

Filed August 10, 2021

On behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1733-195@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

IPR2020-01733
Patent 10,702,195

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MASIMO’S PIONEERING TECHNOLOGY	4
A. The ’195 Patent	4
B. Introduction To Independent Claims	6
C. The ’195 Patent Prosecution	8
III. THE PETITION’S PROPOSED COMBINATIONS.....	8
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
VI. LEGAL STANDARD	10
VII. GROUND 1 DOES NOT ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS	11
A. Ground 1’s Cited Art And Asserted Combination.....	11
1. Petitioner’s Combination Of Ohsaki And Aizawa	11
2. Dr. Kenny’s Erroneous Characterization Of Ohsaki	16
3. Petitioner’s Addition Of Mendelson 2003 To The Combination Of Ohsaki And Aizawa.....	18
4. Petitioner’s Addition Of Goldsmith To The Combination Of Ohsaki, Aizawa, And Mendelson 2003.....	21
B. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Ohsaki’s Board With Aizawa’s Sensor	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
1. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki's Rectangular Board Is Incompatible With Aizawa's Radially Symmetric Sensor Arrangement	23
a) Modifying Ohsaki's Rectangular Board Would Eliminate Ohsaki's Already Limited Advantages	23
b) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Add A Rectangular Board To Aizawa's Circular Sensor	29
2. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki's Board "Has A Tendency To Slip" At Aizawa's Required Measurement Location On The Palm Side Of The Wrist, Near The Artery	32
a) Aizawa's Flat Acrylic Plate Improves Adhesion On The Palm Side Of The Wrist.....	32
b) Ohsaki's Convex Board Has "A Tendency To Slip" When Positioned On The Palm Side Of The Wrist.....	38
c) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Eliminate The Benefits Of Aizawa's Flat Adhesive Acrylic Plate By Including A Lens/Protrusion Similar To Ohsaki's Board	41
3. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Add A Convex Lens/Protrusion To Aizawa's Sensor Because It Would Have Been Expected To Reduce The Optical Signal	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
a) A POSITA Would Have Understood That A Convex Cover Directs Light To The Center Of The Sensor	45
b) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Direct Light Away From Aizawa's Detectors.....	47
4. A POSITA Would Not Have Selected A Convex Cover To Protect The Optical Elements	52
C. Petitioner's Modification Of Aizawa's Detector Arrangement Changes Its Principle Of Operation And Produces An Inferior Sensor	54
1. Petitioner's Proposed Combination Changes Aizawa's Principle Of Operation And Eliminates A Feature Aizawa Repeatedly Identifies As Important.....	55
2. Petitioner's Proposed Modification Would Result In Increased Power Consumption Compared To Aizawa's Existing Embodiment	57
3. Mendelson 2003 Teaches Against Using Its Particular Detector Arrangement That Was Designed For Performing Experiments	60
D. Goldsmith Does Not Cure The Deficiencies In Petitioner's Proposed Combination Of Aizawa, Ohsaki, and Mendelson 2003	65
E. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious.....	65

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
1. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious For The Same Reasons As Claims 1 And 16.....	65
2. Claims 9 and 15 Are Nonobvious For Additional Reasons	66
VIII. GROUND 2 FAILS FOR THE SAME REASONS AS GROUND 1	69
IX. CONCLUSION.....	70

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.