to the Earth's orbital age 90 km/s and $\lambda_0 = 550$ nm, the fringe shifton

$$\Delta N = \frac{2\ell}{\lambda} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)$$

 $\Delta N = 0.4.$

would be

OT

They made many observations at different learth's daily cycle and on different days durn orbit. Even though they could have detected a minute fraction of a fringe, they saw none whateya There was no aether wind; Michelson and Morley in sounded the prelude to special relativity. Ten years later, Michelson interferometricaller the possibility that the aether was being dragge

	Adjustable m	irror t	locilusred also	n niste
	1	Silvered	Justivered Bia	ss brand
Light source Mi	ALL MITTOR	Slivercd		
- Prill	ED :	giass plate	both Mi	rfors)
100	9	0	D	marker and and
		PH.	14	A COMPANY
	STATIN -		حتيين	Concession in the local division in the loca
I ciescope de		21		
	V PLOSP	and the second second	sh	
	- marine			
/				
)				
				130
1	3			
	1			and the second se
	Land		1	No. of the second se
E	1		2 3	dilla a
C				1200
5	N. Jon		and the second second	
	AT A		7414	1999 B

Figure 9.67 The Michelson-Morley experiment.

arth. His results showed that this too was not

earth. His results showed that this too was not the aether theory was doomed. Here we show of the Michelson-Morley experi-tiowa here in Fig. 9.68, compared the frequen-binfrared lasers. (Recall that in Section 7.2.1 dired the application of lasers to the problem rating beats.) The combined beam reaching the follipiler, being the resultant of two coplanar is ways, was amplitude-modulated by a relatively antiplier, being the resultant of two objectant for avecs, was amplitude-modulated by a relatively feation. These beak had a frequency equal to the new between those of the two constituent. Iaser The precise frequency of the mode in which operated was governed by the length of the reconstructivity and the speed of light of the speed of the precision of the mode of the speed the speed was governed by the used of the base speed was a speed of the therein. Beers, functioning at adout 5 × 10 riz, were ³⁰°, the advert wind would after the speed of ³⁰ the cavities and therefore the frequency ³⁰ between them. A relative change in x of ³⁰ bound be expected from the aether wind ³⁰ his bears of the Earth's orbital velocity. No ³⁰ his the bast frequency, to within an accuracy of ³⁰ right of that predicted, was detected.

9.8.4 The Twyman-Green Interferometer

man-Green is essentially a variation of the symman-orcen is essentially a variation of the con interferometer. It's an instrument of great into in the domain of modern optical testing, sits distinguishing physical characteristics (illus-ing 0.69) are a quasimonochromatic point and lens L_1 , to provide a source of incoming start, and a lens L_2 , which permits all the light the aperure to enter the eye so that the entire baracteristic for the second start of the distance of the law of the incorrection of the distance of the distance of the second second start of the distance of the distance of the second seco seen, that is, any portion of M_1 and M_2 . A laser serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in that it to the serves as a superior source in the serves as to minimize unwanted vibration effects. sions of the Twyman-Green are among the ctive testing tools in optics. As shown in the te device is set up to examine a lens. The the

A. Javan, J. Murray, and C. H. Townes, "Test of Special of the Isotropy of Space by Use of Infrared Masers," 3, A1221 (1964).





Figure 9.68 A variation of the Michelson-Morley experiment.

spherical mirror M2 has its center of curvature coinspherical mirror M₂ has its center of curvature cou-cident with the focal point of the lens. If the lens being tested is free of aberrations, the emerging reflected light returning to the beam-splitter will again be a plane wave. If, however, astigmatism, coma, or splicical aberration deforms the wavefront, a fringe pattern clearly manifesting these distortions can be seen and



photographed. When M_2 is replaced by a plane mirror, a number of other elements (prisms, optical flats, etc.) can be tested equally well. The optician interpreting can be tested equally well. The optician interpreting the fringe pattern can then mark the surface for further polishing to correct high or low spots. In the fabrication of the finest optical systems, telescopes, high-altitude cameras, and so forth, the interferograms may even be scanned electronically, and the resulting data analyzed by computer. Computer-controlled plotters can then automatically produce surface contour maps or perspec-tive "three-dimensional" drawings of the distorted wavefront generated by the element being tested. These procedures can be used throughout the fabrication pro-cess to ensure the highest-quality optical instruments. Complex systems with wavefront aberrations in the frac-tional-wavelength range are the result of what might tional-wavelength range are the result of what might be called the nsw technology.*

Pinhok

9.8.5 The Rotating Sagnac Interferometer

Use of the Sagnac interferometer to measure the rotational speed of a system has generated interest in recent times.-In particular, the *ring laser*, which is essentially a Sagnac interferometer containing a laser *in* one or

* Take a look at R. Berggren, "Analysis of Interferograms," Optical Spectra, (Dec. 1970), p. 22,

Figure 9.69 The Twyman-Green interferometers

more of its arms, was designed specifically purpose. The first ring laser gyroscope was the in 1963, and work is continuing on various to this provide these efforts were performed by impetus to these efforts were performed by first, source, and detector, about a period system of 42, that two overlapping beams to interferometer, one clockwise, the other countered by one beam in comparison to that of the other in the system of 42, that two overlapping beams to interferometer, the result is a fringe shift proposed by the tangent speed of rotation as. In the ring laws to the angular speed of rotation as in the ring laws to report at the arrangement depicted by Fig. 97.18 fored a Fac, where K is half the depend of the result is a ling. The depend of the speed of Fac, where K is half the depend of the result of light along AB is

 $t_{AB} = \frac{R\sqrt{2}}{c - v/\sqrt{2}}$

or

 $t_{AB} = \frac{2R}{\sqrt{2}c - \omega R}$

reference of the light from A to D is $t_{AD} = \frac{2R}{\sqrt{2c + \omega R}}$ or counterclockwise and clockwise travel ly by 8*R* $l_{\odot} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c + \omega R}$ $t_{O} = \frac{8R}{\sqrt{2}c - \omega R}$ a we the difference between these two intervals is $\Delta t = t_{\rm O} - t_{\rm O}$ ning the binomial series, $\Delta t = \frac{8R^2\omega}{c^2}$



Aving laser gyro. (Photo courtesy Autonetics, a Division Man Rockwell Corp.)

9.8 Applications of Interferometry 387



Figure 9.71 The rotating Sagnac interferometer. Originally it was $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ with = -120 rev/min.

This can be expressed in terms of the area $A = 2R^2$ of the square formed by the beams of light as 4 4 ...

$$\Delta t = \frac{4A\omega}{c^2}.$$

Let the period of the monochromatic light used be $\tau = \lambda/c$; then the fractional displacement of the fringes, given by $\Delta N = \Delta t/\tau$, is

$$\Delta N = \frac{4A\omega}{c\lambda},$$

 $c\lambda$, a result that has been verified experimentally. In par-ticular, Michelson and Cale⁴ used this method to deter-mine the angular velocity of the Earth. The preceding classical treatment is obviously lacking, inasmuch as it assumes speeds in excess of c_i an assump-tion that is contrary to the dictates of apecial relativity. Furthermore, it would appear that since the system is accelerating, general relativity would prevail. In fact, all these formalisms yield the same results.

* Michelson and Gale, Astrophys. J. 61, 140 (1925).

PROBLEMS

9.1 Returning to Section 9.1, let

$\mathbf{E}_{1}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_{1}(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\omega t}$ and

 $\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r},\,t)=E_2(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\omega t},$

where the wavefront shapes are not explicitly specified, and E_1 and E_2 are complex vectors depending on space and initial phase angle. Show that the interference term is then given by

 $I_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (E_1 \cdot E_2^* + E_1^* \cdot E_2). \qquad (9)$ You will have to evaluate terms of the form

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 e^{-2i\omega t} \rangle = \frac{\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2}{T} \int_t^{t+T} e^{-2i\omega t'} dt'$$

for $T \gg \tau$ (take another look at Problem 3.4). Show that Eq. (9.108) leads to Eq. (9.11) for plane waves.

9.2 In Section 9.1 we considered the spatial distribution of energy for two point sources. We mentioned that for the case in which the separation $a \gg \lambda$, l_{12} spatially averages to zero. Why is this true? What happens when a is much less than λ^2

9.3 Will we get an interference pattern in Young's experiment (Fig. 9.5) if we replace the source slit S by a single long-filament light bulb? What would occur if we replaced the slits S_1 and S_2 by these same bulbs?

9.4* Two 1.0-MHz radio antennas emitting in phase are separated by 600 m along a north-south line. A radio receiver placed 2.0 km east is equidistant from both transmitting antennas and picks up a fairly strong signal. How far north should that receiver be moved if it is again to detect a signal nearly as strong?

9.5 An expanded beam of red light from a He-Ne laser ($\lambda_0 = 632.8 \text{ mm}$) is incident on a screen containing two very narrow horizontal slits separated by 0.200 mm. A fringe pattern appears on a white screen held 1.00 m away.

a) How far (in radians and millimeters) above and below the central axis are the first zeros of irradiance? b) How far (in mm) from the axis is the fifth bright hand?

c) Compare these two results.

9.6* Red plane waves from a ruby laser ($\lambda_0 \approx 694.3 \text{ nm}$) in air impinge on two parallel slits in an opaque screen. A fringe pattern forms on a distant wall, and we see the fourth bright band 1.0² above the central axis. Kindly calculate the separation between the slits.

 9.7^* A 3 × 5 card containing two pinheles, 0.08 mm in diameter and separated center to center by 0.10 mm is illuminated by parallel rays of blue light from an argon ion laser ($\lambda_0 = 487.99$ mm). If the fringes on an observing screen are to be 10 mm apart, how far away should the screene be?

9.8° White light falling on two long narrow slits emerges and is observed on a distant screen. If red light, $(\lambda_0 = 780 \text{ nm})$ in the first-order fringe overlaps violed in the second-order fringe, what is the latter's wavelength?

9.9^a Considering the double-slit experiment, derive an equation for the distance y_m from the central axis to the *m*'th irradiance *minimum*, such that the first dark bands on either side of the central maximum correspond to *m*' = ±1. Identify and justify all your approximations.

9.10° With regard to Young's experiment, derive a general expression for the shift in the vertical position, of the mth maximum as a result of placing a thin parallel sheet of glass of index n and thickness 4 directly over one of the slits. Identify your assumptions.

9.11* Plane waves of monochromatic light impingent an angle θ_i on a screen containing two narrow slipseparated by a distance a. Derive an equation for the angle measured from the central axis which locates the with maximum.

9.12* Sunlight incident on a screen containing two long narrow slits 0.20 mm apart casts a pattern on a white sheet of paper 2.0 m beyond. What is the distance separating the violet ($\lambda_0 = 400 \text{ nm}$) in the first-order hand from the red ($\lambda_0 = 600 \text{ nm}$) in the second-order hand?

9.13 To examine the conditions under which the opproximations of Eq. (9.23) are valid:

a) Apply the law of cosines to triangle S_1S_2P in Fig. 9.5(c) to get

$$\frac{r_0}{r_1} = \left[1 - 2\left(\frac{a}{r_1}\right)\sin\theta + \left(\frac{a}{r_1}\right)^a\right]^{1/2},$$

b) Expand this in a Maclaurin series yielding

 $r_2 = r_1 - a \sin \theta + \frac{a^2}{2r_1} \cos^2 \theta + \cdots$

c) In light of Eq. (9.17), show that if $(r_1 - r_2)$ is to equal a sin θ , it is required that $r_1 \gg a^2/\lambda$.

9.14 A stream of electrons, each having an energy of 0.5 eV, impinges on a pair of extremely thin slits separated by 10^{-9} mm. What is the distance between adjagent minima on a screen 20 m behind the slits? ($m_{\star} = 9.108 \times 10^{-51}$ kg, $1 \text{ eV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ J.)

9.15⁴ Show that a for the Fresnel biprism of Fig. 9.10 is given by $a = 2d(n-1)\alpha$.

9.16* In the Fresnel double mirror s = 2 m, $\lambda_0 = 599 \text{ mm}$, and the separation of the fringes was found to 59.05 mm. What is the angle of inclination of the mirrors, if the perpendicular distance of the actual point scirce to the intersection of the two mirrors is 1 m²

 5.17° The Fresnel biprism is used to obtain fringes from a point source that is placed 2 m from the screen, and the prism is midway between the source and the entry of the source of the source of the source of the matche index of refraction of the glass be n = 1.5. What is the prism angle, if the separation of the fringes is 2° mm?

5.13 What is the general expression for the separation of the fringes of a Freazel biprism of index *n* immersed is a medium having an index of refraction n?

Problems 389

9.19 Using Lloyd's mirror, x-ray fringes were observed, the spacing of which was found to be 0.0025 cm. The wavelength used was 8.83 Å. If the source-screen distance was 3 m, how high above the mirror plane was the point source of x-rays placed?

9.20 Imagine that we have an antenna at the edge of a lake picking up a signal from a distant radio star (Fig. 9.72), which is just coming up above the horizon. Write expressions for δ and for the angular position of the star when the antenna detects its first maximum.

- 1-	
1 A	~
The second	James and the second
	Lake

Figure 9.72

9.21^s If the plate in Fig. 9.14 is glass in air, show that the amplitudes of E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 , are respectively 0.2 E_0 , $1.028 E_0$, and 0.008 E_0 , where E_0 is the incident amplitude. Make use of the Fresnel coefficients at normal incidence, assuming no absorption. You might repeat the calculation for a water film in air.

9.22 A soap film surrounded by air has an index of refraction of 1.34. If a region of the film appears bright red ($\lambda_0 = 633$ nm) in normally reflected light, what is its minimum thickness there?

9.23th A thin film of ethyl alcohol (n = 1.36) spread on a flat glass plate and illuminated with white light shows a color pattern in reflection. If a region of the film reflects only green light (500 nm) strongly, how thick is it?

9.24^{*} A soap film of index 1.34 has a region where it is 550.0 nm thick. Determine the vacuum wavelengths of the radiation that is not reflected when the film is illuminated from above with sunlight.

9.25 Consider the circular pattern of Haidinger's frin-ges resulting from a film with a thickness of 2 mm and an index of refraction of 1.5. For monochromaticillumination of $\lambda_0 = 600$ nm, find the value of *m* for the central fringe ($\theta_t = 0$). Will it be bright or dark?

9.26 Illuminate a microscope slide (or even better, a thin cover-glass slide). Colored fringes can casily be seen with an ordinary fluorescent lamp serving as a broad source or a mercury street light as a point source. Describe the fringes. Now rotate the glass. Does the pattern change? Duplicate the conditions shown in Figs. 9.15 and 9.16. Try it again with a sheet of plastic food wran stretched across the tuno of a crub. wrap stretched across the top of a cup.

9.27 Figure 9.73 illustrates a setup used for testing lenses. Show that

$d = x^2 (R_2 - R_1) / 2R_1 R_2$

when d_1 and d_2 are negligible in comparison with $2R_1$ and $2R_2$, respectively. (Recall the theorem from plane geometry that relates the products of the segments of intersecting chords.) Prove that the radius of the *m*th dark fringe is then

$x_m = [R_1 R_2 m \lambda_f / (R_2 - R_1)]^{1/2}.$

How does this relate to Eq. (9.43)?





9.28* Newton rings are observed on a film with quasimonochromatic light that has a wavelength of 500 nm. If the 20th bright ring has a radius of 1 cm, what is the radius of curvature of the lens forming one part of the interfering system?

9.29 Fringes are observed when a parallel beam of light of wavelength 500 nm is incident perpendicularly onto a wedge-shaped film with an index of refraction of 1.5. What is the angle of the wedge if the fringe separation is 1 cm?

 9.30^{*} Suppose a wedge-shaped air film is made between two sheets of glass, with a piece of paper $7.618\times10^{-6}\,m$ thick used as the spacer at their very ends. If light of wavelength 500 nm comes that will directly above, determine the number of bright fringes that will be seen across the wedge.

9.31 A Michelson interferometer is illuminated with another matching the second se wavelength of the incident bean

9.52" One of the mirrors of a Michelson intergenometer is nuoved, and tool tringe-pairs shift past the hairline in a viewing telescope during the process. If the device is illuminated with 500-nm light, how far was the mirror moved?

9.55^{*} Suppose we place a chamber 10.0 cm long with fat parallel windows in one arm of a Michelson inter-ferometer that is being illuminated by 600-nm light. If the refractive index of air is 1.00029 and all the air is aped out of the cell, how many fringe-pairs will shift by in the process?

'9.34* A form of the Jamin interferometer is illustrated in Fig. 9.74. How does it work? To what use might it be put?

9.35 Starting with Eq. (9.53) for the transmitted wave, compute the flux density, i.e. Eq. (9.54).

9.36 Given that the mirrors of a Fabry-Perot interferometer have an amplitude reflection coefficient of r.= 0.8944, find

a) the coefficient of finesse,
b) the half-width,
c) the finesse, and,

d) the contrast factor defined by

 $C = \frac{(I_l/I_i)_{\text{max}}}{(I_l/I_i)_{\text{min}}}$

9.37 To fill in some of the details in the derivation of the smallest phase increment separating two resolvable Fabry-Perot fringes, that is,-

 $(\Delta\delta) \approx 4.2/\sqrt{F},$

satisfy yourself that

 $[\mathcal{A}(\theta)]_{\delta = \delta_{\alpha} \pm \Delta \delta/2} = [\mathcal{A}(\theta)]_{\delta = \Delta \delta/2}.$ Show that Eq. (9.72) can be rewritten as

Problems 391

 $2[\mathscr{A}(\theta)]_{\delta = \Delta \delta/2} = 0.81\{1 + [\mathscr{A}(\theta)]_{\delta = \Delta \delta}\}.$

When F is large γ is small, and $\sin(\Delta \delta) \approx \Delta \delta$. Prove that Eq. (9.73) then follows.

9.38 Consider the interference pattern of the Michel-son interferometer as arising from two beams of equal flux density. Using Eq. (9.17), compute the half-width. What is the separation, in *b*, between adjacent maxima? What then is the finesse? 9.38 Consider the interference pattern of the Michel-

9.39* Satisfy yourself of the fact that a film of thickness $\lambda_l/4$ and index n_1 will always reduce the reflectance of the substrate on which it is deposited, as long as $n_s > n_1 > n_0$. Consider the simplest case of normal incidence and no = 1. Show that this is equivalent to saying that the waves reflected back from the two interfaces cancel one another.

9.40 Verify that the reflectance of a substrate can be **5.40** verify that the reflectance of a substrate can be increased by coating it with a $\lambda/4$, high-index layer, that is, $n_i > n_c$. Show that the reflected waves interfere constructively. The quarter-wave stack $g(HL)^mHa$ can be thought of as a series of such structures.

9.41 Determine the refractive index and thickness of a film to be deposited on a glass surface ($n_g = 1.54$) such that no normally incident light of wavelength 540 nm is reflected.

9.42~ A glass microscope lens having an index of 1.55 is to be coated with a magnesium fluoride film to increase the transmission of normally incident yellow light ($\lambda_0=550~\text{nm}$). What minimum thickness should deposited on the lens?

[9.78]

9.43* A glass camera lens with an index of 1.55 is to be coated with a cryolite film ($n \approx 1.30$) to decrease the reflection of normally incident green light ($\lambda_0 = 500$ nm). What thickness should be deposited on the lens?



DIFFRACTION

10.1 PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS

An opaque body placed midway between a screen and a point source casts an intricate shadow made up of a point source casts an intricate shadow made up of bright and dark regions quite unlike anything one might expect from the tenets of geometrical optics (Fig. 10.1).* The work of Francesco Grimaldi in the 1600s was the first published detailed study of this deviation of light from recilinear propagation, something he called "diffac-tion." The effect is a general characteristic of wave phenomena occurring whenever a portion of a wavefront, be it sound, a matter wave, an light is obstructed in some was. If in the matter wave, or light, is obstructed in some way. If in the course of encountering an obstacle, either transparent or opaque, a region of the wavefront is altered in amplitude or phase, diffraction will occur.† The various segments of the wavefront that propagate beyond the obstacle interfere, causing the particular energy-density distribution referred to as the diffraction pattern. There

* The effect is easily seen, but you need a fairly strong source. A high-intensity lamp shining through a small hole works well. If you look at the shadow pattern arising from a pendi under point-ource illumination, you will see an unusual bright region bordering the edge and even a fainly illuminated band down the middle of the shadow. Take a close book at the shadow cast by your hand in diroo. sunlight

sunings. + Diffraction associated with transparent obstacles is not usually con-sidered, although if you have ever driven an automobile at night with a few rain dropties on your crycitases, you are no doubt quite familiar with the effect. If you have not, put a droptel of water or salive on an glass plant, hold is very done to your eyes, and load directly through it at a point source. You'll see bright and dark fringes.



Figure 10.1 The shadow of a hand holding a dime, cast directly on 4 × 5 Polaroid A.S.A. 3000 film using a He-Ne beam and no lenses. (Photo by E.H.)

is no significant physical distinction between interference is no significant physical distinction between interpreta-and diffraction. It has, however, become somewhat cus-tomary, if not always appropriate, to speak of interfer-ence when considering the superposition of only a few waves and diffraction when treating a large number of waves. Even so, one refers to multiple-beam interference in one context and diffraction from a grating in another.

We might mention parenthetically that the wave

peory, although the most natural, is not the only means or dealing with certain diffraction phenomena. For numple, diffraction from a grating (Section 10.2.7) can be analyzed using a corpuscular quantum approach." yor our purposes, however, the classical wave theory, which provides the simplest effective formalism, will nore than suffice throughout this chapter. It should be emphasized that optical instruments make use of only a portion of the complete incident septiming in the dealided understanding of devices instruments, stops, source slits, mirrors, and so on.

sgnificance in the detailed understanding of devices ontaining lenses, stops, source alits, mirrors, and so on. (fall defects in a lens system were removed, the ultimate pharpness of an image would be limited by diffraction (Problem 10.23).

harpness of an image would be limited by diffraction Problem 10.23). As an initial approach to the problem, let's reconsider Hygens's principle (Section 4.2.1), Each point on a pavefront can be enviaged as a source of secondary pherical wavelets. The progress through space of the wavefront or any portion thereof can then presumably be determined. At any particular time, the shape of the savefront is supposed to be the envelope of the secon-dary wavelets (Fig. 4.3). The technique, however, gnores most of each secondary wavelet, retaining only that portion common to the envelope. As a result of this inadequacy, Huygens's principle by itself is unable baccount for the details of the diffraction process. That preference. Sound waves (e.g., v = 500 Hz, $\lambda = 66$ cm) sail, "bend" around large objects like telephone poles and trees, yet these objects cast fairly distinct shadows when illuminated by light. Huygens's principle is independent of any wavelength considerations, however, and would predict the same wavefront configurations in both situations. The difficulty was presided by Fresnel with his addition of the concept of interferefrec. The corresponding Huygens-Fresnel is a jouen instant in time, serves as a source of gebrerial from a principle is unoblic to de point of a wavefront, a given instant in time, serves as a source of gebrerial from a substates that every unobstructed point of a wavefront, be a given instant in time, serves as a source of gebrerial from any wavels. The amplitude of the objecial field at any point form of is the subproposition of gelical field at any point form of is the subproposition of gale these wavefuels (considering beit amplitudes and relative phase). Applying these ideas remary wave, The amplitude of the optical field to apply the boond is the superposition of all these **wavelst** (considering their amplitudes and relative phases). Applying these ideas on the very simplest qualitative level, refer to the ripple

Duane, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 9, 158 (1923).

10.1 Preliminary Considerations 393

tank photographs in Fig. 10.2 and the illustration in Fig. 10.3. If each unobstructed point on the incoming plane wave acts as a coherent secondary source, the maximum optical path-length difference among them will be $A_{max} = [\overline{AP} - \overline{BP}]$, corresponding to a source point at each edge of the aperture. But A_{max} is less than \overline{AP} . r equal to \overline{AB} , the latter being the case when P is on



Figure 10.2 Diffraction through an aperture with varying A as seen in a right tak. (Photo courtery PSSC Physic, D. C. Heath, Boston, 1960.)



Figure 10.3 Diffraction at a small aperture.

the screen. When $\lambda \gg \overline{AB}$, as in Fig. 10.8, it follows that $\lambda \gg \Lambda_{max}$, and since the waves were initially in phase, they must all interfere constructively (to varying degrees) wherever P happens to be [see Fig. 10.2(c)]. The antihetic situation occurs when $\lambda \ll \overline{AB}$, as in Fig. 10.2(a). Now the area where $\lambda \gg \Lambda_{max}$ is limited to a small region extending out directly in front of the aperture, and it is only there that all the wavelets will interfere constructively. Beyond this zone some of the wavelets can interfere destructively, and the "shadow" begins. Keep in mind that the idealized geometric shadow corresponds to $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

The Huygens-Fresnel principle has some shortcomings (which we will examine later), in addition to the fact that the whole thing at this point is rather hypothetical. Gustav Kirchhoff, developed a more rigorous theory based directly on the solution of the differential wave equation. Kirchhoff, although a contemporary of Maxwell, did his work before Hertz's demonstration (and the resulting popularization) of the propagation of electromagnetic waves in 1887. Accordingly, Kirchhoff employed the older clastic-solid theory of light. His refined analysis lent credence to the assumptions of Fresnel and led to an even more precise formulation of Huygens's principle as an exact consequence of the wave equation. Even so, the Kirchhoff theory is itself an approximation that is valid for sufficiently small wavelengths, that is, when the diffracting apertures have dimensions that are large in comparison to λ . The difficulty arises from the fact that we require the solution of a partial differential equation that meets the boundary conditions imposed by the obstruction. This kind of rigorous solution is obtainable only in a few special cases. Kirchhoff's theory works fairly well, even though it deals only with scalar waves and is insensitive to the fact that light is a transverse vector field.⁴

It should be stressed that the problem of determining an exact solution for a particular diffracting configuration is among the most troublesome to be dealt with in optics. The first such solution, utilizing the electromagnetic theory of light, was published by Arnold Johannes Wilhelm Sommerfield (1868–1851) in 1896. Although the problem was physically somewhat unrealistic, in that it involved an infinitely thin yet opaque, perfectly conducting plane screen, the result was nonset thelese extremely valuable, providing a good deal of insight into the fundamental processes involved.

Insight into the fundament processes involved. **Rigorous** solutions of this **sort** do not **exist even** today for **many** of the configurations of practical interest. We will therefore, out of necessity, rely on the approximate treatments of Huygens-Fresnel and Kirchhoff. In recent times, microwave techniques have been employed to conveniently study features of the diffraction field that might otherwise be almost impossible to examine optically. The Kirchhoff theory has held up remarkably well under this kind of scrutiny.¹ In many cases, the simpler Huygens-Fresnel treatment will prove adequate for our purposes.

10.1.1 Opaque Obstructions

Diffraction may be envisioned as arising from the interaction of electromagnetic waves with some sort of physical obstruction. We would therefore do well to reexamine briefly the processes involved; in other words, *A vectorial tormulation of the scalar Kirchhoff theory is discussed

In the contain continuation of the scalar Arithmet intervy is understand in J. D. Jackson (*Cassical Electrodynamis*, p. 283. Also see Sommerfeld, *Optics*, p. 325. You might as well take a look at B. B. Baker and E. T. Copson, *The Mathematical Theory of Huggers Principle*, as a general reference to diffraction. None of these texts is easy reading.

[†]C. L. Andrews, Am, J. Phys. 19, 250 (1951); S. Silver, J. Opt. Soc. Am, 52, 131 (1962). what actually takes place within the material of the opaque object? One possible description is that a screen may be con-

sidered to be a continuum; that is, its microscopic structure may be neglected. For a nonabsorbing metal sheet (no joule heating, therefore infinite conductivity) we can write Maxwell's equations for the metal and for the surrounding medium, and then match the two at the boundaries. Precise solutions can thus be obtained for very simple configurations. The reflected and diffracted waves then result from the current distribution within the sheet.

Examining the screen on a submicroscopic scale, imagine the electron cloud of each atom set into vibraion by the electric field of the incident radiation. The classical model, which speaks of electron-oscillators vibrating and reemiting at the source frequency (Section 3.5.1), serves quite well so that we need not be concerned with the quantum-mechanical description. The amplitude and phase of a particular oscillator within the screen are determined by the local electric field surrounding it. This in turn is a superposition of the incident field and the fields of all the other vibrating electrons. A large opaque screen with no apertures, be it made of black paper or aluminum foil, has one obvious effect: there is no optical field in the region beyond it.

Figure 10.4 Ripple-tank photos. In one case the waves are simply diffracted by a slit; in the other a series of equally spaced point sources span the aperture and generate a similar pattern. (Photos courtesy PSSC *Physics*, D. C. Heath, Boston, 1960.) 10.1 Preliminary Considerations 395

Electrons near the illuminated surface are driven into oscillation by the impinging light. They emit radiant energy, which is ultimately "reflected" backward, absorbed by the material in the form of heat, or both. In any case, the incident primary wave and the electronoscillator fields superimpose in such a way as to yield zero light at any point beyond the screen. This might seem a remarkably special balance, but it actually is not. If the primary wave were not canceled completely, it would propagate deeper into the material of the screen, exciting more electrons to radiate. This in turn would further weaken the primary wave until i ultimately vanished (if the screen were thick enough). Even an opaque material such as silver, in the form of a sufficiently thin sheet, is transparent (recall the halfsilvered mirror). Now, remove a small disk-shaped segment from the

Now, remove a small disk-shaped segment from the center of the screen, so that light streams through the aperture. The oscillators that uniformly cover it are removed along with the disk, so the remaining electrons within the screen are no longer affected by them. As a first and certainly approximate approach, assume that the mutual interaction of the oscillators is assentially negligible; that is, the electrons in the screen are completely unaffected by the removal of the electrons in the disk. The field in the region beyond the aperture will them



be that which existed before the removal of the disk, namely zero, minus the contribution from the disk alone. Except for the sign, it is as if the source and screen had been taken away, leaving only the oscillators on the disk, rather than vice versa. In other words, the diffraction field, in this approximation, can be pictured as arising exclusively from a set of fictitious noninteract-ing oscillators distributed uniformly over the region of the aperture. This of course, is the essence of the Huygens-Fresnel principle.

We can expect, however, that instead of no interaction at all between electron-oscillators, there is a short-range effect, since the oscillator fields drop off with distance. effect, since the oscillator fields drop on with distance. In this physically more realistic view, the electrons within the vicinity of the aperture's edge are affected when the disk is removed. For large apertures, the number of oscillators in the disk is much greater than the number along the edge. In such cases, if the point of observation is far away and in the forward direction, the Huygens-Fresnel principle should, and does, work well (Fig. 104). For yers small arectitures, or at noirts. well (Fig. 10.4). For very small apertures, or at points of observation in the vicinity of the aperture, edge effects become important, and we can anticipate difficulties. Indeed, at a point within the aperture itself, the electron-oscillators on the edge are of the greatest significance because of their proximity. Yet these electrons were certainly not unaffected by the removal of the adjacent oscillators of the disk. Thus, the deviation from the Huygens-Fresnel principle should be appreciable.

10.1.2 Fraunhofer and Fresnel Diffraction

Imagine that we have an opaque shield, Σ , containing a single small aperture, which is being illuminated by plane waves from a distant point source, S. The plane pair varies from a distant point software, of the pair of observation σ is a screen parallel with, and very close to, Σ . Under these conditions an image of the aperture is projected onto the screen, which is clearly recogniz-If the plane of observation is moved farther away from Σ , the image of the aperture, although still easily recognizable, becomes increasingly more structured as the fringes become more prominent. This phenomenon is known as **Fresnel** or **near-field** diffraction. If the plane

of observation is slowly moved out still farther, a con tinuous change in the fringes results. At a very great distance from Σ the projected pattern will have spread distance from 2 the projected pattern will have spread out considerably, bearing little or no resemblance to the actual aperture. Thereafter moving σ essentially changes only the size of the pattern and not its shape. This is Fraunhofer or far-field diffraction. If at that point we could sufficiently reduce the wavelength of the incoming radiation, the pattern would revert to the Everand core I(1) wave decrement queen more as the Fresnel case. If λ were decreased even more, so that it Freshel case. If A were decreased even more, so that it approached zero, the fringes would disappear, and the image would take on the limiting shape of the apertury as predicted by geometrical optics. Returning to the original setup, if the point source was now moved toward Σ , spherical waves would impinge on the aper-ture, and a Freshel pattern would exist, even on a distant place of deservations plane of observation.

In other words, consider a point source S and a point of observation P, where both are very far from Σ and no lenses are present (Problem 10.1). As long as both the incoming and outgoing waves approach being planar (differing therefrom by a small fraction of a wavelength) our the extent of the diffracting apertures (or obstacles), Fraunholer diffraction obtains. Another way to appreciate this is to realize that the phase of each contribution P, due to differences in the path traversed, is crucial to the determination of the resultant field. Moreover, if the wavefronts impinging on, and emerging from, the aperture are planar, then these path differences will be describable by a linear function of the two aperture variables. This linearity in the aperture variables is the definitive mathematical criterion of Fraunhofer diffraction. On the other hand, when S or P or both are too near Σ for the curvature of the incoming and outgoing wavefronts to be negligible, Fresnel diffraction prevails.

Each point on the aperture is to be vis ualized as a source of Huygens wavelets, and we should be a little concerned about their relative strengths. When S is nearby, compared with the size of the aperture, a spherical wavefront will illuminate the hole. The dis spherical wavertone will infimite the hole. The dis-tances from S to each point on the aperture will be different, and the strength of the incident electric field (which drops off inversely with distance) will vary from point to point over the diffracting screen. That would not be the case for incoming homogeneous plane waves



Much the same thing is true for the diffracted waves much the same thing is the for the diffracted waves going from the screen to P. Even if they are all emitted with the same amplitude (e.g., when the input beam is planar), if P is nearby, the waves converging on it are spherical and vary in amplitude, because of the different istances from various parts of the aperture to P, deally, for P at infinity the waves arriving there will e planar, and we need not worry about differences in field strength. That too contributes to the simplicity of the limiting Fraunhofer case. As a practical rule of thumb, Fraunhofer diffraction

will occur at an aperture (or obstacle) of greatest width a when

$R > a^2/\lambda$,

where R is the smaller of the two distances from S to and Σ to P (Problem 10.1). Of course, when $R = \infty$ the finite size of the aperture is of little concern. Moreover, an increase in λ clearly shifts the phenomenon toward the Fraunhofer extreme.

A practical realization of the Fraunhofer condition, here both S and P are effectively at infinity, is achieved using an arrangement equivalent to that of Fig. 10.5. Whe Wising an arrangement equivalent to that of rig. 10.2. The point source S is located at F_1 , the principal focus of lens L_1 , and the plane of observation is the second focal plane of L_2 . In the terminology of geometrical optics, the source plane and σ are conjugate planes. These same ideas can be generalized to any lens

10.1 Preliminary Considerations 397

Figure 10.5 Fraunhofer diffraction.

system forming an image of an extended source or object (Problem 10.5).* Indeed, the image would be a Fraunhofer diffraction pattern. It is because of these important practical considerations, as well as the inher-ent simplicity of Fraunhofer diffraction, that we will examine it before Fresnel diffraction, even though it is a special case of the latter.

10.1.3 Several Coherent Oscillators

As a simple yet logical bridge hetween the studies of interference and diffraction, consider the arrangement in Fig. 10.6. The illustration depicts a linear array of Ncoherent point oscillators (or radiating antennas), which are all identical, even to their polarization. For the moment, assume that the oscillators have no intrinsic phase difference; that is, they each have the same initial phase angle. The rays shown are all almost parallel, meeting at some very distant point *P*. If the spatial extent of the array is comparatively small, the separate wave amplitudes arriving at *P* will be essentially equal, having traveled nearly equal distances, that is,

$E_0(r_1) = E_0(r_2) = \cdots = E_0(r_N) = E_0(r).$

*A He-Ne laser can be set up to generate magnificent patterns without any auxiliary lenses, but this requires plenty of space.





The sum of the interfering spherical wavelets yields an electric field at P, given by the real part of $E = E_0(r)e^{i(kr_1 - \omega t)} + E_0(r)e^{i(kr_2 - \omega t)} + \dots + E_0(r)e^{i(kr_N - \omega t)}.$

(10.1)

It should be clear, from Section 9.1, that we need not be concerned with the vector nature of the electric field for this configuration. Now then

 $E = E_0(r)e^{-i\omega t}e^{ikr_1}$

× $[1 + e^{ik(r_2 - r_1)} + e^{ik(r_3 - r_1)} + \cdots + e^{ik(r_N - r_1)}].$

The phase difference between adjacent sources is obtained from the expression $\delta = k_0 \Lambda$, and since $\Lambda = nd \sin \theta$, in a medium of index n, $\delta = kd \sin \theta$. Making use of Fig. 10.6, it follows that $\delta = k(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$, $2\delta = k(\tau_3 - \tau_1)$, and so on. Thus the field at *P* may be written

 $E = E_0(r)e^{-i\omega t}e^{ikr_t}$ × [1 + $(e^{i\delta})$ + $(e^{i\delta})^2$ + $(e^{i\delta})^3$ + · · · + $(e^{i\theta})^{N-1}$, la.a. The bracketed geometric series has the value

 $(e^{i\delta N}-1)/(e^{i\delta}-1),$ which can be rearranged into the form $\frac{e^{iN\delta/2}[e^{iN\delta/2} - e^{-iN\delta/2}]}{\frac{i\delta/2}{2} - \frac{i\delta/2}{2}}$

 $\frac{1}{2} e^{i\delta/2} - e^{i\delta/2}$ or equivalently

(b)



The field then becomes $E = E_0(\tau) e^{-i\omega t} e^{i[kr_1 + (N-1)\delta/2]} \left(\frac{\sin N\delta/2}{\sin \delta/2} \right)$

Notice that if we define R as the distance from the part of the line of oscillators to the point P, that is,

(10.8)

$R=\tfrac{1}{2}(N-1)d\sin\theta+r_1,$ Fien Eq. (10.3) takes on the form

 $E = E_0(r)e^{i(kR-\omega i)} \left(\frac{\sin N\delta/2}{\sin \delta/2}\right).$ (10.4)

shally, then, the flux-density distribution within the flux-density distribution within the flux-density distribution within the flux of the term of term omplex E or

$$I = I_0 \frac{\sin^2 (N\delta/2)}{\sin^2 (\delta/2)},$$
 (10.5)

 $\sin^{*}(\delta/2)$ (10.5) where I_0 is the flux density from any single source thermal 2P. (See Problem 10.2 for a graphic derivation while irradiance.) For N = 0, I = 0, for N = 1, $I = I_0$, and for N = 2, $I = 4I_0 \cos^2(\delta/2)$, in accord with Eq. (9.17). The functional dependence of I on θ is more apparent in the form

$I = I_0 \frac{\sin^8 [N(kd/2)\sin\theta]}{\sin^2 [(kd/2)\sin\theta]}.$ (10.6)

The $\sin^2 [N(hd/2) \sin \theta]$ term undergoes rapid fluctu-tions, whereas the function that modulates it, $\lim_{n \to \infty} [(hd/2) \sin \theta])^{-2}$, varies relatively slowly. The com-med expression gives rise to a series of sharp principal galas separated by small subsidiary maxima. The prin-gal maxima occur in directions θ_{n} , such that $\delta = 2m\pi$, where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ Because $\delta = kd \sin \theta$,

$d\sin\theta_m=m\lambda.$

(10.7) ce $[\sin^2 N\delta/2]/[\sin^2 \delta/2] = N^2$ for $\delta = 2m\pi$ (from Since $[\sin^2 NS/2]/[\sin^2 \delta/2] = N^2$ for $\sigma \sim \sin \omega$ the order (Hospital's rule), the principal maxima have values of $p^2 I_0$. This is to be expected, inasmuch as all the oscillators are in phase at that orientation. The system will be the inverse in expected its of the system will be the system of the system in the system in the system in the system is a system will be system will be solved by the system in the system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in the system is a system in the system in radiate a maximum in a direction perpendicular to the stray $(m = 0, \theta_0 = 0 \text{ and } \pi)$. As θ increases, δ increases, \delta increases, δ increases, δ increases, δ increas

10.1 Preliminary Considerations 399



Figure 10.7 Interferometric radio telescope at the University of Sydney, Australia (N = 32, $\lambda = 21$ cm, d = 7 m, 2 m diameter, 700 ft. east-west base line). (Photo courtesy of Prof. W. N. Christiansen.)

zero-order principal maximum exists. If we were looking at an idealized line source of electron-oscillators separated by atomic distances, we could expect only that one principal maximum in the light field. The antenna array in Fig. 10.7 can transmit radiation

in the narrow beam or lobe corresponding to a principal maximum. (The parabolic dishes shown reflect in the forward direction, and the radiation pattern is no longer symmetrical around the common axis.) Suppose that we have a system in which we can introduce an intrinsic phase shift of s between adjacent oscillators. In that case

$\delta = kd \sin \theta + \varepsilon;$

the various principal maxima will occur at new angles

$d\sin\theta_m = m\lambda - \varepsilon/k.$

Concentrating on the central maximum m = 0, we can vary its orientation θ_0 at will by merely adjusting the value of ε . The principle of reversibility, which states that

the principle of reversionly, which states that without absorption, wave motion is reversible, leads to the same field pattern for an antenna used as either a transmitter or a receiver. The array, functioning as a radio telescope, can therefore be "pointed" by combining the output from the individual antennas with an appropriate phase shift, ε_i introduced between each of them. For a given ε the output of the system corre-

sponds to the signal impinging on the array from a

sponts to the signal impliging of the arts from a specific direction in space. Figure 10.7 is a photograph of the first multiple radio interferometer, designed by W. N. Christiansen and built in Australia in 1951. It consists of 32 parabolic antennas, each 2 m in diameter, designed to function in phase at the wavelength of the 21-cm hydrogen emission line. The antennas are arranged along an east-west base line with 7 m separating each one. This particular array utilizes the Earth's rotation as the scan-ning mechanism.* Examine Fig. 10.8, which depicts an idealized line

Source of electron-oscillators (e.g., the secondary sources of the Huygens-Fresnel principle for a long slit whose width is much less than λ , illuminated by plane waves). Each point emits a spherical wavelet, which we write as 101

$$E = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\tau}\right) \sin\left(\omega t - kr\right),$$

explicitly indicating the inverse r-dependence of the amplitude. The quantity \mathcal{C}_0 is said to be the source strength. The present situation is distinct from that of Fig. 10.6, since now the sources are very weak, their number, N, is tremendously large, and the separation between them is vanishingly small. A minute but finite segment of the array Δy , will contain $\Delta y_i(N/D)$ sources, where D is the entire length of the array. Imagine that the array is divided up into M such segments (i.e., i goes from 1 to M). The contribution to the electric field intensity at P from the *i*th segment is accordingly

$$E_i = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{\tau_i}\right) \sin\left(\omega t - kr_i\right) \left(\frac{N\Delta y_i}{D}\right),$$

provided that Δy_i is so small that the oscillators within is have a negligible relative phase difference $(r_i = constant)$, and their fields simply add constructively. We can cause the array to become a continuous (coherent) line source by letting N approach infinity. This descrip-The source by letting V approach infinity. This description, besides being fairly realistic on a macroscopic scale, also allows the use of the calculus for more complicated geometries. Certainly as N approaches infinity, the * See L. Brookner, "Phased-Array Radars," Sci. Am. (Feb. 1985), p. 94



Figure 10.8 A coherent line source

source strengths of the individual oscillators must diminish to nearly zero, if the total output is to be finite We can therefore define a constant \mathcal{E}_L as the source strength per unit length of the array, that is,

$$\mathcal{E}_{L} = \frac{1}{D} \lim_{N \to \infty} (\mathcal{E}_{0}N). \quad (10.8)$$

The net field at
$$P$$
 from all M segments is

 $E = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{E_L}{r_i} \sin(\omega t - kr_i) \Delta y_i.$

For a continuous line source the Δy_i must become infinitesimal ($M \to \infty$), and the summation is then trans-formed into a definite integral

$$E = E_L \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} \frac{\sin(\omega t - k\hat{r})}{r} dy, \qquad (10.5)$$

where r = r(y). The approximations used to evaluate Eq. (10.9) must depend on the position of P with respect to the array and will therefore make the distinction between Fraunhofer and Fresnel diffraction. The coherent optical line source does not now exist as a physical entity, but we will make good use of it as a mathematical device.



10.2 FRAUNHOFER DIFFRACTION

10.2.1 The Single Slit

Beturn to Fig. 10.8, where now the point of observation is very distant from the coherent line source and $R \gg D$. Inder these circumstances r(y) never deviates appreci-ably from its midpoint value R, so that the quantity (G_j/R) at P is essentially constant for all elements d_S . It follows from Eq. (10.9) that the field at P due to the elements destructed of the source d_S is differential segment of the source dy is

 $dE = \frac{\mathcal{E}_L}{R}\sin\left(\omega t - kr\right) dy,$

where $(\mathcal{E}_L/R) dy$ is the amplitude of the wave. Notice that the phase is much more sensitive to variations in r(y) than is the amplitude, so that we will have to be r(y) that is the aniphrate so that we will have to be more careful about introducing approximations into it. We can expand r(y), in precisely the same manner as was done in Problem (9.13), to make it an explicit function of v: thus

 $r = R - y \sin \theta + (y^2/2R) \cos^2 \theta + \cdots, \quad (10.11)$

where θ is measured from the xz-plane. The third term can be ignored so long as its contribution to the phase is insignificant even when $y = \pm D/2$; that is, $(\pi D^2/4AR) \cos^2 \theta$ must be negligible. This will be true for all values of θ when R is adequately large. We now have the Frauphofer condition, where the distance t is linear in y: the distance to the point of observation and therefore the phase can be written as a linear function of the aperture variables. Substituting into Eq. (10.10) and integrating leads to

$$E = \frac{E_0}{R} \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} \sin \left[\omega t - k(R - y \sin \theta) \right] dy, \quad (10.12)$$

and finally E. D sin [(kD/2) sin 0]

$$E = \frac{C_L D}{R} \frac{\sin (\kappa D/2) \sin \theta}{(kD/2) \sin \theta} \sin (\omega t - kR). \quad (10.15)$$

To simplify the appearance of things let $\beta = (kD/2) \sin \theta$,

(10.14)

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 401

so that $E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_L D}{R} \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta} \right) \sin \left(\omega t - kR \right).$ (10.15)

The quantity most readily measured is the irradiance
(forgetting the constants)
$$I(\theta) = \langle E^2 \rangle$$
 or

$$I(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_L D}{R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2, \qquad (10.16)$$

where $(\sin^2 (\omega t - kR)) = \frac{1}{2}$. When $\theta = 0$, $\sin \beta/\beta = 1$ and $I(\theta) = I(0)$, which corresponds to the principal maximum. The irradiance resulting from an idealized coherent line source in the Fraunhofer approximation is then

$$I(\theta) = I(0) \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right)^{2} \qquad (10.17)$$

or, using the sinc function (Section 7.9 and Table 1 of the Appendix),

$I(\theta) = I(0) \operatorname{sinc}^2 \beta.$

There is symmetry about the y-axis, and this expression holds for θ measured in any plane containing that axis. Notice that since $\beta = (\pi D/\lambda) \sin \theta$, when $D \gg \lambda$, the For that since drops extremely rapidly as δ deviates from zero. This arises from the fact that β becomes very large for large values of length D (a centimeter or so when using light). The phase of the line source is equivalent, using light). The phase of the line source is equivalent, by way of Eq. (10.15), to that of a point source located at the center of the array, a distance R from P. Finally, a relatively long coherent line source $(D \gg \lambda)$ can be envisioned as a single point emitter radiating pre-dominantly in the forward, $\theta \equiv 0$, direction; in other words, its emission resembles a circular wave in the x-plane. In contrast, notice that if $\lambda \gg D$, β is small, $\sin \beta = \beta$, and $I(\theta) = I(0)$. The irradiance is then con-tract for $eith \theta$, and the line cource averagible a pairie stant for all θ , and the line source resembles a point source emitting spherical waves.

We can now turn our attention to the problem of Fraunhofer diffraction by a sit or elongated narrow rectangular hole (Fig. 10.9). An aperture of this sort might typically have a width of several hundred λ and a length of a few centimeters. The usual procedure to follow in the analysis is to divide the slit into a series of long differential strips $(dz \text{ by } \ell)$ parallel to the y-axis,

(a)

Figure 10.9 (a) Single-slit Fraunhofer diffraction. (b) Diffraction pattern of a single vertical slit under point-source illumination.

as shown in Fig. 10.10. We immediately recognize, however, that each strip is a long coherent line source however, that each strp is a long coherent line source and can therefore be replaced by a point emitter on the z-axis. In effect, each such emitter radiates a circular wave in the (y = 0 or) xz-plane. This is certainly reason-able, since the slit is long and the emerging wavefronts are practically unobstructed in the slit direction. There will thus be very little diffraction parallel to the edges of the slit. The problem has been reduced to that of fording the field in the xz-plane due to an infinite finding the field in the xz-plane due to an infinite number of point sources extending across the width of the slit along the z-axis. We then need only evaluate the integral of the contribution dE from each element dz in the Frauchofer approximation. But not call occurrently dz in the Frauchofer approximation. But once again, this is equivalent to a coherent line source, so that the complete solution for the slit is, as we have seen,

$$I(\theta) = I(0) \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2, \qquad [10.1]$$

(10.18)

provided that

 $\beta = (kb/2) \sin \theta$ and θ is measured from the xy-plane (see Problem 10.3). Note that here the line source is short, D = b, β is not large, and although the irradiance falls off rapidly, higher-order subsidiary maxima will beobservable. The extrema of $I(\theta)$ occur at values of β that cause $dI/d\beta$ to be zero, that is,

 $\frac{dI}{d\beta} = I(0) \frac{2\sin\beta(\beta\cos\beta - \sin\beta)}{\beta^{5}} = 0.$ (10.19) The irradiance has minima, equal to zero, when $\sin \beta =$ 0, whereupon

 $\beta = \pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \pm 3\pi, \ldots$ (10.20)

It also follows from Eq. (10.19) that when

 $\beta \cos \beta - \sin \beta = 0$ $\tan \beta = \beta.$

(10.21)

The solutions to this transcendental equation can be the solutions to this transferior that equation can be determined graphically, as shown in Fig. 10.11. The points of intersection of the curves $f_1(\beta) = \tan \beta$ with the straight line $f_2(\beta) = \beta$ are common to both and so the straight me $\beta(p) = \beta$ are common to both and β satisfy Eq. (10.21). Only one such extremum exists between adjacent minima (10.20), so that $I(\theta)$ mugi have subsidiary maxima at these values of β (±1.43037).

nave substitiaty maxima at these values of p (±1.4302.5) #24.4590, #34.707 m...). There is a particularly easy way to appreciate what's happening here with the aid of Fig. 10.12. We envision every point in the aperture emitting rays in all direction in the **xz-plane**. The light that continues to propagate directly forward in Fig. 10.12(a) is the undiffracted beam, all the rays arrive on the viewing screen in phase.

10.10 (a) Point P on σ is essentially infinitely far from Σ . (b) rns wavelets emitted across the aperture. (c) The equivalent entation in terms of rays. Each point emits rays in all directions. arallel rays in various directions are seen. (d) These ray bundles

and a central bright spot will be formed by them. If the screen is not actually at infinity, the rays that converge wit are not quite parallel but with it at infinity, or better Let cen is not active parallel but with it at infinity, or better (i) it are not quite parallel but with it at infinity, or better (ii) with a lens in place, the rays are as drawn. Figure (12(b) shows the specific bundle of rays coming off in an angle θ , where the **path-length difference** between the rays from the very **top and bottom**, $\theta \sin \theta$, is made great to one wavelength. A ray from the middle of the at will then lag λ behind a ray from the top and exactly ancel it. Similarly, a ray from just below center will ancel a ray from just below the top, and so on; all 10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 403



correspond to plane waves, which can be thought of as the three dimensional Fourier components. (c) A single slit illuminated b monochromatic plane waves. ed by

(c)

across the aperture ray-pairs will cancel, yielding a minimum. The irradiance has dropped from its high central maximum to the first zero on either side at $\sin \theta_1 = \pm \lambda/b.$

As the angle increases further, some small fraction of the rays will again interfere constructively, and the irradiance will rise to form a subsidiary peak. A further Increase in the angle produces another minimum, as shown in Fig. 10.12(c), when b sin $b_g = 2\lambda$. Now imagine the aperture divided into quarters. Ray by ray, the top quarter will cancel the one beneath it, and the next, the



Figure 10.11 The points of solutions of Eq. (10.21).

third, will cancel the last quarter. Ray-pairs at the same locations in adjacent segments are $\lambda/2$ out of phase and destructively interfere. In general then, zeros of irradi-ace will compare when ance will occur when

$b \sin \theta_m = m\lambda$,

Figure 10.12 The diffraction of light in various directions. Here the aperture is a single slit, as in Fig. 10.10.

A707 π : since $\beta = (\pi b/\lambda) \sin \theta$, an increase in the slit width b requires a decrease in θ , if β is to be constant. Inder these conditions the pattern strinks in toward the principal maximum, as it would if λ were decreased. The source emits white light, the higher-order maxima low a succession of colors trailing off into red with the source emits white light, the higher-order maxima low a succession of colors trailing off into red with the source emits white light, the higher-order maxima low a succession of colors trailing off into red with the source emits of that wavelength (Problem 10.6), indeed, only in the region about $\theta = 0$ will all the continuent colors overlap to yield white light. The point source S in Fig. 10.9 would be imaged at the point on the center of the pattern, if the diffracting Streen Z were removed. Under this sort of illumination, the pattern produced with the slit in place is a series of dashes in the y-plane of the sereen σ , much like a

Figure 10.13 The Fraunhofer diffraction pattern of a single slit.

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 405

spread-out image of S [Fig. 10.9(b)]. An incoherent line source (in place of S) positioned parallel to the alt, in the focal plane of the collimator L_1 , will broaden the pattern out into a series of bands. Any point on the line source generates an independent diffraction pattern, which is displaced, with respect to the others, along the y-direction. With no diffracting screen present, the image of the line source would be a line parallel to the original ski. With the screen in place the line is spread out, as was the point image of S (Fig. 10.14). Keep in mind that it's the small dimension of the slit that does the spreading out.

the spreading out. The single-alit pattern is easily observed without the use of special equipment. Any number of sources will do (e.g., a distant street light at night, a small incandes-cent lamp, sunlight streaming through a narrow space





Figure 10.14 The single-slit pattern with a line source. See first photograph of Fig. 10.17.

in a window shade); almost anything that resembles a point or line source will serve. Probably the best source for our purposes is an ordinary clear, straight-filament display builb (the kind in which the filament is vertical and about 3 inches long). You can use your imagination to generate all sorts of single-silt arrangements (e.g., a comb or fork rotated to decrease the projected space between the tines, or a scratch across a layer of india ink on a microscope slide). An inexpensive vernier caliper makes a remarkably good variable slit. Hold the caliper close to your eye with the slit, a few thousandths of an inch wide, parallel to the filament of the lamp. Focus your eye beyond the slit at infinity, so that its lens serves a L₂.

10.2.2 The Double Slit

It might at first seem from Fig. 10.10 that the location of the principal maximum is always to be in line with the center of the diffracting aperture; this, however, is not generally true. The diffraction pattern is actually centered about the axis of the lens and has exactly the same shape and location, regardless of the slit's position, as long as its orientation is unchanged and the approximations are valid (Fig. 10.15). All waves traveling parallel to the lens axis converge on the second focal point of L₂; this then is the image of S and the center of the diffraction pattern. Suppose now that we have two long alits of width b and center-to-center separate a (Fig. 10.16). Each aperture, by itself, would generate the same single-sit diffraction pattern on the view screen σ . At any point on σ , the contributions from two slits overlap, and even though each must be essent itally equal in amplitude, they may well differ s_0 nificantly in phase. Since the same primary wave exclutes scontary sources at each slit, the resulting wave will be coherent, and interference must occur. If the primary phase wave is incident on Σ at some angle (see Problem 10.3), there will be a constant relative phase difference between the secondary sources. At normal incidence, the wavelets are all emitted in phase The interference fringe at a particular point of observy ion is determined by the differences in the optical patiengths traversed by the overlapping wavelets from the two slits. As we will see, the flux-density distribution (Fig. 10.17) is the result of a rapidly varying double-slid interference system modulated by a single-slit diffraction pattern.

To obtain an expression for the optical disturbance at a point on σ , we need only slightly reformulate the single-slit analysis. Each of the two apertures is divided into differential strips (d_2 by ∂), which in turn behave like an infinite number of point sources aligned along





10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 407

the z-axis. The total contribution to the electric field, in the Fraunhofer approximation (10.12), is then

$$E = C \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} F(z) \, dz + C \int_{a-b/2}^{a+o/2} F(z) \, dz, \quad (10.22)$$

 $\sum_{k=1/2} \sum_{j=-1/2} \sum_{j=-1/2}$

 $E = bC\left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)[\sin(\omega t - kR) + \sin(\omega t - kR + 2\alpha)],$ (10.23)

Figure 10.17 Single- and double-slit Praushofer patterns. The faint cross-fastching arises entirely in the printing process. (Photos courses) M. Gagnet, M. Francos, and J. G. Thrier: Alla optical Evolutioning m, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer, 1962.)



with $\alpha = (ka/2) \sin \theta$ and, as before, $\beta = (kb/2) \sin \theta$. This is just the sum of the two fields at P, one from each silt, as given by Eq. (10.15). The distance from the first silt to P is R, giving a phase contribution of -kR. The distance from the second silt to P is $(R - a \sin \theta)$ or (R - 2a/k), yielding a phase term equal to (-kR + 2a), as in the second sine function. The quantity 2β is the phase difference (RA) between two nearly parallel rays, arriving at a point P on α , from the edges of one of the silts. The quantity 2a is the phase difference between two waves arriving at P, one having originated at any point in the first silt, the other coming from the corresponding point in the second silt. Simplifying Eq. (10.23) a bit further, it becomes

$$E = 2bC\left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)\cos\alpha\sin\left(\omega t - kR + \alpha\right),$$

which when squared and averaged over a relatively long interval in time is the irradiance

$$I(\theta) = 4I_0\left(\frac{\sin^2\beta}{\beta^2}\right)\cos^2\alpha. \qquad (10.24)$$

In the $\theta = 0$ direction (i.e., when $\beta = \alpha = 0$), I_0 is the flux-density contribution from either slit, and $I(0) = 4I_0$ is the total flux density. The factor of 4 comes from the fact that the amplitude of the electric field is twice what it would be at that totin twith one slit covered

fact that the amplitude of the electric field is twice what it would be at that point with one slit covered. If in Eq. (10.24) b becomes vanishingly small (bb < 1), then (sin β)/ $\beta = 1$, and the equation reduces to the flux-density expression for a pair of long line sources, that is, Young's experiment, Eq. (9.17). If on the other hand a = 0, the two slits coalesce into one, a = 0, and Eq. (10.24) becomes $I(0) = 4I_0(\sin^2 \beta)/\beta^2$. This is the equivalent of Eq. (10.17) for single-slit diffraction with the source strength doubled. We might then envision the total expression as being generated by a $\cos^2 a$ interference term modulated by a $(\sin^2 \beta)/\beta^2$ diffraction term. If the slits are finite in width but very narrow, the diffraction pattern from either slit will be uniform over a broad central region, and bands resembling the idealized Young's fringes will appear within that region. At angular positions (θ -values) where

$$\beta = \pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \pm 3\pi, ...$$

diffraction effects are such that no light reaches σ , and





clearly none is available for interference. At points on σ where

 $\alpha = \pm \pi/2, \pm 3\pi/2, \pm 5\pi/2, \ldots$

the various contributions to the electric field will be completely out of phase and will cancel, regardless of the actual amount of light made available from the diffraction process. The irradiance distribution for a double-slit Fraun-

hofer pattern is illustrated in Fig. 10.18. Notice that it is a combination of Figs. 9.6 and 10.13. The curve is for the particular case in which a = 3b (i.e., $a = 3\beta$). for an get a rough idea of what the pattern will look ite, since if $a = m\delta$, where m is any number, there will $a \ge m$ bright fringes (counting "fractional fringes" as $a \ge 0^{-1}$ within the central diffraction peak (Problem 0,10. An interference maximum and a diffraction primum (zro) may correspond to the same δ -value. In that case no light is available at that precise position to partake in the interference process, and the supgressed peak is said to be a missing order.

In that case no light is available at that precise position to partake in the interference process, and the suppressed peak is said to be a missing order. The double-slit pattern is also rather easily observed, and the seciency is well worth the effort. A straightfilament, tubular bulh is again the best line source. For faits, coat a microscope slide with India ink; if you happen to have some, a colloidal suspension of graphite hainoh works even better (it's more opaque). Scratch pair of slits across the dry ink with a razvo blade and shoul 10 feet from the source. Hold the slits parallel to the filament and close to your eye, which, when focused at infinity, will serve as the needed lens. Interpose red or blue cellophane and observe the change in the width of the fringes. Find out wat hapens when you cover one and then both of the slits with a microscope slide. Move the alias slowly in the z-direction; then holding them stationary, move your eye in the z-direction. Verify that the position of the center of the pattern is indeed determined by the lens and not the aperture.

10.2.3 Diffraction by Many Slits

The procedure for obtaining the irradiance function for a monochromatic wave diffracted by many slits is essentially the same as that used when considering two slits. Here again, the limits of integration must be appropriately altered. Consider the case of N long, parallel, narrow slits, each of width b and center-tocenter separation a, as illustrated in Fig. 10.19. With the origin of the coordinate system once more at the center of the first slit, the total optical disturbance at a

* Notice that m need not be an integer. Moreover, if m is an integer, there will be "half-fringes," as shown in Fig. 10.18(b).



point on the screen σ is given by

$$E = C \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} F(z) dz + C \int_{a \to h/2}^{a + h/2} F(z) dz$$

+ $C \int_{B = -h/2}^{B + h/2} F(z) dz + \cdots$
+ $C \int_{(N-1)a \to h/2}^{(N-1)a + h/2} F(z) dz,$ (10.25)

where as before, $F(z) = \sin \left[\omega t - k(R - z\sin \theta)\right]$. This applies to the Fraunhofer condition, so that the aperture configuration must be such that all the slits are close to the origin, and the approximation (10.11)

 $r = R - z \sin \theta \qquad (10.26)$

applies over the entire array. The contribution from the *j*th slit (where the first one is numbered zero), obtained by evaluating only that one integral in Eq.





Figure 10.19(b, c, d)

 $E_{j} = \frac{C}{k \sin \theta} [\sin (\omega t - kR) \sin (kz \sin \theta)]_{\mu=b/2}^{\mu=k/2},$ or cos ($\omega t - kR$) cos ($kz \sin \theta$)] $_{\mu=b/2}^{\mu=k/2},$ provided that we require $\theta_{j} \approx \theta$. After some manipulation is becomes. $E_{j} = bC \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right) \sin (\omega t - kR + 2\alpha), \quad (1022)$ The definition of the source of

(10.25), is then

OL

$$E = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E$$

 $E = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} bC \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta} \right) \sin \left(\omega t - kR + 2\alpha j \right). \quad (10.28)_i$ This in turn can be written as the imaginary part of a complex exponential:

 $E = \operatorname{Im}\left[bC\left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)e^{i(\omega t - kR)}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}(e^{i2\omega})^{j}\right]. \quad (10.29)$

But we have already evaluated this same geometric series in the process of simplifying Eq. (10.2). Equation (10.29) therefore reduces to the form

$$E = bC\left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{\sin N\alpha}{\sin\alpha}\right)\sin\left[\omega t - kR + (N-1)\alpha\right].$$
(10.3)

The distance from the center of the array to the point P is equal to $[R - (N-1)(a/2) \sin \theta]$, and therefore the phase of E at P corresponds to that of a wave emitted from the midpoint of the source. The flux-density distribution function is

$$I(\theta) = I_0 \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin N\alpha}{\sin\alpha}\right)^2.$$
(10.31)



10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction

411

Figure 10.20 Diffraction patterns for slit systems shown at left.

Note that I_0 is the flux density in the $\theta \equiv 0$ direction emitted by any one of the slits and that $I(0) = N^2 I_0$. In other words, the waves arriving at P in the forward direction are all in phase, and their fields add constructively. Each slit by itself would generate precisely the same flux-density distribution. Superimposed, the various contributions yield a multiple wave interference system modulated by the single-slit diffraction envelope. If the width of each aperture were shrunk to zero, Eq. (10.31) would become the flux-density expression (10.69) for a linear coherent array of oscillators. As in that earlier treatment (10.17), principal maxima occur when (sin Nayin $\alpha) = N$, that is, when

$$\alpha = 0, \pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, .$$

or equivalently, since $\alpha = (ka/2) \sin \theta$,

$$a\sin\theta_m = m\lambda$$
 (10.3)

with $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. This is quite general and gives rise to the same θ -locations for these maxima, regardless of the value of $N \ge 2$. Minima, of zero flux density, exist whenever (sin $N\alpha/\sin \alpha)^2 = 0$ or when

$$\alpha = \pm \frac{\pi}{N}, \pm \frac{2\pi}{N}, \pm \frac{3\pi}{N}, \dots, \pm \frac{(N-1)\pi}{N}, \pm \frac{(N+1)\pi}{N}, \dots$$
(10.55)

Between consecutive principal maxima (i.e., over the range in a of m) there will therefore be N = 1 minima. And of course between each pair of minima there will have to be a **subsidiary maximum**. The term (sin Na/sin a)², which we can think of as embodying the interference effects, has a rapidly avyring numerator and a slowly varying denominator. The subsidiary maxima are therefore located approximately at points where sin Na has its greatest value, namely,

$$\alpha = \pm \frac{3\pi}{2N}, \pm \frac{5\pi}{2N}, \dots$$
 (10.34)

The N=2 subsidiary maxima between consecutive principal maxima are clearly visible in Fig. 10.20. We can get some idea of the flux density at these peaks by rewriting Eq. (10.31) as

$$I(\theta) = \frac{I(0)}{N^2} \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin N\alpha}{\sin \alpha}\right)^2, \quad (10.35)$$

where at the points of interest $|\sin N\alpha| = 1$. For large N, α is small and $\sin^2 \alpha \approx \alpha^2$. At the first subsidiary peak $\alpha = 3\pi/2N$, in which case

$$I \approx I(0) \left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{3\pi}\right)^2,$$

10.30

 $(\beta f)(3\pi)'$ and the flux density has dropped to about $\frac{1}{2}$ of that is the adjacent principal maximum (see Problem 10.12 Since (sin $\beta f)/\beta$ for small β varies slowly, it will not diff from 1 appreciably, close to the zeroth-order principar maximum, so that $I/I(0) \approx \frac{1}{2}$. This flux-density ratio for the next secondary peak is down to $\frac{1}{2}$, and it comtinues to decrease as a capproaches a value halfwin between the principal maxima. At that symmetry point a $\approx \pi/2$, sin $\alpha \approx 1$, and the flux-density ratio has in lowest value, approximately $1/N^8$. Thereafter $\alpha > \pi/2$ and the flux densities of the subsidiary maxima begin to increase.

to increase. Try duplicating Fig. 10.20 using a tubular bulb and homemade sitis. You'll probably have difficulty seeing the subsidiary maxima clearly, with the effect that the only perceptible difference between the double- and multiple-slit patterns may be an apparent broadening in the dark regions bulween principal maxima. As in Fig. 10.20, the dark regions will become vider than the bright bands as N increases and the secondary peaks fade out. If we consider each principal maximum to be bounded in width by two adjacent zeros, then each will extend over a length in θ , (sin $\theta = \theta$) of approximately 2A/Na. As N increases, the principal maxima maintain their relative spacing (λ/a) while becoming increasingly narrow. Figure 10.21 shows the case of six slits, with a = 4b

a = 4a. The multiple-slit interference term in Eq. 10.35 has the form $(\sin^2 N\alpha)/N^2 \sin^2 \alpha$; thus for large N, $(N^2 \sin^2 \alpha)^{-1}$ may be envisioned as the curve beneath which $\sin^2 N\alpha$ rapidly varies. Notice that for small α this interference term looks like $\sin c^2 N\alpha$.

10.2.4 The Rectangular Aperture

Consider the configuration depicted in Fig. 10.22. A monochromatic plane wave propagating in the x-direction is incident on the opaque diffracting screen $\Sigma.$ We

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 413





wish to find the consequent (far-field) flux-density distribution in space or equivalently at some arbitrary distant point P. According to the Huggens-Frestel principle, a differential area dS, within the aperture, may be envisioned as being covered with coherent secondary point sources. But dS is much smaller in extent than is λ , so that all the contributions at P remain in phase and interfere constructively. This is true regardless of θ : that is, dS emits a spherical wave (Problem 10.13). If \mathcal{E}_A is the source strength per unit area, assumed to be constant over the entire aperture, then the optical disturbance at P due to dS is either the real or imaginary part of

$$dE = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_A}{r}\right)e^{i(\omega l - kr)} dS. \qquad (10.37)$$

The choice is yours and depends only on whether you like sine or cosine waves, there being no difference except for a phase shift. The distance from dS to P is $r = [X^2 + (Y - y)^2 + (Z - z)^2]^{1/2}, \qquad (10.38)$

and as we have seen, the Fraunhofer condition occurs when this distance approaches infinity. As before, it will suffice to replace r by the distance \overrightarrow{OP} , that is, R, in the



Figure 10.22 Fraunhofer diffraction from an arbitrary aperture, where r and R are very large compared to the size of the hole.

amplitude term, as long as the aperture is relatively small. But the approximation for τ in the phase need to be treated a bit more carefully; $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is a large number. To that end we expand out Eq. (10.38) and by making use of

 $R = [X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2]^{1/2}, \qquad (10.39)$ obtain

 $r = R[1 + (y^2 + z^2)/R^2 - 2(Yy + Zz)/R^2]^{1/2}.$ (10.40)

In the far-field case R is very large in comparison of the dimensions of the aperture, and the $(g^2 + z^2)_k \beta$ term is certainly negligible. Since P is very far from 3 θ can still be kept small, even though Y and Z are fair large, and this mitigates any concern about the directionality of the emitters (the obliquity factor). Now

 $r \equiv R[1 - 2(Yy + Zz)/R^2]^{1/2},$ and dropping all but the first two terms in the binomial



 $E = \frac{A \mathcal{E}_A e^{i(\alpha t - kR)}}{\mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{\sin \alpha'}{\alpha'}\right) \left(\frac{\sin \beta'}{\beta'}\right), \qquad (10.42)$

so that



Figure 10.23 A rectangular aperture.

where A is the area of the aperture. Since $I = \langle (\text{Re } E)^2 \rangle$, $I(Y, Z) = I(0) \left(\frac{\sin \alpha'}{\alpha'} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin \beta'}{\beta'} \right)^2$, (10.43)

where I(0) is the irradiance at P_{0i} that is, at Y = 0, Z = 0 (see Fig. 10.24). At values of Y and Z such that a' = 0 or $\beta' = 0$, I(Y, Z) assumes the familiar shape of Fig. 10.13. When β' or a' are nonzero integer multiples of π or equivalently when Y and Z are nonzero integer multiples of AR/b and AR/a, respectively, I(Y, Z) = 0, and we have a rectangular grid of nodal lines, as indicated in Fig. 10.25. Notice that the pattern in the Y-, Z-directions varies inversely with the y-, z-aperture dimensions. A horizontal, rectangular opening will pro-

duncing stors. A norizontal, recall quiet opening will produce a pattern with a vertical reutangle at its center. Along the β' -axis, $\alpha' = 0$ and the subsidiary maxima are located approximately halfway between zeros, that is, at $\beta'_{\alpha} = 3\pi/2$, $\pm 5\pi/2$, $\pm 7\pi/2$, ..., At each subsidiary maximum sin $\beta'_{m} = 1$, and of course along the β' -axis, since $\alpha' = 0$, (sin $\alpha')/\alpha' = 1$, so that the relative



* These particular photographs were taken during an undergraduate laboratory session. A 1.5-mW He-Ne later was used as a plane-wase ource. The apparatus was set up in a long darkened room, and the pattern was cast directly on 4 × 5 Polaroid (ASA 3000) lim. The film was located about 30 feet from a small aperture. so that no focusing lens was needed. The shutter, placed directly in front of the laser, was a student-contrived andbaard guillotine arrangement, and herefore no esposure limes are available. Any camera shuter (a single-iens reflex with the lens removed and the back open) will serve, but the cardboard one was more (un.

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 415



(b) Figure 10.24 (a) Fraunhofer pattern of a square aperture. (b) The same pattern further exposed to bring out some of the faint terms. (Photos by Σ , H.)

peaks are still smaller; for example, the four corner peaks (whose coordinates correspond to appropriate combinations of $\beta' = 43\pi/2$ and $\alpha' = 43\pi/2$) neares to the central maximum each have relative irradiances of the second statement of the s of (2)8.

10.2.5 The Circular Aperture

Fraunhofer diffraction at a circular aperture is an effect Fraunhofer diffraction at a circular aperture is an effect of great practical significance in the study of option instrumentation. Envision a typical arrangement, plane aperture and the consequent far-field diffraction por tern spread across a distant observing screen σ . By using a fouring lens L_{σ} , we can bring σ in close to in-perture without changing the pattern. Now, if L_{σ} is point in L_{σ} form of the pattern is essentially unaltered through within and exactly fills the diffracting open-ning in Σ , the form of the pattern is essentially unaltered through a segment propagates through L_{σ} to form an proper structure states in the scope of the state of the segment propagates through L_{σ} to form an end to be a perfectly aberration-free converging leng is next, the scene of a distant point source, ap-tion are segmentally collecting only a fraction of the indeent wavefront and therefore cannot hope to form a perfect image. As shown in the last section, the aparentiation of the optical disturbance at P_{σ} arising frac-tion of the optical disturbance at P_{σ} arising fraction the particular segment propagates of the state of the structure, ap-tion and the section of the far-field case, is

$$E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}} e^{i \left(e t - hR \right)}}{R} \iint_{\text{Aperture}} e^{i k \left(Y + Z_{\ell} \right)/R} \, dS. \quad (10.4)$$

For a circular opening, symmetry would suggest introducing spherical polar coordinates in both the plane of the aperture and the plane of observation, as shown in Fig. 10.26. Therefore, let

 $z = \rho \cos \phi$ $y = \rho \sin \phi$ $Z = q \cos \phi$ $Y = q \sin \phi$. The differential element of area is now

 $dS = p dp d\phi$.

reger 10.35 (a) The irradiance distribution for a square aperture. 5 The irradiance produced by Fraunhofer diffraction at a square square. (a) The electric field distribution produced by Fraunhofer fraction via a square aperture. (Photon courtery R. G. Wilson, model Welsen University).



(c)

Substituting these expressions into Eq. (10.41), it becomes

$$E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{A}} e^{i(\mu \rho - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{R})}}{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\rho=0}^{n} \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} e^{i(ipq/R)\cos(\phi - \Phi)} \rho \, d\rho \, d\phi.$$
(10.46)

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction

417

Because of the complete axial symmetry, the solution Because of the complete axial symmetry, the solution must be independent of Φ . We might just as well solve Eq. (10.46) with $\Phi = 0$ as with any other value, thereby simplifying things slightly. The portion of the double integral associated with

the variable ϕ ,



is one that arises quite frequently in the mathematics of physics. It is a unique function in that it cannot be reduced to any of the more common forms, such as the various hyperbolic, exponential, or trigonometric func-tions, and indeed with the exception of these, it is
418 Chapter 10 Diffraction 10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction symmetry, the towering central maximum corresponds symmetry, the towering central maximum corresponds to a high-irradiance circular spot known as the Airy disk. It was Sir George Biddell Airy (1801–1892), Astronomer Royal of England, who first derived Eq. (10.56). The central disk is surrounded by a dark ring that corresponds to the first zero of the function $J_i(u)$. From Table 10.1 $f_1(u) = 0$ when u = 3.83, that is, kaq/R = 3.83. The radius q_1 drawn to the center of this first dark ring can be thought of as the extent of the Airy disk. It is given by $q_1 = 1.22 \frac{R\lambda}{2a}$ Figure 10.27 Bessel functions. Table 10.1 Bessel fund where A is the area of the circular opening. To find the irradiance at the center of the pattern (i.e., at P_{0}), gct q = 0. It follows from the above recurrence relation (m = 1) that $x = J_1(x)^*$ x $J_1(x)$ $J_1(x)$ 0.0000 0.0499 0.0995 0.1483 0.1960 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 0.0 3.0 3.1 0.3391 -0.2767 -0.2559 -0.2329 -0.2081 -0.1816 0.2613 0.2 3.3 3.4 $J_0(u) = \frac{d}{du} J_1(u) + \frac{J_1(u)}{u}$ 0.3 0.4 0.2207 Figure 10.26 Circular aperture get (10.53) Au u From Eq. (10.47) we see that $f_0(0) = 1$, and from Eq. (0.48), $f_1(0) = 0$. The ratio of $f_1(u)/u$ as u approaches zero has the same limit (L'Hospial's rule) as the ratio of the separate derivatives of its numerator and genominator, namely, $df_1(u)/du$ over 1. But this means that the right-hand side of Eq. (10.53) is twice that ginning value, so that $f_1(u)/u = \frac{1}{2}$ at u = 0. The irradi-ance at P_0 is therefore 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.2423 0.2867 0.3290 0.3688 0.4059 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 0.1374 0.0955 0.0538 0.0128 -0.1538 -0.1250 -0.0953 -0.0652 -0.0849 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 perhaps the most often encountered. The quantity to as a recurrence relation, is -0.0272 $J_0(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{u\cos v} dv$ $\frac{d}{du}[u^m J_m(u)] = u^m J_{m-1}(u).$ 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 0.4401 0.4709 0.4983 0.5220 0.5419 -0.0660 -0.1033 -0.1386 -0.1719 -0.2028 -0.0047 0.0252 0.0543 0.0826 0.1096 (10.47) 4.0 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 is known as the *Bessel function* (of the first kind) of order zero. More generally, When m = 1, this clearly leads to $\int_0^u u' J_0(u')\,du' = u J_1(u),$ $J_m(u) = \frac{i^{-m}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(mv+n\cos v)} dv$ (10.50) 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 0.5579 $I(0) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_A^2 A^2}{2R^2},$ 4.5 -0.2311 7.5 0.1352 (10.48) $J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ 0.5699 0.5778 0.5815 0.5812 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 (10.54) -0.2566 -0.2791 0.1592 7.6 7.7 7.8 7.9 0.1813 represents the Bessel function of order m. Numerical which is the same result obtained for the rectangular ppening (10.43). If R is assumed to be essentially constant over the pattern, we can write -0.2985 -0.3147 0.2014 0.2192 values of $J_0(u)$ and $J_1(u)$ are tabulated for a large range of u in most mathematical handbooks. Just like sine and cosine, the Bessel functions have series expansions and are certainly no more esoteric than these familiar child-2.0 2.1 0.5767 0.5683 5.0 -0.32760.2346 8.0 8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 -0.3371 0.2476 $I = I(0) \left[\frac{2J_1(kag/R)}{kag/R} \right]^2$ 0.5560 2.2 -0.34320.2580 (10.55) -0.3460 -0.3453 2.3 2.4 0.5399 0.5202 0.2657 are containly non-body constraint case of the field of the second secon 0.2708 Since $\sin \theta = q/R$, the irradiance can be written as a function of θ , $E(t) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{A} \sigma^{i(ust \to kR)}}{R} 2\pi a^{2} (R/kaq) J_{1}(kaq/R). \quad (1021)$ 0.4971 0.4708 0.4416 -0.3414 -0.3343 -0.3241 0.2731 0.2728 0.2697 0.2641 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 8.5 8.6 $E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{ab} e^{i(\omega - kR)}}{R} 2\pi \int_0^a J_0(k\rho q/R)\rho \,d\rho. \qquad (10.49)$ The irradiance at point P is $\langle (\text{Re } E)^2 \rangle$ or $\frac{1}{2}EE^*$, that is, $I(\theta) = I(0) \left[\frac{2 f_1(ha \sin \theta)}{ha \sin \theta} \right]^2,$ 0.4097 -0.3110 (10.56) 2.9 0.3754 -0.2951 0 2559 $I = \frac{2\mathcal{E}_A^2 A^2}{R^2} \left[\frac{J_1(kaq/R)}{kaq/R} \right]^2,$ (10.52) ${}^{*}J_{1}(x) = 0$ for x = 0, 3.832, 7.016, 10.173, 13.324,Adapted from E. Kreyszig, Advanced Engineering as such is plotted in Fig. 10.28. Because of the axial Another general property of Bessel functions, referred

(10.57)

For a lens focused on the screen σ , the focal length $f \approx R$, so

$$q_1 \approx 1.22 \frac{f\lambda}{D}$$
, (10.58)

between the second sec

source of **spherical** waves. The high**er-order** zeros occur at values of kaq/R equal to 7.02, 10.17, and so forth. The secondary maxima are located where u satisfies the condition

$$\frac{d}{du}\left[\frac{J_1(u)}{u}\right] = 0,$$

which is equivalent to $J_2(u) = 0$. From the tables then,







Figure 10.28 (a) The Airy pattern. (b) Electric field created by Fraunhofer diffraction at a circular aperture. (c) Irradiance resulting from Fraunhofer diffraction at a circular aperture. (Photos courtes) R. G. Wilson, Illinois Wesleyan University.)

(c)



Figure 10.29 Airy rings (0.5-mm hole diameter). (Photo by E. H.)



Figure 10.30 Airy rings (1.0-mm hole diameter). (Photo by E. H.)

these secondary peaks occur when haq/R equals 5.14, 8.42, 11.6, and so on, whereupon I/I(0) drops from 1 to 0.0175, 0.0042, and 0.0016, respectively (Problem 10.22).

10.22). Circular apertures are preferable to rectangular ones, as far as lens shapes go, since the circle's irradiance curve is broader around the central peak and drops off more rapidly thereafter. Exactly what fraction of the total light energy incident on σ is confined to within 10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 421





Figure 10.51 (a) Airy rings—long exposure (1.5-mm hole diameter). (b) Central Airy disc—short exposure with the same aperture. (Photos by E. H.)

the various maxima is a question of interest, but one somewhat too involved to solve here.⁶ On integrating the irradiance over a particular region of the pattern, one finds that 84% of the light arrives within the Airy disk, and 91% within the bounds of the second dark ring.

*See Born and Wolf, Principles of Optics, p. 398, or the very fine elementary text by Towne, Wave Phenomena, p. 464.

10.2.6 Resolution of Imaging Systems

Imagine that we have some sort of lens system that forms an image of an extended object. If the object is self-luminous, it is likely that we can regard it as made up of an array of incoherent sources. On the other hand, an object seen in reflected light will surely display some phase correlation between its various scattering points. When the point sources are in fact incoherent, the lens system will form an image of the object, which consists of a distribution of partially overlapping, yet independent, Airy patterns. In the finest lenses, which have negligible aberrations, the spreading out of each image point due to diffraction represents the ultimate limit on image quality.

Infinition image quanty. Suppose that we simplify matters somewhat and examine only two equal-irradiance, incoherent, distant point sources. For example, consider two stars seen through the objective lens of a telescope, where the entrance pupil corresponds to the diffracting aperture. In the previous section we saw that the radius of the Airy disk was given by $q_i \equiv 1.22 \mu D_i$. If $\Delta \theta$ is the corresponding angular measure, then $\Delta \theta = 1.22 \mu D_i$ insamuch as $q_i/f \approx \sin \Delta \theta \approx \Delta \theta$. The Airy disk for each star will be spread out over an angular half-width $\Delta \theta$ about is geometric image point, as shown in Fig. 10.32. If the angular separation of the stars is $\Delta \phi$ and if $\Delta \phi \gg \Delta \theta$, the images will be distinct and easily resolved. As the stars approach each other, their respective images come together, overlap, and commingle into a single blend of tringes. If Lord Rayleigh's criterion is applied, the stars are said to be *just resolved* when the center of one Airy disk falls on the first minimum of the Airy pattern of the other star. (We can certainly do a bit better than this, but Rayleigh's criterion; however arbitrary. has the virtue of being particularly uncomplicated.³) The minimum resolvable angular separation or angular limit of resolution is

 $(\Delta \varphi)_{\min} = \Delta \theta = 1.22 \lambda / D,$ (10.59)

* In Rayleigh's own words: "This rule is convenient on account of its simplicity and it is ufficiently accurate in view of the necessary uncertainty as to what essently is meant by resolution." See Section 9.6.1. for further discussion. as depicted in Fig. 10.33. If $\Delta \ell$ is the center-to-center separation of the images, the **limit** of resolution is $(\Delta \ell)_{min} = 1.22 \ell h/D$.

The resolving power for an image-forming system is generally defined as either $1/(\Delta \phi)_{\min}$ or $1/(\Delta f)_{\min}$. If the smallest resolvable separation between image

It is sharker there are realwige power is to just the value of i.e., if the realwige power is to just the wavelength, for instance, might be made smaller. Using ultraviolet rather than visible light microscopy allows for the perception of finer decimators on the perception of the decimators of the perception of the decimators of the decimators of the perception of the decimators of the decimator of the decimators of the decimators of the decimators of the decimator of the decimators of the decimator of the decimators of the decimator of the

A more appropriate criterion for resolving power has been proposed by C. Sparrow. Recall that at the Rayleigh limit there is a central minimum or saddle point between adjacent peaks. A further decrease in the distance between the two point sources will cause the central dip to grow shallower and ultimately disappear. The angular separation corresponding to that configuration is Sparrow's limit. The resultant





Figure 10.52 Overlapping Images.

10.1 Fraunhofer Diffraction 923



Figure 10.35 Overlapping images.

maximum has a broad flat top; in other words, at the origin, which is the center of the peak, the second derivative of the irradiance function is zero; there is no change in slope (Fig. 10.40).

Unlike the Rayleigh rule, which rather tacitly assumes incoherence, the Sparrow condition can readily be gen-eralized to coherent sources. In addition, astronomical studies of equal-brightness stars have shown that Sparrow's criterion is by far the more realistic.

