UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2020-01713 Patent 10,624,564

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case No. IPR2020-01713 Attorney Docket: 50095-0023IP1

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner hereby submits the following

objections to evidence filed with Patent Owner's Response of August 4, 2021.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2004	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2004 under
	FRE 702 and 703, because it contains opinions that are
	conclusory, do not disclose supporting facts or data, are
	based on unreliable facts, data, or methods, and/or include
	testimony outside the scope of Dr. Madisetti's specialized
	knowledge (to the extent he has any such knowledge) that
	will not assist the trier of fact. As an example, Dr.
	Madisetti possesses no experience or training relevant to
	his opinion that "a POSITA would have understood that a
	convex lens/protrusion would direct incoming light towards
	the center of the sensor, as compared to a flat surface"
	Exhibit 2004 at ¶83; <i>see also id.</i> at ¶86. Accordingly, at
	least part of Dr. Madisetti's declaration is unreliable
	insomuch as it relies on his understanding of how a convex
	lens works. Patent Owner also objects to Exhibit 2004 as
	containing opinions that are irrelevant, confusing, and
	presenting the danger of unfair prejudice under FRE 401,
	402, and 403.
Exhibit 2006	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2006, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2006 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.

Δ

1

Exhibit 2007	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2007, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2007 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2008	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2008, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2008 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2009	Petitioner incorporates the real-time objections made by
	Petitioner's counsel reflected in Exhibit 2009, to the extent
	that such objections relate to portions of Exhibit 2009 that
	are cited in Patent Owner's Response.
Exhibit 2010	Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2010 under FRE 901, as Patent
	Owner has not submitted evidence that the document is
	authentic, nor that the document is self-authenticating. Of
	note, there is insufficient support in the Exhibit 2010 to
	show that the document was publically available before the
	priority date of the patent. See, e.g., Microsoft Corp. v.
	Corel Software, LLC, IPR2016-01300 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 4,
	2017) (Denial of Institution); ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-
	Packard Co., IPR2015-00716, Paper No. 13 at 2-3, 10-18
	(P.T.A.B. Aug. 26, 2015). Petitioner further objects to
	Exhibit 2010 under FRE 801 and 802 as inadmissible
	hearsay.
Exhibit 2019	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2019 under
	FRE 401, 402, and 403 at least insofar as the Patent Owner

2

	Response does not establish the relevance of the statements
	cited, and at least insofar as the cited statements are
	potentially misleading when taken out of context.
Exhibit 2020	Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2020 under
	FRE 401, 402, and 403 at least insofar as the Patent Owner
	Response does not establish the relevance of the statements
	cited, and at least insofar as the cited statements are
	potentially misleading when taken out of context.

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2004, 2010, 2019,

and 2020. Petitioner reserves the right to move to exclude Exhibits 2004, 2010,

2019, and 2020.

DOCKF

R

M

Δ

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: <u>August 11, 2021</u>

/Usman Khan/ W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265 Andrew B. Patrick, Reg. No. 63,471 Usman A. Khan, Reg. No. 70,439 Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 T: 202-783-5553

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.*, the undersigned certifies that on August 11, 2021, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by electronic mail to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence e-mail address of record as follows:

> Joseph R. Re Stephen W. Larson Jarom D. Kesler Jacob L. Peterson

Knobbe, Martens, Olson, & Bear, LLP 2040 Main St., 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614

Email: AppleIPR2020-1713-564@knobbe.com

/Crena Pacheco/

Crena Pacheco Fish & Richardson P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (617) 956-5938