

Filed December 17, 2021

On behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1715-765@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

IPR2020-01715
U.S. Patent 10,631,765

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT.....	3
A. Ground 1	3
1. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki’s Board Is Longitudinal And Even Small Changes Result In Slippage	3
a) Ohsaki’s Board Is Longitudinal.....	3
b) Petitioner’s Additional Arguments Are Unpersuasive.....	8
2. Petitioner Incorrectly Asserts That Ohsaki’s Board Prevents Slipping “On Either Side Of The User’s Wrist Or Forearm”	12
3. A Convex Cover Does Not Enhance Aizawa’s Light-Gathering Ability	14
a) Petitioner Contradicts Its Admissions	14
b) The Principle Of Reversibility Is Irrelevant To Petitioner’s Proposed Combination.....	16
c) Petitioner’s Other New Theories Are Similarly Misplaced.....	19
4. A Convex Cover Would Be More Prone To Scratches	23
5. Petitioner Establishes No Motivation To Modify Aizawa’s Sensor To Include Both Multiple Detectors And Multiple Emitters	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Cont'd)

	Page No.
6. Mendelson 2006 Underscores Petitioner's Hindsight Reconstruction	25
7. Expectation Of Success.....	27
8. Claims 12, 18, 29	27
B. Grounds 2-3	29
III. CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	27
<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	10, 14, 15
<i>Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.</i> , 810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	10
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. CISCO Sys., Inc.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	9

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner attempts to rewrite a flawed petition that misunderstood the cited references and basic optical principles. Petitioner’s new arguments are inconsistent with its prior positions, conflict with the cited references, and constitute a hindsight-driven reconstruction of Masimo’s claims.

Petitioner asserts that Masimo did not respond to Petitioner’s three purported motivations to modify Aizawa’s “flat cover...to include a lens/protrusion...similar to Ohsaki’s translucent board.” Reply 8; Pet. 33. That is incorrect.

Petitioner’s first motivation is to “improve adhesion.” *Id.* Masimo directly responded, pointing out that Aizawa discloses a palm-side sensor and that Petitioner’s proposed combination has a shape that would *increase slipping* at Aizawa’s measurement location. Patent Owner Response (“POR”) 19-28. Indeed, Aizawa teaches a *flat* surface improves adhesion on the wrist’s palm-side and Ohsaki teaches a *convex* surface tends to *slip* on the wrist’s palm-side. POR 28-41. Both references thus undermine Petitioner’s proposed motivation of improved adhesion. Rather than address these contrary teachings, Petitioner asserts that Ohsaki’s sensor has *no* particular shape and reduces slipping at *any* body location. Reply 13-21. That contradicts Ohsaki, which illustrates its sensor’s longitudinal shape and explains how even slightly changing the sensor’s orientation or

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.