10.2.7 The Diffraction Grating

A repetitive array of diffracting elements, either apertures or obstacles, that has the effect of producing periodic alterations in the phase, amplitude, or both of an emergent wave is said to be a **diffraction grating**. One of the simplest such arrangements is the multiple-slit configuration of Section 10.2.3. It seems to have been invented by the American astronomer David Ritten-house in about 1785. Some years later Joseph von Fraunhofer independently rediscovered the principle and went on to make a number of important contributions to both the theory and technology of gratings. The earliest devices were indeed multiple-slit assemblies, usually consisting of a grid of fine wire or thread wound about and extending between two parallel screws, which served as spacers. A wavefront, in passing through such a system, is confronted by alternate opaque and transparent regions, so that it undergoes a modulation in *amplitude*. Accordingly, a multiple-slit configuration is said to be a *transmission amplitude grat-ing*. Another, more common form of transmission grating is made by ruling or scratching parallel notches into the surface of a flat, clear glass plate [Fig. 10.34(a)]. Each of the scratches serves as a source of scattered light, and together they form a regular array of parallel line sources. When the grating is totally transparent, so line sources. When the grating is totally transparent, so that there is negligible amplitude modulation, the regu-lar variations in the optical thickness across the grating yield a modulation in *phase*, and we have what is known as a *transmission phase grating* (Fig. 10.35). In the Huygens-Fresnel representation you can envision the wavelets as radiated with different phases over the grat-ing surface. An emerging wavefront therefore contains



Figure 10.34 A transmission grating,

periodic variations in its shape rather than its amplitude. This in turn is equivalent to an angular distribution

This in turn is constituent plane waves. On reflection from this kind of grating, light scattered by the various periodic surface features will arrive at some point P with a definite phase relationship. The some point P with a definite phase relationship. The consequent interference pattern generated after reflec-tion is quite similar to that arising from transmission-Gratings designed specifically to function in this fashion are known as *reflection phase gratings* (Fig. 10.36). Con-temporary gratings of this sort are generally ruled in thin films of aluminum that have been evaporated onto optically flat glass blanks. The aluminum, being fairly



are 10.35 Light passing through a grating. The region on the is the visible spectrum, that on the right, the ultraviolet. (Photo rtsy Klinger Scientific Apparatus Corp.)

soft, results in less wear on the diamond ruling tool and is also a better reflector in the ultraviolet region. The manufacture of ruled gratings is extremely difficult, and relatively lew are made. In actuality most

difficult, and relatively lew are made. In actuality most graings are exceedingly good plastic castings or replicas of fine, master ruled gratings. If you were to look perpendicularly through a trans-mission grating at a distant parallel line source, your eye would serve as a focusing lens for the diffraction pattern. Recall the analysis of Section 10.2.3 and the rurescion. expression

$a\sin\theta_m=m\lambda,$

[10.32]

a sin $e_m = mA$, [10.32] which is known as the grating equation for normal incidence. The values of m specify the order of the various principal maxima. For a source having a broad continuous spectrum, such as a tungsten filament, the m = 0, or zeroth-order, image corresponds to the unde-flected, $\theta_0 = 0$, white-light view of the source. The gra-ting equation is dependent on λ , and so for any value of $m \neq 0$ the various colored images of the source corre-sponding to slightly different angles (θ_m) spread out

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 425

into a continuous spectrum. The regions occupied by the faint subsidiary maxima will show up as bands seem-ingly devoid of any light. The first-order spectrum may devote of any hgu. The insector spectrum $m = \pm 1$ appears on either side of $\theta = 0$ and is followed, along with alternate intervals of darkness, by the higher-order spectra, $m = \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$. Notice that the smaller a becomes in Eq. (10.32), the fewer will be the number of visible orders



Figure 10.36 A reflection grating

It should be no surprise that the grating equation is in fact Eq. (9.29), which describes the location of the maxima in Young's double-slit setup. The interference maxima, all located at the same angles, are now simply sharper (just as the multiple beam operation of the Fabry-Perot etalon made its fringes sharper). In the double-slit case when the point of observation is snmewhat off the exact center of an irradiance maximum the two waves, one from each slit, will still be more or less in phase, and the irradiance. though reduced, will still be appreciable. Thus the bright regions are fairly broad. By contrast, with multiple-beam systems though all the waves interfere constructively at the centers of the maxima, even a small displacement will cause certain ones to arrive out of phase by $\frac{1}{2}$ with respect to others. For example, suppose *P* is slightly off from θ_i so that as in $\theta = 1.010\lambda$ instead of 1.000A. Each of the waves from successive slits will arrive at *P* shifted by 0.01A and the light from slit 1 and slit 51 will essentially cancel. The same would be true for slit-pairs 2 and 52, 3 and 53, and so forth. The result is a rapid fall off in irradiance beyond the centers of the maxima.

ance beyond the centers of the maxima. Consider next the somewhat more general situation of oblique incidence, as depicted in Figs. 10.94 and 10.96. The grating equation, for both transmission and reflection, becomes

$a(\sin \theta_m - \sin \theta_i) = m\lambda.$

(10.61)

This expression applies equally well, regardless of the refractive index of the transmission grating itself (Problem 10.37). One of the main disadvantages of the devices examined thus far, and in fact the reason for their obsolescence, is that they spread the available light energy out over a number of low-irradiance spectral orders. For a grating like that shown in Fig. 10.36, most of the incident light undergoes *specular reflection*, as if from a plane mirror. It follows from the grating equation that $\theta_m \equiv \theta_i$ corresponds to the zeroth order, $m \equiv 0$. All of this light is essentially wasted, at least for spectralogorial purposes, since the constituent wavelengths overlap.

In an article in the Encyclopaedia Britannica of 1888 Lord Rayleigh suggested that it was at least theoretically possible to shift energy out of the useless zeroth ordes into one of the higher-order spectra. So motivated, Robert Williams Wood (1866–1955) succeeded in 1910 in ruling grooves with a controlled shape, as shown i, Fig. 10.37. Most modern gratings are of this shaped blaced variety. The angular positions of the nonzeorders, θ_m -values, are determined by a, λ , and, of moimmediate interest, θ . But θ , and θ_m are measurefrom the normal to the grating plane and not with respect to the individual groove surfaces. On the other hand, the location of the peak in the single-facet diffration pattern corresponds to specular reflection of the face, for each groove. It is governed by the blaze angrey γ and can be varied independently of θ_m . This is somes



Figure 10.37 Section of a blazed reflection phase grating.



Figure 10.38 Blazed grating.

what analogous to the antenna array of Section 10.1.3, where we were able to control the spatial position of the interference pattern (10.6) by adjusting the relative phase shift between sources without actually changing their orientations.

Consider the situation depicted in Fig. 10.38 when the incident wave is normal to the plane of a blazed reflection grating; that is, $\theta_i = 0$, so for m = 0, $\theta_0 = 0$. For specular reflection $\theta_i - \theta_i = 2\gamma$ (Fig. 10.37), most of the diffracted radiation is concentrated about $\theta_i = -2\gamma$, (θ_i is negative because the incident and reflected rays are on the same side of the grating normal.) This will correspond to a particular nonzero order, on one side of the central image, when $\theta_m = -2\gamma$; in other words, $a \sin (-2\gamma) = m\lambda$ for the desired λ and m.

Grating Spectroscopy

Quantum mechanics, which evolved in the early 1920s, had its initial thrust in the area of atomic physics. Predictions were made concerning the detailed structure of the hydrogen atom as manifested by its emitted radiation, and spectroscopy provided the vital proving

10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction 427

ground. The need for larger and better gratings became apparent. Grating spectrometers, used over the range from soft x-rays to the far infrared, have enjoyed continued interest. In the hands of the astrophysicist or rocket-borne, they yield information concerning the very origins of the universe, information concerning the the red shift in the spectrum of a quasar. In the mid-1900s George R. Harrison and George W. Stroke remarkably improved the quality of high-resolution gratings. They used a ruling engine⁴ whose operation was controlled by an interferometrically guided servomechanism.

Let us now examine in some detail a few of the major features of the grating spectrum. Assume an infinitesimally narrow incoherent source. The effective width of an emergent spectral line may be defined as the angular distance between the zeros on either side of a principal maximum; in other words, $\Delta \alpha = 2\pi/N$, which follows from Eq. (10.33). At oblique incidence we can redefine α as $(ka/2) (\sin \theta - \sin \theta_i)$, and so a small change in α is given by

$$\Delta \alpha = (ka/2) \cos \theta (\Delta \theta) = 2\pi/N, \quad (10.6)$$

where the angle of incidence is constant, that is, $\Delta \theta_i = 0$. Thus even when the incident light is monochromatic

$\Delta \theta = 2\lambda / (Na \cos \theta_m) \qquad (10.63)$

is the angular width of a line, due to instrumental broadening. Interestingly enough, the angular linewidth varies inversely with the width of the grating itself, Na. Another important quantity is the difference in angular position corresponding to a difference in wavelength. The **angular dispersion**, as in the case of a prism, is defined as

$\mathcal{D} = d\theta/d\lambda.$ (10.64)

Differentiating the grating equation yields

 $\mathcal{D}=m/a\,\cos\,\theta_{\rm m}, \eqno(10.65)$ This means that the angular separation between two

----- angular separation occessed the

* For more details about these marvelous machines see A. R. Ingalis, Sci. Amer. 186, 45 (1952), or the article by E. W. Palmer and J. F. Verrill, Contemp. Phys. 9, 257 (1968).



(10.66)

Figure 10.39 The Littrow autocollimation mounting

different frequency lines will increase as the order increases

Blazed plane gratings with nearly rectangular grooves are most often mounted so that the incident propaga-tion vector is almost normal to either one of the groove faces. This is the condition of autocollimation, in which θ_i and θ_m are on the same side of the normal and $\gamma = \theta_i = -\theta_m$ (see Fig. 10.39), whereupon

$\mathfrak{D}_{auto} = 2 \tan \theta_i / \lambda,$

which is independent of a.

When the wavelength difference between two lines is small enough so that they overlap, the resultant peak becomes somewhat ambiguous. The chromatic resolv-ing power \mathcal{R} of a spectrometer is defined as

$$\Re = \lambda / (\Delta \lambda)_{\min}$$
, [9.76]

where $(\Delta \lambda)_{\min}$ is the least resolvable wavelength difference, or limit of resolution, and λ is the mean wavelength. Lord Rayleigh's criterion for the resolution wavelength, Lord Käyleigh & Chienon for the resolution of two fringes with equal flux density requires that the principal maximum of one coincide with the first minimum of the other. (Compare this with the equivalent statement used in Section 9.6.1.) As shown in Fig. 10.40, at the limit of resolution the angular separation is half the linewidth, or from Eq. (10.63) $(\Delta \theta)_{\min} \equiv \lambda / Na \cos \theta_m.$

App	lying	the exp	ress	ion io	T Ine	aispersion	h, we get	
		$(\Delta \theta)$	mia	(Δλ) _{min} n	$a \cos \theta_m$.		
The	com	hination	of	these	two	constions	provides	115

	controlline croit	or meee	rue equasions	Province a
with	R, that is,			
		$\lambda/(\Delta \lambda)_n$	m = mN	(10.67
or				

	$Na(\sin \theta_m - \sin \theta_i)$	(10)
91		(10.

The resolving power is a function of the grating width Na, the angle of incidence, and λ . A grating 6 inches wide and containing 15,000 lines per inch will have 3 total of 9 × 10⁶ lines and a resolving power, in the second order, of 1.8 × 10⁶. In the vicinity of 540 nm the grating order, of 1.8 × 10⁶. In the vicinity of 540 nm the grating of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm degrating a second power by difference of 0.003 mm could resolve a wavelength difference of 0.003 nm Notice that the resolving power cannot exceed $2Na/\lambda_0$ which occurs when $\theta_i = -\theta_m = 90^\circ$. The largest values of \Re are obtained when the grating is used in autocolle mation, whereupon

Runo

$$= \frac{2Na\sin\theta_i}{(10.69)}$$

and again θ_i and θ_m are on the same side of the normal. por one of Harrison's 260-mm-wide blazed gratings at about 75° in a Littrow mount, with $\lambda = 500$ nm, the resolving power just exceeds 10°.

We now need to consider the problem of overlapping orders. The grating equation makes it quite clear that a line of 600 nm in the first order will have precisely a mice of boot init the has order with have precisely the same position, θ_m , as a 300-nm line in the second order or a 200-nm line when m = 3. If two lines of wavelength λ and $(\lambda + \Delta \lambda)$ in successive orders (m + 1)and m just coincide, then

 $\alpha(\sin \theta_m - \sin \theta_i) = (m+1)\lambda = m(\lambda + \Delta \lambda).$ That precise wavelength difference is known as the free spectral range,

 $(\Delta \lambda)_{\rm for} = \lambda / m,$ (10.70) as it was for the Fabry-Perot interferometer. In comparison with that device, whose resolving power was

 $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F}m$, [9.76] we might take N to be the finesse of a diffraction grating

(Problem 10.38).

A high-resolution grating blazed for the first order, so as to have the greatest free spectral range, will require a high groove density (up to about 1200 lines per mila high groove density (up to about 1200 lines per mil-limeter) in order to maintain \Re . Equation (10.68) shows that \Re can be kept constant by ruling lever lines with increasing spacing, such that the grating width Na is constant. But this requires an increase in m and a subsequent decrease in free spectral range, character-ized by overlapping orders. If this time N is held con-stant while a alone is made larger, \Re increases as does n to but (Δh), again decreaser. The asometar width m, so that $(\Delta \lambda)_{1\nu}$ again decreases. The angular width of a line is reduced (i.e., the spectral lines become sharper), the coarser the grating is, but the dispersion in a given order diminishes, with the effect that the lines

The given order ourministers, with the effect that the lines, by that spectrum approach each other. Thus far we have considered a particular type of periodic array, namely, the *line grating*. A good deal more information is available in the literature* concern-

Kncuhühl, "Diffraction Grating Spectroscopy", Appl. Opt. 8, 505 (1969); R. S. Longhurst, Geomtrical and Physical Optics; and the releasive article by C. W. Stroke in the Encyclopedia of Physics, Vol. 49, edited by S. Flügge, p. 426.



10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction

429

ing their shapes, mountings, uses, and so forth. There are a few unlikely household items that can be used as crude gratings, along with a small light source. The grooved surface of a phonograph record works nicely near grazing incidence. And surprisingly enough, under the same conditions an ordinary fine wavelengths of white light. This occurs in exactly the same fashion as it would with a more orthodox reflection grating. In a letter to a friend dated May 12, 1673, James Gregory pointed out that sunlight passing through a feather would produce a colored pattern, and he asked that his observations be conveyed to Mr. Newton. If you've got one, a feather makes a nice transmission grating.

Two- and Three-Dimensional Gratings

Suppose that the diffracting screen Σ contains a large number, N_i of identical diffracting objects (apertures or obstacles). These are to be envisioned as distributed over the surface of Σ in a completely random manner. We also require that each and every one be similarly oriented. Imagine the diffracting screen to be illuminated by plane waves that are focused by a perfect lens Let after emerging from Σ (see Fig. 10.15). The individual apertures generate identical Fraunhofer diffraction patterns, all of which overlap on the image autration patterns, at or which overlap on the image plane σ . If there is no regular periodicity in the location of the apertures, we cannot anticipate anything but a random distribution in the relative phases of the waves arriving at an arbitrary point P on σ . We have to be rather careful, however, because there is one exception, which occurs when P is on the central axis, that is, $P = P_{\phi}$. All rays, from all apertures, parallel to the control patie will trunces and eavier orthe hearth central axis will traverse equal optical path lengths before reaching P₀. They will therefore arrive in phase and interfere constructively. Now consider a group of arbitrarily directed parallel

rays (not in the direction of the central axis), each one emitted from a different aperture. These will be focused at some point on σ , such that each corresponding wave will have an equal probability of arriving with any phase between 0 and 2π . What must be determined is the resultant field arising from the superposition of N equal-amplitude phasors all having random relative phases. The solution to this problem requires an elabors ate analysis in terms of probability theory, which is a little too far afield to do here.⁴ The important point if that the sum of a number of phasors taken at random angles is not simply zero, as might be thought. The general analysis begins, for statistical reasons, by assum ing that there are a large number of individual aperture screens, each containing N random diffracting aper-tures and each illuminated, in turn, by amonchromatis tures and each illuminated, in turn, by a monochromatig wave. We shouldn't be surprised if there is some difference, however small, between the diffraction pat-terns of two differenx random distributions of, say, N = 100 holes—after all, they are different, and the smaller N is, the more obvious that becomes. Indeed we can expect their similarities to show up statistically on considering a large number of nucle marks on considering a large number of such masks-ergo the general approach. If the many individual resulting irradiance distribu-tions are all averaged for a particular off-axis point on

 σ , it will be found that the average irradiance (I_{av}) there equals N times the irradiance (I_0) due to a single aper-ture: $I_{av} = NI_0$. Still, the irradiance at any point arising from any one aperture screen can differ from this how great N is. These point-to-point fluctuations about the average value by a fairly large amount, regardless of how great N is. These point-to-point fluctuations about the average manifest themselves in each particular pattern as a granularity that tends to show a radial fiberlike is tructure. If this fine-grained mottling is a veraged over a small region of the pattern, which nonetheless contains many fluctuations, it will average out to NI_0 .

Of course, in any real experiment the situation will not quite match the ideal—there is no such thing as monochromatic light or a truly random array of (non-overlapping) diffracting objects. Nonetheless, with a screen containing N "random" apertures illuminated by quasimonochromatic, nearly plane-wave illumina-tion, we can anticipate seeing a mottled flux-density distribution closely resembling that of an individual aperture but N times as strong. Moreover, a bright spot

⁶ For a statistical treatment, consult J. M. Stone, Rediation and Optic, p. 146, and Sommerfeld, Optics, p. 194. Alto take a look at "Diffraction Plates for Classroom Demonstrations," by R. B. Hoover, Am. J. Phys. 37, 871 (1969), and T. A. Wiggins, "Hole Gratings for Optics Experi-ments," Am. J. Phys. 53, 227 (1985).

will exist on-axis at its center, which will have a flux lengity of N^2 times that of a single aperture. If, for will exist on-axis at its center, which will have a flux density of N^2 times that of a single aperture. If, for example, the screen contains N rectangular holes [Fig. 10.41(a)], the resultant pattern [Fig. 10.41(b)] will resemble Fig. 10.24.8 similarity, the array of circular holes depicted in Fig. 10.41(c) will produce the diffraction



rings of Fig. 10.41(d).

As the number of apertures increases, there will be a tendency for the central spot to become so bright as to obscure the rest of the pattern. Note as well that the above considerations apply when all the apertures are illuminated completely coherently. In actuality, the



(c)





(d)

Figure 10.41 (s) A random array of rectangular apertures. (b) The resulting white-iight Fraunhofer pattern. (c) A ran-dom array of circular apertures. (c) The resulting white-light Fraunhofer pattern. (Photos courtesy The Ealing Corpor-ation and Richard B. Hoover.) (c) A candle flame wised through a logged piece of glass. The spectral colors are visible as consentir firms, (Photo by E. H.)

(e)

(4)

(a)

diffracted flux-density distribution will be determined by the degree of coherence (see Chapter 12). The pat-tern will run the gamut from no interference with completely incoherent light to the case discussed above for completely coherent illumination (Problem 10.40). The same kind of effects arise from what we might

and a two-dimensional *phass grating*. For example, the halo or corona often seen about the Sun or Moon results from diffraction by random droplets of water vapor





(i.e., cloud particles). If you would like to duplicate the effect, rub a very thin film of talcum powder on a microscope slide and then fog it up with your breat Look at a white-light point source. You should see a pattern of clear, concentric, colored rings (10.56) suc rounding a white central disk. If you just see a white blur, you don't have a distribution of roughly equal sized droplets; have another try at the talcum. Strikingly beautiful patterns approximating concentric ring sys-

Figure 10.42 (a) An ordered array of rectangular aper-tures. (b) The resulting white-light Frauntiofer pattern (c) An ordered array of circular apertures, (d) The result-ing white-light Fraunhofer pattern. (Photos courtesy Richard B. Hoover.)

tens can be seen through an ordinary mesh nylon stock-ing if you are fortunate enough to have mercury-vapor preet lights, you'll have no trouble seeing all their instituent visible spectral frequencies. (If not, block our most of a fluorescent lamp, leaving something sembling a small source.) Notice the increased sym-netry as you increase the number of layers of nylon. Incidentally, this is precisely the way Rittenhouse, the barn of the grating, became interested in the prob-em, only he used a silk handkerchief. Consider the case of a *ragular* two-dimensional array of diffracting elements (Fig. 10.42) under normally indent plane-wave illumination. Each small element wave bears a fixed phase relation to the others. There will now be certain direction in which constructive

gave bears a fixed phase relation to the others. Inere-will now be ortain directions in which constructive patterference prevails. Obviously, these occur when the distances from each diffracting element to P are such that the waves are nearly in phase at arrival. The phenomenon can be observed by looking at a point that the waves are nearly in phase at arrival. The phenomenon can be observed by looking at a point bource through a piece of square wown, thin doth (such as splon curtain material) or the fine metal mesh of a get a strainer (Fig. 10.48). The diffracted image is light angles. Examine the center of the patterns at light angles. Examine the center of the pattern carefully to see its gridBke structure. As for the possibility of a three-dimensional grating, there seems to be no particular conceptual difficulty. A regular spatial array of scattering centers would cen-tianly yield interference maxima in preferred direc-tions. In 1912 Max von Laue (1879-1960) conceived

tions. In 1912 Max won Law (1879–1960) conceived the ingenious idea of using the regularly spaced atoms within a crystal as a three-dimensional grating. It is apparent from the grating equation (10.61) that if λ is much greater than the grating spacing, only the zeroth order (m = 0) is possible. This is equivalent to $\theta_{m} = \theta_{i}$, that is, specular reflection. Since the spacing between atoms in a crystal is generally several angetroms (1 Å = 10⁻¹ nm), light can be diffracted only in the zeroth order. order.

order. Von Lauc's solution io the problem was to probe the lattice, not with light but with x-rays whose wavelengths were comparable to the interatomic distances (Fig. 10.43). A narrow beam of white radiation (the broad



10.2 Fraunhofer Diffraction

433

Figure 10.43 Transmission Laue pattern.



Figure 10.44 X-ray diffraction pattern for quartz (SiO2).

continuous frequency range emitted by an x-ray tube) was directed onto a thin single crystal. The film plate (Fig. 10.44) revealed a Fraunhofer pattern consisting of an array of precisely located spots. These sizes of constructive interference occurred whenever the angle between the beam and a set of atomic planes within the



Figure 10.45 Water waves in a ripple tank reflecting off an array of pegs acting as point scatterers. (Photo'courtesy PSSC *Physics*, D. C. Heath, Boston, 1960.)

crystal obeyed Bragg's law:

 $2d\sin\theta = m\lambda.$ (10.71) Notice that in x-ray work θ is traditionally measured from the plane and not the normal to it. Each set of planes diffracts a particular wavelength into a particular direction. Figure 10.45 rather strikingly shows the analogous behavior in a simple toth

Unrectamin right to way rather strakingly and/way the analogous behavior in a ripple tank. Instead of reducing A to the x-ray range, we could have scaled everything up by a factor of about a billion and made a lattice of metal balls as a grating for microwaves.

10.3 FRESNEL DIFFRACTION

10.3.1 The Free Propagation of a Spherical Wave

In the Fraunhofer configuration, the diffracting system was relatively small, and the point of observation was very distant. Under these circumstances a few potentially problematic features of the Huygens-Fresnel principle could be completely passed over without con-cern. But we are now dealing with the near-field region, which extends right up to the diffracting element is and any such approximations would be inappropri-We therefore return to the Huygens-Fresnel prince in order to re-examine it more closely. At any insi-every point on the primary wavefront is envisioned a continuous emitter of spherical secondary wave-But if each wavelet radiated uniformly in all direct in addition to generating an ongoing wave, there wa also be a reverse wave traveling back toward the sour-No such wave is found experimentally, so we in somehow modify the radiation pattern of the second No such wave is found experimentally, so we non-somehow modify the radiation pattern of the seconde-emitters. We now introduce the function K(0), knows as the obliquity or inclination factor, in order to describe the directionality of the secondary emission Fresnel recognized the need to introduce a quantity of this kind, but he did little more than conjecture about its form.* It remained for the more analytic Kirchhof formulation to provide an actual expression for K(08) which as we will see in Section 10.4, turns out to be which, as we will see in Section 10.4, turns out to be $K(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta).$

(10.72) As shown in Fig. 10.46, θ is the angle made with the As shown in Fig. 10.40, σ is the angle made with the normal to the primary wavefront, k. This has in maximum value, K(0) = 1, in the forward direction and also dispenses with the back wave, since $K(\sigma) = 0$. Let us now examine the free propagation of a spherical monochromatic wave emitted from a *poing source* 5. If the Huveens-Fresnel principle is correct

spherical monochromatic wave emitted from a *point* source S. If the Huygens-Freshel principle is correct we should be able to add up the secondary wavelest arriving at a *point P* and thus obtain the **unobstruct** primary wave. In the process we will gain some insign recognize a few shortcomings, and develop a very use technique. Consider the construction shown in B 10.47. The spherical surface corresponds to the prima-* It is interesting to read Fresnel's own words on the matter, keeping in mind that he was talking about light as an elastic vibration of

ner. Since the impulse communicated to every part of the primitive wave was directed along the normal, the motion which each tends to impress upon the acther ought to be more intense in this direction than in any other; and the rays which would enamate from it, if acting alone, would be less and less intense as they deviated more and more from this direction. The investigation of the law according to which their intensity varies about each center of disturbance is doubtless a very difficult matter;...

By effort at some arbitrary time t' after it has been mitted from S at t=0. The disturbance, having a data ρ , can be represented by any one of the mathe-matical expressions describing a harmonic spherical maye, for example,

 $E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{c} \cos\left(\omega t' - k\rho\right).$ (10.79)

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 435

As illustrated, we have divided the wavefront into a number of annular regions. The boundaries of the various regions correspond to the intersections of the wavefront with a series of spheres centered at P of radius $r_0 + \lambda/2$, $r_0 + \lambda$, $r_0 + 3\lambda/2$, and so forth. These are the **Fresnel** or half-period zones. Notice that, for a secondary point source in one zone, there will be a



Figure 10.48 Propagation of a spherical wavefront.

point source in the adjacent zone that is further from P by an amount $\lambda/2$. Since each zone, although small, is finite in extent, we define a ring-shaped differential is the interactive define a migrapped untertribut area element dS, as indicated in Fig. 10.48. All the point sources within dS are coherent, and we assume that each radiates in phase with the primary wave (10.73). The secondary wavelets travel a distance r to reach P, at a time I, all arriving there with the same phase, $\omega t - k(\rho + r)$. The amplitude of the primary wave at a distance ρ from S is \mathcal{E}_{q}/ρ . We assume, accordingly, that the source is rength per unit area \mathcal{E}_A of the secondary emitters on dS is proportional to \mathcal{E}_a/ρ by way of a constant Q, that is, $\mathcal{E}_A = Q\mathcal{E}_a/\rho$. The contribution to the optical disturbance at P from the secondary sources on dS is, therefore,

$$dE = K \frac{\mathcal{E}_A}{\gamma} \cos \left[\omega t - k(\rho + r) \right] dS. \qquad (10.74)$$

The obliquity factor must vary slowly and may be assumed to be constant over a single Fresnel zone. To get dS as a function of r, begin with

$$dS = \rho \, d\varphi \, 2\pi (\rho \sin \varphi).$$

Applying the law of cosines, we get $\tau^2 = \rho^2 + (\rho + \tau_0)^2 - 2\rho(\rho + \tau_0)\cos\varphi.$ Upon differentiation this yields

 $2r\,dr = 2\rho(\rho + \tau_0)\sin\varphi\,d\varphi,$

with ρ and r_0 held constant. Making use of the value of $d\varphi$, we find that the area of the element is therefore $dS = 2\pi \frac{\rho}{(\rho + r_{c})} r dr.$

(10,75)

is

The disturbance arriving at
$$P$$
 from the *l*th zone
 $\mathcal{E}_{r,0} = \int f$

$$E_{l} = K_{l} 2\pi \frac{1}{(\rho + \tau_{0})} \int_{\tau_{l}} \cos \left[\omega l - k(\rho + \tau)\right] d\tau$$
Hence

$$E_{l} = \frac{-K_{l} \mathcal{E}_{A} \rho \lambda}{(\rho + \tau_{0})} [\sin (\omega l - k\rho - kr)]_{r=r_{0}}^{r=r_{0}}$$

Upon the introduction of $r_{l-1} = r_0 + (l-1)\lambda/2$ and $r_l =$ $r_0 + l\lambda/2$, the expression reduces (Problem 10.42) to

 $E_{t} = (-1)^{t+1} \frac{2K_{t} \mathcal{E}_{A} \rho \lambda}{(\rho + r_{0})} \sin \left[\omega t - k(\rho + r_{0})\right]. \quad (10.76)$

Observe that the amplitude of E_i alternates between positive and negative values, depending on whether i_i is odd or even. This means that the contributions from adjacent zones are out of phase and tend to cancel. It is here that the obliquity factor makes a crucial difference. As *l* increases, *θ* increases and *K* decreases, so that successive contributions do not in fact completely cancel each other. It is interesting to note that E_i/K_i is independent of any position variables. Although the

areas of each zone are almost equal, they do increase slightly as *l* increases, which means an increased number of emitters. But the mean distance from each zone to so increases, such that E_l/K_l remains constant (see zones

2.77) ays,

$$E = \frac{|E_1|}{2} + \left(\frac{|E_1|}{2} - |E_2| + \frac{|E_3|}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{|E_2|}{2} - |E_4| + \frac{|E_3|}{2}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{|E_{\infty}|}{2} - |E_{m-1}| + \frac{|E_{m}|}{2}\right) + \frac{|E_{m}|}{2}, \quad (10.78)$$

or as

$$E = |E_1| - \frac{|E_2|}{2} - \left(\frac{|E_3|}{2} - |E_3| + \frac{|E_4|}{2}\right)$$
(15)

$$-\left(\frac{|E_{m}|}{2} - |E_{m}| + \frac{|E_{m}|}{2}\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{|E_{m}| - 1|}{2} - |E_{m}| + \frac{|E_{m}| - 1|}{2}\right) - \frac{||E_{m}| - 1|}{2} + |E_{m}|.$$
(10.79)

There are now two possibilities: either $|E_t|$ is greater than the arithmetic mean of its two points that its the second state of the second sta than the arithmetic mean of its two neighbors $|E_{t-1}|$ and $|E_{t+1}|$, or it is less than that mean. This is really a question concerning the rate of change of $K(\theta)$. When

 $|E_t| > (|E_{t-1}| + |E_{t-1}|)/2$ each bracketed term is negative. It follows from Eq. (10.78) that

$$E < \frac{|E_1|}{|E_m|} + \frac{|E_m|}{|E_m|}$$
(10.80)

$$E > |E_1| - \frac{|E_2|}{2} - \frac{|E_{n-2}|}{2} + |E_m|.$$
 (10.81)

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 437

Since the obliquity factor goes from [to 0 over a great many zones, we can neglect any variation between adjacent zones, that is, $|E_1| = |E_2|$ and $|E_{m-1}| = |E_m|$. Expression (10.81), to the same degree of approximation, becomes

$$E > \frac{E_{\rm eff}}{2} + \frac{E_{\rm eff}}{2}$$
 (10.82)

we conclude from (10.80) and (10.82) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |E_{i}| = |E_{m}|$$

*...

$$E \approx \frac{1-u_1}{2} + \frac{1-w_1}{2}$$
, (10.83)

This same result is obtained when
$$|E_t| < (|E_{t-1}| + |E_{t+1}|)/2.$$

If the last term, $|E_m|$, in the series of Eq. (10.77) corresponds to an even m, the same procedure (Problem 10.44) leads to

$$E \approx \frac{|E_1|}{2} - \frac{|E_m|}{2}$$
, (10.84)

Freanel conjectured that the obliquity factor was such that the last contributing zone occurred at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$, that is,

$$K(\theta) = 0$$
 for $\pi/2 \le |\theta| \le \pi$.

In that case Eqs. (10.83) and (10.84) both reduce to
$$E\approx \frac{|E_1|}{2} \qquad (10.85)$$

when $|E_n|$ goes to zero, because $K_m(\pi/2) = 0$. Alterna-tively, using Kirchhoff's correct obliquity factor, we divide the entire spheric: I wave into zones with the last or mth zone surrounding O'. Now θ approaches $\pi, K_m(\pi) = 0$, $|E_n| = 0$, and once again $E \approx |E_i|/2$. The optical disturbance generated by the entire unobitructed wave-front is approximately equal to one half the contribution from the first source. the first zone.

If the primary wave were simply to propagate from S to P in a time t, it would have the form

$$E = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{(\rho + \tau_0)} \cos \left[\omega t - k(\rho + \tau_0)\right], \qquad (10.86)$$

Yet the disturbance synthesized from secondary wave-

The sum of the optical disturbances from all
$$m$$

at P is
 $E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_m$,
and since these alternate in sign, we can write

and since creat architect in age, we can refer

$$E = |E_i| - |E_2| + |E_3| - \cdots \pm |E_m|. \quad (10)$$
If *m* is odd, the series can be reformulated in two was
other as

lets, Eqs. (10.76) and (10.85), is $E = \frac{K_1 \mathcal{E}_A \rho \lambda}{(\rho + r_0)} \sin \left[\omega t - k(\rho + r_0)\right].$

(10.87)

These two equations must, however, he exactly equivalent, and we interpret the constants in Eq. (10.87) to make them so. Note that there is some latitude in how we do this. We prefer to have the obliquity factor equal to 1 in the forward direction, that is, $K_1 = 1$ (rather than 1/ λ), from which it follows that Q must be equal to 1/ λ . In that case, $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\lambda = \epsilon_{\alpha}$, which is fine dimensionally. Keep in mind that ϵ_{α} is the secondary-number concentence there with the must be more the net second dimensionally. Acep in mind that c_{α} is the secondary-wavelet source strength per unit area over the primary wavefront of radius ρ , and \mathcal{E}_0/ρ is the amplitude of that primary wave $\mathcal{E}_0(\rho)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{E}_0(\rho)I$. There is one other problem, and that is the $\pi/2$ phase difference between Eqs. (10.86) and (10.87). This can be accounted for if we are willing to assume that the secondary sources radiare one quarter of a wavelength out of phase with radiate one quarter of a wavelength out of phase with the primary wave (see Section 3.5.2).

We have found it necessary to modify the initial statement of the Huygens-Fresnel principle, but this should not distract us from our rather pragmatic reasons for using it, which are twofold. First, the Huygens-Fresnel theory can be shown to be an approximation of the Kirchhoff formulation and as such is no longer merely a contrivance. Second, it yields, in a fairly simple way, many predictions that are in fine agreement with experimental observations. Don't forget that it worked quite well in the Fraunhofer approximation.

10.3.2 The Vibration Curve

We now develop a graphic method for qualitatively analyzing a number of diffraction problems that arise predominantly from circularly symmetric configurations.

Imagine that the first, or polar, Fresnel zone in Fig. 10.47 is divided into N subzones by the intersection of spheres, centered on P, of radii

 $\tau_0 + \lambda/2N, \tau_0 + \lambda/N, \tau_0 + 3\lambda/2N, \ldots, \tau_0 + \lambda/2.$

Each subzone contributes to the disturbance at P, the Each subzone contributes to the disturbance at P, the resultant of which is of course just E_1 . Since the phase difference across the entire zone, from O to its edge, is π rad (corresponding to $\lambda/2$), each subzone is shifted by π/N rad. Figure 10.49 depicts the vector addition of the subzone phasors, where, for convenience, $N \approx$ 10. The chain of phasors deviates very slightly from the circle, because the obliquity factor shrinks each succes-sive amplitude. When the number of subzones is increased to infinity (i.e., $N \rightarrow \infty$), the polygon of vectors blends into a segment of a smooth spiral called a vibra-tion curve. For each additional Fresnel zone, the vibra-tion curve swings through one half-turm and a phase of π as it spirals inward. As shown in Fig. 10.50, the points tion curve swings through one half-turn and a phase of r as it spirals inward. As shown in Fig. 10.50, the points $O_i, Z_{21}, Z_{22}, Z_{33}, \ldots, O'$ on the spiral correspond to points $O_i, Z_{21}, Z_{22}, Z_{33}, \ldots, O'$, respectively, on the wavefront in Fig. 10.47. Each point Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m lies on the **periphery** of a zone, so each **point** Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m is separated by a half-turn. We will see later, in Eq. (10.91), that the radius of each zone is proportional to the square root of its numerical designation, m. The radius of the hundredth zone will be only 10 times that



Figure 10.49 Phasor add



Figure 10.50 The vibration curve

of the first zone. Initially, therefore, the angle θ increases rapidly, the thereafter it gradually slows down as *m* becomes larger. Accordingly, $K(\theta)$ decreases rapidly only for the first few zones. The result is that as the spiral circulates around with increasing *m*, it



Figure 10.51 Wavefront and corresponding vibration curve,

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 439

becomes tighter and tighter, deviating from a circle by

a smaller amount for each revolution. Keep in mind that the spiral is made up of an infinite number of phasors, each shifted by a small phase angle. The relative phase between any two disturbances at P_i coming from two points on the wavefront, say O and A_i can be depicted as shown in Fig. 10.51. The angle made by the tangents to the vibration curve, at points made by the tangents to the vioration curve, at points O_{α} and A_{α} is β , and this is the desired phase difference. If the point A is considered to lie on the boundary of a cap-shaped region of the wavefront, the resultant at P from the whole region is $\overline{O_{A_{\alpha}}}$ at an angle δ . The total disturbance arriving at P from an unimpeded wave is the sum of the contributions from all the zones between O and O'. The length of the vector from O in O' is therefore precisely that amplitude. Note that

 O_i to O_i is therefore precisely that amplitude. Note that as expected, the amplitude O_iO_i is just about one half the contribution from the first zone, $O_iZ_{i,1}$. Observe that $\overline{O_iO_i}$ has a phase of 90° with respect to the wave arriving O_{O} , has a phase of 90 with respect to the wave arriving at P from O. A wavelet emitted at O in phase with the primary excitation gets to P still in phase with the primary wave. This means that $\overline{O_{O}}$ is 90° out of phase with the ucobstructed primary wave. This, as we have seen, is one of the shortcomings of the Fresnel formu-tation. lation



10.3.3 Circular Apertures

i) Spherical Waves

Fresnel's procedure, applied to a point source, can be used as a semiquantitative method to study diffraction at a circular aperture. Envision a monochromatic spherical wave impinging on a screen containing a small hole, as illustrated in Fig. 10.52. We first record the The symmetry axis. Our intention is to be a set of the symmetry axis. Our intention is to move the sensor around in space and so get a point-by-point map

of the irradiance of the region beyond Σ . Let us assume that the sensor at P "sees" an integral number of zones, m, filling the aperture. In actuality, the sensor merely records the irradiance at P, the zones having no reality. If m is even, then since $K_m = 0$,

 $E = (|E_1| - |E_2|) + (|E_3| - |E_4|) + \dots + (|E_{n-1}| - |E_n|)$ Because each adjacent contribution is nearly equal,

E 0

and $I \approx 0$. If, on the other hand, *m* is odd, $E = |E_1| - \langle |E_2| - |E_3| \rangle$

 $-(|E_4| - |E_5|) - \cdots - (|E_{n-1}| - |E_0|)$

Figure 10.52 A circular aperture

$E \approx |E_1|$

and

 $E \approx |F_{1}|$, which is roughly twice the amplitude of the unobstruc-ted wave. This is truly an amazing result. By inserting a screen in the path of the wave, thereby blocking our most of the wavefront, we have increased the irradiance at *P* by a factor of four. Conservation of energy clearly demands that there be other points where the irradiance has decreased. Because of the complete symmetry of the setup, we can expect a circular ring pattern. If **m** is not an integer (i.e., a fraction of a zone appears in the aperture), the irradiance at *P* is somewhere between zero and its maximum value. You might see this all **a** bit more clearly if you imagine that the aperture **s** expanding smoothly from an initial value of nearly zero the amplitude at *P* can be determined from the vibra zero and its maximum value. You might see this all a bit more clearly if you imagine that the aperture & expanding smoothly from an initial value of nearly zero. The amplitude at P can be determined from the vibro tion curve, where A is any point on the edge of the hole. The phasor magnitude O_A , is the desired ampli-tude of the optical field. Return to Fig. 10.51; as the hole increases, A, moves counterclockwise around the spiral toward Z₁ and a maximum. Allowing the second zone in reduces O_A to $O_{Z_{o_2}}$, which is nearly zero, and P becomes a dark spot. As the aperture increases, O_A oscillates in length from nearly zero to a number el Allowing the second one in reduces O_A to $O_{A,0}$ which is nearly zero, and oscillates in length from nearly zero to a number of successive maxima, which themselves gradually 10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 441

maxima and minima. Figure 10.54 shows the diffraction maxima and minima. Figure 10.54 shows the diffraction patterns for a number of holes ranging in diameter from 1 mm to 4 mm as they appear on a screen 1 m away. Starting from the top left and noving right, the first four holes are so small that only a fraction of the first zone is uncovered. The sixth hole uncovers the first and second zones and is therefore black at its center. The ninth hole uncovers the first three zones and is once again bright at its center. Notice that even slightly heared the competic challed at 1 a first 10.55 the once again bright at its center. Notice that even sightly beyond the geometric shadow at P_s in Fig. 10.53, the first zone is partially uncovered. Each of the last few contributing segments is only a small fraction of its respective zone and as such is negligible. The sum of all the amplitudes of the fractional zones, although small, is therefore still finite. Further into the geometric backets for some the action for zone is obstrued the shadow, however, the entire first zone is obscured, the

last terms are again negligible, and this time the series does indeed go to zero and darkness. We can gain a better appreciation of the actual size of the things we are dealing with hy computing the number of zones in a given aperture. The area of each zone (from Prohlem 10.43) is given by

 $A = \frac{\mu}{(\rho + r_0)} \pi r_0 \lambda.$ (10.88)

.02 ...

deocase. Finally, when the hole is fairly large, the wave

decaye. Finally, when the hole is fairly large, the wave is excitably unobstructed, A, approaches O_{c}^{*} and fur-ite changes in OA, are imperceptible. To map the rest of the pattern, we now move the start along any line perpendicular to the axis, as shown in Fig. 10.55. At P we assume that two complete zones is a longer term. At P_{c} ago fraction of the second is no longer term. At P_{c} ago fraction of the second rune shidden, whereas the third is even more evident. Since the contributions from the first and third zones in homes, the sensor, placed anywhere on the dotted

Since the contributions rolated in the first which control the sensor, placed anywhere on the dotted circle passing through P_{xx} , records a bright spot. As it moves radially outward and portions of successive zones uncovered, the sensor detects a series of relative

Figure 10.53 Zones in a circular

•

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 443

and so

Eigure 10.55 Plane waves incident on a circular hole.

as well.

DPlane Wayes

fraction of a zone appears in the aperture, Fraunhofer fiftraction occurs. This is essentially a restatement of the Fraunhofer condition of Section 10.1.2; see Problem

It as well. It follows from Eq. (10.89) that the number of zones then the aperture depends on the distance r_0 from Por 0.48 P moves in either direction along the central axis, the number of uncovered zones, whether increas-ling or decreasing, oscillates between odd and even integers. As a result, the irradiance goes through a series of maxima and minima. Clearly, this does not occur in the Fraunhofer configuration, where by definition, more than one zone cannot appear in the aceture.⁸

more than one zone cannot appear in the aperture."

Suppose now that the point source has been moved so by from the diffracting screen that the incoming light pupped now that the point source has been moved so the from the diffracting screen that the incoming light to be regarded as a plane wave $(\rho \rightarrow \infty)$. Referring to Fig. 10.55, we derive an expression for the radius of the mth zone, $R_{\rm m}$. Since $r_{\rm m} = r_0 + m\lambda/2$.

 $R_m^2 = (r_0 + m\lambda/2)^2 - r_0^2,$

"It's Burch, "**Pres**uel Diffraction by a Circular Aperture," Am. J. The 53, 255 (1985).

(10.90)

 $R_m^2 = mr_0\lambda + m^2\lambda^2/4.$

Under most circumstances the second term in Eq. (10.90) is negligible as long as m is not extremely large; consequently

$R_m^2 = m r_{\rm D} \lambda$, (10.91)

and the radii are proportional to the square roots of integers. and the radii are proportional to the square roots of integers. Using a collimated H-c-Ne laser ($\Lambda_0 = 632.8$ nm), the radius of the first zone is 1 mm when viewed from a distance of 1.58 m. Under these particular conditions Eq. (10.91) is applicable as long as $m \ll 10^7$, in which case $R_m = \sqrt{m}$ in millimeters. Figure 10.53 requires a slight modification in that now the lines O_1P_1, O_2P_2 , and $\overline{O_2P_2}$ are perpendiculars dropped from the points of observation to Σ .

10.3.4 Circular Obstacles

In 1818 Fresnel entered a competition sponsored by the French Academy. His paper on the theory of diffrac-tion ultimately won first prize and the title *Mémoire Cournoné*, but not until it had provided the basis for a rather interesting story. The judging committee con-sisted of Pierre Laplace, Jean B. Biot, Siméon D. Poisson, Dominique F. Arago, and Joseph L. Gay-Lussac—a formidable group indeed. Poisson, who was an ardent critic of the wave description of light, deduced a remarkable and seemingly untenable conclusion from Fresnel's theory. He showed that a bright spot would be visible at the center of the shadow of a circular opaque obstacle, a result that he felt proved the absurdity of Fresnel's treatment. We can come to the same con-clusion by considering the following, somewhat over-Freshes's treatment, we can come to the same con-dusion by considering the following, somewhat over-simplified argument. Recall that an unobstructed wave yields a disturbance (10.85) given by $\mathcal{F} = |E_I|/2$. If some sort of obstacle precisely covers the first Fresnel zone, so that its contribution of $|E_I|$ is subtracted out, then $\mathcal{E} \approx -|E_I|/2$. It is therefore possible that at some point P on the axis, the irradiance will be unaltered by the insertion of that obstruction. This suprefixing need/citou insertion of that obstruction. This surprising prediction, fashioned by Poisson as the death blow to the wave theory, was almost immediately verified experimentally



If the aperture has a radius R, a good approximation of the number of zones within it is simply

 $\frac{\pi R^2}{=} \frac{(\rho + r_0)R^2}{(\rho + r_0)R^2}.$ (10.89) A $\rho r_0 \lambda$

For example, with a point source 1 m behind the apgrature ($\rho \approx 1$ m), a plane of observation 1 m in front of it ($\tau_0 = 1$ m), and $\lambda = 500$ nm, there are 4 zones when R = 1 mm, and 400 zones when R = 1 cm. When bolt ρ and τ_0 are increased to the point where only a and

Figure 10.54 Diffraction patternation circular apertures of increasing size.

by Arago; the spot actually existed. Amusingly enough, Poisson's spot, as it is now called, had been observed many years earlier (1723) by Maraldi, but this work had long gone unnoticed.*

We now examine the problem a bit more closely, since is quite evident from Fig. 10.56 that there is a good deal of structure in the actual shadow pattern. If the opaque obstacle, be it a disk or sphere, obscures the first & zones, then

 $E = |E_{\ell+1}| - |E_{\ell+2}| + \dots + |E_m|$

(where, as before, there is no absolute significance to the signs other than that alternate terms must subtract). Unlike the analysis for the circular aperture, E_m now

* See J. E. Harvey and J. L. Forgham, "The Spot of Arago: New Relevance for an Old Phenomenon," Am. J. Phys. 52, 243 (1984).



Figure 10.56 Shadow of a 1/8-inch diameter ball bearing. The bear-ing was glued to an ordinary microscope slide and illuminated with a Ha-Ne laserbarm. There are some faint extraneous nonconcentric fringes arising from both the microscope slide and a lens in the beam. (Photo by E. H.)



Figure 10.57 The vibration curve applied to a circular obstruction

approaches zero, because $K_m = 0$. The series must be evaluated in the same manner as that of the unobstruc-ted wave (10.78 and 10.79). Repeating that proceeding vields (m)

$$E = \frac{|E_{\ell+1}|}{2}$$
, (10.9g)

and the irradiance on the central axis is generally only slightly less than that of the unobstructed wave. Ther is a bright spot everywhere along the central axis excep-immediately behind the circular obstacle. The wavelet Immetiately before the circular obstacle. The wavege propagating beyond the disk's circumference meet in phase on the central axis. Notice that as P moves dog, to the disk', θ increases, $K_{ent} = 0$, and the irradiancy gradually falls off to zero. If the disk is large, the $\langle \xi^2 \rangle$ lyhz one is very narrow, and any irregularities in the obstacle's surface may seriously obscure that zone. Foo Poisson's spot to be readily observable, the obstacle mut be smooth and circular. be smooth and circular.

be smooth and circular. If A is a point on the periphery of the disk or sphere A, is the corresponding point on the vibration curve (Fig. 10.57). As the disk increases for a fixed P, A, spiral in counterclockwise toward O, and the amplitude A.O gradually decreases. The same thing happens as the moves toward a disk of constant size. Off the axis, the zones covered in Fig. 10.58 for the circular aperture will now be exposed and vice versa Accordingly, a whole series of concentric bright and dark rings will surround the central spot.

The opaque disk images S at P and would similarly m a crude image of every point in an extended mce. R. W. Pohl has shown that a small disk can refore be used as a crude positive lens.

The diffraction pattern can be seen with little fractily, but you need a telescope or binoculars. Glue and ball bearing ($=\frac{1}{8}$ or $\frac{1}{4}$ inch in diameter) to a forcescope slide, which then serves as a handle. Place bearing a few meters beyond the point source and berry it from 3 or 4 meters away. Position it so that is directly in front of and completely obscuring the The function τ will need the telescope to magnify the image, since τ_0 is so large. If you can hold the telescope tready, the ring system should be quite clear.

10.3.5 The Fresnel Zone Plate

In our previous considerations we utilized the fact that groessive Fresnel zones tended to nullify each other. This suggests that we will observe a tremendous increase finiradiance at P, if we remove either all the even or all the odd zones. A screen that alters the light, eithe in amplitude or phase, coming from every other half-period zone is called a **zone plate**.*

Suppose that we construct a zone plate that passes only the first 20 odd zones and obstructs the even zones.

$$E = E_1 + E_3 + E_5 + \dots + E_{39},$$

and each of these terms is approximately equal. For an gnobstructed wavefront, the disturbance at P would be $E_1/2$, whereas with the zone plate in place, $E = 20E_1$. The irradiance has been increased by a factor of 1600. The same result would obviously be true if the even zones were passed instead. To calculate the radii of the zones shown in Fig. 10.58,

refer to Fig. 10.59. The outer edge of the mth zone is marked by the point A_m . By definition, a wave that travels the path $S-A_m-P$ must arrive out of phase by

Ford Rayleigh seems to have invented the zone plate, as witnessed of this entry of April 11, 1871, in his notebook: "The experiment of soking out the odd Huygens and so as to increase the light at "intre succeeded very well...."





(c

Figure 10.58 (a) and (b) Zone plates. (c) A zone plate used to image alpha particles coming from a target 1 cm in front, on photographic film 5 cm behind. The plate is 2.5 mm in diameter and contains 100 zones, the narrowest of which is 5.5 µm wide. (Photo courtesy Lawrence Livermore Laboratory.)

 $m\lambda/2$ with a wave that traverses the path S-O-P, that is, $(\rho_m + r_m) - (\rho_0 + r_0) = m\lambda/2.$ (10.93)

 $\chi_{rm} \rightarrow \omega_{rm} / (p_0 + r_0) = mn/2.$ (10.93) Clearly $\rho_m = (R_m^2 + \rho_0^2)^{1/2}$ and $r_m = (R_m^2 + r_0^2)^{1/2}$. Expand both these expressions using the binomial series. Since R_m is comparatively small, retaining only the first two terms yields

 ρ_n

$$r_{m} = \rho_{0} + \frac{R_{m}^{2}}{2\rho_{0}}$$
 and $r_{m} = r_{0} + \frac{R_{m}^{2}}{2r_{0}}$.

Finally, substituting into Eq. (10.93), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\rho_0} + \frac{1}{r_0}\right) = \frac{m\lambda}{R_m^2}.$$

(10.94)

(10.91]

(10.95)

Under plane-wave illumination ($\rho_0 \rightarrow \infty$), and Eq. (10.94) reduces to

$$R_m^2 = mr_0\lambda$$
,

which is an approximation of the exact expression stated by Eq. (10.90). Equation (10.94) has a form identical to that of the thin-lens equation, which is not merely a coincidence, since S is actually imaged in converging diffracted light at P. Accordingly, the *primary focal length* is said to be

$$f_1 = \frac{R_m^2}{m\lambda}$$

(Note that the zone plate will show extensive chromatic aberration.) The points S and P are said to be conjugate foci. With a collimated incident beam (Fig. 10.60) the image distance is the primary or *fist-order* focal length, which in turn corresponds to a principal maximum in the irradiance distribution. In addition to this real image, there is also a virtual image formed of diverging light a distance f_1 in front of Σ . At a distance of f_1 from Σ each ring on the plate is filled by exactly one half-period zone on the wavefront. If we move a sensor along the S-P axis toward Σ , it registers a series of very small irradiance maxima and minima until it arrives at a point $f_1/3$ from Σ . At that third-order focal point, there



Figure 10.59 Zone-plate geometry.



Figure 10.60 Zone-plate foci

is a pronounced irradiance peak. Additional focal points will exist at 1/15, 1/7, and so forth, unlike a lens but even more unlike a simple opaque disk. Following a suggestion by Lord Rayleigh, R. W. Wood

Following a suggestion by Lord Rayleigh, R. W. Wood constructed a phass-neural come plate. Instead of blocking out every other zone, he increased the thickness of alternate zones, thereby retarding their phase by π . Since the entire plate is transparent, the amplitude should double, and the irradiance increase by a factor of four. In actuality, the device does not work quite that well, because the phase is not really constant over each zone. Ideally, the retardation should be made to vary gradually over a zone, jumping back by π at the start of the next zone.⁴ The usual way to make an optical zone plate is to

The usual way to make an optical zone plate is to draw a large-scale version and then photographically reduce it. Plates with hundreds of zones can be made by photographing a Newton's ring pattern, in collimated quasimonechromatic light. Rings of aluminum foil on cardboard work very well for microwaves.

*Sec Ditchburn, Light, 2nd ed., p. 232; M. Sussman, "Elementary Diffraction Theory of Zonc Plates," An. J. Phys. 28, 394 (1960), Ora E. Wers, Ir., "Studies of Transmission Zone Plates," Am. J. Phys. 19, 359 (1951); and J. Higher, "Freshel Zone Plate: Anomalous Foci," Am. J. Phys. 44, 292 (1975). Zone plates can be made of metal with a selfsupporting spoked structure, so that the transparent regions are devoid of any material. These will function as lenses in the range from ultraviolet to soft x-rays, where ordinary glass is opaque.

10.3.6 Fresnel Integrals and the Rectangular Aperture

We now consider a class of problems within the domain of Fresnel diffraction, which no longer have the circular symmetry of the **previously** studied configurations. **Consider** Fig. 10.61 **where** dS is an area element situated at some arbitrary point A whose coordinates are (y, 2). Jfhe location of the origin O is determined by a perpendicular drawn to Σ from the position of the monochromatic point source. The contribution to the optical disturbance at P from the secondary sources on dS has the form given by Eq. (10.74). Making use of what we learned from the freely propagating wave ($\mathcal{E}_A \rho \lambda = \mathcal{E}_0$), we can rewrite that equation as



Figure 10.61 Fresnel diffraction at a rectangular aperture,

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 447

$dE_{\rho} = \frac{K(\theta)E_0}{\rho\tau\lambda} \cos\left[k(\rho+r) - \omega t\right] dS. \qquad (10.96)$

The sign of the phase has changed from that of Eq. (10.74) and is written in this way to conform with traditional treatment. In the case where the dimensions of the aperture are small in comparison to p_0 and r_0 , we can set $K(\theta) = 1$ and let $1/pr = cual 1/p_{0.76}$ in the amplitude coefficient. Being more careful about approximations introduced into the phase, apply the Pythagorean theorem to triangles SOA and POA to get

$$\rho = (\rho_0^2 + y^2 + z^2)^2$$

and

Expa

$$r = (r_0^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}.$$

$$\rho + r = \rho_0 + r_0 + (y^2 + z^2) \frac{\rho_0 + r_0}{2\rho_0 \tau_0}.$$
 (10.97)

Observe that this is a more sensitive approximation than that used in the Fraunhofer analysis (10.40), where the terms quadratic and higher in the aperture variables were neglected. The disturbance at P in the complex representation is

$$E_{p} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{0}e^{-i\omega t}}{\rho_{0}r_{0}\lambda} \int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} e^{ik(\rho+\gamma)} \, dy \, dz.$$
(10.98)

Following the usual form of derivation, we introduce the dimensionless variables u and v defined by

$$= y \left[\frac{2(\rho_0 + r_0)}{\lambda \rho_0 r_0} \right]^{1/2}, \qquad v = z \left[\frac{2(\rho_0 + r_0)}{\lambda \rho_0 r_0} \right]^{1/2},$$
(10.99)

Substituting Eq. (10.97) into Eq. (10.98) and utilizing the new variables, we arrive at

$$E_{p} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{0}}{\underline{\Psi}(p_{0} + \tau_{0})} e^{i[k(p_{0} + \tau_{0}) - \omega t]} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} e^{i\pi u^{2}/2} du \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{0}} e^{i\pi v^{2}/2} dv.$$
(10.100)

The term in front of the integral represents the unobstructed disturbance at *P* divided by 2; let us call it $E_{\nu}/2$. The integral itself can be evaluated using two functions, $\mathscr{C}(w)$ and $\mathscr{I}(w)$, where *w* represents either *u* or *v*. These

quantities, which are known as the Fresnel integrals, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{C}(w) &= \int_{0}^{w} \cos(\pi w'^{2}/2) \, dw', \\ \mathscr{S}(w) &= \int_{0}^{w} \sin(\pi w'^{2}/2) \, dw'. \end{aligned} \tag{10.101}$$

Both functions have been extensively studied, and their numerical values are well tabulated. Their interest to us at this point derives from the fact that

$$\int_{0}^{w} e^{i\pi w'^{2}/2} dw' = \mathscr{C}(w) + i\mathscr{G}(w),$$

and this, in turn, has the form of the integrals in Eq. (10.100). The disturbance at P is then

$$E_p = \frac{E_u}{2} \left[\mathscr{C}(u) - i\mathscr{G}(u) \right]_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\mathscr{C}(v) + i\mathscr{G}(v) \right]_{u_1}^{u_2}, \quad (10.102)$$

 $E_p = \frac{-2}{2} [\mathscr{C}(u) = i\mathscr{G}(u)]_{i_1}^{u_1} (\mathscr{C}(v) + i\mathscr{G}(v))_{i_2}^{u_1}, \quad (10.102)$ which can be evaluated using the tabulated values of $\mathscr{C}(u_1)$, $\mathscr{C}(u_2)$, $\mathscr{G}(u_1)$, and so on. The mathematics becomes rather involved if we compute the disturbance at all points of the plane of observation, leaving the position of the aperture fixed. Instead we will fix the $S - O_P$ line and imagine that we move the aperture through small displacements in the Σ -plane. This has the effect of translating the origin O with respect to the fixed aperture, thereby scanning the pattern over the point P Each new position of O corresponds to a new set of relative boundary locations y_1, y_1, s_1 , and y_2 , which, when substituted into Eq. (10.102), yield a new ξ_D . The error encountered in such a procedure is negli-pite, as long as the aperture is displaced by distances that are small compared with ρ_0 . This approach is there-fore even more appropriate to incident plane waves. In that case if ξ_0 is the amplitude of the incoming plane wave at Σ_0 , Eq. (10.96) becomes simply.

$$\begin{split} dE_p &= \frac{E_0 K(\theta)}{\kappa \lambda} \cos \left(kr - \omega t\right) dS, \\ \text{where, as before, } \mathcal{E}_A &= E_0 / \lambda. \text{ This time, with} \\ u &= y \left(\frac{2}{\lambda \tau_0}\right)^{1/2}, \quad v = z \left(\frac{2}{\lambda \tau_0}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (10.103) \end{split}$$

where we have divided the numerator and denom in Eq. (10.99) by ρ_0 and then let it go to infini-takes the same form as Eq. (10.102), where E_a is the unobstructed disturbance. The irradiance a $E_p E_p^*/2$ (keep in mind that E_a is complex): hence

 $I_{p} = \frac{I_{0}}{4} \{ [\mathscr{C}(u_{2}) - \mathscr{C}(u_{1})]^{2} + [\mathscr{G}(u_{2}) - \mathscr{G}(u_{1})]^{2} \}$

 $\times \{ [\mathscr{C}(v_2) - \mathscr{C}(v_1)]^2 + [\mathscr{G}(v_2) - \mathscr{G}(v_1)]^2 \},\$

where I_0 is the unobstructed irradiance at P, Where r_0 is the Unostructed Irradiance at P_* As a simple example, envison a square hole 24 on each side under plane-wave illumination at 500 If P is 4 m away and directly opposite point O w to center of the aperture, $w_0 = 1.0$, $w_0 = 1.0$, and $u_0 = -1.0$. The Presoel integrals are both odd fun-tions, that is tions, that is,

 $\mathscr{C}(w) = -\mathscr{C}(-w)$ and $\mathscr{G}(w) = -\mathscr{G}(-w);$ consequently

 $I_{p} = \frac{I_{0}}{4} \{ [2 \mathcal{C}(1)]^{2} + [2 \mathcal{C}(1)]^{2} \}^{2},$

and a numerical value is easily obtained. To find the and a numerical value is easily obtained. To find irradiance somewhere else in the pattern, for examp 0.1 mm to the left of center, move the aperture rela-to the OP-line accordingly, whereupon $u_2 = 1.1$, $u_1 = -0.9$, $v_2 = 1.0$, and $v_3 = -1.0$. The resultant I_p will be equal to that found at 0.1 mm to the right of cent Indeed, because the aperture is square, the same van obtains 0.1 mm directly above and below center as $u_1^{(1)}$ (Fig. 10.62).

We can approach the limiting case of free propaga by allowing the aperture dimensions to fine indefinitely. Making use of the fact that $\mathscr{C}(\infty) = \mathscr{D}(\infty)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathscr{C}(-\infty) = \mathscr{G}(-\infty) = -\frac{1}{2}$ the irradiance at *P*, opposition the center of the aperture, is

$I_{p} = I_{0},$

which is exactly correct. This is rather remarkable, ex-sidering that when the length OA is large, all the approximations made in the derivation are no longer applicable. It should be realized, however, that a rel-tively small aperture satisfying the approximations e-still be large enough to effectively show no diffraction



10.62 (a) A typical Fresnel pattern for a square aperture. A series of Fresnel patterns for increasing square apertures identical conditions. Note that as the hole gets larger, the (b)-(f) A

(d)

in the region opposite its center. For example, with $b_0 = r_0 = 1$ m an aperture that subtends an angle of bout 1° or 2° at P may correspond to values of |u| and 9 of roughly 25 to 50. The quantities \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{P} are then very close to their limiting values of $\frac{1}{2}$. Further ncreases in the aperture dimensions beyond the point there the approximations are violated can therefore fitroduce only a small error. This implies that we need of be very concerned about restricting the actual aperre size (as long as $\hat{r}_0 \gg \lambda$ and $\rho_0 \gg \lambda$). The contribu-ns from wavefront regions remote from O must be Ú.

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 449





15

pattern changes from a spread-out Fraunhofer-like distribution to a far more localized structure. (Photos by E. H.)

quite small, a condition attributable to the obliquity factor and the inverse r-dependence of the amplitude of the secondary wavelets.

10.3.7 The Cornu Spiral

Marie Alfred Cornu (1841–1902), professor at the École Polytechnique in Paris, devised an elegant geometrical depiction of the Fresnel integrals, akin to the vibration curve already considered. Figure 10.63, which is known



as the Cornu spiral, is a plot in the complex plane of the points $B(w) \equiv \mathscr{C}(w) + i\mathscr{P}(w)$ as w takes on all possible values from 0 to $\pm\infty$. This just means that we plot $\mathscr{C}(w)$ on the horizontal or real axis and $\mathscr{S}(w)$ on the vertical or imaginary axis. The appropriate numerical values are taken from Table 10.2. If $d\ell$ is an element of arc length measured along the curve, then

$$d\ell^2 = d\mathcal{C}^2 + d\mathcal{G}$$

From the definitions (10.101), $d\ell^2 = (\cos^2 \pi w^2/2 + \sin^2 \pi w^2/2) \; dw^2$

and

 $d\ell = dw.$ Values of w correspond to the arc length and are marked off along the spiral in Fig. 10.63. As w Figure 10.63 The Cornu spiral,

approaches $\pm \infty$, the curve spirals into its limiting value at $B^+ = \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{1}{2}$ and $B^- = -\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{1}{2}$. The slope of the spiral

 $\frac{d\mathcal{G}}{d\mathcal{C}} = \frac{\sin \pi w^2/2}{\cos \pi w^2/2} = \tan \frac{\pi w^2}{2},$

and so the angle between the tangent to the spiral any point and the C-axis is $\beta = \pi w^2/2$.

tool for quantitative picture of a diffraction pattern (which was also the case with the vibration curve).

(which was also the case with the vibration curve), at an example of its quantitative uses, reconsider the problem of a 2-mm-square hole, dealt with in the previous section ($\lambda = 500 \text{ nm}$, $r_0 = 4 \text{ m}$, and plane-wave illuming tion). We wish to find the irradiance at P direct opposite the aperture's center, where in this case u_i deforms the sector of the

-

The Cornu spiral can be used either as a convenient

(10.105)

is

mble 10. %(w)
0.5261
0.5673
0.4914
0.4338
0.5002 \$\vert w) 0.4342 0.5162 0.5672 9(u 4.50 4.60 4.70 4.80 4.90 10.00 (0.10 (0.20 (0.30 (0.40 0.0000 0.1000 0.1999 0.2994 0.3975 0.0000 0.0005 0.0042 0.0141 0.0334 0.4968 0.4350 0.4923 0.5811 0.6597 0.7280 0.7648 0.0647 0.1105 0.1721 0.2493 5.00 5.05 5.10 5.15 5.20 0,5637 0.5450 0.4998 0.4992 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 0.5624 0.4553 0.5427 0.4969 0.3398 0.7799 0.7638 0.7154 0.6386 0.5431 0.4383 0.5365 0.6234 0.6863 0.7135 5.25 5.30 5.35 5.40 5.45 0.4610 0.5078 0.5490 0.5578 0.4536 1.00 1.10 1.20 1.90 1.40 0.4405 0.5140 0.5269 1.50 1.60 1.70 1.80 1.90 0.4453 0.6975 0.6389 5.50 5.55 0.4784 0.5537 0.5181 0.4700 0.4441 0.4595 0.4456 5.60 5.65 5.70 0.4450 0.4517 0.4926 0.5385 0.3238 0.5492 0.8956 0.4508 0.3734 0.5049 0.5461 0.5513 0.5163 0.4688 2.00 2.10 2.20 2.30 2.40 0.4882 0.3434 5.75 0.5551 0.3743 0.4557 0.5531 0.6197 5.80 5.85, 5.90 5.95 0.5298 0.4819 0.4486 0.4566 0.5815 0.6266 0.5550 0.4470 0.4689 0.5165 0.5496 0:5398 0,4995 0.5424 0.5495 0.5146 0.4676 0.6192 0.5500 0.4529 0.3915 0.4101 6.00 6.05 6.10 6.15 6.20 2.50 2.60 2.70 2.80 2.90 0.4574 0.3890 0.3925 0.4675 0.5624 3.00 3.10 3.20 3.90 3.90 3.40 0.6058 0.5616 0.4664 0.4058 0.4385 0.4963 0.5818 0.5933 6.25 6.30 6.35 0.4493 0.4954 0.5240 0.4560 0.5192 6.40 6.45 0.5496 0.5292 0.4965 0.5898 0.4296 0.5454 0.5078 0.4631 0.4549 0.4915 \$.50 \$.60 \$.70 \$.80 \$.90 6.50 6.55 5.60 6.65 6.70 0.5326 0.4152 0.4816 0.4152 0.4923 0.5750 0.5656 0.4752 0.4520 0.4690 0.5161 0.5467 0.5880 0.4481 0.4223 4.00 4.10 4.20 4.30 6.75 6.80 6.85 6.90 0.5302 0.4831 0.4539 0.4792 0.5362 0.5436 0.5060 0.4984 0.5738 0.5418 0.4494 0.4383 0.4204 0.4758 0.5633 0.5540 0.4624 0.4591 0.4622 0.5207

Fresnel integrals

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 454

-1.0 and $u_0 = 1.0$. The variable u is measured along -1.0 and $u_e = 1.0$. The variable u is measured along the arc; that is, u is replaced by u on the spiral. Place two points on the spiral at distances from O, equal to u_1 and u_2 . (These are symmetrical with respect to O, because P is now opposite the aperture's center.) Label the two points $B_1(u)$ and $B_2(u)$, respectively, as in Fig. 10.64. The phasor $B_{12}(u)$ drawn from $B_1(u)$ to $B_2(u)$ is just the opendar number $B_1(u) = B_1(u) = 0$. just the complex number $B_2(u) - B_1(u)$,

$\mathbf{B}_{12}(u) = [\mathscr{C}(u) + i \mathscr{G}(u)]_{u_1}^{u_2},$

and is the first term in the expression (10.102) for E_p . Similarly for $v_1 = -1.0$ and $v_2 = 1.0$, $B_2(v) - B_1(v)$ is

$\mathbf{B}_{12}(v) = [\mathscr{C}(v) + i\mathscr{G}(v)]_{v_1}^{v_2},$

which is the latter portion of E_p . The magnitudes of these two complex numbers are just the lengths of the appropriate \mathbf{B}_{12} -phasors, which can be read off the curve with a ruler, using either axis as a scale. The irradiance is then simply

 $I_p = \frac{I_0}{4} |\mathbf{B}_{12}(u)|^2 |\mathbf{B}_{12}(v)|^2,$ (10.106)

and the problem is solved. Notice that the arc lengths along the spiral (i.e., $\Delta u = u_2 - u_1$ and $\Delta v = v_2 - v_1$) are proportional to the aperture's overall dimensions in the y- and z-direction, respectively. The arc lengths are therefore constant, regardless of the position of P in the plane



of observation. On the other hand, the phasors $B_{12}(u)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{12}(v)$, which span the arc lengths, are not constant

and $B_{-4}(v)$, which span the arc lengths, are not constant, and they do depend on the location of P. Maintaining the position of P opposite the center of the diffracting hole, now suppose that the aperture size is adjustable. As the square hole is gradually oppened, Δv and Δu increase accordingly. The endpoints B_1 and B_2 of either of these arc lengths spiral around counter-clockwise toward their limiting values of B^- and B^+ , respectively. The chapter B_1 (u_1 which B_2 (u_2), which are respectively. The phasors $\mathbf{B}_{12}(u)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{12}(v)$, which are identical in **this** instance because of the symmetry, pass through a series of extrema. The central **spot** in the pattern therefore gradually shifts from relative brightness to darkness and back. All the while, the entire irradiance distribution varies continually from one beautifully intricate display to the next (Fig. 10.62). For any particular aperture size, the off-center diffraction to your cosh a performance size, the on-center diffraction pattern can be computed by repositioning P. It is helpful to visualize the arc length as a piece of string, whose measure is equal to either Δv or Δu . Imagine it lying on the spiral, with O_i initially at **its midpoint**. As P is moved, for example, to the left along the y-axis (Fig. 10.61), y₁ and therefore u_1 both become less **neg**ative, and ye and up increase positively. The result is that our Au-string slides up the spiral. As the distance between the endpoints of the Δu -string changes, [B₁₈(u)] changes, and the irradiance (10,106) varies accordingly. When **P** is at the left edge of the geometric shadow, $y_i = u_i = 0$. As the point of observation moves into the geometric shadow, u_i increases *positively*, and the Δu_i string is now entirely on the upper half of the Cornu sting is how entirely on the upper half of the Cornu spiral. As u_1 and u_2 continue to increase, the string winds ever more tightly about the B^{-1} -limit. Its ends, B_1 and B_2 , become closer to each other, with the result that $|B_{12}(u)|$ becomes quite small, and I_2 decreases within the geometric shadow region. (We will come back to this point in more detail in the next section.) The same process applies when we scan in the z-direction; A_{12} is constant and B_1 div varies. Δv is constant and $B_{12}(v)$ varies.

Let is constant and $B_{12}(v)$ varies. If the aperture is completely opened out, revealing an unobstructed wave, $u_1 = v_1 = -\infty$, which means that $B_1(u) = B_1(v) = B^-$ and $B_2(u) = B_2(v) = B^+$. The B^-B^- -line makes a 4.6° angle with the *G*-axis and has a length equal to $\sqrt{2}$. Consequently, the phasors $B_{12}(u)$ and $B_{12}(v)$ each have magnitude $\sqrt{2}$ and phase $\pi/4$, that

Figure 10.65 Cylindrical wavefront zones

is, $\mathbf{B}_{12}(u) = \sqrt{2} \exp(i\pi/4)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{12}(v) = \sqrt{2} \exp(i\pi/4)$ follows from Eq. (10.102) that

 $E_{b} = E_{c} e^{i \pi/2}$ 110.102

and as in Section 10.3.1, we have the unobstructed amplitude, except for a $\pi/2$ phase discrepancy. Finally, using (10.106), $I_p = I_0$. We can construct a more palpable picture of what the Cornu spiral represents by considering Fig. 10.65, which depicts a cylindrical wavefront propagating from a coherent line source. The present procedure is exactly the same as that used in deriving the vibration curve and the reader is referred back to Section 10.3.2 for a more leisurcly discussion. Suffice it to say that the wavemore relatively discussion. Suffice it to say that the wave-front is divided into half-period atrip zones by its interasc-tion with a family of cylinders having a common axis and radii of $r_0 + \lambda/2$, $r_0 + \lambda$, $r_0 + 3\lambda/2$, and so on. The contributions from these strip zones are proportional to later areas, which decrease rapidly. This is in contrast to the circular zones, whose radii increase, thereby keeping the areas nearly constant. Each strip zone is similarly divided into, N subzones, which have a vehium phase divided into N subzones, which have a relative phase

* The phase discrepancy will be resolved by the Kirchhoft theory in Section 10.4.

Figure 10.66 Cornu spiral related to the cylindrical wavefront

difference of π/N . The vector sum of all the amplitude contributions from zones above the center line is a spiraling polygon. If N goes to ∞ and the contributions generated by the strip zones below the center line are Included, the polygon smooths out into a continuous Cornu spiral. This is not surprising, since the coherent line source generates an infinite number of overlapping point-source patterns.

Figure 10.66 shows a number of unit tangent vectors various positions along the spiral. The vector at O_i rresponds to the contribution from the central axis ssing through O on the wavefront. The points associkted with the boundaries of each strip zone can be led with the boundaries of each strip zone can be ported on the spiral, since at those positions the relative base, β , is either an even or odd multiple of π . For example, the point Z_i on the spiral (Fig. 10.66), which is related to z_i (Fig. 10.65) on the wavefront, is by definition 180° out of phase with O_c . Therefore Z_1 , must be located at the top of the spiral, where $w = \sqrt{2}$ parameth as there $\beta = \pi w^2/2 = \pi$.

It will be helpful as we go along in the treatment to visualize the blocking out of these strip zones when analyzing the effects of obstructions. Obviously one

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 453

could even make an appropriate zone plate, which would accomplish this to some advantage, and such devices are in use.

10.3.8 Fresnel Diffraction by a Slit

We can treat Fresnel diffraction at a long slit as an extension of the rectangular-aperture problem. We need only elongate the rectangle by allowing y_i and y_2 to move very far from O, as shown in Fig. 10.67. As the point of observation moves along the y-axis, so long as the vertical boundaries at either end of the slit are still essentially at infinity. $u_2 \approx \infty$, $u_1 \approx -\infty$, and $\mathbf{B}_{12}(u) \approx \sqrt{2}e^{iu/4}$. From Eq. (10.106), for either pointurce or plane-wave illumination,

$$I_p = \frac{x_0}{9} \left[\mathbf{B}_{12}(v) \right]^2, \qquad (10.108)$$

and the pattern is independent of y. The values of z1 and z2, which fix the slit width, determine the important parameter $\Delta v = v_2 - v_1$, which in turn governs $\mathbf{B}_{12}(v)$. Imagine once again that we have a string of length Δv

7



Figure 10.67 Single-slit geometry.

lying along the spiral. At P, opposite point O, the aperture is symmetrical, and the string is centered on O_c (Fig. 10.68). The chord [Br₁(v]) need only be measured and substituted into Eq. (10.108) to find I_s . At point P_1 , z_1 and therefore v_1 are smaller negative numbers, whereas z_0 and v_2 have increased positively. The arc length Δv (the string) moves up the spiral (Fig. 10.68), and the chord decreases. As the point of observation moves down into the geometric shadow, the string winds about B^{*}, and the chord geos through a series of relative extrema. If Δv is very small, our imaginary piece of string is small, and the chord [Br₁(v)] decreases appreciably only when the radius of curvature of the spiral itself is small. This occurs in the vicinity of B^{*} or B^{*}, that is, far out into the geometric shadow. There will therefore be light well beyond the edges of the aperture, as long as the aperture is relatively small. Note too that with small Δv there will be a broad central maximum. In fact, if Δv is much less than 1, $r_0 \lambda$ is much greater than the aperture width, and the Fraunhofer condition of Eq. (10.17) is more plausible when we realize that



Figure 10.68 Cornu spiral for the slit.



Figure 10.69 An irradiance minimum in the slit pattern.

for large w the Fresnel integrals have trigonometrig representations (see Problem 10.46).

As the slit widen, A we becomes larger, for a fixed 70. As the slit widen, A we becomes larger, for a fixed 70. until a configuration like that in Fig. 10.69 exists for a point opposite the slit's center. If the point of observation is moved vertically either up or down, Aw slides either down or up the spiral. Yet the chord increaseg in both cases, so that the center of the diffraction pattern must be a relative minimum. Fringes now appear within the geometric image of the slit, unlike the Fraunhofer pattern.

Figure 10.70 shows two curves of $|\mathbf{B}_{12}(w)|^2$ plotted against $(w_1 + w_2)/2$, which is the center point of the arc length Δw (Recall that the symbol w stands for either u or v.) A family of such curves running the range in Δw from about 1 to 10 would cover the region of interest. The curves are computed by first choosing a particular Δw and then reading the appropriate $|\mathbf{B}_{12}(w)|$ values off the Cornu spiral as Δw slides along it. For a long slit

 $I_p = \frac{I_0}{2} |\mathbf{B}_{12}(v)|^2$, [10.108]

and since Δz is the slit width that corresponds to Δv , for a given slit. For example, Fig. 10.70(a) are read as [By(v]] versus ($v + v_2$)/2 for $\Delta v = 2.5$, and the state of $z_1 + z_2$ /2, that is, the displacetion of the point of observation from the center of the state of $z_1 + z_2$ and $z_2 + z_2$, which means that a slit is an $z_2 - z_3$ defauly has fringes appearing within the geometric image as expected (Problem 10.45). The curve could, of course, be plotted in terms of values of Δz or Δr explicitly, but that would unnecessarily limit the one set of configuration parameters ρ_0 , r_0 ,

As the slit is widened still further, Δv approaches and



Figure 10.70 $|\mathbf{B}_{12}(w)|^2$ versus $(w_1 + w_2)/2$ for (a) $\Delta w = 2.5$ and (b) $\Delta w = 3.5$.

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction 455

then surpasses 10. An increasing number of fringes appear within the geometric image, and the pattern no longer extends appreciably beyond that image. The same kind of reasoning applies equally well to

the analysis of the rectangular aperture, where use can also be made of the curves in Fig. 10.70. To observe Fresnel slit diffraction, form a long narrow

To observe Presnel sitt diffraction, form a long harrow space between two fingers held at arm's length. Make a similar parallel slit close to your eye, using your other hand. With a bright source, such as the daytime sky or a large lamp, illuminating the far slit, observe it through the nearby aperture. After inserting the near slit the far slit will appear to widen, and rows of fringes will be evident.

10.3.9 The Semi-Infinite Opaque Screen

We now form a semi-infinite planar opaque screen by removing the upper half of Σ in Fig. 10.67. This is done simply enough, by letting $z_2 = y_1 = y_2 = \infty$. Remembering the original approximations, we limit the geometry so that the point of observation is close to the screen's edge. Since $z_2 = u_2 = \infty$ and $u_1 = -\infty$, Eq. (10.104) or (10.108) leads to

$I_p = \frac{I_0}{2} \{ [\frac{1}{2} - \mathcal{C}(v_1)]^2 + [\frac{1}{2} - \mathcal{G}(v_1)]^2 \}. \quad (10.109)$

When the point P is directly opposite the edge, $v_1 = 0$, $\Psi(0) = \mathcal{G}(0) = 0$, and $I_p = I_0/4$. This was to be expected, since half the wavefront is obstructed, the amplitude of the disturbance is halved, and the irradiance drops to one quarter. This occurs at point (3) in Figs. 10.71 and 10.72. Moving into the geometric shadow region to point (2) and then on to (1) and still further, the successive chords clearly decrease monotonically (Problem 10.46). No irradiance oscillations exist within that



Figure 10.71 The semi-infinite opaque screen.



(b) Figure 10.72 (a) The Cornu spiral for a semi-infinite screen. (b) The corresponding irradiance distribution.



region; the irradiance merely drops off rapidly. At any point above (3) the screen's edge will be below it, in other words, $t_1 < 0$ and $v_1 < 0$. At about $v_1 = -1.2$ the chord reaches a maximum, and the irradiance is a maximum. Thereafter, I_0 oscillates about I_0 gradually diminishing in magnitude. With sensitive electronic exchanges, many hundreds of these fringes can be observed.⁴

It is evident that the diffraction pattern of Fig. 10.73 would appear in the vicinity of the edges of a wide *siz* (Δv greater than about 10) as a limiting case. The irradiance distribution suggested by geometrical optics is obtained only when λ goes to zero. Indeed as λ decreases, the fringes move closer to the edge and become increasingly fine in extent. The straight-edge pattern can be observed using any kind of all held un in front of a bread lamp at arms?

The straight-edge pattern can be observed using any kind of slit, held up in front of a broad lamp at arm's length, as a source. Introduce an opaque obstruction (e.g., a blackened microscope dide or a razor blade) very near your cyc. As the edge of the obstruction passes in front of the source slit parallel to it, a series of fringes will appear.

10.3.10 Diffraction by a Narrow Obstacle

Refer back to the description of the single narrow slit; consider the complementary case in which the slit is opaque, and the acreen transparent. Let's envision, for example, a vertical opaque wire. At a point directly opposite the wire's center there will be two separate contributing regions extending from y_1 to $-\infty$ and from y_1 to $+\infty$. On the Cornu spiral these correspond to two

* J. D. Barnett and F. S. Harris, Jr., J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 52, 637 (1962).

and the second second

457

10.3 Fresnel Diffraction

Figure 10.73 The fringe pattern for a half-screen.

arc lengths from u_1 to B^- and from u_2 to B^+ . The amplitude of the disturbance at a point P on the plane of observation is the magnitude of the vector sum of the two phasors $\overline{B}^- u_1$ and $u_2\overline{B}^+$; illustrated in Fig. 10.74. As with the opaque disk, the symmetry is such that there will always be an illuminated region along the central axis. This can be seen from the spiral, since when P is on the central axis, $\overline{B}^- u_1 = u_2\overline{B}^+$ and their sum can never be zero. The arc length Δu represents the obscured region of the spiral, which increases as the diameter of the wire increases. For thick wires, u_1 approaches B^- , u_2 approaches B^+ , the phasors decrease in length, and the irradiance on the shadow's axis drops of. This is evident in Fig. 10.75, which shows the pat-



Figure 10.74 The Cornu spiral as applied to a narrow obstacle.



Figure 10.75 (a) The shadow pattern cast by the lead free mechanical pencil. (b) The pattern cast by a 1/8-inch diameter mechanical pencil (Photos by E. H.)

terns actually cast by a thin piece of lead from a terns actually cast by a thin piece of lead from a mechanical pencil and by a rod with a *k*-inch diameter. Imagine that we have a small irradiance sensor at point *P* on the plane of observation (or the film plate). As *P* moves off the central axis to the right, *y*, and *y*, increase negatively, whereas *y₀* and *y₀*, which are positive, decrease. The opaque region, Δu_k slides down the spiral. When the sensor is at the right edge of the geometric shadow *y₀* = 0, *u₂* = 0, in other words, *u₂* is at *O₄*. Notice that if the wire is thin, that is, if Δu is small, the sensor will record a gradual decrease in irradiance as *u₂* approaches *O₄*. On the other hand, if the wire is thick, *du* is large and *u₁* and *u₂* are large. As Δu slides down approaches O., On the other hand, if the wire is thick, Au is large and u, and ug are large. As Au sildes down the spiral, the two phasors revolve through a number of complete rotations, going in and out of phase in the process. The resulting additional extrema appearing within the geometric shadow are evident in Fig.

10.75(b). In fact, the separation between inter-varies inversely with the width of the rod, pattern arose from the interference of (Young's experiment) reflected at the rod's right

10.3.11 Babinet's Principle

Two diffracting screens are said to be con when the transparent regions on one exactly to the opaque regions on the other and vices to the opaque regions on the other and vice it wo such screens are overlapped, the continue to work of the scalar optical disturbance arriving at b either complementary screen Σ_1 or Σ_2 , respects in place. The total contribution from each aperd determined by integrating over the area hot that aperture. If both *apertures* are present at are no opaque regions at all; the limits of go to infinity, and we have the unobstructed E_0 , whereupon

 $E_1 + E_2 = E_0$,

which is the statement of Babinet's principle Takes close look at Figs. 10.69 and 10.74, which depict the Cornu spiral configurations for a transparent glit and a narrow opaque obstacle. If the two arranges made complementary, Fig. 10.76 illustrates mets principle quite clearly. The phasor arising row obstacle (\overline{B} B, \overline{B} , \overline{B}) added to that $B_1\overline{B}_2$ yields the unobstructed phasor \overline{B} B. The principle inspire the takes \overline{B} of \overline{C}

The principle implies that when $E_0 = 0$, E_1 other words, these disturbances are precise magnitude and 180° out of phase. One would observe exactly the same irradiance distribueither Σ_1 or Σ_2 in place, an interesting result is evident, however, that the principle cannot be true, since for an unobstructed wave from source, there are no zero-amplitude points of source, there are no zero-ampitude pointside everywhere). Yet if the source is imaged at R_1 lenses, as in Fig. 10.9 (with neither Σ_1 nor 2there will be a large, essentially zero-amplit beyond the immediate vicinity of P_0 (beyond disk) in which $E_1 + E_2 = E_0 = 0$. It is therefore the case of Fraunhofer diffraction that complete the case of Fraunhofer diffraction that complete



"The Cornu spiral illustrating Babinet's principle.

teens will generate equivalent irradiance distribu-ns, that is, $E_1 = -E_2$ (excluding point P_0). Nonethe-a, Eq. (10.110) is valid in Fresnel diffraction, even the irradiances obey no simple relationship, exemplified by the slit and narrow obstacle of 6. Moreover, for a circular hole and disk, refer to Figs. 10.52 and 10.58 and then examine Fig. hation (10.110) is again clearly applicable, even the diffraction patterns are certainly not

eauty of Babinet's principle is most evident pilled to Fraunhofer diffraction, as shown in fig. 18.78, where the patterns from complementary cross are almost identical.

