UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

SABLE NETWORKS, INC. and SABLE IP,	§
LLC,	§
Plaintiffs	§
	§
V.	§
	§
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.	
	ş
Defendant	§

Civil Action No.: 6:20-cv-524-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	TRODUCTION			
II.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND			2	
	A.	Plaintiffs Have No Connection to This District2			
	B.	Defen	Defendant Juniper's Witnesses and Sources of Proof Are in N.D. Cal2		
	C.	Many	Third-Party Witnesses Are in N.D. Cal.	4	
III.	LEGAL STANDARD			6	
IV.	ARGUMENT6			6	
	A.	Venue	Venue Is Proper In The Northern District of California		
	B. The Private Factors Support Transfer		rivate Factors Support Transfer	7	
		i.	Access to Sources of Proof	7	
		ii.	Ability to Compel Third-Party Witnesses	9	
		iii.	Cost of Witness Attendance	11	
		iv.	Other Practical Considerations	12	
	C.	The P	ublic Factors Support Transfer	13	
		i.	Administrative Difficulties	13	
		ii.	Local Interest	14	
		iii.	The Remaining Public Interest Factors are Neutral	15	
V.	CONC	CLUSIC	DN	15	

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

FEDERAL CASES

<i>In re Adobe, Inc.</i> (No. 2026-126, 2020 WL 4308164 (Fed. Cir. July 28, 2020))1,11,12
<i>Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,</i> No. 6:18-cv-00372-ADA, 2019 WL 4743678 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 10, 2019)9,10
<i>Gemalto S.A. v. CPI Card Grp. Inc.</i> , No. 15-CA-0910, 2015 WL 10818740 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2015)10
In re Genentech 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009) passim
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2017-107, 2017 WL 977038 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 23, 2017)
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009)14
Neil Bros. Ltd. v. World Wide Lines, Inc., 425 F.Supp.2d 325 (E.D.N.Y. 2006)
Noble v. Geo Group, Inc., 2008 WL 2609208 (W.D. Tex. 2008)
Parus Holdings, Inc. v. LG Elec. Inc. No. 6:19-cv-00432-ADA, Dkt. 161 (W.D. Tex. 2020)1,7
<i>TC Heartland LLC v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC,</i> 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017)
<i>In re Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1315 (5th Cir. 2008)6,7,11
<i>In re Triton Ltd. Sec. Litig.</i> , 70 F.Supp.2d 678 (E.D. Tex. 1999)12
Uniloc USA Inc. v. Box, Inc., No. 1:17-CV-754-LY, 2018 WL 2729202 (W.D. Tex. June 6, 2018)14
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)11,13

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.,	
545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	

FEDERAL STATUTES

§ 1400(b)	.7
-----------	----

FEDERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

Fed. R. Civ. P.		
45(c)(1)(A) and	l (B)	10

I. INTRODUCTION

The access to sources of proof, the availability of witnesses, the cost to obtaining witnesses' attendance, and the local interest of the venue all demonstrate that the locus of this dispute is in the Northern District of California. The courts in *In re Genentech* (566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)), *In re Adobe, Inc.* (No. 2026-126, 2020 WL 4308164 (Fed. Cir. July 28, 2020)), and *Parus Holdings, Inc. v. LG Elec. Inc.* (No. 6:19-cv-00432-ADA, Dkt. 161 (W.D. Tex. 2020)) found the same factors weighed in favor of transfer and ordered that those cases be moved to the Northern District of California, and the same result should be found here.

In this dispute, the Defendant, and one of the two Plaintiffs, have bases of operations in the Northern District of California. The patents-in-suit, as well as the Accused Products were developed in that District, and the Defendant's sales, marketing, research and development operations, as well as its documents and source code, are stored there. Defendant's expected trial witnesses who lead the engineering, sales, and marketing of the Accused Products are all based in the Northern District of California. Third-party witnesses, including engineers from Broadcom with knowledge about some of the accused functionalities and 6 of the named inventors who may have knowledge about on-sale bar activities, are also based in that District.

In contrast, the Western District of Texas has no relevant ties to the parties or the case. Plaintiffs have no presence in this District. None of the Defendant's sources of proof or expected trial witnesses are in this District either. None of the Accused Products or the inventions claimed in patents-in-suit were researched or developed in this District. Thus, many of the factors clearly support transfer – including the convenience of Juniper's witnesses, the cost of obtaining witnesses' attendance, difficulty of obtaining documents and physical evidence, the location of relevant third-party witnesses, and the local interests of the District – and none of the factors

DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT Page | 1

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.