

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Poeze, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,258,265 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0006IP1  
Issue Date: April 16, 2019  
Appl. Serial No.: 16/212,440  
Filing Date: December 6, 2018  
Title: MULTI-STREAM DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM FOR NONIN-  
VASIVE MEASUREMENT OF BLOOD CONSTITUENTS

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 10,258,265 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

**Masimo Ex. 2019**  
Apple v. Masimo

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104.....   | 1  |
| A.   | Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a).....  | 1  |
| B.   | Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) and Relief Requested .....   | 1  |
| C.   | Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§42.104(b)(3).....  | 3  |
| D.   | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....   | 3  |
| II.  | SUMMARY OF THE '265 PATENT .....  | 4  |
| A.   | Brief Description.....  | 4  |
| B.   | Summary of the Prosecution History of the '265 Patent.....  | 6  |
| III. | THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....   | 6  |
| A.   | [GROUND-1A] – Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16, 17, 19-23, and 26-29 are rendered obvious by Aizawa in view of Inokawa .....            | 6  |
| 1.   | Overview of Aizawa.....   | 6  |
| 2.   | Overview of Inokawa .....   | 9  |
| 3.   | Combination of Aizawa and Inokawa.....  | 13 |
| 4.   | Analysis .....  | 22 |
| B.   | [GROUND-1B] – Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16, 17, 19-23, and 26-29 are rendered obvious by Aizawa in view of Inokawa and Ohsaki ..... | 48 |
| 1.   | Overview of Ohsaki.....   | 48 |
| 2.   | Analysis .....  | 50 |
| C.   | [GROUND-1C] – Claims 23-24 are rendered obvious by Aizawa in view of Inokawa and Mendelson-2006.....                        | 51 |
| 1.   | Overview of Mendelson-2006.....   | 51 |
| 2.   | Combination of Aizawa, Inokawa, and Mendelson-2006.....   | 53 |
| 3.   | Analysis .....  | 55 |
| D.   | [GROUND-1D] – Claims 23-24 are rendered obvious by Aizawa in view of Inokawa, Goldsmith, and Lo .....                       | 57 |
| 1.   | Overview of Goldsmith .....   | 57 |
| 2.   | Overview of Lo .....  | 58 |
| 3.   | Combination of Aizawa, Inokawa, Goldsmith, and Lo .....   | 59 |
| 4.   | Analysis .....  | 61 |
| E.   | [GROUND-1E] – Claim 25 is rendered obvious by Aizawa in view of Inokawa, Mendelson-2006, and Beyer .....                    | 62 |
| F.   | [GROUND-2A] – Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-22, and 26-30 are rendered obvious by Mendelson-1988 in view of Inokawa .....            | 66 |
| 1.   | Overview of Mendelson-1988.....   | 66 |
| 2.   | Combination of Mendelson-1988 and Inokawa.....  | 67 |
| 3.   | Analysis .....  | 71 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| G.   | [GROUND-2B] – Claims 23-24 are rendered obvious by Mendelson-1988 in view of Inokawa and Mendelson-2006 .....                         | 95  |
| 1.   | Combination of Mendelson-1988, Inokawa, and Mendelson-2006 .....  | 95  |
| 2.   | Analysis .....  | 97  |
| H.   | [GROUND-2C] – Claim 25 is rendered obvious by Mendelson-1988 in view of Inokawa, Mendelson-2006, and Beyer .....                      | 98  |
| IV.  | PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. §42.103 .....   | 100 |
| V.   | PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION .....   | 100 |
| A.   | Factor 1: Institution will increase the likelihood of stay .....  | 100 |
| B.   | Factor 2: District Court schedule .....   | 100 |
| C.   | Factor 3: Apple’s investment in IPR outweighs forced investment in litigation to date .....   | 101 |
| D.   | Factor 4: The Petition raises unique issues .....   | 102 |
| E.   | Factor 5: Institution would provide the Board an opportunity to invalidate claims that could later be reasserted against others ..... | 103 |
| F.   | Factor 6: Other circumstances support institution .....   | 104 |
| VI.  | CONCLUSION .....  | 104 |
| VII. | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R §42.8(a)(1) .....  | 104 |
| A.   | Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1) .....  | 104 |
| B.   | Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2) .....   | 104 |
| C.   | Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3) .....  | 105 |
| D.   | Service Information .....   | 105 |

## EXHIBITS

|            |   |
|------------|---|
| APPLE-1001 | U.S. Patent No. 10,258,265 to Poeze, et al. (“the ’265 patent”)   |
| APPLE-1002 | Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’265 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)                    |
| APPLE-1003 | Declaration of Dr. Thomas W. Kenny  |
| APPLE-1004 | Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Thomas W. Kenny   |
| APPLE-1005 | <i>Masimo Corporation, et al. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Complaint, Civil Action No. 8:20-cv-00048 (C.D. Cal.) |
| APPLE-1006 | U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0188210 (“Aizawa”)   |
| APPLE-1007 | JP 2006-296564 (“Inokawa”)  |
| APPLE-1008 | Certified English Translation of Inokawa and Translator’s Declaration                                   |
| APPLE-1009 | U.S. Pat. No. 7,088,040 (“Ducharme”)  |
| APPLE-1010 | U.S. Pat. No. 8,177,720 (“Nanba”)   |
| APPLE-1011 | RESERVED  |
| APPLE-1012 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,853,304 (“Reisman”)   |
| APPLE-1013 | U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0220738 (“Nissila”)  |
| APPLE-1014 | U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0056243 (“Ohsaki”)   |
| APPLE-1015 | “Design and Evaluation of a New Reflectance Pulse Oximeter  |

Sensor,” Y. Mendelson, et al.; Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Biomedical Engineering Program, Worcester, MA 01609; Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, vol. 22, No. 4, 1988; pp. 167-173 (“Mendelson-1988”)

- APPLE-1016 “A Wearable Reflectance Pulse Oximeter for Remote Physiological Monitoring,” Y. Mendelson, et al.; Proceedings of the 28th IEEE EMBS Annual International Conference, 2006; pp. 912-915 (“Mendelson-2006”)
- APPLE-1017 Excerpt from Merriam-Webster Dictionary
- APPLE-1018 “Acrylic: Strong, stiff, clear plastic available in a variety of brilliant colors,” available at <https://www.curbellplastics.com/Research-Solutions/Materials/Acrylic>
- APPLE-1019 U.S. Pat. No. 7,031,728 (“Beyer”)
- APPLE-1020 U.S. Pat. No. 7,092,735 (“Osann, Jr.”)
- APPLE-1021 U.S. Pat. No. 6,415,166 (“Van Hoy”)
- APPLE-1022 QuickSpecs; HP iPAQ Pocket PC h4150 Series
- APPLE-1023 U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2007/0145255 (“Nishikawa”)
- APPLE-1024 “Measurement Site and Photodetector Size Considerations in Optimizing Power Consumption of a Wearable Reflectance Pulse Oximeter,” Y. Mendelson, et al.; Proceedings of the 25th IEEE EMBS Annual International Conference, 2003; pp. 3016-3019 (“Mendelson-2003”)
- APPLE-1025 U.S. Pat. No. 6,801,799 (“Mendelson-’799”)
- APPLE-1026 Declaration of Jacob Munford
- APPLE-1027 U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0093786 (“Goldsmith”)
- APPLE-1028 U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0138568 (“Lo”)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.