10.4 RRCHHOFF'S SCALAR DIFFRACTION THEORY

e described a number of diffracting configuons, quite satisfactorily, within the context of the lively simple Huygens-Fresnel theory. Yet the magery of surfaces covered with fictitious point which was the basis of that analysis, was merely ich was the basis of that analysis, was merely d rather than derived from fundamental prin





Figure 10.77 The vibration curve illustrating Babinet's principle

ciples. The Kirchhoff treatment shows that these results are actually derivable from the *scalar* differential wave equation. The discussion to follow is rather formal and in-

volved. Portions of it have therefore been relegated to

volved. Portions of it have therefore been relegated to an appendix, where we can induige in succinctness and risk sacrificing readability for rigor. In the past, when dealing with a distribution of monochromatic point sources, we computed the resul-tant optical disturbance at point P (i.e., E₁) by carrying out a superposition of the individual waves. There is, however, a completely different approach, which is founded in sources there only for concerned nucl. founded in potential theory. Here one is concerned not with the sources themselves but rather with the scalar optical disturbance and its derivatives over an arbitrary dosed surface surrounding P. We assume that a Fourier analysis can separate the constituent frequencies, so that we need only deal with one such frequency at a time. The monochromatic optical disturbance E is a solution of the differential wave equation

$$\nabla^2 E = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}.$$
 (10.111)

Without specifying the precise spatial nature of the

10.4 Kirchhoff's Scalar Diffraction Theory 460 Chapter 10 Diffraction 461 an unobstructed spherical wave originating at a point source s, as shown in Fig. 10.80. The disturbance has the form write er om write it as $E = \mathscr{E}e^{-ihct}$. (10.112) epresents the complex space part of the dis-Substituting this into the wave equation, we $E\langle \rho,t\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{\rho} \, e^{i(k\rho-\omega t)},$ (10.115) in which case $\nabla^2 \mathcal{G} + k^2 \mathcal{G} = 0.$ (10.113) $\nabla s + \kappa s = 0.$ The second s $\mathscr{E}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{\rho} e^{i\mathbf{k}\rho}.$ (10.116) If we substitute this into Eq. (10.114), it becomes $\mathcal{E}_{p} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\bigoplus_{S} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{0}}{\rho} e^{ik\rho} \right) \cos\left(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right) dS \right]$ as the Kirchhoff integral theorem, Eq. (10.114) $\nabla \left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right) = \hat{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right),$ (b) (e) or apply the theorem to the specific instance of and ∇8(ρ) β∂8/∂ρ. The differentiations under the integral signs are $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\frac{e^{ik\rho}}{\rho} \right) = e^{ik\rho} \left(\frac{ik}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \right)$ Sexp (-im 6 (c) (d) (f) Figure 10.78 (a)-(d) White-light diffraction patterns for regular arrays of apertures and complementary obtaides in the form of rounded plus signs. (e) and (d) Diffraction patterns for a regular array of rectangular apertures and obscales, respectively. (Photos courtesy The Ealing Corporation and Richard B. Hoover.) Figure 10.79 An arbitrary closed surface S enclosing point P.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \right) = e^{ikr} \left(\frac{ik}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right).$$

and

When $\rho \gg \lambda$ and $r \gg \lambda$ the $1/\rho^2$ and $1/r^2$ terms can be neglected. This approximation is fine in the optical spectrum but certainly need not be true for microwaves. Proceeding, we write

$$\mathcal{C}_{p} = -\frac{\mathcal{E}_{0}i}{\lambda} \oint_{S} \frac{e^{ik(p+r)}}{\rho \tau} \left[\frac{\cos\left(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}\right) - \cos\left(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{p}\right)}{2} \right] dS,$$
(10.117)

which is known as the Fresnel-Kirchhoff diffraction formula.

formula. Take a long look at Eq. (10.96), which represents the disturbance at P arising from an element dS in the Huygens-Fresnel theory, and compare it with Eq. (10.117). In Eq. (10.117) the angular dependence is contained in the single term $\frac{1}{2}(\cos(\hat{\theta}, \hat{v}), -\cos(\hat{\theta}, \hat{v}))$, which we shall call the obliquity factor $K(\theta)$, showing

Figure 10.80 A spherical wave emitted from parts

(0, P)

(b)

it to be equivalent to Eq. (10.72) later on. Notice as well The becauting the set of the set

$$dE_{p} = \frac{K(\theta)E_{0}}{\rho r\lambda} \cos \left[k(\rho + r) - \omega t - \pi/2\right] dS.$$
(10.1 kg

This is the contribution to E_p arising from an element of surface area dS a distance r from P. The n/2 term in the phase results from the fact that $-i = \exp(-i\pi E)$. The Kirchhoff formulation therefore leads to the same the attention in the tender of the easily of the same total result, with the exception that it includes the corre-rect $\pi/2$ phase shift, which is lacking in the Huygeon Fresnel treatment (10.96).

resnel treatment (10.96). We have yet to ensure that the surface S can be made to We have yet to ensure that the surface S can be made correspond to the unobstructed portion of the unactront, ed does in the Huygens-Fresnel theory. For the case of a free propagating spherical wave emanating from the pol-source s, we construct the doubly connected resu-shown in Fig. 10.81. The surface S_2 completely set

points the small spherical surface S_i . At $\rho = 0$ the surfame $E(\rho, t)$ has a singularity and is therefore operly excluded from the volume V between S_i and $The integral must now include both surfaces <math>S_i$ and indefinitely hat case, the Frequiring its radius to go to infinity. In that case, the methodization to the surface integral vanishes. (This is new whatever the form of the incoming disturbance, the gas it drops off at least as rapidly as a spherical ave). The remaining surface S_1 is a sphere contreed the point source. Since, over S_1 , $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}$ are anti-traffeld, it is evident from Fig. 10.80(b) that the angles \hat{s}_1^2 and $(\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}})$ are $\hat{\theta}$ and 180°, respectively. The obliquity factor then becomes

$$K(\theta) = \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{\theta}$$

which is Eq. (10.72). Clearly, since the surface of integra-tion S_1 is centered at s_i it does indeed correspond to the spherical wavefront at some instant. The Huygens-



Bo are 10.81 A doubly connected region surrounding point s.

10.5 Boundary Diffraction Waves 463

Fresnel principle is therefore directly traceable to the scalar

Fresnel principle is therefore directly traceable to the scalar differential towas equation. We shan't pursue the Kirchhoff formulation any farther, other than to point out briefly how it is applied to diffracting screens. The single closed surface of integration surrounding the point of observation P is generally taken to be the entire screen Σ capped by an infinite hemisphere. There are then three distinct areas with which to be concerned, the contribution to the integral from the region of the infinite hemisphere is zero. Moreover, it is assumed that there is no distur-bance immediately behind the opaque screen, so that this second region contributes nothing. The disturbance In second region control of the solution of the contributions arising from the aperture, and one need only integrate Eq. (10.117) over that area. The fine results obtained by using the Huygens-

Fresnel principle are now justified theoretically, the main limitations being that $\rho \gg \lambda$ and $r \gg \lambda$.

10.5 BOUNDARY DIFFRACTION WAVES

In Section 10.1.1 we said that the diffracted wave could In Section 10.1.1 we said that the diffracted wave could be envisioned as arising from a fictitious distribution of secondary emitters spread across the unobstructed por-tion of the wavefront, namely, the Huygens-Fresnel principle. There is, however, another, completely different, and rather appealing possibility. Suppose that an incoming wave sets the electrons on the rear of the an incoming wave acts in control of an inter-diffracting screen Σ into oscillation, and these in turn radiate. We anticipate a twofold effect. First, all the oscillators that are remote from the edge of the aperture radiate back toward the source in such a fashion as to radiate back toward the soft in soft a score within the projection of the aperture itself. In other words, if this were the only contributing mechanism, a perfect geometrical image of the aperture would appear on the plane of observation. There is, however, an additional contribution arising from those oscillators in the vicinity of the aperture's edge. A portion of the energy radiated by these secondary sources propagates in the forward direction. The superposition of this scattered wave (known as the boundary diffraction wave) and the unob-

The integral must now include both sur
But we can have
$$S_2$$
 increase outward
requiring its radius to go to infinity. In t

structed portion of the primary wave (known as the geometrical wave) yields the diffraction pattern. A rather cogent reason for contemplating such a scheme becomes apparent when one examines the following arrangement. Tear a small hole (=2 cm in diameter) of arbitrary shape in a piece of paper, and holding it at arm's length, view an ordinary light bulb some meters distant. Even with your eye in the shadow region, the edges of the aperture will be brightly illuminated. The ripple-tank photograph in Fig. 10.82 also illustrates the process. Notice how each edge of the slit seems to serve as a center for a circular disturbance, which then propagates beyond the aperture. There are no electron-oscillators here, which implies that these ideas have a certain gener-ality, being applicable to elastic waves as well.

The formulation of diffraction in terms of the interference of a scattered edge wave and a geometrical wave is perhaps more physically appealing than the fictitious emitters of the Huygens-Fresnel principle. It is not, however, a new concept. Indeed it was first propounded by the ubiquitous Thomas Young even before Fresnel's



Figure 10.82 Ripple-tank waves passing through a slit. (Photo courtesy PSSC Physics, D. C. Heath, Boston, 1960.)

celebrated memoir on diffraction. But in time celebrated memory of diffusion but in un brilliant successes unfortunately convinced reject his own ideas, and he finally did so in Fresnel in 1818. Strengthened by Kirchhoff Freshel in 1818. Strengthenen by Airchhoff Freshel conception of diffraction became accepted and has persisted (right up to Secto The resurrection of Young's theory began in that time, Gian Antonio Maggi proved that Ki-that for a point source at least the sector that time, Gian Antonio Maggi proved that ist analysis, for a point source at least, was equ two contributing terms. One of these was a go wave, but the other, unhappily, was an inter-allowed no clear physical interpretation at the indexend these (1899). Furgen May, shows allowed no clear physical interpretation at discussion of the analysis of the advectorial thesis (1893) Eugen Maey showed edge wave could indeed be extracted from any Kirchhoff formulation for a semi-infinite hall-pate Arnold Sommerfeld's rigorous solution of the high plane problem (see Section 10.1) showed that over discussion and the illuminated region. In the larged the geometrical wave actually does proceed from the strengt edge. It propagates into both the geometrical shaded region and the illuminated region. In the large the boundary diffraction wave combines with the geometrical wave, in complete accord with Vreng theory. In 1917 Adalbert (Wojciech Rubinowij awa able to prove that Kirchhoff's formula for a plane or spherical wave can be appropriately decomposite the two desired waves, the after the proceeding diffraction wave, to a first performant the standard of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant the standard of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant the standard of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, to a first performant of the boundary diffraction wave, the affirst performant of the boundary diffraction wave, the affirst performant of the boundary diffraction wave the affirst performant of the boundary d the boundary diffraction wave, to a first approximate agenerated by reflection of the primary, the aperture's edge. In 1928 Friedrich Kottle from out the equivalence of the solutions of Rubinowicz, and one now speaks of the Yo Rubinowicz theory. Most recently, Kenro M Emil Wolf (1962) have extended the **boun** Emil Wolf (1962) have extended the occurrent tion theory to the case of arbitrary incident tion theory to the case of arbitrary incident very useful contemporary approach to the prob-been devised by Joseph B. Keller. He has devel-geometric theory of diffraction that is closely ref Young's edge wave picture. Along with the unan of geometrical optics, he hypothesizes the estima-diffracted rays. Rules governing these different which are analogous to the laws of reflection tion, are employed to determine the results

* A fairly complete bibliography can be found in the article by Rubinowicz in Progress in Optics, Vol. 4, p. 199.

ROBLEMS

A point source S is a perpendicular distance Rfrom the center of a circular hole of radius a in the screen. If the distance to the periphery is show that Fraunhofer diffraction will occur on than screen when

$\lambda R \gg a^2/2.$

smallest satisfactory value of R if the hole ratio of 1 mm, $\ell \leq \lambda/10$, and $\lambda = 500$ nm?

10.2 Fing Fig. 10.83, derive the irradiance equation



Problems 465

10.5* In Section 10.1.5 we talked about introducing an intrinsic phase shift s between oscillators in a linear array. With this in mind show that Eq. (10.18) becomes

$\beta = (kb/2)(\sin \theta - \sin \theta_i)$

when the incident plane wave makes an angle θ_i with the plane of the slit.

10.4 Referring back to the multiple antenna system of Fig. 10.7, compute the angular separation between successive lobes or principal maxima and the width of the central maximum.

10.5 Examine the setup of Fig. 10.5 in order to deter-mine what is happening in the image space of the lenses; in other words, locate the exit pupil and relate it to the in other words, locate the configurations in Fig. 10.84 are equivalent to that of Fig. 10.5 and will there-fore result in Fraunhofer diffraction. Design at least one more such arrangement.





Figure 10.84

10.6 The angular distance between the center and the first minimum of a single-slit Fraunhofer diffraction pattern is called the half-angular breadth; write an expression for it. Find the corresponding half-linear width (a) when no focusing lens is present and the silt-viewing acreen distance is L, and (b) when a lens of focal length f₂ is very close to the aperture. Notice that the half-linear width is also the distance between the successive minima.

10.7* A single slit in an opaque screen 0.10 mm wide is illuminated (in air) by plane waves from a krypton ion laser (Ao = 46.1, 9 mm). If the observing screen is 1.0 m away, determine whether or not the resulting diffraction pattern will be of the far-field variety and then compute the angular width of the central maximum.

10.8° A narrow single slit (in air) in an opaque screen is illuminated by infrared from a He-Ne laser at 115.2 nm, and it is found that the center of the tenth dark band in the Frauhofer pattern lies at an angle of 6.2° of the central axis. Please determine the width of the slit. At what angle will the tenth minimum appear if the entire arrangement is immersed in water (n_w = 1.35) rather than air (n_a = 1.00029)?

10.9 A collimated beam of microwaves impinges on a metal screen that contains a long horizontal alit that is 20 cm wide. A detector moving parallel to the screen in the far-field region locates the first minimum of irradiance at an angle of 36.87° above the central axis. Determine the wavelength of the radiation.

10.10 Show that for a double-slit Fraunhofer pattern, if a = mb, the number of bright fringes (or parts thereof) within the central diffraction maximum will be equal to 2m.

 10.11° Two long slits 0.10 mm wide, separated by 0.20 mm, in an opaque screen are illuminated by light with a wavelength of 500 nm. If the plane of observation is 2.5 m away, will be pattern correspond to Frauhhofer

or Freanel diffraction? How many Young's free be seen within the central bright band?

10.12 What is the relative irradiance of the maxima in a three-slit Fraunhofer diffraction Draw a graph of the irradiance distribution 26, for two and then three alist.

10.13° Starting with the irradiance expression for a finite alit, shrink the slit down to grant area element and show that it emits equality.

10.14° Show that Fraunhofer diffraction parameters a center of symmetry [i.e., I(Y, Z) = I(-X) = I(-



Fig. 10.85 Photo courtesy R. G. Wilson, Illinois Western



(partis #5 Photos courtesy R. G. Wilson, Illinois Wesleyan University.

10.15 With the results of Problem 10.14 in mind, and the symmetries that would be evident in the bandoer diffraction pattern of an aperture that is symmetrical about a line (assuming normally organisation of the symmetry o

8.16 From symmetry considerations, create a rough each of the Fraunhofer diffraction patterns of an analtriangular aperture and an aperture in the capture approximation of the state of

fure 10.85 is the irradiance distribution in dor a configuration of elongated rectangular Describe the arrangement of holes that would to such a pattern and give your reasoning in

Fig. 10.86 (a) and (b) are the electric field lice distributions, respectively, in the far field suration of elongated rectangular apertures. the arrangement of holes that would give rise terms and discuss your reasoning. Problems 467



10.19 Figure 10.87 is a computer-generated Fraunhofer irradiance distribution. Describe the aperture that would give rise to such a pattern and give your reasoning in detail



Figure 10.87 Photo courtesy R. G. Wilson, Illinois Wesleyan Uni-



Figure 10.88 Photos courtesy R. G. Wilson, Illinois Wesleyan University

10.20 In Fig. 10.88 (a) and (b) are the electric field and irradiance distributions, respectively, in the far field for a hole of some sort in an opaque screen. Describe the aperture that would give rise to such a pattern and give your reasoning in detail.

10.21 In light of the five previous questions, identify Fig. 10.89, explaining what it is and what aperture gave rise to it.

10.22* Verify that the peak irradiance It of the first Fing in the Airy pattern for far-field diffraction at a circular aperture is such that $I_1/I(0) = 0.0175$. You might want to use the fact that

 $J_1(u) = \frac{u}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1!2!} (\frac{1}{2}u)^2 + \frac{1}{2!3!} (\frac{1}{2}u)^4 - \frac{1}{3!4!} (\frac{1}{2}u)^6 + \cdots \right]$

10.23 No lens can focus light down to a perfect point, because there will always be some diffraction. Estimate the size of the minimum spot of light that can be expec-ted at the focus of a lens. Discuss the relationship among the focal length, the lens diameter, and the spot size Take the *f-number* of the lens to be roughly 0.8 or 0.9, which is just about what you can expect for the fastest lens.



10.24 Figure 10.90 shows several apertury confi rations. Roughly sketch the Fraunhofer paterns each. Note that the circular regions should gener Airy-like ring systems centered at the origin



Figure 10.89 Photo courtesy R. G. Wilson, Illinois Western

e that we have a laser emitting a diffracbeam $(\lambda_0 = 632.84 \text{ nm})$ with a 2-mm How big a light spot would be produced on to the Moon a distance of $376 \times 10^3 \text{ km}$ away a device? Neglect any effects of the Earth's

f you peered through a 0.75-mm hole at an If you peered through a 0.76-mm note at all you would probably notice a decrease in visual inpute the angular limit of resolution, assum-ated etermined only by diffraction; take $\lambda_0 =$ Compare your results with the value of 1.7 × which corresponds to a 4.0-mm pupil.

The neoimpressionist painter Georges Seurat amber of the pointillist school. His paintings of an enormous number of closely spaced small inch) of pure pigment. The illusion of color produced only in the eye of the observer. om such a painting should one stand in order the desired blending of color?



28° The Mount Palomar telescope has an objective of th a 508-cm diameter. Determine its angular solution at a wavelength of 550 nm, in radians, the solution at a wavelength of 500 nm, in radians, and seconds of arc. How far apart must two the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution with the solution of the solution of the solution of the babe by the Palomar telescope? The Earth-Moon is is 3.844×10^9 m; take $\lambda_0 = 550$ nm. How far must two objects be on the Moon if they are to inguished by the eye? Assume a pupil diameter

A transmission grating whose lines are sepa-3.0 \times 10⁻⁶ m is illuminated by a narrow beam by ($\lambda_0 = 694.3$ nm) from a ruby laser. Spots of It ($\lambda_0 = 694.3$ nm) from a ruby laser. Spots of light, on both sides of the undeflected beam, a screen 2.0 m away. How far from the central h of the two nearest spots?

Problems 469

10.50° A diffraction grating with slits 0.60×10^{-3} cm apart is illuminated by light with a wavelength of 500 nm. At what angle will the third-order maximum appear?

10.31* A diffraction grating produces a second-order spectrum of yellow light ($\lambda_0 = 550$ nm) at 25°. Determine the spacing between the lines on the grating.

10.32 White light falls normally on a transmission grating that contains 1000 lines per centimeter. At what angle will red light ($\lambda_0 = 650$ nm) emerge in the firstorder spectrum?

10.33* Light from a laboratory sodium lamp has two 10.53 Light from a laboratory source in the presence of the store store yellow components at 589.5923 nm and 588.9953 nm. How far apart in the first-order spectrum will these two lines be on a screen 1.00 m from a grating having 10,000 lines per centimeter?

10.34* Sunlight impinges on a transmission grating that is formed with 5000 lines per centimeter. Does the third-order spectrum overlap the second-order spec-trum? Take red to be 780 nm and violet to be 390 nm.

10.35 Light having a frequency of 4.0×10^{14} Hz is incident on a grating formed with 10,000 lines per centimeter. What is the highest-order spectrum that can be seen with this device? Explain.

10.36* Suppose that a grating spectrometer while in vacuum on Earth sends 500-nm light off at an angle of 20.0° in the first-order spectrum. By comparison, after landing on the planet Mongo, the same light is diffracted through 18.0°. Determine the index of refraction of the Mongoing superscharge. Mongoian atmosphere.

10.37 Prove that the equation

 $a(\sin \theta_m - \sin \theta_i) = m\lambda,$ when applied to a transmission grating, is independent of the refractive index.

[10.61]

10.38 A high-resolution grating 260 mm wide, with 300 lines per millimeter, at about 75° in autocollimation

has a resolving power of just about 10⁶ for $\lambda = 500$ nm. Find its free spectral range. How do these values of \Re and $(\Delta \lambda)_{tra}$ compare with those of a Fabry–Perot etalon having a 1-cm air gap and a finesse of 25?

10.39 What is the total number of lines a grating must have in order just to separate the sodium doublet $(\lambda_1 = 5895.9 \text{ Å}, \lambda_2 = 5890.0 \text{ Å})$ in the third order?

10.40° Imagine an opaque screen containing 30 randomiy located circular holes. The light source is such that every aperture is coherently illuminated by its own plane wave. Each wave in turn is completely incoherent with respect to all the others. Describe the resulting far-field diffraction pattern.

10.41 Imagine that you are looking through a piece of square woven cloth at a point source $(\lambda_0=600~nm)$ 20 m away. If you see a square arrangement of bright spots located about the point source (Fig. 10.91), each separated by an apparent Laerest-neighbor distance of 12 cm, how close together are the strands of doth?

10.42* Perform the necessary mathematical operations needed to arrive at Eq. (10.76).



Figure 10.91 Photo by E.H.

10.43 Referring to Fig. 10.48, integrate the effective $dS = 2\pi\rho^2 \sin \phi \, d\phi$ over the *l*th zone to get the that zone,

 $A_{l} = \frac{\lambda \pi \rho}{\rho + r_{0}} \left[r_{0} + \frac{(2l-1)\lambda}{4} \right].$

Show that the mean distance to the lth zone is $\tau_l = \tau_0 + \frac{(2l-1)\lambda}{2}.$

so that the ratio A_l/τ_l is constant.

10.44* Derive Eq. (10.84).

10.45 Use the Cornu spiral to make a rough slow of $|\mathbf{B}_{12}(w)|^2$ versus $(w_1 + w_2)/2$ for $\Delta w = 5.5$. Comparison your results with those of Fig. 10.70.

10.46 The Fresnel integrals have the **asymptotic** (corresponding to large values of w) given by

$$\begin{split} & \mathscr{C}(w) \approx \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\pi w}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi w^2}{2}\right), \\ & \mathcal{S}(w) \approx \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{\pi w}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi w^2}{2}\right). \end{split}$$

Using this fact, show that the irradiance in the share of a semi-infinite opaque screen decreases in size to the inverse square of the distance to the edge as a and therefore v, become large.

10.47 What would you expect to see on the plane of observation if the half-plane Σ in Fig. 10.71 were semictransparent?

10.48 Plane waves from a collimated He-Ne lastry beam ($\lambda_0 = 632.8 \text{ nm}$) impinge on a steel rodewith a 2.5-mm diameter. Draw a rough graphic represenof the diffraction pattern that would be seen on the 3.16 m from the rod.

10.49 Make a rough sketch of the irradiance function for a Fresnel diffraction pattern arising from a doubt slit. What would the Cornu spiral picture look like a point P₂? Make a rough sketch of a possible Fresnel article article (Fig. 10.92).



Suppose the slit in Fig. 10.67 is made very that will the Fresnel diffraction pattern look like?

6.62* Collimated light from a krypton ion laser at m impinges normally on a circular aperture. Service axially from a distance of 1.00 m, the hole Problems 471

uncovers the first half-period zone. Determine its diameter.

10.55* Plane waves impinge perpendicularly on a screen with a small circular hole in it. If is found that when viewed from some axial point P the hole uncovers of the first half-period zone. What is the irradiance at P in terms of the irradiance there when the screen is removed?

10.54° A collimated beam from a ruby laser (694.3 nm) having an irradiance of 10 W/m² is incident perpendicularly on an opaque screen containing a square hole 5.0 mm on a side. Compute the irradiance at a point on the central axis 250 cm from the aperture.

10.55* A long narrow slit 0.10 mm wide is illuminated by light of wavelength 500 nm coming from a point source 0.90 m away. Determine the irradiance at a point 2.0 m beyond the screen when the slit is centered on, and perpendicular to, the line from the source to the point of observation. Write your answer in terms of the unobstructed irradiance.



FOURIER OPTICS

11.1 INTRODUCTION

In what is to follow we will extend the discussion of Fourier methods introduced in Chapter 7. It is our intent to provide a strong basic introduction to the subject rather than a complete treatment. Besides its real mathematical power, Fourier analysis leads to a marvelous way of treating optical processes in terms of spatial frequencies.⁷ It is always exciting to discover a new bag of analytic toys, but it's perhaps even more valuable to unfold yet another way of thinking about a broad range of physical problems—we shall do boht,⁷

spatial requences. It is always exciting to discover a new bag of analytic toys, but it's perhaps even more valuable to unfold yet another way of thinking about a broad range of physical problems—we shall do both.¹ The primary motivation here is to develop an understanding of the way optical systems process light to form images. In the end we want to know all about the amplitudes and phases of the lightwaves reaching the image plane. Fourier methods are especially suited to that task, so we first extend the treatment of Fourier transforms begun earlier. Several transforms hegun earlier sidered lint. Among them is the delta function, which will subsequently be used to represent a point source

* See Chapter 14 for a further nonmathematical discussion

See Orager 19 for a further nonintermatical automstore. Partier Tassiferrar and Cancolutions for the Experimentalist; N. F. Barber, Experimental Orenopuums and Fourier Transforms, A. Papoulla, Stema and Transforma with Applications in Optics J. W. Gootman, Introduction to Fourier Optics, Linear Systems, Fourier Transforms, and Optics, J. Gaskill; and the excellent series of bookless Imager and Information, B. W. Jones, et al.

472

of light. How an optical system responds or comprising a large number of delta-functionly ces will be considered in Section 11.3.1. The between Fourier analysis and Fraunhofer fuexplored throughout the discussion, but fution is given it in Section 11.3.3. The chapter ena return to the problem of image evaluation from a different, though related, perspective is treated not as a collection of point source scatterer of bane waves.

11.2 FOURIER TRANSFORMS

11.2.1 One-Dimensional Transforms

It was seen in Section 7.8 that a one-dimension function of some space variable f(x) could be expresa linear combination of an infinite number of hycontributions:

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^\infty A(k) \cos k\mathbf{x} \, dk + \int_0^\infty B(k) \sin k\mathbf{x} \, dk \right],$

The weighting factors that determine the significant of the various angular spatial frequency (k) collitions, that is, A(k) and B(k), are the Fourier consistent transforms of f(x) given by

 $A(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x}') \cos k\mathbf{x}' \, d\mathbf{x}'$

 $B(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') \sin kx' \, dx', \qquad (7.57)$

by Here the quantity x' is a dummy variable with the integration is carried out, so that neither for B(k) is an explicit function of x', and the so symbol used to denote it is irrelevant. The and cosine transforms can be consolidated into a size complex exponential expression as follows: subing Eq. (7.57) into Eq. (7.56), we obtain

$$\begin{split} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos k\mathbf{x} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x}') \cos k\mathbf{x}' \, d\mathbf{x}' \, dk \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sin k\mathbf{x} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x}') \sin k\mathbf{x}' \, d\mathbf{x}' \, dk. \end{split}$$

But isince $\cos k(x' - x) = \cos kx \cos kx' + \sin kx \sin kx'$, the can be rewritten as

 $f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') \cos k(x' - x) \, dx' \right] dk.$ (11.1)

mantity in the square brackets is an even function and therefore changing the limits on the outer and leads to

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x}') \cos k(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}' \right] d\mathbf{k}. \quad (11.2)$$

aroth as we are looking for an exponential reppation, Euler's theorem comes to mind. Conmently, observe that

$$f(\mathbf{x}')\sin k(\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x}'\,\bigg]\,d\mathbf{k}=0$$

the factor in brackets is an odd function of k. Fing these last two expressions yields the complex of the Fourier integral,

 $f(x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x') e^{ikx'} dx' \right] e^{-ikx} dk. \quad (11.9)$

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(k) e^{-ik\mathbf{x}} \, dk, \tag{11.4}$

11.2 Fourier Transform 473

provided that

 $F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x})e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}, \qquad (11.5)$

having set x' = x for Eq. (11.5). The function F(k) is said to be the Fourier transform of f(x), which is symbolically denoted by

 $F(k) = \mathcal{F}{f(x)}.$ (11.6)

Actually there are several equivalent, slightly different ways of defining, the transform that appear in the literature. For example, the signs in the exponentials could be interchanged or the factor of $1/2\pi$ could be split symmetrically between f(x) and F(k); each would then have a coefficient of $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Note that A(k) is the real part of F(k), while B(k) is its imaginary part, that

F(k) = A(k) + iB(k). (11.7a)

As was seen in Section 2.4, a complex quantity like this can also be written in terms of a real-valued amplitude, |F(k)|, the amplitude spectrum, and a real-valued phase, $\phi(k)$, the phase spectrum:

$F(k) = |F(k)|e^{i\phi(k)},$ (11.7b)

and sometimes this form can be quite useful [see Eq. (11.96)].

Just as F(k) is the transform of f(x), f(x) itself is said to be the inverse Fourier transform of F(k), or symbolically

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}{F(\mathbf{k})} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}{F(\mathbf{x})},$ (11.8)

 $f(k) = \sigma^{-} \left[\Gamma(k) \right] = \sigma^{-} \left\{ \sigma^{-} \left[\Gamma(k) \right] \right]$, (11.8) and f(k) are frequently referred to as a Fourier-transform pair. It's possible to construct the transform and its inverse in an even more symmetrical form in terms of the spatial frequency $\kappa = 1/\lambda = k/2\pi$. Still, in whatever way it's expressed, the transform will not be precisely the same as the inverse transform, because of the minus sign in the exponential. As a result (Problem 11.10), in the present formulation,

 $\mathscr{F}{F(k)} = 2\pi f(-\mathbf{x})$ while $\mathscr{F}^{-1}{F(k)} = f(\mathbf{x})$.

This is most often inconsequential, especially for even functions where f(x) = f(-x), so we can expect a good deal of parity between functions and their transforms.



Figure 11.1 A composite function and its Fourier transform.

Obviously, if f were a function of time rather than space, we would merely have to replace x by t and then k, the angular spatial frequency, by ω_i , the angular temporal frequency, in order to get the appropriate transform pair in the time domain, that is,

It should be mentioned that if we write f(x) as a sum of functions, its transform (11.5) will apparently be the

sum of the transforms of the individual grants functions. This can sometimes be quite a serway of establishing the transforms of complications that can be constructed from well-know situents. Figure 11.1 makes this procedure failing set

i) Transform of the Gaussian Function

As an example of the method, let's examine the Gaussian probability function,

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = Ce^{-\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \qquad (cl.t)$ where $C = \sqrt{a/\pi}$ and a is a constant. If you like, you can imagine this to be the profile of a pulse $\delta t = 0$. The familiar bell-shaped curve [Fig. 11.2(a)) agoing frequently encountered in optics. It will be arritants a diversity of considerations, such as the experiment representation of individual photons, the creation irradiance distribution of a laser beam in the mode, and the statistical treatment of thermal coherence theory. Its Fourier transform, coobtained by evaluating

$$F(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Ce^{-ax^2})e^{ikx} \, dx.$$

On completing the square, the exponent, $-\alpha x^2 + j x$, becomes $-(x\sqrt{a} - ik/2\sqrt{a})^2 - k^3/4a$, and letting $k\sqrt{a} - ik/2\sqrt{a} = \beta$ yields

$$F(k) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{a}} e^{-k^2/4a} \int_{-\infty} e^{-\beta^2} d\beta.$$

The definite integral can be found in tables and equals $\sqrt{\pi}$; hence $F(k) = e^{-k^2/4a}$, if the

 $F(k) = e^{-\sqrt{2}k}$, which is again a Gaussian function [Fig. 11.2(b)] muture with k as the variable. The standard deviation defined as the range of the variable (x or k) over stat the function drops by a factor of $e^{-1/2} = 0.607$ maximum value. Thus the standard deviation two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma_s = \sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ due two curves $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ due two curves are $\sigma_s = 1/\sqrt{2}a$ due two curve



ar ILT A Gaussian and its Fourier transform.

11.2.2 Two-Dimensional Transforms

(2)

hus far the discussion has been limited to oneconsonal functions, but optics generally involves dimensional signals: for example, the field across the three on the flux-density distribution over an explane. The Fourier-transform pair can readily discralized to two dimensions, whereupon

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi y)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(k_x, k_y) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y)} \, dk_x \, dk_y \quad (11.13)$$

$$F(k_x, k_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} \, dx \, dy. \qquad (11.14)$$

whities k, and k, are the angular spatial frequening the two axes. Suppose we were looking at the ia tiled floor made up alternately of black and urres aligned with their edges parallel to the aligned with their edges parallel to the aligned with their edges parallel to the aligned in terms of a two-dimensional Fourier with each tile having a length ℓ , the spatial period her axis would be 2ℓ , and the associated fundaangular patial frequencies would equal m/ℓ and their harmonics would certainly be needed that a function describing the scene. If the as finite in extent, the function would no furly periodic, and the Fourier integral would



have to replace the series. In effect, Eq. (11.13) says that f(x, y) can be constructed out of a linear combination of elementary functions having the form $\exp[-i(k_x + k_y)]$, each appropriately weighted in amplitude and phase by a complex factor $F(k_x, k_y)$. The transform simply rells you how much of and with what phase each elementary component must be added to the recipe. In three dimensions, the elementary functions appear as $\exp[-i(k_x + k_y + k_x)]$ or $\exp(-ik \cdot r)$, which correspond to phans by a contributions become plane waves that look like $\exp[-i(k - r - \omega t)]$. In other words, the disturbance can be synthesized out of a linear combination of plane waves thaving various probagation numbers and moving in various directions. Similarly, in two dimensions are "oriented" in different directions as well. That is to say, for a given set of values of k_x and k_y , the constant along lines

$$k_x x + k_y y = \text{constant} = A$$

$$y = -\frac{k_x}{k_y}x + \frac{A}{k_y}$$
 (11.15)

The situation is analogous to one in which a set of planes normal to and intersecting the xy-plane does so along the lines given by Eq. (11.15) for differing values of A.



Figure 11.3 Geometry for Eq. (11.15),

01

A vector perpendicular to the set of lines, call it kan A vector perpendicular to the set of lines, call it k_{n} , would have components k_{n} and k_{r} . Figure 11.3 shows several of these lines (for a given k_{r} and k_{j}), where $A = 0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \ldots$. The slopes are all equal to $-k_{r}/k_{r}$ or $-\lambda_{r}/\lambda_{r}$ while the *y*-intercepts equal $A/k_{r} = A\lambda_{r}/2\pi$. The orientation of the constant phase lines is

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{k_y}{k_x} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_y}.$$
 (11.16)

the wavelength, or spatial period λ_a , measured along k_a , is obtained from the similar triangles in the diagram, where $\lambda_a/\lambda_y = \lambda_a/\lambda_x^2 + \lambda_y^2$ and

$$\lambda_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\pi}^{-2} + \lambda_{p}^{-2}}}.$$
 (11.17)

The angular spatial frequency k_{α} , being $2\pi/\lambda_{\alpha}$, is then $k_{\alpha} = \sqrt{k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}},$ (11.18)

as expected. All of this just means that in order to construct a two-dimensional function, harmonic terms in addition to those of spatial frequency k_s and k_s will generally have to be included as well, and these are oriented in directions other than along the x-and y-axes. Return for a moment to Fig. 10.10, which shows an

Retturn for a moment to Fig. 10.10, which shows an aperture, with the diffrated wave leaving it represented by several different conceptions. One of these ways to envision the complicated emerging wavefront is as a superposition of plane waves coming off in a whole range of directions. These are the Fourier-transform range of directions. These are the Fourier-transform components, which emerge in specific directions with specific values of angular spatial frequency—the zero spatial frequency term corresponding to the undeviated axial wave, the higher spatial frequency terms coming off at increasingly great angles from the central axis (Section 14.1.1). These Fourier components make up the diffracted field as it emerges from the aperture.

i) Transform of the Cylinder Function

The cylinder function	1			
f(x, y) =	1	$\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \le a$ $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} > a$	-	(11.19)

[Fig. 11.4(a)] provides an important practical example of the application of Fourier methods to two di-



Figure 11.4 The cylinder, or top-hat, function and 25 postofers

mensions. The mathematics will not be simple, but the relevance of the calculation of diffraction by circular apertures and line justifies the effort. The evident circular synca- gests polar coordinates, and so let	
$k_x = k_\alpha \cos \alpha$	
$k_y = k_a \sin a$	16
$x = r \cos \theta$	
$y = r \sin \theta$,	

in which case $dx dy = r dr d\theta$. The transform, $\mathscr{F}{f(x)}$, [* [[²π + + + + + + + + +]]

$$\mathbb{P}(k_{\sigma}, \alpha) = \int_{\tau=0} \left[\int_{\theta=0}^{\infty} e^{ik_{\sigma} \tau \cos(\theta-\alpha)} d\theta \right] \tau d\tau.$$
(11.21)

fas f(x, y) is circularly symmetric, its transform symmetrical as well. This implies that $F(k_{\alpha}, \alpha)$ sendent of α . The integral can therefore be ed by letting α equal some constant value, which to be zero, whereupon $r(L) = \int_{a}^{a} \left[\int_{a}^{2\pi} e^{ik_{a}r\cos\theta} d\theta \right] r dr.$

(11.22)

(11.23)

(11.24)

$$F(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}) = \int_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\mathbf{x}} dt \right]$$

$$F(k_{\alpha}) = 2\pi \int_0^{\alpha} J_0(k_{\alpha}\tau)\tau \,dr,$$

(k,r) being a Bessel function of order zero. ducing a change of variable, namely, $k_{\alpha}r = w$, we $dr = k_{\alpha}^{-1} dw$, and the integral becomes + fk.a

$$\frac{1}{k_{\alpha}^2}\int_{w=0}^{n_{\alpha}}f_0(w)w\,dw.$$

Using Eq. (10.50), the transform takes the form of a der Bessel function (see Fig. 10.27), that is,

$$F(k_{\alpha}) = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} k_{\alpha} a f_1(k_{\alpha} a)$$

$$F(k_{\alpha}) = 2\pi a^2 \left[\frac{J_1(k_{\alpha}a)}{k_{\alpha}a} \right],$$
 (11.25)

Emilarity between this expression [Fig. 11.4(b)] be formula for the electric field in the Fraunhofer function pattern of a circular aperture (10.51) is, of alte, not accidental.

1s as a Fourier Transformer

ure 11.5 shows a transparency, located in the front ane of a converging lens, being illuminated by light. This object, in turn, scatters plane waves, re collected by the lens, and parallel bundles of bught to convergence at its back focal plane,



Figure 11.5 The light diffracted by a transparency at the front (or object) focal point of a lens converges to form the far-field diffraction pattern at the back (or image) focal point of the lens.

If a screen were placed there, at Σ_{i_1} the so-called trans-form plane, we would see the far-field diffraction pattern of the object spread across it (this is essentially the configuration of Fig. 10.10(e)). In other words, the electric field distribution across the object mask, which is known as the operator function, is transformed by the lens into the far-field diffraction pattern. Remarkahly, that Fraunhofer E-field diritation patient. Remarkany, that Fraunhofer E-field patient corresponds to the exact Fourier transform of the aperture function—a fact we shall confirm more rigorously in Section 11.3.3. Here the object is in the front focal plane, and all the various diffracted waves maintain their phase relationships traveling essentially equal optical path lengths to the

traveling essentially equal optical path lengths to the transform plane. That doesn't quite happen when the object is displaced from the front focal plane. Then there will be a phase deviation, but that is actually of little consequence, since we are generally interested in the irradiance where the phase information is averaged out and the phase distortion is unobservable. Thus if an otherwise opaque object mask contains a single direcular hole, the E-field across is will resemble the top hat of Fig. 11.4(a), and the diffracted field, the Fourier transform, will be distributed in space as a Bessel function, looking very much like Fig. 11.4(b). Similarly, if the object transparency varies in density only along one axis, such that its amplitude transmission profile is triangular (Fig. 11.6(a)), then the amplitude of the electric field in the diffraction pattern will corre-



Figure 11.6 The transform of the triangle function is the since

spond to Fig. 11.6(b)-the Fourier transform of the triangle function is the sinc-squared function.

11.2.3 The Dirac Delta Function

There are many physical phenomena that occur over very short durations in time with great intensity, and one is frequently concerned with the consequent response of some system to such stimuli. For **example**: How will a mechanical device, like a billiard **ball**, respond to being slammed with a harmmer? Or how will a particular circuit behave if the input is a short burst of current? In much the sume way we can envision some a particular circuit behave if the input is a short burst of current? In much the same way we can envision some stimulus that is a sharp pulse in the space, rather than the time, domain. A bright minute source of light imbedded in a dark background is essentially a highly localized, two-dimensional, spatial pulse—a spike of irradiance. A convenient idealized mathematical rep-resentation of this sort of sharply peaked stimulus is the **Dirac delts function** $\delta(x)$. This is a quantity that is zero everywhere except at the origin, where it goes to infinity in a **manner so** as to encompass a *unit area*, that is, is.

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{x} \neq 0\\ \infty & \mathbf{x} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(11.26)

 $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) \, dx = 1.$ (11.27)

This is not really a function in the traditional mathematical sense. In fact, because it is so singular in nature,

and

it remained the focus of considerable controver after it was reintroduced and brought into pro-by P. A. M. Dirac in 1930. Yet physicists, program they sometimes are, found it so highly useful that soon became an established tool, despite what a lack of rigorous justification. The precise mail cal theory of the delta function evolved roughty wares later. in the early 1950s. princingly set years later, in the early 1950s, principally : of Laurent Schwartz.

Perhaps the most basic operation to which six can be applied is the evaluation of the integral



Here the expression f(x) corresponds to any continuous function. Over a tiny interval running from x = -y to unation the about the origin, f(x) = f(0) = constant, y contained about the origin, f(x) = f(0) = constant, and from x = +y to $x = +\infty$, the integral is $y = -\infty$ more the cause the δ -function is zero these. Thus, and from $x = +\gamma$ to $x = +\infty$, the integral is iero, simply because the δ -function is zero there. Thus he integral equals C + v

$$f(0)\int_{-\gamma}\delta(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x}.$$

Because p(z) = 0 for all x other than 0, the interval can be van stringly anall, that is, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, and still 1+1

$$\int_{-\gamma} \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

from F_{\odot} (11.27). Hence we have the exact result that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) f(x) \, dx = f(0).$

the is often spoken of as the sifting property of the formula because it manages to extract only the one for f(x) taken at x = 0 from all its possible values.

(11.28)

(11.30)

with a shift of origin of an amount
$$x_0$$
,

$$\delta(x - x_0) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq x_0 \\ \infty & x = x_0 \end{cases}$$
(11.29)

the spike resides at $x = x_0$ rather than x = 0, as in Fig. 11.7. The corresponding sifting property appreciated by letting $x - x_0 = x'$, then with $f(x' + x_0)$

 $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\mathbf{x}') g(\mathbf{x}') \, d\mathbf{x}' = g(0),$

of since $g(0) = f(x_0)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x-x_0) f(x) \, dx = f(x_0).$$

Form formilly, rather than worrying about a precise defined $\delta(x)$ for each value of x, it would be more to continue along the lines of defining the effect (11.28) on some other function f(x). Accordingly, Eq. (11.28) is really the definition of an entire operation



that assigns a number f(0) to the function f(x). Inciden-tally, an operation that performs this service is called a functional.

It is possible to construct a number of sequences of pulses, each member of which has an ever-decreasing width and a concomitantly increasing height, such that any one pulse encompasses a unit area. A sequence of square pulses of height a/L and width L/a for which $a = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ would fit the bill; so would a sequence of Gaussians (11.11),

$$\delta_a(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} e^{-ax^2}, \qquad (11.31)$$
 as in Fig. 11.8, or a sequence of sinc functions

 $\delta_a(x) = \frac{a}{\pi} \operatorname{sinc} (ax).$

(11.32)

Such strongly peaked functions that approach the sift-ing property, that is, for which

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta_a(x) f(x) \, dx = f(0), \qquad (11.33)$$

are known as delta sequences. It is often useful, but not actually rigorously correct, to imagine $\delta(x)$ as the con-vergence limit of such sequences as $a \rightarrow \infty$. The extension of these ideas into two dimensions is provided by the definition

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} \infty & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} = 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(11.34)



Figure 11.8 A sequence of Gaussians

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} = 1, \qquad (11.35)$$

ifting property becomes
$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_0) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0).$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ik(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')} \, dk \right] f(\mathbf{x}') \, d\mathbf{x}',$$

and hence

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') f(\mathbf{x}') \, d\mathbf{x}$ (11.37)

provided that

and

and the s

$$\delta(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ik(x-x')} dk. \qquad (11.38)$$

Equation (11.37) is identical to Eq. (11.30), since by definition from Eq. (11.29) $\delta(x - x') = \delta(x' - x)$. The (divergent) integral of Eq. (11.38) is zero everywhere except at x = x'. Evidently, with x' = 0, $\delta(x) = \delta(-x)$ and

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ikx} dk = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ikx} dk. \quad (11.39)$$

This implies, via (11.4), that the delta function can be thought of as the inverse Fourier transform of unity, that is, $\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[1]$ and so $\mathcal{F}[\delta(\mathbf{x})] = 1$. We can imagine a square pulse becoming narrower and taller as its transform, in turn, grows broader, until finally the pulse is infinitesimal in width, and its transform is infinite in extent. In other works, a concent infinite in extent, in other words, a constant.

i) Displacements and Phase Shifts

If the δ -spike is shifted off x = 0 to, say, $x = x_0$, its transform will change phase but not amplitude—that







This should be compared wan Eq. (11.70). What we see is that only the phase is affected, the amplitude being are at it was when $x_0 = 0$. This whole process can be appreciated somewhat more intuitively if we switch to the time domain and think of an infinitesimally narrow we domain and think of an infinitesimally narrow back as a spark) occurring at t = 0. This results generation of an infinite range of frequency ments, which are all initially in phase at the instant ition (t = 0). On the other hand, suppose the focurs at a time t_0 . Again every frequency is need, but in this situation the harmonic com-ha are all in phase at $t = t_0$. Consequently, if we have back the phase of cach constituent at t = 0The areal in phase at $t = t_0$. Consequency, it we polate back, the phase of each constituent at t = 0for have to be different, depending on the par-frequency. Besides, we know that all these com-fits superimpose to yield zero everywhere except ophat a frequency-dependent phase shift is quite mable. This phase shift is violent in Eq. (11.40) he space domain. Note that it does vary with the menuich ference of the space spa

The space domain. Note that it does vary with the im spatial frequency k. Of this is quite general in its applicability, and we be that the Fourier transform of a function that is used in space (or time) is the transform of the undisplaced is multiplied by an exponential that is linear in phase blem 11.14). This property of the transform will special interest presently, when we consider the of several point sources that are separated but the identical. The process can be appreciated is identical. The process can be appreciated matically with the help of Figs. 11.9 and 7.13. To be square wave by $\pi/4$ to the right, the funda-tions be shifted is wavelength (or, say, 1.0 mm). ty component must then be displaced an equal (i.e., 1.0 mm). Thus each component must be in phase by an amount specific to it that produces t displacement. Here each is displaced, in turn, e of $m\pi/4$

11.2 Fourier Transforms 481

ii) Sines and Cosines We saw earlier (Fig. 11.1) that if the function at hand can be written as a sum of individual functions, its transform is simply the sum of the transforms of the component functions. Suppose we have a string of delta functions spread out uniformly like the teeth on a comb, $f(x) = \sum \delta(x)$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j}). \tag{11.41}$$

When the number of terms is infinite this periodic function is often called comb(x). In any event, the transform will simply be a sum of terms, such as that of Eq. (11.40): -----

$$\mathscr{F}{f(\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{j} e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}.$$
 (11.42)

In particular, if there are two δ -functions, one at $x_0 =$ d/2 and the other at $x_0 = -d/2$,

 $f(x) = \delta[x - (+d/2)] + \delta[x - (-d/2)]$ and

$$\mathscr{F}{f(\mathbf{x})} = e^{ikd/2} + e^{-ikd/2},$$

(11.49)

which is just $\mathcal{F}{f(\mathbf{x})} = 2\cos{(kd/2)},$

as in Fig. 11.10. Thus the transform of the sum of these two symmetrical 5-functions is a cosine function and vice versa. The composite is a real even function, and $F(k) = \mathscr{F}\{f(x)\}$ will also be real and even. This should be reminiscent of Young's experiment (p. 539) with infinitesimally narrow slits—we'll come back to it later.



Figure 11.10 Two delta fund





If the phase of one of the δ -functions is shifted, as in Fig. 11.11, the composite function is asymmetrical, it's odd,

 $f(x) = \delta[x - (+d/2)] - \delta[x - (-d/2)],$

and $\mathcal{F}{f(\mathbf{x})} = e^{ikd/2} - e^{-ikd/2} = 2i\sin(kd/2).$ (11.44) The real sine transform (11.7) is then

$$B(k) = 2\sin(kd/2),$$
 (11.45)

and it too is an odd function

This raises an interesting point. Recall that there are wo alternative ways to consider the complex transform: two alternative ways to consider the complex transform: either as the sum of a real and an imaginary part, from Eq. (11.7a), or as the product of an amplitude and a phase term, from Eq. (11.7b). It happens that the cosine and sine are rather special functions, the former is purely real and the latter is purely imaginary. Most functions, even harmonic ones, will usually be a blend of real and imaginary parts. For example, once a cosine is displaced a little, the new function, which is typically neither odd nor even, has both a real and an imaginary is uspaced a line, the new function, which is typically neither odd nor even, has both a real and an imaginary part. Moreover, it can be expressed as a cosinusoidal amplitude spectrum, which is appropriately phase-shifted (Fig. 11.12). Notice that when the cosine is shifted $\frac{1}{4}\lambda$ into a sine the relative phase difference between the two component delta functions is again π red. rad.

Figure 11.13 displays in summary form a number of transforms, mostly of harmonic functions. Observe how the functions and transforms in (a) and (b) combine to produce the function and its transform in (d). As a rule, each member of the pair of δ -pulses in the frequency

spectrum of a harmonic function is located k-axis at a distance from the origin equal to it mental angular spatial frequency of f(x), well-behaved periodic function can be expre-fourier series, it can also be represented as an pairs of delta functions, each weighted apport and each a distance from the k-origin equal angular spatial frequency of the particular ontribution—the frequency spectrum of any series. angular spatial frequency of the particular func-contribution—the frequency spectrum of any periodic f tion will be discrete. One of the most remarkable of periodic functions is comb(x): as shown in Fig. 11 its transform is also a comb function.



Figure 11.12 The spectra of a shifted cosine function

13 OFFICAL APPLICATIONS

13.1 Linear Systems

techniques provide a particularly elegant work from which to evolve a description of the motion of images. And for the most part, this will treadrection in which we shall be moving, although make excursions are unavoidable in order to motion needed mathematics.

side excursions are unavoidable in order to keepop the needed mathematics. A key point in the analysis is the concept of a linear system, which in turn is defined in terms of its input-uppt relations. Suppose then that an input signal passing through some optical system results in an and g(Y, Z). The system is linear if:

ying f(y, z) by a constant a produces an output

(2). the input is a weighted sum of two (or more) forms, $af_1(y, z) + bf_2(y, z)$, the output will similarly the form $ag_1(Y, Z) + bg_2(Y, Z)$, where $f_1(y, z)$ $f_2(y, z)$ generate $g_1(Y, Z)$ and $g_2(Y, Z)$ respecand tivel

ore, a linear system will be space invariant if more, a linear system will be space invariant it sees the property of stationarity; that is, in effect, ing the position of the input merely changes the of the output without altering its functional the idea behind much of this is that the output The decomposition of the decomposition of the observation of the outputs arising from each of the tal points on the object. In fact, if we symbolically at the operation of the linear system as \mathcal{L} }, the it and output can be written as

(11.46) $g(Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}{f(y, z)}.$ g the sifting property of the δ -function (11.36),

 $\mathscr{O}[\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Z}] = \mathscr{L}\left\{\int\int f(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{z}')\delta(\mathbf{y}'-\mathbf{y})\delta(\mathbf{z}'-\mathbf{z})\,d\mathbf{y}'\,d\mathbf{z}'\right\}.$

integral expresses f(y, z) as a linear combination of ary delta functions, each weighted by a number It follows from the second linearity condition



Figure 11.13 Some functions and their transform

11.3 Optical Applications

483

(e)



Figure 11.14 (a) The comb function and its transform. (b) A shifted comb function and its transform.

that the system operator can equivalently act on each of the elementary functions; thus

$$g(Y,Z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+} f(y',z') \mathscr{L}\{\delta(y'-y)\delta(z'-z)\} dy' dz'.$$
(11.47)

The quantity $\mathscr{L}[\delta(y'-y)\delta(z'-z)]$ is the response of the system (11.46) to a delta function located at the point (y',z') in the input space—it's called the **impulse** response. Apparently, if the impulse response of a system is known, the output can be determined directly from the input by means of Eq. (11.47). If the elemen-tary sources are coherent, the input and output signals will have to be electric fields; if incoherent, they'll be flux densities.

Consider the self-luminous and, therefore, incoher-ent source depicted in Fig. 11.15. We can imagine that each point on the object plane, Σ_0 , emits light that is processed by the optical system. It emerges to form a spot on the focal or image plane, Σ_i . In addition, we assume that the magnification between object and image planes is one. The image will be life-sized and even, we makes it a little easier to deal with for the time be Notice that if the magnification (M_T) was greated one, the image would be larger than the object, sequently, all of its structural details would be and broader, so the spatial frequencies of the har contributions that go into synthesizing the images be lower than those of the object. For example, that is a transparency of a sinusoidally variation and white linear pattern (a sinusoidal amplified and white linear pattern (a sinusoidal ampli and winter threat parters to animototal amplitude at ing) would be imaged having a greater space berow maxima and therefore a lower spatial freque Besides that, the image irradiance would be decrea by M_T^2 , because the image area would be increased a factor of M_m^2 If $I_0(y, z)$ is the irradiance distribution on the

plane, an element dy dz located at (y, z) will emit at flux of $I_0(y, z) dy dz$. Because of diffraction (at possible presence of aberrations), this light is an out into some sort of blur spot over a finite area Image plane rather than focused to a point. The of radiant flux is described mathematically by the tion $\delta(y, z; Y, Z)$, such that the flux density are the image point from dy dz is

 $dI_i(Y,Z) = \mathcal{S}(y,z; Y,Z)I_0(y,z) \, dy \, dz.$ (11.40) This is the patch of light in the image plane and (Y.Z.



Figure 11.15 A lens system forming an image.

(1) Y, Z) is known as the **point-spread function**. er words, when the irradiance $I_0(y, z)$ over the identities $\delta y dz$ is 1 W/m^2 , $\delta(y, z; Y, Z) dy dz$ is the ement of as a strum, ey, z; z, c) dy dz is the c the resulting irradiance distribution in the me. Because of the incoherence of the source, lensity contributions from each of its elements ie, so

$\underline{\underline{B}}_{0}^{r}(Y,Z) = \int \int I_{0}(y,z)S(y,z;Y,Z) \, dy \, dz. \quad (11.49)$

ct," diffraction-limited optical system having reg. "affraction-finite optical system having points, S(y, z; Y, Z) would correspond in shape fraction figure of a point source at (y, z). If we set the input equal to a δ -pulse centered then $I_0(x, z) = A\delta(y - y_0)\delta(z - z_0)$. Here the a of magnitude one carries the needed units times area). Thus

$$(y',Z) = A \iint_{-\infty} \delta(y-y_0)\delta(z-z_0)S(y,z; Y,Z) \, dy \, dz,$$

es as from the sifting property,

 $I_{i}(Y,Z) = AS(y_{0}, z_{0}; Y,Z).$ point-spread function has a functional form to that of the image generated by a δ -pulse the impulse response of the system [compare (47) and (11.49)], whether optically perfect or a well-corrected system *S*, apart from a multi-constant, is the Airy irradiance distribution (1006) centered on the Gaussian image point

the states is space invariant, a point-source input about over the object plane without any the other than changing the location of its image. The other than changing the location of its image. Senter, one can say that the spread function is one for any point (9, 2). In practice, however, the thirded into small regions, over each of which the structure into small regions, over each of which the structure is image, is small enough, the system can be then by the space invariant. We can imagine a spread structure at the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure is image, is small enough, the system can be then by the space invariant. We can imagine a spread structure of the struc 11.3 Optical Applications 485

each multiplied by a different weighting factor $I_0(y, z)$ but all of the same general shape independent of (y, z). Since the magnification was set at one, the coordinates of 0, 2). Since the magnification was set at one, the coordinates of any object and conjugate image point have the same magnitude.

If we were dealing with coherent light, we would have to consider how the system acted upon an input that was again a δ -pulse, but this time one representing the field amplitude. Once more the resulting image would heir amplitude. Once more the resoluting image would be described by a spread function, although it would be an *amplitude* spread function. For a diffraction-limited circular aperture, the amplitude spread function looks like Fig. 10.88(b). And finally, we would have to be concerned about the interference that would take place on the image plane as the coherent fields interac-ted. By contrast, with incoherent object points the process occurring on the image plane is simply the summation of overlapping irradiances, as depicted in one dimension in Fig. 11.17. Each source point, with its own strength, corresponds to an appropriately scaled δ pulse, and in the image plane each of these is smeared out, via the spread function. The sum of all the overlap-ping contributions is the image irradiance. What kind of dependence on the image and object

space variables will S(y, z; Y, Z) have? The spread func-



Figure 11.16 The point-spread function: the i by the optical system with an input point source ead function: the irradiance produced

tion can only depend on (y, x) as far as the location of its center is concerned. Thus the value of $\delta(y, z; Y, Z)$ anywhere on Σ_n mercly depends on the **displace**ment at that location from the **particular Gaussian image** point (Y = y, Z = z) on which S is centered (Fig. 11.18). In other words,

S(y, z; Y, Z) = S(Y - y, Z - z). (11.50)



Figure 11.17 Here (a) is convolved first with (b) to produce (c) and then with (d) to produce (e). The resulting pattern is the sum of all the spread-out contributions as indicated by the dashed curve in (e).



When the object point is on the central axis (y = 0), z = 0), the Gaussian image point is as well use the spread function is then just S(Y, Z), as depiced 11.16. Under the circumstances of space invariaincoherence,

$$I_{i}(Y, Z) = \iint_{-\infty} I_{0}(y, z) S(Y - y, Z - z) \, dy \, dz_{i} \quad (11.50)$$

11.3.2 The Convolution Integral

Figure 11.17 shows a one-dimensional represent of the distribution of point-source δ -function of obtained by "dealing out" an **appropriately** wighter point-spread function to the location of each may point on χ_1 and then adding up all the compuat each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out her each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ . This dealing out of each at each point along χ .

function, I₀(y), is convolved that vice versa. This procedure can be carried out in two as well, and that's essentially what is being (11.51), the so-called convolution integration sponding one-dimensional expression design of the sponding of the $g(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \operatorname{and} h(x),$ $g(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)h(X-x) \, dx, \qquad (11.52)$

to visualize. In Fig. 11.17 one of the two funcors a group of δ -pulses, and the convolution in was particularly easy to visualize. Still, we can easy function to be composed of a "densely " continuum of δ -pulses and treat it in much the thiom. Let us now examine in some detail exactly integral of Eq. (11.52) mathematically manages orm the convolution. The essential features of 11.3 Optical Applications 487

the process are illustrated in Fig. 11.19. The resulting signal $g(X_i)$, at some point X_i in the output space, is a linear superposition of all the individual overlapping contributions that exist at X_i . In other words, each source element dx yields a signal of a particular strength f(x) dx, which is then smeared out by the system into a region centered about the Gaussian image point (X = x). The output at X_i is then $dg(X_i) = f(x)h(X_i - x) dx$. The integral sums up all of these contributions from each source element. Of couse the elements more remote from a given point on Σ_i contribute less, because the spread function generally drops off with displace.



The overlapping of weighted spread functions.

ment. Thus we can imagine $f(\mathbf{x})$ to be a one-dimensional irradiance distribution, such as a series of vertical bands, as in Fig. 11.20. If the one-dimensional **line-spread function**. $h(X - \mathbf{x})$, is that of Fig. 11.20(d), the resulting image will simply be a somewhat blurred version of the input (Fig. 11.20(c)). Let's now examine the convolution a bit more as a mathematical activity. Actually it's a subther subthe beat

The new examine one convolution a on more as a machematical entity. Actually it's a rather suble beast, performing a process that might certainly not be obvious at first glance, so let's approach it from a slightly different viewpoint. Accordingly, we will have two ways of thinking about the convolution integral, and we shall



Figure 11.20 The irradiance distribution is converted to a function f(x) shown in (a). This is convolved with a δ -function (b) to yield a duplicate of f(x). By contrax, convolving f(x) with the spread function h_2 in (d) yields a smoothed out curve represented by $g_2(x)$ in (e).

show that they are equivalent. Suppose h(x) looks like the asymmetrical set is shifted form h(X = x) appears in Fig. 11.21(a). Then h(-x) appears in Fig. 11.21(a). this shifted form h(X = x) is shown in (c). Induces by Eq. (11.52). This is often written more con-f(x) $\otimes h(x)$. The integral simply says that the are-the product function f(x)h(X = x) for all x is Evidently the product is nonzero only over the d wherein h(X = x) is nonzero, that is, where $f(x)h(X_1 = x)$ is nonzero, that is, where $f(x)h(X_1 = x)$ is g(X). This fairly direct inter-tion in the output space, the physically more place of the integral in terms of overhaping point (c))(X₁ - x) is g(X₁). This fairly direct interm can be related back to the physically more plean of the integral in terms of overlapping point tions, as depicted previously in Fig. 11.19. Remet that there we said that each source element was out in a blur spot on the image plane having the approach and wish to compute the product area of the spread function. Now suppose we take the approach and wish to compute the product area of the spread function. Now suppose we take the approach and wish to compute the product area of the spread function. Now suppose we take the approach and wish to compute the product area of the spread function. Now suppose we take the approach and wish to compute the product area of the spread function. The region of over 11.22(a), say x₁, will contribute an amount first x₁) dx to the area. This same differential elem-make an identical contribution when view overlapping spread-function scheme. To see the examine (b) and (c) in Fig. 11.22, which ag now the in the output space. The latter shows the spread "centered" at X = x₁. A source element is signal at X₁ is $f(x_1)$ is $(X = x_1)$, as in the f(x₁) is (x_1) an number. The piece of this signal at X₁ is $f(x_1)$ is $(X = x_1)$, as is signal at X₁ is $f(x_1)$ is $(X = x_1)$, as is contribution made by dx at x₁ in (a). Simu-differential element of the product area (at an in Fig. 11.22(a) has its counterpart in a curve of (d) but "centered" on a new point (X = z) beyond x = x₂ make no contribution, because not in the overlap region of (a) and, equi-because they are too far from X₁ for the smeat it, as shown in (e). If the functions being convolved are simple em-

it, as shown in (e). If the functions being convolved are simple and g(X) can be determined roughly without attractions at all. The convolution of two identices





11.3 Optical Applications

489

(c)

ess in the image





252


pulses is illustrated, from both of the viewpoint cach impulse constituting f(x) is spread out impulse and impulse constituting f(x) is spread out impulse and summed. In Fig. 11.24 the overlapping as h varies, is plotted against X. In both impu-result is a triangular pulse. Incidentally, obtoint $f(\Phi) h) = (h \oplus f)$, as can be seen by a charge of (x' = X - x) in Eq. (11.52), being careful without (see Problem 11.15). Figure 11.25 illustrates the convolution of two tions $f_0(x_2)$ and $\delta(y_1x)$ in two dimensions, as f_{eq} (11.51). Here the volume under the predere $f_0(x_2)$. S(Y - y, Z - z), that is, the region of two equals $f_1(Y, Z)$ at (Y, Z); see Problem 11.16





 $\mathcal{F}\{g\}=\mathcal{F}\{f\circledast h\}=\mathcal{F}\{f\}\cdot\mathcal{F}\{h\}$ (11.53)

(11.54) G(k) = F(k)H(k), $\mathbb{R}[t] = G(k)$. The proof is quite straightforward:

$$\mathscr{F}{f \in h} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(X) e^{ikX} \, dX$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ikX} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)h(X-x) \, dx \right] dX.$$

11.3 Optical Applications 491

Figure 11.25 Convolution in two dimensions.

[+∞ [[+∞

$$G(k) = \int_{-\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty} h(X - x)e^{iKx} dX \right] f(x) dx.$$

If we put $w = X - x$ in the inner integral, then $dX = dw$

1

and
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx$$

$$G(K) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(X) e^{-\alpha X} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(w) e^{-\alpha X}$$
Hence

G(k)=F(k)H(k),

which verifies the theorem. As an example of its applica-tion, refer to Fig. 11.26. Since the convolution of two identical square pulses $(f \otimes h)$ is a triangular pulse (g),

Figure 11.23 Convolution of two square pulses. The fact that we represented f(x) by a finite number of delta functions (viz., 7) accounts for the steps in g(X).



Figure 11.26 An illustration of the convolution theorem

the product of their transforms (Fig. 7.17) must be the transform of g, namely,

$$\mathscr{F}{g} = [d \operatorname{sinc} (kd/2)]^2.$$
 (11.55)

As an additional example, convolve a square pulse with the two δ -functions of Fig. 11.11. The transform of the resulting double pulse (Fig. 11.27) is again the product of the individual transforms. The k-space counterpart of Eq. (11.53), namely, the frequency convolution theorem, is given by

$$\mathscr{F}{f \cdot h} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathscr{F}{f} \circledast \mathscr{F}{h};$$
 (11.56)

that is, the transform of the product is the convolution of the transforms.

of the transforms. Figure 11.28 makes the point rather nicely. Here an infinitely long cosine, f(x), is multiplied by a rectangular pulse, h(x), which truncates it into a short oscillatory wavetrain, g(x). The transform of f(x) is a pair of delta functions, the transform of the rectangular pulse is a sinc function, and the convolution of the two is the transform of g(x). Compare this result with that of Eq. (7.60). (7.60).

ii) Transform of the Gaussian Wave Packet

As a further example of the usefulness of the convo-lution theorem, let's evaluate the Fourier transform of

a pulse of light in the configuration of the u of Fig. 11.29. Taking a rather general appro-that since a one-dimensional harmonic wa form

$E(x, t) = E_0 e^{-i(k_0 x - \omega t)},$

one need only modulate the amplitude to get a pulse of the desired structure. Assuming the waves profile to be independent of time, we can write it as $E(x,0) = f(x)e^{-ik_0x}.$

Now, to determine $\mathscr{F}{f(x)e^{-i\theta_0 x}}$ evaluate

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-ik_0 \mathbf{x}} e^{ik\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}.$$

(11.59)

585

Letting $k' = k - k_0$, we get f +00

$$F(k') = \int_{-\infty} f(x)e^{ik'x} dx = F(k - k_0) \qquad (i)$$

other words, if $F(k) = \mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}$, then $f(k)$

in other words, if $F(x) = \mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}$, then $\mathcal{F}\{f(x)e^{-ik_0x}\}$. For the specific case of a envelope (11.11), as in the figure, $f(x) = \sqrt{u}f(x)$ is.







In this is a different way, the transform can be deter-effrom Eq. (11.56). The expression E(x, 0) is now deal as the product of the two functions $f(x) = \exp(-ik_x)$. One way to the $\mathcal{F}(k)$ is to set f(x) = 1 in Eq. (11.57). This yields the graniform of 1 with k replaced by $k - k_0$. Since $\frac{1}{2}\pi\delta(k)$ (see Problem 11.4), we have $\mathcal{F}\{e^{-ik_0}\}$. Thus $\mathcal{F}\{E(x, 0)\}$ is $1/2\pi$ times the convo-int of $2\pi\delta(k - k_0)$, with the Gaussian $e^{-k^2/4n}$ centered



an wave packet and its transform A C

on zero. The result* is once again a Gaussian centered on k_{α} , namely, $e^{-(h-k_{0})^{2}/4a}$ on ko, namely, e-0

11.3.3 Fourier Methods in Diffraction Theory

i) Frounhofer Diffraction

E

Fourier-transform theory provides a particularly beau-tiful insight into the mechanism of Fraunhofer diffrac-tion. Let's go back to Eq. (10.41), rewritten as

$$E(Y,Z) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_A e^{i(\omega t - kR)}}{R} \iint_{A \text{ perture}} e^{ik_1 Y y + Z t / R} \, dy \, dz. \quad (11.61)$$

* We should actually have used the real part of exp(-ik_0x) to start with in this derivation, since the transform of the complex exponential is different from the transform of cos Ags and taking the real part different real insufficient. This the same sort of difficulty one always encounters when (100) should, in the canonic and additional resp (+k Ag)^2/4(1) (rom, as well as a multiplicative constant of k. This second term is usually negligible in comparison, however. Even so, had we used exp(+ik_0x) to start with (11.59), only the negligible to represent the sine or cosine in this fashon is rigorously incorred, albeit pragmatically with the greatest caution!



This formula refers to Fig. 10.22, which depicts an arbitrary diffracting aperture in the yz-plane upon which is incident a monochromatic plane wave. The quantity R is the distance from the center of the aperture to the output point where the field is E(X,Z). The source strength per unit area of the aperture is denoted by \mathcal{G}_n . We are talking about electric fields that are of course time-varying; the term $\exp(i)$ (i) (i)

 $\mathcal{A}(y,z) \equiv \mathcal{A}_0(y,z)e^{i\phi(y,z)},$ (11.62) which we call the **aperture function**. The amplitude of the field over the aperture is described by $\mathcal{A}_0(y,z)$, while the point-to-point phase variation is represented by

The noise over interpotence of the source of $y_{00}(y_s)$ mine the point-to-point phase variation is represented by exp [$i\phi(y, z)$]. Accordingly, $\mathscr{A}(y, z) dy dz$ is proportional to the diffracted field emanating from the differential source element dy dz. Consolidating this much, we can reformulate Eq. (11.61) more generally as

$$E(Y, Z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathscr{A}(y, z) e^{ik(Yy+Zz)/R} \, dy \, dz. \quad (11.63)$$

The limits on the integral can be extended to $\pm\infty$, because the aperture function is nonzero only over the region of the aperture.



Figure 11.30 A bit of geometry.

It might be helpful to envision dE(Y, Z) at a given point P as if it were a plane wave propagatifdirection of kas in Fig. 11.30, and having an ardetermined by s(x, z) dy dz. To underscore the ity between Eq. (11.63) and Eq. (11.14), let's derive the spatial frequencies k_Y and k_Z as

and $k_Y = kY/R = k \sin \phi = k \cos \beta \qquad (11.80)$ $k_Z = kZ/R = k \sin \theta = k \cos \gamma. \qquad (11.80)$

 $k_Z = kZ/R = k \sin \theta = k \cos \gamma$. (11.8) For each point on the image plane, there is a correspondence of the spatial frequency. The diffracted field can now be

 $E(k_Y, k_Z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathscr{A}(y, z) e^{i(y_y z + \lambda_z z^2)} dy dz, \quad (11.66)$

and we've arrived at the key point: the file in the Fraunhofer diffraction pattern is the Fraof the field distribution across the aperture function). Symbolically, this is written as

 $E(k_Y, k_Z) = \mathcal{F}\{\mathcal{A}(y, z)\}$

distribution in the image plane is the spatialspectrum of the aperture function. The inverse own is then

$$|\xi|(5,1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty} E(k_Y, k_Z)e^{-i(k_Y + k_Z)} dk_Y dk_Z,$$

(11.68)

 $\mathfrak{sl}(y,z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{E(k_Y,k_Z)\}.$ (11.69) have seen time and again, the more localized the the more spread out is its transform—the same two dimensions. The smaller the diffracting, the larger the angular spread of the diffracted equivalently, the larger the spatial frequency

Single Silt

Allustration of the method, consider the long slit and protection of Fig. 10.10, illuminated by a plane



11.3 Optical Applications 495

wave. Assuming that there are no phase or amplitude variations across the aperture, $\mathscr{A}(y,z)$ has the form of a square pulse (Fig. 7.17):

$$\mathscr{A}(y,z) = \begin{cases} \mathscr{A}_0 & \text{when } |z| \leq b/2 \\ 0 & \text{when } |z| > b/2, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{A}_0 is no longer a function of y and z. If we take it as a one-dimensional problem,

$$E(k_Z) = \mathscr{F}\{\mathscr{A}(z)\} = \mathscr{A}_0 \int_{z=-b/2}^{z=a/2} e^{ik_Z} dz$$
$$= \mathscr{A}_0 b \operatorname{sinc} k_0 h/2$$

With $k_{Z} = k \sin \theta$, this is precisely the form derived in Section 10.2.1. The far-field diffraction pattern of a rectangular aperture (Section 10.2.4) is the twodimensional counterpart of the slit. With s(y, z) again equal to sd_{0} over the aperture (Fig. 10.23),





255

hence,

$$E(k_Y, k_Z) = \mathcal{A}_0 ba \operatorname{sinc} \frac{bkY}{2R} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{akZ}{2R}$$

just as in Eq. (10.42), where ba is the area of the hole.

Young's Experiment, The Double Slit

In our first treatment of Young's experiment (Section (9.3) we took the slits to be infinitesimally wide. The aperture function was then two symmetrical δ -pulses, and the corresponding idealized field amplitude in the a cosine function. Squared, this yields the familiar cosine-squared irradiance distribution of Fig. 9.6. More realistically, each aperture actually has some finite shape, and the real diffraction pattern will never be quite so simple. Figure 11.31 shows the case in which the holes are actual slits. The aperture function, g(x), is obtained by convolving the δ -function spikes, h(x), that locate each slit with the rectangular pulse, f(x), that corresponds to the particular opening. From the convolution theorem, the product of the transforms is the modulated cosine amplitude function representing the diffracted field as it appears on the image plane. Squar-ing that would produce the anticipated double-slit irradiance distribution shown in Fig. 10.17. The onedimensional transform curves are plotted against k, but that's equivalent to plotting against image-space vari-ables by means of Eq. (11.64). (The same reasoning applied to circular apertures yields the fringe pattern of Fig. 12.2.)



Figure 11.32 The Fourier transform of three equal &-functions representing three slits

Three Slits

Ince Sits Looking at Fig. 11-13(d) it should be clear that the transform of the array of three δ-functions if the anount proportional to the zero-frequency tells is, the δ-function at the origin. When that delta's has twice the amplitude of the other two, the intally positive. Now suppose we have them totally positive. Now suppose we have the narrow parallel slits uniformly illuminated ture function corresponds to Fig. 11.32(a), central &-function is half its previous size. Ac the cosine transform will drop one quarter, o down, as indicated in Fig. 11.32(b). This cost to the diffracted electric field amplitude, and Fig. 11.32(c), is the three-slit irradiance patterns the cosine transform will drop on

ii) Apodization

The term apodization derives from the Gree away, and $\pi \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma$, meaning foot. It refers to the pro of suppressing the secondary maxima (side lobes) feet of a diffraction pattern. In the case of spot surrounded by concentric rings. The first a flux density of 1.75% that of the central period small but it can be troublesome. About 16% of the incident on the image plane is distributed in the system. The presence of these side lobes and the resolving power of an optical system to a pure



lation Cantis Major—the big dog), is actually one mary system. It's accompanied by a faint white as they both orbit about their mutual center of as they both orbit about their mutual center of geause of the tremendous difference in bright-to 1), the image of the faint companion, as with a telescope, is generally completely aby the side lobes of the diffraction pattern of in star.

In star. Jation can be accomplished in several ways, for by altering the shape of the aperture or its ision characteristics.^{*} We already know from 1.66) that the diffracted field distribution is the form of $\mathscr{A}(y, z)$. Thus we could effect a change in most w(y, z), thus we control enter a charge in lobes by altering $\mathcal{S}_0(y, z)$ or $\phi(y, z)$. Perhaps the approach is the one in which only $\mathcal{S}_0(y, z)$ is ated. This can be accomplished physically by the aperture with a suitably coated flat glass is the aperture with a suitably coated flat glass ng the objective lens itself). Suppose that ting becomes increasingly opaque as it goes out from the center (in the x-plane) towards es of a circular pupil. The transmitted field will and a direction of the peripher of the aperture. In an inegligible at the periphery of the aperture. In ar, imagine that this drop-off in amplitude folussian curve. Then son(y, z) is a Gaussian funca is a is transform E(Y,Z), and consequently the gystem vanishes. Even though the central peak is idened, the side lobes are indeed suppressed (Fig.

other rather heuristic but appealing way to look he process is to realize that the higher spatial lency contributions go into sharpening up the of the function being synthesized. As we saw in one dimension (Fig. 7.13), the high frequen-to fill in the corners on the square pulse. In way, since $\mathcal{A}(y,z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{E(k_Y,k_Z)\}$, sharp on the aperture necessitate the presence of ecable contributions of high spatial frequency in liftrated field. It follows that making $\mathscr{A}_0(x,z)$ fall ually will reduce these high frequencies, which is manifest in a suppression of the side lobes. Ization is one aspect of the more encompassing

consive treatment of the subject, see P. Jacquinot and B. er, "Apodization," in Vol. 111 of Progress in Optics.





Figure 11.33 An Airy pattern compared with a Gaussian

technique of *spatial filtering*, which is discussed in an extensive yet nonmathematical treatment in Chapter 14.

iii) The Array Theorem

οı

Generalizing some of our previous ideas to two dimensions, imagine that we have a screen containing N identical holes, as in Fig. 11.34. In each aperture, at the same relative position, we locate a point O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_N at $(y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2), \ldots, (y_N, z_N)$, respectively. Each of these, in turn, fixes the origin of a local coordinate system (y', z'). Thus a point (y', z') in the local frame of the jth aperture has coordinates $(y_i + y'_i, z_i + z')$ in the (y, z)-system. Under coherent monochromatic illumination, the resulting Fraunhofer diffraction field E(Y, Z) at some point P on the image plane will be a superposition of the individual fields at *P* arising from each separate aperture; in other words,

$$E(Y, Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_{f}(y', z') e^{it(Y(y_{j}+y')+Z(y_{j}+z'))/R} dy' dz'$$
(11.70)
$$E(Y, Z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt_{f}(y', z') e^{it(Yy'+Zz')/R} dy' dz'$$

$$\times \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{it(Yy_{j}+Zz_{j})/R},$$
(11.71)



Figure 11.34 Multiple-aperture configuration.

where $\mathscr{A}_{I}(y',z')$ is the individual aperture function applicable to each hole. This can be recast, using Eqs. (11.64) and (11.65), as

$$E(k_{\mathbf{Y}}, k_{\mathbf{Z}}) = \iint_{-\infty} \mathscr{A}_{I}(\mathbf{y}', \mathbf{z}') e^{i(k_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{y}' + k_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{z}')} d\mathbf{y}' d\mathbf{z}'$$
$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i(k_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{y}_{i})} e^{i(k_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{y}_{i})}, \qquad (11.72)$$

Notice that the integral is the Fourier transform of the individual aperture function, while the sum is the transform (11.42) of an array of delta functions

$$A_{\delta} = \sum_{j} \delta(y - y_j) \delta(z - z_j). \qquad (11.75)$$

Inasmuch as $E(k_Y, k_Z)$ itself is the transform $\mathscr{F}{A(y, z)}$ of the total aperture function for the entire array, we have

$$\mathcal{F}{A(y, z)} = \mathcal{F}{A_1(y', z')} \cdot \mathcal{F}{A_8}.$$
 (11.74)

This equation is a statement of the **array theorem**, which says that the field distribution in the Frauwhofer diffraction pattern of an array of similarly oriented identical apertures equals the Fourier transform of an individual aperture function (i.e., its diffracted field distribution) multiplied by the pattern that usual aresult from a set of point sources arrayed in the same configuration (which is the transform of A_b). This can be seen from a slightly different posview. The total aperture function may be a significant of a onvolving the individual aperture function, each size, and appropriate array of delta functions, each size, at a of the coordinate origins (y_1, z_3) , (y_2, z_3) , in Hence

$\mathcal{A}(y,z)=\mathcal{A}_{l}(y',z') \circledast A_{\delta},$

whereupon the array theorem follows directly from to convolution theorem (11.53). As a simple example, imagine that we array Young's experiment with two slits along the y the of width b and separation a. The individual approach function for each slit is a step function.

 $\mathcal{A}_{I}(z') = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{I0} & \text{when } |z'| \le b/2\\ 0 & \text{when } |z'| > b/2, \end{cases}$ and so

 $\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}\{\mathscr{A}_{I}(z')\} &= \mathscr{A}_{I0}b \operatorname{sinc} k_{2}b/2, \end{aligned}$ With the slits located at $z = \pm a/2, \\ A_{5} &= \delta(z - a/2) + \delta(z + a/2). \end{aligned}$

 $\mathcal{F}\{A_{\delta}\}=2\cos k_{Z}a/2.$ Thus

$$E(k_Z) = 2 \mathcal{A}_{I0} b \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{k_Z b}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{k_Z a}{2}\right)$$

which is the same conclusion arrived at the 11.31). The irradiance pattern is a set of the interference fringes modulated by a sinc-square tion envelope.

11.3.4 Spectra and Correlation

i) Parseval's Formula

Suppose that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a pulse of finite extent, $\delta \mathbf{x}$ is is Fourier transform (11.5). Thinking back to T_{c} , we recognize the function $F(\mathbf{x})$ as the annulated of the control of the contro

will extend that |F(k)| serves as a spectral amplitude interaction of the square, $|F(k)|^2$, should be proportional extension of the time demain, if R(t) is a radiated electric transfer of the time demain, if R(t) is a radiated electric of the transfer of the the radiated electric of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the state of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer transfer of the transfer transfer of the tra

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \left(t \right)^{\mathbf{a}} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\mathbf{a}}(\omega) e^{\mathbf{a} i \omega t} d\omega \right] dt.$$
Sampling the order of integration, we obtain
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \left(t \right) f^{\mathbf{a}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\mathbf{a}}(\omega) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{i\omega t} dt \right] d\omega$$
and so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \qquad (11.76)$$

where $|F(\omega)|^2 = F^*(\omega)F(\omega)$. This is Parseval's formula. In spectral, the total energy is proportional to the area where $|F(\omega)|^2$ curve, and consequently $|F(\omega)|^2$ is between a consequently $|F(\omega)|^2$ is between a spectrum or spectral energy within. The corresponding formula for the space domain is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(k)|^2 dk. \quad (11.77)$$

1) The Lorentzian Profile

At an indication of the manner in which these ideas are in practice, consider the damped harmonic tave $f(\cdot) = x = 0$ depicted in Fig. 11.35. Here

 $f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{from } t = -\infty \text{ to } t = 0\\ f_0 e^{-t/2\tau} \cos \omega_0 t & \text{from } t = 0 \text{ to } t = +\infty. \end{cases}$

the exponential dependence arises, quite gentenever the rate of change of a quantity on its instantaneous value. In this case, we pose that the power radiated by an atom varies



Figure 11.35 A damped harmonic wave.

as $(e^{-t/\tau})^{1/2}$. In any event, τ is known as the time constant of the oscillation, and $\tau^{-1} = \gamma$ is the damping constant. The transform of f(t) is

$$F(\omega) = \int_0^\infty (f_0 e^{-t/2\tau} \cos \omega_0 t) e^{i\omega t} dt.$$
 (11.78)

The evaluation of this integral is explored in the problems. One finds on performing the calculation that

$$F(\omega) = \frac{f_0}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\tau} - i(\omega + \omega_0) \right]^2 + \frac{f_0}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\tau} - i(\omega - \omega_0) \right]$$

When f(t) is the radiated field of an atom, τ denotes the lijtime of the excited state (from around 1.0 ns to 10 ns). Now if we form the power spectrum $F(\omega)F^*(\omega)$, it will be composed of two peaks centered on $\pm \omega_0$ and thus separated by $2\omega_0$. At optical frequencies where $\omega_0 \gg$, these will be both narrow and widely spaced, with essentially no overlap. The shape of these peaks is determined by the transform of the modulation envelope in Fig. 1.13.5, that is, a negative exponential. The location of the peaks is fixed by the frequency of the

modulated cosine wave, and the fact that there are two such peaks is a reflection of the spectrum of the cosine in this symmetrical frequency representation (Section 7.8). To determine the observable spectrum from $F(\omega)F^{\bullet}(\omega)$, we need only consider the positive frequency term, namely.

$$|F(\omega)|^2 = \frac{f_0^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\gamma^2/4}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \gamma^2/4}.$$
 (11.79)

This has a maximum value of f_0^d/γ^2 at $\omega = \omega_0$, as shown in Fig. 11.36. At the half-power points $(\omega - \omega_0) = \pm \gamma/2$, $|F(\omega)|^2 = f_0^2/2\gamma^2$, which is half its maximum value. The width of the spectral line between these points is equal to γ .

The curve given by Eq. (11.79) is known as the resonance or Lorentz profile. The frequency bandwidth arising from the finite duration of the excited state is called the natural linewidth.

If the radiating atom suffers a collision, it can lose energy and thereby further shorten the duration of emission. The frequency bandwidth increases in the process, which is known as *Lorentz broadening*. Here again, the spectrum is found to have a Lorentz profile. Furthermore, because of the random thermal motion of the atoms in a gas, the frequency bandwidth will be increased via the Doppler effect. *Doppler broadening*, as it is called, results in a Gaussian spectrum (Section 7.10). The Gaussian drops more slowly in the immediate vicinty of ω_0 and then more quickly away from it than does the Lorentzian profile. These effects can be combined mathematically to yield a single spectrum by convolving the Gaussian and Lorentzian functions. In a lowpressure gaseous discharge, the Gaussian profile is by far the wide and generally predominates.



Figure 11.36 The resonance or Lorentz profile.

ii) Autocorrelation and Cross-Correspondence of the derivation of Parse and follow it through again, this time consolitation. We wish to evaluate $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x_1) \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_2) \frac{1}{2}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t+\tau)f^{\bullet}(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t+\tau)$$

$$* \qquad \times \left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\bullet}(\omega)e^{\pi i \omega} dt\right]$$
Changing the order of integration, we obtain

(11.86)

 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\bullet}(\omega) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t+\tau) e^{i\omega t} dt \right] d\omega$ $= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\bullet}(\omega) \mathscr{F}\{f(t+\tau)\} d\omega.$

To evaluate the transform within the last in the last

$$f(t+\tau)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}F(\omega)e^{-i\omega(t+\tau)}\frac{d\omega}{d\omega}$$
 by a change of variable in Eq. (11.9). Hence,

 $f(t+\tau) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{F(w)e^{-iw\tau}\},$

so as discussed earlier,
$$\mathscr{F}{f(l+\tau)} = F(\omega)e^{-\omega \tau}$$
, Eq. (11.80) becomes

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t+\tau) f^{\bullet}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{\bullet}(\omega) F(\omega) t^{-i\omega t} dt$$

and both sides are functions of the parameters left-hand side of this formula is said to be the lation of f(t), denoted by

$$c_{if}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t+\tau) f^*(t) \, dt, \qquad (1)$$

th is often written symbolically as $f(t)$ \bigcirc

take the transform of both aides, Eq. (11.81) then becomes

 $\mathcal{F}\{c_{jj}(\tau)\}=|F(\omega)|^2.$

s form of the Wiener-Khintchine theorem. It allows bermination of the spectrum by way of the orcelation of the generating function. The swhen it doesn't, things will have to be changed only "The integral can also be restated as have f^{++}

$$c_{ff}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty} f(t) f^{\bullet}(t-\tau) dt \qquad (1)$$

.84)

imple change of variable $(t + \tau \text{ to } t)$. Similarly, the correlation of the functions f(t) and h(t) is

 $c_{jh}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f^{*}(t)h(t + \tau) dt.$ (11.85)

aton analysis is essentially a means for comparsignals in order to determine the degree of the between them. In autocorrelation the original an is displaced in time by an amount τ_i the product displaced and undisplaced versions is formed, hence and the product (corresponding to the of overlap) is computed by means of the integral. Incoorrelation function, $\sigma_i(\tau)$, provides the result be obtained in such a process for all values of the reason for doing such a thing, for example, is a more a signal from a background of random noise. See how the business works step by step, let's take the nuccorrelation of a simple function, such as a stat + ε , shown in Fig. 11.37. In each part of the am the function is shifted by a value of τ , the total function is simple function, such as a stat + ε , shown in Fig. 11.37. In each part of the am the function is simple function is not been and from a computed and plotted in part botte that the process is indifferent to the value of final result is $c_0(\tau) = \frac{1}{4}A^2 \cos \sigma_\tau$ where this functified by a value of τ , the set construct from that both the original amplitude the angular frequency ω . When the functions to be real, we can rewrite

$$c_{fh}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)h(t+\tau) dt,$$
 (11.86)

which is obviously similar to the expression for the

11.3 Optical Applications 501

convolution of f(t) and h(t). Equation (11.86) is written symbolically as $c_n(\tau) = f(t) \odot h(t)$. Indeed, if either f(t)or h(t) is even, then $f(t) \otimes h(t) = h(t) \circ h(t)$, as we shall see by example presently. Recall that the convolution flips one of the functions over and then sums up the overlap write. In contrast, the correlation sums up the overlap without flipping the function, and thus if the function is even, f(t) = f(-t), it isn't changed by being flipped (or folded about the symmetry axis), and the two integrands are identical. For this to obtain, either function must be even, since $f(t) \otimes h(t) = h(t) \otimes f(t)$. The autocorrelation of a square pulse is therefore equal to the convolution of the pulse with itself, which yields a triangular signal, as in Fig. 11.24. This same conclusion follows from Eq. (11.83) and Fig. 11.26. The transform of a square pulse is a sinc function, so that the power spectrum varies as $\sin c^2 u$. The inverse transform of $|F(\omega)|^2$, that is, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\sin c^2 u)$, is $c_n(\tau)$, which as we have seen is a scing a triangular upper full 1380.

p (w), that is j = (and w), is $\eta(r)$, which as we have seen, is again a triangular pulse (Fig. 11.38). It is clearly possible for a function to have infinite energy (11.76) over an integration ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and yet still have a finite *average power*

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Accordingly, we will define a correlation that is divided by the integration interval:

 $C_{fh}(\tau) \equiv \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} f(t)h(t+\tau) \, dt. \qquad (11.87)$

For example, if f(t) = A (i.e., a constant), its autocorrelation

$$C_{ff}(\tau) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} (A)(A) dt = A^2,$$

and the power spectrum, which is the transform of the autocorrelation, becomes

$\mathscr{F}{C_{if}(\tau)} = A^2 2\pi \delta(\omega),$

a single impulse at the origin ($\omega = 0$), which is sometimes referred to as a *dc*-term. Notice that $C_{jh}(\tau)$ can be thought of as the time average of a product of two functions, one of which is shifted by an interval τ . In the next chapter, expressions of the form $(f^{\bullet}(t)h(t+\tau))$



Figure 11.39 Optical correlation of two functions.

frequency. If their relative phases are altered, the resul-

259

504 Chapter 11 Fourier Optics



Figure 11.40 A signal f(t) and its autocorrelation.



pose we have a random noise-like signal (e.g., ing irradiance at a point in space or a timvoltage or electric field), as in Fig. 11 due autocorrelation of f(t) in effect compares the with its value at some other time, $f(t+\tau)$. For examwith $\tau=0$ the integral runs along the signal summing up and averaging the product of $f(t+\tau)$; in this case it's simply $f^2(t)$. Since at easy of $f_1f^2(t)$ is positive, $f_1(0)$ will be a comparation number. On the other hand, when the noise is somewhat reduced. There will be points in the $f(t)f(t+\tau_1)$ is positive and other points in the $f(t)f(t+\tau_1)$ is positive and other points in the f(t) $f(t+\tau_1)$ is positive and other points where the negative, so that the value of the integral drops $f(t)f(t)=\tau_1$ is positive and other points where $f(t)f(t+\tau_2)$ is positive and other points where $f(t)f(t+\tau_2)$ is positive and other points where $f(t)f(t+\tau_2)$ is positive and other points where $f(t)f(t)=\tau_1$ is positive and other points where f(t)=0 does not the two provides the two positions f(t)=0 does not the two positive and other points f(t)=0 does not be the two positive and the signal explicitly mainsles, as depicted in Fig. 11.40(c) assume from the fact that the autocorrelation power spectrum form a Fourier transform paint that the broader the frequency bandwidth off the narrower the autocorrelation. Thus for we width off the pulses. The wider (in time) the futher more slowly the correlation decreases as τ_1 but this is equivalent to saying that reducing bandwidth broaders $G_0(\tau)$. All of this is in keeping our previous observation that the autocorrelation out any phase information, which in this case two out any phase information.

