

Filed August 4, 2021

On behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation  
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)  
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)  
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)  
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP  
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614  
Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502  
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1713-564@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-01713  
Patent 10,624,564

---

**PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MASIMO’S PIONEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	3
A. The ’564 Patent Claims .....	3
B. Introduction To Independent Claims .....	4
C. The ’564 Patent Prosecution .....	6
III. THE PETITION’S PROPOSED COMBINATIONS.....	7
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	7
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	7
VI. LEGAL STANDARD .....	8
VII. GROUND 1 FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE OBVIOUSNESS .....	9
A. Ground 1’s Cited Art And Asserted Combination.....	9
1. Petitioner’s Combination Of Ohsaki And Aizawa .....	10
2. Dr. Kenny’s Erroneous Characterization Of Ohsaki .....	13
B. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Ohsaki’s Board With Aizawa’s Sensor .....	16
1. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki’s Rectangular Board Is Incompatible With Aizawa’s Radially Symmetric Sensor Arrangement .....	17
a) Modifying Ohsaki’s Rectangular Board Would Eliminate Ohsaki’s Already Limited Advantages .....	17

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
b) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Add A Rectangular Board To Aizawa's Circular Sensor .....	23
2. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki's Board "Has A Tendency To Slip" At Aizawa's Required Measurement Location On The Palm Side Of The Wrist, Near The Artery .....	25
a) Aizawa's Flat Acrylic Plate Improves Adhesion On The Palm Side Of The Wrist.....	26
b) Ohsaki's Convex Board Has "A Tendency To Slip" When Positioned On The Palm Side Of The Wrist.....	32
c) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Eliminate The Benefits Of Aizawa's Flat Adhesive Acrylic Plate By Including A Protruding Surface Similar To Ohsaki's Board .....	35
3. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Add A Convex Protruding Surface To Aizawa's Sensor Because It Would Have Been Expected To Reduce The Optical Signal .....	38
a) A POSITA Would Have Understood That A Convex Cover Directs Light To The Center Of The Sensor .....	39
b) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Direct Light Away From Aizawa's Detectors.....	41

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
4. A POSITA Would Not Have Selected A Convex Cover To Protect The Optical Elements .....	44
C. Goldsmith Does Not Cure The Deficiencies In Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Of Aizawa and Ohsaki.....	46
D. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 1 .....	46
1. The Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious For The Same Reasons As Claim 1 .....	46
2. Claims 16 And 17 Are Nonobvious For Additional Reasons .....	47
VIII. GROUNDS 2-3 FAIL FOR THE SAME REASONS AS GROUND 1 .....	51
IX. GROUNDS 4-6 FAIL FOR THE SAME REASONS AS GROUNDS 1-3.....	52

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page No(s).</b>
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	8
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int'l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	8
<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	38
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992) .....	46
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .....	9
<i>In re Kotzab</i> , 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	8
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	9
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> , 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	9
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ( <i>en banc</i> ) .....	7
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (C.C.P.A. 1974) .....	8
<i>Star Sci., Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	9

## OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	7
--------------------------	---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.