### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Jeroen Poeze et al.

U.S. Patent No.: 10,631,765 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0024IP1

Issue Date: April 28, 2020 Appl. Serial No.: 16/725,478

Filing Date: December 23, 2019

Title: MULTI-STREAM DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM FOR

NONINVASIVE MEASUREMENT OF BLOOD

**CONSTITUENTS** 

## SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. THOMAS W. KENNY

I hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. I further declare that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of the Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: October 29, 2021 By:

Thomas W. Kenny, Ph.D.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IN	TRODUCTION4
II.	GR	ROUND 1 ESTABLISHES OBVIOUSNESS6
	В.	Ohsaki does not teach or require its convex translucent board 8 to be "rectangular" in shape
		<ol> <li>Patent Owner ignores the behavior of scattered light in relation to reflectance-type pulse sensors and oximeters.</li> <li>A POSITA would have implemented the sensor resulting from the combination of Mendelson-799 and Ohsaki to prevent air gaps between the skin and the detectors.</li> </ol>
	D. E.	A POSITA would have found the advantages of using a convex cover to outweigh the slight possibility of scratching the cover
		<ol> <li>A POSITA would have modified the combined sensor of Mendelson-799 and Ohsaki to guard against saturation based on Schulz's teachings</li></ol>
	F.	A POSITA would have enabled the combined sensor of Mendelson-799, Ohsaki, and Schulz to communicate wirelessly with a handheld
	G.	computing device, based on the teachings of Mendelson-200639 A POSITA would have expected success in performing the combination
	Н.	The challenged dependent claims are rendered obvious by Mendelson-799, Ohsaki, Schulz, and Mendelson-2006



III.	GROUND 2 ESTABLISHES OBVIOUSNESS	44
IV.	GROUND 3 ESTABLISHES OBVIOUSNESS	44
V.	GROUND 4 ESTABLISHES OBVIOUSNESS	45
VI.	CONCLUSION	45



### I. Introduction

- 1. I have been retained on behalf of Apple Inc. to offer technical opinions relating to U.S. Patent No. 10,631,765 ("the '765 Patent") in the present case (IPR2020-01714). In this Second Declaration, I provide opinions related to Patent Owner's Response (Paper 16) and Dr. Madisetti's supporting declaration (Ex. 2004).
- 2. In addition to the materials listed in my First Declaration (APPLE-1003), I have also reviewed the following materials:
  - Paper 8: Institution Decision;
  - Paper 16: Patent Owner's Response ("POR");
  - Ex. 2004: Declaration of Dr. Madisetti;
  - Ex. 2006-2009: Transcripts of my prior depositions;
  - APPLE-1050: Excerpts of Eugene Hecht, Optics (2nd Ed. 1990), pages 79-143, 211-220;
  - APPLE-1051: Eugene Hecht, Optics (2nd Ed. 1990);
  - APPLE-1052: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in IPR2020-01520, IPR2020-01537, IPR2020-01539, Day 1 (August 1, 2021);
  - APPLE-1053: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in IPR2020-01520, IPR2020-01537, IPR2020-01539, Day 2 (August 2, 2021);



- APPLE-1054: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in IPR2020-01536, IPR2020-01538 (August 3, 2021);
- APPLE-1055: "Refractive Indices of Human Skin Tissues at Eight
   Wavelengths and Estimated Dispersion Relations between 300 and 1600
   nm," H. Ding, et al.; Phys. Med. Biol. 51 (2006); pp. 1479-1489
   ("Ding");
- APPLE-1056: "Analysis of the Dispersion of Optical Plastic Materials,"
   S. Kasarova, et al.; Optical Materials 29 (2007); pp. 1481-1490
   ("Kararova");
- APPLE-1057: "Noninvasive Pulse Oximetry Utilizing Skin Reflectance Photoplethysmography," Y. Mendelson, et al.; IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 35, No. 10, October 1988; pp. 798-805 ("Mendelson-IEEE-1988");
- APPLE-1049: Eugene Hecht, Optics (4th Ed. 2002).
- APPLE-1058: US Patent No. 6,198,951 ("Kosuda")
- 3. Counsel has informed me that I should consider these materials through the lens of one of ordinary skill in the art related to the '765 Patent at the time of the earliest possible priority date of the '765 Patent (July 3, 2008, hereinafter the "Critical Date") and I have done so during my review of these materials. I have applied the same level of ordinary skill in the art described in my prior declaration,



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