Figure 11.41 The cross-correlation of f(t) and h(t).



(b)

spond to the locations in time of the random pulearly, $C_{\rm fl}(\tau)$ shouldn't be affected by the position epulses along t.

representation of the same way, the cross-correlation is nearer of the similarity between two different forms, f(i) and h(t), as a function of the relative bift τ . Unlike the autocorrelation, there is now any special about $\tau = 0$. Once again, for each value we average the product $f(t)h(t + \tau)$ to get $C_{R}(\tau)$ \downarrow (11.87). For the functions shown in Fig. 11.41, would have a positive peak at $\tau = \tau$.

by (1.37). For the functions shown in Fig. 11.41, showed have a positive peak at $\tau = \tau_1$. The the 1960s a great deal of effort has gone into development of optical processors that can rapidly the pictorial data. The potential uses range from maning fingerprints to scanning documents for the proceeding the standard state of the state of the state of creating terrain-following guidance systems states. An example of this kind of *optical pattern fon*, accomplished using correlation techniques, and in Fig. 11.42. The input signal f(x, y) depicted 11.3 Optical Applications 505



Figure 11.42 An example of optical pattern recognition. (a) Input signal, (b) reference data, (c) correlation pattern. (Reprinted with permission from the November 1980 issue of *Electro-Optical Systems Design*. David Cassent.)

in photograph (a) is a broad view of some region that is to be searched for a particular group of structures [photograph (b)] isolated as the reference signal h(x, y). Of course, that small frame is easy enough to scan directly by eye, so to make things more realistic, imagine the input to be a few hundred feet of reconnaissance film. The result of optically correlating these two signals is displayed in photograph (c), where we immediately see, from the correlation peak (i.e., the spike of light), that indeed the desired group of structures is in the input picture, and moreover its location is marked by the peak.

11.3.5 Transfer Functions

i) An Introduction to the Concepts

Until recent times, the traditional means of determining the quality of an optical element or system of elements was to evaluate its limit of resolution. The greater the



resolution, the better the system was presumed to be. In the spirit of this approach one might train an optical system on a resolution target consisting, for instance, of a series of alternating light and dark parallel rectangular bars. We have already seen that an object point is imaged as a smear of light described by the pointspread function S(Y, Z), as in Fig. 11.18. Under incoterns overlap and add linearly to create the final image. The one-dimensional counterpart is the lime-stread function S(Z), which corresponds to the flux-density distibution across the image of a geometrical line source having infinitesimal width (Fig. 11.45). Because even an ideally perfect system is limited by diffraction effects, the image of a resolution target (Fig. 11.44) will be somewhat blurred (see Fig. 11.20). Thus, as the way of the bars on the target is made narrowerd a lingube reached where the fine-line structure (aid) *Ronchi raling*) will no longer be discerniblemis the resolution limit of the system. We can the as a spatial frequency cutoff where each bright bar pair constitutes one cycle on the object (accurate measure of which is *line pairs per mm*). An analogy which underscores the shortcomm approach would be to evaluate a high-fidd system simply on the basis of its upper-frequent The limitations of this scheme became quite with the introduction of detectors such as the provide the lens-tube system at a fairly lowgin frequency. Accordingly, it would seem reasonable design the optics preceding such detectors so thas provided the most contrast over this limited frequensystem simply because of its owner and periatory we shall see, even detrimental to select a mature system merely because of its own high limit of ution limit of the lens-tube system at a fairly lowgin requery. Accordingly, its would seem reasonable design the optics preceding such detectors so thas provided the most contrast over this limited frequensystem merely because of its own high limit of ution. Evidently it would be more helpful to have figure of merit applicable to the entire operator frequency range.

figure of many approach to the object as a figure of point sources, each of which is imaged <u>bas</u> spread function by the optical system, and that path



Figure 11.44 A bar target resolution chart.



Tress 11.45 The irradiance into and out of a system.

which is then convolved into the image. Now we with problem of image analysis from a different related perspective. Consider the object to be unce of an input lightwave, which itself is made plane waves. These travel off in specific directions bonding, via Eqs. 11.64 and 11.65, to particular of spatial frequency. How does the system modify withude and phase of each plane wave as it transtop object to image?

fully useful parameter in evaluating the performa system is the contrast or modulation, defined

Modulation = $\frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{I_{max} + I_{min}}$

(11.89)

example, suppose the input is a cosinusoidal

11.3 Optical Applications 507

illuminated transparency (Fig. 11.45). Here the output is also a cosine, but one that's somewhat altered. The modulation, which corresponds to the amount the function varies about its mean value divided by that mean value, is a measure of how readily the fluctuations will be discernible against the de background. For the input the modulation is a maximum of 1.0, but the output modulation is only 0.17. This is only the response of our hypothetical system to essentially one spatial frequency input—it would be nice to know what it does at all such frequencies. Moreover, here the input modulation was 1.0, and the comparison with the output was easy. In general it will not be 1.0, and so we define the ratio of the image modulation to the object modulation at all spatial frequencies.

Figure 11.46 is a plot of the MTF for two hypothetical lenses. Both start off with a zero-frequency (dc) value of 1.0, and both cross the zero axis somewhere where they can no longer resolve the data at that cutoff frequency. Had they both been diffraction-limited lenses, that cutoff would have depended only on diffraction and, hence, on the size of the aperture. In any event, suppose one of these is to be coupled to a detector whose cutoff frequency is indicated in the diagram. Despite the fact that lens 1 has a higher limit of resotation, lens 2 would certainly provide better performance when coupled to the particular detector.



Figure 11.46 Modulation versus spatial frequency for two lenses.



Figure 11.47 Harmonic input and resulting output.

It should be pointed out that a square bar target provides an input signal that is a series of square pulses, and the contrast in the image is actually a superposition of contrast variations due to the constituent Fourier components. Indeed, one of the key points in what is to follow is that optical elements functioning as linear operators transform a sinusoidal input into an undistorted sinusoidal output. Despite this, the input and out irradiance distributions as a rule will not be ident For example, the system's magnification and spatial frequency of the output (hencedual the nification will be taken as one). Diffraction and symmetrical aberrations (e.g., coma) and poor ing of elements produce a shift in the position output sinusoid corresponding to the introducer phase shift. This latter point, which was consider Fig. 11.12, can be appreciated using a diagram

of Fig. 11.47. If the spread function is symmetrical, the image symmetrical spread function will apparently purpout output over a bit, as in Fig. 11.48. In either case, less of the form of the spread function, the image is have object as being composed of Fourier component the manner in which these individual harmonic appoint or responding harmonic constituents of the mange is the quintessential feature of the process. The function the quintessential feature of the process. The function for more than one of the process. The function the quintessential feature of the process. The function former is a measure of the reduction in contrast from object to image over the spectrum. The latter register the PTF is of less interest than the MTF. Exerces and application of the transfer function the PTF is of less interest than the MTF. Exerces and application of the transfer function where are situations wherein the TTF has been application of the transfer function the PTF is of less interest than the MTF. Exerces and application of the transfer function while the TTF is of less interest than the MTF. Been so, each application of the transfer function the PTF is of less interest than the MTF. Been so, each application but a few. Moreover, it has the studely used means of specifying the performance to ender the profession swhere in the studied carefully there are situations where in the studied application but a few. Moreover, it has the studied to be the studied and exploring the performance applies that in the MTFs for the individual independing to moments in a system are known, the total studied by the product. This is inapplies the the and components the assession applies the the and components the system are known, the total studies applies for these of another lens in madein an compensate for those of another lens in madein an components in the system are applied to the and components in a system are known, the total students applies in the system are known, the total student a



and they are therefore not independent. Thus aboograph an object having a modulation of 0.3 sydes per mm, using a camera whose lens at the briate setting has an MTF of 0.5 at 30 c/mm and such as Tri-X with an MTF of 0.4 at 30 c/mm, mm modulation will be 0.3 \times 0.5 \times 0.4 = 0.06.

saily, the whole idea of treating film as a noise-free linear comewhat suspect. For further reading see J. B. De Velis B. Partent, Jr., "Transfer Function for Cascaded Optical J. Opt. Soc. Am. 57, 1486 (1967).

11.3 Optical Applications 509

ii) A More Formal Discussion

We saw in Eq. (11.51) that the image (under the conditions of space invariance and incoherence) could be expressed as the convolution of the object irradiance and the point-spread function, in other words,



The corresponding statement in the spatial frequency domain is obtained by a Fourier transform, namely, $\mathscr{F}{I_i(Y,Z)} = \mathscr{F}{I_0(y,z)} \cdot \mathscr{F}{S(y,z)}, \quad (11.91)$

where use was made of the convolution theorem (11.53). This says that the frequency spectrum of the image irradiance distribution equals the product of the frequency spectrum of the object irradiance distribution and the transform of the spread function (Fig. 11.49). Thus, it is multiplication by $\mathscr{F}\{S(y,z)\}$ that produces the alteration in the frequency spectrum of the object, converting it into that of the image spectrum. In other words, it is $\mathscr{F}\{S(y,z)\}$ that, in effect, transfers the object spectrum into the image spectrum. This is just the service performed by the OTF, and indeed we shall define the **unnormalized** OTF as

$\mathcal{T}(k_Y,\,k_Z)=\,\mathcal{F}\{\mathcal{S}(y,\,z)\}, \qquad (11.92)$

The modulus of $\mathcal{T}(k_Y, k_Z)$ will effect a change in the amplitudes of the various frequency components of the object spectrum, while its phase will, of course, appropriately alter the phase of these components to yield $\mathcal{F}(I, (Y, Z))$. Bear in mind that in the right-hand side of Eq. (1.9.9) the only quantity dependent on the actual optical system is $\mathcal{S}(y, z)$, so it's not surprising that the spread function is the spatial counterpart of the OTF.

Let's now verify the statement made earlier that a harmonic input transforms into a somewhat altered harmonic output. To that end, suppose

$I_0(z) = 1 + a \cos(k_Z z + \epsilon),$ (11.98)

where for simplicity's sake, we'll again use a onedimensional distribution. The l is a dc bias, which makes sure the irradiance doesn't take on any unphysical negative values. Insofar as $f \odot h = h \odot f$, it will be more convenient here to use

 $I_i(Z)=\mathcal{S}(z)\circledast I_0(z),$

Figure 11.49 The relationships between the object and image spectra by way of the OTF, and the object and image irradiances by way of the point-spread func-tion—all in incoherent illumi-nation.

and so



 $I_i(Z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \{1 + a \cos [k_Z(Z - z) + \varepsilon]\} \mathcal{S}(z) dz.$

Expanding out the cosine, we obtain

$$I_1(Z) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S(z) dz + a \cos(k_Z Z + \varepsilon) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos k_Z z S(z) dz$$

$$+ a \sin(k_z Z + \varepsilon) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sin k_z z S(z) dz$$

Referring back to Eq. (7.57), we recognize the second and third integrals as the Fourier cosine and sine trans-forms of $\delta(z)$, respectively, that is to say. $\mathscr{F}_{c}\{\mathscr{S}(z)\}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{c}(z)$ $\mathcal{F}_{s}{S(z)}$. Hence

 $I_i(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{S}(z) \, dz + \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon} \{ \mathcal{S}(z) \} a \cos \left(k_Z Z + \epsilon \right)$

 $+ \mathcal{F}_{s}\{\mathcal{S}(z)\}a\,\sin{(k_{Z}Z+\varepsilon)}.$ (11.94) Recall that the complex transform we've become so used to working with was defined such that

$$\mathscr{F}{f(z)} = \mathscr{F}_{c}{f(z)} + i\mathscr{F}_{s}{f(z)}$$
 (11.95)

 $F(k_Z) = A(k_Z) + iB(k_Z).$ In addition,

 $\mathcal{F}\{f(z)\} = |F(k_Z)|e^{i\varphi(k_Z)} = |F(k_Z)|[\cos\varphi + i]]$ where

 $\left|F(k_Z)\right| = [A^2(k_Z) + B^2(k_Z)]^{1/2}$ and

 $\varphi(k) = \tan^{-1} \frac{B(k_Z)}{A(k_Z)},$ In precisely the same way, we apply this to the the

(11=

writing it as $\mathscr{F}\{S(z)\} = \mathscr{T}(k_Z) - \mathscr{M}(k_Z)e^{i\phi_z t_Z}$ where $\mathscr{M}(k_Z)$ and $\Phi(k_Z)$ are the unnormalized the PTF, respectively. It is left as a prior that Eq. (11.94) can be recast as

 $I_{i}(Z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S(z) dz + a \#(k_{z}) \exp[(k_{z}Z + z) - b]b]$

tolic that this is a function of the same form as the maginal (11.93), $I_0(z)$, which is just what we set out offermine. If the line-spread function is symmetrical offermine, f(s(z)) = 0, $\mathcal{M}(k_2) = \mathcal{F}_0(S(z))$, and $\Phi(k_2) =$ (cvm), $\mathcal{F}_1(S(z)) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}(k_2) = \mathcal{F}_0(S(z))$, and $\Phi(k_2) =$ (cvm), $\mathcal{F}_0(S(z)) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}(k_2) = \mathcal{F}_0(S(z))$, and $\Phi(k_2) =$ (cvm), $\mathcal{F}_0(S(z)) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}(k_2) = \mathcal{F}_0(S(z))$, $\mathcal{F}_0(S(z)) = 0$, $\mathcal{F}_0(S(z)) =$





(c) An example of the kind of lens design information techniques. (Photos courtesy Optical 11.3 Optical Applications 511

It has now become customary practice to define a set of normalized transfer functions by dividing $\mathcal{T}(k_Z)$ by its zero spatial frequency value, that is, $\mathcal{T}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S(z) dz$. The normalized spread function becomes







(d)

while the normalized OTF is

 $T(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{z}}) = \frac{\mathscr{F}\{\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbf{z})}\}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathscr{S}_{(\mathbf{z})} \, d\mathbf{z}} = \mathscr{F}\{\mathcal{S}_{n}(\mathbf{z})\}, \qquad (11.101)$

or in two dimensions

 $T(k_Y,k_Z)=M(k_Y,k_Z)e^{i\Phi(k_Y,k_Z)},\qquad(11.102)$ where $M(k_Y,k_Z)=\mathcal{M}(k_Y,k_Z)/\mathcal{I}(0,0).$ Therefore $I_i(Z)$ in Eq. (11.99) would then be proportional to

 $1 + aM(k_Z) \cos \left[k_Z Z + \varepsilon - \Phi(k_Z)\right].$

The image modulation (11.89) becomes $aM(k_Z)$, the object modulation (11.99) is a, and the ratio is, as expected, the normalized MTF = $M(k_Z)$.

This discussion is really only an introductory one designed more as a strong foundation than a complete structure. There are many other insights to be explored, such as the relationship between the autocorrelation of the pupil function and the OTF, and from there, the means of computing and measuring transfer functions (Fig. 11.50)—but for this the reader is directed to the literature.t

PROBLEMS

11.1 Determine the Fourier transform of the function

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} E_0 \sin k_p \mathbf{x}, & |\mathbf{x}| < L\\ 0, & |\mathbf{x}| > L. \end{cases}$$

Make a sketch of $\mathscr{F}{E(x)}$. Discuss its relationship to Fig. 11.11.

† See the series of articles "The Evolution of the Transfer Function," by P. Abbott, beginning in March 1970 im Optical Spectra: the articles "Physical Optics Notebook," by G. B. Parrent, Jr., and B. J. Thompton, beginning in December 1964, in the S.P.L.F. Journal, Vol. 5, or "Image Structure and Transfer," by K. Sawangi, 1967, available from the Institute of Optics, University of Rochester. A number of books are work to coulding for partical emphasis, e.g. Modern Optics, by E. Roven; Medern Optical Engineering, by W. Smith; and Applied Optics, by L. Levi. In all of these, be careful of the sign convention in the transforms. 11.2* Determine the Fourier transform of $f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \sin^2 k_p \mathbf{x}, & |\mathbf{x}| < L \\ 0, & |\mathbf{x}| > L \end{cases}$ Make a sketch of it.

11.3 Determine the Fourier transform of $f(t) = \begin{cases} \cos^2 \omega_p l, & |t| < T \\ 0, & |t| > T. \end{cases}$

Make a sketch of $F(\omega)$, then sketch its limiting form as $T \to \pm \infty$.

11.4* Show that $\mathscr{F}{1} = 2\pi\delta(k)$.

11.5* Determine the Fourier transform of the function $f(x) = A \cos k_0 x$.

11.6 Given that $\mathscr{F}{f(x)} = F(k)$ and $\mathscr{F}{h(x)}$ if a and b are constants, determine $\mathscr{F}{af(x) + bf(x)}$

11.7* Figure 11.51 shows two periodic funcand $h(\mathbf{x})$, which are to be added to produce $g(\mathbf{x})$, then draw diagrams of the real and profrequency spectra, as well as the amplitude speceach of the three functions.





Rep. # 11.52

Given that $\mathscr{F}{f(x)} = F(k)$, introduce a constant $\mathfrak{F}{actor 1/a}$ and determine the Fourier transform $\mathfrak{F}{a}$. Show that the transform of f(-x) is F(-k).

Show that the Fourier transform of the trans- $\Re\{F(k)\}$, equals $2\pi/(-x)$, and that this is not the gransform of the transform, which equals f(x). problem was suggested by Mr. D. Chapman while dent at the University of Ottawa.

"ILII" The rectangular function is often defined as

 $[0, |(x - x_0)/a| > \frac{1}{2}]$ rect $\frac{x - x_0}{a} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad |(x - x_0)/a| = \frac{1}{2}$

 $|(x - x_0)/a| < \frac{1}{2},$

there is set equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ at the discontinuities (Fig. 11.55) Determine the Fourier transform of

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{rect} \left| \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0}{a} \right|$$

Note: that this is just a rectangular pulse, like that in is 11.1(5), shifted a distance x_0 from the origin.

With the last two problems in mind, show that $\operatorname{Sinc}(\{x\}) = \operatorname{rect}(k)$, starting with the knowl- $\operatorname{Sfrec}(x) = \operatorname{sinc}(\{k\})$, in other words, Eq. th L = a, where a = 1.



11.13^{*} Utilizing Eq. (11.38), show that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}{F\{f(x)\}} = f(x)$.

11.14[•] Given $\mathscr{F}{f(x)}$, show that $\mathscr{F}{f(x - x_0)}$ differs from it only by a linear phase factor.

11.15 Prove that $f \odot h = h \odot f$ directly. Now do it using the convolution theorem.

11.16* Suppose we have two functions, f(x, y) and h(x, y), where both have a value of 1 over a square region in the xy-plane and are zero everywhere else (Fig. 11.54). If g(X, Y) is their convolution, make a plot of g(X, 0).



Figure 11.54

Figure 11.53

11.17 Referring to the previous problem, justify the fact that the convolution is zero for $|X| \equiv d + \ell$ when *i* is viewed as a spread function.



11.18° Use the method illustrated in Fig. 11.23 to convolve the two functions depicted in Fig. 11.55.

Figure 11.55

11.19 Given that $f(\mathbf{x}) \oplus h(\mathbf{x}) = g(X)$, show that after shifting one of the functions an amount \mathbf{x}_0 , we get $f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \oplus h(\mathbf{x}) = g(X - \mathbf{x}_0)$.

11.20^{*} Prove analytically that the convolution of any function f(x) with a delta function, $\delta(x)$, generates the original function f(X). You might make use of the fact that $\delta(x)$ is even.

11.21 Prove that $\delta(x - x_0) \oplus f(x) = f(X - x_0)$ and discuss the meaning of this result. Make a sketch of two appropriate functions and convolve them. Be sure to use an asymmetrical f(x).

11.22* Show that $\mathscr{F}\{f(x) \cos k_0 x\} = [F(k - k_0) + F(k + k_0)]/2$ and that $\mathscr{F}\{f(x) \sin k_0 x\} = [F(k - k_0) - F(k + k_0)]/2i$.

11.23* Figure 11.56 shows two functions. Convolve them graphically and draw a plot of the result.



Figure 11.55

11.24 Given the function

 $f(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{rect} \left| \frac{\mathbf{x} - a}{a} \right| + \operatorname{rect} \left| \frac{\mathbf{x} + a}{a} \right|_{\mathbf{y}}$ determine its Fourier transform. (See Problem 11.1)

11.25 Given the function $f(x) = \delta(x+3) + \delta(3-3)$ $\delta(x-5)$, convolve it with the arbitrary function $\delta(x)$

11.26* Make a sketch of the function arising convolution of the two functions depicted in the two functions depicted in the set of t

11.27[•] Figure 11.58 depicts a rest function (as depicts a rest function. Convolve the to get g(x). Now sketch the transform of each of infunctions against spatial frequency $k/2\pi = \frac{1}{2}$, your results with the convolution theorem. Use relevant points on the horizontal axes in terms of the zeros of the transform of f(x).

Figure 11.58

Figure 11.57

11.28 Figure 11.59 shows, in one dimension, ditric field across an illuminated aperture congy several opaque bars forming a grating. Considto be created by taking the product of a perfortangular wave h(x) and a unit rectangular funcsketch the resulting electric field in the Proresion.



5.23 Show (for normally incident plane waves) that before the function is even), then the diffracted field in remainder case also possesses a canter of symmetry.

Suppose a given aperture produces a Franfield pattern E(Y, Z). Show that if the aperture's sons are altered such that the aperture function on a'(y, z) to $a'(\alpha y, \beta z)$, the newly diffracted field diven by

$$E'(Y,Z) = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} E\left(\frac{Y}{\alpha},\frac{Z}{\beta}\right).$$

Show that when $f(t) = A \sin(\omega t + \varepsilon)$, $C_{ff}(\tau) = 0$ with t = 0 with t = 0 so that t = 0 and t = 0.

Suppose we have a single slit along the y-direcet width b where the aperture function is constant at a value of \mathcal{A}_0 . What is the diffracted field if appodize the slit with a cosine function amplitude

Problems 515

mask? In other words, we cause the aperture function to go from \mathcal{A}_0 at the center to 0 at $\pm b/2$ via a cosinusoidal drop-off.

11.33* Show, from the integral definitions, that $f(x) \odot g(x) = f(x) \circledast g(-x)$.

11.34° Figure 11.60 shows a transparent ring on an otherwise opaque mask. Make a rough sketch of its autocorrelation function, taking *l* to be the conter-tocenter separation against which you plot that function.



11.35* Consider the function in Fig. 11.35 as a cosine carrier multiplied by an exponential envelope. Use the frequency convolution theorem to evaluate its Fourier transform.

12

516

BASICS OF COHERENCE THEORY

hus far in our discussion of phenomena involving the superposition of waves, we've restricted the treatment to that of either completely coherent or completely incoherent disturbances. This was done primarily as a mathematical convenience, since, as is quite often the case, the extremes in a physical situation are the easiest to deal with analytically. In fact, both of these limiting conditions are more conceptual idealization sthan actual physical realities. There is a middle ground between these antithetic poles, which is of considerable contemporary concern—the domain of partial coherense. Even not new; it dates back at least to the mid-1860a, when Emile Verdet demonstrated that a primary source commonidy considered to be incoherent, such as the Sun, could produce observable fringes when it illuminated the closely spaced pinholes (\$0.05 nm) of Young's study of partial coherence lay dormant until it was revived in the 1930s by P. H. van Cittert and later by Fritz Zernike. And as the technology flourished, advancing from traditional light sources, which were essentially potal frequency noise generators, to the laser, a new precent advent of individual-photon detectors has made it possible to examine related processes associated with the corpuscular aspects of the optical field. Optical coherence theory is currently a arrea of active

Optical coherence theory is currently an area of active research. Thus, even though much of the excitement in the field is associated with material beyond the level of this book, we shall nonetheless introduce some of the basic ideas.

12.1 INTRODUCTION

Earlier (Section 7.10) we evolved the highly matter of quasimonochromatic light as resembles of randomly phased finite wavetrains (Fig. 7) a disturbance is nearly sinusoidal, although the sector of oscillation, 10^{15} Hz) about some mean value. Moreover, the amplitude fluctuates as well, but this is a comparatively slow variation. The average constituent wavetrain exists roughly for a time site coherence ime given by the inverse of the bandwidth $\Delta \nu$. It is often convenient, even if rather artificial to

It is often convenient, even if rather artificial divide coherence effects into two classifications and spatial. The former relates directly to the first second

and sponse. In egenmer reases surrectly to the integration of the source, the latter to its finite extent in space. To be surre, if the light were monochromous would be zero, and Δt_e infinite, but this $\frac{1}{2}$ do unattainable. However, over an interval much an other Δt_e infinite, but this $\frac{1}{2}$ do

unattainable. However, over an interval much snow than At, an actual wave behaves essentially add monochromatic. In effect the coherence time poral interval over which we can reasonably prelide of the lightwave at a given point in space. This then is meant by temporal coherence; namely, if At the wave has a high degree of temporal coherence view versa.

The same characteristic can be viewed somewind differently. To that end, imagine that we have two separate points P_1 and P_2 lying on the same radius from a quasimonochromatic point source. If the nee length, $c\Delta t_i$, is much larger than the distance eveen P_i and P_2 , then a single wavetrain can extend over the whole separation. The disturent P_i would then be highly correlated with the bance occurring at P_2 . On the other hand, if this unital separation were much greater than the ence length, many wavetrains, each with an unrebance, would span the gap r_{12} . In that case, the anderst at any given time. The degree to which a ation exists is sometimes spoken of alternatively amount of longitudinal coherence. Whether we in terms of coherence time (Δt_i) or coherence (Δt_i), the effect still arises from the finite band-

of the source idea of spatial coherence is most often used to the effects arising from the finite spatial extent of inary light sources. Suppose then that we have a sical broad monochromatic source. Two point linary light s on it, separated by a lateral distance that is compared with λ , will presumably behave quite and and the second seco on existing between the phases of the two emitrbances. Extended sources of this sort are genferred to as incoherent, but this description is hat misleading, as we shall see in a moment. Wone is interested not so much in what is happenthe source itself but rather in what is occurring some distant region of the radiation field. The on to be answered is really: How do the nature source and the geometrical configuration of the ation relate to the resulting phase correlation ween two laterally spaced points in the light field? onochromatic source S illuminates two pinan opaque screen. These in turn serve as secon-ources, S_1 and S_2 , to generate a fringe pattern distant plane of observation, Σ_0 (Fig. 9.5). We by know that if S is an idealized point source, the issuing from any set of apertures S_1 and S_2 on naintain a constant relative phase; they will be correlated and therefore coherent. A welluray of stable fringes results, and the field is oherent. At the other extreme, if the pinholes

12.1 Introduction 517

are illuminated by separate thermal sources (even with narrow bandwidths), no correlation exists; no fringes will be observable with existing detectors, and the fields at S_1 and S_2 are said to be incoherent. The generation of interference fringes is then seemingly a very convenient measure of the coherence.

We can gain some important insights into the process by returning to the general considerations of Section 9.1 and Eq. (9.7). Imagine two scalar waves $E_1(4)$ and $E_2(0, 12)$. Imagine two scalar waves $E_1(4)$ and $E_2(4)$ traveling toward, and overlapping at, point P, as in Fig. 9.2. If the light is monochromatic and both beams have the same frequency, the resulting interference pattern will depend on their relative phase at P. If the waves are in phase, $E_1(0/E_2(4))$ will be positive for all t as the fields rise and fall in together. Hence, $I_{12} =$ $2E_1(e_1)E_2(4)$ will be a nonzero positive number, and the net irradiance I will exceed $I_1 + I_2$. Similarly, if the lightwaves are out of phase, one will be positive when the other is negative, with the result that the product $E_1(DE_2(4))$ will always be negative, yielding a negative interference term I_{12} , and the result that I will be less than $I_1 + I_2$. In both these cases, the product of the two fields moment by moment is certainly oscillatory, but is noncheless either totally positive or negative and so averages in time to a nonzero value. Now consider the more realistic case in which the two

Now consider the more realistic case in which the two lightwaves are quasimonochromatic, resembling the disturbance in Fig. 7.21, which has a finite coherence length. If we again form the product $E_1(D_{EG}(t))$, sees in Fig. 12.1(c) that it varies in time, drifting from negative to positive values. Accordingly, the interference term $(E_1(D_{EG}(t)))$, which is averaged over a relatively long interval compared with the periods of the waves, will be quite small, if not zero: $I = I_1 + I_2$. In other words, insofar as the two lightwaves are uncorrelated in their risings and fallings, they will not preserve a constant phase relationship, they will not be completely coherent, and they will not produce the ideal highcontrast interference pattern considered in Chapter 9. We should be reminded here of Eq. (11.87), which expresses the cross-correlation of two functions—with $\tau = 0$. Indeed, if P is shifted in space (e.g., along the plane of observation in Young's experiment), thereby lintroducing a relative time delay of τ between the two lightwaves, then the interference term becomes

266



Figure 12.1 Two overlapping E-fields and their product as functions of time. The more uncorrelated the fields, the more nearly the product will average to zero.

 $\langle E_1(t)E_2(t+\tau)\rangle,$ which is the cross-correlation. Coherence is correlation, a point that will be made formally in Section 12.3.

Young's experiment can also be used to demonstrate temporal coherence effects with a finite bandwidth source. Figure 12.2(a) shows the fringe patterns obtained with two small circular apertures illuminated by a He-Ne laser. Before the photograph in Fig. 12.2(b) was taken, an optically flat piece of glass, 0.5 mm thick, was positioned over one of the pinholes (say S₁). No change in the form of the pattern (other than a shift in its location is evident, because the coherence length of the laser light far exceeds the optical path-length difference introduced by the glass. On the other hand, when the same experiment is repeated using the light

from a collimated mercury arc I(c) and (d) in Fig. 19 I fold a commack mercury are (i/) and (d) in Fig. 1 the fringes disappear. Here the coherence of short enough and the additional optical path difference of the glass is long enough for unca-wavetrains from the two apertures to arrive and of observation. In other words, of any two awavetrains leave S, and S, the one the of observation. In other works, or any two wavetrains that leave S_1 and S_2 , the one from delayed so long in the glass that it falls complete behind the other and arrives at Σ_6 to meet a to different wavetrain from S_{2} . In both cases of temporal and spatial coherent are really concerned with one phenomenon, name the correlation between optical disturbances. That



Figure 12.2 Double-beam interfer ice from a pai Figure 12.2. Double-beam interference irom a pair tures. (a) He-A learchight limit mainting the holes. (b again but now a glass plate, 0.5 mm thick, is covering (c) Fringes with collimated mercury-are illuminati plate. (a) This time the fringes disappear when the using mercury light. [From B. J. Thompson, J. Sac 4, 7 (1965)].

are generally interested in determining the effects se are generally interested in determining the effects ming from relative fluctuations in the fields at two points in space-time. Admittedly, the term temporal points in space-time. Admittedly, the term temporal meterine seems to imply an effect that is exclusively meterine. However, it relates back to the finite extent in either space or time, and some prefer to refer to it as longitudinal spatial han temporal coherence. Even so, it does an intrinsically on the stability of phase in time, acordingly we will continue to use the term tem-foherence. Spatial coherence, or if you will, *lateral* Coherence, is perhaps easier to appreciate, because closely related to the concept of the wavefront. if wo laterally displaced points reside on the same fornt at a given time, the fields at those points are aid to be spatially coherent (see Section 12.3.1).

12.2 VISIBILITY

The quality of the fringes produced by an inter-**Exercises** \mathcal{V} , which, as first formulated by Michelson, by

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{I_{\max} - I_{\min}}{I_{\max} + I_{\min}}, \quad (12.1)$$

Fourse, this is identical to the modulation of Eq. $S_{\rm max}$ and $I_{\rm min}$ are the irradiances correing to the maximum and adjacent minimum in are system. If we set up Young's experiment, we vary the separation of the apertures or the size mimary incoherent quasimonochromatic source, a⁴⁷ as it changes in turn, and then relate all this idea of coherence. An analytic expression can be sol for the flux-density distribution with the aid of 18, 22.3.* Here we use a lens L to localize the fringe more effectively, that is, to make the cones of fracted by the finite pinholes more completely on the plane Σ_o . A point source S' located on mal axis. itral axis would generate the usual pattern given

See Klein, Optics, Section 6.3, or Problem 12.6

12.2 Visibility 519

$$I = 4I_0 \cos^2\left(\frac{Ya\pi}{s\lambda}\right) \tag{12.2}$$

from Section 9.3. Similarly, a point source above or below S' and lying on a line normal to the line $\overline{S_i S_s}$, would generate the same straight band fringe system slightly displaced in a direction parallel to the fringes. Thus replacing S' by an incoherent line source (normal to the plane of the drawing) effectively just increases the amount of light available. This is something we presumably already knew. In contrast, an off-axis point SUUTC at 15x S' will generate a pattern cantered about presumably already knew. In contrast, an off-axis point source, at say S", will generate a pattern centered about P", its image point on Σ_0 in the absence of the aperture screen. A "spherical" wavelet leaving S" is focused at P"; thus all rays from S" to P" traverse equal optic paths, and the interference must be constructive; in other words, the central maximum appears at P". The path difference $\overline{SP}^{-5} - \overline{Sp}^{-1}$ accounts for the displacement \overline{PP}^{-7} . Consequently, S" produces a fringe system iden-tical to that of S' but shifted by an amount \overline{PP}^{-7} with their irradiances add on Σ_0 rather than their field ampli-tudes [Fiz. [2,3(e)]. tudes [Fig. 12.3(e)].

by

The pattern arising from a broad source having a rectangular aperture of width b can be determined by finding the irradiance due to an incoherent continuous line source parallel to $\overline{S_{12}}$. Notice, in Fig. 12.3(b), that the variable Y_0 describes the location of any point on the image of the source when the aperture screen is absent. With Σ_a in place, each differential element of the line source will contribute a fringe system centered about its own image point, a distance Y_0 from the origin on Σ_a . Moreover, its contribution to the flux-density pattern dI is proportional to the differential line ele-ment or, more conveniently, to its image, dY_0 , on Σ_0 . Thus, using Eq. (9.31), the contribution to the total irradiance arising from dY_0 is

$$dI \quad A \, dY_0 \cos^2 \left[\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda} \left(Y - Y_0 \right) \right], \qquad (12.3)$$

where A is an appropriate constant. This, in analogy to Eq. (12.2), is the expression for an entire fringe system of minute irradiance centered at Y_0 contributed by the tiny piece of the source whose image corresponds



 Y_0 . By integrating over the extent w of the source, we effectively integrate over gource and get the entire pattern:

 $I(Y) = A \int_{-w/2}^{+w/2} \cos^2 \left[\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda} (Y - Y_0) \right] dY_0. \quad (12.4)$ er a good bit of straightforward trigonometric

manipulation, this decomes
$$I(Y) = \frac{Aw}{2} + \frac{A}{2} \frac{s\lambda}{a\pi} \sin\left(\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda}w\right) \cos\left(2\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda}Y\right),$$
(12.5)

The inadiance oscillates about an average value of $\tilde{f} = A t d/2$, which increases with w, which in turn increases with the width of the source slit. Accordingly, $\frac{I(Y)}{I} = 1 + \left(\frac{\sin a\pi w/s\lambda}{a\pi w/s\lambda}\right) \cos\left(2\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda}Y\right)$

$$\frac{I(Y)}{\bar{I}} = 1 + \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{a\pi w}{s\lambda}\right) \cos\left(2\frac{a\pi}{s\lambda}Y\right). \quad (12.7)$$

(12.6)

ows that the extreme values of the relative irradiare given by $\frac{I_{max}}{\bar{I}} = 1 + \left| \operatorname{sinc} \left(\frac{a \pi w}{s \lambda} \right) \right|$ (12.8)

$$\frac{I_{\min}}{\bar{I}} = 1 - \left| \operatorname{sinc} \left(\frac{a \pi w}{s \lambda} \right) \right|$$
(12.9)

and

 $\overline{f} = 1$ and (Δf) ..., $\overline{f} = 1$ and (Δf) ..., $\overline{f} = 1$..., \overline{f}



Figure 12.4 Fringes with varying source slit size. Here w is the width of the image of the slit and $s\lambda/a$ is the peak-to-peak width of the fringes

Instead, the pattern of Fig. 12.4(a) will look more like

Instead, the pattern of Fig. 12.4(a) will look more like Fig. 12.5. As a rule, the extent of the source (b) and the sepa-ration of the slits (a) are very small compared with the distances between the screens (l) and (s), and con-sequently we can make some simplifying approxima-



Figure 12.5 Double-beam interference fringes showing the effect of diffraction.

tions. While the above considerations were expressed tions. While the above considertations were expressed in terms of w and s, it follows from Fig. 12.3(c), using the central angle η , that $b \approx |\eta|$ and $w \approx \eta$; hence $w|z \approx b|t$. Accordingly, $(www(k) \approx |www(k)|) = (www(k) = (www(k))$. The visibility of the fringes follows from Eq. (12.1):

$$\mathcal{V} = \left| \operatorname{sinc} \left(\frac{a \pi w}{s \lambda} \right) \right| = \left| \operatorname{sinc} \left(\frac{a \pi b}{l \lambda} \right) \right|, \quad (12.10)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 12.6. Observe that $\mathcal V$ is a function of both the source breadth and the aperture separation a. Holding either one of these parameters constant and varying the other will cause V to change in precisely the same way. Note that the visibilities in both Figs. 12.4(a) and 12.5 are equal to one, because $I_{min} = 0$. Clearly then, the visibility of the fringe system on the plane of observation is linked to the way the light is distributed over the aperture screen. If the primary



Figure 12.6 The visibility as given by Eq. (12.10).

source were in fact a point, b would equal zero visibility would be a perfect 1. Shy of that, th $(a\pi b/l\lambda)$ is, the better, that is, the bigger \forall i clearer the fringes are. We can think of \forall as of the degree of coherence of the light from t source as spread over the aperture screen. Ke that we have encountered the sinc function connection with the diffraction pattern resulting from a rectangular aperture. When the primary source is circular, the

a good deal more complicated to calculate. I a good deal more computated to calculate. Have to be proportional to a first-order Bessel function 12.7). This too is quite reminiscent of diffraction this time at a circular aperture (10.56). These similarity between expressions for Y and the corresponding diffraction patterns for an aperture of the same fir-are not merely fortuitous but rather are a man of something called the van Cittert-Zernike

as we will see presently. Figure 12.8 shows a sequence of fringe, which the circular incoherent primary source in size but the separation a between Sa increased. The visibility decreases from (a) a figure, then increases for (e) and decreases all the associated V-values are plotted in Figure the shift in the peaks, that is, the change is The anit: in the peaks, that is, the triange to be a second the center of the pattern for each point on a fin second lobe of Fig. 12.7 (the Bessel function is negative over that range). In other words, (a), (b), and (C) have a central maximum, while (d) and (e) have a general maximum. In the same way, for a slit source in maximum. In the same way, for a slit source in where sinc $(a\pi w/\lambda)$ in Eq. (12.7) is positive will yield a maximum or minimum, respectively. where sinc (arm/A) in Eq. (12.7) is positive will yield a maximum or minimum, respective (10)/I. These in turn correspond to the odd or the lobes of the visibility curve of Fig. 12.6. Bear in mi-that we could define a complex visibility of ma 7, having an argument corresponding to the shift—we'll come back to this idea later. Since the width of the fringes in inversely 7 to a, the spatial frequency of the bright and increases accordingly from (a) to (1) in Fig. 12.9 results when the separation a is held of the primary incoherent source diameter is We should also mention that the effects

12.3 The Mutual Coherence Function and the Degree of Coherence 523

> $\hat{E}_1(t)$ and $\hat{E}_2(t).$ If these two points are then isolated using an opaque screen with two circular apertures (Fig. 12.11), we're back to Young's experiment. The two apertures serve as sources of secondary wavelets, which propagate out to some point P on Σ_o . There the resul-tant field is

$\tilde{E}_{P}(t) = \tilde{K}_{1}\tilde{E}_{1}(t-t_{1}) + \tilde{K}_{2}\tilde{E}_{2}(t-t_{2}),$ (12.11)

where $t_1 = r_1/c$ and $t_2 = r_2/c$. This says that the field at the space-time point (P, t) can be determined from the the space-time point (P, t) can be determined from the fields that existed at S_1 and S_2 at t_1 and t_2 , respectively, these being the instants when the light, which is now overlapping, first emerged from the apertures. The quantities K_1 and K_2 , which are known as *propagators*, depend on the size of the apertures and their relative locations with respect to P. They mathematically affect the alterations in the field resulting from its having traversed either of the anetrures. For example, the the uncharacteristic of the apertures. For example, the secondary wavelets issuing from the pinholes in this setup are out of phase by $\pi/2$ rad with the primary wave incident on the aperture screen, Σ_a (Section 10.3.1). Clearly someone is going to have to tell $\vec{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ to shift phase beyond Σ_a —that's just what the \vec{K} factors are for. Moreover, they reflect a reduction in the field that might arise from a number of physical causes: absorption, diffraction, and so forth. Here, since there is a $\pi/2$ phase shift in the **field**, which can be introduced by multiplying by exp $i\pi/2$, \tilde{K}_1 and \tilde{K}_2 are purely imaginary numbers.

The resultant irradiance at P measured over some finite time interval, which is long compared with the coherence time, is

 $I = \langle \tilde{E}_P(t) \tilde{E}_P^*(t) \rangle,$ (12.12) It should be remembered that Eq. (12.12) is written sans several multiplicative constants. Hence using Eq. (12.11),

 $I=\tilde{K}_1\tilde{K}_1^*\langle\tilde{E}_1(t-t_1)\tilde{E}_1^*(t-t_1)\rangle$

+ $\tilde{K}_2 \tilde{K}_2^* \langle \tilde{E}_2(t - t_2) \tilde{E}_2^* (t - t_2) \rangle$

+ $\tilde{K}_1 \tilde{K}_2^* \langle \tilde{E}_1(t-t_1) \tilde{E}_2^*(t-t_2) \rangle$

+ $\tilde{K}_{1}^{*}\tilde{K}_{2}\langle \tilde{E}_{1}^{*}(t-t_{1})\tilde{E}_{2}(t-t_{2})\rangle$. (12.13)

It is now assumed that the wave field is stationary, as is almost universally the case in classical optics; in other



figure 12.7 The visibility for a circular

width will show up in a given fringe pattern as a fully decreasing value of V with Y, as in Fig. 12.10 groups of the state of the curation will again match Fig. 12.7.

123 THE MUTUAL COHERENCE FUNCTION AND THE DEGREE OF COHERENCE

tany the discussion a bit further in a more fashion. Again suppose we have a broad, narrow with source, which generates a light field whose lock representation^{*} is $\hat{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. We'll overlook transmet effects, and therefore a scalar treatment ation effects, and therefore a scalar treatment The disturbances at two points in space S_i and then $\tilde{E}(S_1, t)$ and $\tilde{E}(S_2, t)$ or, more succinctly,

wavy line over quantities that are complex just as a





 $\vec{I} \approx | \vec{K}_1 |^2 I_{S_1} + | \vec{K}_2 |^2 I_{S_2} + 2 | \vec{K}_1 | | \vec{K}_2 | \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau). \quad (12.15)$

words, it does not alter its statistical nature with time, so that the time average is independent of whatever origin we select. Thus, even though there are fluctu-ations in the field variables, the time origin can be shifted, and the averages in Eq. (12.13) will be unaffected. The particular moment over which we

 $\tilde{K}_1 \tilde{K}_2^* \langle \tilde{E}_1(t+\tau) \tilde{E}_2^*(t) \rangle + \tilde{K}_1^* \tilde{K}_2 \langle \tilde{E}_1^*(t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau) \tilde{E}_2(b) \rangle$

But this is a quantity plus its own complete comile

 $\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau) = \langle \tilde{E}_1(t+\tau)\tilde{E}_1^*(t) \rangle$ or

 $\tilde{\Gamma}_{22}(\tau) = \langle \tilde{E}_2(t+\tau) \tilde{E}_2^*(t) \rangle.$



Figure 12.9 Double-beam interference patterns. Here the aperture separation was held constant, thereby yielding a constant number of fringes per unit displacement in each photor. The visibility was altered by varying the size of the primary incoherent source. [From B.]. Thompson, J. Soc. Photo. Inst. Engr. 4, 7 (1965).]

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{e^{\pm1}}(0)=I_{S_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}(0)=I_{S_2},\\ \text{and these are called self-coherence functions} \quad \text{Thus}\\ &I_1=|\tilde{K}_1|^2\Gamma_{11}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad I_2=|\tilde{K}_2|^2\Gamma_{22}(0) \end{split}$$

12.3 The Mutual Coherence Function and the Degree of Coherence 527

greeping Eq. (12.16) in mind, observe that $|\tilde{K}_1||\tilde{K}_2| = \sqrt{I_1}\sqrt{I_2}/\sqrt{\Gamma_{11}(0)}\sqrt{\Gamma_{22}(0)}.$

ence the normalized form of the mutual coherence action is defined as

 $\widetilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau) = \frac{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau)}{\sqrt{\Gamma}_{11}(\theta)\Gamma_{22}(\theta)} = \frac{\langle \widetilde{E}_1(t+\tau)\widetilde{E}_2^*(t) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle |\widetilde{E}_1|^2 \langle |\widetilde{E}_2|^2 \rangle}}, \quad (12.17)$

ad it's spoken of as the **complex degree of coherence**, in resonant which will be clear imminently. Equation in all then be recast as

 $I = I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2} \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau), \qquad (12.18)$

irr quasimonochromatic light the phase angle gence concomitant with the optical path difference gran by

 $\varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\bar{\lambda}} \langle r_2 - r_1 \rangle = 2\pi \bar{\nu} \tau,$

 \overline{p} and \overline{p} are the mean wavelength and frequency. $\widetilde{p}_{12}(\tau)$ is a complex quantity expressible as

(12.19)

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau) = [\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)]e^{i\Phi_{12}(\tau)}.$ (12.20) to phase angle of $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ relates back to Eq. (12.14) the phase angle between the fields. If we set $i(\tau) = \alpha_{12}(\tau) - \varphi$, then

Re $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau) = |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| \cos [\alpha_{12}(\tau) - \varphi].$

value (12.18) is then expressible as $I = I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1I_2}|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| \cos [\alpha_{12}(\tau) - \varphi].$ (12.21)

1.10 A finite bandwidth results in a decreasing value of \mathcal{V}



Figure 12.11 Young's experiment.

It can be shown from Eq. (12.17) and the Schwarz inequality that $0 \le |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| \le 1$. In fact, a comparison of Eqs. (12.21) and (9.14), the latter having been derived for the case of complete coherence, makes it evident that if $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| = 1$, *I* is the same as that generated by two coherent waves out of phase at S_1 and S_2 by an amount $\alpha_{12}(\tau)$. If at the other extreme $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| = 0$, $I = I_1 + I_2$, there is no interference, and the two disturbances are said to be incoherent. When $0 < |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)| < 1$ we have partial coherence, the **measure** of which is $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|$ itself; this is known as the **degree of coherence**. In summary then,

 $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}| = 1$ coherent limit $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}| = 0$ incoherent limit

 $0 < |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}| < 1$ partial coherence.

The basic statistical nature of the entire process must be underscored. Clearly $\tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ and, therefore, $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ are the key quantities in the various expressions for the irradiance distribution; they are the essence of what we previously called the interference term. It should be pointed out that $\tilde{E}_i(t+\tau)$ and $\tilde{E}_i(t)$ are in fact two disturbances occurring at different points in both space and time. We anticipate, as well, that the amplitudes and phases of these disturbances will somehow fluctuate in time. If these fluctuations at S_1 and S_2 are completely

independent, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau) = \langle \tilde{E}_1(t+\tau)\tilde{E}_2^*(t) \rangle$ will go to zero, since \tilde{E}_1 and \tilde{E}_2 can be either positive or negative with equal likelihood, and their product averages to zero. In that case no correlation exists, and $\Gamma_{12}(\tau) = \gamma_{12}(\tau) = 0$. If the field at S_1 at a time $(t + \tau)$ were perfectly correlated with the field at S_2 at a time t, their relative phase would remain unaltered despite individual fluctuations. The time average of the product of the fields would certainly not be zero, just as it would not be zero even if the two were only slightly correlated.

Both $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|$ and $\alpha_{12}(\tau)$ are slowly varying functions of τ in comparison to $\cos 2\pi \bar{\nu} \tau$ and $\sin 2\pi \bar{\nu} \tau$. In other words, as *P* is moved across the resultant fringe system, the point-by-point spatial variations in I are pre-

the point-op-point-op-point spatial variations in 1 are pre-dominantly due to the changes in φ as $(\tau_8 - \tau_1)$ changes. The maximum and minimum values of I occur when the cosine term in Eq. (12.21) is +1 and -1, respectively. The visibility at P (Problem 12.7) is then

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{2\sqrt{I_1}\sqrt{I_2}}{I_1 + I_2} |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|. \qquad (12.22)$$

Perhaps the most common arrangement occurs when things are adjusted so that $I_1 = I_2$, whereupon

 $\mathcal{V}=|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|;$

(12.23)

or

Hence

and

that is, the modulus of the complex degree of coherence is identical to the visibility of the fringes (take another look at Fig. 12.8).

It is essential to realize that Eqs. (12.17) and (12.18) clearly suggest the way in which the real parts of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ can be determined from direct measurements. When the flux densities of two disturbances are adjusted to be equal, Eq. (12.23) provides an experi-mental means of obtaining $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|$ from the resultant fringe pattern. Furthermore, the off-axis shift in the Image pattern. Furthermore, the off-axis shift in the location of the central fringe (from $\varphi = 0$) is a measure of $\sigma_1(\tau)$, the apparent relative retardation of the phase of the disturbances at S_1 and S_2 . Thus, measurements of the visibility and fringe position yield both the ampli-tude and phase of the complex degree of coherence. By the way, it can be shown "that $|T_1(\tau)|$ " (will equal 1 for all values of τ and any pair of spatial points, if

* The proofs are given in Beran and Parrent, Theory of Partial Coherence, Section 4.9.

and only if the optical field is strictly monochrol and therefore such a situation is unattain Moreover, a nonzero radiation field for which $|\tilde{\gamma}_{10}| = 0$ for all values of τ and any pair of spatial points exist in free space either.

12.3.1 Temporal and Spatial Coherence

Let's now relate the ideas of temporal and sparse Let's now relate the needs of temporal and sparse ence to the above formalism. If the primary source S in Fig. 12.11 shrinks down

to a point source on the central axis having predominate. The optical disturbances at S₁ then be identical. In effect, the mutual cohere between the two points will be the **self**-coherence field. Hence $\tilde{\Gamma}(S_1, S_2, \tau) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau) \circ_{\Gamma} \neq \tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau)$. The same thing obtains when S_1 and S_2 of and $\tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau)$ is sometimes referred to as the degree of temporal coherence at that point for instances of time separated by an interval π . This we be the case in an amplitude-splitting interferon such as Michelson's, in which τ equals the path-le difference divided by c. The expression for Eq. (12.18), would then contain $\bar{\gamma}_{11}(\tau)$ rather Suppose a lightwave is divided into two disturbances of the form

$\tilde{E}(t)=E_0e^{i\phi(t)}$

(18.24)

by an amplitude-splitting interferometer, which late recombines them to generate a fringe patterny Then $\tilde{\chi}_{i,i}(\tau) = \frac{\langle \tilde{E}(t+\tau)\tilde{E}^{*}(t) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{E}(t+\tau)\tilde{E}^{*}(t) \rangle}$ (19.985

$$\gamma_{11}(\tau) = \frac{|\vec{E}|^2}{|\vec{E}|^2}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau) = \langle e^{i\phi(t+\tau)}e^{-i\phi(t)}\rangle.$$

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} e^{i(\frac{1}{2} + |\tau| - \frac{1}{2} + |\tau|)} d\tau$ (12.20)

 $\tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} (\cos \Delta \phi + i \sin \Delta \phi) \frac{1}{2\pi}$

12.3 The Mutual Coherence Function and the Degree of Coherence 529

 $\delta \phi = \phi(t + \tau) - \phi(t)$. For a strictly monochro-toplane wave of infinite coherence length, $\phi(t) = -\omega t$, and

$\tilde{\gamma}_{11}(\tau) = \cos \omega \tau - i \sin \omega \tau = e^{-i\omega \tau}$

= 1; the argument of $\tilde{\gamma}_{11}$ is just $-2\pi\nu\tau$, and complete coherence. In contradistinction, for concohromatic wave where τ is greater than the cetime, $\Delta \phi$ will be random, varying between 0 such that the integral averages to zero, $|\tilde{\gamma}_{i}| \langle \tau \rangle| =$ Funct that the integral averages to zero, $|Y_1|(Y)| = \frac{1}{2}$ responding to complete incoherence. A path once of 60 cm, produced when the two arms of a ison interferometer differ in length by 30 cm, sponds to a time delay between the recombining ponds to a time deal of the coherence time of $\tau \approx 2$ ns. This is roughly the coherence time ood isotope discharge lamp, and the visibility of item under this sort of illumination will be quite If white light is used instead, Δv is large, Δt_c is If white light is used instead, ΔP is line, Δr , Δr , small, and the coherence length is less than one length. In order for τ to be less than Δt , (i.e., in the that the visibility be good), the optical path ence will have to be a small fraction of a elength. The other extreme is laserlight, in which on be so long that a value of c rthat will cause an Hable decrease in visibility would require an elength interferometre.

see that $\Gamma_{11}(\tau)$, being a measure of temporal nee, must be intimately related to the coherence dtherefore the bandwidth of the source. Indeed rier transform of the self-coherence function, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}(\tau)$, power spectrum, which describes the spectral energy mison of the light (Section 11.3.4).

go back to Young's experiment (Fig. 12.11) with Bigo back to Young's experiment (Fig. 12.11) with i give narrow-bandwidth extended source, spatial infence officers will predominate. The optical distur-tions S_1 and S_2 will differ, and the fringe pattern will flepend on $\Gamma(s_1, S_2, r_1) = \Gamma_1(r)$. By examining the inflapend on $\Gamma(s_1, S_2, r_1) = \Gamma_1(r)$. By examining the inflapend on $\Gamma(s_1, S_2, r_1) = \Gamma_1(r)$. By examining the inflapend on $\Gamma(s_1, S_2, r_1) = \Gamma_1(r)$. By examining the inflapend on $\Gamma_1(s_1) = \Gamma_1(r)$. By examining the inflapend is complex degree of spatial coherence of the points at the same instant in time. $\Gamma_{12}(r)$ plays inflapend in the description of the Michaen relief. itral role in the description of the Michelson stellar derometer to be discussed forthwith.

There is a very convenient relationship between the set degree of coherence in a region of space and



Figure 12.12 (a) The geometry of the van Gitten-Zenike theorem. (b) The normalized diffuscion pattern corresponds to the degree of coherence. Here for a rectangular source siz the diffraction pattern is sinc (rb/M.).

the corresponding irradiance distribution across the extended source giving rise to the light fields. We shall make use of that relationship, the van Cittert-Zernike theorem, as a calculational aid without going through its formal derivation. Indeed, the analysis of Section 12.2 already suggests some of the essentials. Figure 12.12 represents an extended quasimonochromatic at source, S, located on the plane σ and having an irradiance given by I(y, z). Also shown is an observa

tion screen on which are two points, P_1 and P_2 . These are at distances R_1 and R_2 , respectively, from a tiny element of S. It is on this plane that we wish to determine $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(0)$, which describes the correlation of the field vibrations at the two points. Note that although the source is incoherent, the light reaching P_1 and P_2 will generally be correlated to some degree, since each source element contributes to the field at each such point.

Calculation of $\dot{\gamma}_{12}(0)$ from the fields at P_1 and P_2 results in an integral that has a familiar structure. The integral has the same form and will yield the same results as a well-known diffraction integral, provided we reinterpret each term appropriately. For instance, I(y, z) appears in that coherence integral where an aperture function would be if it were, in fact, a diffraction integral. Thus, suppose that S is not a source but an aperture of identical size and shape, and suppose that I(y, z) is not a description of irradiance, but instead its functional form corresponds to the field distribution across that aperture. In other words, imagine that there is a transparency at the aperture with amplitude transmission characteristics that correspond functionally to I(y, z). Furthermore, imagine that the aperture is illuminated by a spherical wave converging toward the fixed point P_2 (see Fig. 12.12b), so that there will be a diffraction normalized to unity at P_2 , is everywhere then at P_1 equal to the value of $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(0)$ at that point. The table $\gamma_{12}(0)$ at that point. The table $\gamma_{12}(0)$ when P_1 and P_2 are close togethere.

Cliteri-Zerinke unconstant When P_1 and P_2 are close together and S is small compared with 4 the complex degree of cohereje equals the normalized Fourier transform of the isiance distribution across the source. Furthermore, the source has a uniform irradiance, then $\hat{\gamma}_{12}(0)$ is sime a sinc function when the source is a sht and a Bee function when it's circular. Observe that in Fig. 12:120 the sinc function orresponds to that of Fig. 10.10 where $\beta = (bb/2) \sin \theta$ and $\theta \approx \sin \theta$. Thus if $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$ into distance γ from P_2 , $\beta \approx bb/2$ and $\theta \approx \gamma/4$, $[\hat{\gamma}_{12}(0)] = |\sin(c (\pi b/4)\lambda)|$. This result is explored that

12.4 COHERENCE AND STELLAR INTERFEROME

12.4.1 The Michelson Stellar Interferome

In 1890 A. A. Michelson, following an earlier approach by Fizeau, proposed an interferometric doug 12.13) that is of interest here both because it was the precursor of some important modern techniques because it lends itself to an interpretation in terms of



ence theory. The function of the stellar interter, as it is called, is to measure the small angular mions of remote astronomical bodies, o widely spaced movable mirrors, M_1 and M_2 ,

Trays, assumed to be parallel, from a very distant The light is then channeled via mirrors M_3 and hrough apertures S_1 and S_2 of a mask and thence the objective of a telescope. The optical paths and the objective of a tensority. The optical paths if M_2S_1 and $M_2M_4S_2$ are made equal, so that the rela-minimum states angle difference between a disturbance at M_1 is the same as that between S_1 and S_2 . The two M_2 is the same as that between S_1 and S_2 . and M_3 is the same as that between S_1 and S_2 . The two approximates generate the usual Young's experiment index system in the focal plane of the objective. analy, the mask and openings are not really expressive; the mirrors alone could serve as apertures. Suppose we now point the device so that its central and constraints of the device so that its central and is directed toward one of the stars in a closely spaced duble-star configuration. Because of the tremendous ances involved, the rays reaching the interferometer in either star are well collimated. Furthermore, we me, at least for the moment, that the light has a new linewidth centered about a mean wavelength of disturbances arising at S_1 and S_2 from the axial in phase, and a pattern of bright and dark bands centered on P_0 . Similarly, rays from the other we at some angle θ , but this time the disturbances and M_2 (and therefore at S_1 and S_2) are out of by approximately $\bar{k}_0 h \theta$ or, if you will, retarded are $h\theta/c$, as indicated in Fig. 12.13(b). The resultringe system is centered about a point P shifted Mangle θ' from P_0 such that $h\theta/c = a\theta'/c$. Since stars behave as though they were incoherent point to the individual irradiance distributions simply The separation between the fringes set up by tar is equal and dependent solely on a. Yet the varies with k. Thus if k is increased from nearly till $k_0 h \theta = \pi$, that is, until ĩ

$$h = \frac{A_0}{2\theta},$$
 (12.27)

We fringe systems take on an increasing relative prement, until finally the maxima from one star up the minima from the other, at which point, if airradiances are equal, N = 0. Hence, when the mass variable, one need only measure h to determine

12.4 Coherence and Stellar Interferometry 531

the angular separation between the stars, θ . Notice that the appropriate value of h varies inversely with θ . Note that even though the source points, the two

Note that even though the source points, the two stars, are assumed to be completely uncorrelated, the resulting optial fields at any two points $(M_1 \text{ and } M_2)$ are not necessarily incoherent. For that matter, as hbecomes very small, the light from each point source arrives with essentially zero relative phase at M_1 and M_2 : \mathcal{V} approaches 1, and the fields at those locations are highly coherent.

In much the same way as with a double star system, the angular diameter (θ) of certain single stars can be measured. Once again the fringe visibility corresponds to the degree of coherence of the optical field at M_1 , and M_2 . If the star is assumed to be a circular distribution of incoherent point sources such that it has a uniform brillance, its visibility is equivalent to that already plotted in Fig. 12.7. Earlier, we alluded to the fact that Y for this sort of source was given by a first-order Bessel function, and in fact it is expressible as

$$\mathcal{V} = \left| \tilde{\gamma}_{12}(0) \right| - 2 \left| \frac{J_1(\pi h \theta / \tilde{\lambda}_0)}{\pi h \theta / \tilde{\lambda}_0} \right|. \qquad (12.28)$$

Recall that $J_1(u)/u = \frac{1}{2}$ at u = 0, and the maximum value of \mathcal{V} is 1. The first zero of \mathcal{V} occurs when $m\hbar/\lambda_0 = 3.83$, as in Fig. 10.28. Equivalently, the fringes disappear when τ

 $h = 1.22 \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0}{\rho}$, (12.29)

and as before, one simply measures h to find θ . In Michelson's arrangement, the two outrigged mirrors were movable on a long girder, which was mounted on the 100-inch reflector of the Mt, Wilson Observatory. Betelgeuse (a Orionis) was the first star whose angular diameter was measured with the device. It's the orange-looking star in the upper left of the constellation Orion. In fact, its name is a contraction for the Arabic phrase meaning the amptit of the central one (i.e., Orion). The fringes formed by the interferometer, one cold December night in 1920, were made to vanish at h = 121 inches, and with $\lambda_{\mu} = 570$ nm, $\theta = 1.22(570 \times 10^{-9})/121(2.54 \times 10^{-5}) = 22.6 \times 10^{-9}$ rad, or 0.047 seconds of arc. Using its known distance, deterturned out to be about 240 million miles, or roughly

280 times that of the Sun. Actually, Betelgeuse is an irregular variable star whose maximum dia tremendous that it's larger than the orbit of Mars about the Sun. The main limitation on the use of the stellar interferometer is due to the inconveniently long mirror separations required for all but the largest stars. This is true as well in radio astronomy, where an analogous setup has been widely used to measure the extent of

celestial sources of radiofrequency emissions. Incidentally, we assume, as is often done, that "good" coherence means a visibility of 0.88 or better. For a disk source this occurs when $\pi h \theta / \bar{\lambda}_0$ in Eq. (12.28) equals one, that is when

$$h = 0.32 \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$$
. (12.30)

For a narrow-bandwidth source of diameter D a distance R away, there is an **area of coherence** equal to $\pi (h/2)^2$ over which $|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}| = 0.88$. Since $D/R = \theta$,

$$h = 0.32 \frac{R \hat{\lambda}_0}{D}$$
. (12.31)

These expressions are very handy for estimating the required physical parameters in an interference or diffraction experiment. For example, if we put a red filter over a 1-mm-diameter disk-shaped flashlight source and stand back 20 m from it, then

 $h = 0.32(20)(600 \times 10^{-9})/10^{-3} - 3.8 \text{ mm},$

where the mean wavelength is taken as 600 nm. This means that a set of apertures spaced at about h or less should produce nice fringes. Evidently the area of coherence increases with R_i and this is why you can always find a distant bright street light to use as a convenient source.

12.4.2 Correlation Interferometry

Let's return for a moment to the representation of a disturbance emanating from a thermal source, as discussed in Section 7.10. Here the word *thermal* connotes a light field arising predominantly from the superposi-tion of spontaneously emitted waves issuing from a great

many independent atomic sources.* A quasimone matic optical field can be represented by $E(t) = E_0(t) \cos \left[\epsilon(t) - 2\pi \bar{\nu} t \right],$

The amplitude is a relatively slowly varying fun time, as is the phase. For that matter, the wave undergo tens of thousands of oscillations befor the amplitude (i.e., the envelope of the field vib or the phase would change appreciably. Thus the coherence time is a measure of the **fluctuafi** val of the phase, it is also a measure of the interr which $E_0(t)$ is fairly predictable. Large fluctuation are generally accompanied by correspondingly fluctuations of E_0 . Presumably, a knowledge of amplitude fluctuations of the field curling of amplitude fluctuations of the field could be related the phase fluctuations and therefore to the correlation (i.e., coherence) functions. Accordingly, atawa point in space-time where the phases of the field are conlated, we could expect the amplitudes to be related well.

When a fringe pattern exists for the Michel interferometer, it is because the fields at M Interference, are somehow correlated, that is, $\Gamma_{14}(\hat{E}_1(i)\hat{E}_2^*(i)) \neq 0$. If we could measure the field an tudes at these points, their fluctuations would like show an interrelationship. Since this isn't practice is the solution of the solution show an interrelationship. Since this isn't pras-because of the high frequencies involved, we instead measure and compare the fluctuations in ance at the locations of M_1 and M_2 and M_2 some as yet unknown way, infer $[f_{TR}(0)]$. In de-if there are values of τ for which $\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)$ is fluc-field at the two points is partially coherent, after those the irradiance fluctuations locations is implied. This is the essential lid-peries of creacylerble are inputs conducted fluct words ro, the orrela sociations is implied. This is the essential test series of remarkable experiments conducted 1952 to 1956 by R. Hanbury-Brown in col-with R. Q. Twiss and others. The culmination work was the so-called correlation interferomacy Thus far we have evolved only an intuition tification for the phenomenon rather than a firm retical treatment. Such an analysis, however, is beyon

* Thermal light is sometimes spoken of as Gaussian amplitude of the field follows a Gaussian probabilit



pe of this discussion, and we shall have to content view with merely outlining its salient features.* Just y_1 (2.14), we are interested in determining the generation function, this time, of the irradiances points in a partially coherent field, $(I_1(t + \tau)I_2(t))$. influting wavetrains, which are again represen-omplex fields, are assumed to have been ran-mitted in accord with Gaussian statistics, with domly emitted in a

 $\langle I_1 \langle t + \tau \rangle I_2 (t) \rangle = \langle I_1 \rangle \langle I_2 \rangle + |\tilde{\Gamma}_{12} (\tau)|^2$ (12.32)

10

 $\langle I_1(t+\tau)I_2(t)\rangle = \langle I_1\rangle\langle I_2\rangle[1+|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)|^2].$ (12.33) stantaneous irradiance fluctuations $\Delta I_1(t)$ and given by the variations of the instantaneous $I_1(t)$ and $I_2(t)$ about their mean values $(I_4(t))$ V_2 , as in Fig. 12.14. Consequently if we use $\dot{\Delta}(t) = I_1(t) - \langle I_1 \rangle, \quad \Delta I_2(t) = I_2(t) - \langle I_2 \rangle$

act that $\langle \Delta I_1(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Delta I_2(t) \rangle = 0$,

Transition discussion, see, for example, L. Mandel, "Fluctu-tion formation," Progress of Optics, Vol. II, p. 198, or Françon, al Information, p. 182.

533

Eqs. (12.32) and (12.33) become

 $\langle \Delta I_1(t+\tau) \Delta I_2(t) \rangle = |\tilde{\Gamma}_{12}(\tau)|^2$ (12.34) oī

$\left<\Delta I_1(t+\tau)\Delta I_2(t)\right>=\left< I_1\right>\left< I_2\right> \left|\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(\tau)\right|^2$ (12.35)

(Problem 12.11). These are the desired cross-correla-(routed taria), have all out outed a second and a second and a second and a second a

linearly polarized light. When the wave is unpolarized, a multiplicative factor of a must be introduced on the right-hand side. The validity of the principle of correlation inter-ferometry was first established in the radiofrequency region of the spectrum, where signal detection was a fairly straightforward matter. Soon afterward, in 1956, Jumpurer Berong and Twise proprosed the aprile stellar Hanbury-Brown and Twiss proposed the optical stellar Introduction and twiss protection is observed and interferometer illustrated in Fig. 12.15. But the only suitable detectors that could be used at optical frequencies were photoelectric devices whose very operation is keyed to the quantized nature of the light field. Thus





... it was by no means certain that the correlation would be fully preserved in the process of photo-electric emission. For these reasons a laboratory experiment was carried out as described below.*

That experiment is shown in Fig. (12.16). Filtered light from a Hig are was passed through a rectangular agerture, and different portions of the emerging wavefront were sampled by two photomultipliers, PM_1 and PM_2 . The degree of coherence was altered by moving PM_1 , that is, by varing h. The signals from the two photomultipliers were presumably proportional to the incident irradiances $I_1(t)$ and $I_2(t)$. These were then filtered and amplified, such that the steady, or dc, component of each of the signals form in fluctuations, in other words, $\Delta I_1(t) = I_1(t) - (I)$ and $\Delta I_2(t) = I_2(t) (I_2)$. The two signals were then multiplied together in the correlator, and the time average of the product, which was proportional to $\langle \Delta I_1(t) \Delta I_2(t) \rangle$, was finally recorded. The values of $[T_1(t)]$ for various separations, h, as deduced experimentally via Eq. (12.35), were in fine agreement with those calculated from theory. For the given growerty, the correlation definitely existed, moreover, it was preserved through photoelectric detection.

The irradiance fluctuations have a frequency bandwidth roughly equivalent to the bandwidth ($\Delta \nu$) of the incident light, in other words, (Δt_i)⁻¹, which is about 100 MHz or more. This is much better than trying to follow the field alternations at 10¹⁵ Hz. Even so, fast circuitry with roughly a 100-MHz pass bandwidth is required. In actuality the detectors have a finite resolving time T, so that the signal currents β_1 and β_2 are actually proportional to averages of $I_1(t)$ and $I_2(t)$ over T and not their instantaneous values. In effect, the measured fluctuations are smoothed out, as illustrated by the dashed curve of Fig. 12.14(b). For $T > \Delta t_4$, which is normally the case, this just leads to a reduction, by a factor of $\Delta t_4/T_i$ in the correlation actually observed:

 $\langle \Delta \mathcal{I}_1(t) \Delta \mathcal{I}_2(t) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}_1 \rangle \langle \mathcal{I}_2 \rangle \frac{\Delta t_c}{T} |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}(0)|^2 \qquad (12.36)$

* Taken from R. Hanbury-Brown and R. Q. Twiss, "Correlation Between Photons in Two Coherent Beams of Light," *Nature* 127, 27 (1956).



Figure 12.16 Hanbury-Brown and Twiss experiment.

For example, in the preceding laboratory article the filtered mercury light had a coherence time 1 ns, while the electronics had a reciprocal pass hard width or effective integration time of =40 ns. Note the Eq. (12.36) isn't any different conceptually form Eq. (12.35)—its us been made a bit more realist

(12:59)—It's just ocen have a minor excession infort excession is the set of the second seco

The star Sirius was the first to be examined, was found to have an angular diameter of 0.0009 of arc. More recently, a correlation interter with a baseline of 618 feet has been constructar with a baseline of 618 feet has been constructar variant, a ustralia. For certain stars, angular distribution of a situle as 0.0005 seconds of arc can be med with this instrument—that's a long way from roundar diameter of Betelgouse (0.047 seconds of

the electronics involved in irradiance correlation be greatly simplified if the incident light were early monchromatic and of considerably higher the statistical fluctuations, but it can nonetheless tended to generate *pseudothermal* 1 light. A pseudoerror source is composed of an ordinary bright purce (a laser is most convenient) and a moving error for maniform optical thickness, such as a rotat-

of a sate is most contracting and a moring of not noruniform optical thickness, such as a rotatmound glass disk. If the scattered beam emerging on a stationary piece of ground glass is examined of ufficiently slow detector, the inherent irradiance

tions will be smoothed out completely. By setting fund glass in motion, irradiance fluctuations with a simulated coherence time commensurate he disk's speed. In effect, one has an extremely at thermal source of variable Δt_c (from, say, 1 s -6), which can be used to examine a whole range greene effects. For example, Fig. 12.17 shows the tion function, which is proportional to $O(v(t))^2$, for a pseudothermal circular aperture determined from irradiance fluctuations. The **nent** setup resembles that of Fig. 12.16, although extronics is considerably simpler.§

Mussian of the photon aspects of irradiance correlation, see Optical Physics, Section 6.2.5.2, or Klein, Optics, Section 6.4. Cartienseen and E. Spiller, "Coherence and Fluctuations in law," Am. J. Phys. 32, 1912 (1964), and A. B. Haner and orig, "Intensity Correlations from Pseudothermal Light Am. J. Phys. 38, 748 (1970). Both of these articles are well using."

verall reference for this chapter is the review article by L. M.E. Wolf, "Coherence Properties of Optical Fields," Rev. 237, 231 (1965); this is rather heavy reading. Take a look lemman, "Intercontinental Radio Astronomy," Sci. Am. bruary 1972).





Figure 12.17 A correlation function for a pseudothermal source. [From A. B. Haner and N. R. Isenor, Am. J. Phys., 38, 748 (1970).]

PROBLEMS

12.1 Suppose we set up a fringe pattern using a Michelson interferometer with a mercury vapor lamp as the source. Switch on the lamp in your mind's eye and discuss what will happen to the fringes as the mercury vapor pressure builds to its steady state value.

12.2* We wish to examine the irradiance produced on the plane of observation in Young's experiment when the slits are illuriniated simultaneously by two monochromatic plane waves of somewhat different frequency, E_1 and E_2 . Sketch these against time, taking $A_1 = 0.8 A_2$. Now draw the product $E_1 E_2$ (at a point F^3) against time. What can you say about its average over a relatively long interval? What does $(E_1 + E_2)^3$ look like? Compare it with $E_1^3 + E_2^3$. Over a time that is long compared with the periods of the waves, approximate $((E_1 + E_2)^3)$.

12.3* With the previous problem in mind, now consider things spread across space at a given moment in



time. Each wave separately would result in an irradiance distribution I_1 and I_2 . Plot both on the same space axis and then draw their sum $I_1 + I_2$. Discust the meaning of your results. Compare your work with Fig. 7.9. What happens to the net irradiance as more waves of different frequency are added in? Explain in terms of the other-ence length. Hypothetically, what would happen to the pattern as the frequency bandwidth approached infinity?

12.4 With the previous problem in mind, return to the autocorrelation of a sine function, shown in Fig. 11.37. Now suppose we have a signal composed of a great many sinusoidal components. Imagine that you take the autocorrelation of this complicated signal and plot the result (use three or four components to start with), as in part (e) of Fig. 11.37. What will the autocorrelation function look like when the number of waves is very large and the signal resembles random noise? What is the significance of the $\tau=0$ value? How does this compare with the previous problem?

12.5* Imagine that we have the arrangement depicted in Fig. 12.3. If the separation between fringes (max. to max.) is 1 mm and if the projected width of the source slit on the screen is 0.5 mm, compute the visibility.

12.6 Referring to the slit source and pinhole screen arrangement of Fig. 12.18, show by integration over the source that

Figure 12.18

12.7 Carry out the details leading to the types for the visibility given by Eq. (12.22).

12.8 Under what circumstances will the irradiance Σ_o in Fig. 12.19 be equal to $4I_o$, where I_o is the same due to either incoherent point source alm



Figure 12.19

12.9* Suppose we set up Young's experiment with a small circular hole of diameter 0.1 mm in from or sodium lamp ($\delta_0 = 589.3$ mm) as the source. If the did tance from the source to the sits is 1 m, how is rapa will the slits be when the fringe pattern distance.

12.10 Taking the angular diameter of the Store from the Earth to be about 1/2°, determine the store of the corresponding area of coherence, neglevariations in brightness across the surface.

12.11 Show that Eqs. (12.34) and (12.35) follow trans Eqs. (12.32) and (12.33).

12.12^{*} Return to Eq. (12.21) and separate iterative terms representing a coherent and an incoherentiate bution, the first arising from the superposition of coherent waves with irradiances of $|\gamma_{12}(\tau)|I_2$ having a relative phase of $\alpha_{12}(\tau) = \beta_{12}(\tau)|I_1$ and $|\gamma_{-12}(\tau)|I_2$. Nuderive expressions for I_{coh}/I_{mont} and I_{coh} . Numerical terms is the physical significance of this alternative in terms of it.

12.13 Imagine that we have Young's experiment, where one of the two pinholes is now too ered to a

sevel-density filter that cuts the irradiance by a factor of 10, and the other hole is covered by a transparent det of glass, so there is no relative phase shift introdent of glass, so there is no relative phase shift introdent compute the visibility in the hypothetical case encompletely coherent illumination.

Bill Suppose that Young's double-slit apparatus is monated by sunlight with a mean wavelength of Stem. Determine the separation of the slits that would acthe fringes to vanish.

We wish to construct a double-pinhole setup insted by a uniform, quasimonochromatic, incodit source of mean wavelength 500 nm and width funce of 1.5 m from the aperture screen. If the or are 0.50 nm apart, how wide can the source wisbility of the fringes on the plane of observanet to be less than 85%?

12.15 Suppose that we have an incoherent, quasitromatic, uniform slit source, such as a discollarmy with a mask and filter in front of it. We willuminate a region on an aperture screen 10.0 m much that the modulus of the complex degree of optice everywhere within a region 1.0 mm wide is the file or greater than 90% when the wavelength is 50m. How wide can the slit be?

Problems 537

12.17* Figure 12.20 shows two incoherent quasimonochromatic point sources illuminating two pinholes in a mask. Show that the fringes formed on the plane of observation have minimum visibility when



12.18 Imagine that we have a wide quasimonochromatic source ($\lambda = 500$ nm) consisting of a series of vertical, incoherent, infinitesimally narrow line sources, each separated by 500 μ m. This is used to illuminate a pair of exceedingly narrow vertical slits in an aperture screen 2.0 m away. How far apart should the apertures be to create a fringe system of maximum visibility?



Our understanding of the physical world has changed in a most profound manner since the beginning of this century. We have come to appreciate fundamental similarities between all of the various forms of radiant energy and matter. Optics, which was traditionally the study of light, has broadened its domain to encompass the entire electromagnetic spectrum. Moreover, the advent of quantum mechanics has brought with it yet another extension into what might be called *matter optics* (e.g., electron and neutron diffraction).

Our main purpose in this chapter conceptually is to weave some of the basic ideas of quantum mechanics into the fabric of optics.

13.1 QUANTUM FIELDS

The nineteenth-century physicist envisioned the electromagnetic field as a disturbance of the all-pervading acther medium. If two charges interacted, it was because the acther in which they were imbedded was distorted by their presence, and the resulting strain was transmitted from one to the other. Maxwell's field equations described this measurable disturbance of the medium without explicitly discussing the acther itself. Light was then simply a wavetrain consisting of oscillatory mechanical stresses within the acther. Since there were electromagnetic waves, here had to be a transmitting medium—it was as clear as that. Yet curiously enough, even after the Michelson-Morley experiment (Section

538

9.10.3) and Einstein's special theory of relivity had put aside the aether hypothesis, Maxwell's equation remained. Even though the entire imagery had to be changed, the validity of those equations perinsto. There seemed little conceptual alternative, the far isself had to be a physical entity, independent of any medium and capable of traversing otherwise empty space. An electromagnetic wave was seen as a distuhance propagated in the electromagnetic field. In the each and of this creature it became field

bance propagated in the creations achieved by and of his century it became order that although Maxwell's equations seemed to the truth, they could not be the whole truth. There it was behavior inconsistent with the representation field exclusively as a fluid-like continuum. The detromagnetic field displayed particle-like property in that it was emitted and absorbed in lumps and not at all continuously. Even in the set behavior evisioned as separate entities, the became evident, with the melding of quantum and relativity, that each particle, material or decould be envisioned as a quantized manifest distinct field (e.g., the photon is a quantum electromagnetic field). As with the photon particles can be created and destroyed. The responding fields can transport all observable physic advancing through space as waves. Withing the of quantum field theory, as this description is cal particles are viewed essentially as localized packet 13.2 Blackbody Radiation—Planck's Quantum Hypothesis 539

denergy. Another far-reaching distinction between is and the classical picture is in the consideration of teracions. Quantum field theory maintains that all reactions arise from the creation and annihilation of nucles. To wit, forces, in the classical sense, are nisoned as due to the exchange of quanta or lumps the field in question. Charged particles can interact absorbing and emitting, in a mutual exchange, man of the electromagnetic field, that is, photons. The pravitational interaction is similarly the of an exchange of quanta of the gravitational gravitons.

eravitons. To then is something of a cursory view of the direcportaten by contemporary quantum field theory. In the next few sections we will consider some of the themas that led to the development of the quantum mechanical photon picture.

132 BLACKBODY RADIATION - PLANCK'S QUANTUM HYPOTHESIS

The curr of the nineteenth century, the electromagliheory of light, fashioned by Maxwell and neticibusly verified by Hertz, was firmly established as one of the cornerstones of science. But periods of futurent in physics are usually short-lived, and Maxtin 1900 unleashed a conceptual whirlwind that any led to a radical change in the picture of the full universe. Planck, who had been a student of the studies of a sceningly obscure phenomenon known as by *radiation*. We know that if an object is in therpublic the sceningly obscure phenomenon known as by *radiation*. We know that if an object is in therpublic the sceningly obscure phenomenon known as as do *radiation*. We know that if an object is in therpublic the sceningly obscure phenomenon known as the sceningly obscure phenomenon known as by *radiation*. We know that if an object is in therpublic the scening of the scene scene which and and radiant energy incident upon it, regardless of the scene scene scene scene scene scene scene which all radiant energy entering the hole has little to being reflected out gain, so that the enclosure an early perfect absorber. The "black" pupil of the scene scene scene scene scource emitting the scene is heated, it can serve as a source emitting energy through the hole. In accord with common experience, we can anticipate that the spectral distribution of the emitted radiant energy will be dependent on the oven's absolute temperature T. As the temperature increases, the hole will initially radiate predominantly infrared, and then gradually it will take on a faint reddish glow that gets brighter and brighter, shifting to yellow, white, and finally blue-white. Experimental investigations (notably by O. Lummer and E. Pringsheim, 1899) resulted in spectral curves similar to those of Fig. 13.1. The quantity L_{μ} , which is plotted as the ordinate, is known as the spectral fus density or spectral exitance. It corresponds to the emitted power per unit area per unit wavelength interval leaving the hole. Were we to make such measurements, at least in principle, we could determine the exitance (in W/m⁵) from the blackbody at a given wavelength A, using some sort of power meter. But in actuality, any such meter would accept a range of wavelengths $\Delta \lambda$ centered about A, so we introduce the notion of spectral exitance. The curves of L_{α} versus λ can be plotted so that the area beneath them is measurem in W/m⁵. Notice how the peaks in the curves shift toward the shorter wavelengths

as T increases. In 1879 Josef Stefan (1835–1893) observed that the total radiant flux density (or exitance, I_e) of a blackbody



Figure 13.1 Blackbody radiation curves. The hyperbola passing through peak points corresponds to Wien's law.

was proportional to the fourth power of its absolute temperature. A few years later, Ludwig Boltzmann (1844-1906) derived that relationship in a combined application of Maxwell's theory and thermodynamic arguments. The Stefan-Boltzmann law, as it is now called, is

 $I_{e} = \sigma T^{4}, \qquad (13.1)$

where the Stefan-Boltzmann constant σ is equal to (3.6697 ± 0.0029) × 10⁻⁸ W m⁻² K⁻⁴. The last notable success in applying classical theory to the problem of blackbody radiation came in 1893 at the hands of the German physicias and Nobel laureate Withelm Carl Werner Otto Frizz Franz Wien (1864–1928), known to his friends as Willy. He was able to show that the wavelength, Ama, at which A_{c} (the flux density per unit wavelength interval emerging from the blackbody) is a maximum, varies as

$$\lambda_{\text{max}} T = 2.8978 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m K}.$$
 (13.2)

As T increases, λ_{\max} decreases, and the peaks are displaced, as we have already pointed out in connection with Fig. 13.1. Accordingly, the expression (13.2) is known as Wien's displacement law.

physics had arrived. Planck's approach to the problem was a rather systematic and practical one. He first matched the observed data with an empirical expression. Then he set about finding a physical justification for that expression within the framework of thermodynamics. In effect his model pictured the atoms in the walls of the oven to be in thermal equilibrium with the enclosed radius the He presumed that the atoms behaved liss oscillators, absorbing and emitting radiant end further assumed that all oscillator frequencies possible, and thus every frequency should be in the emitted spectrum. All ests having regretfully turned to the method of Boltzmo which he had little familiarity and less configure apply this statistical analysis he introduced a counprecedented ad hee assumption whose statification was a pragmatic one—it worked. But the data an atomic resonator could absorb or mit anounts of energy that were proportional to the frequency. Moreover, each such energy value have integral multiple of what he called an "mergy atomic to."

$\mathscr{C}_m = mh\nu,$

(183)

(13.4)

where m is a positive integer and h is a constant determined by fitting the actual data. After brings bear statistical arguments, which are of little combere (and not actually correct anyhow), Plage the following formula for the spectral exitation he had already arrived at by fitting curves to

$$I_{e\lambda} = \frac{2\pi\hbar c^{-}}{\lambda^{5}} \left[\frac{1}{e^{\hbar c/\lambda kT} - 1} \right].$$

Here k is Boltzmann's constant. Planck's $\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\text{eff}}$ on law as given by Eq. (13.4), is in extremely goodby, cemen with experimental results when h is chos_{2n} ately. The currently accepted value of Planck's too is

$h = (6.6256 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js}.$

The hypothesis that energy was emitted and in quanta of h^{ν} (which initially seemed only tional contrivance) has proved to be a function statement of the nature of things. Moreover, ity h_i rather than simply being a particular of parameter, has shown itself to be a university of the greatest importance. Nonetheless, we are

* Planck's original derivation leads to erroneous protomhy, but it was later correctly reformulated by Bosegon in † Don't confuse this with spectral energy density, which is 13.3 The Photoelectric Effect—Einstein's Photon Concept 541

and out that the true significance of Planck's work are unappreciated for several years, and even he was the carbous, as witnessed by this commentary on the miction.¹⁰

It is true that we shall not thereby prove that this problems represents the endp possible or even the most address to provide the state of the possible of the state address there are address of the state disks in itself or with experiment is discovered in it, address to more adquate hypothesis can be advanted to replace it, it may justly claim a certain in state.

