UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN TOUCH-CONTROLLED MOBILE DEVICES, COMPUTERS, AND COMPONENTS THEREOF Inv. No. 337-TA-1162

ORDER NO. 15:

CONSTRUING THE TERMS OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE PATENTS AT ISSUE

(November 25, 2019)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODUC	TION1
II.	IN G	ENERA	L2
III.	RELI	EVANT	LAW2
IV.	LEVI	EL OF (ORDINARY SKILL7
V.	THE	ASSER	TED PATENTS8
	A.)	The `	173 Patent8
	В.	The `	910 Patent11
	C.	The `	790 Patent
	D.	The `	580 Patent
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION1		
	A.	Cons	truction of the Agreed-Upon Claim Terms
	В.	Cons	truction of the Disputed Claim Terms19
		1.	<u>`173 Patent</u> – "A sensing element that comprises a sensing path that comprises a length"
		2.	<u>`173 Patent</u> – "sensing path"20
		3.	<u>`173 Patent</u> – "the range of parameter values being associated with the length of the sensing path"
		4.	<u>`173 Patent</u> – "the sensing path comprises a closed loop"24
	1	5.	<u>'910 Patent</u> – "the particular one of the sensing areas selected based on a predefined ranking scheme that prioritizes the two or more sensing areas based on the positions of the two or more sensing areas with the sensing region"
		6.	"790 Patent – "respective [first/second] [sensor/signal] values of [the/a] plurality [of] keys"
		7.	<u>`790 Patent</u> – "[analyze/analyzing], to determine a second active key, respective second signal values of the plurality of keys, the analysis, to determine the second active key, of the respective second signal values of the plurality of keys being biased in favor of the first key"28
		8.	<u>`580 Patent</u> - "signals"30



9.	<u>`580 Patent</u> - "measured values corresponding to the [second/fourth] set of
	signals"32
10.	<u>`580 Patent</u> - "adjusting the second set of measured values corresponding to the second set of signals with the fourth set of measured values
	corresponding to the fourth set of signals"



I. INTRODUCTION

This Investigation was instituted by the Commission on June 24, 2019 to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of touch-controlled mobile devices, including smartphone and tablet devices, computers, including notebook and laptop computers, and associated components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 8,432,173 ("the `173 patent"); claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 8,791,910 ("the `910 patent"); claims 1, 4-8, 10-14, and 16-24 of U.S. Patent No. 9,024,790 ("the `790 patent"); and claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 9,372,580 ("the `580 patent"). *See* 84 Fed. Reg. 29545 (June 24, 2019). The Complainant is Neodron Ltd. ("Neodron"). The Respondents are Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon"), Dell Technologies, Inc. ("Dell"), Lenovo Group Ltd. ("Lenovo"), Motorola Mobility LLC ("Motorola"), Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), HP Inc. ("HP"), and Samsung Electronics, Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung") (together, "the Respondents").

Pursuant to Ground Rule 6, a *Markman* hearing was held October 22, 2019. Prior to the hearing, the Parties filed joint proposed claim construction charts setting forth a limited set of terms to be construed, and after the hearing, the Parties filed an updated joint claim construction chart. The Parties also filed initial and reply claim construction briefs, wherein each party offered its construction for the claim terms in dispute, along with support for its proposed interpretation. ¹

¹ For convenience, the briefs and amended chart submitted by the Parties are referred to hereafter as:

CIMB	Complainant's Initial Markman Brief
CRMB	Complainant's Reply Markman Brief
RIMB	Respondents' Initial Markman Brief
RRMB	Respondents' Reply Markman Brief
JC	Updated Joint Claim Construction Chart
Hr'g Tr.	Markman hearing transcript



II. IN GENERAL

The claim terms are construed for the purposes of this section 337 Investigation. Those terms not in dispute need not be construed. *See Vanderlande Indus. Nederland BV v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 366 F.3d 1311, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (noting that the administrative law judge need only construe disputed claim terms).

III. RELEVANT LAW

"An infringement analysis entails two steps. The first step is determining the meaning and scope of the patent claims asserted to be infringed. The second step is comparing the properly construed claims to the device accused of infringing." *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 976 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*en banc*) (internal citations omitted), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996). Claim construction is a "matter of law exclusively for the court." *Id.* at 970-71. "The construction of claims is simply a way of elaborating the normally terse claim language in order to understand and explain, but not to change, the scope of the claims." *Embrex, Inc. v. Serv. Eng'g Corp.*, 216 F.3d 1343, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Claim construction focuses on the intrinsic evidence, which consists of the claims themselves, the specification, and the prosecution history. See Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 1170 (2006); see also Markman, 52 F.3d at 979. As the Federal Circuit in Phillips explained, courts must analyze each of these components to determine the "ordinary and customary meaning of a claim term" as understood by a person of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention. 415 F.3d at 1313. "Such intrinsic evidence is the most significant source of the legally operative meaning of disputed claim language." Bell Atl. Network Servs., Inc. v. Covad Commc'ns Grp., Inc., 262 F.3d 1258, 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2001)(quoting Vitronic Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576, 1582 (Fed. Cir. 1996)).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

