

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Jeroen Poeze et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,624,564 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0023IP1  
Issue Date: April 21, 2020  
Appl. Serial No.: 16/725,292  
Filing Date: Dec. 23, 2019  
Title: MULTI-STREAM DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM FOR  
NONINVASIVE MEASUREMENT OF BLOOD  
CONSTITUENTS

**SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. THOMAS W. KENNY**

I hereby declare that all statements made of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. I further declare that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of the Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: October 27, 2021

By: 

Thomas W. Kenny, Ph.D.

## Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	3
II.	Ground 1 .....	5
	A. Ohsaki does not teach or require that its translucent board 8 is “rectangular” in shape.....	11
	B. A POSITA would have recognized the benefits of Ohsaki’s teachings when applied to Aizawa’s sensor .....	15
	C. Modifying Aizawa’s sensor to include a convex cover as taught by Ohsaki enhances the sensor’s light-gathering ability .....	19
	D. A POSITA would have been motivated to select a convex cover to protect the optical elements .....	36
	E. A POSITA would have combined Aizawa and Ohsaki with Goldsmith .....	36
	F. The claimed protrusion height in claims 16 and 17 would have been obvious to a POSITA.....	37
III.	Ground 2 Establishes Obviousness .....	40
IV.	Grounds 3-6 Establish Obviousness.....	43
V.	CONCLUSION.....	43

## **I. Introduction**

1. I have been retained on behalf of Apple Inc. to offer technical opinions relating to U.S. Patent No. 10,624,564 (“the ’564 Patent”) in the present case (IPR2020-01713). In this Second Declaration, I provide opinions related to Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 24) and Dr. Madisetti’s supporting declaration (Ex. 2004).
2. In addition to the materials listed in my First Declaration (APPLE-1003), I have reviewed several additional documents and references including:

- Paper 7: Institution Decision;
- Paper 14: Patent Owner’s Response (“POR”);
- Ex. 2004: Declaration of Dr. Madisetti;
- Ex. 2006-2009: Transcripts of my prior depositions;
- APPLE-1051: Eugene Hecht, Optics (2nd Ed. 1990);
- APPLE-1052: Eugene Hecht, Optics (4th Ed. 2002);
- APPLE-1053: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in IPR2020- 01536, IPR2020-01538 (August 3, 2021);
- APPLE-1054: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in IPR2020- 01520, IPR2020-01537, IPR2020-01539, Day 1 (August 1, 2021);
- APPLE-1055: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Thomas W. Kenny in IPR2020-01520, IPR2020-01536, IPR2020-01537, IPR2020-01538, IPR2020-01539, Day 2 (September 18, 2021);

- APPLE-1056: Deposition Transcript of Dr. Vijay Madiseti in IPR2020- 01520, IPR2020-01537, IPR2020-01539, Day 2 (August 2, 2021);
- APPLE-1057: “Refractive Indices of Human Skin Tissues at Eight Wavelengths and Estimated Dispersion Relations between 300 and 1600 nm,” H. Ding, et al.; Phys. Med. Biol. 51 (2006); pp. 1479-1489 (“Ding”);
- APPLE-1058: “Analysis of the Dispersion of Optical Plastic Materials,” S. Kasarova, et al.; Optical Materials 29 (2007); pp. 1481-1490 (“Kasarova”);
- APPLE-1059: “Noninvasive Pulse Oximetry Utilizing Skin Reflectance Photoplethysmography,” Y. Mendelson, et al.; IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 35, No. 10, October 1988; pp. 798-805 (“Mendelson-IEEE-1988”); and
- APPLE-1060: U.S. Pat. No. 6,198,951 (“Kosuda”).

3. Counsel has informed me that I should consider these materials through the lens of a person of ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) related to the '564 Patent at the time of the earliest possible priority date of the '564 Patent (July 3, 2008, hereinafter the “Critical Date”) and I have done so during my review of these materials. I have applied the same level of ordinary skill in the art described in my prior declaration, which I have been informed was also adopted by the Board in the Institution

Decision. APPLE-1003, [0021]-[0022]; Institution Decision, 11-12.

4. I have no financial interest in the party or in the outcome of this proceeding. I am being compensated for my work as an expert on an hourly basis. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of these proceedings or the content of my opinions.

5. In writing this declaration, I have considered the following: my own knowledge and experience, including my work experience in the fields of mechanical engineering, computer science, biomedical engineering, and electrical engineer; my experience in teaching those subjects; and my experience in working with others involved in those fields. In addition, I have analyzed various publications and materials, in addition to other materials I cite in my declaration.

6. My opinions, as explained below, are based on my education, experience, and expertise in the fields relating to the '564 Patent. Unless otherwise stated, my testimony below refers to the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the fields as of the Critical Date, or before.

## **II. Ground 1**

7. As I explained at length in my first declaration, a POSITA “would have found it obvious to modify the [Aizawa] sensor’s flat cover...to include a lens/protrusion...similar to Ohsaki’s translucent board 8, so as to [1] improve adhesion between the user’s wrist and the sensor’s surface, [2] improve detection efficiency, [3] and protect the elements within the sensor housing.” APPLE-1003,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.