13.3 THE PHOTOELECTRIC EFFECT - EINSTEIN'S PHOTON CONCEPT

Ther ironical that Heinrich Hertz, who helped to that the classical wave picture of radiant energy, numviting contributor to its ultimate reformulatisscamebywayofhisdiscoveryofthephotoelectric whose description first appeared in 1887 in a tentitide "On an Effect of Ultraviolet Light upon Hestric Discharge." While engaged in his now experiments on electromagnetic waves (Section in noiced that the spark induced in his receiving was stronger when the terminals of the gap were tade by the light coming from the primary spark. Table to establish that the effect was most proteed when ultraviolet impinged on the negative and of the gap, but he did not pursue the work there. Lacer, in 1889, Wilhelm Hallwachs (1859– thowed that negative particles were released from willuminated metal surfaces, such as zinc, um, and potassium. Thereafter Philipp Eduard no von Lenard (1862–1947), who was a colleague letter, measured the charge-to-mass ratio of these theter Lacer estimates that the spark enhancement red by Hertz was the result of the emission of (now referred to as photoelectrons). Using that were similar in principle to the one depized the states that the there the similar the spark enhancement (now referred to as photoelectrons). Using that were similar in principle to the one depized



Figure 13.2 Setup to observe the photoelectric effect.

in Fig. 13.2, a number of researchers began to accrue data on the photoelectric effect, that is, the process whereby electrons are liberated from materials under the action of radiant energy. It soon became apparent that the photoelectric effect was another instance in which classical electromagnetic theory was paradoxically impotent. This protracted dilemma was finally resolved by Einstein in a brilliant paper appearing in the Annalen der Physik of 1905.⁶ It was there that he boldly extended Planck's quantum hypothesis and in so doing gave impetus to the sweeping reinterpretation of classical physics that was to take place later in the 1920s. Let's

* 1906 was a good year for Elastein. It was then, at the uge of about 26, that he published his theories of special relativity, Brownian motion, and the photoelectric effect. Nonstheless, he once confided in a friend that his theory of the photoelectric effect was the result of forw years of thinking about "Panck's hypothesis.

now set the scene (c. 1905) so that we can appre now set the scene (c. 1909) so that we can appreciate how insightful Einstein's work actually was in light of the limited existent data.

The early experiments of J. Elster and H. Geitel in The early experiments of J. Elster and H. Geitel in 1889 had revealed that photoelectrons were frequently forcibly ejected from the illuminated metal surfaces under study. Electrons apparently emerged with small but finite speeds ranging from zero to some maximum respect to the illuminated plate, a retarding force could be exerted on the electrons. The retarding voltage, which would stop even the most energetic electrons from reaching the collector, thereby bringing the photo-current to zero, is known as the *stopping potential* V₀. Thus Thus (13.5)

$\frac{1}{2}m_0v_{\max}^2 = q_eV_0,$

 $_{2}m_{0}v_{max} = q_{*}v_{0}$. (15.2) where m_{0} is the rear mass of the electron. Figure 18.3(a) depicts the manner in which the *fbatocurrent* is varies as the retarding voltage V is altered. There is nothing about Fig. 13.3(a) that is at variance with the classical picture. The distribution in energy of the emerging electrons, which manifests itself in the gradual drop-off of the curve, can satisfactorily be attributed to differen-ces in the energy binding the various electrons to the of the curve, can satisfactorily be attributed to differen-ces in the energy binding the various electrons to the metal. Electrons do not spontaneously escape from metal surfaces, so that such binding is quite reasonable. In 1893 it was observed that is was directly propor-tional to the incident irradiance, I, as indicated in Fig. 13,3th. This too represented no departure from the

tional to the incident irradiance, I, as indicated in Fig. 19.3(b). This too represented no departure from the classical scheme. Increasing I increases the total energy absorbed by the surface and should thus yield a propor-tionately larger number of emitted photoelectrons. In contrast, it had early been established that there was no discernible time delay between the instant the plate was illuminated and the initiation of photo-emission. This behavior is comoletely incomorehensible

plate was illuminated and the initiation of photo-emission. This behavior is completely incomprehensible within the context of the classical description. For example, if $1 = 10^{-10}$ W/m² (at $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm), theory predicts (Problem 13.10) that it might take about 10 hours before electrons could accumulate the amount of energy they had been observed to possess. To the contrary, Elster and Geitel, working with an even smal-ler irradiance, found no measurable time lag whatever. In 1902 Lenard discovered that for a given metal the



stopping potential, and therefore the maximum energy, was independent of the radiant fit marriving at the plate, as shown schematically in He determined that even though the incident result led to yet another conundrum. It was that the maximum kinetic energy of the photi showed that this energy was independent ould only conclude that the maximum kinetic and not with the total incident energy—a per-original experiment, pointed out that utrava ation rather than visible light was the effective information in the frequency of the radian-tion there than visible light was the effective information and the requency of the radian-tion rather than visible light was the effective information at the requency of the radian-information at the requency of the radian-information at the requency of the radian-information of the radian incident energy—a per-ion the the radian light was the effective information of the radian of the radian of the radian information of the radian of the radian of the ra stopping potential, and therefore the maximi

at the energy of the radiation field could only change the energy of the radiation field could only change by discrete quanta, that is, integer multiples of hx. This sets on sequence of the fact that he had quantized the arcsy of the electric oscillators. Going far beyond this, search proposed that the radiation field itself on any second news of the electric oscillators. Going far beyond this, energy of the electric oscillators. Going far beyond this, ensein proposed that the radiation field itself was quan-ind and thus energy could be absorbed from it only in quanta of the effect now becomes quite clear. Envision an detric effect now becomes quite clear. Envision an detric, within the interior of the material, which has aborbed a photon hv. In rising to the surface it will be some of that energy, and in escaping from the unface it will lose even more. Let the total energy spent is leaving the material be Φ . The difference between by and Φ appears in the form of kinetic energy:

$$h\nu = \frac{mv^2}{2} + \Phi.$$

(13.6)

(13.7)

whe electron happens to be at the surface, Φ has formum value Φ_0 . Known as the work function, Φ_0 decorresponds to the energy needed by an electron the free of the surface (see Table 13.1). In that Secial case

$$h\nu = \frac{mv_{max}^2}{mu_{max}^2} + \Phi_0,$$

eing a statement of Einstein's photoelectric equation est or threshold frequency (ν_0) capable of promot-The lot



Figure 18.4 The stopping potential is independent of the irradiance.

13.3 The Photoelectric Effect—Einstein's Photon Concept 543

Table 13.1 Photoelectric threshold frequencies and work functions

Metai		$v_0(THz)$	$\Phi_0(eV)$
Cesium	Cs	450	1.9
Beryllium	Be	940	3.9
Titanium	Ti	990	~4.1
Mercury	Hg	1100	4.5
Nickel	Ni	1210	5.0
Platinum	Pt	1530	6.3

ing emission would just barely eject the electrons. To wit, $v_{max} \approx 0$ and $\nu_0 = \Phi_0/h.$

(13.8)

 $\rho_{0} = \Phi_{0}/h$ The photometry of the photome create pulses of light and therewith found that it a time lag existed in the emission of electrons, it had to be less than⁸ 3 × 10⁻⁹ s. In 1916 the American physicist Robert Andrews Millikan (1868–1955) published an extensive and remarkably accurate study of the relationship of Einstein's equation and the photoelectric effect. His own

* E. O. Lawrence and J. W. Beams, "The Element of Time in the Photoelectric Effect," Phys. Rev. **32**, 478 (1928).

words on the subject are quite enlightening:

I spent ten years of my life testing the 1905 equation a performance of period of the second period of the second period of the second period of the second period per interference of light.

A representation of Millikan's results is snow 13.5. Note that since $\nu_0 = \Phi_0/h$, we can write representation of Millikan's results is shown in Fig.

$$\frac{mv_{\max}^2}{2} = h(\nu - \nu_0), \qquad (13.9)$$

which means that a plot of maximum kinetic energy (q, V_0) versus ν for any given material should be a variable line having a slope h and an intercept of $-\Phi_0$. These predictions were completely confirmed by Millikan.^{*} The amazing fact that the slope actually urned out to be equal to h is a tribute to the insight of characteristic values of Φ_0 and ν_0 , but in all cases the slope of the line remained constant at h, as predicted. The quantization of the electromagnetic field had

been established; all of physics, and particularly optics, would never quite be the same again.[†]

13.4 PARTICLES AND WAVES

According to Maxwell's electromagnetic theory (see Chapter 3), the energy $\mathscr E$ and momentum p of an electromagnetic wave are related by the expression

$$\mathscr{C} = cp.$$
 (13.10)

Alternatively, the energy and momentum of a particle

* In 1925, two years after Einstein received the Nobel prize for his work on the photoelectric effect, Millikan was avarided the same honor, in part for his experimental effonts on that subject. I Notwithstanding the great influence the photoelectric effect had on the photoe historically, it is onesheless possible to explain that effect without resorting to a quantization of the electromagnetic field. Indeed one can treat the field classically, imparing the quantum ature to the matter alone. See the atticle by W. E. Lamb, Jr., and M. O. Scuhly in *Polarization, Matter and Radiantion, Jubiter Volume to* Homer of Altype Kauter.



of rest mass m_0 are related by way of the formula $\mathscr{C} = c(m_0^2 c^2 + p^2)^{1/2},$ 11475

whose origins are in the special theory of relativity Inasmuch as the photon is a creature of both these disciplines, we can expect either equation to be equip usuplines, we can expect either equation to be applicable; indeed they must be identical. It [6] the rest mass of a photon is equal to zero. The photo energy, as with any particle, is given by the r expression $\mathcal{E} = mc^2$, where

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

Thus, since it has a finite relativistic mass m and sin $m_0 = 0$, it follows that a photon can use only a speed the energy \mathcal{E} is purely kinetic.

act that the photon possesses inertial mass leads rather interesting results, for example, the one red shift (Problem 13.13) and the deflection reasing the sun (Problem 13.15) and the deflection right by the Sun (Problem 13.16). The red shift totally observed under laboratory conditions in by R. V. Pound and G. A. Rebka, Jr., at Harvard T. by S. S. Statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistics of the statistic statistics of the s by R. V. Pound and G. A. Rebka, Jr., at Harvard tersity. In brief, if a particle of mass *m* moves uprad a beight *d* in the Earth's gravitational field, it do work in overcoming the field and thus decrease energy by an amount *mgd*. Therefore, if the photon's used energy is *he*, its final energy after terminal interest by an amount maga. Incretore, if the photon's milial energy is $h\nu_i$, its final energy after traveling a ertical distance d will be given by (13.13)

$h\nu_f = h\nu_i - mgd$,

and so $v_j < v_i$, ergo the name red shift. Pound and refa, using gamma-ray photons, were able to confirm regulate of the electromagnetic field behave as if rehad a mass $m = \mathscr{C}/c^2$. im Eq. (13.10) the momentum of a photon can be

written as 8 hv

カヨー		(13.14)	
r c	C		
	-		

 $p = h/\lambda$. (13.15) If we had a perfectly monochromatic beam of light of welength λ , each constituent photon would possess a menturn of h/λ , or equivalently

$$\mathbf{p} = \hbar \mathbf{k}.$$

x

an arrive at this same end by way of a somewhat different route. Momentum quite generally is the product of mass and speed, thus

$$p - mc = \frac{c}{c}$$

Indiwe're back to Eq. (13.14). The momentum relation- $p = h/\lambda$, for photons was confirmed in 1923 by the Holly Compton (1892–1962). In a classic experi-tion by interfaced on the same units and at he irradiated electrons with x-ray quanta and the definition of the scattered photons. By yring the laws of conservation of momentum and the relativistically, as if the collisions were between , Compton was able to account for an otherwise

13.4 Particles and Waves 545

inexplicable decrease in the frequency of the scattered radiant energy. A few years later in France, Louis Victor, Prince de

Broglie (b. 1891), in his doctoral thesis drew a marvelous blogic (b. 1051), in this down measure within the analogy between photons and matter particles. He proposed that every particle, and not just the photon, should have an associated wave nature. Thus since p = h/λ , the wavelength of a particle having a momentum mv would then be

$$h = h/mx$$
. (13.16)
Because $h = 6.6 \times 10^{-34}$ is small and because of the
relative enormity of the momenta of macroscopic
entities, such bodies have miniscule wavelengths. For
example, a 1-g pebble moving at 1 cm/s has a wavelength
of 6.6 \times 10^{-37} m, roughly 10^{62} times shorter than that
of red light. In contrast, let's compute the voltage
needed to impart a wavelength of 1 Å to an electron;
this is of the order of the spacing between atoms. Start-
ing from rest, the electron has a kinetic energy of $m^5/2$
after traversing a potential difference of V, that is,

versing a potential difference of
$$V$$

 $q_e V = \frac{mv^2}{2}$.

Using Eq. (13.14), we can write

of

[3.53]

$$V = \frac{\hbar^{*}}{2mq_{*}\lambda^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{(6.6 \times 10^{-54} \text{ J s})^{2}}{2(9.1 \times 10^{-61} \text{ kg})(1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(10^{-10} \text{ m})^{2}}$$

V - 150 V.

An electron so accelerated has an energy of 150 eV($1 \text{ eV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$) and a wavelength of 1Å, which is just about that of a typical x-ray photon. Experimental verification of de Broglie's hypothesis

came in the years 1927–1928 as a result of the efforts of Clinton Joseph Davisson (1881–1958) and Lester Germer (b. 1896) in the United States and Sir George Paget Thomson (1892-1975) in Great Britain. Davisson and Germer used a nickel crystal (face-centered cubic structure) as a three-dimensional diffraction grating for electrons. When a 54-eV beam was incident, perpen-



Figure 19.6 The Davisson-Germer experiment.

dicular to the cut face of the crystal, as shown in Fig. 13.6, a strong reflection appeared at 50° to the normal. Making use of the grating equation,

 $a \sin \theta_m = m\lambda$, [10.32]

we find that the first-order (m = 1) maximum corresponds to

 $a \sin \theta_1 = \lambda.$

a sin $\theta_1 = \lambda$. a sin $\theta_1 = \lambda$. In this instance the lattice spacing a is 2.15 Å, and so $\lambda = 2.15 \sin 50^\circ$ or 1.65 Å, in fine agreement with the value of 1.67 Å computed from the de Broglie equation (13.16). Amazingly enough, a beam of electrons had thus been diffracted in a manner completely analogous to a lightwave bouncing off a reflection grating. The first observation of electron diffraction that was made by Davisson and Germer was quite accidental; they were

not looking for it, nor did they at first realizy happened. In contrast, Thomson had set out ately to verify diffraction. Taking a somewhat approach, he passed a beam of high-speed through a thin polycrystalline foil (100 nm th observed a diffraction pattern made up of ef





Figure 13.7 (a) Diffraction pattern arising fromy through a thin polycrystalline aluminum foil. (b) 2014 arising from electrons passing through the same align the PSSC film Matter Waves.)



(c)

(c) 19:15.8 Matter-wave diffraction (a) Fresnel electron diffraction 19:05 a 2-am diameter metalliced quarts filament. (Photo from 19:05 a 2-am diameter metalliced quarts filament. (Photo from 19:05 a 2-3 a

13.4 Particles and Waves 547









Figure 13.9 Diffraction patterns generated by (a) neutrons, (b) x-ray photons incident on a single crysul of NaCL A polycrystalline specinem would produce a great many randomly oriented dos patterns of this sort which would blend into the ring systems of Fig. 13.7. [Photo (a) by S. C. Wellan, which along with (b) is from Lapp and Andrews, Nuclear Radiation Förgier 3rd ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.I. (1965).] rings (Fig. 13.7). In 1928 E. Rupp diffracted so slow electrons (70 eV) at grazing incidence of optical grating (1300 lines per cm) and observed in second-, and third-order images. Two statistics 1930, I. Estermann and Otto Stern demonstration occurrence of diffraction effects using beams and helium atoms and molecular hydrogen.

helium atoms and molecular hydrogen. In recent times it has become possible to remarkable range of interference and diffeterns using electrons, as witness the photogram 13.8.

13.8. Out of the long list of material particles that has been observed to display wave properties, neuroamongs the most useful. Because they carry more slow or *thermal neurons* can have long wavelenged yet be immune to the electrical forces that stollary disturb low-momentum electrons. The diffraction of thermal neurons (generally originating from nuces reactors) is now a routinely used procedure in the carry of atomic structure (Fig. 15.9). Not very long ago (1969), a beam of neutral pomole

Not very long ago (1969), a beam of neutral you atoms was used to observe diffraction arising from a macroscopic slit $(23 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m wide})$. The resulting tern was in accord with de Broglie's hypothesis manual scalar Fresnel diffraction theory.

Scalar Presnel diffraction theory." We are limited by our language to a list of world much as our worldly experiences limit the those words bring to mind. Our senses have read it environment and in so doing provided the list for ou understanding of it. In what seemed a logical we have tried, a bit naively, to use macroscopic to describe submicroscopic entities. But electrons to describe submicroscopic entities. But electrons to thehave like miniscule billiard balls any more than light can be pictured in terms of scaled-down ocean waves. Particles and usaves are macroscopic which gradually lose their relevance as we approach microscopic domain.

13.5 PROBABILITY AND WAVE OPTICS

The fundamental wave nature of optical was established well over a hundred years a

* J. Leavitt and F. Bills, "Single-Slit Diffraction Pattern," Atomic Potassium Beam," Am. J. Phys. 37, 905 (1969). a) we the work of Young, Fresnel, and many others indicated the processes of interference, diffraction, replanation. During the intervening century, our reprint of light has metamorphosed from that of a Amentary mechanical aether wave to the contemnant pixoton description. Yet the concept that light somehow inherentity oscillatory has persisted any house the point and ask, what is it that oscillators the press the point and ask, what is it that oscillators there whistage light as a stream of photons; or for that other, what aspect of an electron vibrates? The answer this will obviously give us some clue as to how quanta many interference effects.

any interference inclusions of the provided an essential link between classical and yum physics in what has become known as the provided an essential link between classical and yum physics in what has become known as the produce principle. Briefly stated, any new theory must are with the results of the classical theory it supersedes in produce the latter is known to be effective.⁸ Thus the quantum theory can explain blackbody radiation, photoelectric effect, Compton scattering, electron theory, and a myriad of other observations, it must account for what might be called classical behavior. In the results of familiar effects, such as Snell's law, the classical behavior. They crasted in terms of electromagnetic theory, must be quantum theory is not just an esoteric dendum; it must encompass all confirmed observathat have gone before it, no matter how mundane. Snell, gone will, a monochromatic light source and gone before. Presumably, in many cases und calculate, using classical wave optics, the fluxthat when such a case, for example, a plane bidtem on a double-slit arrangement. The irradi-(9) represents the average energy density per me at the plane of observation, it his instance,

The split integration of the network of the second second

See Surion 4.4. also A. Somenardeld, Optics p. 82.

13.5 Probability and Wave Optics 549

the familiar fringe pattern of Young's experiment. Thus the average number of photons impinging on a small area element dA, in a time interval dt, will be (I dA dt)/hv. where *I*, of course, varies from one point to the next over the surface of the screen. Keep in mind that we can only detect the emission or absorption of a photon. that is, its interaction with matter. There is no way to predict where a particular photon will arrive on the plane of observation, although some regions are more plants of obstruction, anticolin, and regions are regions and regions and the second structure of the screen in each interval dt, we can say that each photon has a probability equal to $(I \, dA \, dt)/h\nu N$ of arriving at the given area element dA. The irradiance, as computed classically, is therefore related to the probability of finding a photon somewhere on the screen. It is convenient at this point to introduce, at least conceptually, a complex quantity known as the **probability amplitude**, that is, a quantity whose absolute value squared (the so-called *wave-intensity*) yields the probability distribution. It is this probability amplitude propagating as a wave that describes the whole range of interference effects. For example, in Young's experiment the photon's probabil-ity amplitude for reaching its final state is the sum of two amplitudes, each of these being associated with the photon's passage through one of the slits. The various contributing amplitudes in a given situation overlap and thereby effectively interfere, yielding the resultant probability amplitude and from that the irradiance. In answer to our initial question, we can say that it is the probability amplitude associated with the photon that is oscillating. Bear in mind that the same kind of discomforting reinterpretation of familiar ideas that we are encountering now had to be made when Maxwell's electromagnetic theory first emerged on the scene. Let's now briefly examine the implications of a rather

Let's now briefly examine the implications of a rather famous statement made by the renowned British physicist and Nobel laureate Paul Adrien Maurice Dirac (1902–1984):

... each photon interferes only with itself. Interference between different photons never occurs.*

This is in accord with the conclusion that each photon possesses a distinct wave nature. Evidently the wave

* P. A. M. Dirac, Quantum Mechanics, 4th ed., p. 9.

properties of light are not attributable to the beam acting as a whole. In Young's experiment each photon somehow simultaneously interacts with both slits; close either one and the finges will disappear. Presumably, since each photon interferes with itself, the same fringe pattern would gradually occur, one flash at a time, even if we shone a single photon a day at the slits. This remarkable conclusion was actually confirmed experi-mentally hy Geoffrey I. Taylor, a student at the Univer-sity of Cambridge in 1909. Using a light-proof box, a and of commission in foot of the stand of th density, he was able to obtain exposure times of up to about 3 months. In such cases the energy density in the box was so low that there was usually only one photon at a time in the region beyond the entrance slit. Nonetheless, the customary array of diffraction fringes appeared, and moreover,

In no case was there any diminution in the sharpness of the pattern. ...

Much of the foregoing discussion can be applied to material particles as well. In fact, the same dynamical equations determine the interrelationsbip of ν , λ , and v with p and \mathcal{C} for all particles, material or otherw Consequently from Eq. (13.11) we find that

 $p = (\mathscr{C}^2 - m_0^2 c^4)^{1/2} / c,$ (13.17) while $\lambda = h/p$ leads to

$$\lambda = hc/(\mathscr{C}^2 - m_0^2 c^4)^{1/2}.$$

(13.18) Since p = mv, $v = pc^2/(mc^2) = pc^2/8$ and

$$v = c[1 - (m_0^2 c^4 / \mathscr{C}^2)]^{1/2}$$

(13.19)

Evidently one of the main distinguishing characteristics of the photon is just its zero rest mass. In that case, the above equations simply become $p = \mathscr{D}/c$, $\lambda = hc/\mathscr{D} = c/\nu$ and v

In a way analogous to that of the photon, the probability amplitude or de Brnglie wave for a matter field is

* G. I. Taylor, "Interference Fringes with Feeble Light," Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 15, 114 (1909).

represented by the function $\psi(x, y, z, t)$ (also ref-to as the wave function). The probability of find particle of finite rest mass is then proportional wave-intensity $|\psi|^2$. One determines the wave fun-for a particular circumstance involving material v-cles from the Schrödinger equation. Once again e again probability amplitude of the particle that propagates through space as a wave, and pinterference.

13.6 FERMAT, FEYNMAN, AND PHOTONS In classically treating interference and diffe

lems with coherent waves, one generally sur electric field contributions at a given point-frequently being written in complex form. of the absolute value of this sum is proportion irradiance and is consequently proportional to ability of finding a photon at the point in qu will now qualitatively generalize these rem the lines of Richard Feynman's elegant vari mulation of quantum mechanics.* Suppose particle (photon, electron, etc.) is emitted fro point S and is later detected at point A. The of arrival, P, is equal to the square of the **abs** of a complex quantity Φ , which, as before, it the probability amplitude, that is, $P = |\Phi|^2$. classical treatment, where the field was expressed in complex form as a convenience, Φ must be co the quantum-mechanical formulation. Conse has an amplitude and **a** phase, the latter being of both the spatial position of A and tim can occur by several alternative routes 1, 220 it was postulated by Feynman that in such a path contributes to the total probability amplitude tude. In othe words.

118 53 $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 + \cdots$ and so $P = |\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 + \cdots|^2,$

* R. P. Feynman "Space-Time Approach to Non-Rel tum Mechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 367 (1948).

It was further postulated that the magnitudes of these $|\Phi_1| = |\Phi_2| = |\Phi_3| = \cdots,$ (13.22)

reas their phases are not equal and indeed depend secress their phases are not equal and indeed depend on the particular paths. Note that a value of P = 1 means that the particle will arrive at A with complete certainty, that B = 0 means that it will most definitely not reach Qaite generally then, P will range in value between0 and 1. Equation (13.21) evidently introduces theelemonenon of interference into the scheme, whether18 tor photons or electrons. In contrast, if we werestaing with classical particles, such as a stream of BB $stlets, P would equal <math>|\Phi_1|^2 + |\Phi_2|^2 + |\Phi_3|^2 + \cdots$, and windependent of the individual phases. As with inco-ient light, one then adds irradiances rather than amplitudes.

amplitudes. Let's now turn to the idealized Young's experiment wrig. 13.10, consisting of two extremely small slits. In the case

$P = |\Phi_1 + \Phi_2|^2$,

here are effectively two paths, one through each There are energy two parts, one through each true. If the phases of the probability amplitudes at iffer by an odd multiple of π , they will interfere fuctively, that is,

> $P = (|\Phi_1| - |\Phi_2|)^2 = 0.$ (13.24)



(13.28)

19 Double-beam experim

13.6 Fermat, Feynman, and Photons 551

On the other hand, if they are in phase, constructive interference results at A, whereup

 $P = (|\Phi_1| + |\Phi_2|)^2 = 4 |\Phi_1|^2,$ (13.25) which is equivalent to

$$I = 4I_0 \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{\alpha}$$
(9.6)

for $\delta = 0$, π , 2π ,.... The phases of the probability amplitudes at A depend on the path lengths traversed along each route, so P can dearly have any value between these extremes as well. In the same way, if between these extremes as well. In the same way, it we were shooting BB pellets through two small holes, the probability of their arriving at A would be the sum $|\phi_{1}|^{2} + |\phi_{2}|^{2}$. Here $|\phi_{1}|^{2}$ and $|\phi_{2}|^{2}$ are simply the individual probabilities of arrival with either hole 1 or hole 2 open, respectively, as indicated in Figs. 13.11 and 13.12. The resulting distribution of BB pellets is just the superposition of the two separate patterns for each aperture; there are no finges and no interference. If the screen had N such apertures, rather than just two, the probability of a photon reaching A would be

$$P = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_i \right|^2. \quad (13.26)$$



Figure 15.11 Lower hole covered in double-beam setup

amplitude for all paths is the wave function satisfying

amplitude for all paths is the wave function satisfying Schrödinger's equation.⁴ We now go back to the picture of a single ray of light leaving a source and reflecting off a mirror, ultimately to arrive at a sensor. The probability of a photon encountering the sensor is determined by Φ , which in turn is composed of contributions from each of the possible paths. All of this talk about paths should bring to mind Fermat's principle (Section 4.2.4), which main-ing the actual rate backs of a ray is stationary. tains that the actual path taken by a ray is stationary. tains that the actual path taken by a ray is stationary. Everything fits together rather nicely when we realize that the relative differences in path length and phase of the corresponding probability amplitudes at the sensor are small only for paths near the stationary one $(\theta_i = \theta_i)$. These probability amplitudes interfere con-structively, thereby providing the predominant contri-tions of This index to sumptum mechanical hash bution to P. This is then the quantum-mechanical basis for Fermat's principle. Probability amplitudes associ-ated with paths remote from the stationary one will have large phase-angle differences resulting in relatively stationary one will little cum ulative effect on P. This discussion is remi artic commuter energy of 17 rous documents remain cent of the Cornus spiral (Section 10.3.7), which in quite an analogous fashion can be thought of as the diagram-matic sum of a great number of phasors, each of different amplitude but the same phase angle. Suppose that we wish to determine I or equivalently P at a point on the central axis of, say, a long slit. In that case contributions from remote areas of the aperture corre-

* To see how these ideas are related to Hamilton's principle function, the principle of least action, and the WKB approximation, refer, for example, to D. B. Beard and G. B. Beard, Quentum Mechanics with Applications, p. 44, and S. Borowitz, Fundamentals of Quentum Mechanics, p. 165.

spond to the tightly wound regions of the spiral are therefore contribute little to the complex uncer-propertional to $[B_{12}]$ used in the spiral spiral spiral proportional to $[B_{12}]$ used is at its proportional to $[B_{12}]$ used Equation (13.20) can similarly be envisioned piermet in terms of the addition of a number of equal-amplitude spiral spira phasors, in which case P is proportional to the phasors, in which case *P* is proportional to the inner of the magnitude of the resultant. Phasors correc-ing to probability amplitudes for paths in the sil-of a stationary one differ in phase by very life therefore add almost along a straight line, thus a major contribution. Where the relative phase cessive phasors is large, the curve spirals arguin-little effect on [4]. The analogy can even be extended if we now visualize the Cornu spiral as if is yire com-posed of a great number of equal-amplitude phase modes phase angles are even increasing agains farther from the center of the spiral [from Eq. (d) thus $\beta = \pi w^2/2$]. In any event the phasor representations $\beta = \pi w^2/2$. In any event the phasor representation the contributing probability amplitudes is a device to keep in mind.

13.7 ABSORPTION, EMISSION, AND SCATTERING

Let's now take a brief look at the quantum-me Let a now take a orier took at the quantum activity of the support of a few important interactions occurring between light and matter. Suppose that a photon of frequency y collides with and is absorbed by an itome Energy is transmitted to a bound electron, resulting in the excitation of the atom. The absorption probability is greatest when the frequency of the incident photon is equal to an excitation energy of the atom (see Sector

Figure 15.12 Upper hole covered in double-b

In dense gases, liquids, and solids, absorption are over a range or band of frequencies, and the sy is generally dissipated by way of intermolecular from. In contrast, the excited atoms of a low-sure gas can reradiate a photon of the same guency (a) in a random direction, a process first guency (b) Wood in 1004 and hoover a rest resure gas can retained a process first and figurency (e), in a random direction, a process first derived by R. W. Wood in 1904 and known as reso-nance radiation. Accordingly, there is preponderant attering at frequencies coincident with the excitation ergies of the atoms. The effect is easily demonstrated mig Wood's technique, which incorporates an exact-dage bulb containing a bit of pure metallic sodium. Endulty heating the bulb increases the sodium vapor to the bulb in it. If a crein of the vapor is then sare within it. If a region of the vapor is then imated with a strong beam of light from a sodium hat portion will glow with the characteristic yellow pance radiation of Na. arc,

ance statistical of the state o by as that of the absorbed quantum. The process was share of the absorbed quantum. The process sown as elastic or coherent scattering, because there phase relationship between the incident and scat-ed fields. This is the *Rayleigh scattering* we talked out in Section 8.5.1.

bis also possible that an excited atom will not return initial state after the emission of a photon. This ad of behavior had been observed and studied extenrely by George Stokes prior to the advent of quantum Bory. Since the atom drops down to an interim state, a mits a photon of lower energy than the incident timary photon, in what is usually referred to as a Stokes is the process takes place rapidly (roughly "s), it is called **fluorescence**, whereas if there is an preciable delay (in some cases seconds, minutes, or many hours), it is known as **pbosphorescence**. (ultraviolet quanta to generate a fluorescent fon of visible light has become an accepted occur-in our everyday lives. Any number of commonmaterials (e.g., detergents, organic dyes, and tooth al), will emit characteristic visible photons so that "Howar to glow under ultraviolet illuminate sidespread use of the phenomenon for con-lay purposes and for "whitening" cloths.

13.7 Absorption, Emission, and Scattering 55*3*

13.7.1 The Spontaneous Raman Effect

If quasimonochromatic light is scattered from a ar questionnormormatic again is isolatered from a sub-stance, it will thereafter consist mainly of light of the same frequency. Yet it is possible to observe very weak additional components having higher and lower frequencies (side banda). Moreover, the difference between the side bands and the incident frequency ν_i is found to be characteristic of the material and therefore suggests an application to spectroscopy. The sponinterseur Raman effect, as it is now called, was predicted in 1928 by Adolf Smekal and observed experimentally in 1928 by Sir Chandrasekhara Vankata Raman (1888-11720 by on Oranitasschart variant entrant entrant of 1970), then professor of physics at the University of Calcutta. The effect was difficult to put to actual use, because one needed atrong sources (usually Hg discharges were used) and large samples. Often the ultraviolet from the source would further complicate matters Voter from the source would wither compared matters by decomposing the specimen. And so it is not surpris-ing that little sustained interest was aroused by the promising practical aspects of the Raman effect. The situation was changed dramatically when the laser



Figure 13.13 Spontaneous Raman scatterin



Figure 13.14 Rayleigh scattering.

became a reality. Raman spectroscopy is now a unique and powerful analytical tool. To appreciate how the phenomenon operates, let's review the germane features of molecular spectra. A molecule can absorb radiant energy in the far-infrared and microwave regions, converting it to rotational kinetic energy. Furthermore, it can absorb infrared bhotons fice. oners within a wavelength range from photons (i.e., ones within a wavelength range from roughly 10 mm⁻² down to about 700 nm), transforming

that energy into vibrational motion of the methanism of the interval product of the methanism of the methanis that energy into vibrational motion of the



(i) has been converted into radiant energy. In either whas been converted into radiant energy. In either the resulting differences between ν_i and ν_i corre-field to specific energy-level differences for the sub-line under study and as such yield insights into its obcular structure. Figure 13.14, for comparison's and depicts Rayleigh scattering where $\nu_i = \nu_i$. The laser is an ideal source for spontaneous Raman aritering. It is bright, quasimonochromatic, and avail-ling a wide range of frequencies. Figure 13.15 illus-Tes a tyroid laser-Raman system. Complete research

the a typical laser-Raman system. Complete research truments of this sort are commercially available, duding the laser (usually helium-neon, argon, ur opton), focusing lens systems, and photon-counting transics. The double scatting and proton-containing stransics. The double scatting monochromator pro-vilating the needed discrimination between ν_i and ν_i , since unbiffed laser light $\langle \nu_i \rangle$. Although Raman scattering associ-ated with molecular rotation was observed prior to the Figure 13.16 Stimulated Raman scattering. [See R. W. Minck, R. W. Terhune, and C. C. Wang, Proc. IEEE 54, 1357 (1966).]

use of the laser, the increased sensitivity now available makes the process easier and allows even the effects of electron motion to be examined.

13.7.2 The Stimulated Raman Effect

In 1952 Eric J. Woodbury and Won K. Ng rather fortuitously discovered a remarkable related effect known as stimulated Raman scattering. They had been working with a million-watt pulsed ruby laser incor-porating a nitrobenzene Kerr cell shutter (see Section 8.11.5). They found that about 10% of the incident energy at 694.5 nm was shifted in wavelength and appeared as a coherent scattered beam at 766.0 nm. It was subsequently determined that the corresponding frequency shift of about 40 THz was characteristic of one of the vibrational modes of the nitrobenzene



Figure 13.15 A laser-Raman system.





Figure 13.17 Energy-level diagram of stimulated Raman scattering

molecule, as were other new frequencies also present in the scattered beam. Stimulated Raman scattering can occur in solids, liquids, or dense gases under the influence of focused high-energy laser pulses (Fig. 13.16). The effect is schematically depicted in Fig. 13.17. Here two photon beams are simultaneously incident on a molecule, one corresponding to the laser frequency a motivate, one corresponding to the scattered frequency v_i , the other having the scattered frequency v_i . In the original setup the scattered beam was reflected back and forth through the specimen, but the effect can occur without a resonator. The laser beam loses a photon $h\nu_i$, while the scattered beam gains a photon $h\nu$, and is subsequently *amplified*. The remaining energy $(h\nu, h\nu_s = h\nu_{bo})$ is transmitted to the sample. The chain reaction in which a large portion of the incident beam is converted into stimulated Raman light can only occur above a certain high-threshold flux density of the exciting laser beam.

Simulated Raman scattering provides a whole new range of high-flux-density coherent sources extending from the infrared to the ultraviolet. It should be men-tioned that in principle each spontaneous scattering mechanism (e.g., Rayleigh and Brillouin scattering) has its stimulated counterpart.*

* For further reading on these subjects you might try the review-tutorial paper by Nicolass Bioembergen, "The Stimulated Raman Effect," An J. Phys. 55, 969 (1967). It contains a fairly good biologna-phys well as a historical appendix. Many of the papers in Laser end Light also deal with this material and are highly recommended —then.

PROBLEMS

13.1 Suppose that we measure the emitted from a small hole in a furnace to be 22.8 W an optical pyrometer of some sort. Compute temperature of the furnace.

13.2° When the Sun's spectrum is photograusing rockets to range above the Earth's atmoss it is found to have a peak in its spectral exitant roughly 465 nm. Compute the Sun's surface perature, assuming it to be a blackbody. This app mation yields a value that is about 400 K too high

13.3 Beginning with Eq. (13.4), show that the set of per unit frequency interval for a blackbody is given by $I_{e\nu} = \frac{2\pi h\nu^3}{c^2} \left[\frac{1}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1} \right]$ (13.27)

13.4 Compute the wavelength of a 0.15-kg base moving at 25 m/s. Compare this with the waveleng a hydrogen atom $(m_0 = 1.673 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg})$ have speed of 10⁸ m/s.

13.5* Determine the energy of a 500-nm (grant photon in both joules and electron volts. Make the calculation for a 1-MHz radio wave.

13.6 Write an expression for the wavelength of a photon in angstroms (1 $\text{\AA} = 10^{-10} \text{ m}$) in terms of its energy in eV.

13.8* Suppose we have a 100-W yellow light bulk (550 nm) 100 m away from a 3-cm-diameter suttered aperture. Assuming the bulb to have a 2.5% coto radiant power, how many photons will pa the aperture if the shutter is opened for radi

13.9 The solar constant is the radiant flux density spherical surface centered on the Sun having a radiu



equal to that of the Earth's mean orbital radius; it has -the rol 0.139-0.14 W/cm². If we assume an average -the rol 0.393-0.14 W/cm², if we assume an average -the rol 0.393-0.14 W/cm² and the second of a solar -the rol 1.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 1.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how many pincens at most -the rol 0.200 m, how m

13.10 With respect to the photoelectric effect, imagine we have an incident beam with an irradiance of W/m^2 at a wavelength of 500 nm. What is the by per quantum? Supposing the target atoms to radii of 10^{-10} m, how long would it take for any them to accumulate the energy of a single photon, whe classical wave picture? In 1916 Rayleigh d classically that an atomic oscillator absorbs intenergy with an effective area of the order of λ^2 ance. How does this help?

The work function for outgassed polycrystalline is 2.28 eV. What is the minimum frequency a must have in order to liberate an electron? What the maximum kinetic energy of an electron a 400-nm photon?

Suppose that we have a beam of light of a given usity incident on a photoelectric tube. Draw a Éde density incident on a photoelectric case. The of i_{5} versus V showing what we might expect to the stopping potential as the frequency is also from ν_{1} to ν_{2} to ν_{3} .



13.13 To examine the gravitational red shift consider a photon of frequency v, which is emitted from a star having a mass M and a radius R. Show that at the star's surface the energy of the photon is given by

$$\mathscr{C} = h\nu \left(1 - \frac{GM}{c^2R}\right)$$

When it arrives at the Earth, having essentially escaped the gravitational pull of the star, the photon will have a lower frequency. Show that the frequency shift is then

$$\Delta v = \frac{GM}{c^2 R} v.$$

The effect is quite noticeable for the class of stars known as *white dwarfs*. (This problem should have been analyzed using general relativity, but the answer would have been the same.)

13.14 Compute the fractional gravitational red shift, that is, $\Delta \nu/\nu$, for the Sun ($M = 1.991 \times 10^{30}$ kg and $R = 6.960 \times 10^8$ m). How much of a change would occur in the frequency and wavelength of a photon of $\lambda_0 = 650$ nm emitted from the Sun? (See previous problem.)

13.15 Show that a photon moving upward a distance d in the Earth's gravitational field (Section 13.4) will undergo a frequency decrease equal to

$\Delta \nu = -gd\nu/c^2.$

Compute the value of $\Delta \nu / \nu$ if d = 20 m. Pound and Rebka actually measured that shift in a vertical tower at Harvard University, using the extreme sensitivity of the Mössbauer effect

13.16 This problem concerns itself with the bending of a beam of light as it passes a massive body, such as the Sun. It should actually be solved using general rather than special relativity because of the presence of gravity. As a result, our simple approach yields half the correct answer. Be that as it may, let us plunge on. Show that the force component acting on the photon trans-verse to its initial direction of motion (Fig. 13.19) is given by

 $F_{-} = \frac{GMm}{R^2} \cos^3 \theta.$

Since $c dt = ds = d(R \tan \theta)$, show that the total transverse component of momentum received by the photon is

 $p_{\perp} = \frac{2GMm}{cR}.$

Inasmuch as $p_{\parallel} = mc$, compute ϕ for the Sun ($R = 6.960 \times 10^8$ m and $M = 1.991 \times 10^{36}$ kg).



13.17* Imagine that we accelerate a beam of electrons through a potential difference of 100 V and then cause is to pass through a slit 0.1 mm wide. Determine the angular width of the central diffraction maximum ($m_0 =$ 9.108 × 10⁻³¹ kg). How do things change if we decrease the beam's centry?

13.18 A thermal neutron is one that is in thermal equilibrium with matter at a given temperature. Compute the wavelength of such a neutron at $25^{\circ}C$ (wroom temperature). Recall from kinetic theory that the average kinetic energy would be equal to $\frac{3}{2}kT$. (Boltzmann's constant k = 1.380×10^{-28} J/K and $m_0 = 1.675 \times 10^{-27}$ kg.)

13.19 In Young's experiment can we imagine that an incident photon splits and passes through both slits? Discuss your conclusion.

13.20* Suppose we have a laserbeam of radius that wavelength λ . Using the uncertainty principle $a \Delta p_{\star}$, b), make an approximate calculation of the use a_{\star} of the smallest spot the beam will make on a green distance R away.

13.21 What is the *photon flux* II of a 1000-W continue. CO₂ laser emitting at 10,600 nm in the IR?

13.22 Derive the dispersion relation, that is, $\omega \in$ for the de Broglie wave of a particle of mass $m_{0,000}^{2}$ tivistically in a region where it has constant potenti energy *U*.

13.23^{*} Derive an expression for the dispersion tion of a free (U = 0), relativistically moving particle rest mass m_0 .

13.24 Assuming that the de Broglie wave for any in a region where its potential energy is constant to by $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = C_1 e^{-i(\omega t + k \mathbf{x})} + C_0 e^{-i(\omega t - k \cdot t)}.$

use the results of Problem (13.22) to show that

 $i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}+U\psi.$

This is a form of the famous Schrödinger equation of quantum mechanics.



14.1 IMAGERY - THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF OPTICAL INFORMATION

anipulation of all sorts of data via optical techther has already become a technological fait accomptibiliterature since the 1960s reflects, in a diversity of es, this far-reaching interest in the methodology of mail data processing. Practical applications have been the in the fields of television and photographic image bincement, radiar and sonar signal processing (phased dynathetic array antenna analysis), as well as in pattrecognition (e.g., aerial photointerpretation and terprint studies), to list only a very few.

recognition (e.g., aerial photointerpretation and corprint studies), to list only a very few. Our concern here is to develop the nomenclature and the of the ideas necessary for an appreciation of this Openporary thrust in optics.

1.1 Spatial Frequencies

The processes one is most frequently concerned that variations in time, that is, the moment-bystrain alteration in voltage that might appear across and terminals at some fixed location in space. By thon, in optics we are most often concerned with ation spread across a region of space at a fixed in time. For example, we can think of the scene of the strain strain the second intermediate and the strain spread across a region of space at a fixed in time. For example, we can think of the scene of the strain time in the second intermediate across the strain the second intermediate across a strain termine. It might be an illuminated transtermine the second second second second second second second termine the second a screen; in any event there is presumably some function I(y,z), which assigns a value of I to each point in the picture. To simplify matters a bit, suppose we scan across the screen on a horizontal line (z = 0) and plot point-bypoint variations in irradiance with distance, as in Fig. 14.1(b). The function I(y, 0) can be synthesized out of harmonic functions, using the techniques of Fourier analysis treated in Chapters 7 and 11. In this instance, the function is rather complicated, and it would take many terms to represent it adequately. Yet if the functional form of I(y, 0) is known, the procedure is straightforward enough. Scanning across another line, for example, z = a, we get I(y, a), which is drawn in Fig. 14.1(c) and which just happens to turn out to be a series of equally spaced square pulses. This function is one that was considered at length in Section 7.7, and a few of its constituent Fourier components are roughly sketched in Fig. 14.1(d). If the peaks in (c) are separated, center to center, by say, 1-cm intervals, the spatial previous quals 1 requently we can transform the information approximation of the spatial frequency, equals 1 cycle per cm.

Quite generally we can transform the information associated with any scan line into a series of sinusoidal functions of appropriate amplitude and spatial frequency. In the case of either of the simple since or square-wave targets of Fig. 14.2, each such horizontal scan line is identical, and the patterns are effectively one-dimensional. The spatial frequency spectrum of Fourier components needed to synthesize the square wave is shown in Fig. 7.15. On the other hand, I(y, z)for the wine bottle candelabra scene is two-dimensional.

5**59**

560 Chapter 14 Sundry Topics from Contemporary Optics









Figure 14.1 A two-dimensional irradiance distribution.

and we have to think in terms of two-dimension fer transforms (Section 11.2.2). We might mann well that, at least in principle, we could have reacthe amplitude of the electric field at each point scene and then performed a similar decomposite that signal into its Fourier components. Recall (Section 11.3.3) that the far-field or Fardiffraction pattern is, in fact, identical to the transform of the aperture function $\mathcal{A}(x,z)$. The source function is proportional to $\mathcal{L}(x,z)$, the source strength per unit area (10.37) over the input of object plane. In other words, if the field distribution on Hobject plane is given by $\mathcal{A}(x,z)$, is two-dimensi-Fourier transform will appear as the field distribu-E(Y, Z) on a very distant screen. As in Fig. 10.163 we



Figure 14.2 (a) Sine-wave target and (b) square wave target

(Б

Piper 14.5 L

anintroduce a lens (L₄) after the object in order to then the distance to the image plane. That objective is commonly referred to as the transform lens, since the state of the state of the state of the state of the the state is a fit were an optical computer capable the state is a fit were an optical computer capable the state is a somewhat idealized transmission grating a state of the state over the incident wavefront. The aperture the anglithment of the field is assumed to be fairly in the words, as we move from point to point on the

14.1 Imagery—The Spatial Distribution of Optical Information 561



Figure 14.3 Diffraction pattern of a grating. (Source unknown.)

object plane, the amplitude of the field is either zero or a constant. If a is the grating spacing, it is also the spatial period of the step function, and its reciprocal is the fundamental spatial frequency of the grating. The central spot (m = 0) in the diffraction pattern is the dc term corresponding to a zero spatial frequency—it's the bias level that arises from the fact that the input s(y)is everywhere positive. This bias level can be shifted by constructing the step-function pattern on a uniform gray background. As the spots in the image (or in this case the transform) plane get farther from the central axis, their associated spatial frequencies (m/a) increase in accord with the grating equation $\sin \theta_m = \lambda(m/a)$. A


• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • Diffraction pattern

Figure 14.4 Square wave and its transform

coarser grating would have a larger value of a, so that a given order (m) would be concomitant with a lower frequency, (m/a), and the spots would all be closer to the central or optical axis.

Had we used as an object a transparency resembling That we target [Fig. 14.2(a)], such that the aperture function varied sinusoidally, there would ideally have only been three spots on the transform plane, these only been three spots on the transform plane, these being the zero-frequency central peak and the first order or fundamental $(m \pm 1)$ on either side of the center. Extending things into two dimensions, a crossed grating or mesh yields the diffraction pattern shown in Fig. 14.5. Note that in addition to the obvious periodicity horizontally and vertically across the mesh, it is also repetitive, for example, along diagonals. A more involved object, such as a transparency of the surface of the moon, would generate an extremely complex

diffraction pattern. Because of the simpler nature of the grating, we could think of its Four-components, but now we will certainly have in-terms of Fourier transforms. In any case light in the diffraction pattern denots the presence, spatial frequency, which is proportional to its dea the optical axis (zero-frequency location). Frequee monents of positive and negative sign appear d the optical area (zero-request) ovarion, request ponents of positive and negative sign appear dis-could measure the electric field at each point in the transform plane, we would indee a cath pon form of the aperture function, but this is not pr Instead, what will be detected is the flux-dear bution, where at each point the irradiance is tional to the time average of the electric field squa or equivalently to the square of the amplitude of a particular spatial frequency contribution at the particular dean the

14.1.2 Abbe's Theory of Image Formation

Consider the system depicted in Fig. 14.6(a) which is just an elaborated version of Fig. 14.3(b) Plane monochromatic wavefronts emanating from the col-limating lens (L) are diffracted by a grating. The rest is a distorted wavefront, which we resolve into a set of plane waves, each corresponding to a give



Figure 14.5 Diffraction pattern of a crossed granter former of





 $+2, \dots$ or spatial frequency and each travel-in a specific direction [Fig. 14.6(b)]. The objective (I_{α}) serves as a *transform lens*, forming the Frau-diffraction pattern of the grating on the transform $+2^{2}$ (which is also the back focal plane of I_{α}). The

, of course, propagate beyond Σ_t and arrive at the by other propagate beyond Σ_1 and arrive at the plane Σ_1 . There they overlap and interfere to a an inverted image of the grating. Accordingly, is G_1 and G_2 are imaged at P_1 and P_2 , respectively. objective lens forms two distinct patterns of intergate to the plane of the source, and the other is age of the object, formed on the plane conjugate object plane. Figure 14.7 shows the same setup long, narrow, horizontal slit coherently illumi-

can envision the points S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and so forth We can envision the points S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and so forth S_1 . 14.6(a) as if they were point emitters of Huygens solutes, and the resulting diffraction pattern on Σ_1 is the grating's image. In other words, the image arises a double diffraction process. Alternatively, we can some that the incoming wave is diffracted by the sit, and the resulting diffracted wave is then diffrac-once again by the objective lens. If that lens were



not there, a diffraction pattern of the object would appear on Σ , in place of the image. These ideas were first propounded by Professor Ernst Abbe (1840-1905) in 1875.* His interest at the time concerned the theory of microscopy, whose relationship to the above discussion is clear if we consider L_i as a microscope objective. Moreover, if the grating is replaced by a piece of some thin translucent material (i.e., the specimen being examined), which is illumi-nated by light from a small source and condenser, the nated by light from a small source and condenser, the system certainly resembles a microscope. Cari Zeiss (1816–1888), who in the mid-1800s was

running a small microscope factory in Jena, realized the shortcomings of the trial-and-error development techniques of that era. In 1866 he enlisted the services of Ernst Abbe, then lecturer at the University of Jena, to establish a more scientific approach to microscope

* An alternative and yet ultimately equivalent approach was put forth in 1896 by Lord Rayleigh. He envisaged each point on the object as a coherence source whose emitted wave was diffracted by the lens into an Airy pattern. Each of these in turn was centered on the ideal image point (on \$.) of the corresponding point source. Thus 2, was covered with a distribution of somewhat overlapping and interfering Afrip.



design. Abbe soon found by experimentation that a larger aperture resulted in higher resolution, even though the apparent cone of incident light filled only a small portion of the objective. Somehow the surrounding "dark space" contributed to the image. Con-sequently, he took the approach that the then wellknown diffraction process that occurs at the edge of a lens (leading to the Airy pattern for a point source) was not operative in the same sense as it was for an incoher-ently illuminated telescope objective. Specimens, whose size was of the order of A, were apparently scattering while light into the "dark space" of the microscope objective. Observe that if, as in Fig. 14.6(b), the aperture of the objective is not large enough to collect all of the diffracted light, the image does not correspond exactly to that object. Rather it relates to a fictitious object whose complete diffraction pattern matches the one collected by L_i . We know from the previous section that these lost portions of the outer region of the Fraunhofer pattern are associated with the higher spatial frequen-cies. And, as we shall see presently, their removal will

result in a loss in image sharpness and resolution result in a loss in linge sharpness and resona Practically speaking, unless the grating or earlier has an infinite width, it cannot actually b periodic. This means that it has a continuous spectrum dominated by the usual discrete Four iterms the other being much smaller in amplitude terms, the other being much smaller in ampli plicated, irregular objects clearly display the or nature of their Fourier transforms. In any should be emphasized that unless the objective len infinite aperture, it functions as a low-pass filter spatial frequencies above a given value and passing below (the former being those that extend bey physical boundary of the lens). Conseque tical lens systems will be limited in their ability duce the high spatial frequency content of object under coherent illumination.* It migl tioned as well that there is a basic nonlinearity a with optical imaging systems operating at hig frequencies.†

14.1.3 Spatial Filtering

Suppose we actually set up the system shown in Fig. 14.6(a), using a laser as a plane-wave source. If the points S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2} , and so on are to be the source of Fraunhofer pattern, the image screen must preserve be located at x = 00 (although 30 or 40 ft will off De located at $x = \omega$ (although 30 or 401t will origin At the risk of being repetitions, recall that the reaso for using L, originally was to bring the diffraction po-tern of the object in from infinity. We now intro-an imaging last L_i (Figs. 14.8 and 14.9) in order in from infinity the diffraction pattern of Source points So, S₁, S₂, and so forth, thereby Σ_i at a convenient distance. The transform <u>latent</u> the light from the object to converge in the form of diffraction pattern on the plane Σ_t ; that is, on Σ_t a two-dimensional Fourier transform of To wit, the spatial frequency spectrum spread across the transform plane. Therea

* Refer to H. Volkmann, "Ernst Abbe and His W 1720 (1966), for a more detailed account of Abbe \$ ments in optics.

† R. J. Becherer and G. B. Parrent, Jr., "Nonlinea Imaging Systems," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 57, 1479 (1967).



14.8 Object, transform, and image plane Figure

"invera" iransform lens) projects the diffraction pattern of the light distributed over Σ_t onto the image plane. In other words, it diffracts the diffracted beam, which effectively means that it generates an (inverted) inverse effectively inclusion at a generates an (inverted) inverse transform. This essentially an inverse transform of the data on Σ_i appears as the final image. Quite frequently in practice L_i and L_i are identical $(f_i = f_i)$ well-corrected in practice L_i and L_i are identical $(f_i - f_i)$ we resolutions multidement lenses [for quality work these might have resolutions of about 150 line pairs/mm—one line pair leng a period in Fig. 14.2(b)]. For less demanding applications two projector objectives of large aperture (abou 100 mm) having convenient focal lengths of roughly 30 or 40 cm serve quite nicely. One of these set is then merely turned around so that both their focal planes coincide with Σ_{ν} . Incidentally, the tor object plane need not be located a focal length from L_{ij} the transform still appears on Σ_{ν} . Moving ects only the phase of the amplitude distribution, that is generally of little interest. The device shown so the source of the second se ally or completely block out certain spatial frequent Many or completely block out certain spatial irrequen-scopping them from reaching the image plane. This west of altering the frequency spectrum of the image is non as spatial filtering. And herein lie some of the schemutful, exciting, and promising aspects of conrary optics

r earlier discussion of Fraunhofer diffraction by that a long narrow slit at Σ_0 , regardless of its mation and location, generates a transform at Σ_1 string of a series of dashes of light lying along a first line perpendicular to the slit (Fig. 10,11) and through the origin. Consequently, if the straight-interact is described by y = mz + b, the diffraction

pattern lies along the line Y = -Z/m or equivalently, from Eqs. (11.64) and (11.65), $k_Y = -k_Z/m$. With this and the Airy pattern in mind we should be able to anticipate some of the gross structure of the transforms of various objects. Be aware as well that these transforms are centered about the zero-frequency optical axis of the neutron $K_{\rm expansion}$ the system. For example, a transparent plus sign whose horizontal line is thicker than its vertical one has a two-dimensional transform again shaped more or less like a plus sign. The thick horizontal line generates a series of short vertical dashes, while the thin vertical element produces a line of loog horizontal dashes. Remember that object elements with small dimensions diffract through relatively large angles. Along with Abbe, one could think of this entire subject in these terms rather than using the concepts of spatial

frequency filtering and transforms, which represent the more modern influence of communication theory. The vertical portions of the symbol E in Fig. 14.9 generate the broad frequency spectrum appearing as the horizontal pattern. Note that all parallel line sources the transform plane. This, in turn, passes through the origin on Σ_t (the intercept is zero), just as in the case of the grating. A transparent figure 5 will generate a pattern consisting of both a horizontal and vertical dis-tribution of spots extending over a relatively large frequency range. There will also be a comparatively Irequency range. Indere will also be a comparatively low-frequency, concentric ing-like structure. The transforms of disks and rings and the like will obviously be circularly symmetric. Similarly a horizontal elliptical aperture will generate vertically oriented concentric elliptical bands. Most often, far-field patterns possess a enter of symmetry (see Problems 10.14 and 11.29). We are now in a hetter position to appreciate the

process of spatial filtering and to that end will consider an experiment very similar to one published in 1906 by A. B. Porter. Figure 14.10(a) shows a fine wire mesh whose periodic pattern is disrupted by a few particles of dust. With the mesh at Σ_0 , Fig. 14.10(b) shows the transform as it would appear on Σ_v . Now the fun starts—since the transform information relating to the dust is located in an irregular cloud-like distribution about the center point, we can easily eliminate it by inserting an opaque mask at Σ_i . If the mask has holes at each of the principal maxima, thus passing on only those frequen-



harmonic components. The square wave of Fig. 7.13 serves to illustrate the point. It is evident that the addition of higher harmonics serves predominantly to square up the corners and flatten out the peaks and troughs of the profile. In this way, the high spatial frequencies contribute to the sharp edge detail between light and dark regions of the image. The removal of the high-frequency terms causes a rounding out of the step function and a consequent loss of resolution in the two-dimensional case.

two-mmensional case. What would happen if we took out the dc component [Fig. 14.11(c)] by passing everything but the central spot? A point on the original image that appears black in the photo denotes a near-zero irradiance and perforce a near-zero field amplitude. Presumably, all of the various optical field components completely cancel each other at that point—ergo, no light. Yet with the removal of the dc term the point in question must certainly then have a nonzero field amplitude. When squared (I or $E_0^3/2$) this will generate a nonzero irradiance. It follows that regions that were originally black when will become grayish, as in Fig. 14.12. Let's now examine some of the possible applications of this technique. Figure 14.18(a) shows a composite

Let's now examine some of the possible applications of this technique. Figure 14.13(a) shows a composite photograph of the Moon consisting of film strips pieced together to form a single mosaic. The video data were telemetered to Earth by Lawar Orbitar I. Clearly the grating-like regular discontinuities between adjacent strips in the object photograph generate the broadbandwidth, vertical-frequency distribution evident in Fig. 14.13(c). When these frequency components are blocked, the enhanced image shows no sign of having been a mossic. In very much the same way, one can suppress extraneous data in bubble chamber photographs of subatomic particle tracks.⁶ These photographs of subatomic particle tracks.⁶ These photographs are made difficult to analyze because of the presence of the unscattered beam tracks (Fig. 14.14),

* D. G. Falconer, "Optical Processing of Bubble Chamber Photographs," Appl. Opt. 5, 1365 (1966), includes some additional uses for the coherent optical computer, which, since they are all parallel, are easily are wed by spatial filtering. Consider the familiar half-tone or facsimile

Consider the familiar half-tone or facsimile by which a printer can create the illusion of value (take a close look at a newspaper photoger intertransparency of such a facsimile is interesting 12, 21, Fig. 14.8, its frequency spectrum will appear on 2, Once again the relatively high-frequency compensaarising from the half-tone mesh can easily be eliminar. This yields an image in shades of gray (Fig. 14, showing none of the discontinuous nature of the original. One could construct a precise filter to obtain any of the square mesh frequencies by actually user a negative transparency of the transform of the checkerboard array. Alternatively, it usually user a negative transparency of the transform of the checkerboard array. Alternatively, it usually user a negative transparency of value, for example, photographs, which is of value, for example, photographs, which is of value, for example, photographs, which is of value, for example, photo reconnaissance. In contrast, we could the the details in a slightly blurred photograph by being ing its high-frequency components. This could a effort, beginning in the 1950s has gone into the store fuese contributors is A. Marchal of the lowfrequency portion of the spectrum. A great deal at effort, beginning in the 1950s has gone into the store fuese contributors is A. Marchal of the linstitue d'Optique, Université de Paris, who has absorbing and phase-shifting filters to reconstrudetail in bady blurred photographs. These there are transparent coatings deposited on optical flag so as to retard the phase of various portions of the to reconstruct descline 14.1.4).

As this work in optical data processing continues of

* Polaroid 55 P/N film is satisfactory for mediumiting while Kodak 649 plates are good where higher resolution of the transparency.





14.11 Images resulting when various portions of the **diffraction** pattern of Fig. 14.10(b) are obscured by the accompanying masks or filters. (Photos from D. Dutton. M. P. Givens, and R. E, **Hopkins**, Spectra-Physics Laser Technical Builtein Number 3.)

the coming decades, we will surely see the replacement of the photographic stages, in increasingly many appli-cations, by real-time electro-optical devices (e.g., arrays of ultrason ight modulators forming a multichannel input are already in use).* The coherent optical com-puter will reach a certain maturity, becoming an even more powerful tool when the input, filtering, and out-put functions are performed electro-optically. A con-tinuous stream of real-time data could flow into and out of such a device.

14.1.4 Phase Contrast

It was mentioned rather briefly in the last section that the reconstructed image could be altered by introducing a phase-shifting filter. Probably the best-known example of this technique dates back to 1934 and the work of the Dutch physicist Fritz Zernike, who invented the method of phase contrast and applied it in the *phase-*contrast microcon contrast microscope. An object can be "seen" because it stands out from

An object can be "seen" because it stands out from its surroundings—it bas a color, tone, or lack of color, which provides contrast with the background. This kind of structure is known as an *amplitude object*, because it is observable by dint of variations that it causes in the amplitude of the lightwave. The wave that is either reflected or transmitted by such an object becomes *amplitude availated* in the process. In contradistinction, it is often desirable to "see" *phase objects*, that is, ones that are transparent, thereby providing practially no contrast with their environs and altering only the phase of the detected wave. The optical thickness of such objects generally varies from point to point as either objects generally varies from point to point as either the refractive index or the actual thickness or both vary.

* We have only touched on the subject of optical data processfing; a more extensive discussion of these matters is given, for example, by Goodman in Introduction to Fourier Optica; Chapter 7. That text also includes a good reference list for further reading in the journal literature. Also see P. F. Mueller, "Linear Multiple Image Storage," Appl. Opt. 6, 297 (1969), Here, as in much of modern optics, the frontiers are fast moving, and obsolescence is a hard rider.



Figure 14.12 Part (b) is a filtered version of (a) the zeroth order was removed. (Photos from D. Dutton, M. J. E. Hopkins, Spectra-Physics Laser Technical Bulletin

Obviously, since the eye cannot detect phase win such objects are invisible. This is the problem biologists to develop techniques for staining the microscope specimens and in so doing to com-objects into amplitude objects. But this minimum when the unsatisfactory in many respects, ior escion



YALD T

(c)

(d)



Figure 14.15 Spatial filtering. (a) A Luxar Orbitir composite photo of the Moon. (b) Filtered version of the photo state horizontal lines. (c) A typical unfiltered transform (power spacerum) of a moonscape. (d) Diffraction pattern with the vertical dato pattern filtered out. (Photos courtes D. A. Analey, W. A. Bilk-ken, The Conductron Corporation, and N.A.S.A.)

571



(b)

stain kills the specimen whose life processes are under

stain kulls the specimen whose life processes are under study, as is all too often the case. Recall that diffraction occurs when a portion of the surface of constant phase is obstructed in some way, that is, when a region of the wavefront is altered (either in amplitude or phase, i.e., shape). Suppose then that a plane wave passes through a transparent particle,

Figure 14.14 Unfiltered and filtered bubble-chamber tracks.

which retards the phase of a region of the se emerging wave is no longer perfectly planar tains a small indentation corresponding to emerging wave is no longer periectly planafis at com-tains a small indentation corresponding of a two-retarded by the speciment, the wave is phonometa-inagine the phase-modulated wave $E_{PM}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{0})$ (Fig. 14.16) to consist of the original incident plane wave $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ plus a localized disturbance $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ (for the wave $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ (the wave $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ is the wave $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ is the localized disturbance is a wave of very small smoothed does not.) Indeed, if the phase retardation is very main the localized disturbance is a wave of very small smoothed the localized disturbance is a wave of very small smoothed disturbance $E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ is the d ut cor The direct and diffracted waves recommon fractional system of the set of the direct and diffracted waves recommon fractions are the amplitude of the reconstruction wave. Since the amplitude of the reconstruction $E_{PM}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is everywhere the same on Σ_i , even this

the phase varies from point to point, the flux lensity is uniform, and no image is perceptible. Linewise, the

der spectrum of a phase grating will be $\pi/2$ if phase with the higher-order spectra. we could somehow shift the relative phase between diffracted and direct beams by an additional $\pi/2$ to their recombination, they would still be coherand could then interfere either constructively or dively (Fig. 14.18). In either case, the reconstruc-avefront over the region of the image would then plitude modulated—the image would be visible. an see this in a very simple analytical way where

 $E_i(x, t)|_{x=0} = E_0 \sin \omega t$

oming monochromatic lightwave at Σ_a without

(14.1)

14.1 Imagery—The Spatial Distribution of Optical Information 573



the specimen in place. The particle will induce a po tion-dependent phase variation $\phi(y, z)$ such that the wave just leaving it is

 $E_{PM}(\mathbf{r},t)|_{x=0} = E_0 \sin \left[\omega t + \phi(y,z)\right].$ (14.2)

This is a constant-amplitude wave, which is essentially the same on the conjugate image plane. That is, there are some losses, but if the lens is large and aberration-free and we neglect the orientation and size of the image, Eq. (14.2) will suffice to represent the PM wave on either Σ_0 or Σ_1 . Reformulating that disturbance as

 $E_{PM}(y, z, t) = E_0 \sin \omega t \cos \phi + E_0 \cos \omega t \sin \phi$ (14.9)





Figure 14.15 A self-portrait of K. E. Bebly self-of only black and white regions as in a had itone. V the high frequencies are filtered out, shid des of appear and the sharp boundaries vanish. Personn Phillips, Am. J. Phys. **37**, 536 (1969).]

and limiting ourselves to very small values of ϕ , we obtain $E_{PM}(y, z, t) = E_0 \sin \omega t + E_0 \phi(y, z) \cos \omega t.$

The first term is independent of the object, while the second term obviously isn't. Thus, as above, if we change their relative phase by $\pi/2$, that is, either change the cosine to sine or vice versa, we get

 $E_{AM}(y, z, t) = E_0[1 + \phi(y, z)] \sin \omega t,$ (14.4)

which is an amplitude-modulated wave. Observe that $\phi(y, z)$ can be expressed in terms of a Fourier expansion, thereby introducing the spatial frequencies associated with the object. Incidentally, this discussion is precisely analogous to the one proposed in 1936 by E. H. Armstrong for converting AM radio waves to FM [$\phi(t)$ could be the between modulation module waves to the field of the second s be thought of as a frequency modulation wherein the



Figure 14.17 Wavefronts in the phase-contrast process.



Figure 14.18 Effect of phase shifts

E,(1) a

zeroth-order term is the carrier]. An electrical later filter was used to separate the carrier from the semicond be accomplianced. Zernike's method of the semicond be accomplianced in a solution. He instruct spatial filter in the transform plane Σ_i of the objective (Fig. 14.16), which was capable of inducing the phase shif. Observe that the direct light actuality a small image of the source on the optical asia location of Σ_i . The filter could chen be a small for indentation of depth d etched in a transparent gra-plate of index π_0 . Ideally, only the direct beam vous plate to a phase advance with respect to the differ wave of ($\pi_0 = 1/d_0$ which is made to equal λ_0/d . As of this sort is known as a phase plate, and since the affect formesponds to Fig. 14.18(b), that is, descrutive inter-ference, phase object that are thicker or have high indices appear dark against a bright background. zeroth-order term is the carrier]. An electrical los

14.1 Imagery—The Spatial Distribution of Optical Information 575

ad, the phase plate had a small raised disk at its nter, the opposite would be true. The former case is iled positive-phase contrast; the latter, negative-phase

actual practice a brighter image is obtained by In actual practice a brighter image is obtained by fing a broad, rather than a point, source along with a parage condenser. The emerging plane waves illumi-an annular disphragm (Fig. 14.19), which, since it me source plane, is conjugate to the transform plane the objective. The zeroth-order waves, shown in the second plane, it is conjugate to the transform plane geometrical optics. They then traverse the thin nular region of the phase plate located at Σ_{μ} . That gion of the plate is quite small, and so the cone of if annular region absorbing as well a thin metal film annular region absorbing as well (a thin metal film do), the very large uniform zeroth-order term (Fig.

14.20) is reduced with respect to the higher orders, and 14.20) is reduced with respect to the nigher orders, and the contrast improves. Or, if you like, E₀ is reduced to a value comparable with that of the diffracted wave E₀₄. Generally a microscope will come with an assortment of these phase plates having different absorptions.

In the parlance of modern optics (the still-blushing bride of communications theory), phase contrast is simply the process whereby we introduce a $\pi/2$ phase shift in the zeroth-order spectrum of the Fourier trans-form of a phase object (and perhaps attenuate its ampli-tude as well) through the use of an appropriate spatial filter.

The phase-contrast microscope, which earned Zer-nike the Nobel prize in 1953, has found extensive appli-cations (Fig. 14.21), perhaps the most fascinating of which is the study of the life functions of otherwise invisible organisms.



Phase contrast (only zeroth order shown).



Figure 14.20 Field amplitude over a circular region on the image plane. In one case there is no absorption in the phase plate and the irradiance would be a small ripple on a great plateau. With the zeroth order attenuated the contrast increases.

14.1.5 The Dark-Ground and Schlieren Methods

Suppose we go back to Fig. 14.16, where we were examining a phase object, and this time rather than retard and attenuate the central zeroth order, we remove it completely with an opaque disk at $S_{\rm o}$. Without the object in place the image plane will be completely dark—ergo the name dark ground. With the object in position only the localized diffracted wave will appear at $\Sigma_{\rm c}$ to form the image. (This can also be accomplished in microscopy by illuminating the object obliquely so





(D) Figure 14.21 (a) A conventional to the same rearch and bacteria. (b) A phase photomicrograph of the same rearch by T. J. Lowery and R. Hawley.)

that no direct light enters the objective lens.) Obsern that by eliminating the dc contribution, the amplifudistribution (as in Fig. 14.20), will be lowered and those that were near zero prior to filtering will negative. Inasmuch as irradiance is proportion applitude squared, this will result in somewhat of a outrast reversal from that which would have been seen in phase contrast (see Section 4.1.3). In general this exhingue has not been as satisfactory as the phaseoutrast method, which generates a flux-density distribution across the image that is directly proportional to the phase variations induced across the object.

Br phase variations induced across the object. In 1864 A. Toepler introduced a procedure for examining defects in lenses, which has come to be inven as the schlieren method." We will discuss it here because of the widespread current usage of the method in broad range of fluid dynamics studies and furthermore because it is another beautiful example of the patientian of spatial filtering. Schlieren systems are patientarily useful in ballistics, aerodynamics, and ultrasoit wave analysis (Fig. 14.22), indeed wherever it is depathe to examine pressure variations as revealed by relactive-index mapping.

suppose that we set up any one of the possible arangements for viewing Fraunhofer diffraction (e.g., Fi, 10.5 or 10.84). But now, instead of using an aperuse of some sort as the diffracting amplitude object, veinsert a phase object, for example, a gas-filed chamter (Fig. 14.23). Again a Fraunhofer pattern is formed in k_a and if that plane is followed by the objective lens of samera, an image of the chamber is formed on the fib plane. We could then photograph any amplitude objects within the test area, but, of course, phase objects valid still be invisible. Imagine that we now introduce a bile edge at Σ_a , raising if from below until it obstructs schetimes only partially the zeroth-order light and the force all the higher orders on the bottom side as well, Just as in the dark-ground method, phase objects within perceptible. Inhomogeneities in the test chambe windows and flaws in the lenses are also noticeable. For this reason and because of the large field of view using required, mirror systems (Fig. 14.24) have now keyme commonlace.

be one commonplace. Quasimonochromatic illumination is generally made wro when resulting data are to be analyzed electronionly, for example, with a photodetector. Sources with

word Schlieren in German means streaks or striae. It's frequently teed because all nouns are in German and not because there Mr. Schlieren.





Figure 14.22 A schlieren photo of a spoon in a candle flame. (Photo by E. H.)

a broad spectrum, on the other hand, allow us to exploit the considerable color sensitivity of photographic emulsions, and a number of color schlieren systems have been devised.

14.2 LASERS AND LASERLIGHT

During the early 1950s a remarkable device known as the maser came into being through the efforts of a number of scentists, Principal amongs these people were Charles Hard Townes of the U.S.A. and Alexandr Mikhallovich Prokhorov and Nikolai Gennadievich Basov of the U.S.S.R., all of whom shared the 1964 Nobel Prize in Physics for their work. The maser, which is an acronym for Microwave Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation, is, as the name implies, an extremely low-noise, microwave amplifier.* It func-

*See James P. Gorden, "The Maser."Sci. Am. 199, 42 (December 1958).



tioned in what was then a rather unconventional way, tioned in what was then a rather unconventional way, making direct use of the quantum-mechanical interac-tion of matter and radiant energy. Almost immediately after its inception speculation arose as to whether or not the same technique could be extended into the optical region of the spectrum. In 1958 Townes and Arthur L. Schwalow prophetically set forth the general physical conditions that would have to be met in order to achieve. Unit a molification by Stimulated Ernision to achieve Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. And then in July of 1960 Theodore H.

Maiman announced the first successful operation of optical maser or laser—certainly one of the great, stones in the history of optics, and indeed in the his of science, had been achieved.

14.2.1 The Laser

Speaking first in generalities, suppose we have a co tion of atoms, as for example, in a solid, gas, or lig Recall that each atom (taken as a system compose



a nucleus and electron cloud) possesses a certain amount of internal energy, and each tends to maintain its lowest

dimensional energy, and each tends to maintain in slowest energy on the start of the start of the start of the mark in specific, well-defined configurations corre-riding to higher energies than the ground state. Any these are termed excited states. It is conventional light source, such as a tangsten by energy is pumped into the reacting atoms, in this located within the flament. These are consequently deed "into excited states. Each can then drop back to excited states. Each can then drop back to each excited states. Each can then drop back to each excited states. Each can then drop back to each excited states. Each can then drop back to each excited states. Each can then drop back to each excited states are back to each energy in the form or radionaly directed photon. Atoms in this kind of the radiate essentially independently. The photons be emitted stream bear no particular phase relation-by with each other, and the light is incoherent. It this in phase from point to point and moment to each end the start of the

^{be} imagine that light impinges on an atomic system

of some sort. If an incident photon is energetic enough, in may be absorbed by an atom, raising the latter to an excited state. It was pointed out by Einstein in 1917 that an excited atom can revert to a lower state (which that an excited atom can revert to a lower state (which need not necessarily be the ground state) through photon emission via two distinctive mechanisms. In one instance the atom emits energy spontaneously, while in the other it is triggered into emission by the presence of electromagnetic radiation of the proper frequency. The latter process is known as stimulated emission, and it is a key to the operation of the laser. In either situation the emerging photon will carry off the energy difference (hv_{ij}) between the initial higher state $|i\rangle$ and the final lower state $|i\rangle$ that is the final lower state $|f\rangle$, that is,

$\mathscr{C}_i - \mathscr{C}_f = h \nu_{if},$ (14.5)

where \mathscr{C}_i and \mathscr{C}_j are the energies of the two states. If an incident electromagnetic wave is to trigger an excited atom into stimulated emission, it must have the frequency v_{ij} . A remarkable feature of this process is

that the emitted photon is in phase with, has the polarization of, and propagates in the same direction as, the stimulating radiation. Thus the photon is said to be in the same radiation mode as the incident wave and tends to add to it, increasing its flux density. However, since most of the atoms are ordinarily in the ground state, absorption is usually far more likely than stimulated emission. But this raises an intriguing point: What would happen if a substantial percentage of the atoms could somehow be excited into an upper state, leaving the lower state all but empty? For obvious reasons this is known as **population inversion**. An incident photon of the proper frequency could then trigger an avalanche of stimulated photons—all in phase. The initial wave would continue to build, so long as there were no dominant competitive processes (such as scattering) and provided the population inversion, and a beam of light would be extracted after sweeping across the active medium.

i) The First (Pulsed Ruby) Laser

To see how all of this is accomplished in practice, let's take a look at Maiman's original device (Fig. 14.85). The first operative laser had as its active medium a small, cylindrical, synthetic, pale pink ruby, that is, an Al_2O_3 crystal containing about 0.05 percent (by weight) of Cr_2O_3 . Ruby, which is still one of the most common of the crystalline laser media, had been used earlier in



Figure 14.25 The first ruby-laser configuration, just about life-sized.

maser applications and was suggested for use in the laser by Schawlow. The rod's end face: were polished fat, parallel and normal to the axis. Then both were flat, parallel and normal to the axis. Then both we silvered (one only partially) to form a resonant cavi It was surrounded by a helical gaseous discharge flat tube, which provided broadband **optical pumpia** Ruby appears red because the chromium atoms has there in the blue and treem regions of absorption bands in the blue and green regions o spectrum [Fig. 14.26(a)]. Firing the flashtube gene an intense burst of light lasting for a few millis Much of this energy is lost in heat, but many of the $G^{s^{s}}$ ions are excited into the absorption bands, A implified energy-level diagram appears in Fig. 14.96. The excited ions rapidly relax (in about 100 ns) for up energy to the crystal lattice and making norma transitions, they preferentially drop "down" to of closely spaced, especially long-lived, interim They remain in these so-called metastable states they remain in these ordened interstance states to several milliseconds (~3 ms at room tempe before randomly, and in most cases **spontan** dropping down to the ground state. This is panied by the emission of the characteristic red f cent radiation of ruby. The lower-level transitio nates, and the resulting emission occurs in a g broad spectral range centered about 694.3 nm; ges in all directions and is incoherent. However, the pumping rate is increased somewhat, a pop inversion occurs, and the first few spontaneousli ted photons stimulate a chain reaction. One qu triggers the rapid, in-phase emission of another ing energy from the metastable atoms into the lightwave. The wave continues to grow as it swe and forth across the active medium (provided and forth across the active medium (provide-energy is available to overcome losses at the ends). Since one of those reflecting surfaces was silvered, an intense pulse of red laser light (and 0.5 ms and having a linewidth of about 0.01 ang ges from that end of the ruby rod. Notice ho in bang everything works out. The broad absorption make the initial excitation rather easy, while the lifetime of the metastable state facilitates the (1) the 3) the inversion. The atomic system in effect con absorption bands, (2) the metastable state, ground state. Accordingly it is spoken of as laser.



of pulsed otherent radiation used extensively in work difference of the second second second second second second forth, Such devices operate with otherence lengths form 0.1 m to 10 m. Modern configurations usually fat external mirrors, one totally and the other that is used to the second second second second second second pulses in the energy range from the second pulses in the second pulse in the second pulses in the sec

14.2 Lasers and Laserlight 581

than 1%, producing a beam that has a diameter ranging from 1 mm to about 25 mm, with a divergence of from 0.25 mrad to about 7 mrad.

ii) Optical Resonant Cavities

and

The resonant cavity, which in this case is of course a Fabry-Perot etalon, plays a most significant role in the operation of the laser. In the early stages of the laser process, spontaneous photons are emitted in every direction, as are the concommitant stimulated photons. But all of these, with the singular exception of those propagating very nearly along the cavity axis, quickly pass out of the sides of the ruby. In contrast, the axial beam continues to build as it bounces back and forth across the active medium. This accounts for the amazing degree of collimation of the issuing laserbeam, which is then effectively a coherent plane wave. Though the medium acts to amplify the wave, the *optical feedback* provided by the cavity coverts the system into an oscilator and hence into a light generator—the acronym is thus somewhat of a misnomer.

In addition, the disturbance propagating within the cavity takes on a standing-wave configuration determined by the separation (L) of the mirrors. The cavity resonates (i.e., standing waves exist within it) when there is an integer number (m) of half wavelengths spanning the region between the mirrors. The idea is simply that there must be a node at each mirror, and this can only happen when L equals a whole number multiple of $\lambda/2$ (where $\lambda = \lambda_c h$). Thus

$$m = \frac{L}{\lambda/2}$$

$$\nu_m = \frac{m v}{2L}$$
 (14.6)

There are therefore an infinite number of possible oscillatory longitudinal cavity modes, each with a distinctive frequency μ_{a} . Consecutive modes are separated by a constant difference,

$$\nu_{m+1} - \nu_m = \Delta \nu = \frac{v}{2L}$$
, (14.7)

POWER C

which is the free spectral range of the etalon [Eq. (9.79)] and, incidentally, the inverse of the round-trip time For a gas laser 1 m long, $\Delta \nu \approx 150$ MHz. The resonant modes of the cavity are considerably narrower in frequency than the bandwidth of the normal spon-taneous atomic transition. These modes, whether the device is constructed so that there is one or more, will be the ones that are sustained in the cavity, and hence the emerging beam is restricted to a region close to those frequencies (Fig. 14.27). In other words, the radia-tive transition makes available a relatively broad range tive transition makes available a relatively broad range of frequencies out of which the cavity will select and amplify only certain narrow bands and, if desired, even only one such band. This is the origin of the laser's extreme quasimonochromaticity. Thus while the band-width of the ruby transition to the ground state is roughly a rather broad 0.55 nm (330 GHz)—because of interactions of the chromium ions with the lattice—the corresponding laser cavity bandwidth, the frequency spread of the radiation of a single resonant mode, is a much narrower 0.00005 nm (30 MHz). This situation is depicted in Fig. 14.27(b), which shows a typical transition lineshape and a series of corresponding cavity spikes—in this case each is separated by v/2L, and each is 30 MHz wide.

A possible way to generate only a single mode in the cavity would be to have the mode separation, as given by Eq. (14.7), exceed the transition bandwidth. Then only one mode would fit within the range of available frequencies provided by the transition. For a ruby laser (with an index of refraction of 1.76) a cavity length of (with an index of refraction of 1.76) a cavity length of a few centimeters will easily insure single longitudinal mode operation. The drawback of this particular approach is that it limits the length of the active region contributing energy to the beam and so limits the output power of the laser. In addition to the longitudinal or axial modes of collibrium, which conserved to smoother unuser set up.

along the control of the forgeteening of the indexes of along the cavity or z-axis, transverse modes can be sustained as well. Since the fields are very nearly normal to z, these are known as TEM_{en} modes (transverse electric and magnetic). The *m* and *n* subscripts are the integer number of transverse nodal lines in the *x*- and *y*-directions across the emerging beam. That is to say,





(c

Figure 14.27 Laser modes: (a) illu Figure 14.27 Laser modes: (a) instances ine monoto-pares the broad atomic emission with the narrow cav depicts three operation configurations for a cw gas hase several longitudinal modes under a roughly Gaussian tseveral longitudinal and transverse modes, and finally tudinal mode.

heam is segmented in its cross section into one or beam is segmented in its cross section into one or per regions. Each such array is associated with a given by mode, as shown in Figs. 14.28 and 14.29. The set order or TEM₆₀ transverse mode is perhaps the at widely used, and this for several compelling rea-ting the flux density is ideally Gaussian over the beam's section (Fig. 14.30); there are no phase shifts in a loctric field across the beam, as there are in other at and no it is completed variable. Observant the sector of this completed variable. modes, and so it is completely spatially coherent; the



Figure 1, 28 Mode patterns (without the faint interference fringes likits what the beam looks like In cross section). (Photos courtesy Beil Telephone Laboratories.)

14.2 Lasers and Laserlight 583



Figure 14.29 Mode etry). Cir cularly symmetric modes are also observable (such as Brewster windows) destroys them.

beam's angular divergence is the smallest; and it can be focused down to the smallest-sized spot. Note that the amplitude in this mode is actually not constant over the wavefront, and it is consequently an inhomogeneous wave.

A complete specification of each mode has the form TEM_{mng} , where q is the longitudinal mode number. For each transverse mode (m, n) there can be many

To each transverse mode (*m*, *n*) there can be many longitudinal modes (i.e., values of *q*). Often, however, it's unnecessary to work with a particular longitudinal mode, and the *q* subscript is usually simply dropped.⁴ There are several additional cavity arrangements that are of considerably more practical significance than is the original plane-parallel setup (*Fig.* 14.31). For example, if the planar mirrors are replaced by identical concave subhrical mirrors senarated by a distance very concave spherical mirrors separated by a distance very confocal resonator. Thus the focal points are almost confocal resonator. Thus the focal points are almost coincident on the axis midway between mirrors—ergo

* Take a look at R. A. Phillips and R. D. Gehrz, "Laser Mode Structure Experiments for Undergraduate Laboratories," Am. J. Phys. 38, 429 (1970).

the name confocal. If one of the spherical mirrors is made planar, the cavity is termed a *hemispherical* or *hemiconcentric*, resonator. Both these congfigurations are considerably easier to align than is the plane-parallel form. Laser cavities are said to be either stable or unstable form. Laser cavities are said to be einer state or instant to the degree that the beam trends to retrace itself and so remain relatively close to the optical axis (Fig. 14.32). A beam in an unstable cavity will "walk out." going farther from the axis on each reflection until it quickly leaves the cavity altogether. By contrast, in a stable configuration (with mirrors that are, say, 100% and 98% reflective) the beam might traverse the resonator 50 times are near Unstable recorders are commonly used. times or more. Unstall resonators are commonly used in high-power lasers, where the fact that the beam traces across a wide region of the active medium enhances the amplification and allows for more energy to be extracampination and anota to more circly of the control ted. This approach will be especially useful for media (like carbon dioxide or argon) wherein the beam gains a good deal of energy on each sweep of the cavity. In other words, the needed number of sweeps is determined by the so-called small-signal gain of the active

Their Applications.)



Figure 14.30 Gaussian irradiance distribut





The actual selection of a resonator configu-

ration is governed by the specific requirements of the system there is no universally best arrangement. als can be seen in Fig. 14.32(a), when curved mirrors is an bestern in Fig. 14.32(a), when curve animors from the carry there is a tendency to "focus" the beam, giving it a minimum cross section or waist of diameter *D_i*. Under such circumstances the external divergence of the laserbeam is essentially a continuation of the divergence out from this waist. Thus while two plane minimum will produce a beam that is aperture limited via difference it will not now the the care Beroll Foc differenties, this will not now be the case. Recall Eq. (10 58), which describes the radius of the Airy disk, and divide both sides by f to get the half-angular width of the directed circular beam of diameter D. Doubling hyperbolic directed circular beam of diameter d and an aperture-limited laserbeam:

$\Phi \approx 2.44 \lambda/D.$

Bu comparison, far from the region of minimum cross section, the full angular which of a waisted laserbeam is $\Phi \approx 1.27 \lambda / D_0$, (14.8)

where D_0 can be calculated from the particular cavity n: Igur

14.2 Lasers and Laserlight 585

The decay of energy in a cavity is expressed in terms of the Q or quality factor of the resonator. The origin of the expression dates back to the early days of radio engineering, when it was used to describe the perfor-mance of an oscillating (tuning) circuit. A high-Q, lowloss circuit meant a narrow bandpass and a sharply tuned radio. If an optical cavity is somehow disrupted, as for example by the displacement or removal of one of the mirrors, the laser action generally ceases. When this is done deliberately in order to delay the onset of Into is doore deluberately in order to delay the onset or oscillation in the laser cavity, it's known as Q-spoiling or Q-wwitching. The power output of a laser is self-limited in the sense that the population inversion is continuously depleted through stimulated emission hy the radiation field within the cavity. However, if oscilla-tion is prevented, the number of atoms pumped into the (long-lived) metastable state can be considerably increased therefore creating a very atemise population the (long-lived) metastable state can be considerably increased, hereby creating a very extensive population inversion. When the cavity is witched on at the proper moment, a tremendouty powerful giant ydus (perhaps up to several hundred megawatts) will emerge as the atoms drop down to the lower state almost in unison. A great many Q-suicifring arrangements utilizing various control schemes, for example, bleachable absor-ber that become transmer under illumination, ratabers that become transparent under illumination, rotating prisms and mirrors, mechanical choppers, ultrasonic cells, or electro-optic shutters such as Kerr or Pockels cells, have all been used.

iii) The Helium-Neon Laser

iii) The Helium-Neon Loser Maiman's announcement of the first operative laser came at a New York news conference on July 7, 1960.* By February of 1961 Ali Javan and his associates W. R. Bennett, Jr., and D. R. Herriott had reported the suc-cessful operation of a continuous-ususe (c-w) helium-neon, gas laser at 1152.3 nm. The He-Ne laser (Fig. 14.33) is currently the most popular device of its kind, most often providing a few milliwatts of continuous power in the visible (632.8 nm). Its appeal arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful and the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the state of the state of the successful arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively inex-tional content of the successful arises prime arises primarily because it's easy to construct, relatively in the successful arises prime aris

* His initial paper, which would have made his findings known in a more traditional fashion, was rejected for publication by the editors of *Physical Review Letters*—this to their everlasting chagrin.



pensive, and fairly reliable and in most cases can be operated by a flick of a single switch. Pumping is usually accomplished by electrical discharge (via either dc, ac, or electrodeless rf excitation). Free electrons and ions are accelerated by an applied field and, as a result of collisions, cause further ionization and excitation of the gaseous medium (typically a mixture of about 0.8 torr of He and about 0.1 torr of Ne). Many helium atoms, after dropping down from several upper levels, accumulate in the long-luved 2's- and 2%-states. These



Figure 14.34 He-Nc laser energy levels.

are metastable states (Fig. 14.34) from which the mo allowed radiative transitions. The excited He arow inelastically collide with and transfer energy to state Ne atoms, raising them in turn to the Asstates. These are the upper laser levels, and there then exists a population inversion with respects to the lower 4p- and 3p-states. Transitions between the and 4s-states are forbidden. Spontaneous photon at estimulated emission, and the chain reaction The dominant laser transitions correspond 1152.3 nm and 3391.2 nm in the infrared an course, the ever-popular 632.8 nm in the visible (the 3s-states drain off into the 3s-state, thus selves remaining uncrowded and thereby continuous sustaining the inversion. The 3s-level is metastable that 3s-atoms return to the ground state after low energy to the walls of the endourse. This is with plasma tube's diameter inversely affects the gain and accordingly, a significant design parameter. In other to the ruby, where the laser transition is down to 03 ground state, stimulated emission in the Id-Ne lase occurs between two upper levels. The significance of this, for example, is that since the 3p-state is ordinarily oblight optimized, a population inversion of wert casily obtained, and this without having to half empty the ground state.

the ground state. Return to Fig. 14.33, which pictures the relevant features of a basic early He-Ne laser. The mirrors are coated with a multilayered dielectric film having reflectance of over 99%. The laser output is made linearly polarized by the inclusion of Brewseer end windows (i.e., plates tilted at the polarization affiterminating the discharge tube. If these end face instead normal to the axis, reflection losses (4% an interface) would become unbearable. By diffing the the polarization angle, the windows presumably have 100% transmission for light whose electic field comonent is parallel to the plane of incidence (the plane of the drawing). This polarization state rapidly becomes diminant, since the normal component is partially reflected off-axis at each transit of the windows. Linearly polarized light in the plane of incidence soon becomes the preponderant situnulating mechanism in the cavity, to the ultimate exclusion of the orthogonal polarpation.

Epsying the windows to the ends of the laser tube in mounting the mirrors externally was a typical legit dreadful approach used commercially until the hyports. Inevitably, the epoxy leaked, allowing water own in and helium out. Today, such lasers are hard legit the glass is bonded directly to metal (Kovar) muts, which support the mirrors within the tube. The energy for early within the tube, Departing lifetheory is and the support the mirrors within the tube. The energy for early within the tube, Departing lifeman only a few hundred hours in the 1960s). Strewster and we hundred hours in the 1960s). Strewster indows are usually optional, and most commercial Be-Ne lasers generate more or less "unpolarized" nearly the lasers generate length of around 25 cm, a beam diameter of approximately 1 mm, and a low feral efficiency of only 0.01% to about 0.1%. Though weral efficiency of only 0.01% to about 0.1%. Though the aver infrared He-Ne laser, who high red 632.8-nm verin remains the most popular.

A Survey of Laser Developments

The rechnology is so dynamic a field that what was a briatory breakthrough a year of two ago may be a brinopplace off-the-shelf item today. The whithvind will certainly not pause to allow descriptive terms like "the smallest," "the largest," "the most powerful," and

Hat of the output power of the laser is not lost in reflections at the firwater windows when the transverse P-state light is scattered. In they simply insit continuously channeled into that polarization of poment by the cavity. If it's reflected out of the plasma tube, it's opterent to stimulate further emission.

14.2 Lasers and Laserlight 587

so on to be applicable for very long. With this in mind, we briefly survey the existing scene without trying to anticipate the wonders that will survey come after this type is set. Laserbeams have already been bounced off the Moon; they have spot welded detached retinas, generated fusion neutrons, stimulated seed growth, served as communications links, guided milling machines, missiles, ships, and grating engines, carried color television pictures, drilled holes in diamonds, levitated tiny objects,^{*} and intrigued countless amongst the curious.

Along with ruby there are a great many other solidstate lasers whose outputs range in wavelength from roughly 170 nm to 3900 nm. For example, the trivialent rare earths Nd⁺⁺, Ho⁺⁺, Gd⁺⁺, Tm⁺⁺, Pr⁺⁺, and Eu⁺⁺ undergo laser action in a host of hosts, such as CaWO4, Y₂O3, SrMO04, LaF3, yttrium aluminum garnet (YAG for short), and glass, to nare only a few. Of these, neodymlum-doped glass and neodymlum-doped YAG are of particular importance. Both constitute highpowered laser media operating at approximately 1060 nm. Nd: YAG lasers generating in excess of a kilowatt of continuous power have been constructed. Tremendous power outputs in pulsed systems have been obtained by operating several lasers in tandem. The first laser in the train serves as a Q-switched oscillator that fires into the next stage, which functions as an ampifier; and there may be one or more such amplifiers in the system. By reducing the feedback of the cavity, a laser will no longer be self-oscillatory, but it will amplify an incident wave that has triggered stimulated emission. Thus the amplifier is, in effect, an active medium, which is pumped, but for which the end faces are only partially reflecting or even non-reflecting. Ruby systems of this kind, delivering a few GW (gigawatts, i.e., 10⁶ W) in the form of pulses lasting several nanoseconds, are available commercially. On December 19, 1984, the largest laser in existence, the Nova, fired all 10 of its beams at once for the first time, producing a warm-up shot of a mere 18 kJ of 350-um in adiation in the site of the of the mere site of the site of the sit

* See M. Lubin and A. Fraas, "Fusion by Laser," Sci. Am. 224, 21 (June 1971); R. S. Craxton, R. L. McCrory, and J. M. Soures, "Progress in Laser Fusion," Sci. Am. 255, 69 (August 1986); and A. Ashkin, "The Pressure of Laser Light," Sci. Am. 226, 65 (February 1972).



Figure 14.35 Nova, the world's most powerful laser. (Photo courtesy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.)

a 1-ns pulse (Fig. 14.35). When fully operational this immense neodymium-doped glass laser will focus up to 100 TW of green (530 nm) or blue (350 nm) light onto a fusion pellet-that's roughly 500 times more power than all the electrical generating stations in the United States—albeit only for about 10^{-9} s.

States—albeit only for about 10° s. A large group of gas linears operate across the spec-trum from the far 1R to the UV (1 mm to 150 mm). Primary amongst these are helium-neon, argon, and krypton, as well as several molecular gas systems, such krypton, as well as several molecular gas systems, such as carbon dioxide, hydrogen fluoride, and molecular nitrogen (N₂). Argon lases mainly in the green, blue-green, and violet (predominantly at 488.0 and 514.5 nm) in either pulsed or continuous operation. Although its output is usually several watts c-w, it has gone as high as 150 W c-w. The argon ion laser is similar in some respects to the He-Ne laser, although it evidently differs in its usually greater power, shorter unsubsectib hereader linewidth and binder price. All of wavelength, broader linewidth, and higher price. All of the noble gases (He, Ne, A, Kr, Xe) have been made to lase individually, as have the gaseous ions of many other elements, but the former grouping has been studied

elements, but the former grouping has been studied most extensively. The CO₂ molecule, which lases between vibration modes, emits in the IR at 10.6 µm, with typical eve power levels of from watts to several kilowatal fire efficiency can be an unusually high 15% when aiden additions of N₂ and He. While it once took a discu-tube nearly 200 m long to generate 10 k W e-w, confis-ably smaller "table models" are now available confi-cially. For a while in the 1970s, the record of helonged to an experimential read-vibration lases of belonged to an experimental gas-dynamic laser thermal pumping on a mixture of CO_2 , N_2 , and to generate 60 kW c-w at 10.6 μ m in more operation.

The pulsed nitrogen laser operates at 3321 the UV, as does the c-w helium-cadmium laser. A ber of metal vapors (e.g., Zn, Hg, Sn, Pb) have diaser transitions in the visible, but problems maintaining uniformity of the vapor in the discharge region have handicapped their exploitation. The He Cd laser emits at 325.0 nm and 441.6 nm. These are transitions of the cadmium ion arising after excitation

transitions of the cadmium ion arising after excluding resulting from collisions with metastable helium above The semiconductor laser—alternatively know pro-junction or diode laser—was invented in 1962 and after the development of the light-emitting diode (LED). Today it serves a central role in electrscopils, primarily because of its spectral purity, high efficiency (e=100%), ruggedness, ability to be modulated extremely rapid rates, long lifetimes, and modes; power (as much as 200 mW) despite its pinhead size punction lasers have already been used in the million in fiberoptic communications, laser disk audio spec-and so forth. and so forth.

and so torth. The first such lasers were made of one materia gallium arsenide, appropriately doped to form junction. The associated high lasing threshold of junction. The associated high lasing threshold junction. The associated high lasing threshold so-called homostructures limited them to pulse operation and cryogenic temperatures; other them. The first tunable lead-salt diode la developed in 1964, but it was not until almost years later that it became commercially avait operates at liquid aitrogen temperatures, whit tainly inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient, but it can scan from 2 µmit tainty inconvenient. was Later advances have since allowed a red

shold and resulted in the advent of the continuous ic (c-w), room temperature diode laser. Transitions ar between the conduction and valence bands, and stimulated emission results in the immediate vicinity of simulated emission results in the immediate vicinity of the p-n junction (Fig. 14.36). Quite generally, as a ment flows in the forward direction through a semi-ductor diode, electrons from the n-layer conduction of will recombine with p-layer holes, thereupon emitrecess, which competes for energy with the existing sorption mechanisms (such as phonon production) to predominate when the recombination laver is all and the current is large. To make the system lase, the light emitted from the diode is retained within a mant cavity, and that's usually accomplished by Simply polishing the end faces perpendicular to the munction channel.

Nowadays semiconductor lasers are created to meet specific needs, and there are many designs producing wavelengths ranging from around 700 nm to about 30 µm. The early 1970s saw the introduction of the c-w GaAs/GaAlAs laser. Operating at room temperature in Grayonanas iaser. Operating a room cemperature im de 750-nm to 900-nm region (depending on the rela-tive amounts of aluminum and gallium), the tiny diode chip is usually about a sixteenth of a cubic centimeter divolume. Figure 14.36(b) shows a typical heterostrucure (a device formed of different materials) diode laser of this kind. Here the beam emerges in two directions from the 0.2-µm-thick active layer of GaAs. These little lasers usually produce upward of 20 mW of continuous Wave power. To take advantage of the low loss region $(\lambda = 1.3 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ in fiberoptic glass (p. 170) the Galmarka AsPInP laser was devised in the mid-1970s with an output of 1.2 μ m to 1.6 μ m. The cleaved-coupled-cavity laser is a still more recent (1983) development (Fig. 14.37). In it the number of axial modes is controlled in order to produce very-narrow-bandwidth tunable radiation. Two cavities coupled together across a small gap restrict the radiation to the extremely narrow band-width that can be sustained in both resonant chambers.*

Y. Suematsu, "Advances In Semiconductor Lasers," *Phys. Today* ay 1985). For a discussion of heterostructure diode lasers refer B. Panish and I. Hayashi, "A New Class of Diode Lasers," *Sci* B. Panish and ... 225, 32 (July 1971).





(b) Figure 14.36 (a) An early GaAs $p-\pi$ junction laser. (b) A modern diode laser.

The first liquid laser was operated in January of 1963.* All of the early devices of this sort were exclus-1963." All of the early devices of this sort were exclus-ively *chelates* (i.e., metallo-organic compounds formed of a metal ion with organic radicals). That original liquid laser contained an alcohol solution of europium benzoylacetonate emitting at 613.1 nm. The discovery of laser action in nonchelate organic liquids was made

* See Adam Heller, "Laser Action in Liquids." Phys. Today (November 1967), p. 35, for a more detailed account.

in 1966. It came with the fortuitous lasing (at 755.5 nm) of a chloroaluminum phthalocyanine solution during a search for stimulated Raman emission in that sub stance.* A great many fluorescent dye solutions of such families as the fluoresceins, coumarins, and rhodamines have since been made to lase at frequencies from the It into the UV. These have usually been pulsed, although e-w operation has been obtained. There are so many organic dyes that it would seem possible to build such a laser at any frequency in the visible. Moreover, these devices are distinctive in that they inherently can be tuned continuously over a range of wavelengths (of perhaps 70 nm or so, although a pulsed system tunable over 170 nm exists). Indeed, there are other arrangements that will vary the frequency of a primary laserbeam (i.e., the beam enters with one color and emerges with another, Section 14.4), but in the case of the dye laser, the primary beam itself is tuned inter-nally. This is accomplished, for example, by changing the concentration or the length of the dye cell or by adjusting a diffraction grating reflector at the end of the cavity. Several multicolor dye laser systems, which can easily be switched from one dye to another and thereby operate over a very broad frequency range, are

Available commercially. A chemical laser is one that is pumped with energy released via a chemical reaction. The first of this kind was operated in 1964, but it was not until 1969 that a ontinuous-wave chemical laser was developed. One of the most promising of these is the deuterium fluoride-carbon dioxide (DF-CO₂) laser. It is self-sustaining, in that it requires no external power source. In brief, the reaction $F_2 + D_2 \rightarrow 2DF$, which occurs on the mixing of

reaction $F_g + D_g + 2DF$, which occurs on the mixing of these two fairly common gases, generates enough energy to pump a CO₂ laser. There are solid-state, gaseous, liquid, and vapor (e.g., H₂O) lasers; there are semiconductor lasers, free elec-tron (600 nm to 3 mm) lasers, x-ray lasers, and lasers with very special properties, such as those that generate extremely known thulks or those that have extraordiany. extremely short pulses, or those that have extraordinary frequency stability. These latter devices are very useful in the field of high-resolution spectroscopy, but there is a growing need for them in other research areas as

* P. Sorokin, "Organic Lasers," Sci. Amer. 220, 50 (February 1969).



Figure 14.37 The cleaved-coupled-cavity laser. (Photosulfing

well (e.g., in the interferometers used to attempt to detect gravity waves). In any event, these lagent min have precisely controlled cavity configuration desp the disturbing influences of temperature wination ubrations, and even sound waves. To date the recois held by a laser at the Joint Institute for Labo Astrophysics in Boulder, Colorado, which main frequency stability (p. 265) of nearly one part if

14.2.2 The Light Fantastic

serbeams differ somewhat in nature from one type laser to another; yet there are several remarkable meres that are displayed, to varying degrees, by all radiation. Quite apparent is the fact that most pheams are exceedingly directional, or if you will, hly collimated. One need only blow some smoke into and commuter. One need only blow some smoke into coherwise invisible, visible-laserbeam to see (via scat-tering) a fantastic thread of light stretched across a gom. A He-Ne beam in the TEM₀₀ mode generally has a divergence of only about one minute of arc or via. Recall that in the read a the article of the stretched Recall that in that mode the emission closely commates a Gaussian irradiance distribution; that is, the flux density drops off from a maximum at the entral axis of the beam and has no side lobes. The typical laserbeam is quite narrow, usually issuing at no more than a few millimeters in diameter. Since the beam resembles a truncated plane wave, it is of course spatially where the fact, its directionality may be thought of as a manifestation of that coherence. Laserlight is quasimonochromatic, generally having an exceedingly arrow frequency bandwidth (see Section 7.10). In other words, it is temporally coherent. Another attribute is the high flux or radiant power

that can be delivered in that narrow frequency band, And can be derivered in that narrow trequency band, Aswe've seen, the laser is distinctive in that it emits all usenergy in the form of a narrow beam. In contrast, e100-W incandescent light bulb may pour out consider-eff more radiant energy in toto than a low-power cow which but the emission is incoherent, spread over a large off angle, and it has a broad bandwidth as well. A god lens⁶ can totally intercept a laserbeam and focus Stutially all of its energy into a minute new (whose and lens" can totally intercept a laserbeam and focus evenially all of its energy into a minute spot (whose inter varies directly with A and the focal length and Versely with the beam diameter). Spot diameters of a few thousandths of an inch can readily be attained as the thousandths of an inch can readily be attained to the spotsibility of the state of the spotsibility of the spotsi

ral observation is used by the state problem, slave interference tails, both quaranteentheomatic and incident slope the uses

14.2 Lasers and Laserlight 591

10¹⁷ W/cm², in contrast to, say, an oxyacetylene flame having roughly 10³ W/cm². To get a better feel for these power levels, note that a focused CO₂ laserbeam of a few kilowatts c-w can burn a hole through a quarter-inch stainless steel plate in about 10 seconds. By comparison, a pinhole and filter positioned in front of an ordinary source will certainly produce spatially and temporally coherent light, but only at a minute fraction of the total power output

Femtosecond Optical Pulses

The advent of the mode-locked dye laser in the early part of the 1970s gave a great boost to the efforts then being made at generating extremely short pulses of light.* Indeed, by 1974 subpicosecond (1 ps = 10^{-12} s) optical pulses were already being produced, although the remainder of the decade saw little significant progress. In 1981 two separate advances resulted in the creation of femtosecond laser pulses (i.e., <0.1 ps or <100 fs)—a group at Bell Labs developed a collidingpulse ring dye laser, and a team at IBM devised a new pulse-compression scheme. Above and beyond the implications in the practical domain of electro-optical communications, these accomplishments have firmly established a new field of research known as *ultafast phenomena*. The most effective way to study the pro-gression of a process that occurs exceedingly rapidly (e.g., carrier dynamics in semiconductors, fluorescence, photochemical biological processes, and molecular configuration changes) is to examine it on a time scale that is comparatively short with respect to what's happening. Pulses lasting ≈ 10 fs allow an entirely new access into previously obscure areas in the study of matter.

At the moment, the shortest pulses on record each lasted a mere 8 is (10^{-15} s) , which corresponds to wavetrains only about 4 wavelengths of red light in length. One of the new techniques that makes these featurement demonstrations of the new techniques in the set of the new techniques is the set of the new techniques in the new techniq femtosecond wavegroups possible is based on an idea used in radar work in the 1950s called *pulse compression*. Here an initial laser pulse has its frequency spectrum

* Take a look at "Ultrafast laser pulses" by A. De Maria, W. Glenn and M. Mack, Phys. Today (July 1971), p. 19.

broadened, thereby allowing the inverse or temporal pulse width to be shortened—remember that $\Delta \nu$ and Δ tare conjugate Fourier quantities (Eq. 7.63). The input pulse (several picoseconds long) is passed into a nonlinear dispersive medium, namely, a single-mode optical fiber. When the light intensity is high enough the index of refraction has an appreciable nonlinear term (Section 14.4), and the carrier frequency of the pulse experiences a time-dependent shift. On traversing perhaps 30 m of fiber, the frequency of the pulse is drawn out or "chirped." That is, a spread occurs in the spectrum of the pulse, with the low frequencies leading and the high frequencies trailing. Next the spectrally broadened pulse is passed through another dispersive system (a delay line), such as a pair of diffraction gratings. By traveling different paths, the blue-shifted trailing edge of the pulse is made to catch up to the red-shifted leading edge, creating a time-compressed output pulse.

The Speckle Effect

A rather striking and easily observable manifestation of the spatial coherence of laserlight is its granular appearance on reflection from a diffuse surface. Using a He-Me laser (652.8 nm), expand the beam a bit by passing it through a simple lens and project it onto a wall or a piece of paper. The illuminated disk appears speckled with bright and dark regions that sparkle and shimmer in a dazzling psycheddic dance. Squita and the grains grow in size; step toward the screen and they shink; take off your evglasses and the pattern stays in perfect focus. In fact, if you are nearsighted, the diffraction fringes caused by dust on the lens blur out and disappear, but the speckles do not. Hold a pencil at varying distances from your eye so that the disk appears just above it. At each position, focus on the pencil; wherever you focus, the granular display is crystal clear. Indeed, look at the pattern through a telescope; as you adjust the scope from one extreme to the other, the ubiquitous granules remain perfectly distinct, even though the wall is completely blurred.

is completely blurred. The spatially coherent light scattered from a diffuse surface fills the surrounding region with a steinary interference pattern (just as in the case of the wavefrontsplitting arrangements of Section 9.3). At the surface the granules are exceedingly small, and they increasize with distance. At any location in space the residual subserposition of many contributing wavelets. These must have a constant relative determined by the optical path length from the terrer to the point in question, if the interference is to be sustained. Figure 14.38 illustrates directly is a subserver light and in the other by collined in the content location. The second se

A real system of fringes is formed of the scattered waves that converge in front of the screen. The fring



Figure 14.38 Speckle patterns. (a) A cement blockfuture at the mercury arc and (b) a He-Ne laser, [From B.], Theorem, Phot. Inst. Engr. 4, 7 (1965).]

Gu be viewed by intersecting the interference pattern with a sheet of paper at a convenient location. After forming the real image in space, the rays proceed to deserve and any region of the image can therefore be space directly with the eye appropriately focused. In contrast, rays that initially diverge appear to the eye as if the had originated behind the scattering screen and the form a virtual image.

seems that as a result of chromatic aberration, name and farsighted eyes tend to focus red light being the screen. Contrainly, a nearsighted person observed the real field in front of the screen (regardless of wavelength). Thus if the viewer moves her head to the right, the pattern will move to the right in the first in the first bescond (focus in front). The pattern will felt the motor of our head, if you re view moves the distribution of the screen states and the distribution of the screen states are stated as the object will seem to move with your head, inside ones of the seem by looking through a window, outside object will seem to move with your head, inside ones of the seem to move with your head, inside ones of the second the million of the manna see certainly peutice. In unfiltered sunlight the grains are minute, or the surface, and multicolored. The effect is easy to of the surface, and multicolored. The effect is easy to of the apper), but you can see it on a fingernail or a wire coin as well.

Although it invoides a marvelous demonstration, bob tradministic periodical states and the state of the state

Further reading on this effect, see L. I. Goldfischer, J. Opt. Soc. 55, 247 (1965); D. C. Sinclair, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 55, 575 (1965); Rigden and E. I. Gordon, Proc. IRE 50, 2367 (1962); B. M. Proc. IEEE 51, 220 (1963).

14.3 Holography

5**93**

14.3 HOLOGRAPHY

The technology of photography has been with us for a long time, and we've all grown accustomed to seeing the three-dimensional world compressed into the flatness of a scrapbock page. The depthestelevision pitchman who smiles out of a myriad of phosphorescent flashes, although inescapably there, seems no more palpable than a postcard image of the Eiffel Tower. Both share the severe limitation of being simply irradiance mappings. In other words, when the image of a scene is ordinarily reproduced, by whatever traditional means, what we ultimately see is not an accurate reproduction of the light field that once inundated the object, but rather a point-by-point record of just the square of the field's amplitude. The light reflecting off a photograph carries with it information about the irradiance but nothing about the phase of the wave that once emanated from the object. Indeed, if both the amplitude and phase of the original wave could be reconstructed somehow, the resulting light field (assuming the frequencies are the same) would be indistinguishable from the original. This means that you would then see (and could photograph) the re-formed image in perfect three-dimensionality, exactly as if the object wave.

14.3.1 Methods

Dennis Gabor had been thinking along these lines for a number of years prior to 1947, when he began conducting his now famous experiments in holography at the Research Laboratory of the British Thomson-Houston Company. His original setup, depicted in Fig. 14.39, was a two-step lensless imaging process in which he first photographically recorded an interference pattern, generated by the interaction of scattered quasimonochromatic light from an object and a coherent reference wave. The resulting pattern was something he called a hologram, after the Greek word holos, meaning whole. The second step in the procedure was the *reconstruction* of the optical field or image, and this was done through the diffraction of a coherent beam by a



which was the developed hologram. In a reminiscent of Zernike's phase-contrast tech-(Section 14.1.4), the hologram was formed when background or reference wave interfered or incoherence wave wave incoherence wave wave in a maxis through the object were very small is cattered wave wavel be nearly spherical, and the plane wave). Except for the fact that the circular in plane wave). Except for the fact that the circular in plane wave). Except for the fact that the circular in 0.3.5. Necall that a zone plate functions somewhat in 0.3.5. Necall that a zone plate functions somewhat in the interference wave, which appears to come from origing to a real focal point, *P*. In addition, it is the a diverging wave, which appears to come from origing the area on and that the ensemble of all in hartially overlapping zone plates forms the imagine albeit rather simplistically, that cach point plate form the other simplistical with a thread in a state object generates its own zone plate plate forms the object. The source of all in hartially overlapping zone plates forms the initial recording beam has the same wavelength as initial recording beam that the difficates and with a lings interfered and appears at the location formerly in bologram. The object forms in the interfered and appears is not the object. Thus it is the virtual image is interfered and appears at the location formerly in the object. Thus it is the virtual interfered wave interfered and appears at the location formerly in the object. Thus it is the virtual ima

See M. P. Givens, "Introduction to Holography," Am. J. Phys. 35, 006 (1967).

14.3 Holography 595

fittingly, the conjugate image. In any event, we envision the hologram as a composite of interference patterns, and at least for this very simple configuration, those patterns resemble zone plates. As we will see presently, the sinusoidal grating is an equally fundamental fringe system making up complex holograms.

The substoal grain is an event of the second state second state state of the second state second state state of the second state state of the second state second state state second state

What's happening here can be appreciated in two ways—an essentially pictorial, Fourier-optical way and, alternatively, a direct mathematical way. We will look from both perspectives, because they complement each other. First, this is at heart an interference (or, if you like, a diffraction) problem, and we can again return to the notion of the complicated object wavefront being composed of Fourier-component plane waves (Fig. 10.10) traveling in directions associated with the different spatial frequencies of the object's light field, reflacted or transmitted. Each one of these Fourier plane waves interferes with the reference wave on the photographic plate and thus preserves the information



associated with that particular spatial frequency in the form of a characteristic fringe pattern. To see how this occurs examine the simplified two-wave version depicted in Fig. 14.42. At the moment shown the reference wave happens to have a crest along the face of the film plane, and the scattered object wavelet, coming in at an angle θ , similarly has crest at points A, B, and C. These correspond to points where interference maxima will occur at the moment shown. But as both waves progress to the right, they will remain in phase at these points, trough will overlap trough, and the maxima will remain fixed at A, B, and C. Similarly, between these points, trough overlaps crest, and minima exist. The relative phase (ϕ) of these two waves, which waries from point to point along the film, waves, which varies from point to point along the film,

can be written as a function of x. Since ϕ changes 2π as x goes the length of \overline{AB} , $\phi/2\pi = \pi/\overline{AB}$. Not that sin $\theta = \lambda/\overline{AB}$, and so getting rid of the speed length \overline{AB} , the phase in general becomes

 $\phi(x) = (2\pi x \sin \theta)/\lambda.$

If the two waves are assumed to have the same E_0 , the resultant field follows from Eq. (7.1). $E = 2E_0 \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi \sin (\omega t - kx - \frac{1}{2}\phi),$

and the irradiance distribution, which is proport to the field amplitude squared, by way of Eq. (3 has the form

 $I(x) = \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon_0 (2E_0 \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi)^2 = 2c\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$



14.41 A side-band Fresnel holographic setup for a trans interent

$I(x) = 2c\epsilon_0 E_0^2 + 2c\epsilon_0 E_0^2 \cos \phi.$

(14.10)

D

(14.9)

 $I(x) = 2\alpha e_0 E_0^4 + 2\alpha e_0 E_0^4 \cos \phi. \qquad (14.10)$ What we have is a cosinusoidal irradiance distribution across the film plane with a spatial period of \overline{AB} and a spatial frequency $(1/\overline{AB})$ of sin θ/λ . Upon processing the film so that the amplitude trans-mission profile corresponds to I(x), the result is a cyanusoidal grating. When this simple hologram (which attentially corresponds to a structureless object with no hormation) is illuminated by a plane wave identical to the original reference wave [Fig. 14.43(c)] three beams will emerge: one zeroth and two first order. One of the first-order beams will travel in the direction of the original object beam and corresponds to its recon-structed wavefront.

The original object beam and corresponds to its recon-structed wavefront. Now suppose we go one step beyond this most basic hologram and examine an object that has some optical institute. Accordingly, let's use as the object a trans-nate one with a simple periodic structure that has a single whila frequency—a cosine grating. A slightly idealized presentation (which leaves out the weak higher-order isside to the finite size of the beam and grating) is birded in Fig. 14.43, which shows the illuminated sign the three transmitted beams, and the reference what results is three slightly different versions



Figure 14.42 The interference of two plane waves to create a cosine

of Fig. 14.42, where each of the three transmitted waves makes a slightly different angle (9) with the reference wave. Consequently, each of the three overlap areas will correspond to a set of cosine fringes of a slightly different spatial frequency, from Eq. (14.9). Again when we play back the resulting hologram, Fig. 14.43(b), we have three pieces of business: the undiffracted wave, the virtual image, and the real image. Observe that it is only where the three beams come together to contribute their spatial frequency content that images of the original grating are formed. When a still more complex object is used we can

the original grating are formed. When a still more complex object is used we can anticipate that the relative phase between the object and reference waves (ϕ) will vary from point to point in a complicated way, thereby modulating the basic carrier signal (Fig. 14.44) produced by two plane waves when no object is present. In ther words, we can generalize difference ϕ (which varies with θ) is encoded in the configuration of the fringes. Furthermore, had the amplitudes of the reference and object waves been different, the irradiance of those fringes would have been altered accordingly. Thus we can guess that the amplitude of the object wave at every point on the film plane will be encoded in the visibility of the resulting fringes.

The process depicted in Fig. 14.40 can be treated analytically as follows. Suppose that the xy-plane is the plane of the hologram, Σ_H . Then

 $E_B(x, y) = E_{OB} \cos [2\pi ft + \phi(x, y)] \qquad (14.11)$

 $\Sigma_{B}(x,y) \rightarrow E_{B} \exp[-iy(x,y)]$ (1411) describes the planar background or reference wave at Σ_{H} , overlooking considerations of polarization. Its amplitude, E_{DR} , is constant, while the phase is a function of position. This just means that the reference wavefront is tilted in some known manner with respect to Σ_{H} . For example, if the wave were oriented such that is could be brought into coincidence with Σ_{H} by a single rotation through an angle of θ about y, the phase at any point on the hologram plane would depend on its value of x. Thus ϕ would again have the form

$$\phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} x \sin \theta = kx \sin \theta$$
,

being, in that particular case, independent of y and





Figure 14.43 Notice that there are three regions with different spatial frequencies. Each of these on the re-illuminate hologragenerates three waves.

Figure 14.44 Various degrees of modulation of hologram fringes. (Photo courtesy Emmett N. Leith and Scientific American.)

varying linearly with x. For the sake of simplicity, we'll just write it, quite generally, as $\phi(x, y)$ and keep in mind that is a simple known function. The wave scattered from the object can, in turn, be expressed as

 $E_O(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = E_{0O}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cos \left[2\pi f t + \phi_O(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\right], \quad (14.12)$

where both the amplitude and phase are now complicated functions of position corresponding to an irregular wavefront. From the communications-theoretic point of view, this is an amplitude- and phase-modulated carrier wave bearing all of the available information about the object. Note that this information about the object. Note that this information of the wave. The two disturbances E_B and E_O superimpose and interfere to form an irradiance distribution, which is received by the photographic emulsion. The resulting irradiance, except for a multiplicative constant, is $f(x, y) = \langle (E_B + E_O)^2 \rangle$, which, from Section 9.1, is given the superimpose the superimpose in the superimpose of the superimpose

 $\int_{0}^{1} (x, y) = \frac{E_{0B}^{2}}{2} + \frac{E_{0O}^{2}}{2} + E_{0B}E_{0O}\cos(\phi - \phi_{O}), \quad (14.13)$

berve once again that the phase of the object wave mines the location on Σ_{μ} of the irradiance maxima

and minima. Moreover, the contrast or fringe visibility $\mathcal{V} = (I_{max} - I_{min})/(I_{max} + I_{min})$ [12.1] across the hologram plane, which is

 $\mathcal{V}=2E_{0B}E_{0O}/(E_{0B}^2+E_{0O}^2), \eqno(14.14)$ contains the appropriate information about the object

wave's amplitude. Once more, in the parlance of communications theory, we might observe that the film plage serves as both the storage device and detector or mixer. It produces, over its surface, a distribution of opaque regions corresponding to a modulated spatial waveform. Accordingly, the third or difference frequency term in Eq. (14.13) is both amplitude and phase modulated by way of the position dependence of $E_{00}(x, y)$ and $\phi_0(x, y)$.

by way to the periods of the period of the fringe pattern that constitutes the hologram for a simple, essentially two-dimensional, semitransparent object. Were the two interfering waves perfectly planar [as in Fig. 14.44(a)], the evident variations in fringe position and irradiance, which represent the information, would be absent, yielding the traditional Young's



pattern (Section 9.3). The sinusoidal transmission grating configuration [Fig. 14.44(a)] may be thought of as the carrier waveform, which is then modulated by the signal. Furthermore, we can imagine that the coherent superposition of countless zone-plate patterns, one arising from each point on a large object, have metamorphosed into the modulated fringes of Fig. 14.44(b). When the amount of modulation is further greatly increased, as it would be for a large, three-dimensional, diffusely reflecting object, the fringes loss the kind of symmetry still discernible in Fig. 14.44(b) and become considerably more complicated. Incidentally, holograms are often covered with extraneous swirls and concentric ring systems that arise from diffraction by dust and the like on the optical elements. The amplitude transmission profile of the processed

The amplitude transmission profile of the processed hologram can be made proportional to I(x, y). In that case, the final emerging wave, $E_F(x, y)$, is proportional to the product $I(x, y)E_F(x, y)$, where $E_F(x, y)$ is the reconstructing wave incident on the hologram. Thus if the reconstructing wave, of frequency ν , is incident obliquely on Σ_H , as was the background wave, we can write

 $E_R(x, y) = E_{OR} \cos [2\pi\nu l + \phi(x, y)].$ (14.15)

The final wave (except for a multiplicative constant) is the product of Eqs. (14.13) and (14.15):

 $E_F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} E_{0R} (E_{0B}^2 + E_{0O}^2) \cos \left[2\pi \nu t + \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\right]$

 $+\frac{1}{2}E_{0B}E_{0B}E_{0O}\cos(2\pi\nu t + 2\phi - \phi_O)$ $+\frac{1}{2}E_{0B}E_{0B}E_{0O}\cos(2\pi\nu t + \phi_0).$ (14.16)

Three terms describe the light issuing from the hologram; the first can be rewritten as

 $\frac{1}{2}(E_{OB}^2 + E_{OO}^2)E_R(x, y),$

and is an amplitude-modulated version of the reconstructing wave. In effect, each portion of the hologram functions as a diffraction grating, and this is again the zeroth-order, undeflected, direct beam. Since it contains no information about the phase of the object wave, ϕ_{O} , it is of little concern here. The next two or *side-band* waves are the sum and

The next two or side-band waves are the sum and difference terms, respectively. These are the two firstorder waves diffracted by the grating-like hologram. The first of these (i.e., the sum term) represents a weak except for a multiplicative constant, has the same, tude as the object wave $E_0(x, y)$. Moreover, its contains a $2\phi(x, y)$ contribution, which, as yof we arose from tilting the background and reconflywavefronts with respect to Σ_{H} . It's this phase fatprovides the angular separation between the free virtualimages. Furthermore, rather than confarmphase of the object wave, the sum term connegative. Thus it's a wave carrying all of the approxinformation about the object but in a way that oquite right. Indeed, this is the real image formconverging light in the space beyond the hologramin, between it and the viewer. The negative fibremanifest in an inside-out image something like pseudoscopic effect occurring when the elements in a photographic stereo pair are interchanged. Barappear as indentations, and object points that weafront of and nearer to Σ_H are now imaged nearers to but beyond Σ_H . Thus a point on the original subject in a way that perhaps must be seen to be appreciate For example, imagine you are looking down the hologgraphic conjugate image of a bowling alley. The "back row of pins, even though partially obscured by the "front" rows, are nonetheless imaged closer to the "weiver than is the one-pin. Despite this, bear in mind that it's not as if you were looking at the array from behind. No light from the very backs of the pins was ever recorded—you're seeing an inside-out from As a consequence, the conjugate image is an limited utility, although it can be made to have alter configuration by forming a second hologram.

limited utility, although it can be made to have auto configuration by forming a second hologram of real image as the object. The difference term in Eq. (14.16), except forplicative constant, has precisely the form of first wave Eoo(x, y). If you were to peer into (not except illuminated hologram, as if it were a window looking out onto the scene beyond, you would "see" the object exactly as if it were truly sliting there. You could move your head a bit and look around an item in the foreground in order to see the view it had previously obstructing. In other words, in addition to computhree-dimensionality, parallax effects are apparent



they are in no other reproducing technique (Fig. 14.45). The fine that you are viewing the holographic image of alramitying glass focused on a page of print. As you more your eye with respect to the hologram plane, the with being magnified by the lens (which is itself just anage) actually change, just as they would in "real" with a "real" lens and "real" print. In the case of stended scene having considerable depth, your eyes and have to refocus as you viewed different regions anions distances. In precisely the same way, a Binera lens would have to be readjusted if you were 14.3 Holography 601

Figure 14.45 Parts (b) through (d) are three different views photographed from the same holographic image generated by the hologram in (a). (Photos from Smith, Principles of Holography.)

photographing different regions of the virtual image (Fig. 14.46).

(d)

There are other extremely important and interesting features that holograms display. For example, if you were standing close to a window, you could obscure all of it with, say, a piece of cardboard, except for a tiny area through which you could then peer and still see the objects beyond. The same is true of a hologram, since each small fragment of it contains information about the entire object, at least as seen from the same vantage point, and each fragment can repro-

pattern (Section 9.8). The sinusoidal transmissiongrating configuration [Fig. 14.44(a)] may be thought of as the carrier waveform, which is then modulated by the signal. Furthermore, we can imagine that the coherent superposition of countless zone-plate patterns, one arising from each point on a large object, have metamor-phosed into the modulated fringes of Fig. 14.44(b). When the amount of modulation is further greatly increased, as it would be for a large, three-dimensional, diffusely reflecting object, the fringes lose the kind of symmetry still discernible in Fig. 14.44(b) and become considerably more complicated. Incidentally, holograms are often covered with extraneous swirls and concentric ring systems that arise from diffraction by dust and the like on the optical elements.

The amplitude transmission profile of the processed hologram can be made proportional to I(x, y). In that case, the final emerging wave, $E_F(x, y)$, is proportional to the product $I(x, y)E_{P}(x, y)$, where $E_{P}(x, y)$ is the reconthe product $\Gamma(x, y)$ is the respectively where $\Sigma_{R}(x, y)$ is the resonance structure wave, incident on the hologram. Thus if the reconstructing wave, of frequency ν , is incident obliquely on Σ_{H} , as was the background wave, we can write

 $E_R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = E_{0R} \cos \left[2 \pi \nu t + \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right].$ (14.15) The final wave (except for a multiplicative constant) is the product of Eqs. (14.13) and (14.15):

 $E_F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} E_{0R} (E_{0B}^2 + E_{0O}^2) \cos \left[2 \pi \nu t + \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right]$

 $+\frac{1}{2}E_{0R}E_{0B}E_{0O}\cos(2\pi\nu t+2\phi-\phi_{O})$ $+ \frac{1}{2} E_{0R} E_{0B} E_{0O} \cos{(2\pi\nu t + \phi_0)}. \qquad (14.16)$

Three terms describe the light issuing from the

hologram; the first can be rewritten as $\frac{1}{2}(E_{OB}^{2}+E_{OO}^{2})E_{R}(x, y),$

and is an amplitude-modulated version of the reconand is an amplitude normalized value of the bologram functions as a diffraction grating, and this is again the *zeroth-order*, undeflected, direct beam. Since it contains no information about the phase of the object wave, ϕ_{O} ,

it is of little concern here. The next two or side-band waves are the sum and difference terms, respectively. These are the two frst-order waves diffracted by the grating-like hologram. The

first of these (i.e., the sum term) represents a way except for a multiplicative constant, has the same tude as the object wave $E_{00}(x, y)$. Moreover, it contains a $2\phi(x, y)$ contribution, which, as you contains a $x_{i}(x_{j})$ contradict, which, as yo arose from tilting the background and recom-wavefronts with respect to Σ_{jr} . It's this phase for provides the angular separation between the virtual images. Furthermore, rather than contain phase of the object wave, the sum term com negative. Thus it's a wave carrying all of the appr information about the object but in a way the information about the object but in a way the so-quite right. Indeed, this is the real image forma-converging light in the space beyond the holograms, is, between it and the viewer. The negative phase is manifest in an inside-out image something like the pseudoscopic effect occurring when the elements of a photographic stereo pair are interchanged. Burng appear as indentations, and object points that were ful front of and nearer to Σ_H are now imaged nearer to but beyond Σ_r . Thus a point on the original subject Note that the second Σ_H . This a point on the original subject closest to the observer appears farthest away in the rea-image. The scene is turned in on itself along one and in a wy that perhaps must be seen to be appreciated For example, imagine you are looking down the hole graphic conjugate image of a bowling alley. The "been row of pins, even though partially obscured by the "front" rows, are nonetheless imaged closer to the viewer than is the one-pin. Despite this, bear in mind that it's not as if you were looking at the array from behind. No light from the very backs of the pins ve ever recorded—you're seeing an inside-out front As a consequence, the conjugate image is usuall limited utility, although it can be made to have a n configuration by forming a second hologram with real image as the object.

real image as the object. The difference term in Eq. (14.16), except for a simplicative constant, has precisely the form of the on-wave $E_{o0}(x, y)$. If you were to peer into (not at) to illuminated hologram, as if i were a window look out onto the scene beyond, you would "see" the ob-exactly as if it were truly sitting there. You could mi-your head a bit and look around an item in the fol your head a bit and look around an item in the fo ground in order to see the view it had previously obstructing. In other words, in addition to confi-three-dimensionality, parallax effects are apparent (a)



Fare in no other reproducing technique (Fig. 14.45). The that you are viewing the holographic image of nganifying glass focused on a page of print. As you we your cye with respect to the hologram plane, the reds being magnified by the lens (which is itself just image) actually change, just as they would in 'real' with a 'real' lens au' 'real' print. In the case of stended scene having considerable depth, your eyes yeld have to refocus as you viewed different regions at various distances. In precisely the same way, a mera lens would have to be readjusted if you were



14.3 Holography

601

Figure 14.45 Parts (b) through (d) Figure 18.45 rarts (b) (through (c) are three different views photo-graphed from the same holo-graphic image generated by the hologram in (a). (Photoli from Smith, Principles of Holography.)

photographing different regions of the virtual image

photographing different regions of the virtual image (Fig. 14.46). There are other extremely important and interesting features that holograms display. For example, if you were standing close to a window, you could obscure all of it with, say, a piece of cardboard, except for a tiny area through which you could then peer and still see the objects beyond. The same is true of a hologram, since each small fragment of it contains information about the entire object, at least as seen from the same vantage point, and each fragment can repro-

duce, albeit with diminishing resolution, the entire image.

image. Figure 14.47 summarizes pictorially much of what's been said so far while also providing a convenient setup for actually making and viewing a hologram. Here the photographic emulsion is shown having some depth, as compared with Fig. 14.42, where it was treated as though it were purely two-dimensional. Of course, any emulsion must certainly have a finite thickness. Typically it would be about 10 μ m thick, as compared with the spatial period of the fringes, which might average around 1 μ m or so. Figure 14.48(a) is closer to the point, showing the kind of three-dimensional fringes that showing the kind of three-dimensional fringes that actually exist throughout the emulsion. For plane waves these straight parallel fringe-planes are oriented so as to bisect the angle between the reference and object waves. Realize that all the holograms considered up to now have been viewed by looking through them; they're all transmission holograms, and in each case they were made by causing the reference wave and the object wave to traverse the film from the same side. Something similar happens when the reference and



Figure 14.45 A reconstructed holographic image of a model automobile. The camera position and plane of inose and (b) (Photos from O'Shea, Callen, and Rhodes, An Introduction to Lasers and Their Applications.) were charged between (a)





plane or even spherical reference wave (provided the latter's curvature is different from that of the wavelets). An inherent problem, which these schemes therefore have in common, arises from the fact that the zone-plate radii, R_a, vary as m^{1/2} from Eq. (10.91). Thus the zone fringes are more densely packed farther from the center of each zone lens (i.e., at larger values of m). This is tantamount to an increasing spatial frequency of bright and dark rings, which must be recorded by the photographic plate. The same thing can be appreciated in the cosine-grating representation, where the spatial frequency increases with θ . Since film, no matter how fine-grained, is limited in its spatial frequency response, there will be a cutoff beyond which it cannot record data. All of this represents a built-in limitation on resolution. In contrast, if the mean frequency of the fringes could be made constant, the limitations im posed by the photographic medium would be considerably reduced, and the resolution correspondingly increased. So long as it could record the average spatial fringe frequency, even a coarse emulsion, such as Polaroid P/N, could be



Figure 14.48 (a) The interference of two plane waves traveling toward the same side to create a transmission hologram. (b) The interference of two plane waves traveling toward opposite sides to create a reflection hologram.

used without extensive loss of resolution. Figure 14.49 shows an arrangement that accomplishes just like by having the diffracted object wavelets interfere (with a spherical reference wave of about the same curvator transform hologram (in this specific instance, if you high-resolution *lansless* variety). This scheme is denote to have the reference wave cancel the quadratiflens type) dependence of the phase with possible Z_{μ} . But that will occur precisely only for a planar two-dimensional object. In the case of a threedimensional object. The the case of a threedimensional object. The state of the state of the same plane, and the resulting hologram is therefore composite of both types, that is, a zone lens and generated by a Fourier-transform hologram arin the same plane, and oriented as if reflected the origin (Fig. 14.51).

the origin (Fig. 14.51). The grating-like nature of all previous holograms evident here as well. In fact, if you look through Fourier-transform hologram at a small white-ligh



Source (a flashlight in a dark room works beautifully), you see the two mirror images, but they are extremely gue and surrounded by bands of spectral colors. The miliarity with white light that has passed through a utifung is unmistakable.*

DeVelis and Reynolds, Theory and Applications of Holography, Oke, An Introduction to Coherent Optics and Holography: Goodman, Totaction to Fourier Optics, Smith, Principles of Holography, or perperturbed in the Internet Optics of Holography, edited by E. R. Robertson M. Harvey. 14.3 Holography 605

Figure 14.49 Lensless Fourier transform holography (a transparent object).

14.3.2 Developments and Applications

For years holography was an invention in search of application, that notwithstanding certain obvious possibilities, such as the all too inevitable 3-D billboard. Fortunately, several significant technological developments have in recent times begun what will surely be an ongoing extension of the scope and utility of holography. The early efforts in the field were typified by countless images of toy cars and trains, chess pieces and



Figure 14.50 Lensless Fourier transform holography (an opaque object).

statuettes---small objects resting on giant blocks of granite. They had to be small because of limited laser power and coherence length, while the ever-present wibrations that might blur the fringes and thereby degrade or obliterate the stored data. A loud sound or gust of air could result in deterioration of the reconstructed image by causing the photographic plate, object, or mitrors to shift several millionths of an inch during the exposure, which itself might last of the order of a minute or so. That was the still-life era of holography. But now, with the use of new, more sensitive films and the short duration (~40 m)s high-power light flashes from a single-mode pulsed ruby laser, even portraiture and sup-action holography have become a reality* (Fig. 14.52).

Throughout the 1960s and much of the 1970s the emphasis in the field was on the obvious visual wonders of holography. This continues in the 1980s with the mass production of over a hundred million inexpensive

* L. D. Siebert, Appl. Phys. Letters 11, 326 (1957), and R. G. Zech and L. D. Siebert, Appl. Phys. Letters 13, 417 (1968). plastic reflection holograms (bonded to credit cartist tucked in candy packages; decorating magazine cover jewelry, and record albums). Indeed, the treent (19) development of a photopolymer that is stable, chern and able to produce high-quality images will stimular the manufacture of even more of these throway holograms. Still there is now a widespread recognize of the potential of holography as a nonpictorinstrumentality, and that new direction is finding increasingly important applications.

i) Volume Holograms

Yuri Nikolayevitch Denisyuk of the Soviet Union, in 1962, introduced a scheme for generating hologra that was conceptually similar to the early (1891) do photographic process of Gabriel Lippmann. In bis the object wave is reflected from the subject and pregates backward, overlapping the incoming coherebackground wave. In so doing, the two waves set up three-dimensional pattern of standing waves, as in 1.4.48. The spatial distribution of fringes is recorded the photoemulsion throughout its entire thickness to



Figure 14.51 A reconstruction of a Fourier transform holograph [From G. W. Stroke, D. Brumm, and A. Funkhauser, J. Opt. Soc. 4 55, 1327 (1965).]

form what has become known as a volume hologram. geveral variations have since heen introduced, but the basic ideas are the same; rather than generating a twoinnensional grating-like scattering structure, the volume hologram in a three-dimensional grating. In other words, it's a three-dimensional, modulated, periodic array of phase or amplitude objects, which represent the data. It can be recorded in several media, for example, in thick photoemulsions wherein the amplitude objects are grains of deposited silver; in botochromic glass; with halogen crystals, such as KBr, which respond to irradiation via color-center variations; or with a ferroelectric crystal, such as lithium niobate, which undergoes local alterations in its index of refraction, thus forming what might be called a phase volume hologram. In any event, one is left with a volume array of data, however stored in the medium, which in the reconstruction process behaves very much like a crystal being irradiated by x-rays. It scatters the incident (Po2.7). This isn't very surprising, since both the scattering centers and A have simply been scaled up propobanacity.

One important feature of volume holograms is the interdependence [via Bragg's law, $2d \sin \theta = m\lambda$



Figure 14.52 A reconstruction of a holographic portrait. (Photo Duritary L. D. Siebert.)

14.3 Holography 607

(10.71)] of the wavelength and the scattering angle; that is, only a given color light will be diffracted at a particular angle by the hologram. Another significant property is that by successively altering the incident angle (or the wavelength), a single volume medium can store a great many coexisting holograms at one time. This latter property makes such systems extremely appealing as densely packed memory devices. For example, an 8mm-thick hologram has been used to store 550 pages of information, each individually retrievable. In theory a single lithium niobate crystal is capable of easily storing thousands of holograms, and any one of them could be replayed by addressing the crystal with a laserbeam at the appropriate angle. Current research is also focusing on potasitum tantalate niobate (KTM) as a potential photorefractive crystal-storage medium. Imagine a 3-D holographic motion picture; a library; or everyone's vital statistics—beauty marks, credit cards, taxes, bad habits, income, life history, and so on, all recorded on a handful of smalt transparent crystals.

Multicolored reconstructions have been formed using (black and white) volume holographic plates. Two, three, or more different colored and multually incoheent overlapping laserbeams are used to generate separate, cohabitating, component holograms of the object, and this can be done one at a time or all at once. When these are illuminated simultaneously by the various constituent beams, a multicolored image results.

Situent beams, a multicolored image results. Another important and highly promising scheme, devised by G. W. Stroke and A. E. Labeyrie, is known as white-light reflection holography. Here, the reconstructing wave is an ordinary white-light beam from, say, a flashlight or projector, having a wavefront similar to the original quasimonochromatic background wave. When illuminated on the same side as the viewer, only the specific wavelength that enters the volume hologram at the proper Bragg angle is reflected off to form a reconstructed 3-D virtual image. Thus if the scene were recorded in red ascriight, only red light would presumably be reflected as an image. It is of pedagogical interest to point out, however, that the emulsion may shrink during the fixing process, and if it is not swollen hack to its original form chemically (with say triethylnolamine), the spacing of the Bragg planes, 4, decreases. That means that at a given angle 6, the reflected

wavelength will decrease proportionately. Hence, a scene recorded in He-Ne red might play back in orange or even green when reconstructed by a beam of white light.

If several overlapping holograms corresponding to different wavelengths are stored, a multicolored image will result. The advantages of using an ordinary source of white light to reconstruct full-color 8-D images are obvious and far-reaching.

ii) Holographic Interferometry

One of the most innovative and practical of recent holographic advances is in the area of interferometry. Three distinctive approaches have proved to be quite useful in a wealth of nondestructive testing situations where, for example, one might wish to study microinch distortions in an object resulting from strain, vibration, heat, etc. In the *double exposure* technique, one simply makes a hologram of the undisturbed object and then, before processing, exposes the hologram for a second time to the light coming from the now distorted object. The ultimate result is two overlapping reconstructed waves, which proceed to form a fringe pattern indicative of the displacements suffered by the object, that is, the changes in optical path length (Fig. 14.53). Variations in index such as those arising in wind tunnels and the like will generate the same sort of pattern.

In the real-time method, the subject is left in its original position throughout; a processed hologram is formed, and the resulting virtual image is made to overlap the object precisely (Fig. 14.54). Any distortions that arise during subsequent testing show up, on looking through the hologram, as a system of fringes, which can be studied as they evolve in real time. The method applies to both opaque and transparent objects. Motion pictures can be taken to form a continuous record of the response

The third method is the time-average approach and is particularly applicable to rapid, small-amplitude, oscillatory systems. Here the film plate is exposed for a relatively long duration, during which time the vibrat-ing object has executed a numbr of oscillations. The resulting hologram can be thought of as a superposition of a multiplicity of images, with the effect that a stand-



Figure 14.53 Double exposure holographic interferogram, S. M. Zivi and G. H. Humberstone, "Chest Motion Visuali Holographic Interferometry," *Medical Research Eng.* p. 5 (June)

ing-wave pattern emerges. Bright areas reveal undeflected or stationary nodal regions, while comb lines trace out areas of constant vibrational amplitu Especially promising in the field of nondestruc testing is the commercial availability (1983) of a h graphic system that records on erasble thermople film. The holograms are produced in less than seconds after exposure, and the plate can be reu hundreds of times. Today holographic testing in industry. It continues to serve in a broad ran applications, from noise reduction in automobile missions to routine jet engine inspections.



Figure 14.54 Real-time holographic interferometry.

6 Acoustical Holegraphy

acoustical holography, an ultra-high-frequency wave (ultrasound) is used to create the hologram tially, and a laserbeam then serves to form a recognumble reconstructed image. In one application, the lationary ripple pattern on the surface of a water body replet patient on the surface of a water body moned by submerged coherent translatouers corre-ponds to a hocogram of the object beneath (Fig. 14.55). hocographing it creates a hologram that can be illumi-tical optically to form a visual image. Alternatively, the upples can be irradiated from above with a laserram to produce an instantaneous reconstruction dected light.

The advantages of acoustical techniques reside in the The advantages of acoustical techniques reside in the Sic that sound waves can propagate considerable dis-tances in dense liquids and solids where light cannot. Thus acoustical holograms can record such diverse hings as underwater submarines and internal body trans." In the case of Fig. 14.55, one would see some-

C. A. F. Methereil, "Acoustical Holography," Sci. Am. 221, 36 Woher 1969), Refer to A. L. Dalisa et al., "Photoanodic Engraving Holograms on Silicon," Appl. Phys. Letters 17, 208 (1970), for Wher interesting use of surface relief patterns.

14.3 Holography 609

thing that resembled an x-ray motion picture of the tunng that resembled an x-ray motion picture of the fish. Figure 14.56 is the image of a penny formed via acoustical holography using ultrasound at a frequency of 48 MHz. In water that corresponds to a wavelength of roughly 30 µm, and so each fringe contour reveals a change in elevation of $\frac{1}{2}$ Å or 15 µm.

iv) Holographic Optical Elements

iv) Holographic Optical Elements Evidently when two plane waves overlap, as in Fig. 14.42, they produce a crisine grating. This suggests the rather obvious notion that holography can be used for nonpictorial purposes, like making diffraction gratings. Indeed the holographic optical element (HOE) is any diffractive device consisting of a "friinge" system (i.e., a distribution of diffracting amplitude or phase objects) created either directly by interferometry or by com-puter simulation thereof. Holographic diffraction grat-ings, both blazed and sinusoidal, are available commer-cially (with up to around 3600 lines/mm). Although still less efficient than ruled gratings, they do produce far less stray light, which can be important in many applica-tions. tions.



Figure 14.55 Acoustical holography.



Figure 14.56 Interferometric image of a penny via acoustical holography. (Photo courtesy Holosonics, Inc.)

Suppose we record the interference pattern of a converging heam using a planar reference wave. Upon reilluminating the resulting transmission hologram with a matching plane wave, out will come a recreated converging wave—the hologram will function like a lens (see Fig. 14.99). Similarly, if the reference beam is a diverging wave, ther resulting hologram, reilluminated by the point source, will play back a plane wave. In this way a holographic optical element can perform the tasks of a complex lens with the added benefit of allowing for an inexpensive, lightweight, compact system design. Holographic optical elements are already in use inside supermarket theck-out scanners that automatically read the bar patterns of the Universal Product Code (UPC) on merchandise. A laserbeam passes through a rotating disk composed of a number of holographic lena-prism facets. These rapidly refocus, shift, and scan the beam across a volume of space, ensuring that the cockpits. These allow reflected data to appear on an otherwise transparent screen in front of the pilot's face and yet not obscure the view. They're also in office copy machines and solar concentrators. As matched spatial filters, HOEs are used in opticat correlators (p. 505) to spot defects in semiconduction and tanks in reconnaissmer pittures. In such cache HOE is a hologram formed using the Fourier transfer of the target (e.g., a picture of a tank or perfave printed word) as the object. Suppose the problem find a word on a printed page automatically, using optical computer like that in Fig. 148, that is, to excorrelate the word and the page of words. The target transform hologram is placed in the transform place and illuminated with the transform of an entire page of print. The field amplitude energing from this HOW filter will then be proportional to the product of the transforms of the page and the word. The transform of this product, generated by the last lens and display on the image plane, is the desired cross-correlated (recall the Wiener-Khintchine theorem). If the word on the page, there will be a high correlation, and a bright spot of light will appear superimposed in the final image verywhere the target word occurs.

In the spot of again with the product word occurs.⁴ It is possible to synthesize, point by point, a hologram of a fictitious object. In other words, in the most digaproach holograms can be produced by calculating with a digital computer, the irradiance distribution would arise were some object appropriately illumination in a hypothetical recording session. A comparison controlled plotter drawing or cathoder any tube reaction of the interferogram is then photographed, then serve as the actual hologram. The result upon Illumination is a three-dimensional reconstructed image of the interferogram is then photographed, then serve as the actual hologram. The result upon Illumination is a three-dimensional reconstructed image of the first place. More practically, computer-generated HOEs are now routinely being produced, often to serve as references for optical testing. Since this mating of these essentially impossible to produce, the future is very promising.

14.4 NONLINEAR OPTICS

Generally, the domain of nonlinear optics is understood to encompass those phenomena for which electrican magnetic field intensities of higher powers thank

* See A. Ghatak and K. Thyagarajan, Contemporary Optics, p. 224

play a dominant role. The Kerr effect (Section 8.11.3), which is a quadratic variation of refractive index with applied voltage, and thereby electric field, is typical of general long-known nonlinear effects. The usual classical treatment of the propagation of

hight-superposition, reflection, refrection, and so forth-ssumes a linear relationship between the elecromagnetic light field and the responding atomic sysrem constituting the medium. But just as an oscillatory mechanical device (e.g., a weighted spring) can be overdriven into nonlinear response through the application of large enough forces, so too we might anticipate that an extremely intense beam of light could generate appreciable nonlinear optical effects. The electric fields associated with light beams from ordinary or, if you will, traditional sources are far too small for such behavior to be easily observable. It was for this reason, coupled with an initial lack of technical provess, that the subject had to await the advent of the laser in order that sufficient brute force could be brought to bear in the optical region of the spectrum. As an example of the kinds of fields readily obtainable with the current technology, consider that a good lens can focus a laserbeam down to a spot having a diameter of about 0⁻⁵ inch orso, which corresponds to an area of roughly D⁻⁵ m⁻⁷. A 200-megawatt pulse from, say, a Q-witcher ruby laser would then produce a flux density of 20 × 10⁶ Wm⁹. It follows (Problem 14.18) from Section 3.1 that the corresponding electric field amplitude is given by

 $E_0 = 27.4 \left(\frac{I}{n}\right)^{1/2}$.

(14.17)

In this particular case, for $n\approx 1$, the field amplitude is about $1,2\times 10^6$ V/m. This is more than enough to cause the breakdown of air (roughly 3×10^6 V/m) and just giveral orders of magnitude less than the typical fields folding a crystal together, the latter being roughly about the same as the cohesive field on the electron in a dydrogen atom $(5\times 10^{11}$ V/m). The availability of these and even greater $(10^{12}$ V/m) fields has made possible a wide range of important new nonlinear phenomena and devices. We shall limit this discussion to the consideration of several nonlinear phenomena associated with passive media (i.e., media that act essential) as paralysts without making their own characteristic

14.4 Nonlinear Optics 611

frequencies evident). Specifically, we'll consider optical rectification, optical harmonic generation, frequency mixing, and self-focusing of light. In contrast, stimulated Raman, Rayleigh, and Brillouin scattering (Section 13.8) exemplify nonlinear optical phenomena arising in active media that do impose their characteristic frequencies on the lightwave.⁴ As you may recall (Section 3.5.1), the electromagnetic

As you may recail (Section 3.5.1), the electromagnetic field of a lightwave propagating through a medium exerts forces on the loosely bound outer or valence electrons. Oredinarily these forces are quite small, and in a linear isotropic medium the resulting electric polarization is parallel with and directly proportional to the applied field. In effect, the polarization follows the field; if the latter is harmonic, the former will be harmonic as well. Consecuently. one can write

$$P = \epsilon_0 \chi E$$
, (14.)

where χ is a dimensionless constant known as the electric susceptibility, and a plot of P versus E is a straight line. Quite obviously in the extreme case of very high fields, we can expect that P will become saturated; in other words, it simply cannot increase linearly indefinitely with E (just as in the familiar case of ferromagnetic materials, where the magnetic moment becomes saturated at fairly low values of H). Thus we can anticipate a gradual increase of the ever-present, but usually insignificant, nonlinearity as E increases. Since the directions of P and E coincide in the simplest case of an isotropic medium, we can express the polarization more effectively as a series expansion:

$$P = \epsilon_0 (\chi E + \chi_2 E^2 + \chi_3 E^3 + \cdots), \qquad (14.19)$$

The usual linear susceptibility, χ , is much greater than the coefficients of the nonlinear terms χ_2 , χ_3 , and so on, and hence the latter contribute noticeably only at high-amplitude fields. Now suppose that a lightwave of the form

$E = E_0 \sin \omega t$

is incident on the medium. The resulting electric

* For a more extensive treatment than is possible here, see N. Bloembergen, Nonlinear Optics, or G. C. Baldwin, An Introduction to Nonlinear Optics.

polarization

 $P = \epsilon_0 \chi E_0 \sin \omega t + \epsilon_0 \chi_2 E_0^2 \sin^2 \omega t + \epsilon_0 \chi_3 E_0^2 \sin^3 \omega t + \cdots$ (14.20) can be rewritten as $P = \epsilon_0 \chi E_0 \sin \omega t + \frac{\epsilon_0 \chi_2}{2} E_0^2 (1 - \cos 2\omega t)$

 $+\frac{\epsilon_0\chi_3}{4}E_0^3(3\sin\omega t-\sin 3\omega t)+\cdots, \quad (14.21)$

As the harmonic lightwave sweeps through the medium, it creates what might be thought of as a polarization wave, that is, an undulating redistribution of charge within the material in response to the field. If only the linear term were effective, the electric polarization wave would correspond to an oscillatory current following along with the incident light. The light thereafter reradiated in such a process would be the usual refracted wave generally propagating with a reduced speed a and having the same frequency as the incident light. In contrast, the presence of higher-order terms in Eq. (14.20) implies that the polarization wave certainly does have the same harmonic profile as the incident field. In fact, Eq. (14.21) can he likened to a Fourier series representation of the distored profile of P(t).

14.4.1 Optical Rectification

The second term in Eq. (14.21) has two components of great interest. First there is a dc or constant bias polarization varying as E_{5}^{5} . Consequently, if an intense planepolarized beam traverses an appropriate (piezoelectric) crystal, the presence of the quadratic nonlinearity will, in part, be manifest by a constant electric polarization of the medium. A voltage difference, proportional to the beam's flux density, will accordingly appear across the crystal. This effect, in analogy to its radiofrequency counterpart, is known as optical rectification.

14.4.2 Harmonic Generation

The $\cos 2\omega t$ term (14.21) corresponds to a variation in electric polarization at twice the fundamental frequency (i.e., at twice that of the incident wave). The reradiated light that arises from the driven oscillators also have component at this same frequency, 2w, and the prove is spoken of as second-harmonic generation, or Sic for short. In terms of the photons representation we envision two identical photons of energy Ab coallewithin the medium to form a single photon of energy A2w. Peter A. Franken and several coverkers at the University of Michigan in 1961 were the first to obscir SHG experimentally. They focused a 3-kW pulse of fre (594.3 nm) ruby laserlight onto a quarte. crystalabout one part in 10° of this incident wave was convergented to the 347.15-nm ultraviolet second harmonic.

to the 347.15-nm ultraviolet second harmonic. Notice that, for a given material, if P(E) is an eff function, that is, if reversing the direction of the E-ford simply reverses the direction of P, the even power E in Eq. 14.19 must vanish. But this is just what happen in an isotropic medium, such as giass or water—turtare no special directions in al lquid. Morever, in crystal like calcite, which are so structured as to have what's known as a center of symmetry of an inversion center, a reversal of all of the coordinate axes must leave the interrelationships between physical quantities unaltered. Thus no even harmonics can be produced by materials of this sort. Third-harmonic generation (THC), however, can exist and has been observed, for example, in calcite. The requirement for SHG that a crystal not have inversion symmetry is also necessar for it to be piccolectric. Under pressure a piczoelectric CKDP), or annonium dihydrogen phosphat (KDP) can annonium dihydrogen phosphat (AD). Undergoes an asymmetric distortion of its charge diffibution, thus producing a voltage. Of the 32 cryst classes, 20 are of this kind and may therefore be used in SHG. The simple scalar expression (14.19) is actual mot an adequate description of a typical dielectric crysts. Things are a good deal more complicated, because theid components in asyewral different directions in a crystal can affect the electric polarization in any one direction. A complete treatment requires that P and E be related not by a single scalar but by a group of quantities arranged in the particular form of a tensor, namely, the susceptibility tensor."

Incidentally, there is nothing extraordinary about this any behavior—it comes up all the time. There are inertia tensors, define nerization coefficient tensors, stress tensors, and so forth. A major difficulty in generating copious amounts of second-harmonic light arises from the frequency depengence of the refractive index, that is, dispersion. At me initial point where the incident or a-wave, generlet the second-harmonic or 2ω -wave, the two are coherent. As the a-wave propagates through the crystal, is continues to generate additional contributions of second-harmonic light, which all combine totally contractively only if they maintain a proper phase relationhigh. Yet the a-wave travels at a phase velocity, us, which ordinarily different from the phase velocity, us, which ordinarily different from the phase velocity, us, of the 2a-wave. Thus the newly emitted second harmonic periodically falls out of phase with some of the presecond harmonic, $I_{2\omega}$, emerging from a plate of michness ℓ is computed² it turns out to be

$$f_{2\omega} \propto \frac{\sin^2 \left[2\pi (n_\omega - n_{2\omega})\ell/\lambda_0\right]}{(n_\omega - n_{2\omega})^2}$$

(14.22)

(11 28)

(see Fig. 14.57). This yields the result that $I_{2\omega}$ has its maximum value when $\ell = \ell_e$, where

$$\ell_c = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_p}{|n_m - n_{Rm}|}.$$

This is quite commonly known as the coherence length inhough a different name would perhaps be better), and it's usually of the order of only about 200a. Despite this, efficient SHG can be accomplished by a procedure nown as index matching, which negates the undesirable effects of dispersion; in short, one arranges things so that $u_{n} = u_{2n}$. A commonly used SHG material is KDP. It is piezoelectric, transparent, and also negatively inaxially birefringent. Furthermore, it has the interestge property that if the fundamental light is a linear colarized ordinary usue. As can be seen from Fig. 14.38, if light propagates within a KDP crystal at the percific angle θ_0 with respect to the optic axis, the index, u_{2n} . The second-harmonic wavelets will then interfere constructively, thereupon increasing the conversion

for example, B. Lengyel, Introduction to Laser Physics, Chapter







Figure 14.57 Second harmonic generation as a function of θ for a 0.78-mm thick quarte plate. Peaks occur when the effective thickness is an even multiple of ℓ_{+} [Form P. D. Maker, R. W. Terhune, M. Nisenoff, and C. M. Savage, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 8, 21 (1962).]

efficiency by several orders of magnitude. Secondharmonic genrators, which are simply appropriately cut and oriented crystals, are available commercially, but do keep in mind that θ_0 is a function of λ , and each such device performs at one frequency. Not long ago, a continuous 1-W second-harmonic beam at 592.3 mm was obtained by placing a barium sodium niobate crystal within the cavity of a 1-W 1.06µ laser. The fact that the *w*-wave sweeps back and forth through the crystal increases the net conversion efficiency.

Optical harmonic generation soon lost its initial exotic quality and became a routine commercial process





Figure 14.58 Refractive index surface for KDP. (b) $I_{2\omega}$ versus crystal orientation in KDP. (From Maker et al.)

by the early 1980s. Still, there continue to be exciting by the early 1980s. Still, there continue to be excitin-technical accomplishments, such as the 74-cm-diam-harmonic conversion array (Fig. 14.59) built foi Nova laser-fusion program. Its function is to conve upwards of 80% of the infrared (1.05 µm) emissi-from the neodymium-glass laser (Fig. 14.37) into mo efficient high-frequency radiation. Because of its presize the converter is an aligned mosaic of smaller single-crystal panels forming two layers, one behin other. To generate the second harmonic (green other. To generate the second harmonic (green has at 0.59 µm), the array is positioned so that each have functions independently to produce two overlapping frequency-shifted components. These arise one from each crystal layer and are orthogonally polarized. The third harmonic (blue light at 0.35 µm) is created by neariseting the assembly to the appropriate phase. third harmonic (blue light at 0.35 µm) is created by reorienting the assembly to the appropriate phase-matching angle so as to shift about two thirds of the beam energy into the second harmonic as it traverses the first crystal layer. The second layer mixes the remaining IR and the second-harmonic green light to produce third-harmonic blue.

14.4.3 Frequency Mixing

Another situation of considerable practical interesp involves the mixing of two or more primary beams of different frequencies within a nonlinear dielectric. The process can most easily be appreciated by substituting a wave of the form

(14.24) $E = E_{01} \sin \omega_1 t + E_{02} \sin \omega_2 t$ into the simplest expression for P given by Eq. [34,19]. The second-order contribution is then

 $\epsilon_0 \chi_2 (E_{01}^2 \sin^2 \omega_1 t + E_{02}^2 \sin^2 \omega_2 t$

 $+ 2E_{01}E_{02}\sin\omega_1 t\sin\omega_2 t$). The first two terms can be expressed as functions of 2 ω_1 and 2 ω_2 , respectively, while the last quantity gives rise to sum and difference terms, $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ and ω_1 . As for the quantum picture, the photon of frequence $\omega_1 + \omega_2$, simply corresponds to a coalescing of the arguing photons into a new photon, just as it did in the case of SHG, where both quanta had the same



Figure 14.59 The KDP frequency converter for the Nova laser. @hoto courtesy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.)

uency. The energy and momentum of the annihiand photons are carried off by the created sum photon. The generation of an $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ difference-photon is a little more involved. Conservation of energy and omentum requires that on interacting with an que photon, only the higher-frequency ω_1 -photon vanishes, hereby creating two new quanta, one an ω_2 -photon and the other a difference-photon.

As an application of this phenomenon, suppose we Peat, within a nonlinear crystal, a strong wave of

14.4 Nonlinear Optics 615

frequency ω_p , called the *pump light*, with a weak signal wave of lower frequency ω_i , which is to be amplified. Pump light is thereby converted into both signal light and a difference wave, called *idler light*, of frequency $\omega_i = \omega_p - \omega_i$. If the idler light is then made to beat with the pump light, the latter is converted into additional amounts of idler and signal light. In this way both the signal and idler waves are amplified. This is actually an extension into the optical-frequency region of the wellknown concept of *parametric amplification*, whose use in the microwave spectrum dates back to the late 1940s. The first *optical-parametric oscillator*, which was operated in 1965, is depicted in Fig. 14.60. The flat parallel end faces of a nonlinear crystal (lithium niobate) were coated to form an optical Fabry-Perot cavity. The signal and idler frequencies (both about 1000 nm) corresponded to two of the resonant frequencies of the cavity. When the flux density of the pumping light was high enough, energy was transferred from it into the signal and idler oscillatory modes, with the consequent build-up of those modes and emission of coherent radiant energy at those frequencies. This transfer of energy from one wave to another within a lossless medium typifies parametric processes. By changing the refractive index of the crys-tal (via temperature, electric field, etc.), the oscillator becomes tunable. Various oscillator configurations have since evolved, with other nonlinear materials used as well, such as barium sodium niobate. The optical para-metric oscillator is a laser-like, broadly tunable source of coherent radiant energy in the IR to the UV.

14.4.4 Self-Focusing of Light

When a dielectric is subjected to an electric field that varies in space, in other words, when there is a gradient of the field parallel to **P**, an internal force will result. This has the effect of altering the density, changing the permittivity, and thereby varying the refractive index. and this in both linear and nonlinear isotropic media Suppose then that we shine an intense laserbeam with a transverse Gaussian flux-density distribution onto a specimen. The induced refractive-index variations will cause the medium in the region of the beam to function much as if it were a positive lens. Accordingly, the beam



notion of spatial frequency, from Eq. (11.64), show that k_O at the object plane is related to k_I at the image plane by

$k_I = k_O(f_i/f_i)$

What does this mean with respect to the size of the image when $f_i > f_i^2$ What can then be said about the spatial periods of the input data as compared with the image output?

14.7 A diffraction grating having a merc 50 grooves per cm is the object in the optical computer shown in Fig. 14.9. If it is coherently illuminated by plane waves of green light (543.5 nm) from a He-Ne laser and each lens has a 100-cm focal length, what will be the spacing of the diffraction spots on the transform plane?

14.8° Imagine that you have a cosine grating (i.e., a transparency whose amplitude transmission profile is cosinusoidal) with a spatial period of 0.01 mm. The grating is illuminated by quasimonochromatic plane waves of $\lambda = 500$ nm, and the setup is the same as that of Fig. 14.9, where the focal lengths of the transform and imaging lenses are 2.0m and 1.0m, respectively.

a) Discuss the resulting pattern and design a filter that will pass only the first-order terms. Describe it in detail

b) What will the image look like on Σ_i with that filter in place?

c) How might you pass only the dc term, and what would the image look like then?

14.9 Suppose we insert a mask in the transform plane of the previous problem, which obscures everything but the m = +1 diffraction contribution. What will the reformed image look like on $\Sigma_i \ge \text{Explain your reasoning}$. Now suppose we remove only the m = +1 or the m = -1 term. What will the reformed image look like?

14.10* Referring to the previous two problems with the cosine grating oriented horizontally, make a sketch of the electric field amplitude along y with no filtering. Plot the corresponding image irradiance distribution. What will the electric field of the image look like if the d term is filtered out? Plot it. Now plot the new irradiance distribution. What can you say about the spatian frequency of the image with and without the filter in place? Relate your answers to Fig. 11.13.

14.11 Replace the cosine grating in the previous prelem with a "square" bar grating, that is, a series of prefine alternating opaque and transparent bands of width. We now filter out all terms in the transforplane but the zeroth and the two first-order diffraction spots. These we determine to have relative irradiance of 1.00, 0.36, and 0.36: compare them with Figs. 7.156 and 7.16. Derive an expression for the general state of the irradiance distribution on the image plane -mits a sketch of it. What will the resulting fringe system like?

like? 14.12 A fine square wire mesh with 50 wires per em is placed vertically in the object plane of the optical computer of Fig. 14.8. If the lenses each have 1.00cm focal lengths, what must be the illuminating waveleng if the diffraction spoto on the transform plane are have a horizontal and vertical separation of 2.0 mm? What will be the mesh spacing as it appears on the inace plane?

14.13* Imagine that we have an opaque mask into which are punched an ordered array of circular holes all of the same size, located as if at the corners of the boxes of a checkerboard. Now suppose our robox puncher goes mad and makes an additional batch of holes essentially randomly all across the mask. If this screen is now made the object in Problem. 14.11, what will the diffraction pattern look like? Given that the ordered holes are separated from their nearest neighbors on the object by 0.1 mm, what will be the spatial frequency of the corresponding dots in the inare Describe a filter that will remove the random holes for the final image.

14.14* Imagine that we have a large photographil transparency on which there is a picture of a studer made up of a regular array of small circular dots, the same size, but each with its own density, so the passes a spot of light with a particular field amplitum Considering the transparency to be illuminated by a set of the same size of the same set of the same set of the same set. plane wave, discuss the idea of representing the electric field amplitude just beyond it as the product (on sverage) of a regular two-dimensional array of top-hat functions (Fig. 11.4, p. 476) and the continuous twodimensional picture function: the former like a dull bed of nails, the latter an ordinary photograph. Applying the frequency convolution theorem, what does the distribution of light look like on the transform plane? How might it be filtered to produce a continuous output image?

14.15^{*} Given that a ruby laser operating at 694.3 nm has a frequency bandwidth of 50 MHz, what is the corresponding linewidth?

14.16^{*} Determine the frequency difference between adjacent axial resonant cavity modes for a typical gas laser 25 cm long $(n \approx 1)$.

14.17 A He-Ne c-w laser has a Doppler-broadened transition bandwidth of about 1.4 GHz at 652.8 nm. Assuming n = 1.0, determine the maximum cavity length for single-axial-mode operation. Make a sketch of the transition linewidth and the corresponding cavity modes.

14.18 Show that the maximum electric field intensity, F_{max} , that exists for a given irradiance I is

$$E_{\rm max} = 27.4 \left(\frac{I}{n}\right)^{1/2}$$
 in units of V/m,

where n is the refractive index of the medium.

14.19* The arrangement shown in Fig. 14.66 is used to convert a collimated laserbeam into a spherical wave. The pinhole cleans up the beam; that is, it eliminates diffraction effects due to dust and the like on the lens. How does it manage it?

14.20 What would happen to the speckle pattern if a laserbeam were projected onto a suspension such as milk rather than onto a smooth wall?







Figure 14.66 (a) and (b) A high-power laserbeam before and after spatial filtering. (Phoso courtesy Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.)

318

Appendix 1 **Electromagnetic Theory**

MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS IN DIFFERENTIAL FORM

The set of integral expressions that have come to be known s Maxwell's equations are

H' B ⁼ U,

where the units, as usual, are SI. Maxwell's equations can be written in a differential form, which is more useful for deriving the wave aspects of the electromagnetic field. This transition can readily be accomplished by making use of two theorems from vector calculus, namely, Gauss's divergence theorem,

$$\iint_{A} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iiint_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dV \qquad (A1.1)$$

and Stokes's theorem

(A1.2)

$$\oint_{G} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{I} - \iint_{A} \nabla \times \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}.$$

Here the quantity F is not one fixed vector, but a function that depends on the position variables. It is a rule that associates a single vector, for example, in

Cartesian coordinates, F(x, y, z), with each point (x, y, z) in space. Vector-valued functions of this kind, such as **E and B**, are known as vector fields. Applying Stokes's theorem to the electric field intensity, we have

$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \iint \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S}.$$

If we compare this with Eq. (3.5), it follows that f (and 6.6

$$\int \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = - \int \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}. \qquad (61.5)$$

(A1.3)1

(A1.5)

(AI.6)

(A1.7)

This result must be true for all surfaces bounded by the path C. This can only be the case if the integrands are themselves equal, that is, if

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

A similar application of Stokes's theorem to B, using Eq. (3.13), results in

$$\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} = \mu \left(\mathbf{J} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right).$$

Gauss's divergence theorem applied to the electric intensity yields ...

$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iiint \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \, dV.$$

If we make use of Eq. (3.7), this becomes

$$\iiint_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \, dV = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \iiint_{V} \rho \, dV, \qquad (A1.)$$

and since this is to be true for any volume (i.e., for an and shire a closed domain), the two integrands must be equal. Consequently, at any point (x, y, z, t) in space-time

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho/\epsilon.$$
 (A1.9)

in the same fashion Gauss's divergence theorem applied to the B-field and combined with Eq. (3.9) yields $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.$ (A1.10)

Equations (A1.5), (A1.6) (A1.9), and (A1.10) are Max-eell's equations in differential form. Refer back to Eqs. (3.18) through (3.21) for the simple case of Cartesian coordinates and free space ($\rho = j = 0, \epsilon = \epsilon_0, \mu = \mu_0$).

ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES

To derive the electromagnetic wave equation in its most general form, we must again consider the presence of some medium. We saw in Section 3.5.1 that there is a generation vector P which is geed to introduce the *polarization* vector **P**, which is a measure of the overall behavior of the medium, in that is the resultant electric dipole moment per unit volume. Since the field within the inaterial has been altered, we are led to define a new field quantity, the displacement D:

$$\mathbf{D} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}. \tag{A1.1}$$

Clearly then,
$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\epsilon_0} - \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\epsilon_0}$$
.

The internal electric field E is the difference between the field D/ϵ_0 , which would exist in the absence of polarization, and the field P/ϵ_0 arising from polarizafion.

For a homogeneous, linear, isotropic dielectric, **P** and **B** are in the same direction and are mutually **propor-Bonal**. It follows that **D** is therefore also prop**ortional** to E:

 $D = \epsilon E$.

(A1.12)

Appendix z Electromagnetic Theory 621

bound polarization **charges**. If no free **charge** is present, as might be the case **in the** vicinity of **a polarized** dielec-tric or in free space, the lines of D close on themselves. Since in general the response of optical media to B-fields is only slightly different from that of a vacuum, we need not describe the process in detail. Suffice it to say that the material will become polarized. We can say that the international will become polarized, we can define a magnetic polarization or magnetization vector M as the magnetic dipole moment per unit volume. In order to deal with the influence of the magnetically polarized medium, we introduce an auxiliary vector H, traditionally known as the magnetic field intensity

$$H = \mu_0^{-1} B - M.$$
 (A1.13)

For a homogeneous, linear (nonferromagnetic), iso-tropic medium, B and H are parallel and proportional: $H = \mu^{-1}B.$ (A1.14)

Along with Eqs. (A1.12) and (A1.14), there is one more constitutive equation.

$$J = \sigma E.$$
 (A1.15)

Known as Ohm's law, it is a statement of an experimentally determined rule that holds for conductors at mentally determined rule that holds for conductors at constant temperatures. The electric field intensity, and therefore the force acting on each electron in a conduc-tor, determines the flow of charge. The constant of proportionality relating **E** and **J** is the conductivity of the particular medium, *c*. Consider the rather general environment of a linear (nonferroelectric and nonferromagnetic), homo-ments intensity medium withich is particular to the

geneous, istropic medium, which is physically at rest. By making use of the constitutive relations, we can rewrite Maxwell's equations as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = p/\epsilon \qquad [A1.9]$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad [A1.10]$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \qquad [A1.5]$$

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu \sigma \mathbf{E} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t},$ and (A1.16)

If these expressions are somehow to yield a wave equation (2.61), we had best form some second deriva-

622 Appendix 1 Electromagnetic Theory

tives with respect to the space variables. Taking the curl of Eq. (A1.16), we obtain

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \mu \sigma (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial l} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}),$$
(A1.17)

where, since **E** is assumed to be a well-behaved function, the space and time derivatives can be inter**changed**. Equation (A1.5) can be substituted to obtain the **needed** second derivative with respect to time:

$$\nabla \times \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \rangle = -\mu \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2}.$$
 (A1.18)

The vector triple product can be simplified by making use of the operator identity $\nabla \times (\nabla \times) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot) - \nabla^2 \qquad (A1.19)$

$$\label{eq:phi} \begin{split} \nabla\times(\nabla\times\) &= \nabla(\nabla+\) - \nabla^2 \end{split}$$
 so that $\nabla\times(\nabla\times B) &= \nabla(\nabla\cdot B) - \nabla^2 B, \end{split}$

 $(\nabla \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} = \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial z^2}.$

Since the divergence of **B** is zero, Eq. (A1.18) becomes $\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial r} - \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial r} = 0. \qquad (A1.20)$

$${}^{2}\mathbf{B} \quad \mu \epsilon \frac{1}{\partial t^{2}} - \mu \sigma \frac{1}{\partial t} = 0. \qquad (A1.2)$$

A similar equation is satisfied by the electric field intensity. Following essentially the same procedure as above, take the curl of Eq. (A1.5):

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}).$$

Eliminating B this becomes

 $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) = -\mu \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2},$

and then by making use of Eq. (A1.19), we

$$\nabla^{2}\mathbf{E} - \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{E}}{\partial t^{2}} - \mu\sigma \frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \nabla(\rho/\epsilon),$$

having utilized the fact that

 $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) = \nabla (\rho/\epsilon).$

For an uncharge	d medium ($\rho = 0$) an	d
72	$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{E}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{E}}$	0
v	$E = \mu e \frac{\partial t^2}{\partial t^2} \mu b \frac{\partial t}{\partial t}$	O. NEED
Equations (A1.20 tions of telegraphy In nonconduc	0) and (A1.21) are kn μ^* ting media $\sigma = 0$, and	own as the equal
become		
	$\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0$	20100
	ðt*	
	$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = 0$	(4) 83/
and similarly		
	$\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} - \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}}{\partial t^2} = 0$	tal vi.
and		
	$\nabla^{2}\mathbf{D}-\mu\epsilon\frac{\partial^{2}\mathbf{D}}{\partial t^{2}}=0.$	(A1.25)
In the special no space) where	onconducting medium	of a vacuum (free
$\rho = 0,$	$\sigma = 0, K_c = 1,$	$K_{m} = 1$,
these equations	become simply	
	$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}$	(A1.26)
and		
	$\nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial l^2}.$	(A1.27)
Both of these	xpressions describe o	oupled space- and

time-dependent fields, and both have the form of the differential wave equation (see Section 3.2 for further discussion).

* For a pair of parallel wires that might serve as a relegraph large finite wire resistance results in a power loss and joule heating electromagnetic wave advancing along the line has least and leve ofer available to it. The first-order time derivatives in Eqs. (A1.30) as (A1.21) arise from the conduction current and lead to the diagness or damping.

Appendix 2 The Kirchhoff Diffraction Theory

To solve the Helmholtz equation (10.113) suppose that we have two scalar functions U_1 and U_2 for which Green's theorem is

$$\left[\int U_1 \dot{\nabla}^2 U_2 - U_2 \nabla^2 U_1 \right] dV$$

 $= \oiint_{S} (U_{1}\nabla U_{2} - U_{2}\nabla U_{1}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}. \quad (A2.1)$ It is clear that if U_{1} and U_{2} are solutions of the Helmholtz equation, that is, if

$$\nabla^2 U_1 + k^2 U_1 = 0$$
 and
$$\nabla^2 U_2 + k^2 U_2 = 0,$$
 then

$$\begin{split} & \bigoplus_{s} (U_1 \nabla U_2 - U_2 \nabla U_1) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \qquad (A2.2) \\ \text{Let } U_1 = \mathbb{S}, \text{ the space portion of an unspecified scalar} \\ \text{Sprical disturbance (10.112). And let} \end{split}$$

ppical disturbance (10.112). And let $U_2 = \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$

where r is measured from a point *P*. Both of these choices clearly satisfy the Helmholtz equation. There is a singularity at point *P*, where r = 0, so that we surround if by a small sphere in order to exclude *P* from the maximum enclosed by *S* (see Fig. A2.1). Equation (A2.2) (the becomes

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathscr{C}\nabla\left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right) - \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\nabla \mathscr{C} \right] \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$
$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}} \left[u_{r} - \left(e^{ikr}\right) - e^{ikr} - 1 \right]$$

$$+ \bigoplus_{S'} \left[\mathscr{C}\nabla\left(\frac{e^{-r}}{r}\right) - \frac{e^{-r}}{r}\nabla\mathscr{C} \right] \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \quad (A2.3)$$

Now expand out the portion of the integral corresponding to S. On the small sphere, the unit normal \hat{n} points treased the origin at P, and

$$\nabla\left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{ik}{r}\right)e^{ikr}\hat{\mathbf{n}},$$

since the gradient is directed radially outward. In terms of the solid angle $(dS = r^2 d\Omega)$ measured at P, the integral over S' becomes

$$\iint_{S'} \left(\mathscr{C} = ik \mathscr{C} r + r \frac{\partial \mathscr{C}}{\partial r} \right) e^{ikr} \, d\Omega, \qquad (A2.4)$$

where $\nabla S \cdot dS = -(\partial S/\partial r)r^2 d\Omega$. As the sphere surrounding *P* shrinks, $r \to 0$ on *S'* and $\exp(ikr) \to 1$. Because of the continuity of *S* its value at any point on *S'* approaches its value at *P*, that is, \mathcal{B}_p . The last two terms in Eq. (A2.4) go to zero, and the integral becomes $4\pi\mathcal{B}_p$. Finally then, Eq. (A2.3) becomes

$$\mathcal{E}_{p} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\oint \int_{S} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \nabla \mathcal{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} - \oint \int_{S} \mathcal{E} \nabla \left(\frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{S} \right], \qquad (10.114)$$

which is known as the Kirchhoff integral theorem.



-	 1.11
Ta	- 1
	- 1

The Sinc Function (Sin u)/u

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

1.01.11.21.31.41.51.61.71.81.9

2.0 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9

3.0 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9

0.00

1.000000 0.998334 0.993347 0.985067 0.978546 0.958851

0.941071 0.920311 0.896695 0.870363

0.841471 0.810189 0.776699 0.741199 0.70893 0.664997 0.624784 0.583332 0.541026 0.498053

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.454649\\ 0.411052\\ 0.367498\\ 0.324220\\ 0.281443\\ 0.239389\\ 0.198270\\ 0.158289\\ 0.119639\\ 0.082500 \end{array}$

0.047040 0.013413 -0.018242 -0.047802 -0.075159 -0.100224 -0.122922 -0.143199 -0.161015 -0.161015

0.01

0.999998:

0.992666 0.972218 0.957210 0.939127 0.918076 0.894182 0.867587

0.838447

0.838447 0.806936 0.775236 0.737546 0.700971 0.661028 0.620641 0.579138 0.536755 0.493728

0.450294

0.450294 0.406691 0.363154 0.319916 0.235231 0.194217 0.154361 0.115854 0.078876

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.043592\\ 0.010157\\ -0.021294\\ -0.050638\\ -0.07770\\ -0.102601\\ -0.125060\\ -0.145092\\ -0.162661\\ -0.177747 \end{array}$

0.05

0.999983

0.991953 0.983020 0.970858

0.955539 0.937153 0.915812 0.891641 0.864784

0.835400

0.835400 0.803661 0.769754 0.733875 0.696234 0.657046 0.616537 0.574936 0.332478 0.489399

0.445937 0.402330 0.358813 0.315617 0.272967 0.251084 0.190176 0.150446 0.112084 0.075268

0.040163 0.006920 -0.024325 -0.053453 -0.080358 -0.104955 -0.127173 -0.146960 -0.164281 -0.179119

0.04

0.04 0.999733 0.990737 0.990428 0.980844 0.968044 0.952104 (0.938118 0.911200 0.886480 0.859104

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.829235\\ 0.797047\\ 0.762729\\ 0.726481\\ 0.688513\\ 0.688513\\ 0.608297\\ 0.566505\\ 0.523904\\ 0.480729\end{array}$

0.437220 0.393612 0.350141 0.307056 0.264523 0.222817 0.182130 0.142659 0.104592 0.068105

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.038361\\ 0.000507\\ -0.030324\\ -0.059014\\ -0.085465\\ -0.109591\\ -0.191326\\ -0.150622\\ -0.150622\\ -0.157448\\ -0.181788\end{array}$

0.03

0.999850 0.997186 0.991207 0.981949 0.969467 0.953836 0.935150 0.913520 0.889074 0.861957

0.832329

0.832329 0.800365 0.766251 0.730187 0.692381 0.653051 0.612422 0.570725 0.528194 0.485066

0.441579 0.397971 0.354475 0.311324 0.268741 0.226946

0.226946 0.186147 0.146546 0.108330 0.071678

0.036753 0.003704 -0.027335 -0.056245

-0.056245 -0.082923 -0.107285 -0.129262 -0.148803 -0.165877 -0.180466

0.05

0.999583 0.996254 0.989616 0.979708 0.966590 0.950340 0.931056 0.908852 0.883859 0.856227

0.826117 0.793708 0.759188 0.722758 0.684630

0.684630 0.645022 0.604161 0.562278 0.519608 0.476390

0.432860

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.432860\\ 0.389255\\ 0.345810\\ 0.302755\\ 0.260312\\ 0.218700\\ 0.178125\\ 0.138786\\ 0.100869\\ 0.064550 \end{array}$

0.029988 -0.002669 -0.033291 -0.061762 -0.087983

-0.081782 -0.087983 -0.111873 -0.133366 0.152416 -0.168994 -0.183086

9.06

0.999400 0.995739 0.988771 0.978540 0.965105 0.948547 0.928965 0.906476 0.881212 0.853325

0.822977 0.790348 0.755627 0.719018 0.680732 0.640988 0.600014 0.558042 0.515507 0.472047

0.428499

0.428499 0.384900 0.341483 0.298479 0.256110 0.214592 0.174132 0.097163 0.097163

0.061012

0.026635

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.026635 \\ -0.005825 \\ -0.036236 \\ -0.064487 \\ -0.090478 \\ -0.114131 \\ -0.135382 \\ -0.154186 \\ -0.170515 \\ -0.184358 \end{array}$

0.07

0.07 0.999184 0.995190 0.987894 0.977339 0.963588 0.946728 0.926845 0.904072 0.878539 0.850398

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.819814\\ 0.786966\\ 0.752048\\ 0.715261\\ 0.676819\\ 0.636942\\ 0.595858\\ 0.553799\\ 0.511001\\ 0.467701 \end{array}$

0.424137 0.380546 0.337161 0.294210 0.251916 0.210495 0.170152 0.131083 0.024472

0.093473 0.057492

0.023300 -0.008960 -0.039160 -0.067189 -0.092950 -0.116365 -0.137373 -0.155930 -0.172011 -0.185606

0.08

0.998934 0.994609 0.986984

0.986984 0.976106 0.962040 0.944869 0.924696 0.901640 0.875840 0.847446

0.816628

0.783564 0.783564 0.748450 0.711488 0.672892 0.632885 0.591692 0.549549 0.506689

0.463353

0.419775

0.376194 0.332842 0.289947 0.247732 0.206409 0.166185 0.127253

0.089798 0.053990

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.019985\\ -0.012075\\ -0.042063\\ -0.069868\\ -0.095398\\ -0.118575\\ -0.139339\\ -0.157650\\ -0.173482\\ -0.186829 \end{array}$

0.9939934 0.986042 0.974842 0.960461 0.942985 0.922518 0.899181 0.873114 0.844471

0.813419 0.780142 0.74483<u>3</u> 0.707698 0.668952 0.628815 0.587517 0.545291 0.502373 0.459002

0.415414 0.371845 0.828529 0.245556 0.202334 0.162230 0.128439 0.086141 0.050505

0.016689 -0.015169 -0.044943 -0.0725255 -0.097828 -0.120761 -0.141282 -0.159318 -0.174928 -0.188025

Table 1 (co	ntinued)	_	_	_						
(Sin u)/u					_					
28	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
4.0	-0.189201	-0.190349	-0.191473	-0.192573	-0.193647	-0.194698	-0.195723	-0.196724	-0.197700	-0.1986
4.1	-0.199580	-0.200483	-0.201361	-0.202216	-0.203046	-0.203851	-0.204633	-0.205390	-0.206124	-0.2068
4.2	-0.207518	-0.208179	-0.208817	-0.209480	-0.210020	-0.210586	-0.211128	-0.211647	-0.212142	-0.2126
4.3	-0.213052	-0.213487	-0.213888	-0.214267	-0.214622	-0.214955	-0.215264	-0.215550	-0.215814	-0.2160
4.4	-0.216273	-0.216469	-0.216542	-0.216793	-0.216921	-0.217028	-0.217112	-0.217174	-0.217214	-0.2172
4.5	-0.217229	-0.217204	-0.217157	-0.217089	-0.217000	-0.215889	-0.216757	-0.216604	-0.216430	-0.2162
4.0	-0.216020	-0.215784	-0.215527	-0.215250	-0.214953	-0.214635	-0.214298	-0.213940	-0.213563	-0.2131
4.7	-0.212750	-0.212314	-0.211858	-0.211584	-0.210890	-0.210377	-0.209846	-0.209296	-0.208727	-0.2081
4.8	-0.207584	-0.206911	-0.209593	~0.205609	-0.204932	-0.204236	-0.023524	-0.202794	-0.202046	-0.2012
4.9	-0.200501	-0.199702	-0.198887	-0.198056	-0.197208	-0.195344	-0.195464	-0.194568	-0.193656	-0.1927
5.0	-0.191785	-0.190826	-0.189853	-0.188864	-0.187860	-0.186841	-0.185808	-0.184760	-0.183699	-0.1826
5.1	-0.181532	-0.180428	-0.179311	-0.178179	-0.177035	-0.175877	-0.174706	-0.173522	-0.172326	-0.1711
- 5.2	-0.169895	-0.168661	-0.167435	-0.166158	-0.164888	-0.163607	-0.162314	-0.161010	-0.159695	-0.1583
5.8	-0.157032	-0.155684	-0.154326	-0.152958	-0.151579	-0.150191	-0.148792	-0.147384	-0.145967	-0.1445
5.4	-0.143105	-0.141660	-0.140206	-0.138744	-0.137273	-0.135794	-0.134307	-0.132812	-0.131309	-0.1297
5.5	-0.128280	-0.126755	-0.125222	-0.123683	-0.122137	-0.120584	-0.119024	-0.117459	-0.115887	-0.1143
5.6	-0.112726	-0.111137	-0.109543	-0.107943	-0.106338	-0.104728	-0.103114	-0.101495	-0.099871	-0.0982
5.7	-0.096611	-0.094976	-0.093336	-0.091693	-0.090046	-0.088396	-0.086743	-0.085087	-0.083429	-0.0817
5.8	-0.080104	-0.078438	-0.076770	-0.075100	-0.073428	-0.071755	-0.070080	-0.068404	-0.066726	-0.0650
5.9	-0.063369	-0.061689	-0.060009	-0.058329	-0.056648	-0.054967	-0.053287	-0.051606	-0.049927	-0.0482
6.0	-0.046569	-0.044892	-0.043216	-0.041540	-0.039867	-0.038195	-0.036524	-0.034856	-0.033189	-0.0315
6.1	-0.029868	-0.028203	-0.026546	-0.024892	-0.023240	-0.021592	-0.019947	-0.018305	-0.016667	-0.0150
6.2	-0.013402	-0.011775	-0.010152	-0.008533	-0.006919	-0.005309	-0.003703	-0.002103	-0.000507	0.0010
6.3	0.002669	0.004249	0.005824	0.007393	0.008956	0.010514	0.012066	0.013612	0.015151	0.0166
6.4	0.018211	0.019731	0.021244	0.022751	0.024250	0.025743	0.027228	0.028706	0.030177	0.0316
6.5	0.033095	0.084543	0.035983	0.037414	0.038838	0.040253	0.041661	0.043059	0.044449	0.0458
6.6	0.047203	0.048567	0.049922	0.051268	0.052604	0.053931	0.055249	0.056558	0.057857	0.0591
6.7	0.060425	0.061695	0.062955	0.064204	0.065444	0.066678	0.067892	0.069101	0.070299	0.0714
6.8	0.072664	0.073830	0.074986	0.076130	0.077264	0.078386	0.079498	0.080598	0.081688	0.0827
6.9	0.083832	0.084887	0.085980	0.086962	0.087982	0.088991	0.089987	0.090972	0.091945	0.0929
7.0	0.093855	0.094792	0.095717	0.096629	0.097530	0.098418	0.099293	0.100157	0.101008	0.1018
7.1	0.102672	0.103485	0.104286	0.105074	0.105849	0.106611	0.107361	0.108098	0.108822	0.1095
7.2	0.110232	0.110917	0.111589	0.112249	0.112895	0.113528	0.114149	0.114756	0.115350	0.1159
7.3	0.116498	0.117053	0.117594	0.118122	0.118637	0.119138	0.119627	0.120102	0.120563	0.1210
7.4	0.121447	0.121869	0.122277	0.122673	0.123055	0.123423	0.123779	0.124121	0.124449	0.1247
7.5	0.125067	0.125355	0.125631	0.125893	0.126142	0.126378	0.126600	0.126809	0.127005	0.1271
7.6	0.127358	0.127514	0.127658	0.127788	0.127905	0.128009	0.128100	0.128178	0.128245	0.1282
7.7	0.128334	0.128360	0.128373	0.128373	0.128361	0.128335	0.128297	0.128247	0.128183	0.1281
7.8	0.128018	0.127917	0.127803	0.127677	0.127539	0.127388	0.127224	0.127049	0.126861	0.1266
7.0	0.196449	0.100001	0.105099	0 195780	0.195470	0.195907	0.104002	0.194697	0.10.1200	0.10.10

624

											mille I (//	ntinuadi									
e 1 {con	tinued)	_	_	_	_	_		_			(Sin u)/u	ninaou j		_					_		-
u)/u				0.02	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0,09	N N	0.00	0.01	0-02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.64	4.95
×	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.1000200	0.191945	0.121446	0.121035	0.120615	0.120182	19.0	-0.044714	-0.043079	-0.043997	-0.049470	-0.041797	-0.040978	-0.040916	-0.020456	-0.029604	-0.09709
8.0	0.123670	0.123328	0.122974	0.122609	0.122202	0.127863	0.116855	0.116338	0.115810	0.115955	12.0	-0.037161	-0.086891	-0.085618	-0.092979	-0.084067	-0.033988	-0.089506	-0.031793	-0.030039	-0.03792
8.1	0.119739	0.119286	0.118821	0.118345	0.117639	0.111900	0.111998	0.130605	0.109978	0.109841	19.9	-0.090363	-0.098573	-0.097791	-0.026088	-0.026102	-0.095209	-0.094600	-0.093909	-0.099008	-0.09090
8.2	0.114723	0.114165	0.113596	0.115018	0.112429	0.105397	0 104697	0.103918	0.103200	6 102472	12.2	-0.021401	-0.020500	-0.010706	-0.018009	-0.020193	-0.023336	-0.016579	-0.015779	-0.025005	-0.01416
8.3	0.108695	0.108040	0.107376	0.106702	0.106019	0.103327	0.007154	0.006362	0.095562	0.004756	12.5	-0.013355	-0.019540	-0.011743	-0.010097	-0.010181	-0.017384	0.010578	0.007716	-0.014987	-0.00610
8.4	0.101738	0.100994	0.100243	0.099483	0.098714	0.097956	0.097104	0.038081	0.087159	0.094755	12.4	-0.005306	-0.012549	0.0011743	-0.010937	-0.010131	-0.009520	0.000521	-0.007776	-0.000912	0.00010
8.5	0.093940	0.093117	0.092287	0.091450	0.090606	0.089755	0.000000	0.070093	0.078089	0.077140	12.5	0.0005500	0.009304	0.003702	-0.002902	-0.002103	-0.001304	-0.000507	0.000289	0.001083	0.00187
8.6	0.085395	0.084503	0.083605	0.082701	0.081790	0.080874	0.079951	0.07902.5	0.068453	0.067469	12.0	0.002008	0.003459	0.004240	0.005035	0.005820	0.006603	0.007385	0.008164	0.008942	0.00971
8.7	0.076203	0.075253	0.074296	0.073335	0.072369	0.071397	0.070421	0.009439	0.059350	0.007403	12.7	0.010491	0.011202	0.012030	0.012797	0.013560	0.014321	0.015080	0.0(5835	0.016589	0.01732
8.8	0.066468	0.065468	0.064465	0.063457	0.062445	0.061429	0.060410	0,055380	0.0303333	0.057328	12.6	0.018087	0.018851	0.019572	0.020311	0.021046	0.021778	0.022506	0.023231	0.023953	0.02455
8.9	0.056294	0.055257	0.054217	0.053173	0.052127	0.051077	0.050025	0.048970	0.047915	0.046853	12.9	0.025386	0.025097	0.025804	0.027507	0.028207	0.028903	0.029594	0.030282	0.030966	0.03164
0.0	0.045703	0.044797	0.043660	0.042592	0.041521	0.040449	0.059375	0.038300	0.037223	0.036145	13.0	0.032321	0.032992	0.033658	0.034321	0.034978	0.035632	0.036281	0.036925	0.037554	0.0381
9.0	0.015056	0.022025	0.032904	0.031821	0.030758	0.029654	0.028569	0.027484	0.026399	0.025313	13.1	0.038829	0.039454	0.040075	0.040690	0.041300	0.041905	0.042506	0.043101	0.043690	0.0442
9.1	0.035066	0.055965	0.032504	0.090070	0.019884	0.018799	0.017714	0.016630	0.015547	0.014464	13.2	0.044854	0.045428	0.045996	0.046559	0.047117	0.047669	0.048215	0.048756	0.049991	0.0498
9.2	0.024227	0.025191	0.022055	0.010145	0.009066	0.007990	0.006916	0.005843	0.004772	0.003703	13.3	0.050344	0.050861	0.051878	0.051879	0.052879	0.052873	0.053361	0.053843	0.054319	0.0547
9.3	0.013382	0.012501	0.0011222	-0.000554	-0.001612	-0.002669	-0.003722	-0.004774	-0.005822	-0.006868	13.4	0.055252	0.055709	0.056160	0.056605	0.057043	0.057476	0.057901	0.058391	0.058733	0.0501
9.4	0.002535	0.001570	0.000507	-0.0000004	-0.019051	-0.013078	-0.014101	-0.015121	-0.016138	-0.017150	18.5	0.059540	0.059933	0.060320	0.060700	0.061073	0.051440	0.051800	0.069154	0.059500	0.0699
9.5	-0.007911	-0.008950	-0.009987	0.021161	-0.099354	-0.023142	-0.024126	-0.025106	-0.026081	-0.027051	13.6	0.063174	0.063500	0.063820	0.064139	0.064439	0.064787	0.065090	0.065814	0.065503	0.0028
9.6	-0.018159	-0.019164	-0.020105	-0.021161	-0.022139	-0.089771	-0.033707	-0.034637	-0.035562	-0.036489	18.7	0.056128	0.0660365	0.066636	0.066879	0.067115	0.067344	0.003025	0.067791	0.0033333	0.00000
9.7	-0.028017	-0.028977	-0.029935	-0,030884	0.031850	-0.041981	-0.042750	-0.043633	-0.044500	-0.045361	13.8	0.068384	0.068570	0.068750	0.068099	0.060097	0.000045	0.007200	0.060540	0.0606777	0.0001
9.8	-0.037396	-0.038304	-0.039207	-0.040104	-0.040955	-0.060309	-0.051208	-0.059017	-0.052819	-0.053614	13.9	0.0600304	0.070044	0.070159	0.070953	0.070946	0.003233	0.070519	0.0000040	0.009077	0.0098
9.9	-0.046216	-0.047064	-0.047905	-0.048741	-0.049570	-0.050532	0.031200	01002011			10.0	0.00552,5	0.070044	0.070152	0.079255	0.079340	0.070435	0.070512	0.070584	0.070643	4 0.0707
10.0	-0.054402	-0.055183	-0.055957	-0.056724	-0.057484	-0.058237	-0.058982	-0.059720	-0.060450	-0.061173	14.0	0.070758	0.070801	0.070838	0.070867	0.070889	0.070904	0.070912	0.070913.	0.070907	0.0708
10.0	-0.061888	-0.062596	-0.063296	-0.053988	-0.064678	-0.065350	-0.066019	-0.066680	-0.067333	-0.067978	14.1	0.070873	0.070846	0.070811	0.070770	0.070721	0.070666	0.070603	0.070534	0.070457	0.0703
10.1	-0.068615	-0.069244	-0.059865	-0.070477	-0.071082	-0.071678	-0.072266	-0.072845	-0.073416	-0.073979	14.2	0.070284	0.070186	0.070082	0.069971	0.069854	0.069729	0.069598	0.069460	0.069315	0.0691
10.2	-0.074522	-0.075078	-0.075615	-0.076143	-0.076663	-0.077174	-0.077677	-0.078170	-0.078655	-0.079131	14.3	0.069005	0.068840	0.068668	0.068490	0.068305	0.068114	0.067916	0.067712	0.067501	0.0672
10.3	-0.074555	0.075078	-0.080507	-0.080947	-0.081379	-0.081802	-0.082216	-0.082620	-0.083016	-0.083403	14.4	0.067060	0.066829	0.066593	0.066350	0.066101	0.065845	0.065584	0.065316	0.065042	0.0647
10.4	-0.079399	0.000007	-0.084509	-0.084859	-0.085200	-0.085532	-0.085855	-0.086169	-0.086473	-0.086768	14.5	0.064476	0.064183	0.053685	0.063581	0.063271	0.062954	0.069633	0.069805	0.061971	0.0516
10.5	-0.085781	0.084145	-0.087500	-0.087857	-0.088106	-0.088346	-0.088576	-0.088797	-0.089009	-0.089212	14.6	0.061287	0.060936	0.060580	0.060218	0.059851	0.059478	0.059100	0.058717	0.058898	0.0570
10.6	-0.087054	-0.067331	-0.087555	-0.080099	-0.090085	-0.090232	-0.090370	-0.090498	-0.090617	-0.090727	14.7	0.057534	0.057129	0.056719	0.056304	0.055884	0.055459	0.055029	0.054594	0.054154	0.0587
10.7	-0.089405	-0.089589	0.003704	-0.001073	-0.091157	-0.091191	-0.091236	-0.091272	-0.091299	-0.091315	14.8	0.053260	0.052806	0.052347	0.051884	0.051416	0.050944	0.050467	0.049085	0.049500	0.0490
10.8	-0.090827	-0.090919	-0.091001	-0.091075	-0.001967	-0.091229	-0.091183	-0.091128	-0.091064	-0.090990	14.9	0.048516	0.048017	0.047515	0.047008	0.046497	0.045985	0.045464	0.044049	0.044416	0.0499
10.9	~0.091324	-0.091324	-0.091314	-0.091295	-0.051207	0.051225	0.0001100			0.0000000				01011010	0.011000	0.040457	0.010303	0.04.7404	0.011012	0.014410	0.0438
11.0	-0.090908	-0.090817	-0.090717	-0.090608	-0.090490	-0.090364	-0.090228	-0.090084	-0.089931	-0.089770	15.0	0.043353	0.042815	0.042275	0.041730	0.041183	0.040632	0.040077	0.039520	0.038959	0.0383
11.1	-0.089599	-0.089420	-0.089233	-0.089037	-0.088832	-0.088619	-0.088397	-0.088167	-0.087929	-0.06/082	15.1	0.037828	0.087257	0.036684	0.036108	0.035529	0.034948	0.034565	0.033776	0.053187	0.05259
11.9	-0.087497	-0.087163	-0.086891	-0.086612	-0.086324	-0.086027	-0.085723	-0.085411	-0.085091	-0.084763	15.2	0.032000	0.031403	0.030803	0.030202	0.029598	0.028992	0.028383	0.027773	0.027161	0.0265
11.2	-0.084426	-0.084083	-0.083731	-0.083371	-0.083004	-0.082630	-0.082247	-0.081857	-0.081460	-0.081055	1 15.3	0.025931	0.025313	0.024693	0.024072	0.023450	0.022825	0.022199	0.021572	0.020944	0.0203
11.5	-0.080643	-0.080223	-0.079796	-0.079362	-0.078921	-0.078473	-0.078017	-0.077555	-0.077086	-0.076609	15.4	0.019683	0.019051	0.018418	0.017783	0.017148	0.016512	0.015875	0.015237	0.014599	0.0139
11.4	-0.026196	-0.075636	-0.075140	-0.074637	-0.074127	-0.073611	-0.073088	-0.072559	-0.072023	-0.071481	15.5	0.013320	0.012680	0.012040	0.011399	0.010758	0.010116	0.009475	0.008835	0.008191	0.0075
11.0	-0.070120	-0.070270	-0.069819	-0.069253	-0.068681	-0.068103	-0.067519	-0.066929	-0.066334	-0.065733	15.6	0.005907	0.005266	0.005624	0.004983	0.004342	0.003702	0.003062	0.002422	0.001783	0.0011
11.0	-0.070934	-0.064515	-0.063898	-0.068275	-0.062647	-0.062014	-0.061376	-0.060733	-0.060084	-0.059431	15.7	0.000507	-0.000130	-0.000765	-0.001401	-0.002035	-0.002668	-0.003300	-0.003931	-0.004561	-0.0051
11.7	-0.065127	0.059121	-0.057449	-0.056771	-0.056095	-0.055414	-0.054728	-0.054039	-0.053345	-0.052640	15.8	-0.005817	-0.006443	-0.007067	-0.007690	-0.008311	-0.008931	-0.009549	-0.010166	-0.010780	-0.0113
11.8	-0.058775	-0.030111	-0.037445	0.000771	0.040000	0.010976	0.047650	-0.046091	-0.046189	-0.045455	15.0	0.01000/	0.010018	0.018010	0.010004	0.000.000	0.018000	0.015505		0.0100000	0.0113

628	Fable 1
-----	---------

u)/u										
ы	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
16.0	-0.017994	-0.018580	-0.019163	-0.019744	-0.020322	-0.020898	-0.021470	-0.022040	-0.022607	-0.0231
16.1	-0.028731	-0.024289	-0.024845	-0.025395	-0.025943	-0.026488	-0.027030	-0.027568	-0.028103	~0.0296
16.2	-0.029162	-0.029686	-0.030207	-0.080724	-0.031237	-0.031747	-0.032252	-0.032754	-0.033252	-0.0332
16.3	-0.034236	-0.034722	-0.055204	-0.035682	-0.036156	-0.036626	-0.037091	-0.037552	-0.038009	-0.0884
16.4	-0.038909	-0.039352	-0.039792	-0.040226	-0.040656	-0.041081	-0.041502	-0.041918	-0.042350	-0.0497
16.5	-0.043139	-0.043536	-0.043928	-0.044315	-0.044698	-0.045076	-0.045448	-0.045816	-0.046179	-0.0465
16.6	-0.046889	-0.047236	-0.047578	~0.047915	-0.048247	-0.048574	-0.048895	-0.049212	-0.049522	-0 (1498
16.7	-0.050128	-0.050423	-0.050713	-0.050997	-0.051275	-0.051548	-0.051816	-0.052078	-0.052385	-0.0596
16.8	-0.052831	-0.053071	-0.053306	-0.053535	-0.053758	-0.053975	-0.054187	-0.054393	-0.054594	-0.0547
16.9	-0.054978	-0.055161	-0.055339	-0.055511	-0.055677	-0.055837	-0.055992	-0.056141	-0.056284	-0.0554
17.0	-0.056553	-0.056678	-0.056798	-0.056912	-0.057021	-0.057123	-0.057220	-0.057310	-0.057895	~0.0574
17.1	0.057548	-0.057615	-0.057677	-0.057732	-0.057782	-0.057826	-0.057865	-0.057897	-0.057924	-0.0570
17.2	~0.057959	-0.057968	-0.057972	-0.057969	-0.057961	-0.057947	-0.057927	~0.057902	-0.057870	-0.0579
17.5	-0.057790	-0.057749	-0.057688	-0.057628	-0.057562	-0.057491	-0.057414	-0.057331	-0.057943	-0.0571
17.4	-0.057049	-0.056944	-0.056834	-0.056717	-0.056596	-0.056468	-0.056336	-0.056197	-0.055054	-0.0550
17.5	-0.055750	-0.055590	-0.055425	-0.055254	-0.055078	-0.054897	-0.054710	-0.054518	-0.054321	-0.0541
17.6	-0.053912	-0.053599	-0.053481	-0.053258	-0.058031	-0.052798	-0.052560	-0.052317	-0.052069	~0.0518
17.7	-0.051558	-0.052296	-0.051028	-0.050756	-0.050479	-0.050198	-0.049911	-0.049620	-0.049324	-0.0400
17.8	-0.048719	-0.048410	-0.048096	-0.047778	-0.047455	-0.047128	-0.046795	-0.046461	-0.046121	-0.0457
17.9	-0.045428	-0.045075	-0.044718	-0.044358	-0.043993	-0.043624	-0.048251	-0.042875	-0.042494	-0.0421
18.0	-0.041722	-0.041830	-0.040934	-0.040535	-0.040132	-0.039726	-0.039315	-0.038902	-0.038485	-0.0380
18.1	-0.037642	-0.037215	-0.036785	-0.036351	-0.035915	-0.035475	-0.035033	-0.0\$4587	-0.034139	-0.0336
18.2	-0.033233	-0.032775	-0.032815	-0.031853	-0.031387	-0.030919	-0.0\$0149	-0.029976	-0.029500	-0.0290
18.3	-0.028541	-0.028059	-0.027574	-0.027086	-0.026597	-0.026105	-0.025612	-0.025116	-0.024619	-0.0241
18.4	-0.023618	-0.023114	-0.022610	-0.022103	-0.021594	-0.021085	-0.020573	-0.020060	-0.019545	-0.0190
18 5	-0.018512	-0.017994	-0.017474	-0.016958	-0.016431	-0.015908	-0.015384	-0.014859	-0.014333	-0.0138
18.6	-0.013278	-0.012750	-0.012220	-0.011691	-0.011160	-0.010529	-0.010098	-0.009566	-0.009033	-0.0085
18.7	-0.007968	-0.007485	-0.006901	-0.006368	-0.005834	-0.005301	-0.004767	-0.004234	-0.003701	-0.00\$}
10.7	-0.009585	-0.002109	-0.001570	-0.001058	-0.000507	0.000094	0.000554	0.001083	0.001612	0.0021
18.9	0.002668	0.003194	0.003720	0.004245	0.004769	0.005292	0.005813	0.006334	0.006853	0.0073
19.0	0.007898	0.008404	0.008918	0.009431	0.009942	0.010452	0.010960	0.011466	0.011971	0.0124
101	0.019976	0.013475	0.013973	0.014468	0.014962	0.015454	0.015944	0.016431	0.016917	0.0174
10.0	0.017881	0.018360	0.018836	0.019310	0.019789	0.020251	0.020717	0.021181	0.021643	0.0221
10.2	0.009559	0.023011	0.028369	0.025010	0.094855	0.094707	0.025236	0.025672	0.026105	0.0265
10.0	0.022558	0.023011	0.023402	0.023910	0.024555	0.020040	0.02025250	0.029861	0.030262	0.0306
10.5	0.0810=2	0.021360	0.031891	0.032224	0.032504	0.032970	0.083940	0.033711	0.034076	0.0344
10.6	0.031033	0.085149	0.035497	0.0358.13	0.036185	0.036599	0.036856	0.037186	0.037512	0.0378
19.0	0.034794	0.039148	0.030197	0.030043	0.050105	0.030676	0.0300000	0.040256	0.040540	0.0408
19.7	0.038151	0.038404	0.038774	0.039079	0.009379	0.039070	0.039908	0.049806	0.043135	0.0433
19.8	0.041090	0.041365	0.041032	0.041695	0.042131	0.042909	0.042032	0.042090	0.045975	0.0454
		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL		111142033	a s a shi il si si si si	A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	111111110000	11.314 31.00.2	and the second states of the s	

Adapted from L. Levi, Applied Optics.

Solutions to Selected Problems

CHAPTER 2

2.1 (0.003) (2.54 × 10⁻²)/580 × 10⁻⁹ = number of waves = 1.31 $\epsilon = \nu h, \lambda = \epsilon i v = 3 \times 10^8/10^{10}, \lambda = 3$ cm. Waves extend 3.9 m.

2.7 $\psi = A \sin 2\pi (\kappa x - \nu t), \ \psi_1 = 4 \sin 2\pi (0.2x - 3t)$ a) $\nu = 3$ b) $\lambda = 1/0.2$ c) $\tau = 1/3$ d) A = 4 c) $\nu = 15$ f) positive x $\psi = A \sin (kx + \omega t), \ \psi_2 = (1/2.5) \sin (7x + 3.5t)$

a) $\nu = 3.5/2\pi$ b) $\lambda = 2\pi/7$ c) $\tau = 2\pi/3.5$ d) A = 1/2.5 e) $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ f) negative x

a) A = 1/2.5 e) v = v 1) negative x

2.9 $v_y = -\omega A \cos (kx - \omega t + \varepsilon), a_y = -\omega^2 y$. Simple harmonic motion since $a_y \propto y$.

2.10 $\tau = 2.2 \times 10^{-15}$ s; therefore $\nu = 1/\tau = 4.5 \times 10^{14}$ Hz; $\nu = \nu A$, 3×10^{6} m/s = $(4.5 \times 10^{13}$ Hz)A; $\lambda = 6.6 \times 10^{-7}$ m and $k = 2\pi/\lambda = 9.5 \times 10^{6}$ m⁻¹, $\psi(x, l) = (10^{3}$ V/m) cos [9.5 × 10⁶ m⁻¹(x + 3 × 10⁸ m/s l)]. It's cosine because cos 0 = 1.

2.11 $y(x, t) = C/[2 + (x + vt)^2].$

12.5

1 - 0 station in the state 1 -

2.13 No, not twice differentiable (in a nontrivial way) and not a solution of the differential wave equation.

2.15 $\psi(z, 0) = A \sin (kz + \varepsilon);$ $\psi(-\lambda/12, 0) = A \sin (-\pi/6 + \varepsilon) = 0.866;$ $\psi(\lambda/6, 0) = A \sin (\pi/3 + \epsilon) = 1/2;$ $\psi(\lambda/4, 0) = A \sin (\pi/2 + \varepsilon) = 0.$

 $A\sin(\pi/2 + \varepsilon) = A(\sin \pi/2\cos \varepsilon + \cos \pi/2\sin \varepsilon)$

 $= A \cos \varepsilon = 0, \varepsilon = \pi/2.$ $A \sin (\pi/3 + \pi/2) = A \sin (5\pi/6) = 1/2;$ therefore A = 1, hence $\psi(z, 0) = \sin (kz + \pi/2).$

2.18 $\psi(x, t) = 5.0 \exp[-a(x + \sqrt{b/a} t)^2]$, the propagation direction is negative x; $v = \sqrt{b/a} \approx 0.6 \text{ m/s}$. $\psi(x, 0) = 5.0 \exp(-25x^2)$;



Solutions to Selected Problems 630

2.19 $\psi = A \exp i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z)$ $k_x = k\alpha$ $k_y = k\beta$ $k_z = k\gamma$ $|\mathbf{k}| = [(k\alpha)^2 + (k\beta)^2 + (k\gamma)^2]^{1/2} = k[\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2]^{1/2}.$

2.20 30° corresponds to $\frac{1}{12}\lambda$ or $(1/12)8 \times 10^8/6 \times 10^{14} = 42$ nm.

 $\psi = A \sin 2\pi \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \pm \frac{t}{\tau}\right)$ 2.21

> $\psi = 60 \sin 2\pi \left(\frac{x}{400 \times 10^{-9}} - \frac{t}{1.33 \times 10^{-15}} \right)$ $\lambda = 400 \text{ nm}$

 $v = 400 \times 10^{-9}/1.33 \times 10^{-15} = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ $\nu = (1/1.33) \times 10^{+15} \text{ Hz}, \quad \tau = 1.33 \times 10^{-15} \text{ s}.$

2.23 $\lambda = h/mv = 6.6 \times 10^{-34}/6(1) = 1.1 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}.$

2.24 k can be constructed by forming a unit vector in the proper direction and multiplying it by k. The unit vector is

 $[(4-0)\hat{i} + (2-0)\hat{j} + (1-0)\hat{k}]/\sqrt{4^2 + 2^2 + 1^2}$ $= (4\hat{i} + 2\hat{i} + \hat{k})/\sqrt{21}$

and $\mathbf{k} = k(4\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 2\hat{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{\mathbf{k}})/\sqrt{21}$.

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \mathbf{y}\hat{\mathbf{j}} + \mathbf{z}\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ hence $\psi(x, y, z, t) = A \sin \left[(4k/\sqrt{21})x \right]$ + $(2k/\sqrt{21})y + (k/\sqrt{21})z - \omega t$].

2.26 $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1,t) = \psi[\mathbf{r}_2 - (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1),t] = \psi(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_1,t)$ $= \psi[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1), t]$ $\psi(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_2,t)=\psi(\mathbf{r}_2,t)$ since $\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1) = 0$.

CHAPTER 3

3.1 $E_y = 2 \cos [2\pi \times 10^{14} (l - x/c) + \pi/2]$ $E_{2} = A \cos [2\pi\nu(t - x/\nu) + \pi/2]$ from Eq. (2.26) a) $\nu = 10^{14}$ Hz, v = c, and $\lambda = c/\nu = 3 \times 10^8/10^{14} = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ m, moves in **positive** x-direction, A = 2 V/m, $\varepsilon = \pi/2$ linearly polarized in y-direction. b) $B_x = 0$, $B_y = 0$, $B_z = \frac{2}{c} \cos \left[2\pi \times 10^{14} (t - x/c) + \pi/2 \right]$.

3.2 $E_1 = 0$, $E_y = E_x = E_0 \sin(kz - \omega t)$ or cosine; $B_z = 0$, $B_y = -B_x = E_y/c$, or if you like,

 $\mathbf{E} = \frac{E_0}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \sin (kz - \omega t), \quad \mathbf{B} = \frac{E_0}{c\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\mathbf{j}} - \hat{\mathbf{i}}) \sin (kz - \omega t).$ 3.4 $\langle \cos^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} \cos^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t') dt'$

Let $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t' = \mathbf{x}$; then $\langle \cos^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \rangle = \frac{1}{-\omega T} \int \cos^2 x dx$ $=\frac{1}{-\omega T}\int \frac{1+\cos 2x}{2}dx$ $= -\frac{1}{\omega T} \left[\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sin 2x}{4} \right]_{k-T-\omega t}^{k-T-\omega (t+T)}$

3.7 $\Delta_1 / = c \Delta t = (3.00 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s})(2.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}) = 0.600 \text{ m.}$ b) The volume of one pulse is $(0.600 \text{ m})(\pi R^3) = 2.945 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3$; therefore $(6.0 \text{ J})/2.945 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 = 2.0 \times 10^{6} \text{ Jm}^3$.

3.8 $u = \frac{(\text{power})(t)}{(\text{volume})} = \frac{(10^{-5} \text{ W})(t)}{(\pi \tau^{\text{B}})(t)} = \frac{10^{-5} \text{ W}}{\pi (10^{-5})^2 (3 \times 16)^3}$

 $u = \frac{10^{-5}}{9_{\rm em}} \,{\rm J/m^3} = 1.06 \times 10^{-6} \,{\rm J/m^3}.$

3.10 $h = 6.63 \times 10^{-34}, E = h\nu$ $\frac{I}{h\nu} = \frac{19.88 \times 10^{-2}}{(6.63 \times 10^{-34}) (100 \times 10^5)}$ 3×10^{24} photons/m² s. All photons in volume V cross unit area in one second $V = (ct) (1 \text{ m}^2) = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ 3.20 $3 \times 10^{24} = V(\text{density})$ density = 1016 photons/m3. 3.12 $P_{e} = iV = (0.25)(3.0) = 0.75$ W. This is the electrical power dissipated. The power available as light is $P_l = (0.01)P_e = 75 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W}.$ a) Photon flux $= P_t/h\nu = 75\times 10^{-4}\lambda/hc$ $= 75 \times 10^{-4} (550 \times 10^{-9}) / (6.63 \times 10^{-34}) 3 \times 10^{8}$ $v = at = \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{-9}(t) = 10 \text{ m/s}$ = 2.08 × 10¹⁶ photons/s. b) There are 2.08×10^{16} in volume $(3 \times 10^{8})(1_{5}) \times (10^{-3} \text{ m}^{2});$ $\therefore \frac{2.08 \times 10^{16}}{3 \times 10^{5}} = \text{photons/m}^{3} - 0.69 \times 10^{11}.$ outward from it. c) $1 = 75 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W}/10 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 = 7.5 \text{ W/m}^2$. 3.14 Imagine two concentric cylinders of radius r1 and r_2 surrounding the wave. The energy flowing per second through the first cylinder must pass through the second cylinder; that is, $\langle S_1 \rangle 2\pi r_1 = \langle S_2 \rangle 2\pi r_2$, and so frequencies. $\langle S \rangle 2\pi r = \text{constant and } \langle S \rangle$ varies inversely with r. Therefore, since $\langle S \rangle \propto E_0^2$, E_0 varies as $\sqrt{1/r}$. we get $\left\langle \frac{dp}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{c} \left\langle \frac{dW}{dt} \right\rangle,$ 3.16 A - area. $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \frac{1}{A} \left\langle \frac{dp}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{Ac} \left\langle \frac{dW}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{I}{c}.$ 3.18 $\mathscr{C} = 300 \text{ W}(100 \text{ s}) = 3 \times 10^4 \text{ J},$ $p = \mathscr{C}/c = 3 \times 10^4/3 \times 10^8 - 10^{-4} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m/s}.$

Solutions to Selected Problems 631

3.19 a) $\langle \vartheta \rangle = 2\langle S \rangle / c = 2(1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ W/m}^3) / (3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) + 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ N/m}^2$. b) S, and therefore \mathscr{P} , drops off with the inverse square of the distance, and hence $\langle S \rangle = [(0.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-3})(1.5 \times 10^1 \text{ m})^2 + (1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ W/m}^2) = 6.4 \times 10^7 \text{ W/m}^2$, and $\langle \mathscr{P} \rangle = 0.21 \text{ N/m}^2$.

 $\langle S \rangle = 1400 \text{ W/m}^2$, $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = 2(1400 \text{ W/m}^2/8 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) = 9.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ N/m}^2$

 $\langle F \rangle = A \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = 2000 \,\mathrm{m}^2 (9.3 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{N/m^2}) = 1.9 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{N}.$ **3.21** $\langle S \rangle = (200 \times 10^3 \text{ W}) (500 \times 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s})/A(1s),$

 $\langle F \rangle = A \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = A \langle S \rangle / c = 6.7 \times 10^{-7} \, \text{N}.$

3.22 $\langle F \rangle = A \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = A \langle S \rangle / c = \frac{10 W}{3 \times 10^8} = 3.3 \times 10^{-8} N$

 $a = 3.3 \times 10^{-8}/100 \text{ kg} = 3.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$

 $t = 3 \times 10^{10}$ s, 1 year = 3.2×10^7 s.

3.23 B surrounds v in circles, and E is radial, hence $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ is tangent to the sphere, and no energy radiates

3.25 Thermal agitation of the molecular dipoles causes a marked reduction in K_r but has little effect on n. At optical frequencies n is predominantly due to electronic polarization, rotations of the molecular dipoles having ceased to be effective at much lower

3.26 From Eq. (3.70), for a single resonant frequency

 $n = \left[1 + \frac{Nq_{\epsilon}^2}{\epsilon_0 m_{\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}\right)\right]^{1/2};$

since for low-density materials $n \approx \int_{\Omega}$ the second term is $\ll 1$, and we need only retain the first two terms of the binomial expansion of *n*. Thus $\sqrt{1 + x} \approx |+x/2|$ and

 $n \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Nq_e^2}{\epsilon_0 m_e} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}\right).$
3.28 $x_0(-\omega^2 + \omega_0^2 + i\gamma\omega) = (q_*E_0/m_*)e^{i\omega} = (q_*E_0/m_*) \times (\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha);$ squaring both sides yields $x_0^2(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \gamma^2\omega^2] = (q_*E_0/m_*)^2(\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha), x_0$ follows immediately. As for α , divide the imaginary parts of both sides of the first equation above, namely, $x_0\gamma\omega = (q_*E_0/m_*)\sin \alpha$, by the real parts, $x_0(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) = (q_*E_0/m_*)\sin \alpha$ by the real parts, $x_0(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) = (q_*E_0/m_*)\sin \alpha$ to the real parts, $x_0(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) = (q_*E_0/m_*)\sin \alpha$ to the real parts, $x_0(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)$. The real parts of the real parts of the real parts of the real parts of the real parts.

3.29 The normal order of the spectrum for a glass prism is R, O, Y, G, B, V, with rcd (R) deviated the least and violet (V) deviated the most. For a fuchsin prism, there is an absorption band in the green, and so the indices for yellow and blue on either side $(n_X \text{ and } n_B)$ of it are extremes, as in Fig. 3.26 (that is, n_Y is the maximum, n_B the minimum, and $n_Y > n_D > n_R > n_V > n_B$. Thus the spectrum in order of increasing deviation is B, V, black band, R, O, Y.



3.30 The phase angle is retarded by an amount $(n \Delta y 2\pi/\lambda) = \Delta y 2\pi/\lambda$ or $(n-1) \Delta y \omega/c$. Thus

 $E_p = E_0 \exp i\omega [t - (n-1)\Delta y/c - y/c]$

or $E_p = E_0 \exp \left[-i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c\right] \exp i\omega(t-y/c)$ if $n \approx 1$ or $\Delta y \ll 1$. Since $e^x \approx 1 + x$ for small x,

 $\exp\left[-i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c\right]\approx 1-i\omega(n-1)\Delta y/c$ and since $\exp\left(-i\pi/2\right)=-i$,

$$E_{b} = E_{\mu} + \frac{\omega(n-1)\Delta y}{E_{\mu}e^{-i\pi/2}}.$$

3.32 With ω in the visible, $(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)$ is smaller for lead glass and larger for fused silica. Hence $n(\omega)$ is larger for the former and smaller for the latter.

3.33 C_1 is the value that n approaches as λ gets larger.





4.12 Let τ be the time for the wave to move along a ray from b_1 to b_2 , from a_1 to a_2 , and from a_1 to a_3 . Thus $a_1a_2 = \frac{b_1}{b_1}b_3 = v_1\tau$ and $a_1a_3 = v_1\tau$.

 $\sin \theta_i = \overline{b_1 b_2} / \overline{a_1 b_2} = v_i / \overline{a_1 b_2}$ $\sin \theta_i = \overline{a_1 a_3} / \overline{a_1 b_2} = v_i / \overline{a_1 b_2}$

 $\frac{\sin \theta_r - \overline{a_i a_2} / \overline{a_1 b_2} - v_i / \overline{a_1 b_2}}{\sin \theta_i} = \frac{n_i}{v_i} = \frac{n_i}{n_i} = n_{ti} \text{ and } \theta_i = \theta_r$

$n_t \sin \theta_t \equiv n_t \sin \theta_t$

4.13

$$\begin{split} n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) &= n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n), \\ \text{where } \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i, \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \text{ are unit propagation vectors. Thus} \\ n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) &= n_i(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n) = 0 \end{split}$$

 $(n_t \hat{\mathbf{k}}_t - n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i) \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n = 0.$ Let $n_t \hat{\mathbf{k}}_t - n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = \Gamma = \Gamma \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$.





 Γ is often referred to as the assignatic constant; Γ = the difference between the projections of $n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i$ and $n_i \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, on $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{ni}$; in other words, take dot product $\Gamma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{ni}$:

 $\Gamma = n_t \cos \theta_t - n_i \cos \theta_t.$

4.14 Since $\theta_i = \theta_i$, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{ix} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{ix}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{iy} = -\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{iy}$, and since $(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{iy}$, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i - \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = 2(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$.



4.15 Since $\overline{SB'} > \overline{SB}$ and $\overline{B'P} > \overline{BP}$, the shortest path corresponds to B' coincident with B in the plane of incidence.



4.18 $n_{1} \sin \theta_{i} = n_{2} \sin \theta_{i} \quad \theta_{i} = \theta_{i}'$ $n_{2} \sin \theta_{i}' = n_{1} \sin \theta_{i}'$ $n_{1} \sin \theta_{i} = n_{1} \sin \theta_{i}' \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i} = \theta_{i}'.$ $\cos \theta_{i} = d/\overline{AB}$ $\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{i}) = a/\overline{AB}$ $\sin (\theta_{i} - \theta_{i}) = \frac{a}{d} \cos \theta_{i}$ $\frac{d}{\cos \theta_{i}} = a.$

4.20 Rather than propagating from point S to point P in a straight line, the ray traverses a path that crosses the plate at a sharper angle. Although in so doing the path lengths in air ar slightly increased, the decrease in time spent within the plate more than compensates. This being the case, we might expect the displacement a to increase with π_{01} . As π_{01} gets larger for a given θ_i , θ_i decreases, $(\theta_i - \theta_i)$ increases, affrom the results of Problem 4.18, a clearly increases.

4.21 From Eq. (4.40)

 $r_{\rm I} = \frac{1.52\cos 30^\circ - \cos 19^\circ 13'}{\cos 19^\circ 13' + 1.52\cos 30^\circ}$

where from Problem 4.1 $\theta_t = 19^{\circ}13'$. Similarly

 $l_1 = \frac{2\cos 30}{\cos 19^\circ 18' + 1.52\cos 30^\circ}$

 $\tau_1 = \frac{1.32 - 0.944}{0.944 + 1.32} = 0.165$

 $t_{\parallel} = \frac{1.732}{0.944 + 1.32} = 0.766.$

 $\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = -\iint_A \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}.$ 4.22

This reduces in the limit to $E_{2x}(\overline{BC}) - E_{1x}(\overline{AD}) = 0$, since area $\rightarrow 0$ and $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$ is finite. Thus $E_{2x} = E_{1x}$.

4.23 Starting with Eq. (4.34), divide top and bottom by n_i and replace n_{ii} with sin θ_i /sin θ_i to get

 $\tau_{\pm} = \frac{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i - \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}$

which is equivalent to Eq. (4.42). Equation (4.44) follows in exactly the same way. To find $r_{\rm s}$ start the same way with Eq. (4.40) and get

 $\eta = \frac{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_i}{\cos \theta_i \sin \theta_i + \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}.$ There are several routes that can be taken now: one is to rewrite r_0 as

 $r_{\parallel} = \frac{(\sin\theta_i \cos\theta_t - \sin\theta_i \cos\theta_i)(\cos\theta_i \cos\theta_t - \sin\theta_i)}{(\sin\theta_i \cos\theta_t + \sin\theta_i \cos\theta_i)(\cos\theta_i \cos\theta_i + \sin\theta_i)}$

and so $r_{\parallel} = \frac{\sin (\theta_i - \theta_i) \cos (\theta_i + \theta_i)}{\sin (\theta_i + \theta_i) \cos (\theta_i - \theta_i)} = \frac{\tan (\theta_i - \theta_i)}{\tan (\theta_i + \theta_i)}$. We can find t_{\parallel} , which has the same denominator, in a

4.24 $[E_{0_1}]_1 + [E_{0_1}]_1 = [E_{0_1}]_1$; tangential field in incident medium equals that in transmitting medium,

incident medium equals that in transmitting medium, $[E_{0t}/E_{0t}]_{\perp} = [E_{0t}/E_{0t}]_{\perp} = 1, \quad t_{\perp} = r_{\perp} = 1.$

Alternatively, from Eqs. (4.42) and (4.44), $\frac{+\sin(\theta_i - \theta_i) + 2\sin\theta_i \cos\theta_i}{\sin(\theta_i + \theta_i)} \ge 1$

similar way

[3.5]

 $\frac{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_t - \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_t + 2 \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_t + \cos \theta_i \sin \theta_t} = 1.$

4.27 From Eq. (4.73) we see that the exponential will be in the form $k(x - vt)_i$ provided that we factor out $k_i \sin \theta_i/n_{\alpha_i}$ leaving the second term as $\omega n_i d/k_i \sin \theta_i$ which must be v_i . Hence $\omega n_i (2\pi/\lambda_i) n_i \sin \theta_i = v_i$, and so $v_i = c/n_i \sin \theta_i = v_i/\sin \theta_i$.

4.28 From the defining equation (p. 107) $\beta = k_i [(\sin^2 \theta_i/n_R^2) - 1]^{1/2} = 3.702 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-1}$, and since $\gamma\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$.

4.29 The beam scatters off the wet paper and is motitares multiple transmitted until the critical angle is attained, at which point the light is reflected back toward the source tan $\theta_i = (R/2)/d$, and so $n_{i1} = 1/n_i = \sin [\tan^{-1} (R/2d)]$

4.30 1.00029 sin 88.7° = $n \sin 90^{\circ}$ (1.00029) (0.99974) = n; n = 1.00003.

4.32 $\theta_i + \theta_t = 90^\circ \text{ when } \theta_i = \theta_p$ $n_i \sin \theta_p = n_i \sin \theta_i = n_i \cos \theta_p$

 $\tan \theta_p = n_t/n_t = 1.52, \qquad \theta_p = 56^{\circ}40' \quad [8.25]$ 4.34 $\tan \theta_p = n_t/n_t = n_2/n_1,$

4.34 $\tan \theta_p = n_l/n_i = n_2/n_1,$ $\tan \theta'_p = n_1/n_2, \qquad \tan \theta_p = 1/\tan \theta'_p.$

 $\frac{\sin \theta_p}{\cos \theta_p} = \frac{\cos \theta'_p}{\sin \theta'_p} \quad \therefore \quad \sin \theta_p \sin \theta'_p - \cos \theta_p \cos \theta'_p = 0$ $\cos (\theta_p + \theta'_p) = 0, \qquad \theta_p + \theta'_p = 90^\circ.$

4.35 From Eq. (4.94)

 $\tan \gamma_r = r_{\perp} [E_{0i}]_{\perp} / r_{\parallel} [E_{0i}]_{\parallel} = \frac{r_{\perp}}{r_{\parallel}} \tan \gamma_i$

and from Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43)

 $\tan \gamma_r = -\frac{\cos \left(\theta_i - \theta_i\right)}{\cos \left(\theta_i + \theta_i\right)} \tan \gamma_i$



4.38 $T_{\perp} = \left(\frac{n_r \cos \theta_i}{n_i \cos \theta_i}\right) t_{\perp}^2$, From Eq. (4.44) and Snell's law,

 $T_{\perp} = \left(\frac{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}{\sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}\right) \left(\frac{4 \sin^2 \theta_i \cos^2 \theta_i}{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_i)}\right) = \frac{\sin 2\theta_i \sin 2\theta_i}{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_i)},$ Similarly for T_{\parallel} .

Solutions to Selected Problems 635

[4.67]

4.40 If Φ_i is the incident radiant flux or power and T is the transmittance across the first air-glass boundary, the transmitted flux is then $T\Phi_i$. From Eq. (4.68) at normal incidence the transmittance from glass to air is also T. Thus a flux $T\Phi_i T$ emerges from the first side, and $\Phi_i T^{2\Phi_i} T$ orm the last one. Since T = 1 - R, $T_i = (1 - R)^{2N}$ from Eq. (4.67).

 $R = (0.5/2.5)^2 = 4\%,$ T = 96% $T_t = (0.96)^5 \approx 78.3\%.$

4.41 $T = \frac{I(y)}{I_0} = e^{-\infty}, \quad T_1 = e^{-\alpha}, \quad T = (T_1)^y.$ $T_1 = (1-R)^{2N} (T_1)^d.$

4.42 At $\theta_i = 0$, $R = R_{\parallel} = R_{\perp} = \left(\frac{n_t - n_l}{n_t + n_l}\right)^2$.

As $n_{ii} \Rightarrow 1$, $n_i \Rightarrow n_i$ and clearly $R \Rightarrow 0$. At $\theta_i = 0$,

 $T = T_{1} = T_{\perp} \frac{4n_{i}n_{i}}{(n_{i} + n_{i})^{2}}$

and since $n_t \Rightarrow n_i$, $\lim_{n_t \to 1} T \equiv 4n_t^2/(2n_i)^2 = 1$.

From Problem 4.88, that is, Eqs. (4.100) and (4.101) and the fact that as $n_i \rightarrow n_i$ Snell's law says that $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to 1} T_{\parallel} = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta_i}{\sin^2 2\theta_i} = 1, \qquad \lim_{n \to 1} T_{\perp} = 1.$$

From Eq. (4.43) and the fact that $R_{\parallel} = r_{\parallel}^2$ and $\theta_t \rightarrow \theta_i$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_{\parallel} = 0$.

Similarly from Eq. (4.42) $\lim_{n\to\infty} R_{\perp} = 0.$

4.44 For $\theta_i > \theta_i$, Eq. (4.70) can be written $r_{\pm} = \frac{\cos \theta_i - i(\sin^2 \theta_i - n_{ij}^2)^{1/2}}{\cos \theta_i + i(\sin^2 \theta_i - n_{ij}^2)^{1/2}}$

 $r_{\perp}r_{\perp}^{*} = \frac{\cos^{2}\,\theta_{i} + \sin^{2}\,\theta_{i} - n_{ii}^{2}}{\cos^{2}\,\theta_{i} + \sin^{2}\,\theta_{i} - n_{ii}^{2}} = 1.$

Similarly $r_{\parallel}r_{\parallel}^{*}=1$.





4.48 Gan be used as mixer to get various proportions of the two incident waves in the emitted beams. This could be done by adjusting gaps. [For some further

remarks, see H. A. Daw and J. R. Izatt, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 55, 201 (1965).]



4.49 From Fig. 4.42 the obvious choice is silver. Note that in the vicinity of 300 nm, $n_i \approx n_R \approx 0.6$, in which case Eq. (4.83) yields $R \approx 0.18$. Just above 300 nm n_i increases rapidly, while n_R decreases quite strongly, with the result that $R \approx 1$ across the visible and then some.

4.50 Light traverses the base of the prism as an evanes-4.50 Light traverses the base of the prism sain twates-cent wave, which propagates along the adjustable coup-ling gap. Energy moves into the dielectric film when the evanescent wave meets certain requirements. The film acts like a waveguide, which will support charac-teristic vibration configurations or modes. Each mode has associated with it a given speed and polarization. The evanescent wave will couple into the film when it matches a mode configurations. matches a mode configuration.

CHAPTER 5

5.1 From (5.2), $\ell_a + \ell_i 3/2 = \text{constant}$, 5 + (6)3/2 = 14. Therefore $2\ell_a + 3\ell_a = 28$ when $\ell_a = 6$, $\ell_i = 5.3$, $\ell_a = 7$, $\ell_i = 4.66$. Note that the arcs centered on S and P have to intercept for physically meaningful values of ℓ_a and

111

5.3 From Fig. 5.4(b) a plane wave impinging on a concave elliptical surface becomes spherical. If the second spherical surface has that same curvature, the wave will have all rays normal to it and emerge unaltered.



 $s_t=0.36$ m (real image 0.36 m to the right of first vertex). Second surface $s_a=0.20-0.36\approx-0.16$ m (virtual object distance).

$$\frac{1.5}{-0.16} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{-0.5}{-0.1}, \qquad s_i = 0.069.$$

Final image is real $(s_i > 0)$, inverted $(M_T < 0)$, and 6.9 cm to the right of the second vertex.

5.6
$$s_a + s_i = s_a s_i / f$$
 to minimize $s_a + s_i$,

$$\frac{d}{ds_a} (s_a + s_i) = 0 = 1 + \frac{ds_b}{ds_a}$$
or $\frac{d}{ds_a} \left(\frac{s_a s_i}{f}\right) = \frac{s_i}{f} + \frac{s_a}{f} \frac{ds_i}{ds_a} = 0$.
From $\frac{ds_a}{ds_a} = -1$ and $\frac{ds_a}{ds_a} = -\frac{s_i}{s_a}$, $s_a = s_a$.

$$\frac{ds_i}{s_0} = -1$$
 and $\frac{ds_i}{ds_0} = -\frac{s_i}{s_0}$, \vdots $s_i = s_0$.

Solutions to Selected Problems 637

The separation would be maximum if either were ∞ , but both could not be. Hence, $s_s = s_o$ is the condition for a minima. From Gaussian equation, $s_o = s_i = 2f$.

5.7 From (5.8), $1/8 + 1.5/s_i = 0.5/-20$. At first surface, $s_i = -10$ cm. Virtual image 10 cm to left of first vertex. At second surface, object is real 15 cm from second vertex.

 $1.5/15 + 1/s_i = -0.5/10$, $s_i = -20/3 = -6.66$ cm. Virtual, to left of second vertex.

5.9 $1/5 + 1/s_{*} = 1/10$, $s_{*} = -10$ cm virtual, $M_{T} = -s_{*}/s_{*} = 10/5 = 2$ erect. Image is 4 cm high. Or $-5(\mathbf{x}_{*}) = 100$, $\mathbf{x}_{*} = -20$, $M_{T} = -\mathbf{x}_{*}/f = 20/10 = 2$.



5.11 $s_s < 0$ because image is virtual. 1/100 + 1/-50 = 1/l, f = -100 cm. Image is 50 cm to the right as well. $M_T = -s_s/s_e = 50/100 = 0.5$. Ant's image is half-sized and erect ($M_T > 0$).

5.13 $1/f = (n_1 - 1)[(1/R_1) - (1/R_2)],$

 $= 0.5[(1/\infty) - (1/10)] = -0.5/10,$

 $f = -20 \text{ cm}, \qquad \mathfrak{D} = 1/f = -1/0.2 = -5 \text{ D}.$

5.16

a) From the Gaussian lens equation $\frac{1}{15.0 \text{ m}} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{3.00 \text{ m}}$

and s = +3.75 m. b) Computing the magnification, we obtain 9.75

$$M_T = -\frac{s_i}{s_o} = -\frac{3.75 \text{ m}}{15.0 \text{ m}} = -0.25.$$

Because the image distance is positive, the image is real. Because the magnification is negative, the image is inverted, and because the **absolute value** of the magnification is its sthan one, the image is minified. c) From the definition of magnification, it follows that

 $y_i = M_T y_o = (-0.25) (2.25 \text{ m}) = -0.563 \text{ m},$ where the minus sign reflects the fact that the image

is inverted d) Again from the Gaussian equation

 $\frac{1}{17.5 \text{ m}} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{3.00 \text{ m}}$

and $s_i = \pm 3.62$ m. The entire equine image is only 0.13 m long.

5.20 The first thing to find is the focal length in water, 5.20 The first during to finite local relation to the formula. Taking the ratio $f_w/f_s = f_{ss}/(10 \text{ cm}) = (n_w - 1)/((n_s/n_w) - 1) = 0.56/0.17 = 3.24;$ $f_w = 32 \text{ cm}$. The Gaussian lens formula gives the image distance: $1/s_1 + 1/100 \text{ cm} = 1/32.4 \text{ cm}$: $s_s = 48 \text{ cm}$.

5.21 The image will be inverted if it's to be real, so the set must be upside down or else something more will be needed to flip the image; $M_T = -3 = -s_1/s_s$; $1/s_s + 1/s_s = 1/0.60$ m; $s_s = 0.80$ m, hence 0.80 m + 3(0.80 m) = 3.2 m.

5.22
$$\frac{1}{f} = \langle n_{ba} - 1 \rangle (\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}),$$

 $\frac{1}{f_w} = \frac{\langle n_{ba} - 1 \rangle}{\langle n_t - 1 \rangle} \frac{1}{f_a} = \frac{1.5/1.33 - 1}{1.5 - 1} \frac{1}{f_a} = \frac{0.125}{0.5} \frac{1}{f_t}$

5.24 $1/f = 1/f_1 + 1/f_2$, $1/50 = 1/f_1 - 1/50$, $f_1 = 25$ cmg If R_{11} and R_{12} , and R_{21} and R_{22} are the radii of the first and second lenses, $1/f_1 = (n_l - 1) (1/R_{11} - 1/R_{12}), \qquad 1/25 = 0.5(2/R_{11}),$

 $R_{11} = -R_{12} = -R_{21} = 25 \,\mathrm{cm},$ $1/f_2 = (n_l - 1)(1/R_{21} - 1/R_{22}),$ $-1/50 = 0.55(1/-25-1/R_{22}),$

 $R_{22} = -275$ cm. $M_{T_1} = -s_{i1}/s_{o1} = -f_1/(s_{o1} - f_1)$ $M_{T_2} = -s_{i2}/s_{o2} = -s_{i2}/(d - s_{i1})$ $M_T = f_1 s_{12}/(s_{01} - f_1) (d - s_{11}).$ From (5.30), on substituting for s11, we have

5.25

 $M_T = \frac{f_1 s_{iR}}{(s_{pL} - f_1)d - s_{ox}f_1}$

5.26 First lens $1/s_1 = 1/80 - 1/30 = 0$, $s_{11} = \infty$. Second lens $1/s_{12} = 1/(-20) - 1/(-\infty)$, the object for the second lens is to the right at ∞ , that is, $s_{22} = -\infty$. $s_{12} = -20$ cm, virtual, 10 cm to the left of first lens.

 $M_T = (-\infty/30) (+20/-\infty) = \frac{3}{3}$

or from (5.34) 80(-20) $M_T = \frac{30(10)}{10(30-30)-30(30)} = \frac{1}{3}$



5.39 The angle subtended by L_1 at S is $\tan^{-1} 3/12 = 14^{\circ}$. To find the image of the diaphragm in L_1 we use Eq. (5.23): $x_x = 1^{\circ}$, $(-5)(x_1) = 31$, $x_1 = -13.5$ cm, so that the image is 4.5 m behind L_1 . The magnification is $-x_1/f = 13.5/9 - 1.5$, and thus the image (of the edge) of the hole is (0.5)(1.5) = 0.75 cm in radius. Hence the angle subtended at S is an $-10.75/16.5 - 2.6^{\circ}$. The image of L_2 in L_1 is obtained from $(-4)(x_1) = 20.5$ cm. mage of L_2 in L_1 is botained from $(-2)(\chi_1) = \delta_1, \chi_1 = -20.2$ cm, in other words, the image is 11.2 cm to the right of L_1 , $M_7 = 20.2$ (S, hence the edge of L_2 is imaged 4.4 cm above the axis. Thus its subtended angle at S is $\tan^{-1} 4.4/(12 + 11.2)$ or 9.8° . Accordingly, the diaphragm is the A.S., and the entrace pupil (its The mapping in is the A.S., and the entrace pupil (its image in L_1) has a diameter of 1.5 cm at 4.5 cm behind L_1 . The image of the diaphragm in L_2 is the exit pupil. Consequently, $\frac{1}{2} + 1/s$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $s_1 - \frac{5}{6}$, that is, 6 cm in front of L_2 . $M_T = \frac{9}{2} = 3$, so that the exit pupil diameter s_2 cm. is 3 cm.



5.51 Either the margin of L_1 or L_2 will be the A.S.; thus, since no lenses are to the left of L_1 , either its periphery or P_1 corresponds to the entrance pupil. Beyond (to the left of) point A, L_3 subtends the smallest angle and is the entrance pupil, nearer in (to the right of A), P_1 marks the edge of the entrance pupil. In the former case P_2 is the exit pupil; in the latter (since there are no lenses to the right of L_2) the exit pupil is the edge of Ly itself.



Solutions to Selected Problems 639

5.32 The A.S. is either the edge of L_1 or L_2 . Thus the entrance pupil is either marked by P_1 or P_2 . Beyond F_{si} , P_1 subtends the smaller angle; thus Σ_1 locates the A.S. The image of the A.S. in the lenses to its right, L_2 , locates P_3 as the exit pupil. $\frac{1}{2}i^2$



5.35 $1/s_0 + 1/s_1 = -2/R$. Let $R \to \infty$: $1/s_0 + 1/s_1 = 0$, $s_0 = -s_1$, and $M_T = +1$. Image is virtual, same size, and erect.

5.36 From Eq. (5.49), $1/100 + 1/s_i = -2/80$, and so $s_i = -28.5$ cm. Virtual $(s_i < 0)$, erect $(M_T > 0)$, and minified. (Check with Table 5.5.)

5.38 Image on screen must be real $\therefore s_i$ is +

$$\frac{1}{25} = \frac{1}{100} = -\frac{2}{R}, \qquad \frac{5}{100} = -\frac{2}{R}, \qquad R = -40 \text{ cm}.$$

5.39 The image is erect and minified. That implies (Table 5.5) a convex spherical mirror.

5.40 No-although she might be looking at you.

5.41 The mirror is parallel to the plane of the painting, and so the girl's image should be directly behind her and not off to the right.

5.43 To be magnified and erect the mirror must be concave, and the image virtual; $M_T = 2.0 - s_i/(0.015 \text{ m})$, $s_i = -0.03 \text{ m}$, and hence 1/f = 1/0.015 m + 1/-0.03 m; f = 0.03 m and f = -R/2; R = -0.06 m.

5.44 $M_T = y_i/y_o = -s_i/s_o$, using Eq. (5.50), $s_i = fs_o/(s_o - f)$, and since f = -R/2, $M_T = -f/(s_o - f) = -(-R/2)/(s_o + R/2) = R/(2s_o + R)$.

5.47 $M_T = -s_t/25 \text{ cm} = -0.064; s_t = 1.6 \text{ cm}. 1/25 \text{ cm} + 1/1.6 \text{ cm} = -2/R, R = -3.0 \text{ cm}.$

5.51 f = -R/2 = 30 cm, $1/20 + 1/s_i = 1/30$, $1/s_i = 1/30 - 1/20$.

 $s_i = -60 \text{ cm}, M_T = -s_i/s_o = 60/20 = 3.$

Image is virtual ($s_i < 0$), erect ($M_T > 0$), located 60 cm behind mirror, and 9 inches tall.

5.53 Draw the chief ray from the tip to L_1 such that 5.53 Draw the enter ray from the up to L_1 such that when extended it passes through the center of the entrance pupil. From there it goes through the center of the A.S., and then it bends at L_2 so as to extend through the center of the **exit pupil**. A marginal ray from S extends to the edge of the entrance pupil, bends at L_2 so it just misses the edge of A.S., and then bends at L_2 so it pass by the edge of the exit pupil.





5.55 From Eq. (5.64)

 $NA = (2.624 - 2.310)^{1/2} = 0.550,$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = \sin^{-1} 0.550 = 33^{\circ}22^{\circ}.$ $v_{max} = ant$ 0.000 = 35°22°. Maximum acceptance angle is $2\theta_{max} = 66^{\circ}44^{\circ}$. A ray at 45° would quickly leak out of the fiber; in other words, very little energy fails to escape, even at the first reflection.

5.56 Considering Eq. (5.65) (p. 174). $\log 0.5 = -0.30 = -\alpha L/10$, and so L = 15 km.

5.57 From Eq. (5.64) (p. 171) NA = 0.232 and $N_{\rm sc} = 9.2 \times 10^2$.



5.59 $M_T = -f/x_o = -1/x_o \mathscr{D}$. For the human eye $\mathscr{D} \approx 58.6$ diopters. $x_e = 230,000 \times 1.61 = 371 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{km}$

 $M_T = -1/3.71 \times 10^6 (58.6) = 4.6 \times 10^{-11}$ $y_i = 2160 \times 1.61 \times 10^3 \times 4.6 \times 10^{-11} = 0.16$ mm.

5.61 $1/20 + 1/s_{io} = 1/4$, $s_{io} = 5$ m.

 $1/0.3 + 1/s_{te} = 1/0.6$, $s_{ie} = -0.6$ m. $M_{10} = -5/10 = -0.5$ $M_{Tr} = -(-0.6)/0.5 = +1.2$ $M_{Te}M_{Te} = -0.6$.

5.64 Ray I in the figure above misses the eye-lens, and there is, therefore, a decrease in the energy arriving at the corresponding image point. This is vignetting.

5.65 Rays that would have missed the eye-lens in the previous problem are made to pass through it by the held-lens. Note how the field-lens bends the chief rays a bit so that they cross the optical axis slightly closer to the eye-lens, thereby moving the exit pupil and shortening the eye relief. (For more on the subject, see Modern Optical Engineering, by Smith.)



Solutions to Selected Problems 641

5.69 $\mathscr{D}_t = \frac{\mathscr{D}_c}{1 + \mathscr{D}_c d} = \frac{3.2D}{1 + (3.2D)(0.017 \text{ m})} = +3.03D$

or to two figures +3.0*D*, $f_1 = 0.330$ m, and so the far point is 0.330 m - 0.017 m = 0.313 m behind the eye lens. For the contact lens $f_e = 1/3.2 = 0.313$ m. Hence the far point at 0.31 m is the same for both, as it indeed must be.

5.71

a) The intermediate image-distance is obtained from the lens formula applied to the objective;

$$\frac{1}{27 \text{ mm}} + \frac{1}{s_i} = \frac{1}{25 \text{ mm}}$$

and $s_i = 3.38 \times 10^2$ mm. This is the distance from

and $s_1 = 3.38 \times 10^3$ mm. This is the distance from the objective to the intermediate image, to which must be added the focal length of the eyepicec to get the lens separation; 3.38×10^3 mm + 25 mm = 3.6×10^3 mm. b) $M_{Ts} = -s_1/s_s = -3.38 \times 10^3$ mm/27 mm = -12.5×, while the eyepicec has a magnification of $d_s \mathfrak{D} =$ (254 mm)(1/25 mm) = 10.2×. Thus the total mag-nification is MP = (-12.5) (10.2) = -1.3 \times 10^2; the minus sign just means the image is inverted.

CHAPTER 6

6.2 From Eq. (6.8), $1/f = 1/f' + 1/f' - d/f'f' = 2/f' - 2/3f', \qquad f = 3f'/4.$ From Eq. (6.9), $\overline{H_{11}H_1} = (3f'/4)(2f'/3)/f' = f'/2.$



6.3 From Eq. (6.2), 1/f = 0 when $-(1/R_1 - 1/R_2) = (n_l - 1)d/n_lR_1R_2$. Thus $d = n_l(R_1 - R_2)/(n_l - 1)$.

6.4 1/f = 0.5[1/6 - 1/10 + 0.5(3)/1.5(6)10]= 0.5[10/60 - 6/60 + 1/60]; f = +24; $h_1 = -24(0.5) (3)/10(1.5) = -2.4,$ $h_2 = -24(0.5)(3)/6(1.5) = -4.$

6.5 $f = \frac{1}{2}nR/(n-1); h_1 = +R, h_2 = -R.$

6.9 f = 29.6 + 0.4 = 30 cm; $s_0 = 49.8 + 0.2 = 50$ cm; $1/50 + 1/s_1 = 1/30$ cm. $s_1 = 75$ cm from H_2 and 74.6 cm from the back face.

6.11 From Eq. (6.2),

 $1/f = \frac{1}{2}[(1/4.0) - (1/-15) + \frac{1}{2}(4.0)/(3/2) (4.0) (-15)]$ = 0.147 and f = 6.8 cm.

 $\begin{array}{l} h_1=-(6.8)^1_2(4.0)/(-15)\,(3/2)=+0.60\ {\rm cm},\ {\rm while}\ h_2=-2.3.\ {\rm To}\ {\rm find}\ {\rm the}\ {\rm image}\ 1/(100.6)+1/s_i=1/(6.8);\ s_i=7.9\ {\rm cm}\ {\rm or}\ 5\ {\rm cm}\ {\rm from}\ {\rm the}\ {\rm back}\ {\rm face}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm the}\ {\rm lens}. \end{array}$

6.16 $h_1 = n_{i1}(1 - a_{11})/-a_{12} = (\mathcal{D}_2 d_{21}/n_{i1})f$ $= -(n_{i1}-1)d_{21}f/R_2n_{i1},$ from Eq. (5.64) where $n_{t1} = n_t$;

```
h_2 = n_{12}(a_{22} - 1) / -a_{12}
  = -(\mathfrak{D}_1 d_{21}/n_{i1})f from Eq. (5.70).
    = -(n_{i3}-1)d_{21}f/R_1n_{c1}.
```

6.17 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{T}_{21} \mathcal{R}_1$, but for the planar surface

$$\mathcal{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{D}_{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\mathfrak{D}_{2} = \langle n_{\epsilon 1} - 1 \rangle / - R_{2}$ but $R_{2} - \infty$
 $\mathcal{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

which is the unit matrix, hence $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_{21} \mathcal{R}_1$

 $\mathcal{D}_1 = (1.5 - 1)/0.5 = 1$ 6.18 and $\mathfrak{D}_2 = (1.5 - 1)/ - (-0.25) = 2$

```
ar = [1-2(0.3)/1.5
                              -1 + 2(1) (0.3)/(1.5 - 2)
          0.3/1.5
                                     -1(0.3)/1.5 + 1
       = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -2.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}
```

or

 $|\mathcal{A}| = 0.6(0.8) - (0.2)(-2.6) = 0.48 + 0.52 = 1.$

6.22 See E. Slayter, Optical Methods in Biology. $\overline{PC}(\overline{CA} = (n_i/n_2)R/R = n_i/n_2$, while $\overline{CA}/\overline{P'C} = n_i/n_2$. Therefore triangles ACP and ACP' are similar; using the sine law

```
\frac{\sin \measuredangle PAC}{\overline{PC}} = \frac{\sin \measuredangle APC}{\overline{CA}}
```

 $n_2 \sin \measuredangle PAC = n_1 \sin \measuredangle APC$, but $\theta_i = \not APAC$, thus $\theta_i = \not APC = \not AP'AC$, and the refracted ray appears to come from P'.

6.23 From Eq. (5.6), let $\cos \varphi = 1 - \varphi^2/2$; then $\ell_o = [R^2 + (s_o + R)^2 - 2R(s_o + R) + R(s_o + R)\varphi^2]^{1/2},$



7.5 $\frac{1 \text{ m}}{500 \text{ nm}} = 0.2 \times 10^7 = 2,000,000 \text{ waves.}$ In the glass $\frac{0.05}{\lambda_0/n} = \frac{0.05(1.5)}{500 \text{ nm}} = 1.5 \times 10^5;$

```
in air \frac{0.95}{5} = 0.19 \times 10^7;
             \lambda_0
```

total 2,050,000 waves. OPD = [(1.5)(0.05) + (1)(0.95)] - (1)(1)OPD = 1.025 - 1.000 = 0.025 m

```
\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda_0} = \frac{0.025}{500 \text{ nm}} = 5 \times 10^4 \text{ waves.}
```

7.8 $E = E_1 + E_2 = E_{01} \{ \sin \left[\omega t - k(x + \Delta x) \right] \}$ $+\sin(\omega t - k\alpha)$. Since $\sin \beta + \sin \gamma = 2 \sin \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \gamma) \cos \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \gamma)$, $E = 2E_{01}\cos\frac{k\,\Delta x}{2}\sin\left[\omega t - k\left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)\right],$

But $E_0 k/\omega = E_0/c = B_0$; thus $B(\mathbf{x}, t) = -2B_0 \cos kx \sin \omega t.$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial B} = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$

dx.



7.15 $E = E_0 \cos \omega_c t + E_0 \alpha \cos \omega_m t \cos \omega_c t$ $= E_0 \cos \omega_s t$

 $+\frac{E_0\alpha}{2}\left[\cos{(\omega_e-\omega_m)t}+\cos{(\omega_e+\omega_m)t}\right]$

Audible range $v_m = 20$ Hz to 20×10^3 Hz. Maximum modulation frequency $\nu_m(\max) = 20 \times 10^3$ Hz. $\nu_c - \nu_m(\max) \le \nu \ge \nu_c - \nu_m(\max)$ $\Delta \nu = 2\nu_{\rm m}({
m max}) = 40 \times 10^3 {
m Hz}.$

7.16 $v = \omega/k = ak$, $v_g = d\omega/dk = 2ak = 2v$. $v = \sqrt{\frac{g\lambda}{2-}} = \sqrt{g/k}$ 7.17 $v_{\kappa} = v + k \frac{dv}{dk}$ [7.38] $\frac{dv}{dk} = -\frac{1}{2k}\sqrt{\frac{g}{k}} = -\frac{v}{2k}$ $v_e = v/2.$ 7.19 $v_g = v + k \frac{dv}{dk}$ and $\frac{dv}{dk} = \frac{dv}{d\omega} \frac{d\omega}{dk} - v_g \frac{dv}{d\omega}$ Since v = c/n, $\frac{dv}{d\omega} = \frac{dv}{dn} \frac{dn}{d\omega} = -\frac{c}{n^2} \frac{dn}{d\omega}$ $v_{g} = v - \frac{v_{g}ck}{n^{2}}\frac{dn}{d\omega} = \frac{v}{1 + (ck/n^{2})(dn/d\omega)} = \frac{c}{n + \omega(dn/d\omega)}$ 7.22 $\omega \gg \omega_i, \qquad n^2 = 1 - \frac{Nq_e^2}{\omega^2 \epsilon_0 m_e} \sum f_i = 1 - \frac{Nq_e^2}{\omega^2 \epsilon_0 m_e}.$ Using the binomial expansion, we have $(1-x)^{1/2} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{9}x$ for $x \ll 1$. $n = 1 - Nq_e^2/\omega^2 \epsilon_0 m_e^2$, $dn/d\omega = Nq_e^2/\epsilon_0 m_e\omega^3$ $v_g = \frac{c}{n + \omega(dn/d\omega)}$ $= \frac{c}{1 - Nq_{\epsilon}^2/\omega^2 \epsilon_0 m_{\epsilon} 2 + Nq_{\epsilon}^2/\epsilon_0 m_{\epsilon} \omega^2}$ $= \frac{c}{1 + Nq_{\epsilon}^2/\epsilon_0 m_{\epsilon} \omega^2 2}$

and $v_e < c$, $v = c/n = \frac{c}{1 - Nq_{\ell}^2/\epsilon_o m_e \omega^2 2}$

Binomial expansion $(1 - x)^{-1} = 1 + x, \quad x \ll 1$

 $v = c[1 + Nq_{\varepsilon}^2/\epsilon_0 m_{\varepsilon} \omega^2 2]; \qquad v v_g = c^2.$

7.24 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin akx \sin bkx dx$ $=\frac{1}{2k}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\lambda}\cos\left[(a-b)kx\right]k\,dx\right]$

 $= \int_{a}^{A} \cos \left[(a+b)kx \right] k \, dx$ $=\frac{1}{2k}\frac{\sin{(a-b)kx}}{a-b}\bigg|_{0}^{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2k}\frac{\sin{(a+b)kx}}{a+b}\bigg|_{0}^{\lambda}$ =0 if $a \neq b$. Whereas if a = b,

 $\int_{0}^{\lambda} \sin^{2} akx \, dx = \frac{1}{2k} \int_{0}^{\lambda} (1 + \cos 2akx) \, k \, dx = \frac{\lambda}{2^{*}}$ The other integrals are similar. 7.25 Even function, therefore $B_m = 0$.

 $A_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{-\lambda/a}^{\lambda/a} dx = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda}{a} + \frac{\lambda}{a} \right) = \frac{4}{a},$ $A_{m} = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{-\lambda \infty}^{\lambda/a} (1) \cos m k x \, dx$ $=\frac{2}{mk\lambda}\sin mkx$

 $A_m = \frac{2}{max} \sin \frac{m2\pi}{a}$

 $f'(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^a E_0 L \frac{\sin kL/2}{kL/2} \cos kx \, dk$ 7.26 $=\frac{E_0L}{\pi 2}\int_0^b \frac{\sin\left(kL/2+kx\right)}{kL/2}\,dk$ $= \frac{E_c L}{\pi 2} \int_0^b \frac{\sin\left(kL/2 - kx\right)}{kL/2} \, dk.$ Let kL/2 = w, (L/2) dk = dw, kx = wx'.

 $f'(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{E_0}{\pi} \int_0^b \frac{\sin(w + wx')}{w} \, dw + \frac{E_0}{\pi} \int_0^b \frac{\sin(w - wx')}{w} \, dw$

where b = aL/2. Let w + wx' = t, dw/w = dt/t. $0 \le w = and 0 \le t = (x' + 1)b$. Let w - wx' = -t in other integrate $0 = w \le b$ and $0 \le t = (x' - 1)b$.

 $f'(x) = \frac{E_0}{\pi} \int_0^{(x'+1)\phi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \frac{E_0}{\pi} \int_0^{(x'-1)\phi} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$ $f'(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{E_0}{\pi} \operatorname{Si}[b(\mathbf{x}'+1)] - \frac{E_0}{\pi} \operatorname{Si}[b(\mathbf{x}'-1)], \quad \mathbf{x}' = 2\mathbf{x}/L.$



7.27 By analogy with Eq. (7.61), $A(\omega) = \frac{\Delta l}{2} E_0 \operatorname{sinc} (\omega_p - \omega) \frac{\Delta l}{2}$

From Table 1 (p. 624) sinc $(\pi/2) = 63.7\%$. Not quite 50% actually,

$$\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{1.65}\right) = 49.8\%.$$

$$\left|(\omega_p - \omega)\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{\pi}{\Delta t} < (\omega_p - \omega) < \frac{\pi}{\Delta t}$$

thus appreciable values of $A(\omega)$ lie in a range $\Delta \omega \sim 2\pi/\Delta t$ and $\Delta \nu \Delta t \sim 1$. Irradiance is proportional to $A^2(\omega)$, and $[\operatorname{sinc}(\pi/2)]^2 - 40.6\%$.

7.28 $\Delta x_c = c \Delta t_c, \Delta x_c \sim c/\Delta \nu$. But $\Delta \omega / \Delta h_0 = \bar{\omega} / \bar{k}_0 = c$; thus $|\Delta \nu / \Delta \lambda_0| = \bar{\nu} / \bar{\lambda}_0$, cλn

$$\Delta x_e \sim \frac{\lambda x_0}{\Delta \lambda_0 \bar{\nu}}, \qquad \Delta x_e \sim \lambda_0^2 / \Delta \lambda_0.$$

Or try using the uncertainty principle:

 $\Delta x \sim \frac{h}{\Delta p}$ where $p = h/\lambda$ and $\Delta \lambda_0 \ll \tilde{\lambda}_0$.

Solutions to Selected Problems 645

```
7.29 \Delta x_c = c \Delta t_c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \, 10^{-8} \text{ s} = 3 \text{ m}.
                 \Delta \lambda_0 \sim \lambda_0^2 / \Delta x_c = (500 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})^2 / 3 \text{ m},
                 \Delta \lambda_0 \sim 8.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m} = 8.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ nm},
           \Delta \lambda_0 / \bar{\lambda}_0 = \Delta \nu / \bar{\nu} = 8.8 \times 10^{-5} / 500 - 1.6 \times 10^{-7}
                       ~ 1 part in 107.
```

7.30 $\Delta v = 54 \times 10^3 \, \text{Hz};$

$\Delta \nu / \bar{\nu} = \frac{(54 \times 10^8) (10,600 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})}{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})}$

 1.91×10^{-9} . $\Delta x_c = c \Delta t_c \sim c / \Delta \nu,$

 $\Delta x_c \sim \frac{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})}{(54 \times 10^8 \text{ Hz})} = 5.55 \times 10^3 \text{ m}.$

7.32 $\Delta x_c = c \Delta t_c = 3 \times 10^8 \times 10^{-10} = 3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m},$ $\Delta \nu \sim 1/\Delta t_c = 10^{10} \, \mathrm{Hz},$

 $\Delta \lambda_0 = \bar{\lambda}_0^2 / \Delta x_c$ (see Problem 7.28)

 $= (632.8 \text{ nm})^2/3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m} = 0.013 \text{ nm}.$

 $\Delta \nu = 10^{15} \text{ Hz}, \Delta x_c = c \times 10^{-15} = 300 \text{ nm},$

$\Delta \lambda_0 \sim \bar{\lambda}_0^2 / \Delta x_c = 1334.78 \text{ nm.}$

CHAPTER 8

- 8.1 a) $\mathbf{E} = iE_0 \cos(kz \omega t) + iE_0 \cos(kz \omega t + \pi)$. Equal amplitudes, E_1 lags E_4 by π . Therefore \mathscr{P} -state at 135^5 or -45^6 . b) $\mathbf{E} = TE_0 \cos(kz \omega t \pi/2) + jE_0 \cos(kz \omega t + \pi/2)$. Equal amplitudes, E_1 lags E_4 by π . Therefore same $\cos(6)$
- Legan implicites, r_{s} was r_{s} by π . Therefore same as (a). c) E_{s} leads E_{s} by $\pi/4$. They have equal amplitudes. Therefore it is an ellipse tilted at $+45^{\circ}$ and is left-handed. d) E_{s} leads E_{s} by $\pi/2$. They have equal amplitudes. Therefore it is an \Re -state.

8.2 $\mathbf{E}_s = \hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos \omega t$, $\mathbf{E}_s = \hat{\mathbf{j}} \sin \omega t$. Left-handed circular standing wave-



8.3 $\mathbf{E}_{\Re} = \mathbf{i} E_0 \cos(kz - \omega t) + \mathbf{j} E_0 \sin(kz - \omega t)$ $\mathbf{E}_{st} = \mathbf{\hat{1}} E_0' \cos\left(kz - \omega t\right) - \mathbf{\hat{1}} E_0' \sin\left(kz - \omega t\right)$

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\beta t} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \hat{\imath}(E_0 + E_0')\cos\left(kz - \omega t\right)$

 $+ \hat{j}(E_0 - E'_0) \sin(kz - \omega t).$

 $\begin{array}{l} \sum_{R \geq 0} - \mathcal{E}_0 \, \sin\left(\mathbf{R} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\right), \\ \text{Let } E_0 + E_0' = \mathcal{E}_0' \, \sin\left(\mathbf{R} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\right), \\ \text{Let } E_0' = \mathcal{E}_0' \, \sin\left(\mathbf{R} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\right), \\ \text{From Eqs. (8.11)} \\ \text{and (8.12) it is clear that we have an ellipse where } e^{-\pi/2} \, \text{and } \alpha = 0. \end{array}$

8.4 $E_{0y} = E_0 \cos 25^\circ$; $E_{0z} = E_0 \sin 25^\circ$; $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (0.91\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 0.42\hat{\mathbf{k}})E_0\cos(k\mathbf{x} - \omega t + \frac{1}{2}\pi)$

8.6 $\mathbf{E} = E_0[\mathbf{j}\sin(k\mathbf{x} - \omega t) - \mathbf{\hat{k}}\cos(k\mathbf{x} - \omega t)]$

8.7 In natural light each filter passes 32% of the incident beam. Half of the incoming flux density is in incident beam. Hait of the incoming fux density is in the form of a θ -state parallel to the extinction axis, and effectively none of this emerges. Thus, 64% of the light parallel to the transmission axis is transmitted. In the present problem 32% I, enters the second filter, and 64% (32% I,) = 21% I, leaves it.

8.11 From the figure (upper right), it follows that

 $I = \frac{1}{2}E_{01}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta\cos^{2}\theta = \frac{E_{01}^{2}}{9}(1 - \cos 2\theta)(1 + \cos 2\theta)$

 $=\frac{E_{01}^2}{8}(1-\cos^2 2\theta)=\frac{E_{01}^2}{8}\left[1-(\frac{1}{2}\cos 4\theta+\frac{1}{2})\right]$

 $= \frac{E_{01}^2}{16} (1 - \cos 4\theta) = \frac{I_1}{8} (1 - \cos 4\theta); \qquad \theta = \omega t.$ Cos 8 cos θ cos (90 - θ)

8.12 No. The crystal performs as if it were two oppositely oriented **specimens** in series. Two similarly oriented crystals in series would behave like one thick specimen and thus separate the *o*- and *e*-rays even more.

8.14 Light scattered from the paper passes through 8.14 Light scattered from the paper passes through the polaroids and becomes linearly polarized. Light from the upper left filter has its 3-field parallel to the principal section (which is diagonal across the second and fourth quadrants) and is therefore an e-ray. Notice how the letters P and T are shifted downward in an extraordinary fashion. The lower right filter passes an e-ray so that the letter C is undeviated. Note that the endinome is denote to the blutt cotter. ordinary image is closer to the blunt corner.

8.15 (a) and (c) are two aspects of the previous prob-lem. (b) shows double refraction because the polaroid's axis is at roughly 45° to the principal section of the crystal. Thus both an o- and an e-ray will exist.



8.16~ When E is perpendicular to the CO_3 plane the polarization will be less than when it is parallel. In the former case, the field of each polarized oxygen atom tends to reduce the polarization of its neighbors. In other words, the induced field, as shown in the figure, is down while **E** is up. When **E** is in the carbonate plane Is down while is up, when is is in the carbonate plane two dipoles reinforce the third and vice versa. A reduced polarizability leads to a lower dielectric constant, a lower refractive index, and a higher speed. Thus $v_{II} > v_{\perp}$.



8.20 no = 1.6584, no = 1.4864. Snell's law: $\sin \theta_i = n_o \sin \theta_{io} = 0.766$ $\sin \theta_i = n_e \sin \theta_{te} = 0.766$ $\sin \theta_{to} \approx 0.463, \qquad \theta_{to} \approx 27^{\circ}35';$ $\sin \theta_{te} \approx 0.516$, $\theta_{te} \approx 81^{\circ}4'$; $\Delta \theta \approx 3^{\circ}29'$.

8.22 Calcite $n_o > n_c$. Two spectra will be visible when (b) or (c) is used in a spectrometer. The indices are computed in the usual way, using

 $n = \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta_m)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \delta_m)}$ $\sin \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ where δ_m is the angle of minimum deviation of either

647 8.23 E_x leads E_y by $\pi/2$. They were initially in phase

Solutions to Selected Problems







c) Undesired energy in the form of one of the P-states d) The Rochon transmits an undeviated beam (the *s*-ray), which is therefore achromatic as well.

 $\Delta \varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} d \, \Delta n$ 8.31

but $\Delta \varphi = (1/4) (2\pi)$ because of the fringe shift. Therefore $\Delta \varphi = \pi/2$ and

 $\frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{2\pi d (0.005)}{589.3 \times 10^{-9}}$ $d = \frac{589.3 \times 10^{-9}}{10^{-9}}$



8.32 The A-state incident on the glass screen drives the electrons in circular orbits, and they reradiate reflec-ted circular light whose E-field rotates in the same ted circular light whose E-held rotates in the same direction as that of the incoming beam. But the propaga-tion direction has been reversed on reflection, so that although the incident light is in an \Re -state, the reflected light is left-handed. It will therefore be completely absorbed by the right-circular polarizer. This is illus-trated in the figure below.



8.33 Yes. If the amplitudes of the *P*-states differ. The transmitted beam, in a pile-of-plates polarizer, especially for a small pile.

8.35 Place the photoelastic material between circular polarizers with both retarders facing it (as in Fig. 8.52). Under circular illumination no orientation of the stress axes is preferred over any other, and they will thus all Are is pictrified of any other into the birefringence will have an effect, and so the isochromatics will be visible. If the two polarizers are different, that is, one an \Re , the other an \Re , regions where Δn leads to $\Delta \varphi = \pi$ will appear bright. If they are the same, such regions appear dark.

[8.44]

8.37
$$V_{\lambda/2} = \lambda_0/2 n_0^3 \tau_{63}$$

= 550 × 10⁻⁹/2(1.58)³5.5 × 10⁻¹²
= 10³/2(3.94) = 12.7 kV.
8.38 $\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2^* = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} s_{21} \\ s_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{E}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2}^{*} = (1) (e_{21})^{*} + (-2i) (e_{22})^{*} = 0$

٢٥٦
$\mathbf{E}_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{i} \right\rfloor$
\mathbf{E}_1 is \mathbf{E}_2 is
8.44
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$
8.46
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} $
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$8.49 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} te^{i\varphi} & 0\\ 0 & te^{i\varphi} \end{bmatrix},$
where a phase increment of φ is introduced into both components as a result of traversing the plate. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$8.50 \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0$
$ \begin{aligned} 8.51 V &= \frac{I_{\rho}}{I_{\rho} + I_{u}} = \frac{(\mathcal{B}_{1}^{0} + \mathcal{S}_{2}^{0} + \mathcal{S}_{3}^{0})^{1/2}}{\mathcal{S}_{0}} \\ I_{\rho} &= (\mathcal{S}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{S}_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{S}_{3}^{0})^{1/2}; I - I_{\rho} = I_{u}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{0} - (\mathcal{S}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{S}_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{S}_{3}^{0})^{1/2} = I_{u} \end{aligned} $

F1 F17 F17 F17

Solutions to Selected Problems 649



CHAPTER 9

9.1 $\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 = \frac{1}{2} (E_1 e^{-i\omega t} + E_1^* e^{i\omega t}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} (E_2 e^{-i\omega t} + E_2^* e^{i\omega t}),$ where Re (z) = $\frac{1}{2}(z + z^*)$.

 $\mathbf{E}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2} = \frac{1}{4} [\mathbf{E}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2} e^{-2i\omega t} + \mathbf{E}_{1}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2}^{*} e^{2i\omega t} + \mathbf{E}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2}^{*}]$ $+ E_1^* \cdot E_2$].

The last two terms are time independent, while $\langle \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 e^{-2i\omega t} \rangle \Rightarrow 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{E}_1^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_2^* e^{2i\omega t} \rangle \Rightarrow 0$

because of the $1/T\omega$ coefficient. Thus

 $I_{12} = 2\langle \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_2^* + \mathbf{E}_1^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_2).$

9.2 The largest value of $(r_1 - r_2)$ is equal to a. Thus if $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$, $\delta = k(r_1 - r_2)$ varies from 0 to ka. If $a \gg \lambda$, $\cos \delta$ and therefore I_{12} will have a great many maxima and minima and therefore average to zero over a large region of space. In contrast, if $a \ll \lambda$, δ varies only slightly from 0 to $ka \ll 2n$. Hence I_{12} does not average to zero, and from Eq. (9.17). I deviates little from $4I_0$. The two sources effectively behave as a single source of double the original strength. double the original strength.

9.3 A bulb at S would produce fringes. We can imagine it as made up of a very large number of incoher-ent point sources. Each of these would generate an independent pattern, all of which would then overlap. Bulbs at S_1 and S_2 would be incoherent and could not generate detectable fringes.

9.5

 $\begin{array}{l} 9.5 \\ a & (r_1-r_2) = \pm \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \mbox{ hence } a \sin \theta_1 = \pm \frac{1}{2}\lambda \mbox{ and } \theta_1 = \pm \frac{1}{2}\lambda/a \\ a & \pm \frac{1}{2}(632.8 \times 10^{-9} \mbox{ m})(0.200 \times 10^{-3} \mbox{ m}) = \pm 1.58 \times 10^{-5} \mbox{ rad}) = \pm 1.58 \mbox{ mom} \\ 10^{-5} \mbox{ rad}) = \pm 1.58 \mbox{ mm} \end{array}$

....

b) $y_5 = s5A/a = (1.00 \text{ m})5(632.8 \times 10^{-9})/(0.200 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}) = 1.582 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}.$ c) Since the fringes vary as cosine-squared and the answer to (a) is half a fringe width, the answer to (b) is 10 times larger.

9.13 $r_2^2 = a^2 + r_1^2 - 2ar_1 \cos{(90 - \theta)}$. The contribution to $\cos{\delta/2}$ from the third term in the Maclaurin expansion will be negligible if

$$\frac{k}{2} \left(\frac{a^2}{2r_1} \cos^2 \theta \right) \ll \pi/2;$$

therefore $r_t \gg a^2/\lambda$.

9.14 $E = \frac{i}{2}mv^2$; $v = 0.42 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}$; $\lambda = h/mv = 1.73 \times 10^{-9}; \quad \Delta y = s\lambda/a = 3.46 \text{ mm}.$

9.18 $\Delta y = s\lambda_0/2d\alpha(n-n').$

9.19 $\Delta y = (s/a)\lambda$, $a = 10^{-2}$ cm, $a/2 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm.

9.20 $\delta = k(r_1 - r_2) + \pi$ (Lloyd's mirror) $\delta = k\{a/2\sin\alpha - [\sin\left(90 - 2\alpha\right)]a/2\sin\alpha\} + \pi$ $\delta = ka(1 - \cos 2\alpha)/2 \sin \alpha + \pi,$

maximum occurs for

 $\delta = 2\pi$ when $\sin \alpha (\lambda/a) = (1 - \cos 2\alpha) = 2 \sin^2 \alpha$. First maximum $\alpha = \sin^{-1} (\lambda/2a)$.

9.22 Here 1.00 < 1.34 > 1.00, hence from Eq. (9.36) with m = 0, $d = (0 + \frac{1}{2}) (633 \text{ nm})/2(1.34) = 118 \text{ nm}.$

9.25 Eq. (9.37) $m = 2n_f d/\lambda_0$ 10,000. A minimum, therefore central dark region.





$d = d_1 = d_2 = \frac{x^2}{2} \left[\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right], \qquad d = m \frac{\lambda_f}{2}.$
As $R_2 \neq \infty$, x_m approaches Eq. (9.43).
$\Delta x = \lambda_f/2\alpha, \qquad \alpha = \lambda_0/2n_f \Delta x,$
$\alpha = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ rad = 10.2 seconds.
9.31 A motion of $\lambda/2$ causes a single fringe pair to hift past, hence 92 $\lambda/2$ 2.53 × 10 ⁻⁵ m and $\lambda = 550$ nm.
0.35 $E_t^2 = E_t E_t^* = E_0^2 (tt')^2 / (1 - r^2 e^{-i\delta}) (1 - r^2 e^{+i\delta})$
$I_{i} = I_{i}(tt')^{2}/(1 - r^{2}e^{-i\delta} - r^{2}e^{i\delta} + r^{4}).$
$\frac{1.36}{R} = 0.80 \therefore F = 4R/(1-R)^2 = 80$
b) $\gamma = 4 \sin^{-t} 1 / \sqrt{F} = 0.448$
:) $\mathscr{F} = 2\pi/0.448$
i) $C = 1 + F$

9.27 $x^2 = d_1[(R_1 - d_1) + R_1] = 2R_1d_1 - d_1^2$

Similarly $x^2 = 2R_2d_2 - d_2^2$.

7
$$\frac{2}{1+F(\Delta\delta/4)^2} = 0.81 \left[1 + \frac{1}{1+F(\Delta\delta/2)^2}\right]$$
$$F^2(\Delta\delta)^4 - 15.5F(\Delta\delta)^2 - 30 = 0.$$

9.38 $I = I_{max} \cos^2 \delta/2$ $I = I_{\max}/2$ when $\delta = \pi/2$ $\therefore \gamma = \pi$.

9.3

Separation between maxima is 2π . $\mathcal{F}=2\pi/\gamma=2.$

9.40 At near normal incidence ($\theta_i \approx 0$) Fig. 4.23(e) indicates that the relative phase shift between an internally and externally reflected beam is π rad. That means a total relative phase difference of





$$d = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_i = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\lambda_0}{n_i} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{540}{1.24}$$
 nm.

No relative phase shift between two waves. 9.42 The refracted wave will traverse the film twice,

and there will be no relative phase shift on reflection. Hence

 $d = \lambda_0/4n_f = (550 \text{ nm})/4(1.38) = 99.6 \text{ nm}.$

CHAPTER 10



10.2 $E_0/2 = R \sin(\delta/2)$ $E = 2R \sin(N\delta/2)$ chord length $E = [E_0 \sin (N\delta/2)]/\sin (\delta/2)$ $I = E^2$.

10.4 $d\sin\theta_m = m\lambda$, $\theta = N\delta/2 - \pi$ $7\sin\,\theta=(1)\,(0.21)$ $\delta = 2\pi/N$ = kd sin 0 $\sin \theta = 0.03$ $\theta = 1.7^{\circ}$





Solutions to Selected Problems 651





10.15 If the aperture is symmetrical about a line, the pattern will be symmetrical about a line parallel to it. Moreover, the pattern will be symmetrical about yet another line perpendicular to the aperture's symmetry axis. This follows from the fact that Fraunhofer patterns have a center of symmetry. 10.16





- 10.17 Three parallel short slits.
- 10.18 Two parallel short slits.
- 10.19 An equilateral triangular hole.
- 10.20 A cross-shaped hole.
- 10.21 The E-field of a rectangular hole.



2









10.27 I part in 1000. 3 yd ≈ 100 inches.



10.32~ From Eq. (10.32), where a = 1/(1000 lines per cm) = 0.001 cm per line (center to center), sin $\theta_{\rm m}=1(650\times10^{-9}~{\rm m})/(0.001\times10^{-2}~{\rm m})-6.5\times10^{-2}~$ and θ_1 = 3.73°.

10.35 The largest value of *m* in Eq. (10.32) occurs when the sine function is equal to one, making the left side of the equation as large as possible, then $m - a/\lambda - (1/10 \times 10^6)/(.50 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s} + 4.0 \times 10^4 \text{ Hz}) = 1.3$, and only the first-order spectrum is visible.



10.38 $\Re - mN = 10^6$, $N = 78 \times 10^3$ $\therefore m = 10^6/78 \times 10^3$ $\Delta \lambda_{1y} = \lambda/m = 500 \text{ nm}/(10^6/78 \times 10^3) = 39 \text{ nm}$,

 $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{F}m=\mathcal{F}\frac{2n_{i}d}{\lambda}=10^{6}$ [9.76] $\Delta \lambda_{\rm for} = \lambda^2 / 2n_f d = 0.0125 \,\rm nm.$ [9.78] **10.39** $\Re = \lambda / \Delta \lambda = 5892.9 / 5.9 = 999$ $N = \Re/m = 333$ 10.41 $y = L\lambda/d$ $d = 12 \times 10^{-6} / 12 \times 10^{-2} = 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m}.$ 10.43 $A = 2\pi\rho^2 \int_0^{\varphi} \sin\varphi \,d\varphi = 2\pi\rho^2(1-\cos\varphi)$ $\cos \varphi = [\rho^2 + (\rho + r_0)^2 - r_1^2]/2\rho(\rho + r_0)$ $r_l=r_0+l\lambda/2.$ Area of first *l* zones $A = 2\pi\rho^2 - \pi\rho(2\rho^2 + 2\rho r_0 - l\lambda r_0 - l^2\lambda^2/4)/(\rho + \tau_0)$ $A_{l} = A - A_{l-1} = \frac{4\pi\mu}{\rho + \tau_{0}} \left[\tau_{0} + \frac{(2l-1)\lambda}{4} \right].$ 10.45 10.46 $I = \frac{I_0}{2} \{ [\frac{1}{2} - \mathscr{C}(v_1)]^2 + [\frac{1}{2} - \mathscr{C}(v_1)]^2 \}$

$$I = \frac{I_0}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_1}\right)^2 \left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi v_1^2}{2}\right) + \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi v_1^2}{2}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{I_0}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_1}\right)^2.$$

10.47 Fringes in both the clear and shadow region [(see M. P. Givens and W. L. Goffe, Am. J. Phys. 34, 248 (1966)].



11.3 $\cos^2 \omega_p t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\omega_p t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{2i\omega_p t} + e^{-2i\omega_p t}}{\frac{4}{2}},$ $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-T}^{+T} e^{i\omega t} dt + \frac{1}{4} \int e^{i(\omega+2\omega_p)t} dt + \frac{1}{4} \int e^{i(\omega-2\omega_p)t} dt$ $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \sin \omega T + \frac{1}{2(\omega + 2\omega_p)} \sin (\omega + 2\omega_p) T$ $+\frac{1}{2(\omega-2\omega_p)}\sin(\omega-2\omega_p)T$

 $F(\omega) = T \operatorname{sinc} \omega T + \frac{T}{2} \operatorname{sinc} (\omega + 2\omega_p) T$

 $+\frac{T}{2}\operatorname{sinc}(\omega-2\omega_p)T.$

11.6 $\mathscr{F}\{af(x) + bh(x)\} = aF(k) + bH(k)$ 11.8 $F(k) = L \operatorname{sinc}^2 kL/2$ at k = 0, F(0) - L, and $F(\pm 2\pi i L) = 0$.

11.15 $\int_{x=-\infty}^{x=+\infty} f(x)h(X-x) dx$

$$= -\int_{x'=+\infty}^{\infty} f(X - x')h(x') dx'$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x')f(X - x') dx'$$

where x' = X - x, dx = -dx'. $f \circledast h = h \circledast f$

or $\mathcal{F}\{f \circledast h\} = \mathcal{F}\{f\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{h\} - \mathcal{F}\{h\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{f\} - \mathcal{F}\{h \circledast f\}.$

11.17 A point on the edge of f(x, y), for example, at (x = d, y = 0), is spread out into a square 2ℓ on a side centered on X = d. Thus it extends no farther than $X = d + \ell$, and so the convolution must be zero at $X = d + \ell$ and beyond.



 $=\mathscr{F}\{\operatorname{rect}(x)\} \cdot \mathscr{F}\{[\delta(x-a) + \delta(x+a)]\}$ $= a \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{2}ka \cdot (e^{ika} + e^{-ika})$ $= a \operatorname{sinc} (\frac{1}{2}ka) \cdot 2 \cos ka.$

11.25 $f(x) \oplus h(x)$

 $= [\delta(x+3) + \delta(x-2) + \delta(x-5)] \circledast h(x)$ = h(x+3) + h(x-2) + h(x-5)



```
12.6 The irradiance at \Sigma_0 arising from a point source
is 4I_0 \cos^2(\partial I_0^2) = 2I_0(1 + \cos \delta).
For a differential source element of width dy at point
S', y from the axis, the OPD to P at Y via the two slits
is
```

```
\begin{split} \Lambda &= (\overline{S}^*\overline{S}_1 + \overline{S}_1\overline{P}) - (\overline{S}^*\overline{S}_2 + \overline{S}_2\overline{P}) \\ &= (\overline{S}^*\overline{S}_1 - \overline{S}^*\overline{S}_2) + (\overline{S}_1\overline{P} - \overline{S}_2\overline{P}) \\ &= ay/l + aY/s \text{ from Section 9.3.} \end{split}
The contribution to the irradiance from dy is then
```

 $dI \propto (1 + \cos k\Lambda) dy$

$$I \propto \int_{-ba}^{+AB} (1 + \cos k\Lambda) \, dy$$

$$I \propto b + \frac{d}{ha} \left[\sin \left(\frac{aY}{s} + \frac{ab}{2l} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{aY}{s} - \frac{ab}{2l} \right) \right]$$

$$I \propto b + \frac{d}{ha} \left[\sin (haY/s) \cos (hab/2l) \right]$$

+ $\cos (kaY/s) \sin (kab/2l)$ - $\sin (kaY/s) \cos (kab/2l)$ + $\cos (kaY/s) \sin (kab/2l)$

```
I \propto b + \frac{l2}{ka} \sin(kab/2l) \cos(kaY/s).
```

7
$$\Psi = \frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{I_{max} + I_{min}}$$

 $I_{max} = I_1 + I_2 + 2\sqrt{I_1 I_2} |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}|$
 $I_{min} = I_1 + I_2 - 2\sqrt{I_1 I_2} |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}|$
 $\Psi = \frac{4\sqrt{I_1 I_2} |\tilde{\gamma}_{12}|}{2(I_1 + I_2)}$

12.8 When

12.

```
\begin{split} S^*S_1O' &= S'S_1O' = \lambda/2, \, 3\lambda/2, \, 5\lambda/2, \, \dots, \end{split} the irradiance due to S' is given by I' = 4\,I_0\cos^2{(\delta'/2)} = 2\,I_0(1+\cos{\delta'}), \end{split}
```

while the irradiance due to S'' is

Solutions to Selected Problems 657

```
I'' = 4I_0 \cos^2 (\delta''/2) = 4I_0 \cos^2 (\delta' + \pi)/2= 2I_0(1 - \cos \delta').
```

```
Hence I' + I'' = 4I_0.
```

```
12.10 \theta = \frac{1}{2}^{\circ} = 0.0087 \text{ rad}
```

```
h = 0.32\bar{\lambda}_0/\theta \text{ using } \bar{\lambda}_0 = 550 \text{ nm}

h = 0.32 (550 \text{ nm})/0.0087

h = 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mm}.
```

12.11 $I_1(t) = \Delta I_1(t) + \langle I_1 \rangle;$

```
hence
```

$$\begin{split} \langle I_i(t+\tau)I_2(t)\rangle \\ &= \langle [\langle I_1\rangle + \Delta I_i(t+\tau)][\langle I_2\rangle + \Delta I_2(t)]\rangle, \end{split}$$

```
since \langle I_i \rangle is independent of time.
```

$$\begin{split} \langle I_1(t+\tau)I_2(t)\rangle &= \langle I_1\rangle\langle I_2\rangle + \langle \Delta I_1(t+\tau)\Delta I_2(t)\rangle, \\ \text{if we recall that } \langle \Delta I_1(t)\rangle = 0. \text{ Eq. (12.34) follows by comparison with Eq. (12.32).} \end{split}$$

12.13 From Eq. (12.22), $\mathcal{V} = 2\sqrt{(10I)I}/(10I + I) = 2\sqrt{10}/11 = 0.57$.

12.18 From the van Cittert–Zernike theorem, the degree of coherence can be obtained from the Fourier transform of the source function, which itself is a series of δ -functions corresponding to a diffraction grating with spacing a_i , where $a \sin a_n = m\lambda$. The coherence function is therefore also a series of δ -functions. Hence the $\overline{P_iP_2}$, the slit separation d_i must correspond to the location of the first-order diffraction fringe of the source if δ' is to be maximum. a_i , a_i , and $s a \in a_i$, a_i and $s a \in b_i = \lambda/(a = (500 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})(2.0 \text{ m})/(500 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}) = 2.0 \text{ mm}.$

CHAPTER 13

13.1 $L_{\tau} = \sigma T^4$ [13.1] (22.8 W cm²) (10⁴ cm²/m³) (5.7 × 10⁻⁸ W m⁻² K⁻⁴) T⁻⁴ T = $\left[\frac{22.8 \times 10^4}{5.7 \times 10^{-6}}\right]^{1/4}$ = 1.414 × 10³ - 1414 K. **13.3** $\nu = c/\lambda$, $d\nu = -c d\lambda/\lambda^2$.

Since I_{ex} and I_{er} are to be positive and since an increase in λ yields a decrease in ν , we write $I_{ex} d\lambda = -I_{er} d\nu$ and

 $I_{\epsilon\nu} = -I_{\epsilon\lambda} \, d\lambda/d\nu = I_{\epsilon\lambda} \lambda^2/c.$

13.4 $\lambda = \frac{4}{mv} = \frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}}{(0.15 \text{ kg}) (25 \text{ m/s})}.$ Baseball: $\lambda = \frac{6.63 \times 10^{-24}}{3.75} = 1.76 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}$ Hydrogen: $\lambda = \frac{6.63 \times 10^{-54}}{(1.67 \times 10^{-59}) (10^5)} = 3.96 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}.$

(1.67 × 10⁻⁴') (10°)

13.6 $\lambda = \frac{c}{\nu} = \frac{hc}{h\nu} = \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-86}) (3 \times 10^{9})}{(1.6 \times 10^{-16}) h\nu [in eV]}$ $\lambda = \frac{12.39 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}}{h\nu [in eV]} = \frac{12,390 \text{ Å}}{h\nu [in eV]}$ The usual mmemoric is

 $\lambda = \frac{12,345 \text{ Å}}{h\nu[\text{in eV}]}.$

13.7 λ (min) = 300 nm $h\nu = hc/\lambda$

 $\simeq \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}) (3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})}{300 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}}$ $\mathscr{C} = 6.63 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} = 4.14 \text{ eV}.$ 13.9 $Nh\nu = (1.4 \times 10^{3} \text{ W/m}^{2}) (1 \text{ m}^{2}) (1 \text{ s})$ $N = \frac{1.4 \times 10^{3} (700 \times 10^{-6})}{(6.63 \times 10^{-54}) (3 \times 10^{8})} = \frac{980 \times 10^{30}}{19.89}$ $N = 49.4 \times 10^{20}.$

13.10 $h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-34}) (3 \times 10^8)}{500 \times 10^{-9}}$ = 3.98×10^{-9} J'

$$\begin{split} & h\nu = 2.5 \ \mathrm{eV}. \end{split}$$
 Energy per second — $\pi r^2 I = (3.14) \, (10^{-20}) \, (10^{-10}) \\ & 3.14 \times 10^{-30} \ \mathrm{J/s} \\ & (T) \, (3.14 \times 10^{-30} \ \mathrm{J/s}) = 3.98 \times 10^{-19} \ \mathrm{J} \end{split}$

 $T = 1.27 \times 10^{11} \text{ s} \quad (1 \text{ yr} = 3.154 \times 10^7 \text{ s}),$ $T \sim 4000 \text{ years}$ $\lambda^2 = 25 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2. \quad \lambda^2 I = 25 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J/s}$

$$\begin{split} T &= \frac{3.98 \times 10^{-19}}{2.5 \times 10^{-29}} = 1.59 \times 10^4 \, \mathrm{s} \qquad (3.6 \times 10^8 \, \mathrm{s/h}) \\ T &= 4.4 \, \mathrm{h} \, \mathrm{(still impossible)}. \end{split}$$

It would take twice as long if $h\nu=5\,{\rm eV},$ which means (Problem 13.6)

 $\lambda = \frac{12345 \text{ \AA}}{5} = 247 \text{ nm (ultraviolet)}.$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{13.11} \quad \nu_0 = \Phi_0/h = \frac{\textbf{2.28}(\textbf{1.6}\times\textbf{10^{-19}})}{\textbf{6.63}\times\textbf{10^{-34}}} & [13.8] \\ & \textbf{5.5}\times\textbf{10^{14}} \; \text{Hz} = 550 \; \text{THz} \\ & \nu = c/h = \textbf{5}\times\textbf{10^8}/400\times\textbf{10^{-9}} \quad \textbf{750}\times\textbf{10^{12}} \; \text{Hz}. \end{array}$

 $\frac{mv_{\max}^2}{2} = h(\nu - \nu_0) = h200 \times 10^{12} \qquad [13.9]$

 $-13.26\times10^{-20} \text{ J.}$ 13.13 The photon's gravitational potential energy $U=-GMm/R, \text{ where }m \text{ is photon mass but }m=hv/c^2;$

U = -GMm/R, where m is photon mass but $m = h\nu/c^{-1}$ thus $U = -GMh\nu/Rc^{2}$. Ergo $\mathcal{C} = h\nu - GMh\nu/Rc^2 - h\nu \left(1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 R}\right)$. At the Earth \mathcal{C} $h\nu_e$ and $\nu_e = \nu - \frac{GM}{c^2 R}\nu$.

Since $\Delta v = v - v_e$, $\Delta v = \frac{GM}{c^2 R} v$.

or

13.14 $\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} &= \frac{(5.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Nm}^2/\text{kg}^2) (1.99 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg})}{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^4 (6.96 \times 10^8 \text{ m})} \\ \frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} &= 2.12 \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned}$

 $\Delta \nu = \frac{2.12 \times 10^{-6} (3 \times 10^8)}{650 \times 10^{-9}} = 9.8 \times 10^8 \text{ Hz}$

 $\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} \quad \therefore \quad \Delta\lambda - \Delta\nu\lambda/\nu$ $\Delta\lambda = 2.12 \times 10^{-6} (650 \times 10^{-9})$ $\Delta\lambda = 13.8 \times 10^{-13} = 0.0014 \text{ nm}.$

13.15 $h\nu_f = h\nu_i - mgd$ [13.13] $\Delta\nu - -mgd/h - -\frac{h\nu}{c^2}\frac{gd}{h} = -gd\nu/c^2$

```
\frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu} - \frac{(9.8 \text{ m/s}^2)(20 \text{ m})}{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^2} = -2.18 \times 10^{-15}.
```

13.16 $F = GMm/r^2 = GMm/R^2 \sec^2 \theta$ $F_{\perp} = F \cos \theta = GMm \cos \theta/R^2 \sec^2 \theta$ $dt = R \sec^2 \theta \, d\theta/c.$

 $p_{\perp} = \int F_{\perp} dt = \frac{GMm}{cR} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \cos \theta \, d\theta - 2GMm/cR.$ $\tan \varphi = p_{\perp}/p_{\parallel} - 2GM/c^2R \approx \varphi$ $\varphi = \frac{2(6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Nm}^2/\text{kg}^2)(1.99 \times 10^{50} \text{ kg})}{(3 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s})^2(6.96 \times 10^8 \text{ m})}$

 $\varphi = 24.5 \times 10^{-5}$ degrees = 0.88 seconds of arc.

Solutions to Selected Problems 659

13.18 ${}_{2}^{3}k T = 6.17 \times 10^{-21} \text{ J} \quad 3.85 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$ $p = [2m_0(3k T/2)]^{1/2} - 4.55 \times 10^{-24}$ $\lambda = h/p = 1.45 \text{ Å}.$

13.19 No—splitting a photon would result in two lower-frequency pieces, which we could presumably separate and detect.

13.21 $\Pi = \frac{1000 \text{ W}}{h\nu} = \frac{1000(10600 \times 10^{-9})}{6.63 \times 10^{-34}(3 \times 10^8)}$ = 5.06 × 10²² photons/s.

13.22

```
\mathcal{C} = \frac{\dot{p}^2}{2m_0} + U, \quad h\nu = \frac{h^2}{\lambda^2 2m_0} + U, \quad \hbar\omega = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m_0 + U.
```

```
13.24 \psi = C_1 e^{-i(\omega t + kx)} + C_2 e^{-i(\omega t - kx)}\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\omega\psi; \qquad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -ikC_1 e^{-i(\omega t - kx)} + ikC_2 e^{-i(\omega t - kx)}
```

```
\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} = -k^2 C_1 e^{-i(\omega t + kx)} - k^2 C_2 e^{-i(\omega t - kx)} = -k^2 \psi. Using the dispersion relation of Problem 13.22, we
```

```
obtain

\hbar\omega\psi = \hbar^2 k^2 \psi/2m_0 + U\psi
```

 $i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}=\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_0}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}+U\psi.$

CHAPTER 14

14.1





14.6 From the geometry, $f_i\theta - f_i\Phi$: $k_0 - k \sin \theta$ and $k_I - k \sin \Phi$, hence $\sin \theta \approx \theta \approx k_0\lambda/2\pi$ and $\sin \Phi \approx \Phi \approx k_i\lambda/2\pi$, therefore $\theta/\Phi = k_0/k_I$ and $k_I = k_0(\Phi/\theta) =$ $k_o(f_i|f_i)$. When $f_i \ge f_i$ the image will be larger than the object, the spatial periods in the image will also be larger, and the spatial frequencies in the image will be smaller than in the object.

14.7 $a = (1/50) \operatorname{cm}: a \sin \theta - m\lambda, \sin \theta = \theta, \text{hence } \theta = (5000 \text{ m})\lambda$, and the distance between orders on the transform plane is $f\theta = 5000\lambda f = 2.7 \text{ mm}.$

14.9 Each point on the diffraction pattern corresponds to a single spatial frequency, and if we consider the diffracted wave to be made up of plane waves, it also corresponds to a single-plane wave direction. Such waves, by themselves, carry no information about the periodicity of the object and produce a more or less uniform image. The periodicity of the source arises in the image when the component plane waves interfere.

14.11 The relative field amplitudes are 1.00, 0.60, and 0.60; hence $E \propto 1 + 0.60 \cos(+ky') + 0.60 \cos(-ky') =$ + 1.2 cos ky'. This is a cosine oscillating about a line equal to 1.0. It varies from +2.2 to -0.2. The square Equal to 1.0. It varies from ± 2.2 to ± 0.2 . The square of this will correspond to the irradiance, and it will be a series of tall peaks with a relative height of $(2.2)^9$, between each pair of which there will be a short peak proportional to $(0.2)^2$; notice the similarity with Fig. 11.32.

14.12 $a \sin \theta = \lambda$, here $f\theta = 50\lambda f = 0.20$ cm; hence $\lambda = 0.20/50(100) = 400$ nm. The magnification is 1.0 when the focal lengths are equal, hence the spacing is again 50 wires/cm

14.18 $I = \frac{1}{2} v \epsilon E_0^2 = \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}\right)^{1/2} E_{0}^2$, where $\mu \approx \mu_0$ $E_0^2 = 2 (\mu_0/\epsilon_0)^{1/2} I/n \qquad (\mu_0/\epsilon_0)^{1/2} = 376.730 \, \Omega$

 $E_0 = 27.4(I/n)^{1/2}$.

14.20 The inherent motion of the medium would cause the speckle pattern to vanish.

Bibliography

ANDREWS, C. L., Obtics of the Electromagnetic Spectrum, Pren-

ANDREWS, C. L., Optics of the Electromagnetic Spectrum, Pren-tice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1960.
BAKER, B. B. and E. J. COPSON, The Mathematical Theory of Hurgens' Principle, Oxford University Press, London, 1969.
BALDWIN, G. C., An Introduction to Nonlinear Optics, Plenum Press, New York, 1969.
BARDER, N., E. Experimental Correlograms and Fourier Trans-forms, Pergamon, Oxford, 1961.
BANOSKI, M., Fundamettals of Optical Fiber Communications, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
BARTON, A. W., A Teatbook On Light, Longmans, Green, London, 1939.
BARD, B. and G. B. BEARD, Quantum Mechanics With

BEARD, D. B. and G. B. BEARD, Quantum Mechanics With Applications, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970,

BEESLEY, M., Lasers and Their Applications, Taylor and Fran-

Cis, New York, 1976.
Cis, New York, 1976.
BERAN, M. J. and G. B. PARRENT, JR., Theory of Parital Coherence, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
BLOEMBERGEN, N., Nonlinear Optics, Benjamin, New York, Unce 1965

1965. BLOOM, A. L., Gas Lasers, Wiley, New York, 1968. BLOOS, D., An Introduction to the Methods of Optical Crystal-lography, Holt, Rinchart and Winston, New York, 1961. BORN, M. and E. WOLF, Principles of Optics, Pergamon, Oxford, 1970. BOROWITZ, S., Fundamentals of Quantum Mechanics, Ben-jamin, New York, 1967.

BRADDICK, H., Vibrations, Waves, and Diffraction, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965. BROUWER, W., Matrix Methods in Optical Instrument Design,

Benjamin, New York, 1964.
 BROWN, E. B., Modern Optics, Reinhold, New York, 1965.
 CAJORI, F., A History of Physics, Macmillan, New York, 1899.

CATHEY, W., Obtical Information Processing and Holography,

Wiley, New York, 1974. CHANG, W. S. C., Principles of Quantum Electronics, Lasers: Theory and Applications, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969

1969. COLLIER, R., C. BURCKHARDT, and L. LIN, Optical Holography, Academic Press, New York, 1971. CORRADY, A. E., Applied Optics and Optical Design, Dover Publications, New York, 1929. COLLSON, C. A., Waves, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1949. CAWFORD, F.S., JR., Waves, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965. DAVIS, H. F., Introduction to Vector Analysis, Allyn and Bacon, Bergen, 1965.

Boston, 1961. DAVIS, S. P., Diffraction Grating Spectrographs, Holt, Rinehart DAVIS, S. F., Dajnataki Graing Spectographs, Holt, Kilehalt and Winston, New York, 1970. DENISYUK, Y., Fundamentals of Holography, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1984.

Mascow, 1984.
 DEVELIS, J. B. and G. O. REYNOLDS, Theory and Applications of Holography, Addison. Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967.
 DIRAG, P. A. M., Quantum Mechanics, Oxford University Press, London, 1958.
 DRUDE, P., The Theory of Optics, Longmans, Green, London, 1999.
 DITCHRURN, R. W., Light, Willey, New York, 1963.
 ELMORE, W. and M. HEALD, The Physics of Wates, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
 FLüGGE, J., ed., Die wissenschaftiche und angewandte Philographie; Band I, Das photographische Objektio, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 1955.

Protographic, Dania 1, Das protographicas Objentis, Springer-Verlag, Winn. 1955.
FOWLES, G., Jardoucion to Modern Optics, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1968.
FRANCON, M., Modern Applications of Physical Optics, Inter-science, New York, 1965.

Bibliography 662

FRANÇON, M., Diffraction Coherence in Optics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1966. FRANÇON, M., Optical Interferometry, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
FRANÇON, M., N. KRAUZMAN, J. P. MATHIEU, and M. MAY, Experiments in Physical Optics, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970.

York, 1970. York, 1970.
FRANÇON, M., Optical Image Formation and Processing,

Academic Press, New York, 1979. FRANK, N. H., Introduction to Electricity and Optics, McGraw-

HANK, N. H., Introduction to Lectricity and open and the Hill, New York, 1950.
FRENCH, A. P., Special Relativity, Norton, New York, 1968. FRENCH, A. P., Vibrations and Waves, Norton, New York,

1971. FROME, K. D. and L. ESSEN, The Velocity of Light and Radio Waves, Academic Press, London, 1969. FRY, G. A., Geometrical Optics, Chilton, Philadelphia, 1969. GARBURY, M., Optical Physics, Academic Press, New York,

Horn Theorem And Annual And Annual Ann

HARDY, A. C. and F. H. PERRIN, The Principles of Optics,

HARDY, A. C. and F. H. PERIN, *The Frinciples of Opinis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
HARVEY, A. F., Coherent Light, Wiley, London, 1970.
HEAVERS, O. S., Opical Properties of Thin Solid Films, Dover Publications, New York, 1955.
HECHT, E., Opicics: Schaum's Outline Series, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.
HERCHT, E., Opicics: Schaum's Outline Series, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.

New York, 1970. HERMANN, A., The Genesis of Quantum Theory (1899–[918), MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1971. HOUSTON, R. A., A Treatise On Light, Longmans, Green,

London, 1938. HUNSPERGER, R., Integrated Optics: Theory and Technology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. HUYGENS, C., Treatise on Light, Dover Publications, New

York, 1962 (1690).

JACKSON, J. D., Classical Electrodynamics, Wiley, New York, 1962

JENKINS, F. A. and H. E. WHITE, Fundamentals of Optics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
JENNISON, R. C., Fourier Transforms and Convolutions for the Experimentalist, Pergamon, Oxford, 1961.
JOHNSON, B. K., Optic and Optical Instruments, Dover Publi-cations, New York, 1987.

JONES, B., et al., Images and Information, The Open University Press, Milton Keynes, Great Britain, 1978.

KLAUDER, J. and E. SUDARSHAN, Fundamenials of Quantum Opica, Benjamin, New York, 1968. KLEIN, M. V., Opica, Wiley, New York, 1970. KRYSZIG, e., Advanced Engineering Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1967.

LENGYEL, B. A., Introduction to Laser Physics, Wiley, New York,

1926 MAGIE, W. F., A Source Book in Physics, McGraw-Hill, New

York, 1935. York, 1935. MARION, J. and M. HEALD, Classical Electromagnetic Radi-ation, Academic Press, New York, 1980. MARTIN, L. C. and W. T. WELFORD, Technical Optics, Sir

MARTIN, L. C. and W. T. WELFORD, Jeameau Optic, Str. Isase Pitrane & Sons, Lid., London, 1966.
MEYER, C. F., The Diffraction of Light, X-rays and Mattrial Particles, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984.
MEYER-ARENDT, J. R., Introduction to Classical and Modern Optics, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972.
MIDWINTTER, J., Optical Fibers for Transmission, Wiley, New York, 1979.

MIDWINTER, J., Opical Fuer for International West (1997) York, 1977 Milliary Standardization Handbook—Optical Design, MIL-HDBE-141, S October 1962. MINNARRT, M., The Nature of Light and Colour in the Open Air, Dover Publications, New York, 1954. MORDAN, J., Introduction to Geometrical and Physical Optics, 1998.

McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953 NEWTON, I., Optiks, Dover Publications, New York, 1952

(1704) AKES, G. R., A Text-Book of Light, Macmillan, London. NO

1944 SBAUM, A., Geometric Optics: An Introduction, Addison-NU

Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968.

NUSSBAUM, A. and R. PHILLIPS, Contemporary Optics for Scientists and Engineers, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.I., 1976

N.J., 1976.
 OKOSHI, T., Optical Fibers, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
 O'NEILL, E. L., Introduction to Statistical Optics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1963.
 O'SHEA, D., W. CALLEN, and W. RHODES, Introduction to Lastrs and Their Applications, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1977.
 PALMER, C. H., Optics, Experiments and Demonstrations, John HonVine Press, Baltimore, Md. 1969.

Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Md., 1962. PAPOULIS, A., The Fourier Integral and Its Applications,

McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962.

MCGTAW-HIL, New YOR, 1902.
PAPOLLIS, A., Systems and Transforms with Applications in Optics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958.
PEARSON, J. M., A Theory of Waves, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1999. 1966.

1966.
1966.
PERSONICK, S. D., Optical Fiber Transmission Systems, Plenum Press, New York, 1981.
PLANCK, M. and M. MASIUS, The Theory of Heat Radiation, Blakiston, Philadelphin, 1914.
PESTON K., Observat Optical Computers, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.
PARSTON, R., Observat Optical Computers, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.

ROBERTSON, E. R. and J. M. HARVEY, eds., The Engineering Uses of Holography, Cambridge University Press, Londo 1970

1970.
ROBERTSON, J. K., Introduction to Optics Geometrical and Physical, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1957.
RONCHI, V., The Nature of Light, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1971.
ROSEI, B., Optics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1957.
RUECHARDT, E., Light Visible and Invisible, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1958.
SANDBANK, C. P., Optical Fibre Communication Systems, Wiley, New York. 1980.

New York, 1980. SANDERS, J. H., The Velocity of Light, Pergamon, Oxford, 1965

SARGENT, M., M. SCULLY, and W. LAMB, Laser Physics,

SARGENT, M., M. SCULLY, and W. LAMB, Laser Physics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1974.
SCHAWLOW, A. L., intr, Lases and Light; Readings from Scientific American, Freeman, San Francisco, 1969.
SCHROINGKR, E. C., Science Theory and Man, Dover Publica-tions, New York, 1957.
SEARS, F. W., Optics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1949.
SHAMOS, M. H., ed., Great Experiments in Physics, Hoit, New York, 1959.
SHURCLIFF, W. A., Polarited Light: Production and Use, Har-vard University Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1962.

Bibliography 663

SHURCLIFF, W. A. and S. S. BALLARD, Polarized Light, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1964.SIMMONS, J. and M. CUTTMANN, State, Waves and Photons: A Modern Introduction to Light. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1970.

Mass., 1970. SINCLAIR, D. C. and W. E. BELL, Gas Laser Technology, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969. SLAYTER, E. M., Optical Methods in Biology, Wiley, New York,

1970 SMITH, F. and J. THOMSON, Optics, Wiley, New York, 1971.

SMITH, H. M., Principles of Holography, Wiley, New York, SMITH, W. J., Modern Optical Engineering, McGraw-Hill, New

York, 1966. Société Française de Physique, ed., Polarization, Matter and

Societé Française de Physique, ed., Palarisation, Matter and Radiation, Jubitee Volvme in Honor of Alfred Kauler, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1969.
 SOMMERFELD, A., Optics, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
 SOUTHALL, J. P. C., Introduction to Physiological Optics, Dover Publications, New York, 1937.
 SOUTHALL, J. P. C., Mirroars, Prisma and Lenses. Macmillan, New York, 1933.
 STARK, H., Applications of Optical Fourier Transforms, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
 STEWARD, E., Fourier Optics: An Introduction, Wiley, New York, 1983.

York, 1983. STONE, J. M., Radiation and Optics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963

1903. STROKE, G. W., An Introduction to Coherent Optics and Holography, Academic Press, New York, 1969. STRONG, J., Concepts of Classical Optics, Freeman, San Fran-tics, 1969.

cisco, 1958 SVELTO, O., Principles of Lasers, Plenum Press, New York,

1977 SYMON, K. R., Mechanics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.,

1960

1900.
TATASOV, L., Laser Age in Optics, Mir Publishers. Moscow, 1981.
TOLNNSKY, S., An Introduction to Interferometry, Longmans, Green, London, 1955.

Green, London, 1955. TOLANSKY, S., Curiosities of Light Rays and Light Waves,

IOLANSKY, S., Cariosities of Light Rays and Light Waves, American Elsevier, New York, 1965.
TOLANSKY, S., Multiple-Beam Interferometry of Surfaces and Films, Oxford University Press, London, 1948.
TOLANSKY, S., Revolution in Optics, Penguin Books, Bal-timore, 1968.
TOWNE, D. H., Wave Phenomena, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967.

340

1966. LENCYEL, B. A., Lasers, Generation of Light by Stimulated Emission, Wiley, New York, 1962. LEVI, L., Applied Optics, Wiley, New York, 1968. LIPSON, 50: C. and H. LURSON, Optical Physics, Cambridge University Press, London, 1969. LONCHURST, R. S. Geometrical and Physical Optics, Wiley, New York, 1967. MaCH, E., The Principles of Physical Optics, An Historical and Philosophical Treatment, Dover Publications, New York, 1926.

Bibliography 664

TROUP, G., Optical Coherence Theory, Methuen, London, 1967.
VALASEK, J., Optics, Theoretical and Experimental, Wiley, New York, 1949.
VAN HEZL, A. C. S., ed., Advanced Optical Techniques, American Elsevier, New York, 1967.
VAN HEZL, A. C. S. and C. H. F. VELZEL, What is Light?, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
VASICER, A., Optica of Thin Films, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969.
WAGNEE, A. F., Experimental Optics, Wiley, New York, 1929.
WADRON, R., Waws and Oscillations, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1964.
WEBB, R. H., Elementary Wave Optics, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
WILLIAMS, W. E., Applications of Interferometry, Methuen, London, 1941.

WILLIAMSON, S. and H. CUMMINS, Light and Color in Nature and Art, Wiley, New York, 1985.
WOLF, E., ed., Progress in Optics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, WOLF, H. F., ed., Handbook of Fiber Optics: Theory and Applica-tions, Garland STPM Press, 1979.
WOOD, R. W., Physical Optics, Dover Publications, New York, 1934.
WRIGHT, D., The Measurement of Color, Van Nostrand, New York, 1971.
YARIV, A., Quantum Electronics, Wiley, New York, 1967.
YOUNC, H. D., Fundamenials of Optics and Modern Physics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
ZIMMER, H., Geometrical Optics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

Index of Tables

Table 3.1	Maxwell's relation, 56	Table 8.2	V
Table 3.2	Approximate frequency and vacuum wave-		81
	length ranges for the various colors, 72	Table 8.3	K
Table 4.1	Critical angles, 105		λ
Table 4.2	Critical wavelengths and frequencies for some	Table 8.4	E
	alkali metals, 112	10010-011	54
Table 5.1	Sign convention for spherical refracting surfaces	Table 8.5	St
	and thin lenses (light entering from the left), 134	A BOLC OID	sta
Table 5.2	Meanings associated with the signs of various	Table 8.6	In
1 0010 012	thin lens and spherical interface parameters 144	Table 10.1	B.
Table 5.3	Images of real objects formed by thin lenses 145	Table 10.2	Er
Table 5.4	Sign convention for spherical mirrors, 169	Table 131	Ph
Table 5.5	Images of real objects formed by spherical mir	Table 15.1	6
Table 515	rouge 169		14
Table 6 I	Source at the source of the so		
Table 6.1	Optical strong Praumoter lines, 254		
Table 0.2	Optical glass, 234	Appendix	
Lable 8.1	Retractive indices of some uniaxial birefringent		
	crystais ($\lambda_0 = 589.3 \text{ nm}$), 289	Table	Th

able 8.2	Verdet constants for some selected substances, 817
able 8.3	Kerr constants for some selected liquids (20°C, $\lambda = 589.3 \text{ nm}$), 319
able 8.4	Electro-optic constants (room temperature, $\lambda_0 = 546.1$ nm), 321
able 8.5	Stokes and Jones vectors for some polarization states, 323
able 8.6	Jones and Mueller matrices, 325
able 10.1	Bessel functions, 419
able 10.2	Fresnel integrals, 451
able 13.1	Photoelectric threshold frequencies and work
	functions for a few metals, 543

he sinc function, 624

Abbe, Ernst, 192, 226, 563 Abbe numbers, 234 Abbe prism, 166 Abbe's image theory, 553 Abberation, stellar, 8 Aberration, stellar, 8 Aberrations, 153, 220 chromatic, 188, 220, 322 atign, 220 coma, 220, 223 distortion, 220 coma, 220, 223 distortion, 220 field curvature, 220 field curvature, 220 field curvature, 220 field curvature, 220 aphericki, 107, 230, 221 Absorptance, 369 Absorption, 75, 61, 369, 552 boofficient (eq. 110 dissipative, 577 selective (preferential), 116 Actoromates, 188, 235 historical noce, 5, 236 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382, 385, 538 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382, 385, 538 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382, 385, 538 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382, 385, 538 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382, 385, 538 Arther, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 382 Airy disk, 419, 242, 485, 564 Airy function, 367 Altazen, 2, 178, 198 Altazen, 21, 78, 198 Altaren, 118, 133 Ametropic, 182 Animic objective, 192 Animetion dispatrogen phosphate (ADP), 320, 612 Amplification, 556, 378
Amplitude, 15
Amplitude, 55, 259
transmission (1), 95
Amplitude coefficients, 95, 96, 119, 120, 299
transmission (1), 95
Amplitude apoliting, 334, 346
Anaburghe Lines, 184
Anastrophic Lenses, 184
Angular deviation, 163
Angular deviation, 163
Angular Magneton, 16, 258
Angular Magneton, 16, 258
Angular Magneton, 15, 258
Angular magnification (M₄ or MP), 186, 10
Angular mession, 375
Anti-Rection coatings, 375
Anti-Rockien coatings, 375
Anti-Rockien coatings, 544
Apperture; 152
asop, 149
Apperture; 152
asop, 149
Apperture; 152
Appeling François Jean, 6, 7, 208, 309, 445
Aread o coherence, 532
Argand Gagram, 20

Argon laser, f: Aristophanes, 1, 140 Aristofte, 1, 5 Armstrong, E, H., 574 Array theorem, 497, 498 Ashperical surfaces, 129, 136 Astigmatium, 144, 159, 226 Attenuation coefficient (or), 110 Autocorrelation, 500 Autocore

Azimuthal angle (y), 125 Babinet compensator, 304 Babinet sprinciple, 458 Back foral length, 148, 181, 214 plane, 140 Bacon, Roger, 2, 181 Bandwidh, 268, 306, 516 minimum resolvable, 372 Barrier generation, 180 Barrholmus, Erasmu, 285 Barrier generation, 180 Barrholmus, Erasmu, 285 Basov, Nikola Cennadlevich, 577 Beam expander, 196 Beam-splitter, 109, 354 Beam-splitter, 109, 354 Beams, 290, 385 Beams, 2014, 513 Beams, 2014, 514 Beams, 290, 385 Beams, 2014, 514 Beams, 128 Beand, 914 Beans, 128 Beams, 1

667

Binoculars, 169, 195, 196 Biot, Jean Baptitse, 5, 309, 443 Biotar Ienz, 230, 443 Biotar Ienz, 230 Biortim (Fresnel's double prism), 344 Bird, George R., 279 Birefringence, 282 circular, 310 Birefringent crystals, 288 Biakdoyd radiation, 589 Biaked gratings, 426 Bind apot, 180 Bird spot, 180 Bind apro, 180 Binr spot, 128 Bohr, Nich Stenrik David, 9, 10, 549 Bohrann, Ludwig, 540 Boundary diffaction wave, 463 Boundary ware, 107 Bradley, Janex, 7, 8 Braggi Jaw, 434, 606 Brensstrahing, 74 Brensster, David, 281, 290, 315 Brenset, David, 283, 293, 315 Brewster, David, 281, 296, 315 Brewster, Michows, 587 Brewster's angle, 298, 556 Brewster's aw, 296, 299 Brillouin scattering, 252, 556, 611 Broglie, Louis Victor, Prince 4e, 9, 545 Busten, Robert Wilhelm, 10 Burning glass, 1, 129, 140 C-W laser, 585 C-W laser, 585 Cadmium red line, 253, 557 Calcite, 4, 6, 283, 301, 302 Calcium fluoride lenses, 192, 202 Camera, 152, 199 Jenses, 201 pinbole, 193, 232 single lens reflex, 200 Camera obscura, 2, 158 Camba baissm, 290, 291 Carbod dioxide laser, 266, 588 Carbon disulfide, 319 Cardinal points, 211

Carbon disulfide, 319 Cardinal points, 211 Carotene, 116 Carrier wave, 252 Canceisan oval, 129 Cauchy's equation, 78 Catoptris, 1, 156 Cavities, optical, 580 Centered optical system, 135 Central-spot scanning, 372 Cesium clock, 70 Characteristic radiation, 74

Chelae lasers, 569 Chilorophyl, 116 Chiorophyl, 116 Christianen, C., 77 Christianen, C., 77 Christianen, C., 77 Chromatic aberrations, 232 Chromatic resolving power (24), 372 Cinnabar, 312 Circle of least confusion, 227, 232 Circle of least confusion, 227, 232 Circular birefringence, 310 Circular light, 271, 274 Circular plainers, 505 Circular polarisers, 505 Cittert, Pieter Hendrik van, 516 Chadrig, 171 Clausius, Rudolf Julius Enanuel. 226 Clear aperture, 152 Clearage form, 285 Coddington magnifier, 188 Coefficient of fanesse (F), 367 Coherence, complex degree of ($\tilde{\gamma}_{12}$), 527 Coherence, 519 oherence, 516 area of, 532 functions, 523 length, 264, 266, 342 longitudinal, 517 partial, 516, 527 temporal, 516, 528 theory, 516 time (al.), 264, 306, 339, 516 time (al.), 272 tmergy, 516 time (ah), 264, 306, 339, 516 Coherent fiber bundle, 1/2 Coherent aways, 245, 337 Cold mirror, 373 Cold mirror, 373 Cold mirror, 373 Commersergy place, 354, 358 Compensator, 304 Babinet, 304 Soleil, 304 Complex amplitude, 247 Complex amplitude, 247 Complex ampresentation, 10, 246 Compound lens, 135, 214 Compound hirroscepe, 190 Complex, 158, 214 Compound hirroscepe, 190 Complex, 1678, 214 Compound hirroscepe, 190 Complex, 1678, 214 Compound hirroscepe, 190 Conductivity (of, 105 Lonfocal resonator, 583 Conjugate points, 128, 130 Connes, Pierre, 372 Constructive interference, 245, 336 Contrast (7'), 506, 599 Contrast factor (C), 391

Chelate lasers, 589

Convolutio Convolution integral, 485 theorem, 491 Cooke (or Taylor) triplet, 201, 230, 238 Copper, 110, 111 Corner cube, 169 Cornu, Marie Alfred, 449 Cornu spiral, 248, 449, 451 Corpuscular theory, 3–11 Correlation interferometry, 532 Correlogram, 503 Cotton-Mouton effect, 318 Cover glass iddea, 173 Cover glass slides, 173 Crab Nebula, 50–52 Crimea Observatory, 196 Critical angle, 98, 104, 105, 166, 171 Cross-correlation, 501 Cross-correlatio Cross talk, 171 Cryolite, 375 Cube corner reflector, 169 Cuse, Nicholas, 181 Cylinder lens, 185 Cylindrical waves, 27, 28, 452

D lines of sodium, 56, 234 Dark-ground method, 576 Da Vinci, Leonardo, 2 Davisson, Clinton Joseph, 545 Da Vinci, Leonardo, 2 Davisson, Citton Joseph, 545 De Brogle wavelength, 545 Degree of coherence (57:4), 255, 353 Degree of polarization (70, 269, 322 Delta Inuction, 478 Denisyok, Yuri Nikolayevich, 606 Desartes, Revé, 34, 84, 130, 177 Destructive interference, 245, 358 Devision, angualar, 165 Desctrorotatory, 309 Dichroism, 279 Dich

comparison of Fraunhofer and Fresnel, 396 Fourier methods, 493 Fraunhofer, 396, 401, 403 circular aperture, 416 condition, 401 double alit, 406, 498 many alits, 409 many slis, 409 roctangular aperture, 411, 415, 497 ingle sliz, 401, 495 Frenci, 396, 434 circular aperture, 440 circular aperture, 440 circular batecise, 443 marrow obstacle, 457 rectangular aperture, 447 semi-infinite screen, 455 zones, 435 zones, 435 mme, 453 gaine, 445 gaine, gating, 429 two-and intre-dimensional, 430 Kirchhoff's benzy, 459 of microwaves, 394 opaque obstructions, 394 Diffraction limited, 129 Dioptriz, 156 Dioptriz, 156 Dioptriz, 516 Lap. 109-189 Dipole moment (4), 52, 54, 58, 60 Dirac, Paul Adrien Maurice, 9, 478, 549 Dirac, Paul Adrien Maurice, 9, 478, 549 Dispersion, 56, 57, 165 angular (9), 427 anomalous, 61, 254 equation, 60, 111 of glass, 62 normal, 61 normal, 61 relation, 60, 252 rotatory, 312 Potenser, Dispersive indices, 234 power, 234 Displacement current density (J_D), 38 Displacement current density (J_D), 38 Distortion, 230 Dollond, John, 5, 236 Donders, Franciscus Cornelius, 185 Doppler broadening, 500 Doppler effect, 252, 500 Double reffect, 252, 500 Double reffraction, 285 Drude, Paul Karl Ludwig, 110, 250 Dupin, C., 86

Effective focal length, 149, 202, 212 Einstein, Albert, 9, 538, 541, 579

1

Electric dipole, 52 Electric field (E), 34, 92, 119, 242 Electric permittivity (c), 36 Electromagnetic-photon spectrum, 68 Electrom perite photon spectrum, 6 gamma rays, 74 infrared, 65, 70 light, 71 microwaves, 69 radiofrequency, 58 ultraviglec, 73 w-rays, 74 Electromagnetic theory, 7, 33, 92 electric polarization (7), 58 Maxwell's equation, 39, 40 monenducting media, 56 radiation, 47 Electromagnetic waves, 39, 92, 621 Electromovie force, 33 Electrone, 9 diffraction, 545 Electron, 9 diffraction, 545 volt (eV), 545 Electro-optic constant, 320 Electro-optic, 11 Elliptical light, 275 Elster, J., 542 Emission from an atom, 10, 552 Emission from an atom, 10, 552 Emission froms, 309 Emission theory, 7 Emission theory, 7 Emission theory, 7 Entergy density (u), 43 Energy level, 54 Entoptic perception, 179 Entrance pupil, 150 Entrance vindow, 191 Epoch angle (c), 17 Erecting system, 195 Estermann, 1, 548 Estermann, 1, 548 Euclid, 1, 83, 156 Euclid, 1, 83, 156 Euclid, 1, 83, 156 Evandersexture, 197 Evald-Oxfeen extinction theorem, 68 Excited state, 54 Extic pupil, 150, 187–195 Extinedra (b), ccommodation, 180 ciliary muscles, 180

Index 669

aqueous humor, 178 choroid, 179

aproduct failude, 115 choroid, 179 compound, 177 cornea, 178, 181, 184 crystalline lens, 178, 179, 182 far point, 182, 184 human, 177 iris, 116, 178 near point, 181, 186 powers, 182 pupil, 178 resolution, 482 prime, 170 retina, 179 blind spot, 180 cones, 179 cones, 179 fovea centralis, 180 macula, 180 rods, 179 sclera, 178 vitreous humor, 179 Eye-lens, 189 Eyepiece, 188–190 Erfle, 189, 196 Huygens, 189 Kellner, 189, 195 Actiner, 169, 199 orthoscopic, 189 Ramsden, 189, 240 symmetric (Plössl), 189 Eye point, 189 Eye relief, 189 Eyes, 176 *f-number* (*f*/#), 152, 171, 200, 420
Fabry, Charles, 368
Fabry-Perot calon, 369, 377, 581, 615
Fabry-Perot filter, 377
Fabry-Perot interferometer, 368, 371, 372, 429
572, 429
574 Fabry-Perot spectroscopy, 371 Far-field diffraction; see Fraunt aunhofer Par-neta supraction, per reastinger diffraction Far point, 182, 184 Faraday, McHael, 7, 53, 316 Faraday, effect, 316 Faraday, effect, 316 Faraightedness, 184 Faraightedness, 184 Fermar, Ferrer de, 87 Fermar, Farard Phillips, 52, 550 Fiberoptics, 10, 170 Ciadeding, 171, 172 coherent bundle, 172 cross talk, 171 graded index, 176 diffraction

incoherent bundle, 172 intermodal dispersion, 174 multimode, 174 numerical aperture (NA), 171 agental dispersion, 176 arepared index, 128 Field-field and 198 Field area and 199 Films, 173 Finese, 173, 299 Field area, 199 Finite, 201 F

Fraunhofer, Joseph von, 10, 424 Fraunhofer Lines, 234 Free spectral range, 372, 429 Frequency (v), 16 angular (w), 16, 258 bandwich, 263 beat, 261 maxing, 11, 614 natural (wa), 59 pistma (w), 112 resonance (wa), 55, 59, 60 spectrum, 252 Frequency stability, 265 CO₂ laser, 266 He-Ne l

Galileo's telescope, 2, 192, 196 Galilum, 113 Galilum arenide laser, 599 Gauss, Karl Friedrich, 36, 134 Gauss, Karl Friedrich, 36, 134 Gaussian function, 13, 264, 474, 479, 497 Gaussian light, 552 Gaussian light, 552 Gaussian optis, 154 Gaussian optis, 154 Gaussian optis, 154 Gaussian optis, 154 Geometrical optis, 33, 128, 211 Geometrical optis, 33, 128, 211 Geometrical optis, 33, 128, 211 Geometrical optis, 35, 128, 211 Geometrical optis, 35, 128, 211 Geometrical optis, 530 Gaussian, 155 Germer, Lester, 545 Glan-Thompson, 291 Glana-Chompson, 291 Glana-Chompson, 291 Glana-Chompson, 291 Glana-Chompson, 291 Glana-Chompson, 292 Gravitational red thilt, 545, 557 Gragient tudex (GRIN) lens, 136 Gravitational red thilt, 545, 557 Gravitational red thi

Haidinger, Wilhelm Karl, 340 Haidinger, frieges, 349, 351, 357 Hail-angular breadth, 465 Hail-wave plate, 301 Hail-wave plate, 301 Hail-wave volker, (Vu, 3), 319 Hall, Chester Moor, 5, 236 Hallwachs, Wilhelm, 541 Hamburg-Brown, R., 534 Hanburg-Brown, R., 534 Hanburg-Brown, R., 534 Harmonic generation, 11, 612 Harmonic, 248 Harrison, George R., 427

8

Heisenberg uncertainty principle, 253 Heilum-acdmium laser, 258, 266, 397, 415, 443, 518, 585-587 Hellum-acdmium laser, 228, 266, 397, 415, 443, 518, 585-587 Hellmin-termann Ludwig Ferdinand vop, 225, 559 Helmholtz, Hermann Ludwig Ferdinand vop, 225, 559 Hermoth, 281, 181 Herrapath, William Bird, 281 Herrapath, William Bird, 281 Herrapathie, 281 Herro Al-Karon, 584 Herroit, Donalf Richard, 585 Herrot, Lion Frederick, William, 309 Herschel, William, 70, 141 Holographic Interferometry, 607 Holographic, 1593 acoustical, 609 computer-generated, 610 Fourier transform, 604, 606 in-line, 594 reflection, 602 volume holograph, 595, 502 Hooke, Robert, 3, 4, 352 Husygens, Christian, 80, 222, 286, 287 Husygens, Scinstan, 80, 222, 286, 287 Husygens, Scinster, 80 Husygens, Christiph, 79-81, 286, 392 Husygens, Christiph, 79-81, 286 Hush, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81, 79-81

Iceland spar (calcite), 4, 283, 25 Image distance (4), 130 erred, 144 focal length, 135 inverted, 144 real, 131, 145 space, 128 virtual, 131, 144 Imagery, 141, 161 Imguise response, 844 Index matching, 615 Index of refraction (m) absolute, 56, 84 complex, 110 glass, 235, 236 group, 253 ori:lihor model, 66 relaive, 64 Induction inw, 35, 36 Linnike conjugates, 1 Infared, 10, 68, 373 mirrors, 153 Inhomogeneous waves, 107 Intensity, 44 Interference, 5, 244, 333, 223 colors, 306 conditions for, 337 countractive, 245, 333 destructive, 373 fringes, 337, 347, 363 law, 567 multiple-beam, 368 erem, 244, 335 thoreffeometer, 359, 354 amplitude-splitting, 346 Mach-Cehner, 358, 364 amplitude-splitting, 346 Mach-2ehner, 359, 353 Michelson, 344, 357, 361, 368 Pabl, 360, 360 Sagmac, 359, 355 Pabl, 360, 360 Sagmac, 359, 355 Pabl, 360, 360 Parentife double prism, 345 Liogra's mirror, 343, 357 Young's experiment, 343 Interrolal dispersion, 175 Internal reflexion, 98, 104 Inversion, 144, 155 Ion bonbardment polishing, 10 Ionic palarization, 58 Janin interferometer, 901

Jamin interferometer, 391 Jansen, Zacharias, 2, 190, 192 Javan, Ali, 385, 585 Jeans, James, 540 Joneti Bank, 422 Jones, Robert Clark, 323 Jones wetcors, 324 Jones vectors, 323 KD*P, 320

Index 671

KDP, 320, 612, 613 Kepler, Joeph Biohop, 464 Kepler, Johannes, 2, 44, 84, 177, 193, 199 Kerr, John, 198 Kerr, edit, 316, 350 Kerr, edit, 316, 311 Kirchheff, Giastav Robert, 10, 80, 394, 539 Kirchheff, Ginterslut heorer, 394, 459, 623 Kirchheff, Sintegral theorem, 461, 623 Kingenstjerna, Samuel, 5 Xohtrauch, Xudoiph, 40 Kottler, Friedrich, 464 Krytpon, 72, 265

Aripoli, fr. 203 Laberria, A. E., 507 Laberria, A. E., 507 Laberria, A. E., 507 Ladon, Event, A. B. Larange, Deeph Louis, 92 Ladon, Event, A. Laphasia, Perres Sinon, Marquis de, 6, 443 Laphasia, Perres Sinon, Marquis de, 6, 443 Laphasia, Perres Sinon, Marquis de, 6, 443 Laberria, 10, 97 developments, 586 developments, 586 ferir (gulder turby, 580 giant pule, 285 helium-neory, 666, 555 modes, 474, 581–583 operation, 570 O-specificity, 597 O-specificity, 597 Laveright, 597 tavers chemical, 590 coupled-cavity, 590 gas, 558 tearting, 185 Laver of the court, 183 Law of reflection, 1, 83 Law of the Organization, 543 Labetecv, Pyotr Nikolairoich, 463 Lebetev, Pyotr Nikolairoich, 464 Lebeter, 1, 2 Betraing, 211 Labeter, 1, 2 Labeter, 1,

672 . Index

equation, 137 field flattener, 173, 229 finite imagery, 140 first-order theory, 134 fluorite, 237 fluorite, 237 focal points and planes, 139 magnification, 144 optical center, 140 simple, 185 telephoto, 201, 202, 231 Tessar, 201, 202, 230 thick, 211 thin, 135, 137 thin-lens combination. 145 thin-lens combinations, 145, 148 thin-lens combinations, 145, 1-toric, 185 Lensmaker's formula, 138 Le Roux, 72 Levrotatory, 309 Levis, G. N., 9 Light-emitting diodes, 176 Light project, 971 Light project, 971 Light project, 971 Light project, 973 Light project, 973 Light project, 973 Light project, 973 Liner systems, 483 Linervidth, natural, 285, 500 Lipperator, 485 Lipperator, 485, 501 Lipperator, 492 Lipperator, 493 Lippera toric, 185 Litrium nicoare, 607, 515 Litrow mount, 429 Llayd's mirror, 343, 345 Lorentz, Hendrik Antoon, 8, 57, 110 Lorentz broadening, 500 Lorentzian profile, 499 Luminiferous acther, 382 Lummer, Otto, 539 Lunar Orbiter, 567

Macy, Eugen, 464 Mach-Zehnder interferometer, 858, 363 Maggi, Gian Antonlo, 464 Magnetism fluoride, 153, 975, 376 Magnetic induction (B), 54 Magnetic mduction (B), 54 Magnetic state optic effect, 316 Magnetic state optic effect, 516 Magnifica tagnification angular (M_A), 186 lateral or transverse (M_T), 144, 162, 213 longitudinal (M_L), 144 Magnifying glass, 1, 186 Magnifying power (MP), 186, 190, 194, Matrix methods Iens design, 215 pension sease, 215 polarization, 321 thin films, 373 Matter waves, 9, 33, 545, 547, 548 Maupertuis, Pierre de, 92 Maxwell, James Clerk, 7, 8, 38, 40, 68, sease Nearthy, Januel Actrs, r, d. 39, 10, 09, 382 Maxwell's relation, 57, 38, 108, 538, 620 Maxwell's relation, 56 Meriusy, 260 Mercury, 260 Mercury, 202 Mercury, 202 Mercury, 202 Mercury, 102, 215 Mercur, 102, 215 Mercur, 102, 215 Mercur, effection from, 112 Mercury, 202 882 Mica. 302 Michelson, Albert Abraham, 8, 253, 357, 383, 519, 550 Michelson and Gale, 387 Michelson-Moriey experiment, 8, 252, 382, 538 Michelson stellar interferometer, 530, 532, 534 Michel 534 Micron (1 μm = 10⁻⁶ m), 15, 170, 557 Micron (1 µm = 10⁻⁶ m), 15, 170 Microscope, compound, 2, 190 numerical aperture, 191, 192 resolving power, 192 tube length, 190 Microwave interferometer, 357 Microwaves, 69, 108, 251, 276 Microwaves, 756 Microwav Mirage, 90 Mirror formula, 159 Mirrors, 153 firrors, 153 aberrations, 228 aspherical, 156 coatings, 153

cold, 373 dichroic, 373 elliptical, 158 finite imagery, 161 haif alwered, 346 history, 1 hyperbolic, 158 magnification, 162 mirror formula, 159 parabolic, 156, 157, 159, 210 planar, 153 sign couveration, 162 spherical, 158 Missing order, 409 Missing order, 409 Missing order, 409 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 505 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 506 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 507 Modulation, 507 Multiple-beam merference, 553, 381 Muscole, 737 cold, 373 Muscovite, 409 Mutual coherence function, 523 Myopia, 182 Nanometer (1 nm = 10⁻⁹ m), 15, 69, 72 Nanometer (1 nm = 10⁻⁹ m), 15. 6 Natural frequency, 59 Natural inguency, 59 Natural linewidth, 26S Near-field diffraction: see Fresnel diffraction Nearinghtedness, 18S Negative lons, 185, 18S Negative unis, 185, 18S Neodymium, 587 Nerustr, Walther, 250 Neutrino, 10 Nerust, Waltney, 200 Neutrino, 10 Neuton, Sir Isaac, 3-6, 56, 123, 164, 235, 352, 378, 430 Neuton's riugs, 352–354, 365, 446 Neutonian form of lens equation, 145.

Newtonian form o 213 Ng, Won K., 554

Nichols, Ernest Fox, 46 Nichols, Ernest Fox, 46 Nicol, William, 290 Nicol pristn, 290 Niépee, Joseph Nicéphore, 199 Nitrobenzene, 319 Nodel points, 211 Nodes 240 Nodes, 249 Nonlinear optics, 610 Nonresonant scattering, 57 Normal congruence, 85 Numerical aperture (NA), 171, 192 Object distance, 129, 130 disance, 129, 130 compound lens, 146 focal length, 134 compound lens, 148 space, 128 Obliquity factor, 404, 434 Ocular; ure Experiees Oll Immersion objective, 192, 223 Optica ati, 279, 283 Optica ati, 279, 283 Optica ati, 450 Optica bandwidth, 263 Optica bandwidth, 263 Opical axis, 130 Opical axis, 130 Opical bandwicht, 283 Opical computer, coherent, 561, 565 Opical field, 454 Opical field, 562, 344, 255 Opical prime field excellence, rel 5 Opical prime prime field excellence, rel 5 Opical prime prime, 58, 78, 89, 135 Opical pattern ercognition, 505 Opical pattern field, 55, 78, 89, 155 Opical pattern field, 55, 78, 89, 155 Opical pattern field, 55, 78, 89, 155 Opical atterning, 580 Opical restification, 612 Opical steperiormers, 312 Opical steperiormers, 312 Opical steperiormers, 512 Opical steperiormers, 512 Opical steperiormers, 512 Optical steperiormers, 512 Orthoscopic system, 251, 232 Oscillating dipole radiation, 52 Oscillator, 397 Oscillator strengths, 61

Palomar Observatory, 51, 153, 196 Parabolic mirror, 157, 398 Parametric amplification, 615 Paraxial ray, 134, 159 Parrish, Maxfield, Jr., 279 Parseval's formula, 498

Partially polarized light, Pasteur, Louis, 312 Pauli, Wolfgang, 9, 10 Peak transmission, 370 Pellicles, 346 Penetration depth, 110 Period Penetration depth. 110 Period spatial (A), 15 temporal (r), 16 Permutivity (r), 35 Perot, Alfred, 96a, 202, 229 Petaval condition, 229 Petaval condition, 229 Petaval condition, 229 Petaval surface, 229 Petaval surface, 229 Petaval surface, 229 Petaval (r), 66 addition, 247 addifference (8), 119, 244, 355 initial (c), 17 initial (c), 17 lags and leads, 66 modulation, 572 rate of change with distance. 18 rate of change with time, 18 shifts, 99 Phase contrast, 570, 595 Phase grating, 432 Phase place, 574 Phase grating, 432 Phase plate, 574 Phase spectrum, 473 Phase transfer function (PTF), 508 Phase velocity (o), 17, 19, 283 Phasora, 247, 355, 450, 457 Phasora, 247, 355, 450, 457 Photochoronic glass, 607 Photocho Photon, 9, 33, 540, 550 angular momentum (L), 275 flux, 44, 55 flux density, 44, 73 harmonic generation, 612 mass, 34, 544 probability, 550 reflection and refraction, 120 spectrum, 68 spin, 276 spectrum, 68 spin, 276 virtual, 34 Physical optics, 35, 129 Pielectrons, 116 Pile of piates polarizer, 298 Pinholic camera, 199 Pinholic camera, 199 Pianck, Max Karl Ernst Ludwig, 9, 539 Planck, Vax Karl Ernst Ludwig, 9, 539

Partially polarized light, 275

Index 673

Planck's radiation law, 540 Planck's radiation Jaw, 540 Plane of invidence, 86 Plane of vibration, 29, 270 Plane waves, 21, 41 propagation vector (k), 21–23 Plasma frequency (ω_p), 112 Plato, 1 Pockels, Friedrich Carl Alwin, 319 Pockels effect, 318, 319 Pockels effect, 318, 319 Pohl interferometer, 360, 362 Pohl, Robert Wichard, 444 Pohl, Robert Wichard, 444 Poincaré, Jules Henri, 8 Poincaré, Jules Henri, 8 Poison, Siméon Denis, 443 Poison N, sinéon Denis, 444 Polar molecules, 58 Polarization, 270, 294, 338 angle (g), 97, 98, 258 by scattering, 264 circular, 271 compensators, 304 circular, 271 compensators, 304 degree of (V), 299 elliptical, 273 half-wave plate, 301, 302 historical notes, 4, 6 linear, 28, 41, 270 photons, 275 plane, 270 plane, 270 plane, 270 quarter-wave plates, 303 retarders, 300 rhombs, 305 unpolarized light, 29, 274, 322 wave plates, 300 Polarized sky light, 295 Polarized sky light, 295 Polarizer, 277 birderineer, 207 olarizers, 277 birefringent, 290 circular, 305 Glan-Air, 291 Glan-Foucault, 291 Glan-Foucault, 291 linear, 277 extinction axis, 279 transmission axis, 279 pile-of-plates, 298 Rochon, 329, 647 Rochon, 329, 647 wire-grid, 279 Wollaston, 292, 329 Polaroid, 281 Polychromatic light, 306 Polyvinyi alcohol, 281, 282, 302, 306 Population inversion, 580

Porta, Giovanni Battista Della, 2, 198 Porta, A. B., 565 Portrail (ca., Petral's 202 Positive uniskai crystal, 289 Potasi sum dieductrium phosphate (KD*P), 320, 612 Posta Song, Potasi Song, So

Q (quality factor), 585 Q-switch, 319, 321, 585 Quantum fields, 34, 538 Quantum methanics, 3 Quantum methanics, 3 Quantum mature of light, 8, 34, 338 Quarter-wave plate, 303 Quarter wave plate, 303 Radiou wave, 503 Radiou http://doi.org/1000 Radio http://doi.org/1000 Radiou http://doi.org/10000 Radiou http://doi.org/10000 Radiou http://doi.org/10000 Radiou h Dove, 167 Leman-Springer, 169 Prenta, 165, 200 Porro, 167, 169 rhomboid, 188 right-angle, 167 Reflection, 79 diffue, 87, 4 external, 98 internal, 98 Refracted wave, 65 Refraction, 79 at aspherical surfaces, 129 Carresian oval, 130 equation, 210 matrix (ØP, 217 matrix (ØP, 217 at apherical surfaces, 129 Carresian oval, 130 equation, 210 matrix (ØP, 217 matrix (ØP, 217 at apherical surfaces, 132 Refractive index (n), 56, 60, 62 of air, 56 Relative payerure, 152 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 chromatic (ØP, 372 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 422 Resolution, 977, 427 Resolution, 971, 427 Resolution, 90 Resonance rediation, 202, 553 Resonance rediation, 202, 553 Resonance rediation, 202, 553 Resonance rediation, 203 Retarders, 300 Retarder

Sagittal coma, 224 Sagittal focus, 227 Sagittal plane, 226 Sagital rays, 295 Sagnac interferometer, 550, 363, 386 Sagnac interferometer, 550, 363, 386 Sagnac shift, 592, 295 Sumater-digital interference, 378 Scatter-digital interference, 378 Scatter-digital interference, 378 Scattering, 57, 54, 292, 552 elasite, 553 Mile, 294 nonresonant, 57 and polaritation, 292 Rayheigh, 294, 553, 611 spontareous Raman, 554 stimulated Raman, 554, 611 Schwider, Arthur Loonard, 578 Scheiner, Christoph, 177 Scheiner, Christoph, 177 Scheiner, Christoph, 177 Scheiner, Christoph, 177 Scheiner, Christoph, 178 Schwidt, Bernhard Voldemar, 189 Schwidt, Bernhard Voldemar, 189 Schwidt, Bernhard Voldemar, 187 Schwidt, Schwidt Soleil compensator, 305 Sommerfeld, Arnoid Johannes Wilhelm, 394, 464 Sonnar lens, 230 Source isotropic, 24 strength (*A*, 62), 86, 400 Space invariance, 483 Sparrow, C, 422 Sparrow's criterion, 422 Sparrow's criterion, 422 Sparrow's criterion, 422 Sparal Compension, 10, 253, 474, 494, 559 Spatial Teleview, 10, 253, 474, 494, 559 Spatial Telativity, 3, 353 Spotkel effect, 921 Speckal lense, 192 Speckal lense, 193 Speckal lense,

Index 675

Subsidiary maximum, 411 Superposition, 242, 245, 333 Surface waves, 106 Synchrotron radiation, 49 System matrix (*at*), 217

T-number, 153 TEM mode, 582, 983 Tangential cons, 224 Tangential pone, 225 Taylor, 14. Dennis, 202, 224 Taylor (or Cook) triplet, 202, 230 Taylor, Ceodfrey I., 500 Teleptote lens, 201, 202 Telestope, 4, 192 catadioptic systems, 197 Baker, 198 Bouwere-Maisutov, 198 Schmidt, 197 reflexting systems, 4, 196 Cassegnialian, 197 reflexting systems, 4, 196 Cassegnialian, 197 refracting systems, 4, 197 prime focus, 197 refracting systems, 4, 192 angular magnification, 194 astronomical, 198 Temporal coherence, 337, 516, 528, 590 complex depret of, 593 Tesara lens, 201, 219, 220, 220 Thermal reflexion, 72 Thermal reflexion, 72 Thermon radiation, 72 Thermon radiation, 72 Thermon radiation, 72 Thermon radiation, 71 Thick lens, 211 principal points, 213 principal points, 213 principal points, 213 principal points, 213 principal points, 215 principal points, 216 principal points, 217 principal points, 217

Total internal reflection, 104, 167, 170 Tournaline, 279, 289 Townes, Charles Hard, 577 Transfer, equation, 216 functions, 505-512 matrix (57), 217 Transmition probability, 61 Transmixion axis, 277 Transmittance (7), 100, 369 unit (7), 126 Transverze waves, 28 electromagnetic, 41 historical nate, 6 Tungsten hamp, 72 Twiss, R. Q., 532 Twyman-Green interferometer, 385

Ulexite, 173 Ultraviolet, 69, 73, 112 mirrors, 153 Uniaxial crystal, 289 Unit planes, 213 Upatnieks, Juris, 595

Vraumbers, 2017, 950 Vraumbers, 234 Van Cutter-Zernike Theorem, 522, 529 Van Laue, Max, 433 Vecograph, Dolavid, 282 Verdet, Smile, 516 Verdet constant, 316, 517 Vertex (V), 130 Vipation curve, 248, 438 Vignetting, 151 Vitrual, imag. 191, 135 object, 135 photons, 94 Visioin asignamism, 184 ergelasses, 181 far point, 182 farsightedness, 184 near point, 184 nearsightedness, 182 wavelength range of, 179 Vitello, 2, 84 Vitrous humour, 178 Voger effect, 318 Water, 58, 62, 114, 317, 319 Wave function, 14, 24, 40 function, 15, 202 public, 13 surfaces, 22, 42 theory, 6 velocity, 12, 17, 19, 41 Wavefront continuity, 122 Wavefront sitting, 354, 339 Wavefronts, 23 Wavefront, 5, 264 Wavets circular, 197 crimotical, 297 crimotical, 297 crimotical, 297 electoronaugencie, 33, 91 evanescen, (afase or boundary), 107 harhomogeneous, 23, 107, 583 at nitertifice, 59 lineary polaticed, 28, 41, 270 propagation, 63 propagation, 64 propagation, 65 propagatio Wheatstone, Charles, 6 White light, 72, 338 White substances, 114 Wild-angle lens, 202 Wien, Wilhelm Carl Werner Otto Fritz Frans, 540 Wiener, Otto, 249 Wiener, Alton, 249 Wiener, Altonkine theorem, 501 Windww, entrance, 191 esti, 191 Wirere-Khitchine theorem, 501 Windww, entrance, 191 Wirere-Khitshine theorem, 501 Windw, entrance, 191 Wirere-Khitshine, 426 Wolf, Emil, 45, 553 Woodbury, Etc.], 554 Words function (eq.), 543, 557 Words function (eq.), 543, 557

X-rays, 62, 74, 179 Bragg's law, 434 frequency range, 74 transverse nature, 296 white radiation, 433

YAC (yttrium aluminum garnet), 587 Yerkes Observatory, 152, 196 Y1G (yttrium iron garnet), 317 Young, Thomas, 5, 6, 296, 464 Young's experiment, 339, 464, 481, 496, 518, 523, 529, 549, 550

Zeeman effect. 251 Zeiss, Carl, 192, 563 Zeiss Ornhometer Jens, 201, 230 Zeiss Sonnar Leas, 230 Zernike, Fritz, 516, 570, 575 Zinc sullide, 376 Zirconium dioxide, 376 Zone construction, 435 Zone plate. 445, 595, 602

